

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2015

S

D

SENATE BILL 596
PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE S596-PCS25231-RN-12

Short Title: Protection Against Unconst. Foreign Judgmnts.

(Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

March 30, 2015

1 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2 AN ACT TO CLARIFY EXISTING LAW REGARDING THE ENFORCEMENT OF
3 FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENTS.

4 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

5 **SECTION 1.** G.S. 1C-1853 reads as rewritten:

6 "**§ 1C-1853. Standards for recognition and nonrecognition of foreign-country judgment.**

7 (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, a court of this State shall recognize a
8 foreign-country judgment to which this Article applies.

9 (b) A court of this State shall not recognize a foreign-country judgment if:

10 (1) The judgment was rendered under a judicial system that, taken as a whole,
11 does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the
12 requirements of due process of law;

13 (2) The foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant;~~or~~

14 (3) The foreign court did not have jurisdiction over the subject ~~matter~~ matter; or

15 (4) The judgment was obtained by a foreign government entity to compensate
16 for the expenditure of public funds for government programs.

17 (c) If a court of this State finds that any of the following exist with respect to a
18 foreign-country judgment for which recognition is sought, recognition of the judgment shall be
19 denied unless the court determines, as a matter of law, that recognition would nevertheless be
20 reasonable under the circumstances:

21 (1) The defendant in the proceeding in the foreign court did not receive notice of
22 the proceeding in sufficient time to enable the defendant to defend.

23 (2) The judgment was obtained by fraud that deprived the losing party of an
24 adequate opportunity to present its case.

25 (3) The judgment, or the cause of action or claim for relief on which the
26 judgment is based, is repugnant to the public policy of this State or of the
27 United States.

28 (4) Reserved for future codification.

29 (5) The proceeding in the foreign court was contrary to an agreement between
30 the parties under which the dispute in question was to be determined
31 otherwise than by proceedings in that foreign court.

32 (6) In the case of jurisdiction based only on personal service, the foreign court
33 was a seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action.

34 (7) The judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt
35 about the integrity of the rendering court with respect to the judgment.



* S 5 9 6 - P C S 2 5 2 3 1 - R N - 1 2 *

1 (8) The specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the judgment was
2 fundamentally unfair.

3 (9) The judgment is based on a foreign statute or rule of law which, as applied
4 by the foreign court, would have been contrary to either the United States
5 Constitution or the North Carolina Constitution had it been applied by a
6 court in North Carolina.

7 (d) If a foreign-country judgment for which recognition is sought is otherwise entitled
8 to recognition under this Article but conflicts with a prior final and conclusive judgment, a
9 court of this State shall recognize the judgment for which recognition is sought unless the court
10 determines that nonrecognition would nevertheless be reasonable under the circumstances.

11 (e) If a foreign-country judgment for which recognition is sought is otherwise entitled
12 to recognition under this Article but conflicts with a subsequent final and conclusive judgment,
13 a court of this State shall deny recognition of the judgment for which recognition is sought
14 unless the court determines that recognition would nevertheless be reasonable under the
15 circumstances.

16 (f) A party resisting recognition of a foreign-country judgment has the burden of
17 establishing that a ground for nonrecognition stated in subsection (b) of this section exists.

18 (g) A party resisting recognition of a foreign-country judgment has the burden of
19 establishing that a ground for nonrecognition stated in subsection (c) of this section exists. The
20 party seeking recognition of the judgment has the burden of establishing that, as a matter of
21 law, recognition would nevertheless be reasonable under the circumstances.

22 (h) A party resisting recognition of a foreign-country judgment under subsection (d) or
23 (e) of this section has the burden of establishing that another final and conclusive judgment
24 exists and that the other judgment conflicts with the judgment for which recognition is sought.
25 Under subsection (d) of this section, the party resisting recognition also has the burden of
26 establishing that nonrecognition of the judgment for which recognition is sought would be
27 reasonable under the circumstances. Under subsection (e) of this section, the party seeking
28 recognition of the foreign-country judgment has the burden of establishing that recognition
29 would be reasonable under the circumstances.

30 (i) When a court of this State rules on recognition of a foreign-country judgment, the
31 court shall state the facts specially and state separately its conclusions of law.

32 (j) If a proceeding in a foreign court is brought by a foreign government entity based
33 upon rules of law adopted for the benefit of the foreign government entity that are applied ex
34 post facto to conduct of the defendant or if the action imposes liability for harms to individuals
35 without requiring individualized proof of each element of the claim for each such individual,
36 the court shall find that the action is fundamentally unfair and its judgment is repugnant to the
37 public policy of this State under G.S. 1C-1853(c)(3) and (5)."

38 **SECTION 2.** Article 20 of Chapter 1C of the General Statutes is amended by
39 adding a new section to read:

40 "**§ 1C-1860. Severability.**

41 The provisions of this Article are severable. If any part or application of this Article is
42 invalid, then other parts or applications remain valid."

43 **SECTION 3.** This act is effective when it becomes law and applies to recognition
44 of foreign-country judgments on or after that date regardless of when the judgment was
45 entered.