JOINT LEGISLATIVE EDUCATION OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

Agenda
Tuesday, May 21, 2002, 2:00 p.m.
Room 643, Legislative Office Building
Senator Dalton, presiding

- WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
 Senator Dalton and Representative Rogers, Cochairs
- ◆ PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS AND LEGISLATION Committee Staff

			ia.	

1		DRAFT
2	JOINT LEGI	ISLATIVE EDUCATION OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
3		
4		PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS
5	TO THE 20	002 SESSION OF THE 2001 GENERAL ASSEMBLY
6		
7		
8	I. DROPOUTS	- The Committee recommends enactment of the following
9	legislation:	_
10	❖ G.S.115C-12	
11	(27a) <u>Redu</u>	cing School Dropout Rates-
12	<u>a.</u>	The State Board of Education shall develop a statewide plan to
13		better track dropout data so that accurate and useful
14		comparisons can be made over time. The plan shall include, at a
15		minimum, how dropouts are counted and the methodology for
16		calculating the dropout rate, the ability to track students'
17		movements among schools and districts, and the ability to
18		provide information on who drops out and why.
19	<u>b.</u>	The State Board of Education shall examine the ABCs formula
20		for high schools to determine how to modify the formula to
21		incorporate greater rewards for high schools that reduce their
22 23 24 25 26		dropout rates and improve their graduation rates.
23	<u>c.</u>	The State Board of Education, in cooperation with the State
24		Board of Community Colleges, shall identify technical high
25		schools and career centers currently in operation in the State
26		and make recommendations to strengthen concurrent
27		enrollment opportunities with the community colleges. The
28		State Board shall report its findings to the Joint Legislative
29		Education Oversight Committee.
30	<u>d.</u>	The State Board of Education (Board) shall study the
31		relationship between academic rigor and reducing school
32		dropout rates. As part of this study, the Board shall include the
33 34		following:
34		(1) The development of a proposal to accelerate the learning
35 36		of students able to complete high school in 3 years;
36		(2) The elimination of low-level classes at the middle school
37		and high school levels;
38		(3) The examination of the appropriateness of electives and
39		exploratory courses at the middle school level;
40		(4) A review of current vocational courses to determine the
41		rigor of the content; and
42		(5) The development of up-to-date standards for
43	Ç.	vocational/technical teachers.

❖ G.S. 115C-47

Local boards of education are encouraged to adopt policies that require superintendents to assign to the core academic courses in seventh through ninth grades teachers who

have at least four years teaching experience and who have received within the last three years an overall rating on a formal evaluation that is at least above standard.

II. LEANDRO ISSUES –The Committee recommends enactment of the following legislation:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIRECT THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE EDUCATION OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO STUDY THE FISCAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY OF LOCAL SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE UNITS, AND TO DIRECT THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO TAKE OVER ALL POWERS AND DUTIES RELATED TO A SCHOOL WHEN THAT SCHOOL AND ITS LOCAL BOARD OF EDUCATION FAIL TO IMPLEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS OF AN ASSISTANCE TEAM ASSIGNED TO THAT SCHOOL.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. The Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee shall study the fiscal and instructional accountability of local school administrative units. As part of this study, the Committee shall:

- (1) Evaluate the fiscal management and instructional leadership provided by local school administrative units.
- (2) Analyze whether local school administrative units are utilizing their funding and resources in a proper, strategic manner with regard to their at-risk children.
- (3) Evaluate State fiscal controls that are available to ensure that local allocation of funding and resources is cost-effective and is appropriately focused on enhancing educational leadership, teaching the standard course of study, and improving student learning.
- (4) Analyze State and local procedures for identifying superintendents, principals, and teachers who need additional training or assistance in order to implement a strategic and cost-effective instructional program that meets the needs of all children, including at-risk children, so that they obtain a sound basic education by achieving grade level or above academic performance.
- (5) Identify current and possible actions that the State may implement in order to correct ineffective instructional leadership or teaching in a school or school system. In particular, the Committee shall ensure that fair and efficient procedures are available to the State for removing ineffective superintendents, principals, or teachers and for replacing them with effective, competent ones.

The Committee shall report its findings and any recommendations to the 2003 Session of the 2001 General Assembly.

SECTION 2. G.S. 115C-105.38 is amended by adding the following new section to read:

"(b1) Report to the State Board of Education if a school and its local board of education are not responsive to the team's recommendations. A copy of that report shall be made available to the local board and the local board shall have an opportunity to respond. Notwithstanding G.S. 115C-36 and other provisions of this Chapter, if the State Board confirms the school and local board have failed to take appropriate steps to improve student performance at that school, the State Board shall assume all powers and duties previously conferred upon that local board and that school and shall have general control and supervision of all matters pertaining to that school until student performance at the school meets or exceeds the standards set for the school. The State Board may, as it considers appropriate, delegate any powers and duties to that local board or school before the school meets or exceeds those standards."

SECTION 3. This act is effective when it becomes law.

141516

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

3637

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

III. PRIVATE COLLEGES SCHOLARSHIP FUND – The Committee recommends enactment of the following legislation:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO AMEND G.S. 116-19 BY DEFINING THE TERM "NEEDY NORTH CAROLINA STUDENTS".

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 116-19(a) reads as rewritten:

"(a) In order to encourage and assist private institutions to continue to educate North Carolina students, the State Education Assistance Authority may enter into contracts with the institutions under the terms of which an institution receiving any funds that may be appropriated pursuant to this section would agree that, during any fiscal year in which such funds were received, the institution would provide and administer scholarship funds for needy North Carolina students in an amount at least equal to the amount paid to the institution, pursuant to this section, during the fiscal year. Under the terms of the contracts the State Education Assistance Authority would agree to pay to the institutions, subject to the availability of funds, a fixed sum of money for each North Carolina student enrolled at the institutions for the regular academic year, said sum to be determined by appropriations that might be made from time to time by the General Assembly pursuant to this section. Funds appropriated pursuant to this section shall be paid by the State Education Assistance Authority to an institution on certification of the institution showing the number of North Carolina students enrolled at the institution as of October 1 of any year for which funds may be appropriated. For purposes of this subsection, "needy North Carolina students" are those eligible students who have financial need as determined by the institution under the institutional methodology or the federal methodology as defined by the State Education Assistance Authority. For purposes of this subsection, "institutional methodology" means a needanalysis formula, developed by College Scholarship Service, that determines the student's and family's capacity to pay for postsecondary education each year."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

1 2

 IV. COORDINATION OF DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES – The Committee recommends enactment of the following legislation:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO AMEND THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO INCLUDE THE DUTY TO APPROVE INTERNET OR WEB-BASED COURSES.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

"(31) Duty to Approve Internet or Web-based Courses. —The Board shall coordinate the development of Internet or web-based courses offered for credit by a public school. A local board of education seeking to offer an Internet or web-based course must submit an application to the Board before developing a course, contracting for the development of a course, or purchasing an existing course. The application shall include the reason that the course is needed, the estimated cost to develop or purchase the course, the number of students expected to take the course, and whether the course will be developed by the local school administrative unit, a non-profit school-based provider or a forprofit commercial provider. The Department of Public Instruction, with the approval of the Board, shall approve the applications.

The Board shall provide technical assistance to local school administrative units interested in developing their own Internet or webbased course. The Board shall establish guidelines on the characteristics of high-quality courses to assist in course development."

SECTION 2. The State Board of Education shall study the feasibility of the Department of Public Instruction, in collaboration with local school administrative units, to develop an online course template to aid in Internet or web-based course development and to build a library of courses modeled on the Community College System's Virtual Learning Community Project. The State Board shall report on its findings to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee by December 15, 2002.

SECTION 3. The State Board of Education shall conduct a survey of Internet or web-based courses that were offered during the 2001-02 school year to determine the following: (a) the total number of schools that offered courses, (b) the total number of courses offered, (c) the total number of students who participated in the courses, (c) the number of courses, the subject area of the courses, and the number of students that participated by school, (d) the reasons that the courses were offered and (e) the cost to develop and provide the courses. The State Board shall report on the survey results to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee by December 15, 2002.

SECTION 4. This act is effective when it becomes law.

 V. REPEAL TERM LIMITS FOR BOARDS OF EDUCATION – The Committee recommends, at the request of the NC School Boards Association, enactment of legislation that would repeal various local laws that impose term limits for four local boards of education: Alexander, Haywood, Edenton-Chowan, and Iredell-Statesville.

1 2

In Moore v. Knightdale Board of Elections, 331, N.C. 1 (1992), the North Carolina Supreme Court held that the North Carolina Constitution has set forth the qualifications of who is eligible for elective office. Article VI, Section 6 of the Constitution provides: "Eligibility to elective office. Every qualified voter in North Carolina who is 21 years of age, except as in this Constitution disqualified, shall be eligible for election by the people to office." N.C. Const. Art. VI, § 6. Article VI, Section 8 contains three enumerated disqualifications and other constitutional provisions describe additional qualifications and other prerequisites for particular offices. However, there is no constitutional provision for term limits for school board members. State v. Moore, states that the Legislature cannot render a person ineligible for election by requiring additional qualifications. 331 N.C. at 5. The Court held unconstitutional a statute which required the holder of one elective office to resign that office before filing to run for another elective office. 331 N.C. at 5.

This same reasoning can be held to apply to term limitations for school board members. By imposing a term limitation on the school board members for the above counties, the Legislature has created an additional disqualification which is not found in the Constitution and that is outside the realm of the Legislature's authority.