GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2015

H D

HOUSE BILL 483

Committee Substitute Favorable 4/28/15 Third Edition Engrossed 4/29/15 PROPOSED SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H483-PCS40674-RN-55

Short Title:	Land-Use Regulatory Changes.	(Public)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	April 2 2015	

April 2, 2015

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE LAND-USE REGULATORY LAWS OF THE STATE.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 160A-385 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-385. Changes.

- (b) Amendments in <u>land development regulations</u>, as defined in G.S. 160A-400.21(6), <u>including zoning ordinances or unified development ordinances</u>, shall not be applicable or enforceable without <u>the written consent of the owner with regard to buildings and uses buildings</u>, <u>uses</u>, or <u>developments</u> for which <u>either (i) building permits have been issued pursuant to G.S. 160A-417 prior to the enactment of the ordinance making the change or changes so long as the permits remain valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 160A-418 and unrevoked pursuant to G.S. 160A-422 or (ii) a vested right has been established pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1 and such vested right remains valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1 any of the following approvals or permits have been validly issued and remain unexpired pursuant to law:</u>
 - (1) A zoning approval, which includes, but is not limited to, a zoning permit, a site plan approval, a conditional use permit, or any other permit or approval given under the authority of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes that authorizes the use of land.
 - (2) A building permit issued pursuant to this Chapter.
- The applicable application for either such zoning approval or building permit must be submitted in accordance with G.S. 143-755 prior to the change in the development regulations. Amendments shall also not be applicable or enforceable without the written consent of the owner if a vested right has been established pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1, and such vested right remains valid and unexpired or if a vested right is established by the terms of a development agreement authorized by Part 3D of this Article. A vested right, once established as provided for in this section, precludes any action by a city which would change, alter, impair, prevent, diminish, or otherwise delay the development or use of the property as set forth in the application, except where a change in State or federal law mandating local government enforcement occurs after the application is submitted that has a fundamental effect on such development or use.
- (b1) For purposes of this section, a multi-phased development shall be considered vested for the entire development with the land development regulations then in place at the time of application for the initial phase so long as the developer notifies the approving authority in an



application that it is a multi-phased project and submits a plan describing with reasonable certainty the type and intensity of use for a specific parcel or parcels of property and showing the proposed phase boundaries. A right which has been vested as provided for in this subsection shall remain vested for a period of 10 years.

(b2) Nothing in this section shall preclude a judicial determination, based on common law principles or other statutory provisions, that a vested right exists in a particular case."

SECTION 2. G.S. 153A-344 reads as rewritten:

"§ 153A-344. Planning board; zoning plan; certification to board of commissioners.

- (b) Amendments in <u>land development regulations</u>, as defined in G.S. 153A-349.2(6), <u>including zoning ordinances or unified development ordinances</u>, shall not be applicable or enforceable without <u>the written consent of the owner with regard to buildings and uses buildings</u>, <u>uses</u>, or <u>developments</u> for which <u>either (i) building permits have been issued pursuant to G.S. 153A 357 prior to the enactment of the ordinance making the change or changes so long as the permits remain valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 153A 358 and unrevoked pursuant to G.S. 153A 362 or (ii) a vested right has been established pursuant to G.S. 153A 344.1 and such vested right remains valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 153A 344.1 any of the following approvals or permits have been validly issued and remain unexpired pursuant to law:</u>
 - (1) A zoning approval, which includes, but is not limited to, a zoning permit, a site plan approval, a conditional use permit, or any other permit or approval given under the authority of Article 18 of Chapter 153A of the General Statutes that authorizes the use of land.
 - (2) A building permit issued pursuant to this Chapter.
- The applicable application for either such zoning approval or building permit must be submitted in accordance with G.S. 143-755 prior to the change in the development regulations. Amendments shall also not be applicable or enforceable without the written consent of the owner if a vested right has been established pursuant to G.S. 153A-344.1, and such vested right remains valid and unexpired or if a vested right is established by the terms of a development agreement authorized by Part 3A of this Article. A vested right, once established as provided for in this section, precludes any action by a city which would change, alter, impair, prevent, diminish, or otherwise delay the development or use of the property as set forth in the application, except where a change in State or federal law mandating local government enforcement occurs after the application is submitted that has a fundamental effect on such development or use.
- (b1) For purposes of this section, a multi-phased development shall be considered vested for the entire development with the land development regulations then in place at the time of application for the initial phase so long as the developer notifies the approving authority in the application that it is a multi-phased project and submits a plan describing with reasonable certainty the type and intensity of use for a specific parcel or parcels of property and showing the proposed phase boundaries. A right which has been vested as provided for in this subsection shall remain vested for a period of 10 years.
- (b2) Nothing in this section shall preclude a judicial determination, based on common law principles or other statutory provisions, that a vested right exists in a particular case."

SECTION 3. Part 3 of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read:

"§ 160A-393.1. Civil action for declaratory relief, injunctive relief, or other remedies.

(a) Action for Relief Authorized. – Notwithstanding the provisions of G.S. 160A-388, any person who either meets the criteria set forth in G.S. 160A-393(d)(1) or is a permit applicant and who is aggrieved by a final decision of an administrative official involving the application or enforcement or a city or county zoning ordinance, subdivision ordinance, unified development ordinance, or other ordinance regulating the use or development of land may, in lieu of taking an appeal to a board of adjustment, maintain an original action in the superior court or business court

for declaratory relief, injunctive relief, damages, or other remedy provided or allowed by law or equity, where any one or more of the following claims or defenses are asserted:

- (1) That the ordinance, either on its face or as applied by the final decision of the administrative official, violates the United States or North Carolina Constitutions.
- (2) That the ordinance or the final decision of the administrative official is invalid or unenforceable on grounds of ultra vires, preemption, including preemption under G.S. 160A-174(b), or is otherwise in excess of authority.
- (3) That the ordinance or the final decision of the administrative official violates common law or statutory vested rights of the aggrieved person.
- (4) That the ordinance or the final decision of the administrative official constitutes a taking of property.

In any action brought pursuant to this subsection and notwithstanding G.S. 160A-388(b1), the aggrieved party may join any other claims and defenses arising from or relating to the final decision of the administrative official, including, without limitation, claims or defenses relating to the interpretation or application of the ordinance.

- (b) <u>Time for Commencement of Action. Any action brought pursuant to this section shall</u> be commenced within one year after the date on which written notice of the final decision is delivered to the aggrieved party by personal delivery, electronic mail, or by first-class mail.
- (c) Availability of Alternative Remedy. Any person otherwise entitled to maintain an action under this section may elect instead to present any of the claims or defenses set forth in subdivisions (1) through (3) of subsection (a) of this section by way of appeal to the board of adjustment as provided in G.S. 160A-388(b1) and may thereafter appeal from a decision by the board of adjustment as provided in G.S. 160A-393. Once an appeal setting forth such claims or defenses has been filed pursuant to G.S. 160A-388(b1)(1) and its related hearing before the board of adjustment commenced, a party may not thereafter bring an action as authorized by this section, provided, however, that nothing herein shall be deemed to preclude a party from maintaining an action under federal law or a takings claim.
- (d) Notice to Abutting Landowners. A person who commences an action pursuant to this section shall notify by first-class mail the owners of all parcels of land abutting the parcel of land that is the subject of the complaint that such action has been filed. The notice shall include a copy of the complaint. The person bringing the civil action may rely on the county tax listing to determine owners of property entitled to mailed notice and the applicable mailing addresses. The notice shall be mailed no later than 30 days after the commencement of the action, unless an extension not to exceed 30 days is granted pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure."

SECTION 4. G.S. 160A-393 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-393. Appeals in the nature of certiorari.

...

- (j) Hearing on the Record. The court shall hear and decide all issues raised by the petition by reviewing the record submitted in accordance with subsection (h) of this section. Except that the court may, in its discretion, allow the record to be supplemented with affidavits, testimony of witnesses, or documentary or other evidence if, and to the extent that, the record is not adequate to allow an appropriate determination of the following issues:
 - (1) Whether a petitioner or intervenor has standing.
 - (2) Whether, as a result of impermissible conflict as described in G.S. 160A-388(e)(2), or locally adopted conflict rules, the decision-making body was not sufficiently impartial to comply with due process principles.
 - (3) Whether the decision-making body erred for the reasons set forth in sub-subdivisions a. and b. of subdivision (1) of subsection (k) of this

section, including an error related to the claims or defenses in subdivision (k)(4) of this section.

- (k) Scope of Review.
 - (1) When reviewing the decision of a decision-making board under the provisions of this section, the court shall ensure that the rights of petitioners have not been prejudiced because the decision-making body's findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions were:
 - a. In violation of constitutional provisions, including those protecting procedural due process rights.
 - b. In excess of the statutory authority conferred upon the city or the authority conferred upon the decision-making board by ordinance.
 - c. Inconsistent with applicable procedures specified by statute or ordinance.
 - d. Affected by other error of law.
 - e. Unsupported by substantial competent evidence in view of the entire record.
 - f. Arbitrary or capricious.
 - (2) When the issue before the court is whether the decision-making board erred in interpreting an ordinance, the court shall review that issue de novo. The court shall consider the interpretation of the decision-making board, but is not bound by that interpretation, and may freely substitute its judgment as appropriate.
 - (3) The term "competent evidence," as used in this subsection, shall not preclude reliance by the decision-making board on evidence that would not be admissible under the rules of evidence as applied in the trial division of the General Court of Justice if (i) the evidence was admitted without objection or (ii) the evidence appears to be sufficiently trustworthy and was admitted under such circumstances that it was reasonable for the decision-making board to rely upon it. The term "competent evidence," as used in this subsection, shall not be deemed to include the opinion testimony of lay witnesses as to any of the following:
 - a. The use of property in a particular way would affect the value of other property.
 - b. The increase in vehicular traffic resulting from a proposed development would pose a danger to the public safety.
 - c. Matters about which only expert testimony would generally be admissible under the rules of evidence.
 - (4) The petitioner may assert and the court shall determine de novo, based on the record in subsection (j) of this section, any of the following claims or defenses:
 - a. That the ordinance, either on its face or as applied by the final decision of the administrative official, violates the United States or North Carolina Constitutions.
 - b. That the ordinance or the final decision of the administrative official is invalid or unenforceable on grounds of ultra vires, preemption, including preemption under G.S. 160A-174(b), or is otherwise in excess of authority.
 - <u>c.</u> That the ordinance or the final decision of the administrative official violates common law or statutory vested rights of the aggrieved person.
 - In order to raise any of the claims or defenses listed in subdivision (4) of this subsection, to the extent that they do not involve some act of the decision-making board itself or any of its members, the claim or defense shall be made known to the decision-making board at the hearing.

1' 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

SECTION 5. Part 3 of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read:

"§ 160A-393.2. No estoppel effect when challenging unlawful conditions.

No landowner or permit applicant shall be precluded from timely challenging any unlawful condition imposed on a development as part of the application of land development regulations as defined in G.S. 160A-400.21(6) as a result of actions by the landowner or permit applicant to proceed with the development or use. A local government may not raise estoppel, waiver, release, or acceptance or other similar grounds as a defense to such challenge. This section shall not apply to rezoning decisions."

SECTION 6. G.S. 6-21.7 reads as rewritten:

"§ 6-21.7. Attorneys' fees; cities or counties acting outside the scope of their authority.

In any action in which a city or county is a party, upon a finding by the court that the city or county acted outside the scope of its legal authority, violated a statute or case law setting forth unambiguous limits on its authority, the court may shall award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the party who successfully challenged the city's or county's action, provided that if the court also finds that the city's or county's action was an abuse of its discretion, the court shall award attorneys' fees and costs action. In all other matters, the court may award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the prevailing private litigant. For purposes of this section, "unambiguous" means that the limits of authority are not reasonably susceptible to multiple constructions."

SECTION 7. G.S. 160A-372 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-372. Contents and requirements of ordinance.

23

(c) The ordinance may provide for the more orderly development of subdivisions by requiring the construction of community service facilities in accordance with municipal plans, policies, and standards. To assure compliance with these and other ordinance requirements, the ordinance may provide for performance guarantees to assure successful completion of required improvements either at the time the plat is recorded as provided in subsection (b) of this section. section or at a time subsequent to the recording of the plat, but prior to the issuance of a permit pursuant to G.S. 160A-417(a)(1), to assure successful completion of required improvements. In the event a city fails to adopt an ordinance setting forth performance guarantees in compliance with subsection (g) of this section, a city shall not be authorized to require the successful completion of required improvements prior to a plat being recorded. For any specific development, the type and term of performance guarantee guarantee, or any extension of the performance guarantee, shall be at the election of the developer provided that any performance guarantee or extension be available to assure the successful completion of improvements for which it is required. The developer shall be allowed, without limitation, to reduce the amount of the performance guarantee to reflect only the remaining incomplete items.

39 40

- (g) For purposes of this section, all of the following shall apply with respect to performance guarantees:
 - (1) The term "performance guarantee" shall mean any of the following forms of guarantee:
 - a. Surety bond issued by any company authorized to do business in this State.
 - b. Letter of credit issued by any financial institution licensed to do business in this State.
 - c. Other form of guarantee that provides equivalent security to a surety bond or letter of credit.
 - (2) The performance guarantee shall be returned or released, as appropriate, in a timely manner upon the acknowledgement by the city or county that the

1

- 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
- 28 29 30 31 32

25

26

27

35 36 37

33

34

39 40

38

41 42

50

51

- improvements for which the performance guarantee is being required are complete. If the improvements are not complete and the current performance guarantee is expiring, the performance guarantee shall be extended, or a new performance guarantee issued, for an additional period until such required improvements are complete. A developer shall demonstrate reasonable, good faith progress toward completion of the required improvements that are the subject of the performance guarantee or any extension. The form of any extension shall remain at the election of the developer.
- The amount of the performance guarantee shall not exceed one hundred (3) twenty-five percent (125%) of the reasonably estimated cost of completion at the time the performance guarantee is issued. Any extension of the performance guarantee necessary to complete required improvements shall not exceed one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the reasonably estimated cost of completion of the remaining incomplete improvements still outstanding at the time the extension is obtained. At the election of the developer, the one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the reasonably estimated cost of completion may be conclusively determined by a report provided under seal by an architect licensed under the provisions of Chapter 83A of the General Statutes or an engineer registered under the provisions of Chapter 89C of the General Statutes. This report may contain unit pricing information provided by a general contractor, licensed under Chapter 87 of the General Statutes, or any other competent source which the architect or engineer certifies, under seal, as accurate. The reasonably estimated cost of completion shall include all costs of inflation and costs of administration and enforcement, no matter how such related fees or charges are denominated.
- (4) The performance guarantee shall only be used for completion of the required improvements and not for repairs or maintenance after completion.
- **(5)** The developer shall have the option to post one form of a performance guarantee as provided for in subdivision (1) of this subsection, in lieu of multiple bonds, letters of credit, or other equivalent security, for all development matters related to the same project requiring performance guarantees, including, without limitation, subdivision, erosion control, storm water.
- No person shall have or may claim any rights under or to any performance (6) guarantee provided pursuant to this subsection or in or to the proceeds of any such performance guarantee other than the following:
 - The local government to whom such performance guarantee is provided. a.
 - The developer at whose request or for whose benefit such performance <u>b.</u> guarantee is given.
 - The person or entity issuing or providing such performance guarantee at <u>c.</u> the request of or for the benefit of the developer."

SECTION 8. G.S. 153A-331(e) reads as rewritten:

The ordinance may provide for the more orderly development of subdivisions by "(e) requiring the construction of community service facilities in accordance with county plans, policies, and standards. To assure compliance with these and other ordinance requirements, the ordinance may provide for performance guarantees to assure successful completion of required improvements either at the time the plat is recorded as provided in subsection (b) of this section. section or at a time subsequent to the recording of the plat, but prior to the issuance of a permit pursuant to G.S. 153A-357(a)(1), to assure successful completion of required improvements. In the event a county fails to adopt an ordinance setting forth performance guarantees in compliance with subsection (g) of this section, a county shall not be authorized to require the successful

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

completion of required improvements prior to a plat being recorded. For any specific development, the type and term of performance guarantee from the range specified by the county guarantee, or any extension of the performance guarantee, shall be at the election of the developer. developer, provided that any performance guarantee or extension be available to assure the successful completion of the improvements for which it is required. The developer shall be allowed, without limitation, to reduce the amount of the performance guarantee to reflect only the remaining incomplete items."

SECTION 9. G.S. 160A-381(c) reads as rewritten:

"(c) The regulations may also provide that the board of adjustment, the planning board, or the city council may issue special use permits or conditional use permits in the classes of cases or situations and in accordance with the principles, conditions, safeguards, and procedures specified therein and may impose reasonable and appropriate conditions and safeguards upon these permits. Conditions and safeguards imposed under this subsection shall not include requirements for which the city does not have authority under statute to regulate nor requirements for which the courts have held to be unenforceable if imposed directly by the city, including, without limitation, taxes, impact fees, building design elements within the scope of subsection (h) of this section not voluntarily offered by petitioner, street improvements in excess of those allowed in G.S. 160A-372, driveway-related improvements in excess of those allowed in G.S. 136-18(29) and G.S. 160A-307, or other unauthorized limitations on the development or use of land. When deciding special use permits or conditional use permits, the city council or planning board shall follow quasi-judicial procedures. Notice of hearings on special or conditional use permit applications shall be as provided in G.S. 160A-388(a2). No vote greater than a majority vote shall be required for the city council or planning board to issue such permits. For the purposes of this section, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" for calculation of the requisite majority. Every such decision of the city council or planning board shall be subject to review of the superior court in the nature of certiorari in accordance with G.S. 160A-388.

Where appropriate, such conditions may include requirements that street and utility rights-of-way be dedicated to the public and that provision be made of recreational space and facilities."

SECTION 10. G.S. 153A-340(c1) reads as rewritten:

"(c1) The regulations may also provide that the board of adjustment, the planning board, or the board of commissioners may issue special use permits or conditional use permits in the classes of cases or situations and in accordance with the principles, conditions, safeguards, and procedures specified therein and may impose reasonable and appropriate conditions and safeguards upon these permits. Conditions and safeguards imposed under this subsection shall not include requirements for which the county does not have authority under statute to regulate nor requirements for which the courts have held to be unenforceable if imposed directly by the county. county, including, without limitation, taxes, impact fees, building design elements within the scope of subsection (1) of this section not voluntarily offered by petitioner, street improvements in excess of those allowed in G.S. 160A-372, driveway-related improvements in excess of those allowed in G.S. 136-18(29), or other unauthorized limitations on the development or use of land. Where appropriate, the conditions may include requirements that street and utility rights-of-way be dedicated to the public and that recreational space be provided. When deciding special use permits or conditional use permits, the board of county commissioners or planning board shall follow quasi-judicial procedures. Notice of hearings on special or conditional use permit applications shall be as provided in G.S. 160A-388(a2). No vote greater than a majority vote shall be required for the board of county commissioners or planning board to issue such permits. For the purposes of this section, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" for calculation of the requisite majority. Every such decision of the board of county commissioners or planning

board shall be subject to review of the superior court in the nature of certiorari consistent with G.S. 160A-388."

SECTION 11. G.S. 153A-352(b) reads as rewritten:

"(b) Except as provided in G.S. 153A-364, a county may not adopt or enforce a local ordinance or resolution or any other policy that requires regular, routine inspections of buildings or structures constructed in compliance with the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings in addition to the specific inspections required by the North Carolina Building Code without first obtaining approval from the North Carolina Building Code Council. The North Carolina Building Code Council shall review all applications for additional inspections requested by a county and shall, in a reasonable manner, approve or disapprove the additional inspections. This subsection does not limit the authority of the county to require inspections upon unforeseen or unique circumstances that require immediate action. In performing the specific inspections required by the North Carolina Building Code, the inspector shall conduct all inspections requested by the permit holder for each scheduled inspection visit. For each requested inspection, the inspector shall inform the permit holder of instances in which the work inspected is incomplete or otherwise fails to meet the requirements of the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings."

SECTION 12. G.S. 160A-412(b) reads as rewritten:

"(b) Except as provided in G.S. 160A-424, a city may not adopt <u>or enforce</u> a local ordinance or resolution or any other policy that requires regular, routine inspections of buildings or structures constructed in compliance with the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings in addition to the specific inspections required by the North Carolina Building Code without first obtaining approval from the North Carolina Building Code Council. The North Carolina Building Code Council shall review all applications for additional inspections requested by a city and shall, in a reasonable manner, approve or disapprove the additional inspections. This subsection does not limit the authority of the city to require inspections upon unforeseen or unique circumstances that require immediate action. In performing the specific inspections required by the North Carolina Building Code, the inspector shall conduct all inspection, the inspector shall inform the permit holder for each scheduled inspection visit. For each requested inspection, the inspector shall inform the permit holder of instances in which the work inspected is incomplete or otherwise fails to meet the requirements of the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings."

SECTION 13. G.S. 160A-307 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-307. Curb cut regulations.

A city may by ordinance regulate the size, location, direction of traffic flow, and manner of construction of driveway connections into any street or alley. The ordinance may require the construction or reimbursement of the cost of construction and public dedication of medians, acceleration and deceleration lanes, and traffic storage lanes for driveway connections into any street or alley if:

- (1) The need for such improvements is reasonably attributable to the traffic using the driveway; and
- (2) The improvements serve the traffic of the driveway.

No street or alley under the control of the Department of Transportation may be improved without the consent of the Department of Transportation. However, if there is a conflict between the written driveway regulations of the Department of Transportation and the related driveway improvements required by the city, the more stringent requirement shall apply. A city may not require the applicant to acquire right-of-way from property not owned by the applicant."

SECTION 14. G.S. 160A-385(b1), as enacted by Section 1 of this act, and G.S. 153A-344(b1), as enacted by Section 2 of this act, are effective with respect to phased development approvals which are valid and unexpired on the effective date of this act. G.S. 160A-372(g)(6), as enacted by Section 7 of this act, is declarative of existing law as to all

- 1 performance guarantees issued pursuant to Chapter 160A or Chapter 153A of the General Statutes
- 2 and is not intended to be a change in existing law as to performance guarantees whenever issued.
- 3 The remainder of this act is effective when it becomes law and applies to permit applications filed,
- 4 permits previously issued which remain valid and unexpired on the date this act becomes law,
- 5 actions filed in court, and claims and defenses asserted on or after that date.