GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2013

FILED SENATE
May 21, 2014
S.B. 833
PRINCIPAL CLERK

 \mathbf{S}

$SENATE\ DRS25140\text{-}MKz\text{-}152A*\ (04/01)$

Short Title:	SBE Study of Educator Comp.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Senator Tillman (Primary Sponsor).	
Referred to:		
A BILL TO BE ENTITLED		
AN ACT TO DIRECT THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO STUDY A STATEWIDE PERFORMANCE COMPENSATION PLAN FOR EDUCATORS, AS RECOMMENDED		
BY THE NORTH CAROLINA EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS AND COMPENSATION		
TASK FORCE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:		
SECTION 1.(a) The State Board of Education shall study the development and		
implementation of a statewide compensation plan that incorporates information and data from		
the North Carolina Educator Evaluation System, the recognition of educator performance in		
relation to educator compensation, and compensation for employment in hard-to-staff schools		
and subject areas.		
SECTION 1.(b) The overall goal of a statewide compensation system developed		
by the State Board of Education for North Carolina teachers shall be to improve student		
learning by providing every student with a highly effective teacher, the most important		
in-school factor in increasing student achievement. Any proposed statewide compensation plan shall increase student achievement by doing at least the following:		
shan merease	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
(1	and into North Carolina classrooms.	ndates into the teaching profession
(2		ective teachers at all levels of
·	experience.	
(3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ard for teachers' career growth and
	excellence.	
(4		nd mentorship among and across
(5	teacher teams.	
(5	•	
invests State dollars to bring the teaching profession into the 21st century. SECTION 1.(c) In conducting the study required by this section, the State Board of		
Education shall do at least the following:		
(1	E	corporate the goals set forth in
`	subsections (a) and (b) of this section, incl	<u> </u>
	a. Certain IMPACT design features s	such as the dismissal of ineffective
		evelopment targeting areas of need
	_	rvation completed by internal and
	external observers, and administrat	± ±
	b. Components of the "opportunity	culture" approach, such as using



paraprofessionals with specific training to guide technology learning

or hands-on project extensions, while "master teachers" work with other students.

- c. Differential educator payment plans developed by local school administrative units in North Carolina.
- (2) Consider the following factors in implementing a statewide compensation model that incorporates information and data from the North Carolina Educator Evaluation System:
 - a. Identification of the components of a scoring rubric that may be applied to different groups of educators, including, but not limited to: the weight of student achievement data, including individual value-added data and teacher assessment data, evaluator observations, school community involvement, as well as the possibility of utilizing feedback from student and parent surveys.
 - b. Utilization of a point-based scale that correlates with evaluation standards and effectiveness ratings.
 - c. An educator career ladder that correlates with professional achievements, performance results, and compensation.
 - d. Professional support for educators to improve performance and achieve compensation advancement.
 - e. Differentiated evaluation and observation schedules that are dependent on prior evaluations and performance data.
 - f. Feedback from educators in the development of a compensation model to maximize successful implementation.
 - g. Incorporation of flexibility for individual local boards of education.
 - h. Development of a proposed timeline for implementation.
 - i. Ongoing evaluation of the impact on educator recruitment and retention and student achievement.

SECTION 1.(d) Based on the study required by this section, the State Board of Education shall develop a proposal for a statewide compensation plan that incorporates educator performance information and data. In the development of the proposal, the State Board shall consider feedback from educators and other stakeholders. The proposal shall include a timeline for additional study, continued stakeholder input, and potential implementation of a statewide compensation model beginning with the 2016-2017 school year.

The State Board shall report on its progress on the findings of the study and the development of the proposal to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee by November 15, 2014.

The State Board shall submit the final proposal for a statewide compensation plan in accordance with the requirements of this act to the Senate Appropriations Committee on Education/Higher Education, the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Education, and the Fiscal Research Division by March 15, 2015. The State Board shall not begin implementation of any element of the plan without the enactment of authorizing legislation by the General Assembly.

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.