RLA's Response to Criticisms of Traditional Leadership Preparation Approaches

In the table below, the criticisms of traditional preparation programs are in the left column, and RLA's approach is in the right column.

From	To
(Criticisms of Traditional Approach)	(RLA's Approach)
Self-Nomination, minimal admission	Rigorous selection - candidates participate in
requirements (e.g., GPAs and test scores)	performance-based assessments
requirements (e.g., G1745 and test scores)	Standards-Driven, outcomes-based mastery, all
Course-Based with little to no integration	elements of the program are aligned (NC
Course-Dasca with little to no integration	Standards for School Executives)
Preparation occurs after school, during the	Preparation occurs during the Day Shift
Night Shift	(in action of school day)
Delivered on University Campus	Local Delivery
General Topics	Turnaround, High-Need Schools Concepts
General Written Assignments	Authentic Problem Solving Experiences
Internship During Teacher Prep Time	Extended Immersion in Multiple Contexts
Busses, Books, and Discipline	Emphasis on Instructional Leadership
Too Many Theories & Too Many War	Blended Faculty of Practitioner-Scholars
Stories	·
Little Field-Based Support	Vetted and Trained Mentors and Coaches
Sit and Get	Tell Me, Show Me, Grow Me Approach
Lone Ranger	Critical Mass of Turnaround Specialists
Learning about Technology	Technology for Learning (reflection, data-
	based decision making, efficiency, digital
	learning environments)
Schools in Community	Schools as Community
"That will never work here"	"Come see how it's being done."
Licensure Only	Commitment to Serve High-Need Schools
Graduation = the end	Induction Support, Career-Spanning
	Professional Growth, Just-in-Time Professional
	Learning (Pre-leadership planning and
	Leadership PLCs for leaders)
No Program Evaluation	Strategic Data Collection and Analysis
	Informing and Strengthening Preparation
	Programs

(Modified from: Briggs, Cheney, Davis & Moll, 2013).