Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Federal and State Comparison of Current Requirements for North Carolina Updated January 28, 2016

The US Secretary of Education cannot prescribe goals, measurements, assessments, indicators, weight, methodology, exit criteria, n-size, teacher or leader evaluations, or indicators.

This table presents information from the ESSA regarding the key areas within the legislation for development of a State's plan under Title I and what the federal requirement are, citations for current applicable State requirements and whether there are substantive differences between the two. This is not a complete list of the entire law.

State Plan Component	Federal Requirements	Current Applicable State Requirements	Substantive Differences
Challenging academic achievement standards	 No federal mandated standards Must be the same for all students in public schools Must be aligned with entrance into credit-bearing courses in Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs) 	SBE establishes academic achievement standards (G.S. 115C- 12(9c))	• No
State assessments	 Math/reading in grades 3-8 and once in high school as determined by the State Science once in grade spans 3-5, 6-9, and 10-12 as determined by the State Disaggregated by racial/ethnic subgroups, gender, and migrant status English language proficiency status Compare Economically Disadvantages (ED) to non-ED Compare Students with Disabilities (SWD) to non-SWD Requires 95% participation – consequences are up to the State to determine 	 End-of Grade (EOG) and End-of-Course (EOC) Assessments (G.S. 115C-174.11) [Currently used by NC to meet the former Elementary and Secondary Education Act/No Child Left Behind (ESEA/NCLB) requirements, also used in calculating the School Performance Grades] Read to Achieve (G.S. 115C-83.1 through 83.11) [not required by ESSA] ACT (G.S. 115C-174.11) [Currently used in calculating the School 	Some (state requirements exceed federal requirements)

State Plan Component	Federal Requirements	Current Applicable State Requirements	Substantive Differences
		Performance Grades, not required by ESSA]	
Accountability system	 Single statewide system State determined n-size which is universal across subgroups and statistically sound "Ambitious long-term goals" within same length of time for all subgroups and focus on closing proficiency and graduation gaps Measures of academic achievement, 4-year adjusted cohort grad rate, States may also include an extended year indicator (5- or 6-year) Measures of growth in elementary and middle Progress in achieving English proficiency – only for English Learners (ELs) Include one indicator of school quality – must be valid, reliable, comparable, and Statewide Identification of 5% lowest-performing Title I schools, under Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Identification of high schools graduating less than 2/3 of students, under CSI – includes non-Title I schools State develops exit criteria for CSI schools Identification of Title I schools with subgroups that are underperforming for a number of years under Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) – State determines the number of years Accountability for charter schools must be overseen in accordance with State charter school laws 	 School Performance Grades: A-F grading of schools (G.S. 115C-83.15) [Not required by ESSA] "Low-performing schools are those that receive a school performance grade of D or F and a school growth score of "met expected growth" or "not met expected growth" as defined by G.S. 115C-83.15" G.S. 115C-105.37(a), and The number of low-performing schools under the School Performance Grades legislation is 581 schools 5% of Title I schools in NC is approximately 70 schools 5% of all schools in NC (2015-16 school year) is 133 There are currently 137 Priority Schools and 141 Focus Schools being served under Title I 	Yes (School Performance Grades must be reviewed in light of new requirements in ESSA – inclusion of progress in achieving English Proficiency for English Learners and inclusion in the accountability system of an indicator of school quality and student success, as two specific examples.)

State Plan	Federal Requirements	Current Applicable State	Substantive
Component		Requirements	Differences
District/school interventions	 Notification of schools identified for support and improvement LEAs must develop and implement CSI plans for lowest-performing schools – State must approve plans States must take more rigorous action in schools that fail to improve – State determines number of years, but may not exceed 4 Schools must develop and implement TSI plans for lowest-performing subgroups – LEA approves plans Description of assistance to LEAs and schools to support early education programs 	 Low-Performing Districts and Schools in North Carolina are defined by the NC General Assembly and are based on the School Performance Grades and EVAAS growth "Low-performing schools are those that receive a school performance grade of D or F and a school growth score of "met expected growth" or "not met expected growth" as defined by G.S. 115C-83.15." (G.S. 115C-105.37(a)), and "A Low-performing local school administrative unit is a unit in which the majority of the schools in that unit that received a school performance grade and school growth score as provided in G.S. 115C-83.15 have been identified as low-performing schools, as provided in G.S. 115C-105.37." (G.S. 115C-105.39A(a)). Low-performing LEAs must develop plans for all schools Low-performing schools must 	Yes (The State's number of schools being identified for support exceeds the minimum federal requirement.)

State Plan Component	Federal Requirements	Current Applicable State Requirements	Substantive Differences
		 develop plans for improvement State must review all plans Final plans are shared with the public, including parents, guardians, and staff and are made available through the local district website and the NCDPI website 	
Report Cards	 Prepared and disseminated every year Inclusion of data Academic achievement by subgroup, school, and LEA including homeless, foster, and military-connected Percentage of students assessed and not assessed by subgroup Graduation rate Indicators of school quality (e.g., suspensions, acts of violence, bullying, etc.) Professional qualifications of teachers – Per pupil expenditures of federal, State, and local funds 	Annual report cards for the State and for each local school administrative unit must include school performance grades and Read to Achieve aggregate student results (G.S. 115C-12(9)c1.)	Some (New federal data reporting elements must be added to the LEA and State Report Cards.)
Teacher Quality	 No federal "highly qualified" definition Professional qualifications of teachers based on State-determined licensure requirements Description of how low-income/minority students not taught at disproportionate rates by underqualified teachers – State determines definitions. NC met this requirement through the NC Educator Equity Plan. See http://www.ncpublicschools.org/docs/programmonitoring/titlelA/equity-plan/equity-final.pdf 	 SBE controls teacher licensing (G.S. 115C-296) SBE develops a mentor program for teachers entering the profession (G.S. 115C-296(e)) LEAs must provide individual teachers with value-added data and access to the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) (G.S. 115C-333.2) 	Some (SBE to consider revisions to its policies due to new ESSA.)

State Plan	Federal Requirements	Current Applicable State	Substantive
Component		Requirements	Differences
		 Student growth as part of a future performance pay plan. SL 2013-360, Section 9.5 "Pay for Excellence." Student growth measure as requirement for "clinical educator" (i.e., supervising teacher of student teacher): SL 2015-241, Section 8.41(a) [new G.S. 115C-296.11]. Student growth as 20% of A-F School Performance Grade formula. G.S. 115C-83.15. 	

