

North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Pat McCrory Governor Aldona Z. Wos, M.D. Ambassador (Ret.) Secretary DHHS

Adam Sholar Legislative Counsel Director of Government Affairs

December 1, 2013

The Honorable Marilyn Avila, Co-Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services Room 2217, Legislative Building Raleigh, NC 27601

The Honorable Mark Hollo, Co-Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Health And Human Services Room 639, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603 The Honorable William Brisson, Co-Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services
Room 405, Legislative Office Building
Raleigh, NC 27603

Dear Representatives Avila, Brisson and Hollo:

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 143B-152.15, please find enclosed the Family Resource Center Grant Program Annual Report for State Fiscal Year 2012-2013. This report also covers the federally funded Family Support Programs.

This report covers two models of Family Support service, Family Resource Centers (FRC) and Respite programs that were funded by the Division of Social Services (DSS) during state fiscal year (SFY) 2012-2013. Family Support programs were funded to develop local family-centered services aimed at helping families provide safe and stable environments for the healthy development of children. During the report period, forty two Family Support programs and nine Respite programs were fully or partially funded during this reporting year. They served 6,205 duplicated participants, meaning that some participants were counted more than once because they received multiple services. Four thousand eight hundred six (4,806) individuals from 2,924 families participated in targeted, ongoing activities for which outcome assessments were completed. One thousand one hundred and fifty (1,150) families had multiple family members participating in such activities.

We appreciate the opportunity to share this report with you and look forward to responding to any questions you may have about this report. If you have questions about the report, please contact Wayne Black, Director of the Division of Social Services. He can be reached at (919) 527-6335 or via e-mail at Wayne.Black@dhhs.nc.gov.



An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer

Sincerely,

Adam Sholar Legislative Counsel

Director of Government Affairs

cc:

Sherry Bradsher

Wayne Black

Pam Kilpatrick

Jim Slate Sarah Riser

er

Adam Sholar Pat Porter

Jennifer G. Smith

Rennie Hobby

Mary Ann Richter

Legislative Library (one hard copy)

Kristi Huff

Brandon Greife

Rod Davis

Steve Owen

Deborah L. Landry

Senator Ralph Hise

Representative Justin Burr

Representative Mark Hollo



North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Pat McCrory Governor Aldona Z. Wos, M.D. Ambassador (Ret.) Secretary DHHS

Adam Sholar Legislative Counsel Director of Government Affairs

December 1, 2013

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division N.C. General Assembly 300 N. Salisbury Street Suite 619, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603

Dear Director Trogdon:

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 143B-152.15, please find enclosed the Family Resource Center Grant Program Annual Report for State Fiscal Year 2012-2013. This report also covers the federally funded Family Support Programs.

This report covers two models of Family Support service, Family Resource Centers (FRC) and Respite programs that were funded by the Division of Social Services (DSS) during state fiscal year (SFY) 2012-2013. Family Support programs were funded to develop local family-centered services aimed at helping families provide safe and stable environments for the healthy development of children. During the report period, forty two Family Support programs and nine Respite programs were fully or partially funded during this reporting year. They served 6,205 duplicated participants, meaning that some participants were counted more than once because they received multiple services. Four thousand eight hundred six (4,806) individuals from 2,924 families participated in targeted, ongoing activities for which outcome assessments were completed. One thousand one hundred and fifty (1,150) families had multiple family members participating in such activities.

We appreciate the opportunity to share this report with you and look forward to responding to any questions you may have about this report. If you have questions about the report, please contact Wayne Black, Director of the Division of Social Services. He can be reached at (919) 527-6335 or via e-mail at Wayne.Black@dhhs.nc.gov.

Sincerely

Adam Sholar

Legislative Counsel
Director of Government Affairs

www.ncdhhs.gov Tel 919-855-4800 • Fax 919-715-4645

Location: Adams Building/Dix Campus • 101 Blair Drive • Raleigh, NC 27603 Mailing Address: 2001 Mail Service Center • Raleigh, NC 27699-2001 An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer





cc:

Sherry Bradsher Wayne Black

Pam Kilpatrick Jim Slate

Sarah Riser Deborah L. Landry

Legislative Library (one hard copy)

Adam Sholar Pat Porter

Jennifer G. Smith Rennie Hobby

Mary Ann Richter

Kristi Huff Brandon Greife Rod Davis Steve Owen Senator Ralph Hise

Representative Justin Burr Representative Mark Hollo



North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Pat McCrory Governor Aldona Z. Wos, M.D. Ambassador (Ret.) Secretary DHHS

Adam Sholar Legislative Counsel Director of Government Affairs

December 1, 2013

The Honorable Louis Pate, Co-Chair Senate Appropriations on Health and Human Services Room 406, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603 The Honorable Ralph Hise, Co-Chair Senate Appropriations on Health and Human Services Room 1026 Legislative Building, Raleigh, NC 27601-2808

Dear Senators Pate and Hise:

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 143B-152.15, please find enclosed the Family Resource Center Grant Program Annual Report for State Fiscal Year 2012-2013. This report also covers the federally funded Family Support Programs.

This report covers two models of Family Support service, Family Resource Centers (FRC) and Respite programs that were funded by the Division of Social Services (DSS) during state fiscal year (SFY) 2012-2013. Family Support programs were funded to develop local family-centered services aimed at helping families provide safe and stable environments for the healthy development of children. During the report period, forty two Family Support programs and nine Respite programs were fully or partially funded during this reporting year. They served 6,205 duplicated participants, meaning that some participants were counted more than once because they received multiple services. Four thousand eight hundred six (4,806) individuals from 2,924 families participated in targeted, ongoing activities for which outcome assessments were completed. One thousand one hundred and fifty (1,150) families had multiple family members participating in such activities.

We appreciate the opportunity to share this report with you and look forward to responding to any questions you may have about this report. If you have questions about the report, please contact Wayne Black, Director of the Division of Social Services. He can be reached at (919) 527-6335 or via e-mail at Wayne.Black@dhhs.nc.gov.

Sincerely,

Adam Sholar

Legislative Counsel

Director of Government Affairs



cc:

Sherry Bradsher Wayne Black Pam Kilpatrick

Jim Slate Sarah Riser

Deborah L. Landry

Legislative Library (one hard copy)

Adam Sholar

Pat Porter Jennifer G. Smith Rennie Hobby

Mary Ann Richter

Kristi Huff Brandon Greife Rod Davis Steve Owen

Senator Ralph Hise Representative Justin Burr

Representative Mark Hollo

FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER GRANT PROGRAM 2013 ANNUAL REPORT

Pursuant to G.S. 143B-152.15



NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
To the Family Decourse Center Grant Program	
T 11 C 1 D 2	
Respite Programs	4
Program Evaluation	5
Participant Demographics and Services Summary	5
" = !! C !:O-t Coolo	
North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale Results Fiscal Analysis	7
Results	11
Fiscal Analysis	
Appendices Appendices	12
Appendices Appendix A – Statewide Distribution of Programs by Model of Service & County	13
Appendix A – Statewide Distribution of Flograms of Medicale Appendix B – North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale	15
Appendix C – Contract Award Amount and Funding Source	
	,
List of Tables	5
Table 1 – Summary of Individual Statistics Activities Statewide	6
m 11 0 Family Connect/Decnite Program Participant Demographics	
Table 3 - Family Support/Respite Program Service Types/Participant Percentages	
- 1 A PICEGOG Outcome A geograments - Level Of Change	
per Participant - Overall Child Functioning	
Tayle of Change	
ner Participant - Overall Parent Functioning	9
per Participant – Overall Family Functioning	10
arongog O-t-ama Aggagaments - Level of Change	
per Participant – Family's Relationship to the Community	

Executive Summary

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 143B-152.15, this report presents evaluation data and findings for the Family Resource Center Grant Program administered by the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Social Services. This report provides evaluation results of two community-based child abuse and neglect prevention models - Family Support and Respite Programs. Funding for these programs comes from federal Promoting Safe and Stable Families, Subpart II of the Social Security Act and federal Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) monies. The information in this report covers the period July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013.

Forty two (42) Family Support programs and nine (9) Respite programs were fully or partially funded during this reporting year. Combined, these programs served 6,205 duplicated participants.¹. Four thousand eight hundred six (4,806) unduplicated individuals from 2,924 families participated in targeted, ongoing activities for which outcome assessments were completed. One thousand one hundred fifty (1,150) families had multiple family members participating in such activities.

The North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale (NCFSOS) is used to measure participants' progress in meeting outcome goals related to service areas. NCFSOS assessments demonstrate that Family Support and Respite programs are meeting goals to help children and families develop skills to strengthen relationships, increase family functioning, promote child well-being, and prevent child abuse and neglect.

Participants were counted more than once because they received multiple services.

2013 ANNUAL REPORT

Pursuant to G.S. 143B-152.15, the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) submits the Family Resource Center Grant Program annual report for State Fiscal Year 2012-2013. This report is submitted to the Senate Appropriations Committee on Health and Human Services, the House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services, and the Fiscal Research Division and provides a description of the program and the results of the program evaluation.

Description of the Family Resource Center Grant Program

The Family Resource Center Grant Program is comprised of community-based Family Support and Respite services. Funding for these services is authorized by the federal Promoting Safe and Stable Families, Subpart II (P.L. 112-34) and the federal Community Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP, P.L 111-320). The purpose of these funds is develop, operate, expand, and enhance community-based, prevention-focused programs and activities designed to strengthen and support families to prevent child abuse and neglect

Family Support and Respite services support community-based evidence-based, evidence-informed programs and practices that provide outreach, support and services to individuals and families identified as being at-risk of compromised health and safety to eliminate or reduce those risks by promoting protective factors that strengthen and support families.

Family Support Programs

Family Support programs are designed to prevent involvement in child protective services. By targeting a wide range of needs within the family, Family Support programs are able to help the individual family members, strengthen the family as a whole, and increase community involvement. The expectation is for a reciprocal effect, stronger communities then fostering strong families and protecting children.

NCDSS funding requires each Family Support program to tailor services to meet the needs of the community, through the delivery of evidence-based, evidence-informed programs. Programs in one area of the state may look quite different from those in another area because of the differing needs in the two communities

Respite Programs

Similar to Family Support, agencies delivering Respite programming are required to deliver services based on identified community need. Emerging research has demonstrated respite is an essential component of a comprehensive continuum of child abuse and neglect prevention programming. Respite programming is short-term and is intended to keep families together and children to remain at home and in the community. Respite service models include, but are not limited to:

- In-home respite with trained professionals or volunteer providers;
- Out-of-home respite (child care centers, schools, family care home, foster care homes, hospitals, or specific respite facility) with trained professionals or volunteer providers;
- Periodic respite (churches, community centers or other community-based organizations that support periodic respite events);
- Summer camps, recreational, or after-school programs.

Respite programs may utilize a voucher system, where participants are given vouchers for respite providers; some operate facilities where children may stay for a predetermined amount of time; while

others offer structured activities for children to provide parents a few hours on their own. Respite services provide a much-needed break for parents and caregivers, particularly parents of children with special needs. Respite services are inexpensive and are an investment that can reduce family stress, mitigating the risk of child abuse and neglect.

During SFY 2012-2013, NCDSS funded forty two (42) Family Support programs and nine (9) Respite programs. (See Appendix A for the Statewide Distribution of Programs by model of service).

Program Evaluation

Pursuant to 143B-152.15(a), NCDSS utilizes the North Carolina Family Support Database to assess the effectiveness of Family Support and Respite program services. This database was developed in conjunction with the Human Service Smart Agency at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Social Work, housed and maintained by Appalachian State University's Social Work Program. The database is now maintained by the DHHS' Division of Information Resource Management (DIRM).

NCDSS requires providers of Family Support and Respite services to input data monthly. This data includes the following process and outcome metrics and measures:

- Type of services provided by the Family Support or Respite Program
- Demographic information about the participants of each activity
- North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scales (NCFSOS)

Participant Demographics and Services Summary

The following outputs are reported for SFY 2012-2013:

- 6,205 participants served
- 4,806 individuals from 2,924 families participated in targeted, ongoing activities (See Table 1).
- Of these 4,806 persons, 965 participated in two or more activities.
- 1,150 families had more than one family member participate in an activity where NCFSOS outcome assessments were completed.

Table 1 – Summary of Individual Statistics Activities Statewide

Number of Activities	359
Average Length (in days)	139.3
Average Duration of Sessions (in hours)	3
Participants (duplicated)	6,205
Participants (unduplicated)	4,806
Persons Participating in More Than One Activity	965
Number of Families	2,924
Families Having More than one Participant	1,150

Table 2 provides demographic information based on unduplicated counts regarding participant age, ethnicity, and gender. To summarize:

- The largest group served was the European-American with 2,394 individuals (49.8%).
- 34% of participants were children under the age of 13

• 40.1% were 18 or younger.

- Slightly more than half (52.0%) were between the ages of 19and 49
- 62.6% of the participants were female.

Table 2^2 – Family Support/Respite Program Participant Demographics (Unduplicated Count)

	Individua	l Activities
Age of Participants	Number	
) – 5	925	19.2%
5 – 12	711	14.8%
3-18	295	6.1%
9-29	948	19.7%
0 – 39	1,024	21.3%
10 – 49	530	11%
50 – 59	242	5%
60+	131	2.7%
ΓΟΤΑL	4,806	100.00%
		al Activities
Race of Participants		Percent
African American	1,636	34%
Asian American	26	0.5%
European American (Caucasian)	2,394	49.8%
Hispanic	475	9.9%
Native American	160	3.3%
Other	115	2.4%
TOTAL	4,806	100.00%
		al Activitie
Gender of Participants		Percent
Female	3,009	62.6%
	1,797	37.4%
Male	1977	100.00%

Table 3 identifies the type of service delivered through activities and the unit(s) of service provided for each type. The predominate units of service were devoted to Parent/Child Participation (30.1%), Parent Education (20%) and Child Development (16.4%), which is consistent with the NCDSS requirement that Family Support Programs provide evidence-based/evidence-informed programs and practices to families within their communities. Type of service is counted, rather than participants, to report a more accurate portrayal of the total services provided through each activity. An individual attending a parenting class eight (8) times is recorded as receiving eight (8) units of service. Using this methodology, activities that

² Percentages shown in the tables have been rounded to a single decimal place and therefore may not add up to exactly 100%.

were more long term and required more resources appear with more units of service than shorter duration activities with similar numbers of attendees.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Table 3 - Family Support/Respite Program Service Types/Participant Percentages} \end{array} \\$

	Individual Activities			
Service Type	Number	Percent		
Adoption Promotion/ Support	6,230	12.6%		
Child Development	8,126	16.4%		
Fatherhood	943	1.9%		
Parent Education	9,869	20%		
Parent Support Group	4,410	8.9%		
Parent/Child Participation	14,855	30.1%		
Respite Care	4,985	10.1%		
TOTAL	49,418	100.00%		

North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale

The North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale (NCFSOS) is a strength-based assessment tool developed for measuring outcomes across the wide range of services offered by Family Support and Respite programs. It has been incorporated into the on-line database and is the assessment tool used by all NCDSS funded Family Support and Respite programs. A NCFSOS is completed with all participants to measure their progress relative to the target goal of the activity. All outcomes are tied to the goals and outcomes mandated for these programs, either through legislation or NCDSS policy. The NCFSOS is divided into four domains, each of which measures several broad areas through subscales:

- Overall Child Functioning
- Overall Parent Functioning
- Overall Family Functioning
- Family's Relationship to the Community

Because there is a large array of potential services, each item in the scale addresses a very complex issue with a single global phrase. A NCFSOS outcome assessment is completed for each participant. It is important to note, if an individual participates in a Nurturing Parenting class and a Fathering program, that individual will have separate assessments for each of those activities, as the targeted outcomes for each class are different. Family Support and Respite program staff complete the intake assessment as soon after the beginning of the activity as is practical and only those subscales that are directly related to the outcome goals of the activity being provided are rated. (See Appendix B for the NCFSOS tool).

Results

Tables 4 through 8 show the results for all domains covered by the NCFSOS. For each subscale, the table indicates the total number of participants who were evaluated using that particular subscale, and the number and percentage of participants achieving each level of change. By assigning a value of "1" to the rating "weak strength" and a value of "5" to "clear strength", movement along this continuum is shown in the results of the NCFSOS. Moving 'backward' (from a solid strength to a mild strength, for example) would result in an assessment score of "less than or equal to -1", while moving 'forward' would result score of +1, +2, or +3 or more, depending on the distance moved. A score of zero indicates that there was

no change in the rating from intake to closure. It is important to note that a score of "0", or no movement, does not necessarily indicate any weaknesses in the family interactions. If a participant is rated in the beginning with strengths in certain areas, and the subscales addressing those areas were rated accordingly at intake, there may not be a change in those areas at closure. This may be due to the Family Support and Respite program and participant concentrating their efforts in other areas, where the individual was not as strong. A score of "0" might also indicate a stabilization of the participant issues related to the subscale. This occurrence would generally be characterized as positive.

The results of the NCFSOS assessments clearly indicate that Family Support and Respite programs help both individual family members and families as a whole develop skills to strengthen their relationships and increase family functioning. All domains showed that participants generally enhanced their functioning or retained previously effective levels of functioning. Few participants overall saw a decrease in functioning.

In the Overall Child Functioning Domain (Table 4), all domains showed an increase by at least one-third of participants. Over one-half reflected an increase in Child's Behavior (52.42%), and just less than one-half showed increases in School Performance (47.96%) and Child's Developmental Status (48.88%).

Table 4 – NCFSOS Outcome Assessments
Level of Change per Participant – Overall Child Functioning

Overall Child Functioning	Less than or equal to	0	1	2	Greater than or equal to 3	Number Participants evaluated	of
Child's Developmental	0.23%	50.89%	35.53%	11.03%	2.32%		
Status	6	1,315	918	285	60	2,584	
Status	0.42%	60.77%	29.28%	8.8%	0.72%		
Child's Physical Health	10	1,430	689	207	17	2,353	
Cilia s i nysicai i icaidi	0.43%	61.28%	31.12%	6.93%	0.24%		
Child's Mental Health	9	1,282	651	145	5	2,092	-
Cliffd S Wichtai Health	0.83%	46.75%	36.85%	12.05%	3.52%		
Child's Behavior	20	1,129	890	291	85	2,415	
	0.53%	51.51%	34.64%	11.37%	1.95%		
Child's School	6	580	390	128	22	1,126	
Performance	0.00%	60.14%		6.06%	0.93%		
Teenager's Movement Towards self-sufficiency	0.0070	258	141	26	4	429	

In the Overall Parent Functioning Domain (Table 5), over one-half of parents increased their Parenting Skills, Knowledge, and Attitudes (54.5%) and their Sense of Support in Parenting Role (53.22%). Increased knowledge and confidence, as well as support, can relieve the stress and anxiety of parenting, and lead to a decrease in child maltreatment. Over forty percent of the participants increased their Participation in Community Groups and Activities (45.54%) which can provide the parent with a support base within their community.

Table 5 – NCFSOS Outcome Assessments Level of Change per Participant – Overall Parent Functioning

Overall Parent Functioning	Less than or equal to	0	1	2	Greater than or equal to 3	Number of Participants evaluated
Parenting skills,	0.15%	45.35%	36.15%	14.64%	3.71%	
knowledge,	5	1,552	1,237	501	127	3,422
Parent's sense of support	0.54%	46.25%	36.25%	12.84%	4.13%	
in parenting role	17	1,466	1,149	407	131	3,170
parting	0.65%	72.03%	20.67%	5.33%	1.31%	
Parent's physical health	18	1,986	570	147	36	2,757
	0.69%	67.63%	22.07%	8.19%	1.42%	
Parent's mental health	19	1,857	606	225	39	2,746
Parent's educational	0.39%	74.26%	18.73%	5.26%	1.35%	
attainment	11	2,089	527	148	38	2,813
	0.72%	57.58%	28.76%	9.96%	2.98%	
Parent's leadership skills	21	1,682	840	291	87	2,921
Parent's participation in community groups and	0.86%	53.6%	30.37%	10.87%	4.3%	
activities	23	1,435	813	291	115	2,677

In the Overall Family Functioning Domain (Table 6), programs are meeting the mandate to improve parent/child interactions. Over one-half (50.87%) of participants showed increases in Parent-child Interactions/Parent-child Relationships, increases in Family Communication (55.55%), and increases in Family Cohesiveness and Mutual Support (51.92%). Over forty percent of participants increased their Informal Social Support (42.5%), Physical, Learning and Emotional Environments in the Home (41.64%) and their Ability to Solve Family Disputes without Violence (40.44%).

Table 6 – NCFSOS Outcome Assessments Level of Change per Participant – Overall Family Functioning

Overall Family Functioning	Less than or equal to -1	0	1	2	Greater than or equal to 3	Number of Participants evaluated
Parent-child interactions,	0.44%	48.69%	33.38%	12.98%	4.51%	0.750
parent-child relationship	12	1,339	918	357	124	2,750
	0.36%	44.09%	37.24%	13.83%	4.48%	
Family Communication	8	985	832	309	100	2,234
Family cohesiveness,	0.23%	47.85%	35.49%	11.47%	4.96%	
mutual support	5	1,022	758	245	106	2,136
Physical, learning, emotional environments	0.48%	57.88%	30.78%	9.23%	1.63%	
at home	8	959	510	153	27	1,657
at nome	0.59%	56.91%	31.04%	9.58%	1.88%	
Informal social support	11	1,058	577	178	35	1,859
Family economic self-	0.87%	70.61%	21.82%	5.48%	1.21%	
sufficiency	15	1,223	378	95	21	1,732
Ability to meet basic	0.76%	73.16%	21.35%	4.2%	0.53%	
economic needs	13	1,254	366	72	9	1,714
Ability to solve family	1.16%	58.41%	30.04%	9.3%	1.1%	
disputes without violence	20	1,011	520	161	19	1,731

Programs have also been successful in the domain of Families' Relationships to the Community (Table 7). The greatest increase was in Knowledge of A vailable Human Services (58.85%) and Lin kages between Family and Community Resources (59.17%). Nearly one-half of participants exhibited increases in Relations between Family and Human Services Staff (48.21%). The more positive the relationship between family members and service providers, the more likely the family is to get the assistance they need. Having an existing relationship with community services may prevent the family's situation from deteriorating, or lead the family to seek additional help prior to becoming part of a Child Protective Services report.

Table 7 – NCFSOS Outcome Assessments Level of Change per Participant – Family's Relationship to the Community

Family's Relationship to the Community	Less than or equal to -1	0	1	2	Greater than or equal to 3	Number of Participants evaluated
Family's knowledge of	0.09%	41.06%	34.83%	16.82%	7.2%	
available human services	2	930	789	381	163	2,265
Linkages between family	0.1%	40.73%	35.42%	15.08%	8.67%	
and community resources	2	813	707	301	173	1,996
Relations between family	1.07%	50.72%	30.89%	13.87%	3.45%	
and human services staff	17	808	492	221	55	1,593
Family's participation in	2.45%	61.6%	21%	12.34%	2.61%	
FS program governance	30	754	257	151	32	1,224

The North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale (NCFSOS) is used to measure participants' progress in meeting outcome goals related to service areas. NCFSOS assessments demonstrate that Family Support and Respite programs are meeting goals to help children and families develop skills to strengthen relationships, increase family functioning, promote child well-being, and prevent child abuse and neglect.

Fiscal Analysis

In SFY 2012-2013, NCDSS awarded Family Support and Respite program contracts totaling \$3,993,282. This amount included \$2,889,822 in federal Promoting Safe and Stable Families, Subpart II and \$1,103,460 in federal Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) monies. There were no state funds appropriated for the Family Resource Center Program during SFY 2012-2013.

Family Support programs received braided funding through both Promoting Safe and Stable Families and CBCAP funds; however the majority of Family Support programs were wholly funded through IVB-2. Respite programs were funded entirely with CBCAP funds (See Appendix C). Per unduplicated participant costs equaled approximately \$831, annualized.

Appendix A Statewide Distribution of Programs by Model of Service and County

County	Family Support	Respite
Alamance	X	
Alexander		
Alleghany	X	
Anson		
Ashe		
Avery		
Beaufort		
Bertie	X	
Bladen		
Brunswick	X	
Buncombe	X	X
Burke	X	
Cabarrus	X	
Caldwell		
Camden		
Carteret		
Caswell		
Catawba		
Chatham	X	
Cherokee	X	X
Chowan		
Clay	X	X
Cleveland		
Columbus	X	
Craven		
Cumberland	X	
Currituck		
Dare		
Davidson	X	
Davie		X
Duplin		
Durham	X	
Edgecombe	X	
Forsyth	X	X
Franklin		
Gaston		
Gates		
Graham	X	X
Granville		
Greene		
Guilford	X	X
Halifax		
Harnett		
Haywood	X	X
Henderson	X	
Hertford		
Hoke		
Hyde		
Iredell	X	
Jackson	X	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$

County	Family Support	Respite
Johnston		
Jones		
Lee		
Lenoir		
Lincoln		
Macon	X	X
Madison		
Martin	X	
McDowell	X	
Mecklenburg		
Mitchell		
Montgomery		
Moore		
Nash	X	
New Hanover	X	
Northampton		
Onslow		
Orange	X	X
Pamlico		
Pasquotank		
Pender		
Perquimans		
Person		
Pitt	X	
Polk		
Randolph		
Richmond	X	X
Robeson	X	
Rockingham	X	
Rowan		
Rutherford		
Sampson		
Scotland		
Stanly		
Stokes	X	X
Surry	X	X
Swain	X X	X
Transylvania	X	
Tyrrell		
Union		
Vance	X	
Wake	X	
Warren		
Washington		
Watauga		
Wayne	X	X
Wilkes		X
Wilson		1
Yadkin	X	X
Yancey		

Appendix B

North Carolina Family Support Outcome Scale

	are important to families. It is to be completed at least twice—once before the intervention begins and ember . It is very important that the same staff member fill out this assessment for the same family so the neasured. Consider each item below in terms of the family's current situation. Rate each item on the Not Applicable, and this may be the appropriate response for many items. To complete the Scale, please	Not Applicable			Not Applicable	
	before the indessment for the transment struction. I sms. To company.	Clear Strength			Clear	
	twice—once Il out this assi family's curre for many ite	Solid Strength			Solid	Strength
	eted at least 1 aff member fi terms of the 3 riate response	Moderate Strength			Moderate	Strength
	is to be complant the same stricted below in	Mild Strength			Mild	Strength
	to families. It ry important the Consider each s, and this may	Weak Strength			Weak	Strength
Client's Name: Activity Name:	that are important aff member. It is verse can be measured. teans Not Applicable item.		s (social,	rds self-		, and attitudes parenting role nent groups and
Type: Intake Closure Date: // // Staff Member:	This questionnaire addresses issues that are important to families. It is to be completed at least twice—once before the intervention begins and once after it ends—by the same staff member. It is very important that the same staff member fill out this assessment for the same family so the success of the intervention or service can be measured. Consider each item below in terms of the family's current situation. Rate each item on the 5-point continuum below. N/A means Not Applicable, and this may be the appropriate response for many items. To complete the Scale, please check the appropriate box for each item.	A. Overall Child Functioning	 Child's developmental status (social cognitive, etc.) Child's physical health 	3. Child's mental health4. Child's behavior5. Child's school performance6. Teenager's movement towards self-	sufficiency	B. Overall Farent Functioning 1. Parenting skills, knowledge, and attitudes 2. Parent's sense of support in parenting role 3. Parent's physical health 4. Parent's mental health 5. Parent's educational attainment 6. Parent's leadership skills 7. Participation in community groups and activities
Type: Date: Staff M	This once succe 5-poi check	<u>A. C</u>	1 cogi 2	7 9 9	gns	act

North Carolina Division of Social Services - Family Resource Center Program Annual Report, SFY 2013 Page 13 of 16

Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Strength	Clear Strength
Strength	Solid Strength
Moderate Strength	Moderate Strength C
Strength	Mild Strength
- Weak	- Weak Strength
C. Overall Family Functioning 1. Parent-child interactions, parent-child relationship 2. Family communication 3. Family cohesiveness, mutual support 4. Physical, learning, emotional environments in home 5. Informal social support (from friends, extended family) 6. Family economic self-sufficiency 7. Ability to meet basic economic needs 8. Ability to solve family disputes without	D. Family's Relationship to Community 1. Family's knowledge of available human services 2. Linkages between families and human services 3. Relations between families and human services staff 4. Family's participation in FS program governance

Appendix C Contract Award Amount and Funding Source

Contract Name	Funding Source and Amount	
	PSSF	CBCAP
Family Support Programs		
Alleghany Partnership for Children	\$100,000	
Barium Springs Home for Children	\$77,351	
Barium Springs Home for Children		\$50,000
Burke County Public Schools	\$100,600	
Chapel Hill Training Outreach Project, Inc. 943	\$152,500	
Chapel Hill Training Outreach Project, Inc. 272	\$96,682	
Chatham County Health Department		\$43,916
Child Advocacy and Parenting Place		\$14,000
Child Advocacy Center		\$26,656
Children's Home Society		\$43,316
Columbus County DREAM Center, Inc.	\$100,000	
Communities In Schools of Brunswick County, Inc	\$103,100	
Communities In Schools of Durham, Inc.		\$152,500
Down East Partnership For Children	\$101,550	
Down East Partnership For Children	\$101,550	
Durham County Government	\$96,739	
Durham Exchange Clubs' Family Center	\$86,250	
East Carolina University		\$40,000
Exceptional Children's Assistance Center		\$60,000
Exchange Clubs' Family Center		\$50,000
Exchange Foundation for the Prevention of Child		
Abuse		\$150,000
Fairgrove Family Resource Center	\$100,000	
Family Resources of Cherokee County, Inc	\$105,000	
Family Service of the Piedmont, Inc.	\$152,240	
Franklin-Vance-Warren Opportunity	\$100,000	
Help, Incorporated: Center Against Violence	\$66,728	
Martin-Tyrrell-Washington District Health		
Department	\$70,000	
McDowell County Schools	\$149,187	
Public Health Authority of Cabarrus		\$29,369
Richmond County Community Support Center, Inc	\$100,000	
Robeson County Committee on Domestic Violence,		
Inc.		\$31,626
Robeson County Dept of Public Health	\$100,000	
SAFEchild, Inc.	\$74,545	
SAFEchild, Inc.		\$33,121
Southwestern Child Development Commission, Inc.	\$152,500	
Swain County Government		\$146,100
The Children's Center of Surry, Inc	\$155,000	
The Family Place of Transylvania County	\$103,100	
The Family Place of Transylvania County		\$31,939
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill		\$101,341

WAGES	\$52,700	
WAGES		\$99,576
Respite Programs		
Caring for Children, Inc	\$30,000	
Chapel Hill Training Outreach Project, Inc.	\$30,000	·
Exchange Club Center for the Prevention of Child		
Abuse of NC, Inc.	\$30,000	
One Economy	\$22,500	
Richmond County Community Support Center, Inc	\$30,000	
The Children's Center of Surry, Inc	\$30,000	
Southwestern Child Development Commission, Inc.	\$60,000	
Wayne Uplift Resource Association, Inc	\$30,000	
Youth Focus, Inc.	\$30,000	
TOTALS	\$2,889,822	\$1,103,460