## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2015

H D

## HOUSE BILL 357 PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H357-PCS20290-TV-6

PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H357-PCS20290-TV-6		
Short Title:	Toxicology Reports/District Court. (Pub	olic)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	March 26, 2015	
ANI ACT T	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	DE
	O PROVIDE THAT A WRITTEN TOXICOLOGY ANALYSIS MAY	
	OUCED AS EVIDENCE IN A DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL PROSECUTI JT EXPERT TESTIMONY PROVIDED THERE IS A RIGHT TO TRIAL	
NOVO.	DI EAPERT TESTIMONT PROVIDED THERE IS A RIGHT TO TRIAL	DE
	Assembly of North Carolina enacts:	
	ECTION 1. Article 7C of Chapter 8 of the General Statutes is amended by add	lino
a new section	•	*11115
	Toxicology analysis admissible as evidence in criminal prosecution in dist	rict
	ourt.	
<u>(a)</u> N	otwithstanding G.S. 8-58.20 and G.S. 20-139.1, in any criminal prosecution	n in
district court	, a laboratory report of a written toxicology analysis that states the results of	the
analysis and	that is signed and sworn to by the person performing the analysis may	be
	n evidence without the testimony of the analyst who prepared the report providence	ded
all of the foll	owing criteria are satisfied:	
<u>(1</u>		
<u>(2</u>		<u>port</u>
40	complies with the provisions of G.S. 8-58.20(c).	
<u>(3</u>		
	indicates whether the report and affidavit will be offered as evidence at	•
	proceeding in district court against the defendant on the attorney of rec	
	for the defendant, or on the defendant if that person has no attorney, no I than 10 business days after receiving the report and affidavit and at leas	
	business days before trial.	ι 10
(4	<del></del> _	the
<u> </u>	criminal prosecution for a trial de novo as provided in Article 90 of Cha	
	15A of the General Statutes.	pter
(b) A	defendant who wants to contest the results of a toxicology analysis that may	v be
	evidence pursuant to subsection (a) of this section retains the right to subpo	
	performing the analysis to testify in the criminal prosecution in district cour	
	G.S. 8-59. If the analyst fails to comply with the subpoena, and the case is	
	r the analyst to do so, the results of the analysis are inadmissible at trial in	the
district court.		

(b1) If a defendant wants the analyst present and the analyst is employed out of state, the defendant must notify the State in writing within five days of receiving the notice and report from the District Attorney. The State must then produce the analyst witness.



2 3 4

1

- (b2) Under this section the State retains the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. If an analyst testifies pursuant to a subpoena issued by the defendant, the analyst may be declared a hostile witness for direct examination purposes under the North Carolina Rules of Evidence.
- 5 6 7
- (c) Nothing in this section shall be construed as an abrogation of any State or federal constitutional or statutory right otherwise applicable in criminal cases with the exception of the right to a jury trial in district court."
- 8 9
- **SECTION 2.** This act becomes effective October 1, 2015, and applies to trials commencing on or after that date.

Page 2 House Bill 357 H357-PCS20290-TV-6