


HCM-6 Amend2



©2021 CALIPER

Description:

# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
11	1	86,995	86,381	-614	-0.71%
21	1	86,995	87,764	769	0.88%
33	1	86,995	85,001	-1,994	-2.29%
34	1	86,995	89,807	2,812	3.23%
35	1	86,995	83,094	-3,901	-4.48%
36	1	86,995	86,038	-957	-1.10%
37	1	86,995	90,307	3,312	3.81%
38	1	86,995	86,444	-551	-0.63%
39	1	86,995	85,371	-1,624	-1.87%
40	1	86,995	86,359	-636	-0.73%
41	1	86,995	89,876	2,881	3.31%
49	1	86,995	84,251	-2,744	-3.15%
66	1	86,995	88,717	1,722	1.98%
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>13</b>		<b>1,129,410</b>		

Deviation range: -4.48% to 3.81%

# 2022 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	W-I	% W-I
11	12,008	31.77%	24,815	65.66%	558	1.48%	395	1.05%	20	0.05%
21	11,685	34.36%	21,444	63.07%	525	1.54%	331	0.97%	18	0.05%
33	8,192	27.42%	20,940	70.09%	420	1.41%	312	1.04%	14	0.05%
34	12,487	32.64%	24,821	64.88%	593	1.55%	328	0.86%	25	0.07%
35	20,569	50.72%	19,122	47.15%	545	1.34%	290	0.72%	32	0.08%
36	16,935	42.66%	21,780	54.86%	639	1.61%	323	0.81%	22	0.06%
37	21,074	50.91%	19,288	46.60%	678	1.64%	326	0.79%	28	0.07%
38	3,862	14.58%	22,007	83.09%	337	1.27%	266	1.00%	14	0.05%
39	11,117	36.28%	18,828	61.44%	427	1.39%	259	0.85%	15	0.05%
40	14,452	35.16%	25,644	62.39%	638	1.55%	340	0.83%	27	0.07%
41	9,442	30.30%	21,021	67.47%	420	1.35%	266	0.85%	9	0.03%
49	7,459	25.82%	20,652	71.48%	393	1.36%	368	1.27%	18	0.06%
66	11,536	34.61%	21,013	63.04%	461	1.38%	299	0.90%	24	0.07%
Unassigned	1,744,791	52.52%	1,503,315	45.25%	44,998	1.35%	26,575	0.80%	2,248	0.07%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,905,609</b>	<b>50.48%</b>	<b>1,784,690</b>	<b>47.28%</b>	<b>51,632</b>	<b>1.37%</b>	<b>30,678</b>	<b>0.81%</b>	<b>2,514</b>	<b>0.07%</b>

Candidates: Budd (Rep), Beasley (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hoh (Grn)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, Precinct Sorted Results file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SEN-22G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2022 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 03

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	12,622	33.65%	24,888	66.35%
21	12,302	36.41%	21,484	63.59%
33	8,484	28.56%	21,226	71.44%
34	12,934	34.00%	25,103	66.00%
35	21,127	52.36%	19,224	47.64%
36	17,640	44.75%	21,777	55.25%
37	21,568	52.45%	19,554	47.55%
38	4,167	15.85%	22,129	84.15%
39	11,470	37.63%	19,013	62.37%
40	15,155	37.11%	25,679	62.89%
41	9,908	32.01%	21,044	67.99%
49	7,844	27.36%	20,828	72.64%
66	11,984	36.14%	21,177	63.86%
Unassigned	1,799,199	54.47%	1,504,168	45.53%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,966,404</b>	<b>52.39%</b>	<b>1,787,294</b>	<b>47.61%</b>

# 2022 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 05

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	12,601	33.67%	24,829	66.33%
21	12,383	36.74%	21,317	63.26%
33	8,637	29.15%	20,994	70.85%
34	12,947	34.12%	25,001	65.88%
35	21,201	52.63%	19,083	47.37%
36	17,661	44.92%	21,654	55.08%
37	21,747	53.03%	19,261	46.97%
38	4,262	16.24%	21,985	83.76%
39	11,553	37.97%	18,875	62.03%
40	15,070	36.99%	25,674	63.01%
41	9,953	32.24%	20,917	67.76%
49	7,721	27.02%	20,856	72.98%
66	12,087	36.52%	21,012	63.48%
Unassigned	1,790,242	54.21%	1,512,051	45.79%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,958,065</b>	<b>52.19%</b>	<b>1,793,509</b>	<b>47.81%</b>

Candidates: Allen (Rep), Ervin (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, Precinct Sorted Results file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SCS5-22G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
11	16,908	32.72%	33,687	65.19%	687	1.33%	140	0.27%	80	0.15%	174	0.34%
21	16,329	35.57%	28,686	62.49%	560	1.22%	122	0.27%	51	0.11%	156	0.34%
33	12,028	26.75%	32,081	71.36%	519	1.15%	132	0.29%	60	0.13%	138	0.31%
34	17,565	33.31%	34,111	64.69%	631	1.20%	140	0.27%	95	0.18%	188	0.36%
35	27,135	51.44%	24,563	46.56%	642	1.22%	80	0.15%	94	0.18%	237	0.45%
36	22,593	43.61%	28,115	54.27%	683	1.32%	110	0.21%	95	0.18%	213	0.41%
37	27,857	52.25%	24,287	45.56%	768	1.44%	124	0.23%	94	0.18%	180	0.34%
38	6,610	16.26%	33,382	82.12%	354	0.87%	127	0.31%	62	0.15%	117	0.29%
39	16,719	36.77%	27,976	61.54%	442	0.97%	105	0.23%	82	0.18%	139	0.31%
40	19,682	35.28%	34,916	62.59%	702	1.26%	134	0.24%	95	0.17%	254	0.46%
41	14,147	31.41%	30,139	66.92%	448	0.99%	105	0.23%	52	0.12%	143	0.32%
49	11,258	26.59%	30,121	71.15%	577	1.36%	165	0.39%	54	0.13%	160	0.38%
66	17,366	35.07%	31,272	63.15%	501	1.01%	128	0.26%	67	0.14%	188	0.38%
Unassigned	2,528,102	51.73%	2,287,169	46.80%	41,126	0.84%	10,574	0.22%	8,927	0.18%	11,027	0.23%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,754,299</b>	<b>49.91%</b>	<b>2,680,505</b>	<b>48.57%</b>	<b>48,640</b>	<b>0.88%</b>	<b>12,186</b>	<b>0.22%</b>	<b>9,908</b>	<b>0.18%</b>	<b>13,314</b>	<b>0.24%</b>

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
11	17,500	34.12%	31,495	61.40%	1,907	3.72%	389	0.76%
21	17,260	37.92%	26,332	57.85%	1,608	3.53%	315	0.69%
33	11,707	26.22%	30,831	69.06%	1,667	3.73%	437	0.98%
34	18,627	35.60%	31,566	60.33%	1,785	3.41%	342	0.65%
35	27,675	52.80%	22,673	43.26%	1,654	3.16%	414	0.79%
36	23,443	45.58%	25,665	49.90%	1,920	3.73%	400	0.78%
37	27,457	51.85%	22,772	43.00%	2,182	4.12%	545	1.03%
38	6,728	16.68%	31,927	79.17%	1,333	3.31%	340	0.84%
39	16,186	35.87%	26,798	59.38%	1,651	3.66%	492	1.09%
40	21,041	37.98%	32,097	57.94%	1,947	3.51%	308	0.56%
41	15,007	33.58%	28,026	62.71%	1,385	3.10%	271	0.61%
49	12,207	29.04%	28,097	66.85%	1,469	3.50%	255	0.61%
66	17,550	35.70%	29,475	59.95%	1,722	3.50%	417	0.85%
Unassigned	2,428,981	50.17%	2,199,756	45.44%	149,168	3.08%	63,534	1.31%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,661,369</b>	<b>48.67%</b>	<b>2,567,510</b>	<b>46.95%</b>	<b>171,398</b>	<b>3.13%</b>	<b>68,459</b>	<b>1.25%</b>

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
11	35,152	68.26%	15,403	29.91%	720	1.40%	219	0.43%
21	30,061	65.77%	14,950	32.71%	558	1.22%	140	0.31%
33	33,110	73.89%	10,912	24.35%	590	1.32%	200	0.45%
34	35,472	67.51%	16,217	30.86%	668	1.27%	189	0.36%
35	26,183	49.79%	25,703	48.88%	520	0.99%	180	0.34%
36	29,717	57.51%	21,099	40.83%	672	1.30%	183	0.35%
37	26,236	49.35%	26,006	48.91%	715	1.34%	211	0.40%
38	33,969	83.86%	5,878	14.51%	510	1.26%	150	0.37%
39	29,162	64.31%	15,480	34.14%	530	1.17%	177	0.39%
40	36,405	65.48%	18,332	32.97%	686	1.23%	173	0.31%
41	31,577	70.42%	12,620	28.14%	506	1.13%	141	0.31%
49	30,823	73.11%	10,560	25.05%	637	1.51%	139	0.33%
66	32,519	65.93%	16,023	32.48%	616	1.25%	167	0.34%
Unassigned	2,420,315	49.73%	2,373,279	48.77%	52,480	1.08%	20,687	0.43%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,830,701</b>	<b>51.50%</b>	<b>2,582,462</b>	<b>46.98%</b>	<b>60,408</b>	<b>1.10%</b>	<b>22,956</b>	<b>0.42%</b>

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	18,119	35.75%	32,562	64.25%
21	17,667	39.34%	27,237	60.66%
33	12,391	27.95%	31,936	72.05%
34	19,092	36.91%	32,639	63.09%
35	28,450	54.83%	23,436	45.17%
36	24,198	47.64%	26,592	52.36%
37	28,797	54.93%	23,627	45.07%
38	7,036	17.54%	33,072	82.46%
39	16,992	37.88%	27,863	62.12%
40	21,408	39.16%	33,257	60.84%
41	15,210	34.47%	28,919	65.53%
49	12,549	30.26%	28,924	69.74%
66	18,214	37.37%	30,522	62.63%
Unassigned	2,556,853	53.31%	2,239,140	46.69%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,796,976</b>	<b>51.64%</b>	<b>2,619,726</b>	<b>48.36%</b>

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	33,529	66.13%	17,174	33.87%
21	27,945	62.33%	16,887	37.67%
33	32,537	73.46%	11,756	26.54%
34	34,069	65.75%	17,747	34.25%
35	24,372	47.07%	27,408	52.93%
36	27,538	54.26%	23,216	45.74%
37	24,686	47.18%	27,639	52.82%
38	33,403	83.36%	6,666	16.64%
39	28,535	63.80%	16,189	36.20%
40	34,335	62.74%	20,391	37.26%
41	29,557	67.00%	14,556	33.00%
49	29,933	72.07%	11,598	27.93%
66	31,171	64.10%	17,454	35.90%
Unassigned	2,319,165	48.45%	2,467,616	51.55%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,710,775</b>	<b>50.13%</b>	<b>2,696,297</b>	<b>49.87%</b>

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	33,776	67.42%	16,323	32.58%
21	28,023	63.23%	16,298	36.77%
33	32,801	74.57%	11,183	25.43%
34	34,239	66.89%	16,951	33.11%
35	24,894	48.59%	26,337	51.41%
36	27,857	55.61%	22,234	44.39%
37	25,194	48.61%	26,635	51.39%
38	33,299	83.74%	6,464	16.26%
39	28,793	64.87%	15,592	35.13%
40	34,656	64.16%	19,363	35.84%
41	29,681	68.09%	13,908	31.91%
49	29,734	72.57%	11,240	27.43%
66	31,278	64.92%	16,900	35.08%
Unassigned	2,333,148	49.16%	2,413,066	50.84%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,727,373</b>	<b>50.89%</b>	<b>2,632,494</b>	<b>49.11%</b>

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	21,328	42.37%	29,015	57.63%
21	19,451	43.73%	25,029	56.27%
33	14,432	32.72%	29,681	67.28%
34	23,026	44.74%	28,440	55.26%
35	30,736	59.67%	20,772	40.33%
36	26,580	52.76%	23,795	47.24%
37	31,247	59.97%	20,857	40.03%
38	8,750	21.94%	31,133	78.06%
39	18,996	42.55%	25,646	57.45%
40	25,478	46.89%	28,862	53.11%
41	16,793	38.42%	26,911	61.58%
49	15,667	38.03%	25,527	61.97%
66	20,053	41.49%	28,279	58.51%
Unassigned	2,625,463	55.10%	2,139,596	44.90%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,898,000</b>	<b>53.85%</b>	<b>2,483,543</b>	<b>46.15%</b>

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Insurance

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	18,427	36.93%	31,475	63.07%
21	17,792	40.36%	26,287	59.64%
33	12,349	28.15%	31,514	71.85%
34	19,486	38.20%	31,526	61.80%
35	28,489	55.79%	22,579	44.21%
36	24,381	48.84%	25,537	51.16%
37	28,629	55.37%	23,073	44.63%
38	7,230	18.22%	32,442	81.78%
39	16,955	38.25%	27,369	61.75%
40	21,878	40.66%	31,934	59.34%
41	15,424	35.52%	28,004	64.48%
49	12,954	31.76%	27,838	68.24%
66	18,279	38.04%	29,770	61.96%
Unassigned	2,529,655	53.33%	2,213,351	46.67%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,771,928</b>	<b>51.77%</b>	<b>2,582,699</b>	<b>48.23%</b>

Candidates: Causey (Rep), Goodwin (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-COI-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	17,659	35.40%	32,230	64.60%
21	17,037	38.65%	27,039	61.35%
33	11,975	27.33%	31,848	72.67%
34	18,761	36.88%	32,114	63.12%
35	27,888	54.64%	23,148	45.36%
36	23,555	47.17%	26,379	52.83%
37	28,104	54.45%	23,507	45.55%
38	6,851	17.25%	32,858	82.75%
39	16,488	37.22%	27,809	62.78%
40	21,097	39.28%	32,613	60.72%
41	14,612	33.67%	28,780	66.33%
49	12,255	30.06%	28,507	69.94%
66	17,789	37.05%	30,229	62.95%
Unassigned	2,489,035	52.43%	2,257,984	47.57%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,723,106</b>	<b>50.82%</b>	<b>2,635,045</b>	<b>49.18%</b>

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	33,781	67.12%	16,550	32.88%
21	28,005	63.01%	16,442	36.99%
33	32,921	74.66%	11,172	25.34%
34	34,535	67.13%	16,909	32.87%
35	24,841	48.24%	26,658	51.76%
36	27,749	55.18%	22,539	44.82%
37	25,190	48.47%	26,777	51.53%
38	33,555	84.06%	6,361	15.94%
39	29,001	65.07%	15,568	34.93%
40	34,736	63.98%	19,554	36.02%
41	29,758	68.01%	13,995	31.99%
49	30,025	72.94%	11,141	27.06%
66	31,453	64.99%	16,942	35.01%
Unassigned	2,357,213	49.48%	2,406,707	50.52%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,752,763</b>	<b>51.17%</b>	<b>2,627,315</b>	<b>48.83%</b>

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Superintendent of Public Instruction

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	17,974	36.11%	31,798	63.89%
21	17,354	39.39%	26,701	60.61%
33	12,283	28.14%	31,362	71.86%
34	18,659	36.77%	32,088	63.23%
35	28,017	55.06%	22,869	44.94%
36	23,708	47.51%	26,189	52.49%
37	28,151	54.65%	23,359	45.35%
38	7,048	17.86%	32,425	82.14%
39	16,821	38.14%	27,280	61.86%
40	21,163	39.40%	32,557	60.60%
41	14,921	34.45%	28,385	65.55%
49	12,264	30.12%	28,448	69.88%
66	17,933	37.41%	30,008	62.59%
Unassigned	2,513,348	53.00%	2,229,148	47.00%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,749,644</b>	<b>51.37%</b>	<b>2,602,617</b>	<b>48.63%</b>

Candidates: Truitt (Rep), Mangrum (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SPI-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	19,170	38.37%	30,787	61.63%
21	18,154	41.09%	26,032	58.91%
33	13,320	30.38%	30,527	69.62%
34	20,223	39.63%	30,806	60.37%
35	28,963	56.69%	22,131	43.31%
36	24,880	49.79%	25,093	50.21%
37	29,222	56.54%	22,460	43.46%
38	8,024	20.20%	31,691	79.80%
39	17,760	40.09%	26,544	59.91%
40	22,748	42.17%	31,196	57.83%
41	15,724	36.13%	27,794	63.87%
49	13,718	33.58%	27,134	66.42%
66	19,079	39.71%	28,963	60.29%
Unassigned	2,558,218	54.07%	2,173,423	45.93%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,809,203</b>	<b>52.57%</b>	<b>2,534,581</b>	<b>47.43%</b>

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Chief Justice

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	17,766	35.32%	32,535	64.68%
21	17,223	38.75%	27,228	61.25%
33	12,047	27.31%	32,070	72.69%
34	18,675	36.30%	32,765	63.70%
35	27,844	54.09%	23,632	45.91%
36	23,547	46.77%	26,800	53.23%
37	27,895	53.65%	24,101	46.35%
38	6,801	17.04%	33,118	82.96%
39	16,434	36.91%	28,096	63.09%
40	21,249	39.12%	33,068	60.88%
41	14,852	33.94%	28,913	66.06%
49	12,293	29.85%	28,891	70.15%
66	17,766	36.73%	30,606	63.27%
Unassigned	2,458,082	51.54%	2,311,181	48.46%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,692,474</b>	<b>50.00%</b>	<b>2,693,004</b>	<b>50.00%</b>

Candidates: Newby (Rep), Beasley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SCCJ-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 02

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	17,455	34.80%	32,708	65.20%
21	16,945	38.24%	27,365	61.76%
33	12,006	27.30%	31,971	72.70%
34	18,174	35.43%	33,119	64.57%
35	27,599	53.82%	23,679	46.18%
36	23,280	46.41%	26,880	53.59%
37	27,813	53.67%	24,014	46.33%
38	6,687	16.80%	33,120	83.20%
39	16,532	37.24%	27,866	62.76%
40	20,645	38.16%	33,461	61.84%
41	14,587	33.43%	29,045	66.57%
49	11,891	28.96%	29,171	71.04%
66	17,591	36.47%	30,641	63.53%
Unassigned	2,488,903	52.34%	2,266,725	47.66%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,720,108</b>	<b>50.65%</b>	<b>2,649,765</b>	<b>49.35%</b>

Candidates: Berger (Rep), Inman (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SCS2-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Supreme Court Associate Justice Seat 04

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	18,448	36.85%	31,615	63.15%
21	17,950	40.58%	26,289	59.42%
33	12,616	28.73%	31,291	71.27%
34	18,822	36.88%	32,212	63.12%
35	28,215	55.17%	22,930	44.83%
36	24,383	48.65%	25,733	51.35%
37	28,731	55.51%	23,030	44.49%
38	7,355	18.51%	32,385	81.49%
39	16,999	38.37%	27,299	61.63%
40	21,647	40.11%	32,326	59.89%
41	15,454	35.48%	28,107	64.52%
49	12,568	30.74%	28,318	69.26%
66	18,315	38.05%	29,814	61.95%
Unassigned	2,501,273	52.73%	2,242,466	47.27%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,742,776</b>	<b>51.20%</b>	<b>2,613,815</b>	<b>48.80%</b>

Candidates: Barringer (Rep), Davis (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SCS4-20G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
11	16,212	35.47%	26,639	58.29%	1,936	4.24%	915	2.00%
21	12,486	39.08%	17,407	54.49%	1,422	4.45%	631	1.98%
33	10,407	27.17%	26,327	68.73%	1,090	2.85%	483	1.26%
34	16,192	34.18%	28,535	60.23%	1,758	3.71%	891	1.88%
35	21,972	53.47%	16,775	40.83%	1,459	3.55%	883	2.15%
36	19,242	46.13%	20,003	47.95%	1,739	4.17%	733	1.76%
37	22,787	54.86%	16,466	39.64%	1,600	3.85%	686	1.65%
38	5,484	14.88%	29,977	81.33%	878	2.38%	519	1.41%
39	13,884	38.55%	20,516	56.97%	1,098	3.05%	517	1.44%
40	18,736	37.74%	27,886	56.18%	1,996	4.02%	1,023	2.06%
41	12,602	33.55%	22,972	61.16%	1,365	3.63%	623	1.66%
49	11,095	28.13%	25,799	65.41%	1,769	4.48%	780	1.98%
66	14,979	37.06%	23,431	57.97%	1,350	3.34%	662	1.64%
Unassigned	2,163,671	51.49%	1,877,583	44.69%	110,469	2.63%	50,051	1.19%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,359,749</b>	<b>49.90%</b>	<b>2,180,316</b>	<b>46.10%</b>	<b>129,929</b>	<b>2.75%</b>	<b>59,397</b>	<b>1.26%</b>

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
11	18,209	40.10%	25,561	56.29%	1,641	3.61%
21	14,407	45.51%	16,204	51.18%	1,048	3.31%
33	10,908	28.63%	26,074	68.44%	1,118	2.93%
34	18,786	39.75%	27,144	57.44%	1,328	2.81%
35	23,855	58.34%	15,874	38.82%	1,160	2.84%
36	21,295	51.30%	18,789	45.26%	1,425	3.43%
37	23,681	57.34%	16,120	39.03%	1,497	3.62%
38	6,324	17.27%	29,377	80.23%	914	2.50%
39	14,475	40.42%	20,184	56.37%	1,150	3.21%
40	21,469	43.40%	26,465	53.50%	1,532	3.10%
41	14,683	39.44%	21,427	57.56%	1,117	3.00%
49	13,389	34.15%	24,483	62.45%	1,335	3.41%
66	16,305	40.61%	22,580	56.23%	1,269	3.16%
Unassigned	2,174,653	52.34%	1,829,414	44.03%	150,656	3.63%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,392,439</b>	<b>51.13%</b>	<b>2,119,696</b>	<b>45.30%</b>	<b>167,190</b>	<b>3.57%</b>

Candidates: Burr (Rep), Ross (Dem), Haugh (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-SEN-16G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib
11	27,930	61.26%	16,452	36.08%	1,212	2.66%
21	18,002	56.58%	12,976	40.79%	837	2.63%
33	26,877	70.34%	10,580	27.69%	755	1.98%
34	29,932	63.20%	16,486	34.81%	944	1.99%
35	17,901	43.62%	22,356	54.47%	785	1.91%
36	21,175	50.84%	19,482	46.77%	994	2.39%
37	18,156	43.76%	22,392	53.97%	941	2.27%
38	30,165	82.13%	5,887	16.03%	677	1.84%
39	21,276	59.17%	14,013	38.97%	670	1.86%
40	29,442	59.27%	19,135	38.52%	1,099	2.21%
41	23,454	62.75%	13,062	34.95%	861	2.30%
49	27,045	68.70%	11,319	28.75%	1,004	2.55%
66	24,197	60.05%	15,213	37.75%	885	2.20%
Unassigned	1,984,829	47.57%	2,096,686	50.25%	91,065	2.18%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,300,381</b>	<b>48.95%</b>	<b>2,296,039</b>	<b>48.86%</b>	<b>102,729</b>	<b>2.19%</b>

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), McCrory (Rep), Cecil (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-GOV-16G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
11	18,112	40.61%	24,744	55.48%	1,747	3.92%
21	14,194	45.81%	15,724	50.75%	1,067	3.44%
33	10,966	29.08%	25,684	68.10%	1,063	2.82%
34	18,721	40.23%	26,379	56.68%	1,439	3.09%
35	24,246	59.98%	15,166	37.52%	1,012	2.50%
36	21,174	51.97%	18,245	44.78%	1,327	3.26%
37	23,760	58.34%	15,741	38.65%	1,227	3.01%
38	6,266	17.31%	28,895	79.83%	1,035	2.86%
39	14,453	40.77%	20,081	56.65%	915	2.58%
40	21,613	44.35%	25,511	52.35%	1,610	3.30%
41	14,408	39.47%	20,844	57.11%	1,248	3.42%
49	13,218	34.41%	23,622	61.50%	1,568	4.08%
66	16,641	41.89%	21,912	55.16%	1,171	2.95%
Unassigned	2,172,847	53.11%	1,802,427	44.06%	115,931	2.83%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,390,619</b>	<b>51.88%</b>	<b>2,084,975</b>	<b>45.25%</b>	<b>132,360</b>	<b>2.87%</b>

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 10/24/2023



# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	27,369	61.90%	16,843	38.10%
21	17,247	56.18%	13,450	43.82%
33	27,041	72.33%	10,346	27.67%
34	29,370	63.59%	16,816	36.41%
35	17,204	43.11%	22,705	56.89%
36	20,156	49.90%	20,235	50.10%
37	17,664	43.79%	22,672	56.21%
38	30,099	83.89%	5,781	16.11%
39	21,321	60.73%	13,786	39.27%
40	28,460	58.97%	19,803	41.03%
41	22,666	62.53%	13,581	37.47%
49	26,225	68.76%	11,917	31.24%
66	23,789	60.64%	15,438	39.36%
Unassigned	1,986,244	48.93%	2,072,903	51.07%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,294,855</b>	<b>50.20%</b>	<b>2,276,276</b>	<b>49.80%</b>

Candidates: Stein (Dem), Newton (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

[EL20-AG-16G] - Generated 10/24/2023

# County - District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	86,381	86,381	7.65 %	100.00 %
	21	1,129,410	87,764	87,764	7.77 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	85,001	85,001	7.53 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	89,807	89,807	7.95 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	83,094	83,094	7.36 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	86,038	86,038	7.62 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	90,307	90,307	8.00 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	86,444	86,444	7.65 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	85,371	85,371	7.56 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	86,359	86,359	7.65 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	89,876	89,876	7.96 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	84,251	84,251	7.46 %	100.00 %
	66	1,129,410	88,717	88,717	7.86 %	100.00 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>1,129,410</b>		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Counties: 1

# District - County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
11	Wake	86,381	1,129,410	86,381	100.00 %	7.65 %
21	Wake	87,764	1,129,410	87,764	100.00 %	7.77 %
33	Wake	85,001	1,129,410	85,001	100.00 %	7.53 %
34	Wake	89,807	1,129,410	89,807	100.00 %	7.95 %
35	Wake	83,094	1,129,410	83,094	100.00 %	7.36 %
36	Wake	86,038	1,129,410	86,038	100.00 %	7.62 %
37	Wake	90,307	1,129,410	90,307	100.00 %	8.00 %
38	Wake	86,444	1,129,410	86,444	100.00 %	7.65 %
39	Wake	85,371	1,129,410	85,371	100.00 %	7.56 %
40	Wake	86,359	1,129,410	86,359	100.00 %	7.65 %
41	Wake	89,876	1,129,410	89,876	100.00 %	7.96 %
49	Wake	84,251	1,129,410	84,251	100.00 %	7.46 %
66	Wake	88,717	1,129,410	88,717	100.00 %	7.86 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,309,978	171,415	171,415	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,309,978	36,444	36,444	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,309,978	10,888	10,888	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,309,978	22,055	22,055	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,309,978	26,577	26,577	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,309,978	17,806	17,806	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,309,978	44,652	44,652	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,309,978	17,934	17,934	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	9,309,978	29,606	29,606	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,309,978	136,693	136,693	1.47 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,309,978	269,452	269,452	2.89 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,309,978	87,570	87,570	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,309,978	225,804	225,804	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,309,978	80,652	80,652	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,309,978	10,355	10,355	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,309,978	67,686	67,686	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,309,978	22,736	22,736	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,309,978	160,610	160,610	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,309,978	76,285	76,285	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,309,978	28,774	28,774	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,309,978	13,708	13,708	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,309,978	11,089	11,089	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,309,978	99,519	99,519	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,309,978	50,623	50,623	0.54 %	100.00 %
Craven	9,309,978	100,720	100,720	1.08 %	100.00 %	
Cumberland	9,309,978	334,728	334,728	3.60 %	100.00 %	
Currituck	9,309,978	28,100	28,100	0.30 %	100.00 %	
Dare	9,309,978	36,915	36,915	0.40 %	100.00 %	
Davidson	9,309,978	168,930	168,930	1.81 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-DistCnty] - Generated 10/24/2023

# District - County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Davie	9,309,978	42,712	42,712	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	9,309,978	48,715	48,715	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,309,978	324,833	324,833	3.49 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,309,978	48,900	48,900	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,309,978	382,590	382,590	4.11 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,309,978	68,573	68,573	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,309,978	227,943	227,943	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Gates	9,309,978	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,309,978	8,030	8,030	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,309,978	60,992	60,992	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,309,978	20,451	20,451	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,309,978	541,299	541,299	5.81 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,309,978	48,622	48,622	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,309,978	133,568	133,568	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,309,978	62,089	62,089	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,309,978	116,281	116,281	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,309,978	21,552	21,552	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,309,978	52,082	52,082	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,309,978	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,309,978	186,693	186,693	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,309,978	43,109	43,109	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,309,978	215,999	215,999	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,309,978	9,172	9,172	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,309,978	63,285	63,285	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,309,978	55,122	55,122	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,309,978	86,810	86,810	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,309,978	37,014	37,014	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,309,978	21,193	21,193	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,309,978	22,031	22,031	0.24 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,309,978	44,578	44,578	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,309,978	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.98 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,309,978	14,903	14,903	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,309,978	25,751	25,751	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,309,978	99,727	99,727	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,309,978	94,970	94,970	1.02 %	100.00 %
New Hanover	9,309,978	225,702	225,702	2.42 %	100.00 %	
Northampton	9,309,978	17,471	17,471	0.19 %	100.00 %	
Onslow	9,309,978	204,576	204,576	2.20 %	100.00 %	
Orange	9,309,978	148,696	148,696	1.60 %	100.00 %	
Pamlico	9,309,978	12,276	12,276	0.13 %	100.00 %	
Pasquotank	9,309,978	40,568	40,568	0.44 %	100.00 %	
Pender	9,309,978	60,203	60,203	0.65 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-DistCnty] - Generated 10/24/2023

# District - County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Perquimans	9,309,978	13,005	13,005	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Person	9,309,978	39,097	39,097	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,309,978	170,243	170,243	1.83 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,309,978	19,328	19,328	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,309,978	144,171	144,171	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	9,309,978	42,946	42,946	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,309,978	116,530	116,530	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,309,978	91,096	91,096	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	9,309,978	146,875	146,875	1.58 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,309,978	64,444	64,444	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	9,309,978	59,036	59,036	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,309,978	34,174	34,174	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,309,978	62,504	62,504	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,309,978	44,520	44,520	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,309,978	71,359	71,359	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,309,978	14,117	14,117	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,309,978	32,986	32,986	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,309,978	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,309,978	238,267	238,267	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,309,978	42,578	42,578	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,309,978	18,642	18,642	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,309,978	11,003	11,003	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,309,978	54,086	54,086	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	9,309,978	117,333	117,333	1.26 %	100.00 %
Wilkes	9,309,978	65,969	65,969	0.71 %	100.00 %	
Wilson	9,309,978	78,784	78,784	0.85 %	100.00 %	
Yadkin	9,309,978	37,214	37,214	0.40 %	100.00 %	
Yancey	9,309,978	18,470	18,470	0.20 %	100.00 %	
<b>Total:</b>				<b>10,439,388</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Split Counties: 1

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Angier	37	5,265	90,307	556	10.56 %	0.62 %
	Unassigned	5,265	9,309,978	4,709	89.44 %	0.05 %
Apex	11	58,780	86,381	6,287	10.70 %	7.28 %
	21	58,780	87,764	42,698	72.64 %	48.65 %
	36	58,780	86,038	9,795	16.66 %	11.38 %
Cary	11	174,721	86,381	64,839	37.11 %	75.06 %
	21	174,721	87,764	35,876	20.53 %	40.88 %
	33	174,721	85,001	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	36	174,721	86,038	15,876	9.09 %	18.45 %
	41	174,721	89,876	47,840	27.38 %	53.23 %
	49	174,721	84,251	6,581	3.77 %	7.81 %
	Unassigned	174,721	9,309,978	3,709	2.12 %	0.04 %
Clayton	33	26,307	85,001	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	85,371	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	26,307	9,309,978	26,307	100.00 %	0.28 %
Durham	40	283,506	86,359	269	0.09 %	0.31 %
	41	283,506	89,876	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	283,506	9,309,978	283,237	99.91 %	3.04 %
Fuquay-Varina	36	34,152	86,038	3,076	9.01 %	3.58 %
	37	34,152	90,307	31,076	90.99 %	34.41 %
	Unassigned	34,152	9,309,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Garner	33	31,159	85,001	27,457	88.12 %	32.30 %
	36	31,159	86,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	31,159	90,307	3,702	11.88 %	4.10 %
Holly Springs	21	41,239	87,764	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	41,239	86,038	35,450	85.96 %	41.20 %
	37	41,239	90,307	5,783	14.02 %	6.40 %
Knightdale	35	19,435	83,094	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	19,435	86,444	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	85,371	19,435	100.00 %	22.77 %
Morrisville	41	29,630	89,876	29,423	99.30 %	32.74 %
	Unassigned	29,630	9,309,978	207	0.70 %	0.00 %

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh	11	467,665	86,381	14,217	3.04 %	16.46 %
	33	467,665	85,001	38,296	8.19 %	45.05 %
	34	467,665	89,807	89,594	19.16 %	99.76 %
	35	467,665	83,094	1,532	0.33 %	1.84 %
	38	467,665	86,444	83,928	17.95 %	97.09 %
	39	467,665	85,371	13,702	2.93 %	16.05 %
	40	467,665	86,359	69,728	14.91 %	80.74 %
	41	467,665	89,876	12,014	2.57 %	13.37 %
	49	467,665	84,251	75,591	16.16 %	89.72 %
	66	467,665	88,717	67,504	14.43 %	76.09 %
	Unassigned	467,665	9,309,978	1,559	0.33 %	0.02 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	83,094	8,162	86.14 %	9.82 %
	39	9,475	85,371	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
	66	9,475	88,717	1,305	13.77 %	1.47 %
Wake Forest	35	47,601	83,094	30,884	64.88 %	37.17 %
	66	47,601	88,717	15,213	31.96 %	17.15 %
	Unassigned	47,601	9,309,978	1,504	3.16 %	0.02 %
Wendell	35	9,793	83,094	9	0.09 %	0.01 %
	39	9,793	85,371	9,784	99.91 %	11.46 %
Zebulon	39	6,903	85,371	6,903	100.00 %	8.09 %
	Unassigned	6,903	9,309,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>924,399</b>		

Report display: all municipalities  
 Total Municipalities Statewide: 553  
 Fully Assigned Municipalities: 6  
 Partially Assigned Municipalities: 9  
 Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 538  
 Total Districts Assigned: 13  
 Split Municipalities: 15  
 Splits Involving Population: 12

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Angier (Wake)	37	556	90,307	556	100.00 %	0.62 %
Apex	11	58,780	86,381	6,287	10.70 %	7.28 %
	21	58,780	87,764	42,698	72.64 %	48.65 %
	36	58,780	86,038	9,795	16.66 %	11.38 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	86,381	64,839	37.91 %	75.06 %
	21	171,012	87,764	35,876	20.98 %	40.88 %
	33	171,012	85,001	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	36	171,012	86,038	15,876	9.28 %	18.45 %
	41	171,012	89,876	47,840	27.97 %	53.23 %
	49	171,012	84,251	6,581	3.85 %	7.81 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	85,001	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	85,371	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Durham (Wake)	40	269	86,359	269	100.00 %	0.31 %
	41	269	89,876	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	36	34,152	86,038	3,076	9.01 %	3.58 %
	37	34,152	90,307	31,076	90.99 %	34.41 %
Garner	33	31,159	85,001	27,457	88.12 %	32.30 %
	36	31,159	86,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	31,159	90,307	3,702	11.88 %	4.10 %
Holly Springs	21	41,239	87,764	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	41,239	86,038	35,450	85.96 %	41.20 %
	37	41,239	90,307	5,783	14.02 %	6.40 %
Knightdale	35	19,435	83,094	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	19,435	86,444	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	85,371	19,435	100.00 %	22.77 %
Morrisville (Wake)	41	29,423	89,876	29,423	100.00 %	32.74 %
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	86,381	14,217	3.05 %	16.46 %
	33	466,106	85,001	38,296	8.22 %	45.05 %
	34	466,106	89,807	89,594	19.22 %	99.76 %
	35	466,106	83,094	1,532	0.33 %	1.84 %
	38	466,106	86,444	83,928	18.01 %	97.09 %
	39	466,106	85,371	13,702	2.94 %	16.05 %
	40	466,106	86,359	69,728	14.96 %	80.74 %
	41	466,106	89,876	12,014	2.58 %	13.37 %
	49	466,106	84,251	75,591	16.22 %	89.72 %
	66	466,106	88,717	67,504	14.48 %	76.09 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	83,094	8,162	86.14 %	9.82 %
	39	9,475	85,371	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
	66	9,475	88,717	1,305	13.77 %	1.47 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	83,094	30,884	67.00 %	37.17 %
	66	46,097	88,717	15,213	33.00 %	17.15 %

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wendell	35	9,793	83,094	9	0.09 %	0.01 %
	39	9,793	85,371	9,784	99.91 %	11.46 %
Zebulon (Wake)	39	6,903	85,371	6,903	100.00 %	8.09 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>924,399</b>		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 15

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 599

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Municipalities: 12

Splits Involving Population: 9

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Apex	86,381	58,780	6,287	7.28 %	10.70 %
	Cary (Wake)	86,381	171,012	64,839	75.06 %	37.91 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,381	466,106	14,217	16.46 %	3.05 %
21	Apex	87,764	58,780	42,698	48.65 %	72.64 %
	Cary (Wake)	87,764	171,012	35,876	40.88 %	20.98 %
	Holly Springs	87,764	41,239	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
33	Cary (Wake)	85,001	171,012	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clayton (Wake)	85,001	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	85,001	31,159	27,457	32.30 %	88.12 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,001	466,106	38,296	45.05 %	8.22 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	89,807	466,106	89,594	99.76 %	19.22 %
35	Knightdale	83,094	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	83,094	466,106	1,532	1.84 %	0.33 %
	Rolesville	83,094	9,475	8,162	9.82 %	86.14 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	83,094	46,097	30,884	37.17 %	67.00 %
	Wendell	83,094	9,793	9	0.01 %	0.09 %
36	Apex	86,038	58,780	9,795	11.38 %	16.66 %
	Cary (Wake)	86,038	171,012	15,876	18.45 %	9.28 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,038	34,152	3,076	3.58 %	9.01 %
	Garner	86,038	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	86,038	41,239	35,450	41.20 %	85.96 %
37	Angier (Wake)	90,307	556	556	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	90,307	34,152	31,076	34.41 %	90.99 %
	Garner	90,307	31,159	3,702	4.10 %	11.88 %
	Holly Springs	90,307	41,239	5,783	6.40 %	14.02 %
38	Knightdale	86,444	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,444	466,106	83,928	97.09 %	18.01 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	85,371	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	85,371	19,435	19,435	22.77 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,371	466,106	13,702	16.05 %	2.94 %
	Rolesville	85,371	9,475	8	0.01 %	0.08 %
	Wendell	85,371	9,793	9,784	11.46 %	99.91 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	85,371	6,903	6,903	8.09 %	100.00 %
40	Durham (Wake)	86,359	269	269	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,359	466,106	69,728	80.74 %	14.96 %
41	Cary (Wake)	89,876	171,012	47,840	53.23 %	27.97 %
	Durham (Wake)	89,876	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	89,876	29,423	29,423	32.74 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	89,876	466,106	12,014	13.37 %	2.58 %
49	Cary (Wake)	84,251	171,012	6,581	7.81 %	3.85 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
49	Raleigh (Wake)	84,251	466,106	75,591	89.72 %	16.22 %
66	Raleigh (Wake)	88,717	466,106	67,504	76.09 %	14.48 %
	Rolesville	88,717	9,475	1,305	1.47 %	13.77 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	88,717	46,097	15,213	17.15 %	33.00 %
<b>Total:</b>				<b>924,399</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 15

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 599

Split Municipalities: 12

Splits Involving Population: 9

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
11	Wake	21	0
21	Wake	10	0
33	Wake	15	0
34	Wake	26	0
35	Wake	14	1
36	Wake	12	0
37	Wake	13	0
38	Wake	17	0
39	Wake	12	0
40	Wake	21	0
41	Wake	12	0
49	Wake	16	0
66	Wake	14	1
<b>Total:</b>		<b>203</b>	

Total Districts Assigned: 13  
Total VTDs Statewide: 2666  
Fully Assigned VTDs: 204  
Partially Assigned VTDs: 0  
Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462  
Split VTDs: 1  
Splits Involving Population: 1

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/24/2023

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'HCM-6 Amend2.csv', modified 10/24/2023 9:31 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/24/2023

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	203	1
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>2,665</b>	<b>1</b>

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 204

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

# Split VTD Detail Report

## District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Wake	19-12	35	11,520	9,286	80.61 %
		66	11,520	2,234	19.39 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>11,520</b>	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 204

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1



**Incumbent-District Report****District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2****Residence Set: NC House - 9/26/2023**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Ball	Cynthia	Democrat	49	49
Cervania	Maria Louisa	Democrat	41	41
Crawford	Sarah	Democrat	66	66
Dahle	Allison	Democrat	11	11
Everitt	Terence	Democrat	35	35
Gill	Rosa	Democrat	33	33
John	Joseph	Democrat	40	40
Jones	Abraham	Democrat	38	38
Liu	Ya	Democrat	21	21
Longest	Timothy	Democrat	34	34
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	37
Roberson	James	Democrat	39	39
von Haefen	Julie	Democrat	36	36

**District-Incumbent Report****District Plan: HCM-6 Amend2****Residence Set: NC House - 9/26/2023**

<b>District in this Plan</b>	<b>Last Name</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Current District</b>
11	Dahle	Allison	Democrat	11
21	Liu	Ya	Democrat	21
33	Gill	Rosa	Democrat	33
34	Longest	Timothy	Democrat	34
35	Everitt	Terence	Democrat	35
36	von Haefen	Julie	Democrat	36
37	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
38	Jones	Abraham	Democrat	38
39	Roberson	James	Democrat	39
40	John	Joseph	Democrat	40
41	Cervania	Maria Louisa	Democrat	41
49	Ball	Cynthia	Democrat	49
66	Crawford	Sarah	Democrat	66



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 898

AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H898-ATU-42 [v.2]

Page 1 of 3

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date \_\_\_\_\_, 2023

Representative Reives

moves to amend the bill on page 3, lines 16-19, by rewriting the lines to read:

"District 11: Wake County: VTD 01-32, VTD 01-48, VTD 01-49, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-02,
VTD 04-03, VTD 04-04, VTD 04-06, VTD 04-07, VTD 04-10, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12,
VTD 04-13, VTD 04-14, VTD 04-15, VTD 04-16, VTD 04-19, VTD 04-20, VTD 18-03,
VTD 18-08, VTD 20-03.";

and on page 5, lines 21-22, by rewriting the lines to read:

"District 21: Wake County: VTD 03-00, VTD 20-04, VTD 20-06A, VTD 20-06B, VTD 20-08,
VTD 20-11, VTD 20-12, VTD 20-14, VTD 20-15, VTD 20-16.";

and on page 5, line 48 through page 7, line 4, by rewriting the lines to read:

"District 33: Wake County: VTD 01-22, VTD 01-50, VTD 16-01, VTD 16-02, VTD 16-03,
VTD 16-04, VTD 16-05, VTD 16-06, VTD 16-07, VTD 16-09, VTD 16-10, VTD 16-11,
VTD 17-09, VTD 18-04, VTD 18-06.

District 34: Wake County: VTD 01-05, VTD 01-06, VTD 01-09, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD
01-12, VTD 01-15, VTD 01-17, VTD 01-18, VTD 01-30, VTD 01-36, VTD 01-37, VTD 01-
38, VTD 01-39, VTD 01-42, VTD 01-43, VTD 01-44, VTD 01-45, VTD 01-46, VTD 01-47,
VTD 01-51, VTD 07-03, VTD 07-04, VTD 07-09, VTD 13-02, VTD 13-05.

District 35: Wake County: VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-04, VTD 02-06, VTD 09-01, VTD
10-01, VTD 14-01, VTD 14-02, VTD 19-03, VTD 19-05, VTD 19-07, VTD 19-09, VTD 19-
12: Block(s) 1830542062000, 1830542062004, 1830542063000, 1830542063011,
1830542063012, 1830542063023, 1830542063024, 1830542191000, 1830542191001,
1830542191002, 1830542191003, 1830542191004, 1830542191005, 1830542191006,
1830542191007, 1830542191008, 1830542191009, 1830542191010, 1830542191011,
1830542191012, 1830542191013, 1830542191014, 1830542191015, 1830542191016,
1830542191017, 1830542191018, 1830542191019, 1830542191020, 1830542191021,
1830542191022, 1830542192000, 1830542192001, 1830542192002, 1830542192003,
1830542192004, 1830542192005, 1830542192006, 1830542192007, 1830542192008,
1830542192009, 1830542192010, 1830542192011, 1830542192012, 1830542192013,
1830542192014, 1830542192015, 1830542192016, 1830542192017, 1830542192018,
1830542192019, 1830542192020, 1830542192021, 1830542192022, 1830542192023,
1830542192024, 1830542192025, 1830542192026, 1830542192027, 1830542192028,



\* H 8 9 8 - A T U - 4 2 - V - 2 \*

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
AMENDMENT  
House Bill 898**

AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
(to be filled in by  
Principal Clerk)

H898-ATU-42 [v.2]

Page 2 of 3

1 1830542192029, 1830542192030, 1830542192031, 1830542192032, 1830542192033,  
2 1830542192034, 1830542192035, 1830542192036, 1830542192037, 1830542192038,  
3 1830542192039, 1830542192040, 1830542192041, 1830542192042, 1830542192043,  
4 1830542192044, 1830542192045, 1830542192046, 1830542192047, 1830542192048,  
5 1830542192049, 1830542192050, 1830542192051, 1830542201000, 1830542201001,  
6 1830542201002, 1830542201003, 1830542201004, 1830542201005, 1830542201006,  
7 1830542201007, 1830542201008, 1830542201009, 1830542201010, 1830542201011,  
8 1830542201012, 1830542201013, 1830542201014, 1830542201015, 1830542201016,  
9 1830542201018, 1830542201019, 1830542201020, 1830542201021, 1830542201022,  
10 1830542201023, 1830542201024, 1830542201025, 1830542201026, 1830542201027,  
11 1830542201028, 1830542201034, 1830542202000, 1830542202001, 1830542202002,  
12 1830542202003, 1830542202010, 1830542202011, 1830542212000, 1830542212001,  
13 1830542212002, 1830542212003, 1830542212004, 1830542212005, 1830542212006,  
14 1830542212007, 1830542224000, 1830542224004, 1830542224012, 1830542224013,  
15 1830542224014, 1830542224015, 1830542224016, 1830542224017, 1830542224018,  
16 1830542224019, 18305422243000, 18305422243001, 18305422243002, 18305422243003,  
17 18305422243004, 18305422243005, 18305422243006, 18305422243007, 18305422243008,  
18 18305422243009, 18305422243012, 18305422243013, 18305422243014, 18305422243015,  
19 18305422243016, 18305422243017, 18305422243018, 18305422243019, 18305422243020,  
20 18305422243021, 18305422243022, 18305422243023, 18305422243024, 18305422243025,  
21 18305422243026, 18305422243027; VTD 19-18, VTD 19-19.

22 **District 36:** Wake County: VTD 06-04, VTD 06-06, VTD 06-07, VTD 06-08, VTD 06-09, VTD  
23 12-05, VTD 18-02, VTD 18-05, VTD 18-07, VTD 20-01, VTD 20-05, VTD 20-09.

24 **District 37:** Wake County: VTD 06-05, VTD 06-10, VTD 12-01, VTD 12-02, VTD 12-04, VTD  
25 12-06, VTD 12-07, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 15-01, VTD 15-02, VTD 15-03, VTD 15-  
26 04.

27 **District 38:** Wake County: VTD 01-13, VTD 01-14, VTD 01-19, VTD 01-20, VTD 01-21, VTD  
28 01-25, VTD 01-26, VTD 01-27, VTD 01-28, VTD 01-34, VTD 01-35, VTD 01-40, VTD 17-  
29 01, VTD 17-05, VTD 17-07, VTD 17-10, VTD 17-11.

30 **District 39:** Wake County: VTD 09-02, VTD 09-03, VTD 10-02, VTD 10-03, VTD 10-04, VTD  
31 17-02, VTD 17-03, VTD 17-04, VTD 17-06, VTD 17-12, VTD 17-13, VTD 19-16.

32 **District 40:** Wake County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-05, VTD 07-01, VTD 07-02, VTD 07-05, VTD  
33 07-06, VTD 07-07, VTD 07-10, VTD 07-11, VTD 07-12, VTD 07-13, VTD 08-02, VTD 08-  
34 03, VTD 08-04, VTD 08-05, VTD 08-06, VTD 08-07, VTD 08-08, VTD 08-09, VTD 08-10,  
35 VTD 08-11.

36 **District 41:** Wake County: VTD 04-08, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-17, VTD 04-18, VTD 05-01, VTD  
37 05-03, VTD 05-05, VTD 05-06, VTD 05-07, VTD 05-08, VTD 20-10, VTD 20-17.";

38  
39 and on page 7, lines 27-30, by rewriting the lines to read:

40 "**District 49:** Wake County: VTD 01-01, VTD 01-02, VTD 01-03, VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07,  
41 VTD 01-16, VTD 01-23, VTD 01-29, VTD 01-31, VTD 01-33, VTD 01-41, VTD 04-05,  
42 VTD 04-21, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02, VTD 18-01.";

43

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
AMENDMENT  
House Bill 898**

AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
(to be filled in by  
Principal Clerk)

H898-ATU-42 [v.2]

Page 3 of 3

1  
2 and on page 8, lines 31-40, by rewriting the lines to read:  
3 "**District 66:** Wake County: VTD 13-01, VTD 13-06, VTD 13-07, VTD 13-08, VTD 13-09,  
4 VTD 13-10, VTD 13-11, VTD 19-11, VTD 19-12: Block(s) 1830542201017,  
5 1830542201029, 1830542201030, 1830542201031, 1830542201032, 1830542201033,  
6 1830542202004, 1830542202005, 1830542202006, 1830542202007, 1830542202008,  
7 1830542202009, 1830542202012, 1830542202013, 1830542202014, 1830542202015,  
8 1830542202016, 1830542202017, 1830542202018, 1830542202019, 1830542202020,  
9 1830542202021, 1830542202022, 1830542202023, 1830542202024, 1830542202025,  
10 1830542202026, 1830542202027, 1830542202029, 1830542202030, 1830542202031,  
11 1830542202032, 1830542202033, 1830542202034, 1830542202035, 1830542202036,  
12 1830542202037; VTD 19-13, VTD 19-14, VTD 19-15, VTD 19-17, VTD 19-20, VTD 19-  
13 21.".

SIGNED \_\_\_\_\_  
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED \_\_\_\_\_  
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED \_\_\_\_\_ FAILED \_\_\_\_\_ TABLED \_\_\_\_\_