

REPORT:

2019-20 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

September 16, 2020

University of North Carolina System Chapel Hill, North Carolina

UNC Board of Governors

Committee on University Governance, acting as the designated Board Committee on Free Expression 2019-20 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

I. Executive Summary

This report addresses free speech and free expression at the constituent institutions¹ of the University of North Carolina System ("UNC System" or "the University") for the period of time between July 1, 2019, and June 30, 2020, as required by the Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act ("the Act").² In preparing and publishing this report, the UNC Board of Governors Committee on University Governance ("committee"), as the designated Committee on Free Expression,³ was guided primarily on the elements required by the Act.⁴ Additionally, the committee relied on information provided by the constituent institutions, information shared with the president and/or members of the Board of Governors, and on relevant articles and media stories published in the past year.⁵

The committee's intent in issuing this annual free expression report is to address the specific categories of information identified in the Act, assess institutional compliance with Section 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual, survey the expressive events that took place at the UNC System constituent institutions

 $\frac{https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2020/06/10/first-amendment-north-carolina-wilmington-professor-tweets/3173332001/$

¹ Because of the additional protections afforded to K-12 institutions under the First Amendment, the North Carolina School for Science and Math, the University of North Carolina School of the Arts for its high school students, and any lab schools operated by a constituent institution are not included within the scope of the report. Even so, these institutions are expected to comply with Article 36 of Chapter 116 to the extent there is not a conflict with relevant First Amendment jurisprudence applicable to K-12 institutions.

² The Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act was enacted in 2017 as <u>S.L. 2017-196</u> and is codified in the North Carolina General Statutes as Article 36 of Chapter 116.

³ The Act requires the Board of Governors to establish a Committee on Free Expression. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 116-301 (hereinafter G.S.). Section 10.3 of S.L. 2018-5 ("Current Operations Appropriations Act of 2018") amended G.S. 116-301 to allow the chair of the Board of Governors to designate a standing or special committee of the Board as the Committee on Free Expression.

⁴ G.S. 116-301(c) articulates specific information to be provided in the annual report. *See* Section IV., herein, for more information.

⁵ See, e.g., Julian Grace, et al., Speech by conservatives Charlie Kirk, Lara Trump spurs protests at NC State, WRAL.com (November 12, 2019), https://www.wral.com/speech-by-conservatives-charlie-kirk-lara-trump-spurs-protests-at-nc-state/18762247/; East Carolina University says it has received "great deal of feedback" since President Trump Rally (July 19, 2019), ABC11.com, https://abc11.com/trump-rally-send-her-back-ecu-east-carolina/5406472/; Jordan Culver, A North Carolina professor who sparked outrage with his tweets still has his job. Why? It's called the First Amendment, USA Today (June 11, 2020),

during the relevant time period, review progress since last year's report, and provide recommendations for the upcoming academic year.

The committee's role in compiling and publishing this report is to describe constituent institutions' efforts in fulfilling the University's commitment to free speech and expression that is detailed in University policy. The Board of Governors' role with regard to free expression on UNC System campuses is primarily confined to setting System-wide policy and providing support to constituent institutions in complying with those statements of policy. Responsibility for policy administration, including ensuring appropriate protection for free speech and expression, resides with each constituent institutions' administrators and board of trustees. Constituent institutions generally fulfill their statutory and policy obligations regarding free expression by adopting, communicating, and enforcing institutional policies, which are tailored to their unique campus environments, and by working collaboratively with members of their campus communities.

With the requirements of the Act in mind, this report provides background on and context for free speech and free expression at UNC System constituent institutions, highlights experiences at our institutions over the past year, identifies some key findings by the committee, and offers recommendations that are aimed at providing more awareness and transparency on issues related to free speech and free expression. Specifically, as will be further detailed in the report, the committee found that:

- The constituent institutions are committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression;
- Disruptions and interference at scheduled expressive events have been minimal;
- Constituent institutions have developed and utilized mechanisms for receiving, investigating, and resolving complaints regarding alleged free expression policy violations;
- 4. The constituent institutions are regularly providing information to campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with expression on campus through policies, training, and other outreach;
- 5. The Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 11 UNC System constituent

- institutions.⁶ Fayetteville State University has attained green light status since the preparation of the 2018-19 report.⁷ FIRE's "green light" rating is held by only 51 institutions nationwide.
- 6. Some constituent institutions have incurred expected and unexpected financial costs related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus; and
- 7. Constituent institutions have accepted the recommendations for improvement contained in last year's report by taking actions, such as:
 - a. Creating, formalizing, improving and promoting methods for community members to ask
 questions or raise concerns about free expression, including institutional complaint
 processes;
 - b. Reviewing and revising institutional policies regarding or impacting free expression;
 - c. Publicizing and widely distributing institutional free expression policies
 - d. Providing regular training to students, employees, and board members regarding free expression within the University;
 - e. Creating and distributing standardized resources for prospective campus speakers;
 - f. Creating and/or maintaining websites dedicated to free expression policies and resources;
 - g. Creating a public directory of all spaces on campus available for reservation, including links for reservations and contact information for the responsible institutional unit.

The committee acknowledges that the UNC System's constituent institutions have a long record of hosting events without significant disruption or interference, and that many successful events tend not to garner significant publicity or public attention. This past year was no exception.

In addition to work happening on each individual campus, the University's collection of Responsible Officers, which are designated to ensure compliance with Section 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual, have also engaged cooperatively to create and improve resources regarding free expression within the

⁶ See Foundation for Individual Rights in Education's Speech Code Rating Database, https://www.thefire.org/resources/spotlight/?x=&speech code=Green&y=NC&institution type=Public&speech code_advanced=Green&y_advanced=NC#search-results. UNC constituent institutions that have been awarded a "green light" rating are Appalachian State University, East Carolina University, Fayetteville State University, North Carolina Central University, NC State University, UNC-Chapel Hill, UNC Charlotte, UNC Greensboro, UNC Pembroke, UNC Wilmington, and Western Carolina University.

⁷ See Fayetteville State becomes 12th North Carolina institution to earn highest free speech rating (November 25, 2019) https://www.thefire.org/fayetteville-state-becomes-12th-north-carolina-institution-to-earn-highest-free-speech-rating/

University system. As required by N.C.G.S. 116-303(b) and Section 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual, the UNC System Office convened free expression training for constituent institutions' Responsible Officers that was developed and provided by University of North Carolina School of Government faculty members Frayda Bluestein and Robert Joyce on October 29, 2019. The UNC System Office continues to maintain and update a webpage dedicated to providing information and resources related to free speech and free expression at UNC.8 The webpage allows members of the University community and broader public to access information about laws and policies affecting free expression, find contact information for each constituent institution's Responsible Officer, and access annual editions of the Report on Free Expression.

II. Background

A. University Commitment to Free Speech and Free Expression

As the nation's first public university, the University of North Carolina System affirms its long-standing commitment to free speech and free expression for its students, faculty members, staff employees, and visitors under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article 1, Section 14 of the North Carolina Constitution. The University and its constituent institutions protect and promote these freedoms, consistent with First Amendment jurisprudence. Through its policies, the University has expressly established that no employment decision or academic decision shall be based on the exercise of these constitutional rights.

The University's mission includes the transmission and advancement of knowledge and understanding, the pursuit of which is dependent upon the ability of our faculty and students to remain free to inquire, to study and to evaluate, to gain new maturity and understanding. ¹¹ The University supports and encourages freedom of inquiry for faculty members and students, to the end that they may responsibly pursue these goals through teaching, learning, research, discussion, and publication, free from internal or external restraints that would unreasonably restrict their academic endeavors. ¹² The University has

⁸ See UNC System Office "Campus Speech and Free Expression" website https://www.northcarolina.edu/campus-free-speech.

⁹ See, e.g., Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code of the University of North Carolina ("The Code")*. See also Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1., 300.2.1, 700.4.2, and 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹⁰ See Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code. See also* Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1, 300.2.1, 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹¹ See Sweezy v. New Hampshire, 354 U.S. 234, 250 (1957).

¹² Section 600(1) of *The Code. See also* Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

explicitly stated that faculty and students of the University share the responsibility for maintaining an environment in which academic freedom flourishes and in which the rights of each member of the academic community are respected. Academic freedom has indeed been acknowledged by the Supreme Court as "of transcendent value to all of us" and "a special concern of the First Amendment, which does not tolerate laws that cast a pall of orthodoxy over the classroom."

B. Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act

Through statute, the North Carolina General Assembly has affirmed that the primary function of the University of North Carolina System and each of its constituent institutions is the discovery, improvement, transmission, and dissemination of knowledge by means of research, teaching, discussion, and debate. To fulfill this function, each constituent institution must strive to ensure the fullest degree of intellectual freedom and free expression. According to G.S. 116-300(2), "it is not the proper role of any constituent institution to shield individuals from speech protected by the First Amendment, including, without limitation, ideas and opinions they find unwelcome, disagreeable, or even deeply offensive.¹⁵"

The General Assembly has also established a number of requirements for the Board of Governors, the University of North Carolina System, and its constituent institutions regarding free expression. In response, the Board of Governors has designated a Committee on Free Expression¹⁶ and adopted a University-wide free expression policy which, among other elements, maintains institutional neutrality.¹⁷ A copy of the policy is included with this report as Attachment A. Additionally, the University meets its statutory obligations by providing training for institutional officers and administrators charged with responsibilities for compliance with the Act and coordinating campus-based

¹³ Section 600(3) of *The Code*. See also Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹⁴ Keyishian v. Board of Regents, State Univ. of N.Y., 385 U.S. 589, 603 (1967).

¹⁵ G.S. 116-300(2).

¹⁶ Section 10.3 of S.L. 2018-5 (Current Operations Appropriations Act of 2018), which became law on June 12, 2018, amended the requirements for the committee to allow the chair of the Board of Governors to designate a standing or special committee of the Board as the Committee on Free Expression. *See* https://www.ncleg.net/Sessions/2017/Bills/Senate/PDF/S99v6.pdf. The chair of the Board of Governors has designated the Committee on University Governance as the statutorily mandated Committee on Free Expression. ¹⁷ In this context, "institutional neutrality" specifically means only that "the constituent institution may not take action, as an institution, on the public policy controversies of the day in such a way as to require students, faculty, or administrators to publicly express a given view of social policy." G.S. 116-300(3).

training ("Responsible Officers") and publishing this annual report. A list of the 2019-20 Responsible Officers is included with this report as Attachment B.

III. <u>Discussion of Free Speech and Free Expression at the University During the 2019-20 Academic Year and Committee Findings</u>

Pursuant to the Act, the University's policy, and Board's interest in a broad review of free expression across the University, the committee received information from the constituent institutions in 10 areas. The questions and summaries of the institutional responses are provided below.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
(1) A description of any barriers to or	No constituent institution reported an institutional
disruptions of free expression	barrier or disruption of free expression during the
within the constituent institution,	academic year.
including specific incidents	Institutional responses referenced the disruption to
and/or particularized	campus operations created by the COVID-19 pandemic.
complaints. 18	Despite these challenges and fewer on-campus events,
	institutions developed methods to continue free
	expression opportunities.
	One institution reported that a counter protester was
	arrested during an on-campus event, which was able to
	continue uninterrupted.
	Another institution reported needing to change the
	location of a student organization event because a local
	elections official informed the institution that the original
	location of the event would constitute a violation of
	election law.
(2) A description of the	13 institutions had no administrative action to report.
administrative handling and	As listed above, one institution reported an arrest to
discipline relating to disruption or	prevent disruption of a campus speech event. The arrest
	resulted in a misdemeanor charge.

¹⁸ G.S. 116-301(c)(1) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.1 of the UNC Policy Manual.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE		SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS		
barriers identified in response to	•	One institution reported that it had received concerns
(1). ¹⁹		about threats to freedom of expression. One of these
		concerns was investigated by the institution and the
		other was resolved through conversation. The
		investigated concern was not substantiated
	•	Another institution reviewed a student complaint against
		a University administrator for alleged violation of Section
		1300.8 of the Policy Manual. The institution found no
		evidence of a violation of the applicable NCGS and UNC
		Policy.
(3) Identification and description of	•	Multiple institutions reported successes in hosting a
any difficulties, controversies,		broad range of guest speakers, student organization
and successes in maintaining a		events, and visiting groups without incident.
posture of administrative and	•	One institution reported success in counseling students
institutional neutrality with		regarding expression of political speech and activity.
regard to political or social	•	Other successes included administrators responding to
issues. ²⁰		complaints by explaining applicable policies.
	•	One institution received an external complaint regarding
		an employee's expressive conduct. After review, the
		institution concluded that the concerns were without
		merit. The institution responded that it is not the proper
		role of the university to shield individuals from protected
		speech, and that the university's protection of protected
		speech should not be construed as an endorsement.
(4) Any assessments, criticisms,	•	Multiple institutions provided detailed responses
commendations, or		regarding their efforts in prioritizing free expression on
recommendation the constituent		

 $^{^{19}}$ G.S. 116-301(c)(2) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.2 of the UNC Policy Manual. 20 G.S. 116-301(c)(3) and Section 1300.8, III and VIII.C.3 of the UNC Policy Manual.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
institution would like the	campus, including maintaining or improving their policy
committee to consider in	rating status with FIRE.
preparing the annual report. 21	Institutions expressed appreciation for the UNC System
	Office facilitation of Responsible Officer training. It was
	suggested that the UNC System Office develop model
	training materials or resources.
	One institution reported that, based on student requests,
	additional information about free expression was
	introduced into its curriculum.
(5) Confirmation of whether the	15 institutions indicated that they had disseminated
institution fulfilled the University	information as required by policy.
policy requirements to	
disseminate information about	
institutional policies during the	
2019-20 academic year.	
(6) Identification of representative	Eleven institutions reported amending or adopting
institutional policies that	policies, including facilities use policies, student codes of
reinforce commitment to free	conduct, and student organization policies.
speech and free expression (e.g.,	In addition to constituent institution policies specifically
academic freedom, tenure	addressing free speech on campus, the most commonly
regulations, facilities use, etc.).	identified policies relate to use of facilities, student
	conduct, faculty conduct, and tenure and employment.
	Institutional policies reinforcing the University's
	commitment to free speech also relate to harassment
	and non-discrimination, campus events, solicitation, and
	advertising.

 $^{^{\}rm 21}$ G.S. 116-301(c)(4) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.4 of the UNC Policy Manual.

UNC Greensboro completed a complete review of policies during the 2017-18 academic year, and hadditional free expression related changes this year.	nad no
policies during the 2017-18 academic year, and I	nad no
additional free expression related changes this y	oar
	ear.
New Title IX regulations regarding sexual harassi	ment
caused some campuses to review or create police	У
language that affects free expression.	
North Carolina leads the nation in the number of	f public
higher education institutions with free speech a	nd free
expression policies receiving the Foundation for	Individual
Rights in Education's highest rating.	
(7) Examples of speakers or other • Institutions provided representative samplings of	f events
events that have been held at the and all institutions reported multiple speakers o	r free
institution during the 2019-20 expression events during the academic year.	
academic year. Several institutions reported instances of speakers.	ers
engaging in free expression on campus without i	nvitation
or registration.	
(8) Identification of communications, • All institutions identified that free expression	
trainings, or other educational communications, trainings, or outreach that had	taken
outreach regarding free speech place during the academic year.	
and free expression that have • Many institutions reported that relevant informations.	ation is
been provided during the 2019- readily available in the student handbooks or according to the student handbo	cessible
20 academic year. on web pages dedicated to free expression.	
Several institutions reported free expression training	ning that
is provided by campus administrators and/or	
programming related to civil discourse that is pa	rt of the
institution's annual calendar of campus events.	
(9) Information about security and • Institutions report either no or minimal addition	al
other costs associated with security costs associated with expressive events.	

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
protecting and affirming free	University security support is made available when
expression on campus.	warranted for crowd control purposes or to satisfy the
	policy requirements of a sponsoring organization or
	facility.
	Many institutions allocate campus police department
	resources for campus events or seek cooperation from
	local police departments or other System institutions.

As a result of the information gathered, it appears that (1) the constituent institutions are committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression; (2) disruptions and interference at scheduled speaking or expressive events have been minimal over the past year; (3) the constituent institutions are working to provide information to various campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with speech and expression on campus through policies, training, and other outreach; (4) the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 11 UNC System constituent institutions, more than any other state; and (5) some constituent institutions have incurred additional costs related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus; .

IV. Implementation of Past Report Recommendations

Constituent institutions reported a variety of processes and resources that have been introduced or improved to implement recommendations from the committee's 2018-19 free expression annual report. For instance, several institutions reported introducing or improving institutional complaint processes, including receiving reports or complaints through an institutional hotline, email address, or web portal. Most institutions have developed or improved user-friendly mechanisms for accessing campus speaker and event information. These resources include dedicated webpages listing events on campus or providing prospective speakers with information about relevant campus policies, facility reservation information, and contact information for relevant campus units or individuals. Most institutions report improvements or increases in their free expression training for students and employees, and some institutions have undertaken free speech and free expression training for their boards of trustees. Many

institutions report that they regularly review campus policies that affect free expression, and that during the past academic year they proactively communicated these policies to the campus community.

V. Committee Recommendations for 2020-21

The committee recognizes that there are always opportunities for improving the University's commitment to free speech and free expression. This annual report provides a welcome opportunity to consider options that will demonstrate our System-wide leadership and action in support of free speech and free expression. The committee therefore offers these recommendations for consideration for implementation by the UNC System Office, aimed at providing more awareness, consistency, and transparency on issues related to free speech and free expression starting with the upcoming academic year:

- 1. Foster opportunities for free speech and free expression among campus communities that are geographically disconnected due to social distancing guidelines.
- 2. Adapt traditional free expression expectations within increased virtual instruction and online interaction.
- 3. Provide training to constituent institution administrators who have transitioned into the Responsible Officer title.
- 4. Provide training to Responsible Officers regarding topics of institutional neutrality and political speech on campus.
- 5. Continue to encourage constituent institutions to further develop accessible resources to publicize information on scheduled speakers and events on campus.
- 6. Continue to encourage each constituent institution to develop standard resources for potential speakers describing in a user-friendly way how to access or reserve campus spaces, applicable time, place, and manner restrictions, any information about costs that may be assessed.
- 7. Continue to encourage constituent institutions to regularly review and, as necessary, revise policies impacting free expression to improve clarity and ensure protection of rights to free expression.

Taken together, these recommendations are designed to provide more visibility and understanding about the ongoing good work and commitment to protecting and promoting free speech and free expression at our constituent institutions; to assure that there are common definitions of certain issues and clear avenues for addressing questions, issues, or concerns; and to build skills and expertise of

campus administrators and other constituencies in this important area. The committee looks forward to periodic briefings on the progress of implementing these recommendations.

V. Conclusion

The committee unanimously supports the UNC System Office's and the constituent institutions' work and efforts in promoting and protecting free speech and free expression, increasing awareness and understanding of the broad protections for speech and expressive activities on campus, and taking action, when needed, to prevent substantial disruption or interference in scheduled events. Our constituent institutions offer a range of speakers, topics, and outreach, and we recognize the efforts of our faculty, administrators, and students to invite different, and even unpopular, views and opinions on important issues. We further recognize their efforts to allow (or participate in) protests without undue disruption to or interference with scheduled events, consistent with the constitutional protections of free speech and expression.

We encourage each institution to continue offering a broad range of perspectives in various speech and expressive activities, and we strongly encourage members of the Board of Governors and Boards of Trustees to attend these events. We affirm that the right to speak and the right to protest are values we share and cultivate across the UNC System, consistent with federal and state law. Among the important responsibilities we have in public higher education are clearly explaining the free expression rights and responsibilities held by students, faculty, staff, and University visitors, and upholding the rights of individuals and groups on our University campuses. We are grateful for the work being done, and acknowledge the UNC System's role in serving as an exemplar in this area for other higher education institutions and systems. With the recommendations we have provided, we look forward to an even more successful year ahead.

Accepted by the Board of Governors Committee on University Governance, the designated Board Committee on Free Expression on September 16, 2020.