

Report to the
Joint Legislative Education
Oversight Committee on the
Implementation of the ABCs

October 2000



Public Schools of North Carolina
State Board of Education . Department of Public Instruction
Office of Instructional and Accountability Services

State Board of Education

Phillip J. Kirk, Jr.
Chairman
Raleigh

Jane P. Norwood
Vice Chair
Charlotte

Kathy A. Taft
Greenville

Margaret B. Harvey
Kinston

Edgar D. Murphy
Durham

Evelyn B. Monroe
Pinehurst

Maria T. Palmer
Chapel Hill

Ronald E. Deal
Hickory

Robert R. Douglas
Asheville

Zoe W. Locklear
Pembroke

Eddie Davis III
Durham

Dennis A. Wicker
Lieutenant Governor
Sanford

Harlan E. Boyles
State Treasurer
Raleigh

NC Department of Public Instruction

Michael E. Ward, State Superintendent

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs

Executive Summary

G.S. 115C-12(25) requires the State Board of Education to submit annually by October 15 a report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee regarding the continued implementation of the ABCs Plan. Information in the report includes: update of fourth year ABCs results for schools, report on Assistance Teams, response to the Excellent Schools Act requirements, schools identified as low performing, and composition and activities of the Assistance Teams.

Table of Contents

Page

I. Update of Fourth Year ABCs Results

- Memorandum from Phillip Kirk and Michael Ward to the General Assembly..... 1
- Executive Summary of Results..... 3
- Report of Growth and Performance of Schools..... 11
- Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance..... 77
- Results of School Building Appeals..... 79

II. Report on Assistance Teams

- Composition of Activities of Assistance Teams..... 81
- Mandated Assistance for 2000-2001—K-8 Schools..... 83
- Schools Eligible for Voluntary Assistance – 2000..... 87
- K-8 Charter Schools Receiving Voluntary Assistance..... 88
- ABCs Assistance Teams' Training..... 91
- Performance Record of Schools Assigned State Mandated Assistance Teams..... 93

III. Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements

- Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act..... 95

IV. ABCs Recognition & Schedule of Recognition Activities

- ABCs Recognition..... 97
- 2000 ABCs Recognition Visitations..... 99

V. Proposed North Carolina Testing Program Redevelopment Plan/ Impact on ABCs..... 103

VI. Issues for Further Consideration..... 105

I. Update of Fourth Year ABCs Results



Public Schools of North Carolina

State Board of Education
Phillip J. Kirk, Jr., Chairman
<http://www.dpi.state.nc.us>

Department of Public Instruction
Michael E. Ward, State Superintendent

August 3, 2000

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Marc Basnight
President Pro Tempore
North Carolina Senate

The Honorable James Black
Speaker
North Carolina House of Representatives

Members, North Carolina General Assembly

FROM: Phillip J. Kirk Jr. *Phillip J. Kirk Jr.*
Chairman, State Board of Education

Michael E. Ward *Michael E. Ward*
State Superintendent

SUBJECT: ABCs Accountability Report

Just as you envisioned when the ABCs accountability program began, student performance is improving in North Carolina's schools. Results released today show that since the ABCs began in 1996-97, the percentage of K-8 students who are performing at grade level or above in both reading and mathematics has increased from 60 percent to 69.8 percent. While the increases in student proficiency this year were modest and the number of schools reaching their improvement targets declined slightly, the trend is continuing in the right direction.

Today, the State Board of Education approved the Growth and Performance Report of North Carolina's schools designating school status on the ABCs of Public Education accountability model. These results represent a tremendous amount of hard work and focus by the students, teachers, parents, administrators and others who support our schools. We applaud their efforts and hope you will join us in recognizing your local schools for their accomplishments.

The report designates the top schools in student academic growth and performance, schools that met and exceeded their growth, schools that are low-performing and other categories. The complete report is available for you and the public to view on a special web site set up for the release at <http://abc.neonova.net>. We want to thank Nortel Networks for their sponsorship of this site.

In the next few weeks, we will visit the Most Improved Schools and Schools of Excellence to recognize them for their performance. We will let you know when these events are planned in your communities, and hope that your schedule will allow you to participate. Also, you may wish to extend congratulations by calling or writing schools in your area that made improvement.

Thank you for your support of the children of our state. With your partnership, we look forward to continuing to improve student performance.

Enclosures
PMK: MEW:kw

301 N. Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-2825
An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

The ABCs of Public Education

1999 - 2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina

Executive Summary

(Reflects State Board of Education actions through October 5, 2000)

Background

The State Board of Education developed the ABCs of Public Education in response to the School-Based Management and Accountability Program enacted by the General Assembly in June 1996. The ABCs focuses on strong accountability with an emphasis on high educational standards, teaching the basics, and maximum local control. The first ABCs accountability model was implemented in elementary and middle schools in 1996-97. A high school accountability model was developed during 1996-97 and was implemented for the first time in 1997-98. In 1998-99 the two models were combined into one comprehensive ABCs model for elementary, middle and high schools. In 1999-2000 this model was implemented again, augmented by a new policy for participation of alternative schools. Additionally, the 1999-2000 accountability year is the first year of reporting ABCs results for schools that are administered by the Department of Health and Human Services and the Office of Juvenile Justice. These schools are given a special LEA code of 997 and 998, respectively, in the following report. Their inclusion is based on action of the General Assembly, which requires their participation in the ABCs.

The ABCs accountability program sets growth/gain and performance standards for each elementary, middle, and high school in the state. End-of-grade (EOG) and end-of-course (EOC) test results and selected other components are used to measure the schools' growth/gain and performance. Schools that attain the standards are eligible for incentive awards or other recognition (including Schools of Excellence, Schools of Distinction, 25 Most Improved K-8 Schools or 10 Most Improved High Schools in Academic Growth/Gain). To be eligible for incentive awards, schools also must not have excessive exemptions and must test at least 98% of their eligible students in K-8, and at least 95% of students enrolled in specific courses or grades in high school. Schools where growth/gain *and* performance fall below specified levels are designated as low-performing.

Participating Schools

In 1999-2000, every school that contained one or more of the grades 3-12 and that submitted the appropriate data participated in the ABCs. K-8 schools' data include test results in reading, mathematics, and writing; high schools' data include EOC and North Carolina High School Comprehensive Test results, percent of completers of College Prep/College Tech Prep courses of study, and competency passing rate. K-2 schools sending more than half of their students to a

single receiving school were eligible for incentive awards if the receiving school earned an incentive award based on making its growth standard.

Analyses

The status of schools and incentive awards were determined by the values of three composite scores in the ABCs. They are the expected growth/gain composite, the exemplary growth/gain composite, and the performance composite. These composite scores consisted of many components, depending on the grade span and curriculum of the school, as follows.

Expected growth/gain composites include growth for K-8 and grade 10 reading and mathematics based on three factors: statewide average growth, the previous performance of students in the school, and a statistical adjustment which is needed whenever test scores of the same students are compared from one year to the next. Expected growth/gain composites also include change, or gain over a two-year baseline in EOC and writing at grades 4 and 7. The college prep/college tech prep gain in percent of completers and change in competency passing rate from grade 8 to grade 10 are included in the expected growth/gain composite.

The exemplary growth/gain composite includes exemplary growth in reading and mathematics at grades 3 through 8 and 10. This standard factors in an additional ten percent above the statewide average growth. The exemplary gain computed for EOC indexes requires that a school improve by a specific amount over and above expected. There is no exemplary standard for the competency passing rate, writing at grades 4 and 7, and the gain in the percent of college prep/college tech prep completers.

The performance composite is based on the percentage of scores at Achievement Level III or above in reading, mathematics and writing in grades K-8, and specific courses and grades in high schools. Student test scores in Algebra I and II, Biology, Chemistry, English I and II, ELPS, Geometry, Physical Science, Physics, U.S. History, and the High School Comprehensive Test are included in the performance composite. The Algebra I scores of ninth graders who took Algebra I prior to ninth grade are included in the high school's performance composite. *(See Technical Notes in the Appendix for an explanation of how scores are handled in senior high schools.)*

The ABCs results published here were produced on a Compaq Computer, Model 6450X/9100/CD, Pentium II processor with 128 MB RAM running under Windows 98 (Full Version). Additional detail and technical information about ABCs analyses are provided in the Appendix.

Definition of Awards and Recognition Categories

Schools were classified into several categories for the purpose of awarding incentives and recognition. The award or recognition a school receives is determined in most cases by the school's attainment as reflected in the growth/gain composites (expected and exemplary) and the performance composite. (Exceptions include K-2 feeder schools, alternative schools, and special schools). The categories are defined as follows:

Schools of Excellence

A School of Excellence is a school that made expected growth/gain and had at least 90 percent of its students performing at or above Achievement Level III. Such schools will be recognized at a statewide event sponsored by the State Board of Education and the Department of Public Instruction in fall 2000. They will receive a dated banner to hang in the school and a certificate. In addition, they will receive whatever incentive award they earn as having made expected or exemplary growth/gain.

Schools of Distinction

A School of Distinction is a school that had at least 80 percent of its students performing at or above Achievement Level III irrespective of growth or gain (but does not qualify as a School of Excellence). Schools of Distinction will receive a plaque and a certificate.

25/10 Most Improved Schools in Academic Growth/Gain

The 25 Most Improved K-8 schools are those that attained the State's 25 highest values on the exemplary growth/gain composite. The 10 Most Improved High Schools attained the State's 10 highest values on the exemplary growth/gain composite. (Any school with a combination of grades which includes grade 9 or higher was eligible for the high school recognition rather than the K-8.) These schools were recognized at the statewide event in fall 2000. In addition, they received a dated banner to hang in the school, a certificate and financial incentive awards.

Schools Making Exemplary Growth/Gain

These schools attained their exemplary growth/gain standard. They will receive a certificate and incentive awards. Incentive awards for making exemplary growth/gain are \$1500 per person for certified staff and \$500 per person for teacher assistants.

Schools Making Expected Growth/Gain

These schools attained their expected growth/gain standard (but not their exemplary growth/gain standard). They will receive a certificate of recognition and financial incentive awards. Incentive awards for making expected growth/gain are \$750 per person for certified staff and \$375 per person for teacher assistants.

Schools with No Recognition

These schools did not make their expected growth/gain standards; *but* they have at least half their students scoring at or above Achievement Level III as measured by the performance composite.

Low-Performing Schools

Low-Performing Schools are those that fail to meet their expected growth/gain standard and have significantly less than 50% of their students performing at or above Achievement Level III.

In addition to the growth/gain and performance standards, there are testing requirements that all schools must meet. K-8 schools must test at least 98% of their eligible students. (Students who are individually exempted from testing in accordance with guidelines for North Carolina testing programs are not considered "eligible.") High schools must test at least 95% of all students enrolled in courses/grades for which EOC tests or the NCHSCT are given respectively. Also, schools must not have excessive exemptions.

In this report, schools that violate testing requirements are assigned a violation status and cannot receive another ABCs status, except low-performing. The low-performing schools that violate testing requirements are assigned the low-performing status in addition to the violation status.

Schools that violate any of the testing requirements are not eligible for financial awards. In addition, schools that violate testing requirements for two consecutive years may be designated as low-performing by the State Board of Education.

Presentation of Results

The results are presented in *A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I: 1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina*. The first section of this report, Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools, presents the growth/gain and performance of all non-alternative schools in North Carolina participating in the ABCs. Other sections, Schools of Excellence, Schools of Distinction, 25 Most Improved K-8 Schools, 10 Most Improved High Schools, Schools Making Exemplary Growth/Gain, Schools Making Expected Growth/Gain, and Low-Performing Schools, follow. Charter Schools with sufficient data to participate in the ABCs appear in the previous sections where appropriate and are also included in a separate section,

Charter Schools, for easy reference. Alternative schools appear *only* in a section designated Alternative Schools. Special education schools, vocational/career centers, and hospital schools also appear in a special section of the report. Schools that were unable to submit complete data before the processing deadlines are included in a section called Schools with Unresolved Data Issues. In sections of the report, where appropriate, a status column indicates each school's ABCs status or failure to meet testing requirements. North Carolina public schools that are not included in the ABCs because of data requirements are listed in the final section, Schools Not Included.

The Appendix includes an explanation of adjustments for special ABCs conditions, a summary of standard conventions used in the ABCs analyses in Technical Notes, and a table of the values, called Constants and Parameters, used in the ABCs growth formula and the gain computations.

Statistical Summary of Results

In the 1999-2000 implementation of the ABCs, 2115 public schools were assigned an ABCs status. These included traditional public schools spanning combinations of grades K-12, charter schools, alternative schools, and certain K-2 schools (those that fed more than 50% of their students into a single receiving school in 1999-2000). There were 31 special education, vocational/career centers and hospital schools who were not assigned an ABCs status, but participated on the basis of the schools that they served, as explained above. Schools that violated testing requirements were assigned a violation status and did not receive another ABCs status, except low-performing. There were three schools that violated testing requirements, two of which were low-performing. Twelve schools were not included in the ABCs because they failed to meet data requirements. The results for schools who were assigned a status appear below.

ABCs Results for All Schools

Award or Recognition Category	Number of Schools			%
	K-12	Alt.	Total	
Schools Making Exemplary Growth/Gain	935	20	955	45.2
Schools Making Expected (not Exemplary) Growth/Gain	479	38	517	24.4
Schools Receiving No Recognition	592	6	598	28.3
Low-performing Schools	42	2	44	2.1
<hr/>				
Total ABCs Schools	2048	66	2114	100.0

In addition, the following numbers of schools received special recognition. (These categories may overlap categories in the above table.)

Schools Receiving Other Recognition		
Category	Number of Schools	Percent of Schools
Schools of Excellence	73	3.5
Schools of Distinction	509	23.9
25 Most Improved K-8 Schools	25	1.2
10 Most Improved High Schools	10	0.5

Overall, 69.6% of the public schools made either expected or exemplary growth/gain standards under the 1999-2000 ABCs Accountability model.

Number and Percent of Public Schools in North Carolina Receiving Awards and Recognition, 1997-2000

Category	1996-97 ¹		1997-98 ²				1998-99 ³		1999-2000	
	K-8		K-8		HS		K8/HS		K8/HS	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Schools of Excellence	12	0.7	24	1.4	0	0.0	50	2.5	73	3.5
Schools of Distinction	158	9.7	289	16.8	1	0.2	408	20.6	509	24.1
Schools Making Exemplary Growth/Gain	531	32.5	1137	66.0	265	63.2	1156	58.2	956	45.2
Schools Making Expected Growth/Gain	395	24.2	308	17.9	83	19.8	456	23.0	520	24.6
Schools Receiving No Recognition ⁴	583	35.7	261	15.2	50	11.9	358	18.0	595	28.1
Low-Performing Schools Made Expected or Exemplary Growth/Gain	123	7.5	15	0.9	15	3.6	13	0.7	44	2.1
Total ABCs Schools	1632		1722		419		1985		2115	

¹1996-97 was the first year of implementation of the ABCs; only K-8 schools were included in the model for this year.

²1997-98 was the first year of implementation of the ABCs in high schools. (Schools whose grades spanned K-12 were included in statistical summaries for both K-8 and high schools, so there is duplication in these counts.)

³1998-99 and 1999-2000 were the first two years of the application of the comprehensive ABCs model; there is no duplication in these counts.

⁴This category was *No Recognition* in 1996-97, *Adequate Performance* in 1997-98, and *No Recognition* in 1998-99 and 1999-2000.

⁵Total ABCs Schools is the total number of schools participating in the ABCs for a given year. This total does not reflect the sum of the column; Schools of Excellence and Schools of Distinction are not exclusive categories and may include schools that appear in other categories.

Caution: Comparisons across years should be made with the above footnotes in mind.

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
010 ALAMANCE-BURLINGTON							
304	ALEXANDER WILSON	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
308	ALTAMAHAW OSSIPEE	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.1	~	Exm
310	BROADVIEW MID	6 8	No	No	63.8	9	NR
320	E M YODER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst
324	EASTERN ALAMANCE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.0	~	Exm
326	EASTLAWN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	63.6	~	NR
328	EDWIN M HOLT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.9	~	Exm
340	ELON COLLEGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
346	B EVERETT JORDAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm
348	GRAHAM HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	47.1	~	Exm
350	GRAHAM MID	6 8	No	No	72.5	9	NR
351	GROVE PARK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.8	~	Exm
354	HARVEY R NEWLIN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	67.8	~	Exp
357	HAW RIVER ELEM	K 5	No	No	57.6	~	NR
358	HILLCREST ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.6	~	Exp
360	HUGH M CUMMINGS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	48.9	~	Exm
362	MARVIN B SMITH ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	77.5	~	Exp
364	NORTH GRAHAM ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	61.2	~	Exm
372	PLEASANT GROVE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	67.2	~	Exm
374	R HOMER ANDREWS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	62.0	~	Exp
380	SOUTH GRAHAM ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	73.3	~	Exm
384	SOUTH MEBANE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm
388	SOUTHERN HS	9 12	Yes	No	54.6	~	Exp
390	SOUTHERN MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.9	9	Exp
392	SYLVAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Exm
394	TURRENTINE MID	6 8	No	No	81.3	~	Dst NR
396	WALTER M WILLIAMS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.6	~	Exm
400	WESTERN ALAMANCE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.4	~	Exm
403	WESTERN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
406	WOODLAWN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Exm Dst
01A LAKESIDE SCH							
000	LAKESIDE SCH	6 12	Yes	Yes	23.7	9	Exm
01B RIVER MILL CHARTER							
000	RIVER MILL CHARTER	K 12	Yes	No	62.5	5,9	Exp
020 ALEXANDER COUNTY							
302	ALEXANDER CENTRAL HS	10 12	Yes	Yes	63.3	3,9	Exm
304	BETHLEHEM ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
306	EAST JUNIOR HS	7 9	Yes	No	72.8	9	Exp
308	ELLENDALE ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
Expected	Exemplary					
020 ALEXANDER COUNTY						
316 HIDDENITE ELEM	K 6	No	No	75.1	~	NR
320 STONY POINT ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	72.1	~	Exp
324 SUGAR LOAF ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	79.6	~	Exm
328 TAYLORSVILLE ELEM	PK 6	No	No	64.2	~	NR
330 WEST JUNIOR HS	7 9	Yes	Yes	79.2	9	Exm
332 WITTENBURG ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	76.1	~	Exp
030 ALLEGHANY COUNTY						
304 ALLEGHANY HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.0	~	Exp
308 GLADE CREEK ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	80.7	9	Exm Dst
316 PINEY CREEK ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	90.4	9	Exm Exc
320 SPARTA ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	77.5	9	Exp
040 ANSON COUNTY						
306 ANSON HS	9 12	Yes	No	51.2	~	Exp
308 ANSONVILLE ELEM	PK 6	No	No	72.8	~	NR
309 ANSON MID	7 8	No	No	67.7	~	NR
311 CENTRAL ELEM	K 3	Yes	No	53.7	~	Exp
316 LILESVILLE ELEM	K 6	No	No	67.1	~	NR
324 MORVEN ELEM	PK 6	No	No	57.6	~	NR
328 PEACHLAND-POLKTON	K 6	Yes	Yes	68.6	~	Exm
330 WADESBORO ELEM	4 6	Yes	No	59.1	~	Exp
050 ASHE COUNTY						
302 ASHE COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.0	~	Exm
305 ASHE COUNTY MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	84.7	9	Exm Dst
310 BLUE RIDGE ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	81.3	~	Exp Dst
316 FLEETWOOD ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	80.1	~	Exm Dst
330 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	80.5	~	Exp Dst
348 WEST JEFFERSON ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Exm Dst
060 AVERY COUNTY						
302 AVERY COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.9	~	Exm
304 BANNER ELK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Exm Dst
308 BEECH MOUNTAIN ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exm Exc
310 CRANBERRY MID	6 8	No	No	81.3	9	Dst NR
316 CROSSNORE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	82.4	~	Dst NR
318 AVERY COUNTY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.8	9	Exm Dst
322 FREEDOM TRAIL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Exm
328 NEWLAND ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Exm Dst
332 RIVERSIDE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	82.3	~	Exp Dst
06A GRANDFATHER ACAD						
000 GRANDFATHER ACAD	K 12	Yes	No	37.5	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
			Expected	Exemplary			
06B CROSSNORE ACAD							
000	CROSSNORE ACAD	K 12	Yes	No	35.8	9	Exp
070 BEAUFORT COUNTY							
308	BATH ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	81.1	9	Exp Dst
312	BEAUFORT CO ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Exm Dst MI
316	BELHAVEN ELEM	2 8	No	No	70.9	9	NR
325	CHOCOWINITY MID	5 8	No	No	72.4	9	NR
326	CHOCOWINITY PRI	PK 4	Yes	No	77.4	~	Exp
327	JOHN C TAYLOE ELEM	2 3	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Exm
302	EASTERN ELEM	PK 1	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
328	JOHN SMALL ELEM	4 5	No	No	68.2	~	NR
330	NORTHSIDE HS	9 12	No	No	62.4	9	NR
338	P S JONES MID	6 8	No	No	72.3	~	NR
340	S W SNOWDEN ELEM	PK 8	No	No	70.5	9	NR
342	WASHINGTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	55.5	~	Exm
080 BERTIE COUNTY							
304	ASKEWVILLE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	73.5	~	NR
310	SOUTHWESTERN MID	6 8	No	No	62.8	~	NR
312	BERTIE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	37.3	~	Exm
320	C G WHITE MID	6 8	No	No	57.0	~	NR
336	JOHN P LAW ELEM	PK 5	No	No	65.1	~	NR
348	AULANDER ELEM	PK 5	No	No	41.5	~	LP
356	WEST BERTIE ELEM	K 5	No	No	58.8	~	NR
360	COLERAIN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	63.5	~	Exp
362	WINDSOR ELEM	K 5	No	No	53.6	~	NR
090 BLADEN COUNTY							
304	B T WASHINGTON PRI	PK 5	Yes	No	55.3	~	Exp
312	BLADEN MID	6 8	No	No	61.0	9	NR
316	BLADENBORO HS	9 12	Yes	No	52.0	~	Exp
317	BLADENBORO PRI	PK 5	Yes	No	74.6	~	Exp
318	BLADEN LAKES PRI	PK 5	Yes	No	73.3	~	Exp
320	CLARKTON SCH OF DISC	6 8	No	No	76.4	9	NR
324	DUBLIN ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	74.6	~	Exp
328	EAST ARCADIA ELEM	PK 8	No	No	62.6	~	NR
330	EAST BLADEN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	44.9	~	Exm
333	ELIZABETHTOWN PRI	PK 5	Yes	Yes	59.6	~	Exm
352	PLAIN VIEW PRI	PK 5	No	No	58.0	~	NR
360	SPAULDING-MONROE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	74.4	9	Exm
364	TAR HEEL MIDDLE-HS	6 12	No	No	54.0	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
Expected		Exemplary				
100 BRUNSWICK COUNTY						
302 BELVILLE ELEM	3 5	No	No	64.4	~	NR
320 LINCOLN PRI	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
304 BOLIVIA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Exm Dst
316 LELAND MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	78.3	9	Exm
326 NORTH BRUNSWICK HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.4	~	Exp
332 SHALLOTTE MID	5 8	Yes	No	82.5	9	Exp Dst
334 SOUTH BRUNSWICK HS	9 12	Yes	No	61.7	~	Exp
335 SOUTH BRUNSWICK MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.6	9	Exp Dst
336 SOUTHPORT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Exm Dst
338 SUPPLY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	75.5	~	Exp
340 UNION ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	76.1	~	Exp
342 V WILLIAMSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Exm Dst
344 WACCAMAW ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	83.8	9	Exm Dst
348 WEST BRUNSWICK HS	9 12	No	No	59.6	~	NR
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
304 A C REYNOLDS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Exm
306 A C REYNOLDS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.4	~	Exm Dst
308 BARNARDSVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm
318 BLACK MOUNTAIN ELEM	4 5	No	No	73.3	~	NR
320 BLACK MOUNTAIN PRI	K 3	No	No	70.4	~	NR
324 CANDLER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Exm Dst
332 CHARLES C BELL ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
336 CHARLES D OWEN HS	9 12	No	No	69.1	~	NR
338 CHARLES D OWEN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Exm Dst
340 CLYDE A ERWIN HS	9 12	No	No	65.9	~	NR
342 CLYDE A ERWIN MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.5	~	Exp Dst
348 EMMA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
352 ENKA HS	9 12	Yes	No	71.8	~	Exp
356 FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Exm Dst
366 GLEN ARDEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	92.5	~	Exm Exc
368 HAW CREEK ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	89.0	~	Exm Dst
372 JOHNSTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	76.5	~	Exp
376 LEICESTER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.8	~	Exm Dst
379 NORTH BUNCOMBE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst
380 NORTH BUNCOMBE HS	9 12	Yes	No	65.9	~	Exp
381 N BUNCOMBE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	85.8	~	Exm Dst
384 OAKLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
388 PISGAH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Exm Dst
401 ENKA MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.3	9	Exp Dst
408 SAND HILL-VENABLE	PK 5	Yes	Yes	78.8	~	Exm
410 AVERY'S CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	86.1	~	Exp Dst
412 W D WILLIAMS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	79.8	~	NR
416 T C ROBERSON HS	9 12	No	No	77.1	~	NR
420 VALLEY SPRINGS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
422 WILLIAM W ESTES ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	82.4	~	Exp Dst
428 WEAVERVILLE ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Exm Dst
432 WEAVERVILLE PRI	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
436 WEST BUNCOMBE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	82.6	~	Exp Dst
444 WOODFIN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm
111 ASHEVILLE CITY						
301 ACCELERATED LEARNING	6 8	No	No	50.0	~	NR
302 ASHEVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.3	~	Exp
304 HALL FLETCHER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	66.0	~	Exm
306 ISAAC DICKSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
312 CLAXTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
332 IRA B JONES PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	74.5	~	Exm
348 WILLIAM RANDOLPH ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	69.0	~	Exm
356 ASHEVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	75.0	~	Exp
360 VANCE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.4	~	Exm
11A EVERGREEN CMTY CHTR						
000 EVERGREEN CMTY CHTR	K 8	No	No	70.2	~	NR
11K F DELANY NEW SCH						
000 F DELANY NEW SCH	K 7	No	No	71.1	~	NR
120 BURKE COUNTY						
304 CHESTERFIELD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.0	~	Exp
314 EAST BURKE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	73.6	~	Exm
315 EAST BURKE MID	6 8	No	No	82.9	9	Dst NR
316 FOREST HILL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Exm Dst
318 FREEDOM HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	72.3	~	Exm
320 GEORGE HILDEBRAN	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Exm Dst
324 GLEN ALPINE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	76.5	~	Exp
330 HALLYBURTON ELEM	3 5	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst
312 DREXEL PRI	K 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
334 HERITAGE MID	6 8	Yes	No	86.0	9	Exp Dst
338 HILDEBRAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Exm
340 HILLCREST ELEM	PK 5	No	No	68.2	~	NR
344 ICARD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.8	~	Exp
350 LIBERTY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	85.1	~	Exm Dst
356 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Exm MI
360 MULL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.1	~	Exm Dst
364 OAK HILL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	79.0	~	NR
368 RAY CHILDERS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.2	~	Exp Dst
372 RUTHERFORD COL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	93.1	~	Exm Exc
374 SALEM ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	83.0	~	Exp Dst
376 TABLE ROCK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	82.3	9	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
120 BURKE COUNTY						
380 VALDESE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	90.1	~	Exp Exc
386 W A YOUNG ELEM	K 5	No	No	75.0	~	NR
130 CABARRUS COUNTY						
304 A T ALLEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exm Exc
308 BETHEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
309 BEVERLY HILLS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Exm Dst
310 CENTRAL CABARRUS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	73.8	~	Exm
311 COLTRANE-WEBB ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.5	~	Exm
312 HARRISBURG ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Exm Dst
313 CONCORD MID	6 8	No	No	78.4	9	NR
314 CONCORD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.8	~	Exm
315 J N FRIES MID	6 8	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst
322 MT PLEASANT ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.2	~	Exp
323 MT PLEASANT MID	6 8	No	No	82.4	9	Dst NR
324 MT PLEASANT HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.1	6,9	Exm
326 N W CABARRUS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	80.1	~	Exm Dst MI
327 N W CABARRUS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exm Exc
328 ROYAL OAKS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Exm
329 ROCKY RIVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Exm Dst
330 R B MCALLISTER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	78.4	~	Exp
334 SCH FOR ENVIR STUDY	4 8	No	No	69.9	~	NR
336 W R ODELL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.9	~	Exp
338 WEDDINGTON HILLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Exm Dst
340 WINECOFF ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.9	~	Exm
342 W M IRVIN ELEM	K 5	No	No	67.0	~	NR
344 WOLF MEADOW ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	82.7	~	Exp Dst
132 KANNAPOLIS CITY						
304 A L BROWN HS	9 12	Yes	No	59.0	~	Exp
308 FOREST PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.0	~	Exm
312 FRED L WILSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
328 JACKSON PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.9	~	Exp
330 KANNAPOLIS MID	6 8	No	No	72.4	~	NR
332 SHADY BROOK ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.5	~	NR
336 WOODROW WILSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.4	~	Exm
140 CALDWELL COUNTY						
304 BATON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Exm Dst
308 COLLETTSVILLE ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	87.3	9	Exm Dst
312 DAVENPORT ELEM	K 5	No	No	83.9	~	Dst NR
316 DUDLEY SHOALS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Exm
324 GAMEWELL ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.0	~	NR
332 GAMEWELL MID	6 8	No	No	73.5	9	NR
336 GRANITE FALLS MID	6 8	No	No	83.6	9	Dst NR
340 GRANITE FALLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
140 CALDWELL COUNTY						
344 HAPPY VALLEY ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	80.5	~	Exp Dst
348 HIBRITEN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	60.4	~	Exm
352 HUDSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
356 HUDSON MID	6 8	Yes	No	85.3	9	Exp Dst
360 KINGS CREEK ELEM	K 8	No	No	72.7	~	NR
368 WILLIAM LENOIR MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.6	9	Exp Dst
372 LOWER CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.6	~	Exm Dst
376 OAK HILL ELEM	K 8	No	No	79.8	~	NR
384 SAWMILLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.3	~	Exm Dst
386 SOUTH CALDWELL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	64.0	~	Exm
388 VALMEAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm
390 WEST CALDWELL HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.9	~	Exp
392 WEST LENOIR ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	61.0	~	Exm
396 WHITNEL FOUR SEASONS	PK 5	Yes	No	69.4	~	Exp
150 CAMDEN COUNTY						
304 CAMDEN COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.8	6,9	Exm
308 GRANDY PRI	PK 4	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Exm
312 CAMDEN MID	5 8	Yes	Yes	85.6	9	Exm Dst
160 CARTERET COUNTY						
304 ATLANTIC ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	92.3	9	Exm Exc
306 BOGUE SOUND ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm
308 BEAUFORT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Exm
310 BEAUFORT MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	74.8	9	Exm
311 BROAD CREEK MID	6 8	No	No	84.6	9	Dst NR
313 EAST CARTERET HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.9	~	Exp
314 CROATAN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.8	5,9	Exm
316 HARKERS ISLAND ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Exm Dst MI
317 MOREHEAD CITY ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Exm
318 MOREHEAD CITY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst
322 MOREHEAD CITY PRI	PK 3	Yes	Yes	79.0	~	Exm
324 NEWPORT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.4	~	Exm Dst
332 SMYRNA ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	77.0	9	Exm
344 WEST CARTERET HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.4	6,9	Exm
352 WHITE OAK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.0	~	Exm
16A CAPE LOOKOUT HIGH						
000 CAPE LOOKOUT HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	41.5	5,9	Exm
16B TILLER SCH						
000 TILLER SCH	K 6	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
Expected Exemplary						
170 CASWELL COUNTY						
316 BARTLETT YANCEY HS	9 12	No	No	45.4	~	LP
334 NORTH ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	62.8	~	Exm
338 N L DILLARD JR HS	7 8	No	No	71.1	~	NR
339 OAKWOOD ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Exm
342 SOUTH ELEM	PK 6	No	No	70.9	~	NR
344 STONEY CREEK ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	85.0	~	Exm Dst
180 CATAWBA COUNTY						
302 HARRY M ARNDT MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	88.0	9	Exm Dst
304 BALLS CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	66.1	~	Exp
308 BANDYS HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.3	~	Exp
312 BANOAK ELEM	K 6	No	No	69.9	~	NR
316 BLACKBURN ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	82.8	~	Exp Dst
320 BUNKER HILL HS	9 12	Yes	No	59.3	~	Exp
321 CATAWBA CO EXT DAY	9 12	Yes	Yes	38.6	9	Exm
324 CATAWBA INTERMEDIATE	6 6	Yes	No	70.9	9	Exp
326 CHARLES H TUTTLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	76.7	9	Exp
332 CLAREMONT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	73.7	~	Exp
336 CLYDE CAMPBELL ELEM	K 6	No	No	82.4	~	Dst NR
340 FRED T FOARD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.9	9	Exm
342 JACOBS FORK MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	91.9	9	Exm Exc
344 MAIDEN ELEM	K 5	No	No	75.6	~	NR
348 MAIDEN HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.7	~	Exp
352 MILL CREEK MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Exm Dst
356 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Exm Dst
360 OXFORD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	67.9	~	Exp
364 RIVER BEND MID	7 8	No	No	75.0	~	NR
368 SHERRILLS FORD ELEM	K 5	No	No	76.2	~	NR
372 ST STEPHENS ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	83.2	~	Exp Dst
376 ST STEPHENS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	75.0	~	Exm
380 STARTOWN ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Exm
384 SWEETWATER ELEM	K 6	No	No	66.8	~	NR
388 WEBB A MURRAY ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	78.4	~	Exp
181 HICKORY CITY						
312 COLLEGE PARK MID	6 8	No	No	76.2	~	NR
316 GRANDVIEW MID	6 8	No	No	73.9	9	NR
322 HICKORY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	70.4	~	Exm
326 W M JENKINS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	83.4	~	Exm Dst
332 LONGVIEW ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	61.0	~	Exm
336 OAKWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.3	~	Exm
342 SOUTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	56.3	~	Exp
344 VIEWMONT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
182 NEWTON-CONOVER							
316	NEWTON-CONOVER HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.8	~	Exm
321	NEWTON-CONOVER MID	6 8	Yes	No	86.5	9	Exp Dst
322	SHUFORD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.4	~	Exp
324	SOUTH NEWTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.5	~	Exm
328	THORNTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Exm
18B ENGELMANN ART/SCI							
000	ENGELMANN ART/SCI	K 6	No	No	40.8	~	LP
190 CHATHAM COUNTY							
304	BENNETT ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	86.0	~	Exp Dst
308	BONLEE ELEM	K 8	No	No	77.1	~	NR
312	CHATHAM MID	5 8	No	No	72.3	~	NR
316	CHATHAM CENTRAL HS	9 12	No	No	62.8	~	NR
328	HORTON MID	5 8	No	No	75.9	9	NR
332	J S WATERS ELEM	K 8	No	No	72.5	~	NR
336	JORDAN MATTHEWS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.4	~	Exm
340	MONCURE ELEM	PK 8	No	No	61.7	~	NR
341	NORTH CHATHAM ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	85.3	9	Exm Dst
342	NORTHWOOD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.4	~	Exm
346	PERRY W HARRISON ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	85.0	9	Exm Dst
348	PITTSBORO ELEM	K 4	No	No	65.3	~	NR
350	SILER CITY ELEM	K 4	Yes	No	64.2	~	Exp
352	SILK HOPE ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	82.9	~	Exp Dst
19A CHATHAM CHARTER							
000	CHATHAM CHARTER	K 8	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Exm Dst
19B WOODS CHARTER							
000	WOODS CHARTER	4 12	No	No	62.1	5,9	NR
200 CHEROKEE COUNTY							
304	ANDREWS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst
308	ANDREWS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	77.9	6,9	Exm
310	ANDREWS MID	6 8	Yes	No	88.6	~	Exp Dst
312	HIWASSEE DAM UNION	PK 12	Yes	No	71.8	6,9	Exp
316	MARBLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	84.8	~	Exp Dst
320	MARTINS CREEK ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Exm Dst
324	MURPHY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	88.2	~	Exp Dst
328	MURPHY HS	9 12	Yes	No	79.1	6,9	Exp
330	MURPHY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.2	~	Exm Exc

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
200 CHEROKEE COUNTY						
332 PEACHTREE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	92.4	~	Exm Exc
336 RANGER ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	80.9	~	Exp Dst
20A THE LEARNING CTR						
000 THE LEARNING CTR	K 8	No	No	57.8	~	NR
210 EDENTON/CHOWAN						
304 CHOWAN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	76.3	~	Exm
306 D F WALKER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	56.4	~	Exp
312 JOHN A HOLMES HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.6	~	Exp
316 WHITE OAK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Exm
220 CLAY COUNTY						
308 HAYESVILLE ELEM	PK 4	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Exm Dst
310 HAYESVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	75.8	6,9	Exm
312 HAYESVILLE MID	5 8	Yes	Yes	90.2	9	Exm Exc
230 CLEVELAND COUNTY						
308 BOILING SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.1	~	Exp Dst
312 BURNS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	60.1	~	Exm
316 BURNS MID	6 8	No	No	76.9	~	NR
320 CASAR ELEM SCH ARTS	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.6	~	Exm
324 CREST SENIOR HS	9 12	No	No	63.2	~	NR
328 CREST MID SCH TECH	6 8	Yes	No	79.9	~	Exp
336 ELIZABETH ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	80.4	~	Exp Dst
340 FALLSTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	73.0	~	Exp
368 TOWNSHIP THREE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	70.7	~	NR
370 UNION ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	71.0	~	Exm
376 WASHINGTON MATH/SCI	K 5	Yes	Yes	67.3	~	Exm
231 KINGS MOUNTAIN						
304 BETHWARE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
316 EAST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exm Exc MI
320 GROVER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.7	~	Exm
324 KINGS MOUNTAIN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.2	~	Exm
325 KINGS MOUNTAIN MID	6 8	No	No	81.2	~	Dst NR
328 NORTH ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	82.1	~	Exp Dst
336 WEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exm Exc
232 SHELBY CITY						
308 GRAHAM ELEM	PK 5	No	No	56.1	~	NR
310 JAMES LOVE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	64.6	~	NR
313 JEFFERSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
232 SHELBY CITY					
316 MARION ELEM	1 5	Yes Yes	72.3	~	Exm
328 SHELBY MID	6 8	No No	74.7	~	NR
332 SHELBY HS	9 12	Yes Yes	66.8	~	Exm MI
240 COLUMBUS COUNTY					
304 ACME DELCO MID	6 8	No No	70.6	9	NR
308 ACME DELCO ELEM	PK 5	Yes No	71.3	~	Exp
318 BOYS & GIRLS HOME	3 8	No No	58.2	~	NR
328 CERRO GORDO ELEM	K 8	Yes No	82.2	9	Exp Dst
330 CHADBOURN MID	5 8	Yes Yes	73.2	9	Exm
332 CHADBOURN ELEM	PK 4	No No	69.6	~	NR
334 EAST COLUMBUS HS	9 12	Yes Yes	45.7	~	Exm
344 EVERGREEN ELEM	K 8	No No	76.3	9	NR
348 FAIR BLUFF ELEM	PK 8	Yes No	69.7	~	Exp
352 GUIDEWAY ELEM	K 8	No No	66.2	~	NR
354 HALLSBORO-ARTESIA	K 4	No No	63.9	~	NR
356 HALLSBORO MID	5 8	Yes Yes	70.7	9	Exm
370 OLD DOCK ELEM	K 8	No No	72.7	~	NR
371 SOUTH COLUMBUS HS	9 12	Yes Yes	55.0	9	Exm
372 TABOR CITY ELEM	PK 5	Yes No	65.4	~	Exp
376 TABOR CITY MID	6 8	No No	76.4	9	NR
380 WEST COLUMBUS HS	9 12	Yes Yes	52.7	9	Exm
388 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP	K 8	No No	77.4	~	NR
241 WHITEVILLE CITY					
304 CENTRAL MID	6 8	No No	75.3	9	NR
308 EDGEWOOD ELEM	3 5	No No	65.3	~	NR
320 WHITEVILLE PRI	PK 2	No No		1	NR
316 WHITEVILLE HS	9 12	No No	60.5	~	NR
250 CRAVEN COUNTY					
304 ALBERT H BANGERT	K 5	No No	79.8	~	NR
306 BEN D QUINN ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
308 BRIDGETON ELEM	K 5	No No	77.4	~	NR
312 BRINSON MEMORIAL	K 5	Yes Yes	90.2	~	Exm Exc
320 VANCEBORO-FARM LIFE	PK 5	Yes Yes	76.3	~	Exm
324 GROVER C FIELDS MID	6 8	No No	72.7	9	NR
328 GRAHAM A BARDEN ELEM	K 5	No No	74.0	~	NR
332 H J MACDONALD MID	6 8	No No	81.1	~	Dst NR
336 HAVELOCK ELEM	K 5	No No	77.5	~	NR
340 HAVELOCK HS	9 12	Yes Yes	64.7	~	Exm
344 HAVELOCK MID	6 8	Yes No	74.6	9	Exp
348 J T BARBER ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	79.2	~	Exm
350 JAMES W SMITH ELEM	K 5	No No	69.6	~	NR
356 NEW BERN HS	9 12	Yes Yes	63.8	~	Exm
360 OAKS ROAD ELEM	K 5	No No	69.9	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
250 CRAVEN COUNTY						
362 ROGER R BELL ELEM	K 5	No	No	73.1	~	NR
364 TRENT PARK ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.3	~	NR
368 TUCKER CREEK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	82.7	9	Exm Dst
372 WEST CRAVEN HS	9 12	No	No	58.5	~	NR
376 WEST CRAVEN MID	6 8	No	No	77.3	~	NR
378 W JESSE GURGANUS	K 5	No	No	83.1	~	Dst NR
380 ARTHUR W EDWARDS	PK 5	Yes	No	84.3	~	Exp Dst
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
306 ARMSTRONG ELEM	PK 5	No	No	73.6	~	NR
308 ASHLEY ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst
363 GLENDALE ACRES ELEM	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
310 LOYD E AUMAN ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.2	~	NR
312 BEAVER DAM ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Exm Dst
316 LILLIAN BLACK ELEM	K 5	No	No	47.1	*	NR
320 BRENTWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.9	~	Exm
321 DOUGLAS BYRD MID	6 8	No	No	73.3	~	NR
322 DOUGLAS BYRD HS	9 12	No	No	49.4	*	NR
324 YOUNG HOWARD ELEM	PK 5	No	No	56.4	~	NR
325 CAPE FEAR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.5	~	Exm
326 ELIZ CASHWELL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	60.2	~	NR
332 EASTOVER CENTRAL	PK 5	Yes	Yes	90.4	~	Exm Exc
336 ANNE CHESNUTT MID	6 8	Yes	No	76.9	9	Exp
338 CLIFFDALE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.3	~	Exm
340 COLLEGE LAKES ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.8	~	NR
342 C WAYNE COLLIER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	73.4	~	Exp
344 J W COON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	71.2	~	NR
348 CUMBERLAND MILLS	PK 5	No	No	67.7	~	NR
352 CUMBERLAND ROAD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	66.7	~	Exm
356 DISTRICT NO 7 ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	90.0	~	Exm Exc
358 LUTHER JERALDS MID	6 8	No	No	59.8	~	NR
359 E E SMITH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	45.2	~	Exm
361 FERGUSON-EASLEY ELEM	PK 5	No	No	62.1	~	NR
364 GRAY'S CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.7	~	NR
365 R MAX ABBOTT MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Exm Dst
366 HOWARD L HALL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	70.6	~	Exp
367 BILL HEFNER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	85.2	~	Exp Dst
368 HOPE MILLS MID	6 8	No	No	81.8	~	Dst NR
369 JOHN GRIFFIN MID	6 8	Yes	No	82.1	~	Exp Dst
370 ED V BALDWIN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	70.4	~	Exp
371 IRELAND DRIVE MID	6 8	No	No	70.9	~	NR
372 LEWIS CHAPEL MID	6 8	No	No	74.6	9	NR
380 LONG HILL ELEM	2 5	Yes	No	88.4	~	Exp Dst
412 RALEIGH ROAD ELEM	K 1	Yes	No		1	Exp
382 LUCILE SOUDERS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	64.6	~	NR
383 MAC WILLIAMS MID	6 8	No	No	82.4	~	Dst NR
386 MARGARET WILLIS ELEM	K 5	No	No	61.4	~	NR
388 MASSEY HILL CLASSICAL	9 11	Yes	Yes	71.0	5	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
396 MARY MCARTHUR ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.8	~	Exp
397 E M HONEYCUTT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.9	~	Exm
398 E E MILLER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.0	~	Exp
400 MONTCLAIR ELEM	PK 5	No	No	66.5	~	NR
401 MORGANTON ROAD ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.7	~	NR
402 MANCHESTER ELEM	1 5	Yes	No	64.6	~	Exp
384 MAE R WILLIAMS KIND	K K	Yes	No		1	Exp
404 WILLIAM H OWEN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	65.1	~	Exp
405 PAULINE JONES ELEM	PK 5	No	No	50.0	~	NR
406 PINE FOREST MID	6 8	No	No	80.7	~	Dst NR
408 PINE FOREST HS	9 12	Yes	No	49.7	~	Exp
410 PONDEROSA ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.5	~	Exp
411 REID ROSS CLASSICAL	6 10	No	No	77.5	4,9	NR
414 BENJAMIN J MARTIN	PK 5	No	No	70.1	~	NR
415 ROCKFISH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.9	~	Exm Dst
416 J W SEABROOK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	74.0	~	NR
418 71ST CLASSICAL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	94.5	~	Exm Exc
424 SEVENTY-FIRST HS	9 12	Yes	No	52.7	~	Exp
425 SOUTH VIEW MID	6 8	No	No	74.5	~	NR
426 SHERWOOD PARK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	81.1	~	Exp Dst
427 SOUTH VIEW HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.6	~	Exp
428 SPRING LAKE MID	6 8	No	No	67.5	9	NR
430 STEDMAN ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm
432 STEDMAN PRI	PK 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
440 SUNNYSIDE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	59.6	~	NR
444 TERESA BERRIEN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	49.2	*	NR
446 TERRY SANFORD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	64.0	~	Exm
448 VANSTORY HILLS ELEM	2 5	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
302 ALMA O EASOM ELEM	K 1	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
450 WARRENWOOD ELEM	PK 5	No	No	69.0	~	NR
452 WESTAREA ELEM	PK 5	No	No	55.5	~	NR
454 WESTOVER MID	6 8	No	No	70.0	~	NR
455 WESTOVER HS	9 12	Yes	No	43.9	~	Exp
456 ALGER B WILKINS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	69.0	~	Exp
459 WILLIAM T BROWN ELEM	K 5	No	No	79.0	~	NR
26A OMA'S INC CHARTER						
000 OMA'S INC CHARTER	6 12	No	No	27.3	9	LP
270 CURRITUCK COUNTY						
304 CENTRAL ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst MI
306 CURRITUCK COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.6	~	Exm MI
308 CURRITUCK CO MID	7 8	Yes	No	82.2	~	Exp Dst
310 THE J P KNAPP SCH	K 6	Yes	Yes	79.7	~	Exm
312 KNOTTS ISLAND ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Exm Dst MI
316 MOYOCK ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Exm Dst
320 W T GRIGGS ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
280 DARE COUNTY						
302 CAPE HATTERAS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.2	~	Exp
304 CAPE HATTERAS SECOND	6 12	No	No	63.1	~	NR
308 KITTY HAWK ELEM	K 5	No	No	84.4	~	Dst NR
312 MANTEO ELEM	PK 5	No	No	77.2	~	NR
316 MANTEO HS	9 12	No	No	71.6	~	NR
320 MANTEO MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.5	9	Exm Dst
324 FIRST FLIGHT ELEM	PK 5	No	No	77.0	~	NR
328 FIRST FLIGHT MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	86.8	~	Exm Dst
290 DAVIDSON COUNTY						
306 NORTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.9	~	Exm Dst
308 CENTRAL DAVIDSON HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.2	~	Exp
309 CENTRAL DAVIDSON MID	6 8	No	No	79.1	~	NR
312 CHURCHLAND ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.1	~	Exp
316 DAVIS-TOWNSEND ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.6	~	Exm
320 DENTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.2	~	Exm
322 E LAWSON BROWN MID	6 8	No	No	81.1	~	Dst NR
324 EAST DAVIDSON HS	9 12	No	No	58.4	~	NR
328 FAIR GROVE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
332 HASTY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Exm Dst
334 LEDFORD MID	6 8	Yes	No	88.6	~	Exp Dst
336 LEDFORD SENIOR HS	9 12	No	No	66.4	~	NR
344 MIDWAY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.4	~	Exm Dst
348 NORTH DAVIDSON SR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	70.7	~	Exm
350 NORTH DAVIDSON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Exm Dst
352 PILOT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Exm Dst
356 REEDS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Exm Dst
364 SILVER VALLEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
365 SOUTH DAVIDSON HS	6 12	Yes	No	64.3	~	Exp
366 SOUTHWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Exm
376 TYRO MID	6 8	Yes	No	83.7	~	Exp Dst
380 WALLBURG ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Exm Dst
384 WELCOME ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	75.9	~	Exp
388 WEST DAVIDSON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	59.4	~	Exm
291 LEXINGTON CITY						
308 CHARLES ENGLAND INT	4 5	No	No	63.2	~	NR
332 LEXINGTON MID	6 8	No	No	67.3	~	NR
336 LEXINGTON SR HS	9 12	No	No	51.0	9	NR
340 PICKETT PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	73.8	~	Exm
348 S LEXINGTON/DEV WING	PK 3	No	No	61.9	~	NR
352 SOUTHWEST ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
292 THOMASVILLE CITY							
316	LIBERTY DRIVE ELEM	3 5	No	No	58.4	~	NR
318	THOMASVILLE PRI	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
320	THOMASVILLE MID	6 8	No	No	62.8	9	NR
324	THOMASVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	55.8	~	Exm
300 DAVIE COUNTY							
308	COOLEEMEE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.4	~	Exp
312	DAVIE COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	69.2	~	Exm
320	MOCKSVILLE ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Exm Dst
324	CENTRAL DAVIE ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst
325	NORTH DAVIE MID	6 8	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst
326	PINEBROOK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Exm Dst
328	SHADY GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Exm Dst
330	SOUTH DAVIE MID	6 8	No	No	78.9	~	NR
336	WILLIAM R DAVIE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	74.2	~	Exp
310 DUPLIN COUNTY							
304	B F GRADY ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	78.4	~	Exm
308	BEULAVILLE ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	80.0	~	Exp Dst
324	CHARITY MID	6 8	No	No	73.9	9	NR
330	CHINQUAPIN ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Exm Dst
336	WARSAW ELEM	PK 5	No	No	55.9	~	NR
340	E E SMITH MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.1	9	Exp
344	EAST DUPLIN HS	9 12	No	No	59.4	~	NR
352	JAMES KENAN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	51.1	~	Exm
356	KENANSVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Exm
360	NORTH DUPLIN ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	78.9	~	Exp
364	NORTH DUPLIN JR SR	7 12	Yes	No	68.7	~	Exp
384	ROSE HILL-MAGNOLIA	PK 6	Yes	No	70.7	~	Exp
388	WALLACE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
392	WALLACE-ROSE HILL HS	9 12	No	No	51.7	~	NR
396	WARSAW MID	6 8	No	No	55.8	9	NR
320 DURHAM COUNTY							
304	BETHESDA ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	64.0	~	Exp
306	BROGDEN MID	6 8	No	No	73.7	~	NR
308	BURTON ELEM	K 5	No	No	54.9	~	NR
310	EASTWAY ELEM	K 5	No	No	40.6	~	LP
312	C E JORDAN HS	9 12	No	No	62.6	~	NR
313	EASLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Exm Dst
314	CHEWNING MID	6 8	No	No	57.5	~	NR
315	ENO VALLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm
316	G CARRINGTON MID	6 8	No	No	78.4	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exo-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
320 DURHAM COUNTY						
318 CLUB BLVD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	68.7	~	Exp
320 GLENN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	53.1	~	NR
323 DURHAM SCH OF ARTS	6 12	Yes	Yes	73.3	6,9	Exm
324 HILLANDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	78.3	~	Exp
325 HILLSIDE HS	9 12	Yes	No	39.1	~	Exp
327 HOPE VALLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.2	~	Exp
328 HOLT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	71.0	~	Exm
332 FOREST VIEW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.0	~	Exm
338 JAMES E SHEPARD MID	6 8	Yes	No	85.3	~	Exp Dst
339 LAKEWOOD ELEM	K 5	No	No	53.4	~	NR
340 LITTLE RIVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.2	~	Exm
344 FAYETTEVILLE ST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.1	~	Exm MI
346 LOWE'S GROVE MID	6 8	No	No	62.9	~	NR
347 GEORGE WATTS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	54.9	~	Exp
348 MANGUM ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Exm Dst
352 MERRICK-MOORE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.1	~	Exp
354 MOREHEAD MONTESSORI	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.6	~	Exm Dst
355 NEAL MID	6 8	No	No	65.3	~	NR
356 NORTHERN HS	9 12	No	No	59.4	~	NR
360 OAK GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.4	~	Exp
362 PARKWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.4	~	Exm
363 E K POWE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	54.0	~	Exp
364 PEARSONTOWN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.4	~	Exp
365 RIVERSIDE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.1	6,9	Exm
366 SHERWOOD GITHENS MID	7 8	No	No	70.9	~	NR
367 R N HARRIS ELEM	K 5	No	No	52.5	~	NR
368 SOUTHERN HS	9 12	Yes	No	43.2	~	Exp
370 ROGERS-HERR MID	6 6	No	No	63.1	~	NR
372 SOUTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.4	~	Exp
374 C C SPAULDING ELEM	PK 5	No	No	49.9	*	NR
388 W G PEARSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	54.1	~	Exm
400 Y E SMITH ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	55.0	~	Exp
32A MAUREEN JOY CHARTER						
000 MAUREEN JOY CHARTER	K 5	No	No	29.8	~	LP
32B HEALTHY START ACAD						
000 HEALTHY START ACAD	K 4	No	No	35.2	~	LP
32C CARTER COMMUNITY						
000 CARTER COMMUNITY	K 9	No	No	31.8	9	LP
32D KESTREL HEIGHTS SCH						
000 KESTREL HEIGHTS SCH	6 10	Yes	No	59.7	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
32E TURNING POINT ACAD						
000 TURNING POINT ACAD	K 8	No	No	28.8	~	LP
32G OMUTEKO GWAMAZIIMA						
000 OMUTEKO GWAMAZIIMA	K 12	No	No	29.6	9	LP
32H RESEARCH TRI CHARTER						
000 RESEARCH TRI CHTR	K 4	No	No	31.4	~	LP
330 EDGECOMBE COUNTY						
304 G W BULLUCK ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Exm Dst
308 G W CARVER ELEM	PK 4	No	No	56.3	~	NR
312 COKER-WIMBERLY MAG	PK 3	No	No	61.1	~	NR
324 C B MARTIN MID	6 8	No	No	56.2	~	NR
328 N EDGECOMBE MAGNET	9 12	Yes	Yes	50.8	6,9	Exm
330 PATTILLO ELEM	4 5	No	No	57.5	~	Exp (Appeal)
332 PHILLIPS MAGNET	4 8	No	No	52.4	~	NR
334 PRINCEVILLE MONTES	PK 3	No	No	73.5	~	Exp (Appeal)
336 ROBERSON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	48.6	*	NR
340 S EDGECOMBE MID	5 8	No	No	63.9	~	NR
348 W EDGECOMBE MID	4 8	No	No	69.1	~	NR
350 SW EDGECOMBE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.7	6,9	Exm
354 STOCKS ELEM	PK 3	No	No	48.0	*	NR
358 TARBORO HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	57.6	6,9	Exm
340 FORSYTH COUNTY						
308 ASHLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	47.7	~	Exp
312 ATKINS MID	6 8	No	No	56.9	9	NR
314 BOLTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	67.7	~	Exp
320 BRUNSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Exm Dst
330 CARVER HS	9 12	Yes	No	41.7	~	Exp
334 CASH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Exm Dst
348 CLEMMONS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Exm Dst
350 CLEMMONS MID	6 8	Yes	No	84.9	~	Exp Dst
351 COOK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	47.3	~	Exp
354 THE DOWNTOWN SCH	PK 5	Yes	No	88.2	~	Exp Dst
360 DIGGS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	58.1	~	NR
364 EAST FORSYTH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.9	~	Exm
368 EASTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	62.2	~	Exp
376 FOREST PARK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	49.1	*	NR
382 R B GLENN HS	9 12	Yes	No	55.4	~	Exp
384 GRIFFITH ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	74.0	~	Exp
390 HALL-WOODWARD ELEM	PK 5	No	No	56.2	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
340 FORSYTH COUNTY						
392 HANES MID	6 8	No	No	76.8	9	NR
396 HILL MID	6 8	No	No	57.2	9	NR
400 IBRAHAM ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	56.8	~	Exm
403 JEFFERSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.4	~	Exm Exc
406 JEFFERSON MID	6 8	Yes	No	89.5	~	Exp Dst
416 KERNERSVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.1	~	Exm
420 KERNERSVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.1	~	Exp Dst
424 KIMBERLEY PARK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	46.2	*	NR
428 KONNOAK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	62.0	~	Exp
430 LATHAM ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	67.4	~	Exm
432 LEWISVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Exm Dst
440 MEADOWLARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.5	~	Exp Dst
442 MEADOWLARK MID	6 8	Yes	No	85.4	~	Exp Dst
448 MINERAL SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	61.6	~	Exp
452 MINERAL SPRINGS MID	6 8	No	No	66.0	~	NR
453 MOORE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
454 MOUNT TABOR HS	9 12	Yes	No	69.1	~	Exp
460 NORTH FORSYTH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	64.2	~	Exm
462 NORTH HILLS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	46.7	*	NR
464 NORTHWEST MID	6 8	No	No	83.4	~	Dst NR
472 OLD RICHMOND ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.9	~	Exm
476 OLD TOWN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	63.4	~	Exp
480 PAISLEY MID	6 8	No	No	69.8	9	NR
486 PARKLAND HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	53.8	~	Exm
490 PETREE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	44.3	~	LP
492 PHILO MID	6 8	No	No	70.2	~	NR
494 PINEY GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Exm Dst
496 REYNOLDS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	69.3	~	Exm
504 RURAL HALL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	74.2	~	Exp
508 SEDGE GARDEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.7	~	Exp
512 SHERWOOD FOREST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Exm Dst
516 SOUTHEAST MID	6 8	Yes	No	79.7	~	Exp
520 SOUTH FORK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	69.1	~	Exp
528 SOUTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.6	~	Exm Exc
530 SPEAS ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.8	~	NR
532 UNION CROSS ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.8	~	NR
536 VIENNA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.3	~	Exm Dst
540 WALKERTOWN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	74.9	~	Exp
544 WALKERTOWN MID	6 8	No	No	73.3	~	NR
556 WEST FORSYTH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	74.1	~	Exm
560 WHITAKER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	85.7	~	Exp Dst
564 WILEY MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.8	~	Exp
34A LIFT ACAD						
000 LIFT ACAD	6 12	No	No	9.4	9	LP

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
34B QUALITY EDUC ACAD						
000 QUALITY EDUC ACAD	6 8	No	No	52.5	~	NR
34C DOWNTOWN MID						
000 DOWNTOWN MID	6 8	No	No	79.4	~	NR
34D WOODSON SCH OF CHAL						
000 WOODSON SCH OF CHAL	K 9	Yes	No	44.8	9	Exp
34E EAST WINSTON PRI						
000 EAST WINSTON PRI	K 4	Yes	No	20.8	~	Exp
34F FORSYTH ACAD						
000 FORSYTH ACAD	K 5	No	No	61.9	~	NR
350 FRANKLIN COUNTY						
304 BUNN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	72.4	~	NR
308 BUNN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.9	9	Exm
310 BUNN MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.3	~	Exp Dst
312 CEDAR CREEK MID	6 8	No	No	73.0	~	NR
316 EDWARD BEST ELEM	PK 5	No	No	72.8	~	NR
318 FRANKLINTON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	59.7	~	NR
321 FRANKLINTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	55.3	~	Exm
330 LAUREL MILL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	63.7	~	NR
332 LOUISBURG ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	66.5	~	Exp
336 LOUISBURG HS	9 12	Yes	No	52.1	~	Exp
338 TERRELL LANE MID	6 8	Yes	No	66.5	9	Exp
340 YOUNGSHVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Exm Dst
360 GASTON COUNTY						
308 ARLINGTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	60.1	~	Exm
310 ASHBROOK HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.7	6,9	Exm
320 BELMONT CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	86.0	~	Exp Dst
324 BELMONT MID	6 8	No	No	81.3	9	Dst NR
332 BESSEMER CENTRAL	3 5	Yes	No	67.7	~	Exp
338 BESSEMER CITY PRI	PK 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
336 BESSEMER CITY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.6	6,9	Exm
340 BROOKSIDE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	70.4	~	Exp
344 CARR ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm
348 CATAWBA HEIGHTS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	78.2	~	Exp
352 CHAPEL GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.6	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
360 GASTON COUNTY							
360	CHERRYVILLE SR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	70.8	6,9	Exm
364	CHERRYVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Exm
376	COSTNER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.0	~	Exp
380	CRAMERTON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.2	~	Exm Dst
390	EAST GASTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	64.5	6,9	Exm
396	FORESTVIEW HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	69.9	5,6,9	Exm
400	GARDNER PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.7	~	Exp
408	W P GRIER MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.3	~	Exm Dst
426	HOLBROOK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Exm Dst
428	HUNTER HUSS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	56.0	6,9	Exm
430	J B PAGE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	73.1	~	Exm
431	JOHN CHAVIS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	77.8	9	Exm
432	KISER ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Exm
499	SPRINGFIELD PRI	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
436	BESSEMER CITY MID	6 8	No	No	75.1	9	NR
438	LINGERFELDT ELEM	PK 5	No	No	60.7	~	NR
440	LOWELL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.6	~	Exm Dst
448	MCADENVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Exm
456	MOUNT HOLLY MID	6 8	No	No	80.6	9	Dst NR
460	FOREST HEIGHTS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	64.6	~	Exp
464	NEW HOPE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Exm Dst
468	NORTH BELMONT ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.4	~	NR
470	NORTH GASTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.6	6,9	Exm
478	PINWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.6	~	Exp
480	PLEASANT RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Exm
482	IDA RANKIN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Exm Dst
484	RHYNE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	48.8	*	NR
488	ROBINSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exm Exc
490	SHERWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.7	~	Exp
492	HERSHAL H BEAM ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	71.4	~	Exm
494	SOUTH POINT HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	71.1	6,9	Exm
498	SOUTHWEST MID	6 8	No	No	69.0	9	NR
500	STANLEY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	77.1	9	Exm
504	TRYON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	68.8	~	Exm
510	W A BESS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.1	~	Exm Dst
514	W C FRIDAY MID	6 8	Yes	No	74.1	9	Exp
520	WOODHILL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	39.2	~	LP
526	YORK CHESTER MID	6 8	No	No	66.5	9	NR
370 GATES COUNTY							
304	BUCKLAND ELEM	PK 5	No	No	71.9	~	NR
308	CENTRAL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	77.9	9	Exm
312	GATES COUNTY SR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.8	9	Exm
316	GATESVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Exm Dst
324	T S COOPER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
380 GRAHAM COUNTY							
306	ROBBINSVILLE ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Exm
308	ROBBINSVILLE HS	9 12	No	No	72.7	~	NR
310	ROBBINSVILLE MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Exm Dst
390 GRANVILLE COUNTY							
309	BUTNER-STEM MID	5 8	No	No	78.7	~	NR
311	BUTNER-STEM ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	67.2	~	Exp
312	C G CREDLE ELEM	2 3	No	No	66.1	~	NR
364	WEST OXFORD ELEM	PK 1	No	No		1	NR
316	CREEDMOOR ELEM	PK 4	No	No	77.8	~	NR
320	G C HAWLEY MID	5 8	No	No	83.9	9	Dst NR
324	J F WEBB HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.7	6,9	Exm
332	MARY POTTER INT	4 6	No	No	62.4	~	NR
334	NORTHERN GRANVILLE	7 8	Yes	No	76.1	~	Exp
336	JOE TOLER-OAK HILL	PK 6	No	No	60.5	~	NR
352	SOUTH GRANVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	69.8	~	Exm
360	STOVALL-SHAW ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
368	WILTON ELEM	PK 4	No	No	73.8	~	NR
400 GREENE COUNTY							
308	GREENE CENTRAL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	57.0	9	Exm
312	GREENE COUNTY MID	6 8	No	No	63.6	~	NR
332	WEST GREENE ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	69.4	~	Exm
321	SNOW HILL PRI	PK 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
410 GUILFORD COUNTY							
304	ALAMANCE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
307	EDWIN A ALDERMAN	K 5	No	No	57.3	~	NR
310	ALLEN JAY ELEM	PK 5	No	No	57.7	~	NR
313	ALLEN JAY MID	6 8	No	No	74.8	~	NR
316	ALLEN MID	6 8	No	No	62.4	~	NR
319	T WINGATE ANDREWS HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.6	~	Exp
322	ARCHER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	64.7	~	Exm
325	AYCOCK MID	6 8	No	No	73.5	~	NR
328	BESSEMER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	64.6	~	Exm
331	BLUFORD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.5	~	Exm Dst
334	BRIGHTWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.6	~	Exp
337	BROOKS GLOBAL	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exm Exc
340	BROWN SUMMIT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.2	~	Exm Dst
343	CLAXTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.0	~	Exm
346	COLFAX ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.2	~	Exm Exc
349	CEASAR CONE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.8	~	Exm
355	DUDLEY HS	9 12	Yes	No	39.2	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
410 GUILFORD COUNTY							
358	EASTERN GUILFORD HS	9 12	No	No	53.4	~	NR
361	ERWIN OPEN ELEM	K 5	No	No	57.8	~	NR
364	FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 5	No	No	53.4	~	NR
367	FERNDALE MID	6 8	No	No	62.6	~	NR
370	FLORENCE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Exm Dst
373	JULIUS I FOUST ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	52.0	~	Exp
376	CYRUS P FRAZIER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.7	~	Exm
382	GIBSONVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	78.8	~	Exp
388	GENERAL GREENE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Exm Dst
391	ALFRED J GRIFFIN MID	6 8	No	No	63.1	9	NR
394	GRIMSLEY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Exm MI
397	GUILFORD MID	3 8	Yes	Yes	84.8	~	Exm Dst
400	GUILFORD PRI	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
403	W M HAMPTON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	50.8	~	NR
406	HIGH PT CENTRAL HS	9 12	No	No	57.0	~	NR
409	HUNTER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	70.1	~	Exm
412	IRVING PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	66.6	~	Exm
415	JACKSON MID	6 8	No	No	61.4	~	NR
418	JAMESTOWN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.6	~	Exm
421	JAMESTOWN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Exm Dst
423	JEFFERSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.3	~	Exm Exc MI
424	JESSE WHARTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
427	JOHNSON STREET ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	63.0	~	Exp
430	DAVID D JONES ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.9	~	Exm
436	JAMES Y JOYNER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.4	~	Exm
439	KIRKMAN PARK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	59.8	~	NR
442	KISER MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Exm Dst
448	LINCOLN MID	6 8	No	No	58.5	~	NR
451	JOHN VAN LINDLEY	PK 5	Yes	No	70.1	~	Exp
454	MADISON ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.1	~	Exp
460	EASTERN MID	6 8	Yes	No	74.2	~	Exp
463	MENDENHALL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Exm Dst
466	MILLIS ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Exm Dst
469	MONTLIEU AVE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	58.7	~	Exm
472	MOREHEAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.3	~	Exm
478	MURPHEY TRAD ACAD	PK 5	Yes	No	75.0	~	Exp
481	NATHANAEL GREENE	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.2	~	Exm
484	NORTHEAST HS	9 12	Yes	No	55.2	~	Exp
487	NORTHEAST MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
490	NORTHWEST HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	80.7	~	Exm Dst
493	NORTHWEST MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exm Exc
496	NORTHWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	62.1	~	Exp
499	OAK HILL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	53.8	~	NR
502	OAK RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Exm Dst
505	OAK VIEW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	71.3	~	Exm
508	PAGE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	71.2	~	Exm
511	PARKVIEW VILLAGE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	59.4	~	NR
514	CLARA J PECK ELEM	K 5	No	No	52.2	~	NR
517	PEELER OPEN ELEM	K 5	No	No	55.9	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
410 GUILFORD COUNTY						
522 PILOT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.8	~	Exm Dst
523 PLEASANT GARDEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.7	~	Exp
529 LUCY RAGSDALE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.5	~	Exm
532 RANKIN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	58.3	~	NR
535 SEDALIA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.3	~	Exm
538 SEDGEFIELD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.5	~	Exm
541 SHADYBROOK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.9	~	Exp
544 BEN L SMITH HS	9 12	Yes	No	39.2	~	Exp
547 SOUTHEAST HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.9	~	Exm
550 SOUTHEAST MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
553 SOUTHERN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.1	~	Exp
556 SOUTHERN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.1	~	Exm MI
559 SOUTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Exm Dst
562 SOUTHWEST HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.8	~	Exm
565 SOUTHWEST MID	6 8	Yes	No	84.8	~	Exp Dst
568 STERNBERGER ELEM	K 5	No	No	71.9	~	NR
571 STOKESDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Exm Dst
574 SUMMERFIELD ELEM	2 5	Yes	Yes	94.1	~	Exm Exc
445 LAUGHLIN PRI	K 1	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
577 SUMNER ELEM	PK 5	No	No	57.4	~	NR
580 UNION HILL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	62.1	~	Exm
583 VANDALIA ELEM	K 5	No	No	55.2	~	NR
586 WASHINGTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	59.5	~	Exm
592 LAURIN WELBORN MID	6 8	No	No	68.3	~	NR
595 WESTERN HS	9 12	No	No	64.8	~	NR
598 C WILEY ACCEL/ENRICH	K 5	No	No	59.6	~	NR
41A IMANI INSTITUTE						
000 IMANI INSTITUTE	6 8	No	No	56.3	~	NR
41B GREENSBORO ACAD						
000 GREENSBORO ACAD	K 5	No	No	76.4	~	NR
420 HALIFAX COUNTY						
304 AURELIAN SPRINGS	PK 5	Yes	No	76.8	~	Exp
308 BAKERS ELEM	3 5	No	No	60.6	~	NR
356 SCOTLAND NECK PRI	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
312 BRAWLEY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.0	9	Exm Dst
316 DAWSON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	73.4	~	NR
320 EASTMAN MID	6 8	No	No	77.7	9	NR
324 ENFIELD MID	6 8	No	No	60.6	9	NR
328 EVERETTS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	68.1	~	Exp
336 HOLLISTER ELEM	PK 5	No	No	78.5	~	NR
340 INBORDEN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	65.5	~	NR
344 MCIVER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.9	~	Exp
346 NORTHWEST HS	9 12	No	No	26.3	~	LP

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
420 HALIFAX COUNTY						
348 PITTMAN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Exm
358 SOUTHEAST HALIFAX HS	9 12	Yes	No	23.7	~	Exp
376 WILLIAM R DAVIE MID	6 8	No	No	60.2	~	NR
421 ROANOKE RAPIDS CITY						
304 BELMONT ELEM	PK 5	No	No	69.4	~	NR
306 CHALONER MID	6 8	No	No	73.8	~	NR
316 ROANOKE RAPIDS HS	9 12	Yes	No	63.3	6,9	Exp
328 WILLIAM L MANNING	PK 5	Yes	No	84.7	~	Exp Dst
422 WELDON CITY						
304 ANDREW JACKSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.6	~	Exm
314 WELDON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	61.1	~	Exm
318 WELDON MID	6 8	No	No	64.3	9	NR
324 WELDON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	29.1	~	Exm
430 HARNETT COUNTY						
308 ANGIER ELEM	4 5	No	No	79.4	~	NR
312 BENHAVEN ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	72.0	~	Exm
348 JOHNSONVILLE PRI	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
316 BOONE TRAIL ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.3	~	NR
320 BUIES CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.8	~	NR
328 COATES ELEM	K 5	No	No	67.3	~	NR
330 COATES-ERWIN MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.7	~	Exp
332 DUNN MID	6 8	No	No	76.2	~	NR
336 ERWIN ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	75.1	~	Exm
340 GENTRY PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
344 HARNETT PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	77.4	~	Exm
346 HARNETT CENTRAL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.6	~	Exm
347 HARNETT CENTRAL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Exm Dst
352 LAFAYETTE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst
356 LILLINGTON ELEM	4 5	No	No	62.9	~	NR
368 NORTH HARNETT PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Exm Dst
372 SHAWTOWN PRI	PK 3	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
376 SOUTH HARNETT ELEM	3 5	Yes	No	77.0	~	Exp
304 ANDERSON CREEK PRI	K 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
378 TRITON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.2	~	Exm
380 WAYNE AVENUE ELEM	4 5	No	No	66.8	~	NR
384 WESTERN HARNETT HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.6	~	Exp
386 WESTERN HARNETT MID	6 8	No	No	73.9	9	NR
43A HARNETT EARLY CHILD						
000 HARNETT EARLY CHILD	K 5	No	No	41.8	*	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
440 HAYWOOD COUNTY						
314 BETHEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Exm
318 BETHEL MID	6 8	Yes	No	88.9	9	Exp Dst
320 CANTON MID	6 8	No	No	81.5	9	Dst NR
324 CENTRAL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Exm Dst
328 CLYDE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Exm Dst
332 RIVERBEND ELEM	K 5	No	No	84.8	~	Dst NR
348 HAZELWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
349 JONATHAN VALLEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	83.3	~	Exp Dst
350 JUNALUSKA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Exm Dst
364 MEADOWBROOK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	73.0	~	NR
368 NORTH CANTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Exm Dst MI
378 PISGAH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	70.5	~	Exm
390 TUSCOLA HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	72.1	~	Exm
396 WAYNESVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst
450 HENDERSON COUNTY						
301 APPLE VALLEY MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.4	9	Exp Dst
302 ATKINSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	83.7	~	Exp Dst
304 BALFOUR ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.8	~	Exm Dst
306 BRUCE DRYSDALE ELEM	K 5	No	No	62.2	~	NR
308 DANA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
316 EAST HENDERSON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Exm
322 EDNEYVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.4	~	Exp
324 ETOWAH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.1	~	Exm Dst
328 FLAT ROCK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.5	9	Exm Dst
332 FLETCHER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
333 HENDERSONVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Exm Dst
334 HENDERSONVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	73.3	~	Exm
335 HENDERSONVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.8	9	Exm Dst
336 HILLANDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Exm
339 GLENN C MARLOW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Exm Dst
340 MILLS RIVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Exm Dst
341 NORTH HENDERSON HS	9 12	No	No	64.2	~	NR
342 RUGBY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.8	9	Exm Dst
346 UPWARD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	78.6	~	Exp
352 WEST HENDERSON HS	9 12	No	No	72.3	~	NR
45A MOUNTAIN CMTY SCH						
000 MOUNTAIN CMTY SCH	K 6	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exm Exc
460 HERTFORD COUNTY						
308 AHOSKIE GRADED	PK 3	No	No	61.8	~	NR
316 C S BROWN ELEM	PK 5	No	No	61.3	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
460 HERTFORD COUNTY							
318	HERTFORD CO MID	6 8	Yes	No	59.0	9	Exp
320	HERTFORD COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	32.4	~	Exm
328	ROBERT L VANN ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	58.5	~	Exm
332	RIVERVIEW ELEM	PK 5	No	No	52.9	~	NR
470 HOKE COUNTY							
312	HOKE COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	43.5	~	Exm
316	J W MCLAUCHLIN ELEM	K 5	No	No	62.5	~	NR
320	WEST HOKE MID	6 8	No	No	64.3	~	NR
328	SCURLOCK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	63.2	~	Exm
330	SOUTH HOKE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	65.5	~	Exp
332	EAST HOKE MID	6 8	No	No	73.5	~	NR
336	WEST HOKE ELEM	K 5	No	No	52.8	~	NR
340	ROCKFISH HOKE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Exm Dst
346	UPCHURCH ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	70.6	~	Exp
441	SANDY GROVE ELEM	K 5	No	No	62.5	~	NR
480 HYDE COUNTY							
306	MATTAMUSKEET ELEM	PK 5	No	No	60.1	~	NR
307	MATTAMUSKEET HS	9 12	No	No	46.9	9,*	NR
309	MATTAMUSKEET MID	6 8	No	No	68.3	9	NR
316	OCRACOKE	K 12	Yes	Yes	84.3	9	Exm Dst
490 IREDELL-STATESVILLE							
302	ALAN RUTHERFORD ELEM	3 5	No	No	64.5	~	NR
376	WAYSIDE ELEM	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
308	BRAWLEY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Exm Dst
312	CELESTE HENKEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.1	~	Exp
316	CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.6	~	NR
324	COOL SPRING ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Exm
326	EAST IREDELL ELEM	2 5	Yes	No	66.8	~	Exp
350	PRESSLY ELEM	K 1	Yes	No		1	Exp
327	EAST MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Exm
328	EBENEZER ELEM	3 5	No	No	67.8	~	NR
347	NORTHVIEW ELEM	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
332	HARMONY ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	68.3	~	Exp
336	LAKE NORMAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exm Exc
337	LAKESHORE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
340	MONTICELLO ELEM	3 5	No	No	66.5	~	NR
345	N B MILLS ELEM	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
344	MOUNT MOURNE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	81.3	~	Exm Dst
346	NORTH IREDELL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.0	~	Exm
348	SCOTTS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.5	~	Exp
349	NORTH IREDELL MID	6 8	No	No	75.5	9	NR
352	STATESVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	71.0	9	Exp
354	STATESVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.2	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
490 IREDELL-STATESVILLE						
356 SHARON ELEM	K 5	No	No	70.8	~	NR
360 SHEPHERD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
362 SOUTH IREDELL HS	9 12	No	No	65.4	~	NR
364 TROUTMAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	68.5	~	Exm
366 TROUTMAN MID	6 8	No	No	73.6	9	NR
368 UNION GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.5	~	Exp
380 WEST IREDELL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.7	~	Exm
384 WEST IREDELL MID	6 8	No	No	76.1	~	NR
491 MOORESVILLE CITY						
306 MOORESVILLE INT	4 6	Yes	Yes	75.8	~	Exm
308 MOORESVILLE MID	7 8	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Exm Dst
312 MOORESVILLE SR HS	9 12	No	No	65.9	~	NR
316 PARK VIEW ELEM	PK 3	Yes	No	72.4	~	Exp
320 SOUTH ELEM	K 3	Yes	No	81.2	~	Exp Dst
49A AM RENAISSANCE						
000 AM RENAISSANCE	K 5	No	No	60.1	~	NR
49B AM RENAISSANCE MID						
000 AM RENAISSANCE MID	6 8	No	No	68.6	~	NR
500 JACKSON COUNTY						
304 CULLOWHEE VALLEY SCH	K 8	Yes	No	83.9	9	Exp Dst
314 FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	83.2	9	Exm Dst
316 BLUE RIDGE SCH	PK 12	No	No	62.2	9	NR
332 SCOTTS CREEK ELEM	K 8	No	No	74.7	~	NR
337 SMOKEY MOUNTAIN ELEM	PK 8	No	No	77.1	~	NR
340 SMOKY MOUNTAIN HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.6	~	Exm
50A SUMMIT CHARTER						
000 SUMMIT CHARTER	K 8	Yes	Yes	80.0	9	Exm Dst
510 JOHNSTON COUNTY						
308 BENSON ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	77.2	~	Exp
310 BENSON MID	5 9	No	No	80.0	9	Dst NR
324 CLAYTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	77.2	~	Exm
326 WEST CLAYTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
328 CLEVELAND ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	87.4	~	Exm Dst
329 CLEVELAND MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Exm Dst
330 COOPER ELEM	K 5	No	No	79.7	~	NR
332 CORINTH HOLDERS SCH	PK 8	No	No	70.0	9	NR
336 EAST CLAYTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
510 JOHNSTON COUNTY							
340	FOUR OAKS ELEM	K 5	No	No	80.0	~	Dst NR
342	FOUR OAKS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.2	9	Exm Dst
344	NORTH JOHNSTON MID	6 8	No	No	81.3	9	Dst NR
356	GLENDALE-KENLY ELEM	K 5	No	No	77.2	~	NR
358	MCGEE'S CROSSROADS	K 5	Yes	No	82.7	~	Exp Dst
360	MEADOW ELEM	K 8	No	No	83.0	9	Dst NR
368	NORTH JOHNSTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	72.6	~	Exm
372	MICRO-PINE LEVEL	K 5	No	No	73.5	~	NR
376	PRINCETON HS	K 12	Yes	No	80.8	~	Exp Dst
388	SELMA ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	72.8	~	Exp
390	SELMA MID SCH	5 9	Yes	No	75.9	9	Exp
396	SMITHFIELD ELEM	3 5	No	No	69.2	~	NR
404	SOUTH SMITHFIELD PRI	PK 2	No	No		1	NR
397	SMITHFIELD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Exm Dst
399	SMITHFIELD-SELMA HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.9	9	Exp
402	SOUTH JOHNSTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	70.5	~	Exm
408	WILSON'S MILLS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	82.4	~	Exp Dst
412	CLAYTON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exm Exc
520 JONES COUNTY							
304	POLLOCKSVILLE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	72.5	~	NR
308	COMFORT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Exm Dst
320	JONES SENIOR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	41.0	9	Exm
324	JONES MID	6 8	No	No	74.1	9	NR
328	MAYSVILLE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	62.7	~	NR
336	TRENTON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	74.3	~	NR
530 LEE COUNTY							
302	BENJAMIN T BULLOCK	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.9	~	Exm
308	BROADWAY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	65.4	~	Exm
312	DEEP RIVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	67.5	~	Exm
314	EAST LEE MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.2	~	Exp
320	GREENWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	63.7	~	Exp
336	LEE COUNTY SR HS	9 12	Yes	No	65.1	~	Exp
340	J GLENN EDWARDS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	64.9	~	Exp
346	TRAMWAY ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	85.2	~	Exm Dst MI
354	J R INGRAM JR ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.0	~	Exp
356	WEST LEE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
53A PROVISIONS ACAD							
000	PROVISIONS ACAD	6 12	No	No	20.2	9	LP
540 LENOIR COUNTY							
304	BANKS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Exm Dst
306	C H BYNUM ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	85.0	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
540 LENOIR COUNTY						
308 CONTENTNEA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.0	~	Exm
312 E B FRINK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	87.4	9	Exm Dst
314 J H SAMPSON ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	70.9	~	Exm
315 KINSTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	59.0	6,9	Exm
316 LAGRANGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Exm
320 MOSS HILL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Exm Dst
324 NORTH LENOIR HS	9 12	Yes	No	66.3	6,9	Exp
326 NORTHWEST ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	94.2	~	Exm Exc
328 PINK HILL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.0	~	Exm MI
330 ROCHELLE MID	6 8	No	No	71.8	9	NR
332 SAVANNAH MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	74.9	9	Exm
336 SOUTH LENOIR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	69.6	~	Exm
338 SOUTHEAST ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	77.9	~	Exm
340 SOUTHWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Exm
342 TEACHERS MEMORIAL	K 3	No	No	57.7	~	NR
344 WOODINGTON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	82.9	9	Exm Dst
54A CHILDREN'S ACAD						
000 CHILDREN'S ACAD	K 5	No	No	54.9	~	NR
550 LINCOLN COUNTY						
312 BATTLEGROUND ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.5	~	Exm
314 CATAWBA SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Exm
316 G E MASSEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	61.6	~	Exp
320 EAST LINCOLN HS	9 12	No	No	61.3	~	NR
322 EAST LINCOLN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	74.1	~	Exm
328 IRON STATION ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
332 LINCOLNTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.4	~	Exm
334 LINCOLNTON MID	6 8	No	No	72.0	9	NR
336 LOVE MEMORIAL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.9	~	Exm
342 NORTH BROOK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Exm
348 PUMPKIN CTR ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst
350 PUMPKIN CTR MID	6 8	Yes	No	82.6	~	Exp Dst
356 ROCK SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm
360 S RAY LOWDER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.2	~	Exm
364 UNION ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Exm
368 WEST LINCOLN HS	9 12	Yes	No	52.5	~	Exp
372 WEST LINCOLN MID	6 8	No	No	74.8	9	NR
55A LINCOLN CHARTER						
000 LINCOLN CHARTER	K 7	No	No	70.9	~	NR
560 MACON COUNTY						
304 CARTOOGECWAYE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Exm Dst
308 COWEE ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	86.2	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
560 MACON COUNTY						
328 IOTLA ELEM	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
312 CULLASAJA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst
316 EAST FRANKLIN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Exm Dst
320 FRANKLIN HS	9 12	No	No	64.1	~	NR
324 HIGHLANDS SCH	K 12	Yes	Yes	81.0	9	Exm Dst
330 MACON COUNTY MID	6 8	No	No	81.6	9	Dst NR
332 NANTAHALA SCH	K 12	Yes	Yes	79.9	9	Exm
336 OTTO ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exm Exc
340 UNION ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Exm Dst
570 MADISON COUNTY						
312 HOT SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Exm
316 LAUREL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.1	~	Exp
318 MADISON HS	9 12	Yes	No	66.1	~	Exp
319 MADISON MID	6 8	No	No	80.5	9	Dst NR
320 MARS HILL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
324 MARSHALL ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	69.4	~	Exm
326 MARSHALL PRI	K 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
332 WALNUT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	90.3	~	Exm Exc
580 MARTIN COUNTY						
304 BEAR GRASS HS	7 12	Yes	No	70.9	9	Exp
312 E J HAYES ELEM	3 5	Yes	No	59.3	~	Exp
308 WILLIAMSTON PRI	PK 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 EAST END ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	62.3	~	Exp
320 EDNA ANDREWS ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	67.1	~	Exp
324 JAMESVILLE SCH	K 8	Yes	No	70.2	~	Exp
328 JAMESVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.6	9	Exp
344 ROANOKE HS	9 12	Yes	No	42.8	9	Exp
350 ROBERSONVILLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	68.1	9	Exp
356 RODGERS ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	68.0	~	Exm
364 WEST MARTIN ELEM	K 8	No	No	50.6	~	NR
368 WILLIAMSTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	56.1	9	Exm
372 WILLIAMSTON MID	6 8	No	No	60.7	9	98R
590 MCDOWELL COUNTY						
306 EASTFIELD ELEM	K 6	No	No	69.2	~	NR
310 EAST MCDOWELL JR HS	7 9	Yes	No	77.3	~	Exp
316 GLENWOOD ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	74.6	~	Exp
320 MARION ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Exm Dst
330 MCDOWELL HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.3	3,9	Exp
332 NEBO ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	76.9	~	Exp
336 NORTH COVE ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	79.7	~	Exm
340 OLD FORT ELEM	K 6	No	No	78.5	~	NR
344 PLEASANT GARDENS	K 6	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Exm Dst
352 WEST MARION ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	71.3	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
590 MCDOWELL COUNTY						
356 WEST MCDOWELL JR HS	7 9	Yes	No	78.3	~	Exp
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
300 ALBEMARLE ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.9	~	Exm
301 ALBEMARLE ROAD MID	6 8	No	No	64.2	~	NR
305 J M ALEXANDER MID	6 12	Yes	No	74.5	5,6,9	Exp
308 ALLENBROOK ELEM	K 5	No	No	60.2	~	NR
311 ASHLEY PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	68.8	~	Exm
314 BAIN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.3	~	Exp Dst
316 BARRINGER ACAD CTR	K 5	Yes	Yes	98.4	~	Exm Exc
319 BERRYHILL ELEM	K 5	No	No	56.9	~	NR
322 BEVERLY WOODS ELEM	K 5	No	No	67.5	~	NR
326 BILLINGSVILLE ELEM	K 5	No	No	60.8	~	NR
329 BRIARWOOD ELEM	K 5	No	No	62.5	~	NR
331 BRUNS AVENUE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.5	~	Exp
333 CARMEL MID	6 8	No	No	76.7	~	NR
335 CHANTILLY ELEM	K 5	No	No	75.7	~	NR
338 CLEAR CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.8	~	NR
341 COCHRANE MID	6 8	No	No	54.4	~	NR
344 COLLINSWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Exm
346 CORNELIUS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.8	~	Exm Dst
349 COTSWOLD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	68.4	~	Exp
351 COULWOOD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	60.2	~	Exm
352 CROWN POINT ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.4	~	Exp
353 CRESTDALE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Exm Dst
357 DAVIDSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.0	~	Exm Exc
358 DAVIDSON INT BACC MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	93.3	~	Exm Exc
360 MARIE G DAVIS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.4	~	Exm Exc
361 DAVID W BUTLER HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	59.1	9	Exm
362 DAVID COX ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Exm Dst
363 DERITA ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	66.2	~	Exp
365 DEVONSHIRE ELEM	K 5	No	No	59.9	~	NR
368 DILWORTH ELEM	K 5	No	No	69.2	~	NR
374 DRUID HILLS ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.2	~	NR
377 EAST MECKLENBURG HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.9	~	Exm
379 EASTOVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.5	~	Exm
381 EASTWAY MID	6 8	No	No	52.5	9	NR
382 ELIZABETH LANE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	94.6	~	Exm Exc
384 ELIZABETH TRAD/CLASS	K 5	Yes	No	87.2	~	Exp Dst
393 FIRST WARD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Exm Dst
394 FRANCIS BRADLEY MID	6 8	No	No	66.3	~	NR
396 GARINGER HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	34.2	~	Exm
398 GREENWAY PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.4	~	Exm
399 ALEXANDER GRAHAM MID	6 8	No	No	75.6	~	NR
405 HARDING UNIV HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	60.5	~	Exm
406 HAWK RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	88.1	~	Exp Dst
407 HAWTHORNE TRAD MID	6 8	No	No	59.1	9	NR
410 HICKORY GROVE ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.0	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span		Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
				Expected	Exemplary			
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG								
412	HIDDEN VALLEY ELEM	K	5	No	No	56.1	~	NR
414	HIGHLAND ELEM	K	5	No	No	62.5	~	NR
416	HORNETS NEST ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	66.7	~	Exm
420	HUNTERSVILLE ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	71.1	~	Exm
422	HUNTINGTOWNE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	72.2	~	Exp
424	IDLEWILD ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	61.5	~	Exm
426	INDEPENDENCE HS	9	12	Yes	Yes	61.1	~	Exm
427	IRWIN AVE OPEN	K	5	No	No	62.3	~	NR
428	JAMES MARTIN MID	6	8	No	No	68.9	9	NR
429	JOHN MOTLEY MOREHEAD	K	5	No	No	63.8	~	NR
430	A JAMES MONTESSORI	K	5	No	No	85.7	~	Dst NR
434	ROBERT F KENNEDY MID	6	8	Yes	Yes	69.9	~	Exm
436	LAKE WYLIE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	69.9	~	Exp
438	LANSDOWNE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	70.6	~	Exp
440	LEBANON ROAD ELEM	K	5	No	No	71.9	~	NR
441	LINCOLN HEIGHTS ELEM	K	5	No	No	72.3	~	NR
442	LEGETTE BLYTHE ELEM	K	5	No	No	70.7	~	NR
444	LONG CREEK ELEM	K	5	No	No	68.0	~	NR
446	MALLARD CREEK	K	5	No	No	73.1	~	NR
447	MATTHEWS ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Exm Dst
449	MCALPINE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	89.4	~	Exp Dst
450	MCCLINTOCK MID	6	8	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
451	MCKEE ROAD ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exm Exc
453	MERRY OAKS ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	62.1	~	Exp
459	MONTCLAIRE ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Exm
464	MYERS PARK TRAD ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
466	MYERS PARK HS	9	12	Yes	Yes	65.9	~	Exm
468	NATHANIEL ALEXANDER	K	5	Yes	No	71.1	~	Exp
471	NATIONS FORD ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	73.6	~	Exp
474	NEWELL ELEM	K	5	No	No	67.3	~	NR
478	J H GUNN ELEM	K	5	No	No	66.7	~	NR
479	NORTHEAST MID	6	8	Yes	Yes	80.9	~	Exm Dst
480	NORTH MECKLENBURG HS	9	12	Yes	Yes	62.3	~	Exm
481	NORTHRIDGE MID	6	8	No	No	63.9	~	NR
482	NORTHWEST HS	6	12	Yes	No	62.8	~	Exp
485	OAKDALE ELEM	K	5	No	No	59.8	~	NR
487	OAKHURST ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	80.2	~	Exp Dst
489	OAKLAWN ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	71.4	~	Exm
490	OLYMPIC HS	9	12	No	No	38.3	~	LP
491	OLDE PROVIDENCE ELEM	K	5	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Exm Dst
492	PARK ROAD ELEM	K	5	No	No	59.2	~	NR
494	PAW CREEK ELEM	K	5	No	No	61.8	~	NR
495	PAWTUCKETT ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	60.7	~	Exp
497	PIEDMONT OPEN MID	6	8	No	No	71.5	~	NR
500	PINEVILLE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	77.7	~	Exp
501	PINEWOOD ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	59.6	~	Exp
503	PINEY GROVE ELEM	K	5	Yes	No	77.2	~	Exp
508	PROVIDENCE HS	9	12	Yes	Yes	78.2	~	Exm
509	QUAIL HOLLOW MID	6	8	No	No	72.5	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
512 RAMA ROAD ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.1	~	NR
513 RANDOLPH MID	6 8	No	No	67.3	~	NR
514 RANSON MID	6 8	No	No	58.5	~	NR
516 REEDY CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	70.0	~	NR
517 REID PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.9	~	Exp
519 SEDGEFIELD ELEM	K 5	No	No	57.8	~	NR
520 SEDGEFIELD MID	6 8	No	No	62.9	~	NR
522 SELWYN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
527 SHAMROCK GARDENS ELEM	K 5	No	No	52.7	~	NR
530 SHARON ELEM	K 5	No	No	63.4	~	NR
532 SMITH MID	6 8	No	No	70.2	~	NR
534 SMITHFIELD ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.2	~	NR
535 SOUTH MECKLENBURG HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.0	~	Exp
537 SOUTH CHARLOTTE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	92.3	~	Exm Exc
541 SPAUGH MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.9	~	Exp
544 STARMOUNT ELEM	K 5	No	No	65.7	~	NR
546 STATESVILLE ROAD	K 5	Yes	Yes	58.1	~	Exm
549 STEELE CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.3	~	NR
550 STERLING ELEM	K 5	No	No	60.7	~	NR
553 THOMASBORO ELEM	K 5	No	No	39.1	~	LP
562 TUCKASEEGEE ELEM	K 5	No	No	58.2	~	NR
565 UNIV PARK CREAT ARTS	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.7	~	Exm
566 UNIV MEADOWS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.6	~	Exm
571 VILLA HEIGHTS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	97.9	~	Exm Exc
576 WEST CHARLOTTE HS	9 12	No	No	26.8	~	LP
577 WESTERLY HILLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	54.8	~	Exp
579 WEST MECKLENBURG HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	51.5	~	Exm MI
581 J T WILLIAMS MID	6 8	No	No	86.7	~	Dst NR
585 WILSON MID	6 8	No	No	44.1	9	LP
586 WINDING SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.1	~	Exm
587 WINDSOR PARK ELEM	K 5	No	No	60.5	~	NR
589 WINTERFIELD ELEM	K 5	No	No	62.6	~	NR
592 ZEBULON B VANCE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	57.8	9	Exm
60A COMMUNITY CHARTER SCH						
000 COMMUNITY CHARTER SCH	K 5	No	No	46.2	*	NR
60B SUGAR CREEK CHARTER						
000 SUGAR CREEK CHARTER	K 5	No	No	26.6	~	LP
60D LAKE NORMAN CHARTER						
000 LAKE NORMAN CHARTER	5 8	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Exm Dst
610 MITCHELL COUNTY						
302 BOWMAN MID	5 8	No	No	66.7	9	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
610 MITCHELL COUNTY						
308 BULADEAN ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	69.4	~	Exm MI
310 DEYTON PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	85.2	~	Exm Dst
320 GOUGE ELEM	PK 4	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Exm
326 HARRIS MID	4 8	No	No	78.6	9	NR
334 MITCHELL HS	9 12	Yes	No	65.7	6,9	Exp
336 TIPTON HILL ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	91.6	~	Exm Exc MI
620 MONTGOMERY COUNTY						
312 CANDOR ELEM	K 5	No	No	61.8	~	NR
314 EAST MID	6 8	No	No	66.5	~	NR
316 EAST MONTGOMERY HS	9 12	Yes	No	47.2	9	Exp
324 MOUNT GILEAD ELEM	K 5	No	No	61.4	~	NR
334 STAR-BISCOE ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.0	~	NR
336 TROY ELEM	K 5	No	No	58.8	~	NR
339 WEST MID	6 8	No	No	75.8	~	NR
340 WEST MONTGOMERY HS	9 12	No	No	48.0	*	NR
630 MOORE COUNTY						
304 ABERDEEN ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	65.7	~	Exm
308 ABERDEEN MID	4 8	Yes	Yes	76.4	9	Exm
312 CAMERON ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.3	~	Exp
316 CARTHAGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.5	~	Exp Dst
320 ELISE MID	5 8	Yes	Yes	73.3	9	Exm
324 SANDHILLS-FARM LIFE	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exm Exc
328 HIGHFALLS ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	82.5	9	Exm Dst
331 NEW CENTURY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
332 NORTH MOORE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.2	~	Exm
336 PINECREST HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.8	~	Exm
340 ACADEMY HEIGHTS YR	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Exm Dst MI
342 PINEHURST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.3	~	Exm Dst
348 ROBBINS ELEM	PK 4	Yes	Yes	66.8	~	Exm
352 SOUTHERN PINES ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	77.8	~	Exm
356 SOUTHERN PINES MID	4 8	Yes	No	73.0	9	Exp
360 UNION PINES HS	9 12	Yes	No	60.7	~	Exp
364 VASS-LAKEVIEW ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	73.7	~	Exm
368 WEST END ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
374 WEST PINE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	91.4	9	Exm Exc
376 WESTMOORE ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	78.6	9	Exm
63A MAST						
000 MAST	5 8	No	No	72.3	~	NR
63B STARS CHARTER						
000 STARS CHARTER	K 4	No	No	46.6	*	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
Expected	Exemplary					
640 NASH/ROCKY MOUNT						
304 BAILEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
306 BASKERVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	72.0	~	Exm
308 BENVENUE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Exm Dst
316 CEDAR GROVE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	71.7	~	Exp
320 NASH CENTRAL JR HS	8 9	No	No	83.7	~	Dst NR
324 COOPERS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm
326 D S JOHNSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.5	~	Exm
327 FAIRVIEW CHILHD CTR	PK K	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
328 ENGLEWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Exm Dst
329 G R EDWARDS JR HS	8 9	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm
331 JAMES C BRASWELL ELEM	PK 5	No	No	51.4	~	NR
332 M B HUBBARD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Exm Dst
334 J W PARKER MID	6 7	No	No	69.3	~	NR
336 MIDSEX ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	68.6	~	Exp
348 NASHVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.9	~	Exm Dst
350 NORTHERN NASH HS	10 12	Yes	No	55.0	2	Exp
354 O R POPE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	50.1	~	NR
358 RED OAK MID	6 7	No	No	74.6	~	NR
360 RED OAK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm
361 ROCKY MOUNT HS	10 12	No	No	53.2	2	NR
362 SOUTHERN NASH MID	6 8	No	No	79.6	~	NR
364 SOUTHERN NASH SR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	55.6	~	Exm
376 SPRING HOPE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	68.4	~	NR
384 SWIFT CREEK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	52.0	~	NR
396 WILLIFORD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	63.7	~	Exp
400 WINSTEAD AVENUE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	78.4	~	Exp
64A ROCKY MOUNT CHARTER						
000 ROCKY MOUNT CHARTER	K 7	No	No	51.9	~	Exp (Appeal)
650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY						
304 BRADLEY CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.3	~	Exm
308 CAROLINA BEACH ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.4	~	Exp
312 ANNIE H SNIPES ELEM	K 5	No	No	60.9	~	NR
316 COLLEGE PARK ELEM	PK 5	No	No	69.8	~	NR
320 D C VIRGO MID	6 8	No	No	72.9	~	NR
324 EDWIN A ALDERMAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Exm Dst
325 EMMA B TRASK MID	6 8	No	No	77.2	9	NR
326 EMSLEY A LANEY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Exm
328 FOREST HILLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
332 GREGORY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	78.3	~	Exp
338 HEYWARD C BELLAMY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	74.9	~	Exp
339 HOLLY TREE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	84.1	~	Exp Dst
340 J C ROE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.5	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span		Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
		Expected	Exemplary					
650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY								
341	JOHN J BLAIR ELEM	K 5	No	No	75.9	~		NR
342	JOHN T HOGGARD HS	9 12	No	No	73.6	6,9		NR
346	MARY C WILLIAMS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	63.5	~		Exp
350	M C S NOBLE MID	6 8	Yes	No	82.8	~		Exp Dst
351	MYRTLE GROVE MID	6 8	Yes	No	86.2	~		Exp Dst
352	NEW HANOVER HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.0	~		Exm
353	DR HUBERT EATON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.0	~		Exm Dst
356	OGDEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.3	~		Exm Dst
360	DOROTHY B JOHNSON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	80.8	~		Dst NR
362	PINE VALLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.9	~		Exm Dst
364	ROLAND-GRISE MID	6 8	Yes	No	90.8	~		Exp Exc
366	DR JOHN CODINGTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~		Exm Dst
368	SUNSET PARK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	69.0	~		Exp
384	WILLIAM H BLOUNT ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.8	~		NR
392	WILLISTON MID	6 8	No	No	72.1	~		NR
400	WINTER PARK MODEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.8	~		Exm Dst MI
404	WRIGHTSBORO ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	67.9	~		Exp
408	WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH ELE	K 5	Yes	No	82.9	~		Exp Dst
660 NORTHAMPTON COUNTY								
304	SEABOARD-COATES ELEM	PK 5	No	No	63.7	~		NR
308	CONWAY MID	6 8	No	No	67.1	~		NR
312	JACKSON-EASTSIDE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.1	~		Exm
316	GARYSBURG ELEM	PK 5	No	No	70.2	~		NR
320	GASTON JUNIOR HS	6 8	Yes	No	79.2	9		Exp
324	NORTHAMPTON HS-WEST	9 12	No	No	39.3	~		LP
336	NORTHAMPTON HS-EAST	9 12	No	No	44.3	~		LP
356	SQUIRE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	63.9	~		NR
360	WILLIS HARE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	75.6	~		NR
364	RICH SQUARE-CREECY	PK 5	No	No	53.5	~		NR
670 ONSLOW COUNTY								
304	BELL FORK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	86.1	~		Exm Dst
308	BLUE CREEK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.3	~		Exm
312	CLYDE ERWIN ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	68.0	~		Exm
314	DIXON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	78.9	~		Exm
318	DIXON MID	6 8	Yes	No	75.2	9		Exp
320	DIXON HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.1	~		Exp
321	HUNTERS CREEK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	77.1	~		Exp
322	HUNTERS CREEK MID	6 8	Yes	No	79.0	~		Exp
323	JACKSONVILLE COM ELM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.5	~		Exm Dst
324	JACKSONVILLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.8	6,9		Exm
325	JACKSONVILLE COM MID	6 8	Yes	No	82.0	9		Exp Dst
330	MORTON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	81.8	~		Exp Dst
331	NEW BRIDGE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	85.2	~		Exm Dst
332	NORTHWOODS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~		Exm Dst
335	QUEENS CREEK ELEM	K 5	No	No	67.4	~		NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
670 ONSLOW COUNTY						
336 NORTHWOODS PARK MID	6 8	No	No	74.4	~	NR
338 PARKWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	86.7	~	Exm Dst
339 RICHLANDS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	73.4	~	Exp
340 RICHLANDS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.5	~	Exm
341 SAND RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Exm
342 SILVERDALE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	76.2	~	Exp
343 SOUTHWEST ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.6	~	Exm Dst
344 SOUTHWEST HS	9 12	Yes	No	67.9	6,9	Exp
345 SOUTHWEST MID	6 8	Yes	No	79.8	~	Exp
346 SUMMERSILL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Exm Dst
350 SWANSBORO ELEM	PK 5	No	No	77.2	~	NR
351 SWANSBORO MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.0	~	Exp
352 SWANSBORO HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.7	6,9	Exm
356 TREXLER MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	78.2	9	Exm
360 WALTER THOMPSON ELEM	PK 5	No	No	68.6	~	NR
364 WHITE OAK HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	64.9	~	Exm
67A PHASE ACAD						
000 PHASE ACAD	K 8	No	No	54.8	~	NR
680 ORANGE COUNTY						
304 A L STANBACK MID	6 8	Yes	No	82.1	9	Exp Dst
308 CAMERON PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Exm Dst
312 CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Exm
316 CHARLES STANFORD MID	6 8	No	No	76.6	9	NR
324 EFLAND CHEEKS ELEM	K 5	No	No	71.5	~	NR
328 GRADY BROWN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Exm Dst
329 HILLSBOROUGH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst
330 NEW HOPE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.3	~	Exp
332 ORANGE SENIOR HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.3	~	Exm
681 CHAPEL HILL/CARRBORO						
304 CARRBORO ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.2	~	Exm Dst
308 CHAPEL HILL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
309 C & L MCDUGLE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exm Exc
310 ELIZABETH SEAWELL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Exm Dst
311 EPHEUS ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Exm Dst
312 ESTES HILLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Exm Dst
314 EAST CHAPEL HILL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	86.1	9	Exm Dst
316 FRANK P GRAHAM ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Exm Dst
320 GLENWOOD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Exm Dst
322 GREY CULBRETH MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exm Exc
324 GUY PHILLIPS MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	93.0	~	Exm Exc
326 C&L MCDUGLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exm Exc
330 MARY SCROGGS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
68A ORANGE CO CHARTER					
000 ORANGE CO CHARTER	K 8	Yes Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst
68B NEW CENTURY CHARTER					
000 NEW CENTURY CHARTER	9 12	Yes Yes	52.2	5,9	Exm
68K VILLAGE CHARTER					
000 VILLAGE CHARTER	K 8	No No	67.1	~	NR
690 PAMLICO COUNTY					
312 FRED A ANDERSON ELEM	3 5	Yes Yes	81.6	~	Exm Dst
324 PAMLICO CO PRI	PK 2	Yes Yes		1	Exm
316 PAMLICO CO MID	6 8	No No	82.7	9	Dst NR
320 PAMLICO COUNTY HS	9 12	No No	59.2	~	NR
69A ARAPAHOE CHARTER					
000 ARAPAHOE CHARTER	K 8	Yes No	83.4	~	Exp Dst
700 PASQUOTANK COUNTY					
306 CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	74.3	~	Exm
308 ELIZABETH CITY MID	6 8	No No	71.5	~	NR
314 NORTHSIDE ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	66.4	~	Exm
316 J C SAWYER ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	66.2	~	Exm
317 NORTHEASTERN HS	9 12	Yes Yes	49.0	~	Exm
318 PASQUOTANK ELEM	PK 5	No No	61.7	~	NR
320 P W MOORE ELEM	PK 5	No No	59.7	~	NR
322 RIVER ROAD MID	6 8	No No	74.6	~	NR
324 SHEEP-HARNEY ELEM	PK 5	No No	60.0	~	NR
328 WEEKSVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	73.2	~	Exm
710 PENDER COUNTY					
312 BURGAW ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	77.5	~	Exm
316 BURGAW MID	6 8	Yes Yes	84.3	9	Exm Dst
323 NORTH TOPSAIL ELEM	K 5	No No	78.1	~	NR
324 MALPASS CORNER ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	72.9	~	Exm
326 PENDER HS	9 12	Yes No	58.7	~	Exp
328 PENDERLEA ELEM	K 8	Yes No	85.0	~	Exp Dst
332 ROCKY POINT ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	78.0	~	Exm
342 TOPSAIL HS	9 12	Yes Yes	76.2	9	Exm
346 TOPSAIL MID	6 8	Yes Yes	90.2	9	Exm Exc
347 SOUTH TOPSAIL ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	84.1	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
710 PENDER COUNTY						
348 WEST PENDER MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	77.9	9	Exm
720 PERQUIMANS COUNTY						
312 HERTFORD GRAMMAR	3 5	Yes	Yes	70.5	~	Exm
304 PERQUIMANS CENTRAL	PK 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
316 PERQUIMANS CO HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.3	9	Exm
320 PERQUIMANS CO MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Exm
730 PERSON COUNTY						
308 BETHEL HILL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	80.7	~	Exp Dst
324 EARL BRADSHAW ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	84.8	~	Exm Dst
332 HELENA ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	78.5	~	Exp
334 NORTH ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	62.9	~	Exm
340 NORTH END ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Exm
344 NORTHERN MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.2	~	Exp Dst
348 OAK LANE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Exm Dst
352 PERSON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.7	9	Exm
356 SOUTH ELEM	PK 5	No	No	67.1	~	NR
360 SOUTHERN MID	6 8	No	No	73.7	~	NR
368 WOODLAND ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.0	~	Exm Dst
740 PITT COUNTY						
302 A G COX MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.2	~	Exm Dst
304 AYDEN ELEM	K 4	Yes	No	67.6	~	Exp
308 AYDEN MID	5 8	Yes	No	77.8	9	Exp
309 AYDEN-GRIFTON HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.9	~	Exp
310 BELVOIR ELEM	PK 5	No	No	61.3	~	NR
320 BETHEL ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	75.1	~	Exp
324 C M EPPES MID	6 8	No	No	72.1	~	NR
332 CHICOD ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	82.6	9	Exm Dst
333 D H CONLEY HS	9 12	Yes	No	64.1	~	Exp
334 FALKLAND ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Exm
336 EASTERN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Exm Dst
337 E B AYCOCK MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Exm Dst
338 ELMHURST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Exm Dst
340 FARMVILLE MID	6 8	No	No	73.3	9	NR
344 FARMVILLE CENTRAL HS	9 12	No	No	57.7	~	NR
352 GRIFTON ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	78.7	9	Exp
358 G R WHITFIELD ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	68.2	9	Exm
366 J H ROSE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	72.3	~	Exm
374 NORTH PITT HS	9 12	Yes	No	48.4	~	Exp
376 PACTOLUS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	61.7	~	Exp
380 W H ROBINSON ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	70.9	~	Exp
382 SADIE SAULTER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	66.1	~	Exm
386 SAM D BUNDY ELEM	3 5	No	No	61.0	~	NR
360 H B SUGG ELEM	K 2	No	No		1	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
740 PITT COUNTY						
390 S GREENVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.2	~	Exm
396 STOKES ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	83.5	9	Exm Dst
400 WAHL COATES ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Exm Dst
402 WELLCOME MID	6 8	No	No	62.2	9	NR
404 WINTERGREEN INT	3 6	Yes	No	72.9	~	Exp
406 WINTERGREEN PRI	K 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
74A RIGHT STEP ACAD						
000 RIGHT STEP ACAD	6 12	No	No	17.0	9	LP
750 POLK COUNTY						
304 TRYON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exm Exc
306 TRYON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.0	9	Exm Dst
314 POLK CENTRAL	PK 8	Yes	Yes	77.1	9	Exm
318 POLK COUNTY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	66.1	~	Exm
320 SALUDA ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	83.9	9	Exm Dst
328 SUNNY VIEW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Exm Dst
760 RANDOLPH COUNTY						
304 ARCHDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Exm Dst
308 BRAXTON CRAVEN	6 6	Yes	No	84.3	~	Exp Dst
316 COLERIDGE ELEM	K 5	No	No	69.5	~	NR
318 EASTERN RANDOLPH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.4	~	Exm
320 FARMER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Exm Dst
324 FRANKLINVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.7	~	Exp
328 GRAYS CHAPEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.6	9	Exm
330 JOHN R LAWRENCE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Exm Dst
331 LEVEL CROSS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.1	~	Exm
332 LIBERTY ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	67.6	9	Exp
336 NEW MARKET ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.1	~	Exm
338 NE RANDOLPH MID	6 8	No	No	76.8	~	NR
340 RAMSEUR ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	73.4	~	Exp
344 RANDLEMAN ELEM	K 5	No	No	69.7	~	NR
348 RANDLEMAN HS	9 12	Yes	No	56.7	~	Exp
352 RANDLEMAN MID	6 8	No	No	68.5	~	NR
356 SEAGROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	74.8	~	Exp
357 S E RANDOLPH MID	6 8	No	No	76.0	9	NR
358 SOUTHWESTERN HS	9 12	Yes	No	66.7	~	Exp
360 S W RANDOLPH MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.7	~	Exp
364 TABERNACLE ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.0	~	NR
368 TRINDALE ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.8	~	NR
376 TRINITY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.9	~	Exm
378 ARCHDALE-TRINITY MID	7 8	No	No	80.7	~	Dst NR
380 TRINITY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.8	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
761 ASHEBORO CITY						
304 ASHEBORO HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.4	~	Exm
308 SOUTH ASHEBORO MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
312 BALFOUR ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	60.1	~	Exp
320 CHARLES MCCRARY ELEM	K 5	No	No	53.0	~	NR
321 DONNA L LOFLIN ELEM	K 5	No	No	68.9	~	NR
328 GUY B TEACHEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Exm Dst
332 LINDLEY PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.2	~	Exm
336 NORTH ASHEBORO MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	78.8	~	Exm
770 RICHMOND COUNTY						
304 ASHLEY CHAPEL ELEM	4 6	No	No	57.8	~	NR
312 CORDOVA ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	76.8	~	Exp
316 ELLERBE JR HS	6 9	Yes	No	77.3	9	Exp
318 FAIRVIEW HEIGHTS ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	60.8	~	Exm
328 HAMLET JUNIOR HS	7 9	Yes	Yes	80.6	~	Exm Dst
332 HOFFMAN ELEM	K 6	No	No	50.7	~	NR
340 L J BELL ELEM	K 3	Yes	No	78.1	~	Exp
344 MINERAL SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	60.2	~	Exp
346 MONROE AVENUE ELEM	4 6	No	No	69.3	~	NR
348 RICHMOND SENIOR HS	10 12	No	No	45.3	3,9	LP
360 ROCKINGHAM JUNIOR HS	7 9	No	No	80.0	~	Dst NR
364 ROHANEN JUNIOR HS	7 9	No	No	63.9	9	NR
366 ROHANEN PRI	PK 3	Yes	No	71.8	~	Exp
368 WEST ROCKINGHAM ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	76.3	~	Exp
370 WASHINGTON STREET	4 6	No	No	68.3	~	NR
780 ROBESON COUNTY						
320 DEEP BRANCH ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	61.9	~	Exp
322 EAST ROBESON PRI	PK 3	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Exm
324 FAIRGROVE ELEM	4 8	No	No	66.8	~	NR
325 FAIRMONT HS	9 12	No	No	40.6	~	LP
326 FAIRMONT MID	5 8	No	No	49.8	9,*	NR
328 GREEN GROVE ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	66.0	~	Exm
329 JANIE HARGRAVE ELEM	PK 4	Yes	Yes	69.5	~	Exm
330 L G CARROLL MID	5 7	No	No	65.3	~	NR
336 LITTLEFIELD MID	4 8	No	No	64.2	~	NR
340 LONG BRANCH ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	59.8	~	Exp
341 LUMBERTON JUNIOR HS	8 9	No	No	55.4	~	NR
342 LUMBERTON SENIOR HS	10 12	No	No	39.4	3,9	LP
344 MAGNOLIA ELEM	PK 8	No	No	60.2	~	NR
352 ORRUM MID	5 8	Yes	No	68.4	~	Exp
356 OXENDINE ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	66.3	~	Exm
360 PARKTON ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	57.9	~	Exp
364 PEMBROKE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	68.1	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
		Expected	Exemplary			
780 ROBESON COUNTY						
368 PEMBROKE MID	6 8	No	No	67.6	9	NR
374 PETERSON ELEM	K 4	Yes	No	60.0	~	Exp
376 PINEY GROVE ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	67.5	~	Exp
384 PROSPECT ELEM	PK 8	No	No	65.4	~	NR
390 R B DEAN ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	61.2	~	Exp
391 RED SPRINGS HS	9 12	Yes	No	29.1	~	Exp
392 REX-RENNERT ELEM	PK 6	No	No	64.7	~	NR
393 RED SPRINGS MID	5 8	No	No	56.3	~	NR
394 ROSENWALD ELEM	PK 4	Yes	No	60.7	~	Exp
396 ROWLAND MID	6 8	No	No	71.4	9	NR
398 ROWLAND NORMENT ELEM	K 4	No	No	66.5	~	NR
400 SAINT PAULS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	64.9	~	NR
401 SAINT PAULS HS	9 12	No	No	36.3	~	LP
402 SOUTH ROBESON HS	9 12	No	No	25.5	~	LP
403 SAINT PAULS MID	6 8	No	No	67.0	9	NR
407 TANGLEWOOD ELEM	K 4	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
408 SOUTHSIDE/ASHPOLE	PK 5	Yes	No	60.1	~	Exp
410 TOWNSEND MID	5 8	No	No	48.5	*	NR
412 UNION CHAPEL ELEM	PK 6	Yes	No	66.1	~	Exp
416 UNION ELEM	PK 6	No	No	62.8	~	NR
417 W H KNUCKLES MONT	PK 4	No	No	58.1	~	NR
418 WEST LUMBERTON ELEM	PK 4	No	No	54.4	~	NR
420 PURNELL SWETT HS	9 12	No	No	37.6	~	LP
78A CIS ACAD						
000 CIS ACAD	6 8	No	No	26.0	~	LP
790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY						
302 BETHANY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.1	9	Exm
310 CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Exm
314 DALTON MCMICHAEL HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	60.8	~	Exm
318 DOUGLASS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.5	~	Exm
322 DRAPER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	73.2	~	Exm
326 HAPPY HOME ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	65.0	~	Exp
330 J E HOLMES MID	6 8	Yes	No	75.6	~	Exp
338 LAWSONVILLE AVE ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	68.1	~	Exm
344 LEAKSVILLE-SPRAY	PK 5	Yes	Yes	68.3	~	Exm
350 MONROETON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.4	~	Exm Dst
354 JOHN M MOREHEAD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.7	~	Exm
358 MOSS STREET ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	66.9	~	Exp
362 NEW VISION INT	3 5	Yes	Yes	76.0	~	Exm
334 JOHN W DILLARD PRI	PK 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
366 REIDSVILLE HS	8 12	Yes	Yes	53.6	~	Exm
370 REIDSVILLE INT	4 5	Yes	Yes	72.0	~	Exm
374 REIDSVILLE MID	6 8	No	No	71.1	~	NR
378 ROCKINGHAM CO HS	9 12	No	No	64.1	~	NR
380 ROCKINGHAM CO MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY						
382 SADLER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.9	~	Exp Dst
386 SOUTH END ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Exm Dst
390 STONEVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	71.8	~	Exm
394 WEST ROCKINGHAM MID	6 8	Yes	No	79.2	~	Exp
398 WENTWORTH ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.3	9	Exp
402 WILLIAMSBURG ELEM	PK 3	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Exm Dst
800 ROWAN-SALISBURY						
312 BOSTIAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Exm Dst
314 CHARLES ERWIN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
315 CARROLL T OVERTON	K 5	Yes	No	72.8	~	Exp
316 CHINA GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	73.3	~	Exp
320 CHINA GROVE MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.4	9	Exp Dst
324 CLEVELAND ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Exm
328 CORRIHER LIPE MID	6 8	No	No	79.7	9	NR
340 EAST ROWAN HS	9 12	No	No	60.6	6,9	NR
348 ENOCHVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Exm Dst
352 FAITH ELEM	PK 5	No	No	76.4	~	NR
356 GRANITE QUARRY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.6	~	Exm
358 H D ISENBERG ELEM	K 5	No	No	71.0	~	NR
359 E HANFORD DOLE ELEM	K 5	No	No	57.0	~	NR
360 HURLEY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Exm
362 KNOLLWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	77.7	~	Exp
363 KNOX MID	6 8	No	No	67.6	9	NR
364 LANDIS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.7	~	Exm
368 MORGAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.9	~	Exp
372 MOUNT ULLA ELEM	K 5	No	No	70.1	~	NR
373 NORTH ROWAN ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	62.0	~	Exp
376 NORTH ROWAN HS	9 12	Yes	No	45.2	6,9	Exp
377 NORTH ROWAN MID	6 8	No	No	64.4	9	NR
392 ROCKWELL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.7	~	Exp
396 SALISBURY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.1	9	Exm
400 SOUTH ROWAN HS	9 12	Yes	No	65.0	6,9	Exp
408 WEST ROWAN HS	9 12	No	No	58.6	6,9	NR
410 WEST ROWAN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst
412 WOODLEAF ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.8	~	Exm
80A ROWAN ACAD						
000 ROWAN ACAD	K 5	No	No	37.4	~	LP
810 RUTHERFORD COUNTY						
324 CHASE HS	9 12	Yes	No	56.5	~	Exp
326 CHASE MID	6 8	No	No	79.6	~	NR
328 CLIFFSIDE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Exm
336 DUNBAR ELEM	4 5	Yes	Yes	74.2	~	Exm
340 EAST RUTHERFORD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.3	~	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exo-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
810 RUTHERFORD COUNTY						
342 EAST RUTHERFORD MID	6 8	No	No	79.8	~	NR
344 ELLENBORO ELEM	K 5	No	No	78.4	~	NR
348 FOREST CITY ELEM	K 3	Yes	Yes	68.9	~	Exm
350 FORREST W HUNT ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.5	~	Exm
360 HARRIS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Exm Dst
364 MOUNT VERNON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.8	~	Exm
370 PINNACLE ELEM	K 5	No	No	78.5	~	NR
378 R S MID	6 8	No	No	74.3	~	NR
380 RUTH ELEM	K 5	No	No	70.9	~	NR
384 R S CENTRAL	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.3	~	Exm
388 RUTHERFORDTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.7	~	Exm Dst
396 SPINDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.2	~	Exp
400 SUNSHINE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Exm
81A THOMAS JEFFERSON						
000 THOMAS JEFFERSON	7 12	No	No	81.0	4,6,9	Dst NR
820 SAMPSON COUNTY						
312 CHARLES E PERRY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	67.1	~	Exm
320 CLEMENT ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	76.2	~	Exm
340 HARGROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.8	~	Exm
346 HOBBS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.6	~	Exm Dst
347 HOBBS MID	6 8	No	No	75.4	~	NR
348 HOBBS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.0	~	Exm
349 LAKEWOOD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	57.1	~	Exm MI
350 MIDWAY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm
352 MIDWAY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.8	~	Exm
354 MIDWAY MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.7	~	Exp Dst
364 PLAIN VIEW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Exm
370 UNION MID	6 8	No	No	73.9	~	NR
372 ROSEBORO-SALEMBURG	6 8	No	No	67.8	~	NR
376 SALEMBURG ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.1	~	Exm
384 UNION ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Exm Dst
388 UNION HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	53.2	6,9	Exm
821 CLINTON CITY						
304 BUTLER AVENUE ELEM	3 5	Yes	No	76.0	~	Exp
316 LANDON C KERR ELEM	PK 2	Yes	No		1	Exp
308 CLINTON HS	9 12	Yes	No	57.0	~	Exp
320 SAMPSON MID	6 8	No	No	80.0	9	Dst NR
830 SCOTLAND COUNTY						
304 CARVER MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.6	9	Exp
308 CENTRAL PRI	PK 5	No	No	52.5	~	NR
312 COVINGTON STREET	PK 5	Yes	No	62.5	~	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exo-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
830 SCOTLAND COUNTY						
324 I E JOHNSON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.8	~	Exm Dst
328 LAUREL HILL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Exm
336 N LAURINBURG ELEM	PK 5	No	No	59.4	~	NR
344 PATE GARDNER PRI	PK 5	No	No	57.4	~	NR
346 SCOTLAND HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	61.8	6,9	Exm
348 SHAW MID	6 8	No	No	68.4	~	NR
350 SYCAMORE LANE MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.7	9	Exp
356 SOUTH SCOTLAND PRI	PK 5	Yes	No	79.0	~	Exp
360 WAGRAM PRI	PK 5	No	No	61.4	~	NR
364 WASHINGTON PARK PRI	PK 5	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Exm Dst
83A LAURINBURG CHARTER						
000 LAURINBURG CHARTER	9 12	No	No	2.9	9	LP
83B LAURINBURG HOMEWORK						
000 LAURINBURG HOMEWORK	8 12	No	No	33.3	9	LP
840 STANLY COUNTY						
302 ALBEMARLE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Exm
303 ALBEMARLE MID	6 8	No	No	73.7	9	NR
304 AQUADALE ELEM	K 6	No	No	80.2	~	Dst NR
308 BADIN ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	82.9	9	Exp Dst
310 CENTRAL ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.2	~	Exp
312 ENDY ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	86.1	9	Exm Dst
316 EAST ALBEMARLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Exm
320 LOCUST ELEM	K 8	No	No	80.6	9	Dst NR
324 MILLINGPORT ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	82.8	9	Exm Dst
328 NEW LONDON ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	86.0	9	Exm Dst
330 NORTH ALBEMARLE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	55.1	~	NR
332 NORTH STANLY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	60.9	~	Exm
336 NORWOOD ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Exm
340 OAKBORO ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	85.1	9	Exm Dst
344 RICHFIELD ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	79.6	9	Exm
348 RIDGECREST ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	86.4	9	Exm Dst
356 SOUTH STANLY HS	7 12	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Exm
360 STANFIELD ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	84.9	9	Exm Dst
368 WEST STANLY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Exm MI
850 STOKES COUNTY						
304 CHESTNUT GROVE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	81.8	~	Exm Dst
308 FRANCISCO ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	70.5	~	Exm
312 GERMANTON ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.6	~	NR
314 MOUNT OLIVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Exm
316 KING ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.6	~	Exm
320 LAWSONVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Exm MI

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
Expected	Exemplary					
850 STOKES COUNTY						
328 NANCY REYNOLDS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.5	~	Exm
332 N STOKES HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	58.2	~	Exm
336 PINE HALL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Exm Dst
338 PINEY GROVE MID	6 8	No	No	73.2	~	NR
340 PINNACLE ELEM	PK 5	No	No	72.8	~	NR
348 SANDY RIDGE ELEM	K 5	No	No	74.5	~	NR
350 SE STOKES MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	72.2	~	Exm
354 LONDON ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.5	~	Exp
356 WALNUT COVE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	55.5	~	Exp
860 SURRY COUNTY						
302 CEDAR RIDGE ELEM	K 6	Yes	No	76.6	~	Exp
306 CENTRAL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Exm Dst
308 COPELAND ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.2	~	Exp
312 DOBSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.0	~	Exm
316 EAST SURRY HS	9 12	No	No	63.4	~	NR
320 FLAT ROCK ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	71.3	~	Exm
324 FRANKLIN ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	78.1	~	Exm
326 J SAM GENTRY MID	7 8	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst
332 MOUNTAIN PARK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	79.0	~	Exp
336 NORTH SURRY HS	9 12	Yes	No	69.0	~	Exp
340 PILOT MOUNTAIN MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Exm Dst
348 SHOALS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Exm Dst
352 SURRY CENTRAL HS	9 12	No	No	70.2	~	NR
356 WESTFIELD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.1	~	Exm
360 WHITE PLAINS ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	80.3	~	Exm Dst
861 ELKIN CITY						
304 ELKIN ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Exm Dst
308 ELKIN HS	7 12	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Exm MI
862 MOUNT AIRY CITY						
310 JONES ELEM	3 5	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Exm Dst
308 B THARRINGTON ELEM	PK 2	Yes	Yes		1	Exm
312 MOUNT AIRY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	68.3	~	Exm
316 MOUNT AIRY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.5	9	Exm Dst
870 SWAIN COUNTY						
314 SWAIN COUNTY HS	9 12	No	No	66.9	~	NR
318 SWAIN COUNTY MID	6 8	No	No	83.2	9	Dst NR
320 SWAIN CO EAST ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.6	~	Exm
324 SWAIN CO WEST ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	83.3	~	Exp Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
880 TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY							
304	BREVARD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Exm Dst
308	BREVARD HS	9 12	Yes	No	81.0	~	Exp Dst
312	BREVARD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	89.7	9	Exm Dst
318	PISGAH FOREST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Exm Dst
324	ROSMAN ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Exm Dst
328	ROSMAN MIDDLE-HS	7 12	No	No	81.9	~	Dst NR
336	T C HENDERSON ELEM	K 6	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exm Exc
88A BREVARD ACAD							
000	BREVARD ACAD	K 8	Yes	No	86.3	~	Exp Dst
890 TYRRELL COUNTY							
304	COLUMBIA HS	6 12	No	No	51.0	~	NR
308	TYRRELL ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	72.4	~	Exp
900 UNION COUNTY							
304	BENTON HEIGHTS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
306	EAST ELEM	PK 5	No	No	50.4	~	NR
308	EAST UNION MID	6 8	No	No	69.3	9	NR
312	FAIRVIEW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Exm Dst
314	MONROE MID	6 8	No	No	65.7	9	NR
316	FOREST HILLS HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	59.7	6,9	Exm
318	HEMBY BRIDGE ELEM	K 5	No	No	86.9	~	Dst NR
320	INDIAN TRAIL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Exm Dst
332	MARSHVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	68.3	~	Exm
336	MONROE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	53.7	6,9	Exm
340	NEW SALEM ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.4	~	Exm
344	PARKWOOD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	71.3	6,9	Exm
346	PARKWOOD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Exm Dst
348	PIEDMONT HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	75.5	~	Exm
350	PIEDMONT MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Exm Dst
352	PROSPECT ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	81.3	~	Exp Dst
354	SARDIS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	80.9	~	Exp Dst
356	SHILOH ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	93.2	~	Exm Exc
360	SUN VALLEY HS	9 12	Yes	No	72.1	6,9	Exp
362	SUN VALLEY MID	6 8	Yes	No	83.8	~	Exp Dst
364	UNION ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	72.1	~	Exm
368	UNIONVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.5	~	Exm Dst
370	WALTER BICKETT ELEM	K 5	No	No	49.5	*	NR
372	WAXHAW ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
376	WEDDINGTON ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exm Exc
378	WEDDINGTON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	92.3	~	Exm Exc
380	WESLEY CHAPEL ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exm Exc

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
900 UNION COUNTY						
384 WESTERN UNION ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Exm Dst
388 WINGATE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	63.3	~	Exm
910 VANCE COUNTY						
304 AYCOCK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	63.4	~	Exm
308 CARVER ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	67.6	~	Exp
312 CLARK STREET ELEM	PK 5	No	No	52.3	~	NR
314 DABNEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	74.1	~	Exm
316 EATON-JOHNSON MID	6 8	No	No	63.3	9	NR
320 HENDERSON MID	6 8	No	No	62.2	9	NR
340 NEW HOPE ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	48.8	~	Exp
346 E O YOUNG JR ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	64.6	~	Exm
356 PINKSTON STREET ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	43.8	~	Exp
360 ROLLINS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	70.1	~	Exp
364 SOUTHERN VANCE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	43.0	~	Exm
370 NORTHERN VANCE HS	9 12	Yes	No	49.1	~	Exp
376 L B YANCEY ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	62.1	~	Exm
380 ZEB VANCE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Exm
91A VANCE CHARTER SCH						
000 VANCE CHARTER SCH	K 6	No	No	72.9	~	NR
920 WAKE COUNTY						
304 ADAMS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.8	~	Exm Dst
308 APEX ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.8	~	Exm Dst
312 APEX MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Exm Dst
316 APEX HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Exm
318 ATHENS DRIVE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	77.1	~	Exm
320 AVERSBORO ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	70.4	~	Exp
326 BAILEYWICK RD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Exm Dst
328 BAUCOM ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	88.9	~	Exm Dst
334 BRASSFIELD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Exm Dst
336 BRENTWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	75.0	~	Exm
340 BRIARCLIFF ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.6	~	Exm Dst
344 BROOKS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	68.0	~	Exp
348 NEEDHAM BROUGHTON HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	72.2	~	Exm
352 BUGG ELEM	K 5	No	No	80.5	~	Dst NR
356 CARNAGE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
360 CARROLL MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Exm Dst
362 CARVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.0	~	Exm
364 CARY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.2	~	Exm
368 CARY HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	74.5	~	Exm
376 COMBS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Exm Dst
380 CONN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	73.8	~	Exm
384 CREECH ROAD ELEM	K 5	No	No	61.9	~	NR
388 DANIELS MID	6 8	Yes	No	81.2	~	Exp Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
920 WAKE COUNTY						
390 DAVIS DRIVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	97.3	~	Exm Exc
391 DAVIS DRIVE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exm Exc
393 DILLARD DRIVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Exm Dst
394 DILLARD DRIVE MID	6 7	Yes	No	84.0	~	Exp Dst
396 DOUGLAS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
398 DURANT ROAD ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	88.7	~	Exm Dst
399 DURANT ROAD MID	6 8	Yes	No	88.7	~	Exp Dst
400 EAST CARY MID	6 8	No	No	85.8	~	Dst NR
404 EAST GARNER MID	6 8	No	No	71.5	~	NR
408 E MILLBROOK MID	6 8	No	No	74.2	~	NR
410 EAST WAKE MID	6 8	No	No	72.2	~	NR
411 EAST WAKE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.0	~	Exm
412 WILLIAM G ENLOE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	73.1	~	Exm
414 FARMINGTON WOODS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.8	~	Exm
415 FOX ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.6	~	Exm
416 FULLER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	72.9	~	Exp
420 FUQUAY-VARINA ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	69.8	~	Exm
424 FUQUAY-VARINA MID	6 8	Yes	No	77.2	~	Exp
428 FUQUAY-VARINA HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	65.8	~	Exm
436 GARNER HS	9 12	Yes	No	62.5	~	Exp
440 GREEN ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.9	~	Exm Dst
442 HILBURN DRIVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Exm Dst
446 HODGE ROAD ELEM	K 5	No	No	70.8	~	NR
447 HOLLY SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
448 HUNTER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.8	~	Exm Dst
452 JEFFREYS GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Exm Dst MI
454 JONES DAIRY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Exm Dst
456 JOYNER ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Exm Dst
460 KINGSWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	95.3	~	Exm Exc MI
464 KNIGHTDALE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	69.2	~	Exp
468 LACY ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Exm Dst
469 LEESVILLE ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.9	~	Exm Dst
470 LEAD MINE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Exm Dst
471 LEESVILLE ROAD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Exm Dst
472 LIGON MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Exm Dst
473 LEESVILLE ROAD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Exm Dst
476 LINCOLN HEIGHTS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Exm
480 LOCKHART ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.2	~	Exm MI
484 LUFKIN ROAD MID	6 6	Yes	Yes	93.9	~	Exm Exc
488 LYNN ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	76.1	~	Exm
492 MARTIN MID	6 8	Yes	No	86.4	~	Exp Dst
496 MILLBROOK ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Exm
500 MILLBROOK HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	71.6	~	Exm
504 MORRISVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exm Exc
512 NORTH GARNER MID	6 8	Yes	No	73.4	~	Exp
516 NORTH RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Exm Dst
520 NORTHWOODS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.9	~	Exm Dst
522 OAK GROVE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	93.4	~	Exm Exc
523 OLIVE CHAPEL RD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr;
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
920 WAKE COUNTY					
524 OLDS ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	87.4	~	Exm Dst
525 PARTNERSHIP PRI	K 3	Yes Yes	91.4	~	Exm Exc
530 PENNY ROAD ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	84.2	~	Exm Dst
531 PLEASANT UNION ELEM	K 5	Yes No	89.4	~	Exp Dst
532 POE ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	76.1	~	Exm MI
536 POWELL ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	82.9	~	Exm Dst
540 RAND ROAD ELEM	K 5	No No	70.5	~	NR
542 REEDY CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	86.9	~	Exm Dst
544 ROLESVILLE ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	77.2	~	Exm
548 ROOT ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	88.2	~	Exm Dst
552 SANDERSON HS	9 12	Yes Yes	72.7	~	Exm
560 SMITH ELEM	K 5	No No	73.7	~	NR
562 SOUTHEAST RALEIGH HS	9 12	Yes Yes	74.2	9	Exm
564 STOUGH ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	87.8	~	Exm Dst
568 SWIFT CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	84.2	~	Exm Dst
570 TIMBER DRIVE ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	88.0	~	Exm Dst
572 UNDERWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	75.1	~	Exm
576 VANCE ELEM	K 5	Yes No	80.6	~	Exp Dst
580 VANDORA SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	82.4	~	Exm Dst
584 WAKE FOREST ELEM	K 5	Yes No	78.3	~	Exp
588 WAKE F-ROLESVILLE HS	9 12	Yes Yes	67.7	~	Exm
592 WAKE F-ROLESVILLE MID	6 8	Yes Yes	85.2	~	Exm Dst
593 WAKEFIELD ELEM	K 6	Yes Yes	85.4	~	Exm Dst
596 WASHINGTON ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	81.9	~	Exm Dst
598 WEATHERSTONE ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	89.9	~	Exm Dst
600 WENDELL ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	79.2	~	Exm
604 WEST CARY MID	6 8	Yes Yes	89.2	~	Exm Dst
606 WEST LAKE ELEM	PK 5	Yes Yes	90.5	~	Exm Exc
607 WEST LAKE MID	6 8	Yes Yes	91.9	~	Exm Exc
608 WEST MILLBROOK MID	6 8	Yes No	83.9	~	Exp Dst
616 WILBURN ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	85.2	~	Exm Dst
618 WILDWOOD FOREST ELEM	K 4	Yes No	78.0	~	Exp
620 WILEY ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	86.2	~	Exm Dst
624 WILLOW SPRINGS ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	80.6	~	Exm Dst
628 YORK ELEM	K 5	Yes Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
632 ZEBULON ELEM	K 5	No No	73.8	~	NR
636 ZEBULON MID	6 8	No No	77.7	~	NR
92B EXPLORIS					
000 EXPLORIS	6 8	Yes Yes	94.9	~	Exm Exc
92C BAKER CHARTER HIGH					
000 BAKER CHARTER HS	9 12	Yes Yes	15.9	9	Exm
92D MAGELLAN CHARTER					
000 MAGELLAN CHARTER	4 8	Yes Yes	96.4	9	Exm Exc

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
92E STERLING MONTESSORI						
000 STERLING MONTESSORI	K 8	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Exm
92F FRANKLIN ACAD						
000 FRANKLIN ACAD	K 6	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
92G EAST WAKE ACAD						
000 EAST WAKE ACAD	K 9	No	No	62.7	9	NR
92H SANKORE SCH						
000 SANKORE SCH	6 8	No	No	40.7	*	NR
92I SPARC ACAD						
000 SPARC ACAD	PK 8	No	No	31.4	~	LP
92K RALEIGH CHARTER HS						
000 RALEIGH CHARTER HS	9 10	Yes	Yes	87.6	9	Exm Dst
92L NE RALEIGH CHTR ACAD						
000 NE RALEIGH CHTR ACAD	K 5	No	No	52.6	~	NR
92N QUEST ACAD						
000 QUEST ACAD	1 12	Yes	Yes	94.3	4,9	Exm Dst
930 WARREN COUNTY						
330 MARIAM BOYD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	60.6	~	Exp
340 NORTHSIDE ELEM	K 5	No	No	58.4	~	NR
344 SOUTH WARREN ELEM	K 5	No	No	59.8	~	NR
348 VAUGHAN ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.1	~	NR
352 WARREN COUNTY HS	9 12	No	No	35.2	~	LP
354 WARREN COUNTY MID	6 8	No	No	62.9	9	NR
940 WASHINGTON COUNTY						
306 CRESWELL ELEM	PK 6	Yes	Yes	59.0	~	Exm
308 CRESWELL HIGH	7 12	Yes	Yes	44.2	9	Exm
314 PINES ELEM	PK 4	No	No	53.9	~	NR
316 PLYMOUTH HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	33.2	~	Exm
328 WASHINGTON CO UNION	5 8	No	No	59.0	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
950 WATAUGA COUNTY							
308	BETHEL ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	77.9	9	Exm
312	BLOWING ROCK ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	93.4	9	Exm Exc
316	COVE CREEK ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	87.6	9	Exm Dst
320	GREEN VALLEY ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	88.4	9	Exp Dst
322	HARDIN PARK ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exm Exc MI
324	MABEL ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	77.2	9	Exp
328	PARKWAY ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	91.2	9	Exm Exc
332	VALLE CRUCIS ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	91.7	9	Exm Exc
336	WATAUGA HS	9 12	Yes	No	75.6	~	Exp
960 WAYNE COUNTY							
312	BROGDEN MID	5 8	No	No	66.7	9	NR
314	BROGDEN PRI	K 4	Yes	No	63.7	~	Exp
316	CARVER ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	67.5	~	Exp
318	CARVER HEIGHTS ELEM	K 5	No	No	42.7	~	LP
324	CHARLES B AYCOCK HS	9 12	Yes	No	58.1	~	Exp
326	DILLARD MID	6 8	No	No	66.9	~	NR
329	EASTERN WAYNE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	77.9	~	Exm
330	EASTERN WAYNE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	67.1	~	Exm
331	EASTERN WAYNE MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Exm Dst
334	FREMONT ELEM	K 5	No	No	71.2	~	NR
335	GOLDSBORO HS	9 12	No	No	30.5	~	LP
336	GRANTHAM	K 8	Yes	No	79.4	~	Exp
337	GOLDSBORO MID	6 8	No	No	67.3	9	NR
340	GREENWOOD MID	5 8	Yes	No	84.3	~	Exp Dst
344	MEADOW LANE ELEM	K 4	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Exm
348	MOUNT OLIVE MID	6 8	No	No	70.9	~	NR
360	NORWAYNE MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.1	~	Exp Dst
370	ROSEWOOD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Exm
372	ROSEWOOD HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	59.0	~	Exm MI
374	ROSEWOOD MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exm Exc
376	SCH STREET ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	59.5	~	Exp
380	SOUTHERN WAYNE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	54.9	9	Exm
382	SPRING CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	83.1	~	Exm Dst MI
386	SPRING CREEK HS	6 12	Yes	Yes	81.7	4,9	Exm Dst
390	NORTH DRIVE ELEM	K 5	No	No	59.3	~	NR
450	NORTHEAST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.0	~	Exm Dst
454	NORTHWEST ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.2	~	Exm Dst
96C DILLARD ACAD							
000	DILLARD ACAD	K 3	No	No	38.1	*	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)		Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected Exemplary		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
96D WAYNE TECH ACAD							
000	WAYNE TECH ACAD	9 12	Yes	Yes	8.5	9	Exm
970 WILKES COUNTY							
308	BOOMER-FERGUSON ELEM	PK 5	Yes	No	63.5	~	Exp
310	C B ELLER ELEM	K 8	No	No	76.7	~	NR
312	C C WRIGHT ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	83.0	~	Exp Dst
315	J F WOODWARD MID	6 8	Yes	No	80.6	~	Exp Dst
320	EAST WILKES HS	9 12	Yes	No	64.9	~	Exp
324	FAIRPLAINS ELEM	PK 5	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Exm Dst
336	MILLERS CREEK INT	4 8	Yes	No	83.3	~	Exp Dst
338	MILLERS CREEK PRI	K 3	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Exm Dst
340	MORAVIAN FALLS ELEM	PK 5	No	No	73.9	~	NR
344	MT PLEASANT ELEM	PK 8	No	No	74.4	~	NR
348	MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	K 8	No	No	80.2	~	Dst NR
352	MULBERRY ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	76.8	~	Exp
356	NORTH WILKES HS	9 12	Yes	No	66.8	~	Exp
360	N WILKESBORO ELEM	PK 5	No	No	77.3	~	NR
368	ROARING RIVER ELEM	K 8	No	No	70.5	~	NR
370	RONDA-CLINGMAN ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Exm Dst
376	TRAPHILL ELEM	PK 8	No	No	76.5	~	NR
380	UNION ELEM/MID	PK 8	Yes	No	79.4	~	Exp
388	WEST WILKES HS	9 12	No	No	60.2	~	NR
390	WILKES CENTRAL HS	9 12	No	No	68.6	~	NR
392	WILKESBORO ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	80.9	~	Exp Dst
97D BRIDGES CHARTER SCH							
000	BRIDGES CHARTER SCH	K 8	No	No	53.7	~	NR
980 WILSON COUNTY							
308	B O BARNES ELEM	K 5	No	No	63.6	~	NR
317	DARDEN-VICK MID	6 8	No	No	70.8	9	NR
318	BEDDINGFIELD HS	9 12	No	No	50.8	~	NR
320	ELM CITY ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	76.7	~	Exp
324	ELM CITY MID	6 8	No	No	73.5	9	NR
336	FIKE HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	62.2	~	Exm
338	FOREST HILLS MID	6 8	Yes	No	75.3	~	Exp
340	GARDNERS ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Exm Dst
342	JAMES HUNT HS	9 12	Yes	Yes	63.0	~	Exm
348	LEE WOODARD ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Exm Dst
352	LUCAMA ELEM	K 5	No	No	88.6	~	Dst NR
356	MARGARET HEARNE ELEM	K 5	No	No	64.0	~	NR
360	NEW HOPE ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	87.4	~	Exp Dst
364	ROCK RIDGE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	89.2	~	Exm Dst

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Growth/Gain and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth/Gain Expected	Exemplary	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
980 WILSON COUNTY						
380 SPEIGHT MID	6 8	No	No	75.6	~	NR
384 SPRINGFIELD MID	6 8	Yes	No	78.7	9	Exp
388 STANTONSBURG ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	87.8	~	Exp Dst
390 TOISNOT MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	83.0	9	Exm Dst
392 VINSON-BYNUM ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	84.4	~	Exp Dst
396 WELLS ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	80.6	~	Exp Dst
400 WINSTEAD ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	75.7	~	Exp
98A SALLIE B HOWARD						
000 SALLIE B HOWARD	K 7	No	No	45.7	*	NR
990 YADKIN COUNTY						
304 BOONVILLE ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	77.5	~	Exm MI
308 COURTNEY ELEM	K 8	No	No	74.2	9	NR
312 EAST BEND ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	71.9	9	Exm
316 FALL CREEK ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	81.3	9	Exp Dst
320 FORBUSH ELEM	PK 8	Yes	Yes	80.6	9	Exm Dst
322 FORBUSH HS	9 12	No	No	57.2	~	NR
324 JONESVILLE ELEM	PK 8	Yes	No	76.4	9	Exp
326 STARMOUNT HS	9 12	No	No	53.4	~	NR
328 WEST YADKIN ELEM	K 8	Yes	Yes	77.3	9	Exm
336 YADKINVILLE ELEM	K 8	Yes	No	73.8	~	Exp
995 YANCEY COUNTY						
304 BALD CREEK ELEM	K 5	Yes	No	71.4	~	Exp
308 BEE LOG ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	93.5	~	Exm Exc
312 BURNSVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Exm Dst
316 CANE RIVER MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	88.9	9	Exm Dst
320 CLEARMONT ELEM	K 5	No	No	72.9	~	NR
324 EAST YANCEY MID	6 8	Yes	Yes	92.2	9	Exm Exc
328 MICAVILLE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	78.0	~	Exm
330 MOUNTAIN HERITAGE HS	9 12	Yes	No	71.2	~	Exp
336 SOUTH TOE ELEM	K 5	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Exm Dst MI
997 DHHS						
202 EASTERN NC SCH DEAF	UN GR	No	No	9.4	9	LP
203 GOV. MOREHEAD SCH	K 8	Yes	Yes	27.1	~	Exm MI
204 NC SCH DEAF MORGANTON	UN GR	No	No	8.1	9	LP
206 CENTRAL NC SCH DEAF	UN GR	No	No	22.7	~	LP
998 JUVENILE JUSTICE						
704 JUVENILE EVALUATION CT	UN GR	No	No	15.3	9	LP

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction;
MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition
EE-Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr
5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-School did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
010 ALAMANCE-BURLINGTON						
378 SELLARS-GUNN ALT	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Transition Y Attendance N	17.7	9	Exp
040 ANSON COUNTY						
305 ANSON CHALLENGE ACAD	07 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Volunteers Y Computer Y Parent Y	7.3	9	Exm
070 BEAUFORT COUNTY						
310 B C ED TECH CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Attendance N Safety Y Technology Y	22.8	9	Exp
090 BLADEN COUNTY						
354 SCH OF EXTENDED HOPE	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance N Parent Y Suspension Y	18.4	9	Exp
100 BRUNSWICK COUNTY						
308 BRUNSWICK LEARN CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Redirection N Community Y Customer Y	31.0	9	Exp
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
303 BUNCOMBE CMTY-EAST	07 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Graduates Y Credits Y Attendance N	53.1	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
120 BURKE COUNTY						
308 BURKE ALTERNATE	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Behavioral N Attendance N Community Y	31.1	9	NR
130 CABARRUS COUNTY						
317 CABARRUS OPPORTUNITY	05 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance Y Credits Y Assessment Y	33.3	9	Exp
140 CALDWELL COUNTY						
306 CALDWELL CO GATEWAY	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Other Y Attendance Y	8.8	9	Exp
170 CASWELL COUNTY						
320 FOCUS ACAD	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency N	Parent Y Parent Y Attendance Y	50.0	9	Exp
181 HICKORY CITY						
318 CATAWBA VALLEY HS	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance Y Safety N GPA Y	9.1	9	Exp
190 CHATHAM COUNTY						
310 SAGE ACAD	08 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Parent Y Customer Y Students Y	16.3	9	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
200 CHEROKEE COUNTY						
322 MOUNTAIN YOUTH CTR	UN GR	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	IEP Y Transition Y Safety Y	40.4	9	Exp
231 KINGS MOUNTAIN						
330 DAVIDSON SCH	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Promotion Y Transition Y	13.9	9	Exm
240 COLUMBUS COUNTY						
336 NAKINA ALTERNATIVE	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Suspension Y IEP Y Safety Y	27.5	9	Exm
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
346 CUMBERLAND EVENING	09 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Dropout Y Parent Y Community Y	31.9	9	Exp
409 RAMSEY ST HS ALT PGM	09 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency N	Parent Y Student Y Safety Y	20.0	9	Exp
413 RAMSEY ST MID SCH ALT	05 08	EOG/EOC N	Parent Y Student Y Community Y	41.6	~	Exp
280 DARE COUNTY						
306 DARE CO ALT HS	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	GPA Y Attendance Y Community Y	26.1	9	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptionss; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
290 DAVIDSON COUNTY						
314 DAVIDSON CO EXT DAY	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Credits Y Graduates Y Parent Y	41.3	~	Exp
320 DURHAM COUNTY						
329 HOLLOWAY ST ADV CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Community Y Graduates Y	22.6	9	Exm
331 CIS ACAD	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Dropouts Y Retention Y Suspension Y	30.9	9	Exm
340 FORSYTH COUNTY						
478 INDEPENDENCE HS	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parental Y Completions Y Reading Y	28.2	9	Exm
488 LEAP AT KENNEDY	06 08	EOG/EOC Y	Absenteeism Y Suspension Y Dropout Y	38.0	~	Exm
360 GASTON COUNTY						
372 WARLICK SCH	UN GR	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Discipline Y Parent Y	22.1	9	Exp
410 GUILFORD COUNTY						
533 SCALE SCH	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Customer Y Parent Y Transition Y	22.8	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptionss; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
420 HALIFAX COUNTY						
332 HALIFAX CO ED CTR	07 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Safety Y GPA Y Redirection N	4.3	9	Exp
430 HARNETT COUNTY						
345 HARNETT CO ALT	UN GR	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Careers Y Transition Y	28.1	9	Exp
440 HAYWOOD COUNTY						
326 CENTRAL HAYWOOD HS	07 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance Y GPA Y Parent Y	29.9	9	Exm
450 HENDERSON COUNTY						
343 TUXEDO EXTENDED DAY	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	AEP Y Satisfaction Y Tracking Y	37.1	9	Exp
470 HOKE COUNTY						
342 J W TURLINGTON	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Promotion Y Safety Y SAT Y	20.8	9	Exm
490 IREDELL-STATESVILLE						
342 MULBERRY STREET SCH	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Dropout Y Attendance Y Safety Y	14.4	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met		Local Options Met		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
500 JACKSON COUNTY								
324 JACKSON CO SC OF ALT	0K 12	EOG/EOC	N	Attendance	Y	20.8	9	Exp
		NCHSCT	N	Suspension	Y			
		Competency	Y	Redirection	Y			
510 JOHNSTON COUNTY								
380 SOUTH CAMPUS CMTY	0K 12	EOG/EOC	N	Academic	Y	38.6	9	Exp
		NCHSCT	Y	Attendance	N			
		Competency	Y	Parents	Y			
530 LEE COUNTY								
306 BRAGG STREET ACAD	06 12	EOG/EOC	N	Attendance	Y	13.8	9	Exp
		NCHSCT	N	Parent	Y			
		Competency	Y	Community	Y			
540 LENOIR COUNTY								
318 LEWIS CTR	UN GR	EOG/EOC	N	Discipline	Y	30.6	9	Exp
		NCHSCT	N	Absences	Y			
		Competency	Y	Parent	Y			
550 LINCOLN COUNTY								
308 ASBURY SCH	07 12	EOG/EOC	N	Attendance	Y	13.2	9	Exp
		NCHSCT	N	Promotion	Y			
		Competency	Y	Parent	Y			

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
310 MAYFIELD ALTERNATIVE	07 08	EOG/EOC N	Growth N Dropout Y Conference Y	31.2	~	NR
354 COVENANT ACAD	06 06	EOG/EOC N	Growth N Growth N Growth N	20.8	~	LP
386 MIDWOOD HS	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Parent N Graduates Y Dropout N	33.9	9	Exp
439 LEARNING ACAD-N	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Growth N Transition Y Parent Y	17.3	9	Exp
456 DOLLY TATE TAPS	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance N Drop-outs N Parents Y	26.6	9	Exp
458 MANAGEMENT SCH	06 08	EOG/EOC N	Attendance Y Student Y Parent N	34.1	9	NR
620 MONTGOMERY COUNTY						
310 ANCHOR SCH	UN GR	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency N	Suspension Y Dropout Y Promotion N	14.0	9	NR
630 MOORE COUNTY						
330 MOORE CENTRAL	UN GR	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Completion N Computer N Dropout Y	24.6	9	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
640 NASH/ROCKY MOUNT						
340 TAR RIVER LEARNING	07 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Membership Y Recognition Y Review Y	35.4	9	Exm
650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY						
354 LAKESIDE	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	CPT Y GPA Y Promotion Y	26.3	~	Exp
700 PASQUOTANK COUNTY						
310 H L TRIGG COMM	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Attendance Y Customer Y Parent Y	30.5	9	Exm
710 PENDER COUNTY						
325 PENDER CO ALT	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Parent Y Community Y Safety Y	42.1	9	Exm
770 RICHMOND COUNTY						
342 LEAK STREET	04 09	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Agency Y IEP's Y	21.3	9	Exp
780 ROBESON COUNTY						
331 ROBESON CO CAREER CTR	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Graduates Y Customers Y Parental Y	21.2	9	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY						
392 THE SCORE CTR	OK 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Customer Y Parent Y Transition N	22.8	9	Exp
800 ROWAN-SALISBURY						
308 HENDERSON INDEP HS	08 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Proficiency Y Behavioral N Safety Y	19.0	9	Exp
810 RUTHERFORD COUNTY						
316 CARVER CTR	UN GR	EOG/EOC N	Attendance Y Suspension Y Parent Y	29.4	~	Exp
830 SCOTLAND COUNTY						
316 EAST LAURINBURG	UN GR	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	GPA Y Behavior Y Parent Y	37.6	9	Exm
850 STOKES COUNTY						
324 MEADOWBROOK SCH	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Student Y Academic Y	18.2	9	Exp
860 SURRY COUNTY						
322 FOOTHILLS HS	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Suspension Y Parent Y In-service Y	33.0	9	Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptionss; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
880 TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY						
320 DAVIDSON RIVER SCH	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Attendance N Graduates Y Growth Y	63.6	9	Exp
900 UNION COUNTY						
365 SOUTH PROVIDENCE	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Success Y Customer Y GPA Y	25.0	9	Exp
910 VANCE COUNTY						
368 WESTERN VANCE SECOND	05 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency N	Attendance N Safety N Parent Y	17.7	9	LP
920 WAKE COUNTY						
324 LONGVIEW	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Suspension Y Satisfaction Y GPA Y	17.2	9	Exm
508 MT VERNON REDIRECTION	06 08	EOG/EOC N	GPA Y Absentee Y Suspension Y	44.8	-	Exp
528 PHILLIPS HS	09 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Credits Y Graduation Y Involvement Y	29.9	9	Exm

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptionss; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No

Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;

*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; -No Special Conditions

**A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education Volume I:
1999-2000 Growth and Performance of Public Schools in North Carolina
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
960 WAYNE COUNTY						
310 BELFAST ACAD	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT Y Competency Y	Attendance Y Grades Y Satisfaction Y	45.8	9	Exm
378 SOUTHERN ACAD	06 12	EOG/EOC Y NCHSCT N Competency Y	Parent Y Safety Y Suspension Y	27.0	9	Exm
980 WILSON COUNTY						
306 ADAMS LEARNING CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC N NCHSCT N Competency Y	Suspension Y Customer Y Safety Y	33.0	9	Exp
358 MILTON M DANIELS ADV	01 08	EOG/EOC N	Attendance N Discipline Y Parent Y	48.0		NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth/Gain; Exm-Exemplary Growth/Gain; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; MI-25 Most Improved K-8; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE- Excessive Exemptionss; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested; Y-Yes; N-No
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High School (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High School (Gr 10-12); 4-High School 1st yr; 5-High School 2nd yr; 6-EOC Moved; 7-High School Demographic Changes; 9-Schools did not meet data requirements;
*Confidence Interval Applied; **1st yr Charter less than 75%; ~No Special Conditions

**Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance
2000 - 2001**

Status of Superintendents of School Systems Having More than Half of Their Schools Identified as Low Performing

The ABCs legislation in G.S. 115C-105.32 permits the State Board to appoint an interim superintendent in a local school administrative unit when more than half of the schools have been identified as low performing schools. Low-performing schools are those that have not met the minimum growth standards defined by the State Board, and a majority of students are performing below grade level.

The results of the ABCs of Public Education for 1999-00 did not show any school systems as having more than half of their schools identified as low performing. Therefore, State Board action was not required.

115C-333. Evaluation of certified employees including certain superintendents; action plans; State Board notification upon dismissal of employees.

Local Board Evaluation of Certain Superintendents--Each year the local board of education shall evaluate the superintendent employed by the local school administrative unit and report to the State Board the results of that evaluation if during that year the State Board designated as low-performing:

- (1) **One or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than *10 schools*.
- (2) **Two or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than *20 schools*
- (3) **Three or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has more than *20 schools*.

Letters notifying local board chair persons of schools in their school system identified as low-performing were sent August 3, 2000.

LEA	Criteria From Above	Total # of Schools	# of Low-Performing Schools
Bertie County	(1)	9	1
Caswell County	(1)	7	1
Mecklenburg County	(3)	135	4
Northampton County	(1)	10	2
Robeson County	(3)	41	5
Warren County	(1)	6	1

**Status of Principals of Schools Receiving Mandatory Assistance
2000 - 2001**

The General Assembly revised the ABCs legislation to require local boards and superintendents to take the first actions regarding principals located in low-performing schools. The revision provides four options for superintendents to consider in dealing with principals who are in low-performing schools: (1) Retain in the same position, if principal was in the school two years or less before it was identified as low performing; (2) Retain with a remediation plan; (3) Transfer; or (4) Demote or dismiss according to G.S. 115C-325.

LEA	School	Retained – has less than two years at the school	Retained with remediation plan – has more than two years at the school	Transferred	Demoted/ Dismissed	Resigned
Bertie	Aulander Elementary			x		
Durham	Eastway Elementary	x				
Forsyth	Petree Elementary	x				
Gaston	Woodhill Elementary			x		
Halifax	Northwest High School		x			
Charlotte	Thomasboro Elementary	x				
	Wilson Middle School	x				
	West Charlotte High	x				
Robeson	South Robeson High				x	
Wayne	Carver Heights Elem.		x			

Results of School Building Appeals

The State Board of Education at its October 5, 2000 meeting approved the recommendations of the Compliance Commission for Accountability regarding the appeals of six (6) schools. The status of the following three (3) schools will be changed from "No Recognition" to "Schools Making Expected Growth/Gain." These schools are Pattillo Elementary and Princeville Montessori Elementary (both in Edgecombe County) and Rocky Mount Charter School.

II. Report on Assistance Teams

Composition and Activities of Assistance Teams

Background

For the fourth year of the assistance teams, members were selected to replace team turnover. We have a total of 77 team members this year. Because of the size of the certified staff in some high schools, the high school teams were expanded by 1-2 members.

Composition

Practicing principals, assistant principals, classroom teachers and central office supervisors on leave from local education agencies (LEAs), retired educators, and a college professor.

Profile

Average of 22 years of educational experience

6 – National Board Certified

75% advanced degrees

Race Ethnicity: 9 White Males
 7 African-American Males
 35 White Females
 26 African-American Females

Retention

Annually 15 - 25 members return to their school systems or accept other positions. Team members who return to LEAs are usually placed in leadership roles where they can have a positive impact on student achievement and teacher performance. This year we have a total of 77 team members: Mandated assistance - 55 members and voluntary assistance - 22 members.

Major Activities

- Conduct entry conferences with superintendent and principal or interim school leader at assigned school
- Conduct needs assessment to identify school strengths and areas needing improvement
- Evaluate certified personnel, including principal
- Formulate recommendations based on results of needs assessment
- Revise School Improvement Plan, if needed

- Establish strategies, time lines and persons responsible for implementation
- Implement the revised School Improvement Plan
- Monitor and assess progress frequently
- Prepare monthly progress reports

Mandated Assistance for 2000 - 2001
Assistance Team Assignments

K - 8 Schools

<u>School</u>	<u>LEA</u>	<u>Team Leader/Members</u>	<u>Team Liaison</u>
Aulander Elem.	Bertie	Sheneel Branch, Leader Jeraldine Brooks Patricia Calfee Melinda Harris Theresa Jones	Alice Garrett
Carver Heights Elem.	Wayne	Christine Hall, Leader Roxanne Everett Carol Hale Mural Lanier Lorene Pfeiffer Suzanne Scott Elizabeth Smith	Alice Garrett
Eastway Elem.	Durham	Patricia Spicer, Leader James Ingram Joyce Loveless Susan Pittman Ed Scarborough Melinda Shrewsbury Geraldine Webb-Harris	Debora Hollingsworth
Petree Elementary	Forsyth	Martha McLeod, Leader Steve Anglin Deborah Blakeney Sallie Mayfield	Don Farthing
Thomasboro Elem.	Mecklenburg	Celeste Byrnes, Leader Ava Greene Jeanne Penland Judy Whisnant	Krista Holland
Wilson Middle	Mecklenburg	Frances Reaves, Leader Sharon Harvey Terry Jonas Linda McLaughlin Janis Myers	Patricia Wilkins
Woodhill Elem.	Gaston	Dennis Walker, Leader Larry Britt Judy Coffey-Bell Deborah Elliott Jean Wilkins	Marilyn Palmer

Team Activities

August 7 –11, 2000	Teams conducted entry conferences with superintendents and principals.
August 11 - present	Team members are sharing information to build a greater understanding of the ABCs and the specific responsibilities of the team. Working with assigned schools to conduct a needs assessment which includes observation of all certified personnel and continuing to build trust and integrate the staff into their efforts.

Progress Reports and Debriefing

October 19, 2000	First full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division
October 31, 2000	Needs Assessment Report submitted to Director of School Improvement
November 2000	Regional meetings scheduled with team members to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information.
December 13, 2000	Second full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division
March 12, 2000	Third full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division
June 14, 2000	Fourth full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division

Support and Visitations

August 2000-June 2001	Team liaisons visit with team as often as necessary. Team leaders stay in contact with Agency personnel through phone conversations, faxed messages almost daily.
August – May 2000	Section Chiefs will visit the schools located in their assigned regions at least once a month.
Sept. 2000 – June 2001	Director will make periodic visits throughout the year.

Summary Remarks

The teams have been received very well this year. Team members are focused and task oriented. In their full-team meetings and regional meetings, they will share experiences and concerns with members of the School Improvement Division. They will receive ongoing support and guidance from the School Improvement staff.

Schools Eligible for Voluntary Assistance - 2000

LEA	SCHOOL	ACCEPTED	DECLINED
Cumberland	1. Lillian Black Elementary	x	
	2. Teresa Berrien Elementary	x	
Durham	3. C.C. Spaulding Elementary	x	x
Edgecombe	4. Roberson Elementary	x	x
	5. Stocks Elementary		x
Forsyth	6. Ashley Elementary	x	
	7. Cook Elementary		x
	8. Forest Park Elementary	x	
	9. Kimberly Park Elementary	x	
	10. North Hills Elementary	x	
Gaston	11. Rhyne Elementary	x	
Robeson	12. Fairmont Middle	x	
	13. Townsend Middle	x	
Union	14. Walter Bickett Elementary		
Caswell	15. Bartlett Yancey High School	x	
Mecklenburg	16. Olympic High School		
Northampton	17. Northampton High School-West	x	
	18. Northampton High School-East		
Richmond	19. Richmond County High School	x	
Robeson	20. Fairmont High School	x	
	21. Lumberton Senior High School	x	
	22. Saint Pauls High School		
	23. Purnell Swett High School	x	
Warren	24. Warren County High School	x	
Wayne	25. Goldsboro High School	x	

K-8 Charter Schools Receiving Voluntary Assistance

LEA	Charter School	Grade Span
Catawba	1. Engelmann Art/Sci	K-6
Cumberland	2. Oma's Inc.	6 - 12
Durham	3. Maureen Joy	K - 5
	4. Healthy Start Academy	K - 4
	5. Carter Community	K - 9
	6. Turning Point Academy	K - 8
	7. Omuteko Gwamaziima	K - 12
	8. Research Tri	K - 4
Forsyth	9. Lift Academy	6 - 12
Lee	10. Provisions Academy	6 - 12
Mecklenburg	11. Sugar Creek	K - 5
	12. Kennedy Charter	5 - 12
Pitt	13. Right Step Academy	6 - 12
Robeson	14. CIS Academy	6 - 8
Rowan	15. Rowan Academy	K - 5
Scotland	16. Laurinburg Academy	9 - 12
	17. Laurinburg Homework	8 - 12
Wake	18. SPARC Academy	PK - 8

Activities

August 4, 2000	Superintendents notified by phone and calls made to principals of all schools eligible to receive voluntary assistance inviting them to an orientation session.
August 11, 2000	Orientation session held.
August 11, 2000	School commitment forms with superintendent and principal signatures returned to Director of School Improvement.
August 14 - 18, 2000	Entry conferences and needs assessments are completed.
August 2000 - June 2001	Ongoing assistance and support provided to schools accepting assistance.

Support and Visitation

August 2000 - May 2001	Assistance team members and school improvement consultants receive ongoing support and guidance from section chiefs, the Assistant Director, and Director of School Improvement.
------------------------	--

Summary Remarks

Voluntary assistance is going very well. We will serve 23 traditional public schools and 18 charter schools during the 2000-2001 school year. All of these schools will receive training and staff development. They will also receive technical assistance.

ABCs Assistance Teams' Training

Topics and Subtopics

(The teams members work with local, state, national and international educational trainers and leaders.)

ABCs Plan

- Context Setting and Training Goals
- Local Participation, Local Flexibility, and School-Based Accountability
- Improving Low Performing Schools
- Issues, Questions and Concerns

BUILDING A HIGH PERFORMANCE TEAM

- What Comprises a Team
- High Performance Teams
- Roles/Responsibilities of Assistance Teams
- Working as a Team
- Team Mission and Code of Conduct
- Issues, Questions and Concerns

EFFECTIVE SCHOOLS

- How Do the Correlates Inform and Assist the Team's Work
- Excellence Without Excuses
- Using Effective School Correlates as a Way to Structure Intervention
- Case Studies of Effective Schools in High Poverty Areas
- Issues, Questions, and Concerns

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLANS

- Components of Plans
- Development of Plans (process)
- Developing Plans for Elementary and Middle Schools
- Implementing School Improvement Plans

EFFECTIVE CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMMING

- The Non-Negotiable: The Standard Course of Study
- Aligning the Curriculum in Reading
- Aligning the Curriculum in Writing
- Reading/Writing Across the Curriculum
- Teaching Mathematics in Elementary, Middle and High Schools
- Teaching Reading and Writing in Elementary and Middle Schools
- Teaching English in High School
- Coaching, Mentoring, and Conferencing
- Service Models
- Managing Classrooms
- Recognizing and Respecting Cultural Differences

TEAM-SCHOOL RELATIONS AND HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS

- Teams Entering Schools
- Teams Working with Schools: Case Study
- Strategies for Involving Parents/Families
- Facilitating Positive Home-School Relations

PERSONNEL EVALUATIONS

- Purpose and Use of the Teacher Performance Appraisal Instrument (TPAI)
- TPAI Use (24 hours of training)
- Evaluating Support Personnel
- Evaluating the Media Center Collection

NEEDS ASSESSMENT

- Overview of Needs Assessment
- Conducting a Needs Assessment
- Interpreting, Using, and Reporting Data

STUDENT SUPPORTS AND STAFF DEVELOPMENT

- Student Support Activities
- Student Support Programming
- School Improvement Plans and Staff Development: Matching Needs
- Planning and Implementation

BUILDING TEAMS

- Team Relationships
- Team Relationships with Schools
- Team Work: Case Studies
- Team Presentations

COMMUNICATING WITH THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY

- Reporting Results to the Local Board and Communities
- Group Case Study Presentation

Topics and Sessions Addressed in July

(Presenters were members of the DPI staff and staff of other organizations.)

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| • Student Accountability Standards | • CRISS Training | • Affirming Diversity |
| • ABCs Law/ Team Responsibility | • True Colors | • High Expectations |
| • Critical Issues for Team Members | • Team Leader Responsibilities | • Review of Skill Packets |
| • Conducting Entry Conferences | • English/Language Arts Update | • Workshop Facilitation |
| • Conducting a Needs Assessment | • Evaluation of Team Members | • K- 2 Assessment |
| • Mediation and Facilitation Training | • Principal Performance Appraisal | • Testing Update/Issues |
| • Instructional Profile | • Mentoring Training | • Teams in Action |
| • K-12 Science Update | • Language Acquisition/ESL Strategies | |

**Performance Record of Schools
Assigned State Mandated Assistance Teams**

Traditional Public Schools

School Year	Exemplary Growth	Expected Growth	No Recognition	Low-Performing	Total School Served
1997-98	13	1	1	0	15
1998-99	7	2	0	2	11
1999-00	5	0	0	2	7
1997-00	25	3	1	4	33

Performance Record of Charter Schools

School Year	Exemplary Growth	Expected Growth	No Recognition	Low-Performing	Total School Served
1998-99	3	1	1	2	7
1999-00	2	2	0	2	6
1998-00	5	3	1	4	13

State Assistance Teams provided mandated services and support on a daily basis for the length of the assigned school year to 33 traditional schools served during 1997-2000. State Assistance Teams provided voluntary services and support for the length of the school year to 13 charter schools during 1998-2000.

III. Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements

Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act

SB 1126, ratified in May 1998, amended the teacher competency testing provisions of the Excellent Schools Act so that only those teachers whose unsatisfactory performance was judged in whole or part due to lack of general knowledge were required to be tested. While no teachers were identified for testing at the end of the 1997-98 school year under this provision, the State Board of Education approved the use of the Florida *College Level Academic Skills Test* (CLAST) to assess the general knowledge of certified staff subject to testing. In the summer of 1998, standard setting procedures were conducted, and in the fall of 1998 the State Board of Education set "passing" scores for the reading and writing portions of this test.

There were no teachers recommended by the Assistance Teams or principals in low-performing schools to take the General Knowledge Test for 1999-2000.

A variety of resources were made available to assist teachers in low-performing schools. The State Board of Education allocated to low-performing and at-risk schools the CSRD Grants provided by Title I and Goals 2000 funds. In addition, the UNC Center for School Leadership Development agreed to provide any remediation assistance requested for teachers in low-performing schools.

The State Board of Education approved contracts with seven vendors (colleges, universities, public schools, SERVE, and the Principals' Executive Program) to develop evaluation instruments aligned with the new standards for professional educators adopted in May 1998. The instruments were piloted in 1999-2000 and will be available for use by school systems beginning with the 2000-2001 school year, if the system chooses to do so. While the focus of the individual instruments will vary, each will include means of rating teaching performance. In the fall of 2001-2002, all systems must implement the new evaluation instruments(s) they have adopted.

IV. ABCs Recognition & Schedule of Recognition Activities

ABCs Recognition

Top schools around the state receive special recognition as part of the ABCs of Public Education. There are three levels of recognition in the student growth area and two levels of recognition for student performance. For student growth, the top 35 schools (25 K-8, 10 high schools) in the state showing the highest amount of student growth/gains were designated "Most Improved" and were honored at a luncheon on September 15, 2000 in Winston-Salem. Each of these schools will receive a banner to hang in the school, a certificate of achievement, and an incentive bonus for all certified staff. All K-12 schools that meet 110% of their student growth/gains are deemed exemplary and receive a certificate of achievement. Certified employees in these schools also receive an incentive bonus. All K-12 schools meeting 100% of their student growth/gains standard were deemed as having met expected growth/gain and receive a certificate.

For student performance, a School of Excellence is the designation for those schools where at least 90% of the students tested performed at or above grade level and the school made expected growth/gains (as a minimum). These schools were also invited to the ABCs Recognition Luncheon that was held September 15, 2000. They will also receive a banner to hang in the school and a certificate of achievement. Schools in which 80.0 - 89.9 percent of students scored at or above grade level are designated as Schools of Distinction. They receive a certificate and a plaque.

In August, September, and October, the Chairman of the State Board of Education and/or the State Superintendent and Senior Leadership will visit the 35 Most Improved Schools and Schools of Excellence to present recognition banners. Teachers, parents, students, administrators, and community leaders proudly participate in these local celebrations.

2000 ABCs RECOGNITION VISITATIONS

DATE	TIME	LEA	SCHOOL	CATEGORY
August 17	9 a.m.		Exploris (Charter)	School of Excellence
	10:15 a.m.	Wake	Partnership Montessori Magnet	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Stanly	West Stanly High	Most Improved High School
	1:30 p.m.		Magellan (Charter)	School of Excellence
August 18	10:15 a.m.	Cabarrus	A T Allen Elementary	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Cabarrus	Northwest Cabarrus High	Most Improved High School
	2 p.m.	Cabarrus	Northwest Cabarrus Middle	School of Excellence
August 22	9 a.m.	Wake	West Lake Middle	School of Excellence
	11 a.m.	Wake	Kingswood Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
August 23	1 p.m.	Johnston	Clayton Middle	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Guilford	Southern Guilford High	Most Improved High School
	1 p.m.	Guilford	Brooks Global	School of Excellence
August 24	9 a.m.	Wake	Lufkin Road Middle	School of Excellence
	1:30 p.m.	Wake	West lake Elementary	School of Excellence
August 25	8:30 a.m.	Currituck	Knotts Island Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
	9 a.m.	Guilford	Jefferson Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
	10 a.m.	Currituck	Currituck County High	School of Excellence
	10:30 a.m.	Guilford	Northwest Guilford Middle	Most Improved High School
	10:45 a.m.	Currituck	Central Elementary	School of Excellence
August 28	10 a.m.	Sampson	Lakewood High	Most Improved K-8 School
August 29	10 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	McKee Road Elementary	Most Improved high School
	11:30 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	Barringer Academic Center	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Cahr./Mecklenburg	Elizabeth Lane Elementary	School of Excellence

2000 ABCs RECOGNITION VISITATIONS

DATE	TIME	LEA	SCHOOL	CATEGORY
August 30	10 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	Marie G. Davis Middle	School of Excellence
	11 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	South Charlotte Middle	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	Villa Heights Elementary	School of Excellence
August 31	8:15 a.m.	Carteret	Atlantic Elementary	School of Excellence
	9:30 a.m.	Carteret	Harkers Island Elementary	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	West Mecklenburg High	Most Improved High School
	11 a.m.	Craven	Brinson Memorial Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:15 a.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	Davidson Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:45 a.m.	Beaufort	Beaufort Co. Elementary	Most Improved K-8
	1 p.m.	Char./Mecklenburg	Davidson Intern' / Bacc.	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Lenoir	Northwest Elementary	School of Excellence
	2:15 p.m.	Lenoir	Pink Hill Elementary	School of Excellence
September 1	10:30 a.m.	New Hanover	Winter Park Model Elem.	Most Improved K-8 School
	11:30 a.m.	New Hanover	Roland-Grise Middle	School of Excellence
	1:15 p.m.	Guilford	Summerfield Elementary	School of Excellence
	1:30 p.m.	Pender	Topsail Middle	School of Excellence
	2:30 p.m.	Guilford	Grimsley High	Most Improved High School
September 5	9:30 a.m.		Governor Morehead School	Most Improved K-8 School
	10:45 a.m.	Wake	Davis Drive Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:30 a.m.	Wake	Davis Drive Middle	School of Excellence
	1 p.m.	Wake	Morrisville Elementary	School of Excellence
September 6	9 a.m.	Chapel Hill-Carrboro	C & L McDougle Middle	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Chapel Hill-Carrboro	C & L McDougle Middle	School of Excellence
September 18	10 a.m.	Wake	Poe Montessori Magnet	Most Improved K-8 School
	11:15 a.m.	Wake	Jeffreys Grove Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
September 19	9 a.m.	Wake	Lockhart Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School

2000 ABCs RECOGNITION VISITATIONS

DATE	TIME	LEA	SCHOOL	CATEGORY
September 20	9:30 a.m.	Iredell-Statesville	Lake Norman Elementary	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Gaston	Robinson Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:30 a.m.	Kings Mountain	East Elementary	School of Excellence
	12:30 p.m.	Kings Mountain	West Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
	1:30 p.m.	Shelby City	Shelby High	School of Excellence
September 21	9 a.m.	Chapel Hill-Carrboro	Grey Culbreth Middle	Most Improved High School
	9:30 a.m.	Buncombe	Glen Arden Elementary	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Chapel Hill-Carrboro	Guy Phillips Middle	School of Excellence
September 22	9:30 a.m.	Wake	Oak Grove Elementary	School of Excellence
September 25	9:30 a.m.	Cumberland	Eastover Central Elementary	School of Excellence
	10:30 a.m.	Cumberland	District No. 7 Elementary	School of Excellence
September 28	1 p.m.	Avery	Beech Mountain Elementary	School of Excellence
September 29	8:30 a.m.	Watauga	Hardin Park Elementary	School of Excellence
	9:15 a.m.	"	Parkway Elementary	Most Improved K-8 School
	10:15 a.m.	"	Blowing Rock Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:15 a.m.	"	Valle Crucis Elementary	School of Excellence
	1:45 p.m.	Alleghany	Piney Creek Elementary	School of Excellence
October 9	10:30 a.m.	Cumberland	71st Classical Middle	School of Excellence
October 18	1:15 p.m.	Union	Weddington Elementary	School of Excellence
	2 p.m.	Union	Weddington Middle	School of Excellence
October 19	8:30 a.m.	Union	Wesley Chapel Elementary	School of Excellence
	9:30 a.m.	Union	Shiloh Elementary	School of Excellence

2000 ABCs RECOGNITION VISITATIONS

DATE	TIME	LEA	SCHOOL	CATEGORY
October 23	9:30 a.m.	Moore	Sandhills-Farm Life Elementary	School of Excellence
	10:30 a.m.	"	Academy Heights Year-Round	Most Improved K-8
	11:15 a.m.	"	West Pine Middle	School of Excellence
October 24	8:30 a.m.	Cherokee	Murphy Middle	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Cherokee	Peachtree Elementary	School of Excellence
	11:30 a.m.	Clay	Hayesville Middle	School of Excellence
	1:30 p.m.	Macon	Otto Elementary	School of Excellence
October 25	8:30 a.m.	Polk	Tryon Elementary	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Henderson	Mountain Community Charter	School of Excellence
	11:30 a.m.	Transylvania	T C Henderson Elementary	School of Excellence
	1:30 p.m.	Haywood	North Canton Elementary	Most Improved K-8
October 26	8:30 a.m.	Madison	Walnut Elementary	School of Excellence
	10:30 a.m.	Mitchell	Tipton Hill Elementary	Most Improved K-8/
	11:45 a.m.	Mitchell	Buladean Elementary	School of Excellence
	1:30 p.m.	Yancey	Bee Log Elementary	Most Improved K-8
October 27	8:30 a.m.	Yancey	South Toe Elementary	School of Excellence
	10 a.m.	Yancey	East Yancey Middle	Most Improved K-8
	1:30 p.m.	Burke	Valdese Elementary	School of Excellence
	2:15 p.m.	Burke	Rutherford College Elementary	School of Excellence
October 31	10:30 a.m.	Stokes	Lawsonville Elementary	Most Improved K-8
	12:30 p.m.	Yadkin	Boonville Elementary	Most Improved K-8

**V. Proposed North Carolina Testing Program
Redevelopment Plan/Impact on ABCs**

North Carolina Testing Program Redevelopment Plan/Impact on ABCs

The chart below describes the redevelopment of the end-of-grade and end-of-course tests that assess the Mathematics and English Language Arts curricula. This redevelopment will affect the accountability model used in the ABCs of Public Education.

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004
MATH	Administer "Old" Math Tests Mathematics (K-12) curriculum and textbooks in place Field Test Mathematics (K-12)	Administer "New" Math Tests	Administer "New" Math Tests	Administer "New" Math Tests	Administer "New" Math Tests
READING	Administer "Old" Reading Tests English/LA (K-12) curriculum approved	Administer "Old" Reading Tests English/LA (K-12) textbooks adopted	Administer "Old" Reading Tests English/LA (K-12) curriculum and textbooks in place Field Test Reading (K-12)	Administer "New" Reading Tests	Administer "New" Reading Tests
EFFECT ON MODEL	Current ABCs Accountability Model	Transitional Math ABCs Accountability Model "Old" to "New" Current Reading ABCs Accountability Model	New Math ABCs Accountability Model "New" to "New" Current Reading ABCs Accountability Model	New Math ABCs Accountability Model "New" to "New" Transitional Reading ABCs Accountability Model "Old" to "New"	New Math ABCs Accountability Model "New" to "New" New Reading ABCs Accountability Model "New" to "New"
REASON FOR DELAY IN REPORTING ABCs		Do math equating study of old and new. Convert new to old scale and use original regression model for math. (July 2001 through September 2001)	Develop and use new interim regression model for math. (July 2002 through September 2002)	Use new interim regression model for math. Do reading equating study of old and new. Convert new to old scale and use original regression model for reading. (July 2003 through September 2003)	Develop and use new final regression model for math. Develop and use new regression model for reading. (July 2004 through September 2004)
DATE	ABCs Report to SBE in August 2000	ABCs Report to SBE in November 2001	ABCs Report to SBE in November 2002	ABCs Report to SBE in November 2003	ABCs Report to SBE in November 2004

VI. Issues for Further Consideration

Issues for Further Consideration

The State Board of Education (SBE) recently conducted a planning session on possible modifications to the ABCs Accountability Model. Several issues were discussed. The SBE is currently obtaining input from stakeholders regarding possible changes to the model. Upon further deliberation, the SBE will decide what changes, if any, need to be made. Any recommendations for statutory changes will be presented to the Education Oversight Committee.

