



Description:

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
57	1	86,995	89,336	2,341	2.69%
58	1	86,995	90,051	3,056	3.51%
59	1	86,995	90,829	3,834	4.41%
60	1	86,995	90,340	3,345	3.85%
61	1	86,995	91,106	4,111	4.73%
62	1	86,995	89,637	2,642	3.04%
Totals:	6		541,299		

Deviation range: 2.69% to 4.73%

2022 Election Contest Report - US Senate

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	W-I	% W-I
57	10,612	32.51%	21,316	65.30%	402	1.23%	286	0.88%	29	0.09%
58	5,537	23.06%	17,970	74.85%	266	1.11%	224	0.93%	10	0.04%
59	21,637	55.78%	16,328	42.09%	497	1.28%	278	0.72%	52	0.13%
60	8,960	36.85%	14,816	60.93%	310	1.27%	222	0.91%	9	0.04%
61	6,733	23.50%	21,238	74.11%	358	1.25%	311	1.09%	17	0.06%
62	21,063	54.08%	17,059	43.80%	509	1.31%	279	0.72%	41	0.11%
Unassigned	1,831,067	51.04%	1,675,963	46.71%	49,290	1.37%	29,078	0.81%	2,356	0.07%
Total:	1,905,609	50.48%	1,784,690	47.28%	51,632	1.37%	30,678	0.81%	2,514	0.07%

Candidates: Budd (Rep), Beasley (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hoh (Grn)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, Precinct Sorted Results file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SEN-22G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2022 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 03

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	11,340	34.91%	21,143	65.09%
58	5,905	24.76%	17,947	75.24%
59	22,291	57.68%	16,352	42.32%
60	9,447	39.08%	14,726	60.92%
61	7,299	25.65%	21,156	74.35%
62	21,759	56.18%	16,969	43.82%
Unassigned	1,888,363	52.93%	1,679,001	47.07%
Total:	1,966,404	52.39%	1,787,294	47.61%

2022 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 05

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	11,208	34.59%	21,199	65.41%
58	5,955	25.01%	17,854	74.99%
59	22,277	57.72%	16,316	42.28%
60	9,385	38.90%	14,738	61.10%
61	7,245	25.48%	21,188	74.52%
62	21,758	56.28%	16,904	43.72%
Unassigned	1,880,237	52.73%	1,685,310	47.27%
Total:	1,958,065	52.19%	1,793,509	47.81%

Candidates: Allen (Rep), Ervin (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, Precinct Sorted Results file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SCS5-22G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - US President

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
57	15,292	31.33%	32,785	67.16%	378	0.77%	93	0.19%	118	0.24%	151	0.31%
58	9,517	22.59%	32,069	76.10%	253	0.60%	131	0.31%	68	0.16%	100	0.24%
59	29,969	55.15%	23,604	43.44%	429	0.79%	126	0.23%	91	0.17%	117	0.22%
60	14,315	34.98%	25,942	63.40%	319	0.78%	110	0.27%	118	0.29%	115	0.28%
61	9,725	21.90%	33,972	76.50%	357	0.80%	141	0.32%	75	0.17%	136	0.31%
62	28,477	52.71%	24,715	45.75%	496	0.92%	103	0.19%	109	0.20%	127	0.24%
Unassigned	2,647,004	50.57%	2,507,418	47.90%	46,408	0.89%	11,482	0.22%	9,329	0.18%	12,568	0.24%
Total:	2,754,299	49.91%	2,680,505	48.57%	48,640	0.88%	12,186	0.22%	9,908	0.18%	13,314	0.24%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
57	15,287	31.59%	31,261	64.60%	1,395	2.88%	452	0.93%
58	8,865	21.23%	31,144	74.57%	1,270	3.04%	485	1.16%
59	28,621	53.10%	22,953	42.58%	1,711	3.17%	619	1.15%
60	13,802	34.08%	24,885	61.45%	1,290	3.19%	518	1.28%
61	10,039	22.77%	32,398	73.49%	1,294	2.94%	352	0.80%
62	28,293	52.71%	23,243	43.30%	1,630	3.04%	514	0.96%
Unassigned	2,556,462	49.29%	2,401,626	46.31%	162,808	3.14%	65,519	1.26%
Total:	2,661,369	48.67%	2,567,510	46.95%	171,398	3.13%	68,459	1.25%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
57	33,890	69.90%	13,831	28.53%	555	1.14%	208	0.43%
58	32,825	78.52%	8,232	19.69%	545	1.30%	205	0.49%
59	25,574	47.33%	27,698	51.27%	561	1.04%	196	0.36%
60	26,984	66.55%	12,785	31.53%	516	1.27%	265	0.65%
61	34,476	78.20%	8,858	20.09%	565	1.28%	189	0.43%
62	26,410	49.11%	26,567	49.40%	563	1.05%	242	0.45%
Unassigned	2,650,542	50.84%	2,484,491	47.65%	57,103	1.10%	21,651	0.42%
Total:	2,830,701	51.50%	2,582,462	46.98%	60,408	1.10%	22,956	0.42%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	16,239	33.81%	31,792	66.19%
58	9,566	23.00%	32,021	77.00%
59	30,456	56.90%	23,066	43.10%
60	14,746	36.78%	25,348	63.22%
61	10,605	24.23%	33,157	75.77%
62	29,742	55.98%	23,386	44.02%
Unassigned	2,685,622	52.28%	2,450,956	47.72%
Total:	2,796,976	51.64%	2,619,726	48.36%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
57	32,532	67.90%	15,381	32.10%
58	32,368	78.05%	9,102	21.95%
59	24,205	45.42%	29,085	54.58%
60	25,985	64.91%	14,048	35.09%
61	33,617	77.05%	10,012	22.95%
62	24,290	45.85%	28,687	54.15%
Unassigned	2,537,778	49.49%	2,589,982	50.51%
Total:	2,710,775	50.13%	2,696,297	49.87%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
57	32,590	68.81%	14,775	31.19%
58	32,211	78.13%	9,018	21.87%
59	24,222	45.94%	28,503	54.06%
60	26,000	65.51%	13,689	34.49%
61	33,658	77.90%	9,550	22.10%
62	24,634	47.12%	27,650	52.88%
Unassigned	2,554,058	50.24%	2,529,309	49.76%
Total:	2,727,373	50.89%	2,632,494	49.11%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	18,375	38.46%	29,398	61.54%
58	10,547	25.49%	30,828	74.51%
59	32,573	60.96%	20,860	39.04%
60	15,469	38.79%	24,405	61.21%
61	12,378	28.49%	31,074	71.51%
62	31,465	59.60%	21,326	40.40%
Unassigned	2,777,193	54.42%	2,325,652	45.58%
Total:	2,898,000	53.85%	2,483,543	46.15%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Insurance

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	16,331	34.43%	31,099	65.57%
58	9,520	23.08%	31,721	76.92%
59	30,247	57.13%	22,696	42.87%
60	14,623	36.87%	25,043	63.13%
61	10,829	25.05%	32,394	74.95%
62	29,525	56.36%	22,858	43.64%
Unassigned	2,660,853	52.40%	2,416,888	47.60%
Total:	2,771,928	51.77%	2,582,699	48.23%

Candidates: Causey (Rep), Goodwin (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-COI-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	15,674	33.10%	31,676	66.90%
58	9,094	22.06%	32,139	77.94%
59	29,504	55.91%	23,269	44.09%
60	14,171	35.71%	25,513	64.29%
61	10,250	23.76%	32,884	76.24%
62	28,766	55.07%	23,466	44.93%
Unassigned	2,615,647	51.47%	2,466,098	48.53%
Total:	2,723,106	50.82%	2,635,045	49.18%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
57	32,806	68.95%	14,776	31.05%
58	32,529	78.75%	8,778	21.25%
59	24,570	46.40%	28,378	53.60%
60	26,214	65.89%	13,570	34.11%
61	33,842	78.06%	9,514	21.94%
62	24,758	47.15%	27,755	52.85%
Unassigned	2,578,044	50.52%	2,524,544	49.48%
Total:	2,752,763	51.17%	2,627,315	48.83%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Superintendent of Public Instruction

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	15,734	33.20%	31,655	66.80%
58	9,404	22.83%	31,789	77.17%
59	29,548	55.97%	23,249	44.03%
60	14,452	36.42%	25,227	63.58%
61	10,169	23.53%	33,045	76.47%
62	28,797	55.00%	23,560	45.00%
Unassigned	2,641,540	52.04%	2,434,092	47.96%
Total:	2,749,644	51.37%	2,602,617	48.63%

Candidates: Truitt (Rep), Mangrum (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SPI-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	16,387	34.58%	31,001	65.42%
58	10,105	24.52%	31,102	75.48%
59	29,596	56.07%	23,188	43.93%
60	14,784	37.26%	24,895	62.74%
61	11,283	26.14%	31,883	73.86%
62	29,041	55.49%	23,292	44.51%
Unassigned	2,698,007	53.24%	2,369,220	46.76%
Total:	2,809,203	52.57%	2,534,581	47.43%

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Chief Justice

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	15,456	32.38%	32,277	67.62%
58	9,134	22.05%	32,281	77.95%
59	28,986	54.62%	24,085	45.38%
60	14,091	35.29%	25,833	64.71%
61	10,130	23.29%	33,371	76.71%
62	28,440	53.97%	24,258	46.03%
Unassigned	2,586,237	50.64%	2,520,899	49.36%
Total:	2,692,474	50.00%	2,693,004	50.00%

Candidates: Newby (Rep), Beasley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SCCJ-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 02

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	15,426	32.35%	32,255	67.65%
58	9,222	22.32%	32,092	77.68%
59	29,386	55.43%	23,632	44.57%
60	14,139	35.48%	25,716	64.52%
61	10,069	23.15%	33,419	76.85%
62	28,678	54.48%	23,960	45.52%
Unassigned	2,613,188	51.32%	2,478,691	48.68%
Total:	2,720,108	50.65%	2,649,765	49.35%

Candidates: Berger (Rep), Inman (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SCS2-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 04

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
57	15,503	32.67%	31,955	67.33%
58	9,463	22.95%	31,763	77.05%
59	29,081	55.07%	23,728	44.93%
60	14,244	35.83%	25,509	64.17%
61	10,262	23.70%	33,036	76.30%
62	28,536	54.45%	23,871	45.55%
Unassigned	2,635,687	51.89%	2,443,953	48.11%
Total:	2,742,776	51.20%	2,613,815	48.80%

Candidates: Barringer (Rep), Davis (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SCS4-20G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2016 Election Contest Report - US President

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
57	13,983	32.10%	27,758	63.72%	1,160	2.66%	664	1.52%
58	8,568	21.80%	29,466	74.98%	804	2.05%	463	1.18%
59	26,441	58.66%	17,030	37.78%	1,141	2.53%	464	1.03%
60	13,202	35.93%	22,173	60.34%	967	2.63%	405	1.10%
61	9,989	21.92%	33,651	73.85%	1,216	2.67%	710	1.56%
62	25,633	55.27%	18,680	40.28%	1,443	3.11%	621	1.34%
Unassigned	2,261,933	50.57%	2,031,558	45.42%	123,198	2.75%	56,070	1.25%
Total:	2,359,749	49.90%	2,180,316	46.10%	129,929	2.75%	59,397	1.26%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2016 Election Contest Report - US Senate

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
57	15,410	35.68%	26,601	61.58%	1,184	2.74%
58	8,915	22.94%	28,852	74.25%	1,092	2.81%
59	26,635	59.55%	16,634	37.19%	1,455	3.25%
60	13,751	37.98%	21,199	58.55%	1,256	3.47%
61	11,440	25.37%	32,482	72.03%	1,171	2.60%
62	26,944	58.44%	17,681	38.35%	1,477	3.20%
Unassigned	2,289,344	51.73%	1,976,247	44.66%	159,555	3.61%
Total:	2,392,439	51.13%	2,119,696	45.30%	167,190	3.57%

Candidates: Burr (Rep), Ross (Dem), Haugh (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-SEN-16G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib
57	28,858	66.66%	13,568	31.34%	867	2.00%
58	29,776	76.42%	8,413	21.59%	774	1.99%
59	18,830	41.94%	25,141	56.00%	924	2.06%
60	22,693	62.50%	12,709	35.01%	904	2.49%
61	34,397	76.15%	9,888	21.89%	887	1.96%
62	20,632	44.67%	24,533	53.12%	1,020	2.21%
Unassigned	2,145,195	48.27%	2,201,787	49.54%	97,353	2.19%
Total:	2,300,381	48.95%	2,296,039	48.86%	102,729	2.19%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), McCrory (Rep), Cecil (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-GOV-16G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
57	15,006	35.26%	26,418	62.08%	1,134	2.66%
58	8,720	22.69%	28,591	74.41%	1,114	2.90%
59	26,519	60.12%	16,434	37.26%	1,156	2.62%
60	13,455	37.82%	21,003	59.04%	1,118	3.14%
61	11,040	24.92%	31,833	71.85%	1,429	3.23%
62	26,719	59.03%	17,245	38.10%	1,298	2.87%
Unassigned	2,289,160	52.53%	1,943,451	44.60%	125,111	2.87%
Total:	2,390,619	51.88%	2,084,975	45.25%	132,360	2.87%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 10/23/2023

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
57	28,098	66.40%	14,220	33.60%
58	29,715	77.76%	8,501	22.24%
59	18,399	41.96%	25,455	58.04%
60	22,324	63.09%	13,061	36.91%
61	33,576	76.16%	10,508	23.84%
62	19,319	42.91%	25,705	57.09%
Unassigned	2,143,424	49.59%	2,178,826	50.41%
Total:	2,294,855	50.20%	2,276,276	49.80%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), Newton (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

[EL20-AG-16G] - Generated 10/23/2023

County - District Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Guilford	57	541,299	89,336	89,336	16.50 %	100.00 %
	58	541,299	90,051	90,051	16.64 %	100.00 %
	59	541,299	90,829	90,829	16.78 %	100.00 %
	60	541,299	90,340	90,340	16.69 %	100.00 %
	61	541,299	91,106	91,106	16.83 %	100.00 %
	62	541,299	89,637	89,637	16.56 %	100.00 %
Assigned Geography Total:				541,299		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
57	Guilford	89,336	541,299	89,336	100.00 %	16.50 %
58	Guilford	90,051	541,299	90,051	100.00 %	16.64 %
59	Guilford	90,829	541,299	90,829	100.00 %	16.78 %
60	Guilford	90,340	541,299	90,340	100.00 %	16.69 %
61	Guilford	91,106	541,299	91,106	100.00 %	16.83 %
62	Guilford	89,637	541,299	89,637	100.00 %	16.56 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,898,089	171,415	171,415	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,898,089	36,444	36,444	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,898,089	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,898,089	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,898,089	26,577	26,577	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,898,089	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,898,089	44,652	44,652	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,898,089	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	9,898,089	29,606	29,606	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,898,089	136,693	136,693	1.38 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,898,089	269,452	269,452	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,898,089	87,570	87,570	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,898,089	225,804	225,804	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,898,089	80,652	80,652	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,898,089	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,898,089	67,686	67,686	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,898,089	22,736	22,736	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,898,089	160,610	160,610	1.62 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,898,089	76,285	76,285	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,898,089	28,774	28,774	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,898,089	13,708	13,708	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,898,089	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,898,089	99,519	99,519	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,898,089	50,623	50,623	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,898,089	100,720	100,720	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,898,089	334,728	334,728	3.38 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,898,089	28,100	28,100	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,898,089	36,915	36,915	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	9,898,089	168,930	168,930	1.71 %	100.00 %
	Davie	9,898,089	42,712	42,712	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	9,898,089	48,715	48,715	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,898,089	324,833	324,833	3.28 %	100.00 %
Edgecombe	9,898,089	48,900	48,900	0.49 %	100.00 %	
Forsyth	9,898,089	382,590	382,590	3.87 %	100.00 %	
Franklin	9,898,089	68,573	68,573	0.69 %	100.00 %	
Gaston	9,898,089	227,943	227,943	2.30 %	100.00 %	

District - County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Gates	9,898,089	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,898,089	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,898,089	60,992	60,992	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,898,089	20,451	20,451	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,898,089	48,622	48,622	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,898,089	133,568	133,568	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,898,089	62,089	62,089	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,898,089	116,281	116,281	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,898,089	21,552	21,552	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,898,089	52,082	52,082	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,898,089	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,898,089	186,693	186,693	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,898,089	43,109	43,109	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,898,089	215,999	215,999	2.18 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,898,089	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,898,089	63,285	63,285	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,898,089	55,122	55,122	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,898,089	86,810	86,810	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,898,089	37,014	37,014	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,898,089	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,898,089	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,898,089	44,578	44,578	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,898,089	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.27 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,898,089	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,898,089	25,751	25,751	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,898,089	99,727	99,727	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,898,089	94,970	94,970	0.96 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,898,089	225,702	225,702	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,898,089	17,471	17,471	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	9,898,089	204,576	204,576	2.07 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,898,089	148,696	148,696	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,898,089	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,898,089	40,568	40,568	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Pender	9,898,089	60,203	60,203	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	9,898,089	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
Person	9,898,089	39,097	39,097	0.39 %	100.00 %	
Pitt	9,898,089	170,243	170,243	1.72 %	100.00 %	
Polk	9,898,089	19,328	19,328	0.20 %	100.00 %	
Randolph	9,898,089	144,171	144,171	1.46 %	100.00 %	
Richmond	9,898,089	42,946	42,946	0.43 %	100.00 %	
Robeson	9,898,089	116,530	116,530	1.18 %	100.00 %	
Rockingham	9,898,089	91,096	91,096	0.92 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-DistCnty] - Generated 10/23/2023

District - County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Rowan	9,898,089	146,875	146,875	1.48 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,898,089	64,444	64,444	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	9,898,089	59,036	59,036	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,898,089	34,174	34,174	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,898,089	62,504	62,504	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,898,089	44,520	44,520	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,898,089	71,359	71,359	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,898,089	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,898,089	32,986	32,986	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,898,089	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,898,089	238,267	238,267	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,898,089	42,578	42,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Wake	9,898,089	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.41 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,898,089	18,642	18,642	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,898,089	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,898,089	54,086	54,086	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	9,898,089	117,333	117,333	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,898,089	65,969	65,969	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,898,089	78,784	78,784	0.80 %	100.00 %
Yadkin	9,898,089	37,214	37,214	0.38 %	100.00 %	
Yancey	9,898,089	18,470	18,470	0.19 %	100.00 %	
Total:				10,439,388		

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Archdale	59	11,907	90,829	250	2.10 %	0.28 %
	60	11,907	90,340	130	1.09 %	0.14 %
	Unassigned	11,907	9,898,089	11,527	96.81 %	0.12 %
Burlington	59	57,303	90,829	1,822	3.18 %	2.01 %
	Unassigned	57,303	9,898,089	55,481	96.82 %	0.56 %
Gibsonville	59	8,920	90,829	4,642	52.04 %	5.11 %
	Unassigned	8,920	9,898,089	4,278	47.96 %	0.04 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	89,336	84,745	28.34 %	94.86 %
	58	299,035	90,051	77,753	26.00 %	86.34 %
	59	299,035	90,829	9,852	3.29 %	10.85 %
	60	299,035	90,340	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	61	299,035	91,106	91,106	30.47 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	89,637	35,579	11.90 %	39.69 %
High Point	59	114,059	90,829	4,012	3.52 %	4.42 %
	60	114,059	90,340	88,578	77.66 %	98.05 %
	62	114,059	89,637	14,731	12.92 %	16.43 %
	Unassigned	114,059	9,898,089	6,738	5.91 %	0.07 %
Jamestown	59	3,668	90,829	3,661	99.81 %	4.03 %
	60	3,668	90,340	7	0.19 %	0.01 %
Kernersville	62	26,449	89,637	502	1.90 %	0.56 %
	Unassigned	26,449	9,898,089	25,947	98.10 %	0.26 %
Oak Ridge	62	7,474	89,637	7,474	100.00 %	8.34 %
Pleasant Garden	59	5,000	90,829	5,000	100.00 %	5.50 %
Sedalia	59	676	90,829	676	100.00 %	0.74 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	89,637	5,924	100.00 %	6.61 %
Summerfield	62	10,951	89,637	10,951	100.00 %	12.22 %
Whitsett	59	584	90,829	584	100.00 %	0.64 %
Assigned Geography Total:				447,979		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 8

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 5

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 540

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Municipalities: 7

Splits Involving Population: 7

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Archdale (Guilford)	59	380	90,829	250	65.79 %	0.28 %
	60	380	90,340	130	34.21 %	0.14 %
Burlington (Guilford)	59	1,822	90,829	1,822	100.00 %	2.01 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	59	4,642	90,829	4,642	100.00 %	5.11 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	89,336	84,745	28.34 %	94.86 %
	58	299,035	90,051	77,753	26.00 %	86.34 %
	59	299,035	90,829	9,852	3.29 %	10.85 %
	60	299,035	90,340	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	61	299,035	91,106	91,106	30.47 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	89,637	35,579	11.90 %	39.69 %
High Point (Guilford)	59	107,321	90,829	4,012	3.74 %	4.42 %
	60	107,321	90,340	88,578	82.54 %	98.05 %
	62	107,321	89,637	14,731	13.73 %	16.43 %
Jamestown	59	3,668	90,829	3,661	99.81 %	4.03 %
	60	3,668	90,340	7	0.19 %	0.01 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	62	502	89,637	502	100.00 %	0.56 %
Oak Ridge	62	7,474	89,637	7,474	100.00 %	8.34 %
Pleasant Garden	59	5,000	90,829	5,000	100.00 %	5.50 %
Sedalia	59	676	90,829	676	100.00 %	0.74 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	89,637	5,924	100.00 %	6.61 %
Summerfield	62	10,951	89,637	10,951	100.00 %	12.22 %
Whitsett	59	584	90,829	584	100.00 %	0.64 %
Assigned Geography Total:				447,979		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 13

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 601

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
57	Greensboro	89,336	299,035	84,745	94.86 %	28.34 %
58	Greensboro	90,051	299,035	77,753	86.34 %	26.00 %
59	Archdale (Guilford)	90,829	380	250	0.28 %	65.79 %
	Burlington (Guilford)	90,829	1,822	1,822	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	90,829	4,642	4,642	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	90,829	299,035	9,852	10.85 %	3.29 %
	High Point (Guilford)	90,829	107,321	4,012	4.42 %	3.74 %
	Jamestown	90,829	3,668	3,661	4.03 %	99.81 %
	Pleasant Garden	90,829	5,000	5,000	5.50 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	90,829	676	676	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	90,829	584	584	0.64 %	100.00 %
60	Archdale (Guilford)	90,340	380	130	0.14 %	34.21 %
	Greensboro	90,340	299,035	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	High Point (Guilford)	90,340	107,321	88,578	98.05 %	82.54 %
	Jamestown	90,340	3,668	7	0.01 %	0.19 %
61	Greensboro	91,106	299,035	91,106	100.00 %	30.47 %
62	Greensboro	89,637	299,035	35,579	39.69 %	11.90 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,637	107,321	14,731	16.43 %	13.73 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	89,637	502	502	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	89,637	7,474	7,474	8.34 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	89,637	5,924	5,924	6.61 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	89,637	10,951	10,951	12.22 %	100.00 %
Total:				447,979		

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 13

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 601

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
57	Guilford	29	0
58	Guilford	24	0
59	Guilford	26	0
60	Guilford	30	0
61	Guilford	32	0
62	Guilford	24	0
Total:		165	

Total Districts Assigned: 6
Total VTDs Statewide: 2666
Fully Assigned VTDs: 165
Partially Assigned VTDs: 0
Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2501
Split VTDs: 0
Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/23/2023

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'HCH-9 Amend.csv', modified 10/23/2023 5:33 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/23/2023

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	2,666	0

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 165

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2501

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Assigned Geography Total:					

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666
Fully Assigned VTDs: 165
Partially Assigned VTDs: 0
Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2501
Total Districts Assigned: 6
Split VTDs: 0
Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HCH-9 Amend

Residence Set: NC House - 9/26/2023

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Brockman	Cecil	Democrat	60	60
Clemmons	Ashton	Democrat	57	57
Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62	62
Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59	59
Harrison	Mary	Democrat	61	61
Quick	Amos	Democrat	58	58

District-Incumbent Report**District Plan: HCH-9 Amend****Residence Set: NC House - 9/26/2023**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
57	Clemmons	Ashton	Democrat	57
58	Quick	Amos	Democrat	58
59	Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59
60	Brockman	Cecil	Democrat	60
61	Harrison	Mary	Democrat	61
62	Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 898

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H898-ATU-41 [v.3]

Page 1 of 2

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____, 2023

Representative Harrison

moves to amend the bill on page 7, line 47 through page 8, line 23, by rewriting the lines to read:

District 57: Guilford County: VTD CG2, VTD CG3A, VTD CG3B, VTD G02, VTD G03, VTD G05, VTD G06, VTD G07, VTD G08, VTD G09, VTD G10, VTD G19, VTD G20, VTD G21, VTD G22, VTD G23, VTD G24, VTD G25, VTD G26, VTD G27, VTD G28, VTD G29, VTD G30, VTD G31, VTD G40A1, VTD G40A2, VTD G40B, VTD MON1, VTD MON2A.

District 58: Guilford County: VTD FEN1, VTD FR1, VTD G46, VTD G47, VTD G51, VTD G52, VTD G53, VTD G54, VTD G55, VTD G56, VTD G57, VTD G58, VTD G59, VTD G60, VTD G61, VTD G62, VTD G65, VTD G66, VTD G73, VTD G75, VTD JEF3, VTD SUM1, VTD SUM2, VTD SUM3.

District 59: Guilford County: VTD FEN2, VTD GIB, VTD GR, VTD H06, VTD JAM1, VTD JAM2, VTD JAM3, VTD JAM4, VTD JAM5, VTD JEF1, VTD JEF2, VTD JEF4, VTD MON2B, VTD MON3, VTD NCLAY1, VTD NCLAY2, VTD NMAD, VTD NWASH, VTD PG1, VTD PG2, VTD RC1, VTD RC2, VTD SCLAY, VTD SMAD, VTD SUM4, VTD SWASH.

District 60: Guilford County: VTD FR2, VTD H01, VTD H02, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H07, VTD H08, VTD H09, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD H14, VTD H15, VTD H16, VTD H17, VTD H18, VTD H19A, VTD H19B, VTD H20A, VTD H20B, VTD H21, VTD H22, VTD H26, VTD H27-A, VTD H27-B, VTD H28, VTD H29A, VTD H29B.

District 61: Guilford County: VTD G01, VTD G04, VTD G11, VTD G12, VTD G13, VTD G14, VTD G15, VTD G16, VTD G17, VTD G18, VTD G32, VTD G33, VTD G34, VTD G35, VTD G36, VTD G37, VTD G38, VTD G39, VTD G42B, VTD G44, VTD G45, VTD G48, VTD G49, VTD G50, VTD G63, VTD G67, VTD G68, VTD G69, VTD G70, VTD G71, VTD G72, VTD G74.



**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 898**

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H898-ATU-41 [v.3]

Page 2 of 2

- 1 **District 62:** Guilford County: VTD CG1, VTD FR3, VTD FR4, VTD FR5A, VTD FR5B, VTD
- 2 G41A, VTD G41B, VTD G42A, VTD G43, VTD G64, VTD H23, VTD H24, VTD H25,
- 3 VTD NCGR1, VTD NCGR2, VTD NDRI, VTD OR1, VTD OR2, VTD SDRI, VTD SF1,
- 4 VTD SF2, VTD SF3, VTD SF4, VTD STOK.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____