



Public Schools of North Carolina
State Board of Education
Department of Public Instruction

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee

ABCs Report – SL 1997-18, sec. 15(a)

General Statute 115C-12(25)

Due December 1, 2002
Report #8 in 2002 DPI Chronological Schedule

State Board of Education

Phillip J. Kirk, Jr.
Chairman
Raleigh

Jane P. Norwood
Vice Chair
Charlotte

Kathy A. Taft
Greenville

Michelle Howard-Vital
Wilmington

Edgar D. Murphy
Durham

Evelyn B. Monroe
West End

Maria T. Palmer
Chapel Hill

Ronald E. Deal
Hickory

Wayne McDevitt
Asheville

Zoe W. Locklear
Pembroke

Patricia Nickens Willoughby
Raleigh

Beverly Perdue
Lieutenant Governor
New Bern

Richard Moore
State Treasurer
Kittrell

NC Department of Public Instruction

Michael E. Ward, State Superintendent

301 North Wilmington Street • Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-2825 • Website: www.ncpublicschools.org

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs


Executive Summary

G. S. 115C-12(25) requires the State Board of Education to submit annually by October 15 a report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee regarding the continued implementation of the ABCs Plan. *By special permission, the report for the 2001-2002 school year will be submitted by December 15, 2001.* Information in the report includes update of the sixth year ABCs results for schools, report on State Assistance Teams, response to the Excellent Schools Act requirements, schools identified as low performing and composition and activities of the Assistance Teams.

Contents

I.	Update of the Sixth Year ABCs Results.....	1
•	Memorandum from Phillip J. Kirk, Jr., and Michael E. Ward to the General Assembly.....	2
•	Key to Status Abbreviations and Codes Used in the ABCs Report.....	4
•	Executive Summary of Results.....	5
•	Report of Growth and Performance of Schools.....	11
•	Results of School Building Appeals	69
II.	Report on Assistance Teams	70
•	Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance	71
•	Composition of Activities of Assistance Teams	73
•	Mandated Assistance for 2002-2003: 9-12 Schools	75
•	High Priority Schools.....	78
•	ABCs Assistance Team Training.....	79
III.	Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements.....	82
•	Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act	83
IV.	ABCs Recognition and Scheduled of Recognition Activities	84
•	ABCs Recognition	85
•	Number and Percent of Public Schools Receiving Awards: 1997-2002	86
•	LEA Codes.....	87
•	2001-2002 Most Improved Schools Growth and Performance Results: 1998-2002	88
•	2001-2002 ABCs Status of Alternative Schools.....	90

I. Update of Sixth Year of ABCs Results



Public Schools of North Carolina

State Board of Education
Phillip J Kirk, Jr Chairman

Department of Public Instruction
Michael E Ward State Superintendent

<http://www.ncpublicschools.org>

November 15 2002

MEMORANDUM

TO The Honorable Marc Basnight
President Pro Tempore
North Carolina Senate

The Honorable James Black
Speaker
North Carolina House of Representatives

FROM

Phillip J Kirk Jr

Michael E Ward

SUBJECT State Board Approves ABCs School Designations for 2001 2002

We want to thank you for fully funding the ABCs incentive bonuses for the 2001 2002 school year Your support of this effort is especially pertinent and appreciated in light of the budget shortfalls the State is currently enduring The results released September 12 2002 by the State Board of Education demonstrate that your continued support and the hard work of many educators and parents are paying off for higher student performance than ever before The teachers and other staff who are responsible for these results certainly deserve this recognition and support and we are grateful that you continued the incentive bonuses

In 2001 02 North Carolina had more high performing schools than ever before and a dwindling number of low performing schools More students are at grade level and achievement gaps are closing

More than 43 percent of North Carolina schools are Schools of Excellence or Schools of Distinction the State s two highest recognition categories according to the 2001 02 ABCs accountability results This shows that the State s accountability model just entering its seventh year is working as planned and increasing the number of students performing at grade level or better

The number of Schools of Excellence, the highest performance level recognized, increased to 299 or 13.6 percent of all schools. In 2000-01, 7.9 percent of schools, or 171 schools, were in this category. Schools of Excellence are schools that have met at least expected growth goals for student achievement and where 90 percent or more of their students' scores are at or above grade level.

The number of low-performing schools in North Carolina continued to decline in 2001-02 and is down to 18. Low-performing schools are identified when a school does not meet academic growth goals and has less than 50 percent of its students' scores at or above grade level. In 2000-01, 31 schools were low performing.

Of special interest this year is the fact that most of the high-performing schools (Schools of Excellence) met the standard for "High Growth," roughly 10 percent above the standard for Expected Growth. Some educators have voiced concerns that schools with a significant number of students at grade level or better might have more difficulty meeting rigorous growth goals. The numbers and analyses done on the ABCs throughout its history have not supported this idea.

This report showed that the achievement gap between White and Black students narrowed slightly. The data show that 56.6 percent of Black students scored at or above grade level in reading and math in 2001-02, up from 52 percent. White students' performance also improved to 84.4 percent at or above grade level, a 2.4 point gain over 2000-01. The figures for the state's students overall were 74.7 percent at or above grade level, a 3 point gain from the previous year.

The data also show that 62.1 percent of Hispanic students scored at or above grade level in reading and math, up from 58.7 percent in 2000-01. For American Indian students, 62.7 performed at grade level in 2001-02, up from 60 percent in the previous year.

Overall, 35.5 percent of all schools met the standard for High Growth, and 39.3 percent met Expected Growth standards. Approximately one-fourth of the schools did not meet Expected Growth. In comparison, the 2000-01 results showed 24.1 percent making High Growth (called Exemplary Growth at that time) and 35.6 percent of schools meeting Expected Growth.

In the coming months, State Board members, DPI leadership and the two of us will visit the Most Improved Schools and the Schools of Excellence to recognize them for their performance. We will make every effort to notify you of events planned in your communities so that you can participate with us, as your schedule allows. Also, you may wish to call or write the school to extend your congratulations for their academic achievements.

Again, thank you for your support of North Carolina's children and the educators who are bringing about these improvements. With your continued partnership, we look forward to reaching higher and higher goals for student achievement. Please feel free to contact either of us if we can provide any additional information for you.

Key to Status Abbreviations and Codes Used in the ABCs Report

Status Abbreviations

Hgh	School Making High Growth
Exp	School Making Expected Growth
MI	25 Most Improved K-8 Schools
MI	10 Most Improved High Schools
Exc	School of Excellence
Dst	School of Distinction
Pro	School of Progress
Pri	Priority School
NR	No Recognition
LP	Low-Performing
EE	Excessive Exclusions
98R	Less than 98 percent tested
95R	Less than 95 percent tested

Special Codes

- 1 K-2 feeder school
- 2 Senior high school – grades 9-12 option
- 3 Senior high school - grades 10-12 option
- 9 School did not meet data requirements

- * Confidence interval applied

Executive Summary

Background

The State Board of Education (SBE) developed the ABCs of Public Education in response to the School-Based Management and Accountability Program enacted by the General Assembly in June 1996. The ABCs focuses on: strong accountability; teaching the basics with an emphasis on high educational standards; and maximum local control.

The first ABCs accountability model was implemented in elementary and middle schools in 1996-97. A high school accountability model was developed during 1996-97, and was implemented for the first time in 1997-98. In 1998-99 the two models were combined into one comprehensive ABCs model for elementary, middle and high schools. In 1999-2000 this model was implemented again, augmented by a new policy for participation of alternative schools. Additionally, the 1999-2000 accountability year was the first year of reporting ABCs results for schools that are administered by the Department of Human Resources and the Department of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

In the 2000-2001 school year, the ABCs accountability model was fine-tuned in response to input from schools and school districts, actions of the SBE, and experience with previous years' ABCs. Modifications included the implementation of End-of-Course (EOC) prediction formulas for the 10 multiple choice EOC tests, the weighting of growth composites, the use of an equating study (described in the technical notes) to include new mathematics test results in the ABCs (both growth and performance), and the removal of writing at grades 4 and 7 from the growth composite.

For the 2001-2002 school year, the accountability model was further modified to reflect the following:

- a) using mathematics equating for both pre and post test mathematics scores;
- b) removing Writing at grades 4 and 7 from the performance composite;
- c) adding the North Carolina Alternate Academic Assessment Inventory (NCAAAI) reading and mathematics results to the performance composite;
- d) removing the Grade 10 North Carolina High School Comprehensive Test (NCHSCT) from the ABCs model;
- e) and refining the scoring process for the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Portfolio (NCAAP) with results added in the performance composite for ABCs based on the total portfolio scores rather than the four domain scores.
- f) At the May 2001 State Board of Education Meeting, the Board adopted new reporting categories for the ABCs. Two new categories were added, Schools of Progress and Priority Schools. The Board also renamed Exemplary Growth to High Growth and now requires Expected Growth for Schools of Distinction

The ABCs accountability program sets growth and performance standards for each elementary, middle, and high school in the state. End-of-grade (EOG) and End-of-Course (EOC) test results and selected other components are used to measure the schools'

growth and performance. Schools that attain the standards are eligible for incentive awards or other recognition (including Schools of Excellence, Schools of Distinction, Schools of Progress, 25 Most Improved K-8 Schools or 10 Most Improved High Schools). Priority Schools is a new category to denote schools that may benefit from voluntary assistance provided by the SBE. To be eligible for incentive awards, schools also must not have excessive exclusions from testing and must test at least 98% of their eligible students in K-8, and at least 95% of students enrolled in specific courses in high school. Schools where growth *and* performance fall below specified levels are designated as low-performing, and may receive mandated assistance based on action by the SBE.

Participating schools:

In 2001-2002, every school that contained one or more of the grades 3-12 and that submitted the appropriate data participated in the ABCs. K-8 schools' data include test results in reading and mathematics; computer skills at grade 8; NCAAP; reading and mathematics from the NCAAAI, and EOC tests if the subject(s) were taught in the school. High schools' data include EOC test results, percent of students completing College University Prep/College Tech Prep courses of study, change in ABCs dropout rates, and change in competency passing rates.

K-2 schools sending more than half of their students to a single receiving school were eligible for incentive awards if the receiving school earned an incentive award based on making its growth standard.

Alternative schools follow a special plan of inclusion in the ABCs. Accountability in these schools is based on achievement data (EOC, EOG, competency passing rates) and on three locally selected accountability measures. These "local options" are selected objectives that are specified on school improvement plans and approved by the local boards of education. The status and incentive awards for alternative schools were determined using the criteria specified in State Board of Education Policy HSP-C-013. Results are reported here in a special section for alternative schools.

Special education schools, vocational/career centers and hospital schools were considered for incentive awards based on the performance of the schools they served. They received prorated incentive awards on this basis. These schools appear in a special section of the report.

Analyses

The status of schools and incentive awards were determined by the values of three weighted composite scores in the ABCs. They are the expected growth composite, the high growth composite, and the performance composite. These composite scores consisted of many components, depending on the grade span and courses offered in the school, as follows.

Expected growth composites include as many of the following as apply in a given school:

- growth in EOG reading and mathematics for grades 3-8 based on three factors: statewide average growth, the previous performance of students in the school, and a statistical adjustment which is needed whenever test scores of the same students are compared from one year to the next,
- growth in high school EOC performance based on EOC prediction formulas
- change over a two-year baseline in the percent of students completing college university prep/college tech prep courses of study,
- change from grade 8 to grade 10 in the competency passing rate,
- change over a two-year baseline in ABCs dropout rates.

High growth composites include as many of the above as apply in a given school. However, the high growth standard factors in an additional ten percent above the statewide average growth for grades 3-8, and an additional 3% above the statewide average used in the EOC prediction formulas. There is no high growth standard for the competency passing rate, the change in the percent of college university prep/college tech prep completers or the change in ABCs Dropout rates.

The performance composite is based on the percentage of scores at Achievement Level III or above in EOG reading, EOG mathematics, NCAAP, reading and mathematics components from the NCAAAI, Computer Skills Test at grade 8, and EOC courses (Algebra I and II; Biology; Chemistry; English I; Economic, Legal, and Political Systems (ELPS); Geometry; Physical Science; Physics; and U.S. History) in the schools where taught. The Algebra I scores of ninth graders who took Algebra I prior to ninth grade are included in the high school's performance composite. *(See Technical Notes in the Appendix for an explanation of how scores are handled in senior high schools and also for how the NCAAP/NCAAAI scores are included).*

The ABCs results published here were produced on a Compaq Model EVO W4000 computer, Pentium 4 1.7 GHz processor with 1024 MB RAM running under Microsoft Windows NT V. 4.0. Additional detail and technical information about ABCs analyses are provided in the Appendix.

Definition of Awards and Recognition Categories

Schools were classified into several categories for the purposes of incentive awards and recognition. The award or recognition a school receives is determined in most cases by the school's attainment as reflected in the growth composites (expected or high) and the performance composite. (Exceptions include K-2 feeder schools, alternative schools, and special schools). The categories are defined as follows.

Schools Making High Growth

These schools attained their high growth standard. They will receive a certificate and incentive awards. Incentive awards for making high growth are \$1500 per person for certified staff and \$500 per person for teacher assistants.

Schools Making Expected Growth

These schools attained their expected growth standard (but not their high growth standard). They will receive a certificate of recognition and financial awards. Incentive awards for making expected growth are \$750 per person for certified staff and \$375 per person for teacher assistants.

25/10 Most Improved Schools

The 25 Most Improved K-8 schools are those that attained the State's 25 highest values on the high growth composite. The 10 Most Improved High Schools attained the State's 10 highest values on the high growth composite. (Any school with a combination of grades which includes grade 9 or higher was eligible for the high school recognition rather than the K-8 recognition.) These schools will receive a dated banner to hang in the school, a certificate and financial awards.

Schools of Excellence

A School of Excellence is a school that made at least expected growth and had at least 90 percent of its students' scores at or above Achievement Level III. Such schools will receive a dated banner to hang in the school and a certificate. In addition, they will receive whatever incentive award they earn as having made expected or high growth.

Schools of Distinction

A School of Distinction is a school that made expected growth and had at least 80 percent of its students' scores at or above Achievement Level III (but does not qualify as a School of Excellence). Schools of Distinction will receive a plaque and a certificate. In addition, they will receive whatever incentive award they earn as having made expected or high growth.

Schools of Progress

A School of Progress is a school that made expected growth and had at least 60 percent of its students' scores at or above Achievement Level III (but does not qualify as a School of Distinction). Schools of Progress will receive a certificate. In addition, they will receive whatever incentive award they earn as having made expected or high growth.

Schools Receiving No Recognition

These schools did not make their expected growth standards; *but* they have at least 60 percent of their students scoring at or above Achievement Level III as measured by the performance composite.

Priority Schools

A Priority School is a school that has less than 60 percent of its students' scores at or above Achievement Level III and is not identified as a Low-Performing School.

Low-Performing Schools

Low-Performing Schools are those that fail to meet their expected growth standard and have significantly less than 50 percent of their students performing at or above Achievement Level III.

Additional Requirements

In addition to the growth and performance standards, there are testing requirements that all schools must meet. K-8 schools must test at least 98% of their eligible students. High schools must test at least 95% of all students enrolled in courses/grades for which EOC tests are given. Schools also must not have excessive exclusions from testing.

In this report, schools that violate testing requirements are assigned a violation status and cannot receive another ABCs status, except low-performing. The low-performing schools that violate testing requirements are assigned the low-performing status in addition to the violation status.

Schools that violate any of the testing requirements are not eligible for financial awards. In addition, the State Board of Education may designate schools that violate testing requirements for two consecutive years as low-performing.

Presentation of Results

The results are presented in *The ABCs of Public Education: 2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools*. The first section of this report, Growth and Performance of Schools presents the growth and performance of all schools in North Carolina (except Alternative Schools) participating in the ABCs. Other sections follow, including: Schools Making High Growth, Schools Making Expected Growth, 25 Most Improved K-8 Schools, 10 Most Improved High Schools, Schools of Excellence, Schools of Distinction, Schools of Progress, Priority Schools, and Low-Performing Schools. Charter Schools with sufficient data to participate in the ABCs appear in the previous sections where appropriate and are also included in a separate section, Charter Schools, for easy reference. Alternative schools appear *only* in a section designated Alternative Schools. Special education schools, vocational/career centers, and hospital schools also appear in a special section of the report. Schools that were unable to submit complete data before the processing deadlines are included in a section called Schools with

Unresolved Data Issues. In sections of the report where appropriate, a status column indicates each school's ABCs status or failure to meet testing requirements. North Carolina public schools that are not included in the ABCs because of data requirements are listed in the final section, Schools Not Included.

The Appendix includes an explanation of adjustments for special ABCs conditions, a summary of standard conventions used in the ABCs analyses in Technical Notes, a table of the values, called Constants and Parameters, used in the ABCs growth formula computations, an example of how the NCAAP and NCAAAI results were incorporated into the performance composites, an explanation on the 2000-2001 mathematics equating study, and the achievement levels and score conversions derived from the equating study.

Statistical Summary of Results

In the 2001-2002 implementation of the ABCs, 2194 public schools were assigned an ABCs status. These included traditional public schools spanning combinations of grades K-12; charter schools; alternative schools; and certain K-2 schools (those that fed more than 50% of their students into a single receiving school in 2001-2002). There were 30 special education, vocational/career centers and hospital schools that were not assigned an ABCs status, but participated on the basis of the schools they served, as explained above. Schools that violated testing requirements were assigned a violation status and did not receive another ABCs status, except low-performing. There was one school that violated testing requirements. It was not assigned an ABCs status. Eight schools were not included in the ABCs because they failed to meet data requirements. One K-2 school did not meet the 50% feeder requirement. The results for schools that were assigned a status appear in the Table: **ABCs Results**.

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
010 ALAMANCE-BURLINGTON						
304 ALEXANDER WILSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh MI
308 ALTAMAHAW OSSIPEE	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.2	~	Dst Hgh
310 BROADVIEW MID	06 08	No	No	59.8	~	Pri
320 E M YODER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	85.9	~	Dst Exp
324 EASTERN ALAMANCE HS	09 12	No	No	67.7	~	NR
326 EASTLAWN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	68.1	~	NR
328 EDWIN M HOLT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.5	~	Dst Hgh
340 ELON COLLEGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
346 B EVERETT JORDAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
347 GARRETT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.5	~	Dst Exp
348 GRAHAM HS	09 12	No	No	53.1	~	Pri
350 GRAHAM MID	06 08	No	No	69.7	~	NR
351 GROVE PARK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.0	~	Pro Hgh
353 HAWFIELDS MID	06 08	No	No	80.1	~	NR
354 HARVEY R NEWLIN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	70.2	~	Pro Exp
357 HAW RIVER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	68.1	~	Pro Exp
358 HILLCREST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	83.0	~	Dst Exp
360 HUGH M CUMMINGS HS	09 12	Yes	No	46.1	~	Pri Exp
362 MARVIN B SMITH ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.5	~	Dst Exp
364 NORTH GRAHAM ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	71.6	~	Pro Hgh
372 PLEASANT GROVE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.9	~	Pro Hgh
374 R HOMER ANDREWS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	70.0	~	Pro Exp
380 SOUTH GRAHAM ELEM	PK 05	No	No	77.7	~	NR
384 SOUTH MEBANE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
388 SOUTHERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.9	~	Pro Exp
390 SOUTHERN MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.9	~	Dst Exp
392 SYLVAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.8	~	Pro Exp
394 TURRENTINE MID	06 08	No	No	80.7	~	NR
396 WALTER M WILLIAMS HS	09 12	Yes	No	68.4	~	Pro Exp
400 WESTERN ALAMANCE HS	09 12	No	No	66.5	~	NR
403 WESTERN MID	06 08	No	No	85.8	~	NR
406 WOODLAWN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
01A LAKESIDE SCH						
000 LAKESIDE SCH	06 12	No	No	10.1	~	LP
01B RIVER MILL ACAD						
000 RIVER MILL ACAD	OK 12	No	No	79.0	~	NR
01C CLOVER GARDEN						
000 CLOVER GARDEN	OK 08	No	No	77.2	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
020 ALEXANDER COUNTY						
302 ALEXANDER CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.2	~	Pro Exp
304 BETHLEHEM ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Dst Hgh
306 EAST ALEXANDER MID	06 08	No	No	75.7	~	NR
308 ELLENDALE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
316 HIDDENITE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	79.9	~	NR
320 STONY POINT ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Pro Hgh
324 SUGAR LOAF ELEM	0K 05	No	No	80.0	~	NR
328 TAYLORSVILLE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	59.0	~	Pri
330 WEST ALEXANDER MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Dst Hgh
332 WITTENBURG ELEM	0K 05	No	No	84.4	~	NR
030 ALLEGHANY COUNTY						
304 ALLEGHANY HS	09 12	Yes	No	79.5	~	Pro Exp
308 GLADE CREEK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	92.4	~	Exc Exp
316 PINEY CREEK ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exc Hgh
320 SPARTA ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Dst Hgh
040 ANSON COUNTY						
306 ANSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	49.3	~	Pri Exp
308 ANSONVILLE ELEM	PK 06	No	No	75.4	~	NR
309 ANSON MID	07 08	Yes	No	74.2	~	Pro Exp
311 WADESBO RO PRI	0K 03	No	No	45.2	*	Pri
316 LILESVILLE ELEM	0K 06	No	No	66.1	~	NR
324 MORVEN ELEM	PK 06	No	No	60.0	~	NR
328 PEACHLAND-POLKTON ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	75.5	~	Pro Hgh
330 WADESBO RO ELEM	04 06	Yes	Yes	70.7	~	Pro Hgh
050 ASHE COUNTY						
302 ASHE COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	74.7	~	Pro Exp
305 ASHE COUNTY MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	90.0	~	Exc Hgh
310 BLUE RIDGE ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	80.7	~	Dst Exp
316 FLEETWOOD ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	92.9	~	Exc Exp
330 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	85.0	~	Dst Hgh
348 WEST JEFFERSON ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
060 AVERY COUNTY						
302 AVERY COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.0	~	Pro Exp
304 BANNER ELK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	85.0	~	NR
308 BEECH MOUNTAIN ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	89.9	~	Dst Exp
310 CRANBERRY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	92.5	~	Exc Hgh
316 CROSSNORE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	84.6	~	NR
318 AVERY MID	06 08	No	No	92.6	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
060 AVERY COUNTY						
322 FREEDOM TRAIL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	94.8	~	Exc Hgh
328 NEWLAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	93.5	~	Exc Exp
332 RIVERSIDE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	89.9	~	Dst Hgh
06A GRANDFATHER ACAD						
000 GRANDFATHER ACAD	01 10	No	No	44.6	*	Pri
06B CROSSNORE ACAD						
000 CROSSNORE ACAD	0K 12	No	No	39.2	~	LP
070 BEAUFORT COUNTY						
306 AURORA MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Dst Hgh MI
308 BATH ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Dst Hgh
325 CHOCOWINITY MID	04 08	Yes	No	79.8	~	Pro Exp
326 CHOCOWINITY PRI	PK 03	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
327 JOHN C TAYLOE ELEM	02 03	Yes	No	77.4	~	Pro Exp
302 EASTERN ELEM	PK 01	Yes	No		1	Exp
328 JOHN SMALL ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Dst Hgh
329 NORTHEAST ELEM	PK 08	No	No	77.6	~	NR
330 NORTHSIDE HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.0	~	Pro Exp
338 P S JONES MID	06 08	No	No	74.9	~	NR
339 SOUTHSIDE HS	09 12	Yes	No	63.0	~	Pro Exp
340 S W SNOWDEN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	66.1	~	Pro Exp
342 WASHINGTON HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	68.3	~	Pro Hgh
07A WASHINGTON MONTESSORI						
000 WASHINGTON MONTESSORI	0K 04	No	No	78.6	~	NR
080 BERTIE COUNTY						
304 ASKEWVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Dst Hgh
310 SOUTHWESTERN MID	06 08	No	No	65.5	~	NR
312 BERTIE HS	09 12	Yes	No	44.1	~	Pri Exp
320 C G WHITE MID	06 08	No	No	60.4	~	NR
336 JOHN P LAW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Dst Hgh
348 AULANDER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	65.6	~	Pro Exp
356 WEST BERTIE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Pro Hgh
360 COLERAIN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	67.9	~	Pro Hgh
362 WINDSOR ELEM	0K 05	No	No	55.7	~	Pri
090 BLADEN COUNTY						
304 B T WASHINGTON PRI	PK 05	Yes	No	64.3	~	Pro Exp
312 BLADEN MID	06 08	No	No	68.7	~	NR
316 BLADENBORO HS	09 12	Yes	No	60.9	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
090 BLADEN COUNTY						
317 BLADENBORO PRI	PK 05	Yes	No	78.3	~	Pro Exp
318 BLADEN LAKES PRI	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
320 CLARKTON SCH OF DISC	06 08	No	No	85.8	~	NR
324 DUBLIN ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	80.8	~	Dst Hgh
328 EAST ARCADIA ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Pro Hgh
330 EAST BLADEN HS	09 12	Yes	No	52.1	~	Pri Exp
333 ELIZABETHTOWN PRI	PK 05	No	No	66.8	~	NR
352 PLAIN VIEW PRI	PK 05	Yes	Yes	77.5	~	Pro Hgh
360 SPAULDING-MONROE MID	06 08	No	No	78.2	~	NR
364 TAR HEEL MIDDLE-HS	06 12	No	No	56.7	~	Pri
100 BRUNSWICK COUNTY						
302 BELVILLE ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	75.0	~	Pro Exp
320 LINCOLN PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
304 BOLIVIA ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.2	~	Dst Exp
316 LELAND MID	06 08	No	No	76.3	~	NR
326 NORTH BRUNSWICK HS	09 12	Yes	No	61.9	~	Pro Exp
332 SHALLOTTE MID	05 08	Yes	No	81.2	~	Dst Exp
334 SOUTH BRUNSWICK HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.1	~	Pro Exp
335 SOUTH BRUNSWICK MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
336 SOUTHPORT ELEM	PK 05	No	No	86.7	~	NR
338 SUPPLY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.6	~	Dst Exp
340 UNION ELEM	PK 04	Yes	No	78.2	~	Pro Exp
342 V WILLIAMSON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	79.6	~	Pro Exp
344 WACCAMAW ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Dst Hgh
348 WEST BRUNSWICK HS	09 12	No	No	65.3	~	NR
10A CHARTER DAY SCH						
000 CHARTER DAY SCH	0K 03	No	No	53.5	~	Pri
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
304 A C REYNOLDS HS	09 12	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp
306 A C REYNOLDS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
308 BARNARDSVILLE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	77.7	~	Pro Hgh
318 BLACK MOUNTAIN ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
320 BLACK MOUNTAIN PRI	0K 03	Yes	Yes	83.9	~	Dst Hgh
324 CANDLER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
326 CANE CREEK MID	06 08	Yes	No	93.9	~	Exc Exp
332 CHARLES C BELL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
336 CHARLES D OWEN HS	09 12	Yes	No	74.1	~	Pro Exp
338 CHARLES D OWEN MID	06 08	Yes	No	89.8	~	Dst Exp
340 CLYDE A ERWIN HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.0	~	Pro Exp
342 CLYDE A ERWIN MID	06 08	No	No	77.9	~	NR
348 EMMA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Dst Hgh
352 ENKA HS	09 12	No	No	74.1	~	NR
356 FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Dst Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
366 GLEN ARDEN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.4	~	Exc Hgh
368 HAW CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.3	~	Exc Hgh
370 HOMINY VALLEY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Dst Hgh
372 JOHNSTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Dst Hgh MI
376 LEICESTER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
379 NORTH BUNCOMBE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.4	~	Dst Hgh
380 NORTH BUNCOMBE HS	09 12	Yes	No	82.4	~	Dst Exp
381 N BUNCOMBE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Dst Hgh
384 OAKLEY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.6	~	Dst Exp
388 PISGAH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
401 ENKA MID	06 08	Yes	No	86.5	~	Dst Exp
408 SAND HILL-VENABLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
410 AVERY'S CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
412 W D WILLIAMS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp
416 T C ROBERSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	83.1	~	Dst Exp
420 VALLEY SPRINGS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.9	~	Exc Hgh
422 WILLIAM W ESTES ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.4	~	Exc Exp
428 WEAVERVILLE ELEM	02 05	Yes	Yes	88.4	~	Dst Hgh
432 WEAVERVILLE PRI	OK 01	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
436 WEST BUNCOMBE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.4	~	Dst Hgh
444 WOODFIN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
111 ASHEVILLE CITY						
302 ASHEVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.6	~	Pro Exp
304 HALL FLETCHER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Pro Hgh
306 ISAAC DICKSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh
312 CLAXTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
332 IRA B JONES PRI	OK 03	Yes	No	67.7	~	Pro Exp
348 WILLIAM RANDOLPH ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
356 ASHEVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
360 VANCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Dst Hgh
11A EVERGREEN CMTY CHTR						
000 EVERGREEN CMTY CHTR	OK 08	No	No	84.5	~	NR
11B THE ARTSPACE CHTR						
000 THE ARTSPACE CHTR	OK 05	No	No	74.5	~	NR
11K F DELANY NEW SCH						
000 F DELANY NEW SCH	OK 08	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh MI
120 BURKE COUNTY						
304 CHESTERFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	74.8	~	Pro Exp
314 EAST BURKE HS	09 12	Yes	No	79.7	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth		Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
Expected	High					
120 BURKE COUNTY						
315 EAST BURKE MID	06 08	Yes	No	86.2	~	Dst Exp
316 FOREST HILL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	93.2	~	Exc Exp
318 FREEDOM HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
320 GEORGE HILDEBRAN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
324 GLEN ALPINE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.7	~	Dst Exp
330 HALLYBURTON ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	89.8	~	Dst Exp
312 DREXEL PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
334 HERITAGE MID	06 08	Yes	No	89.5	~	Dst Exp
338 HILDEBRAN ELEM	0K 05	No	No	79.4	~	NR
340 HILLCREST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	72.6	~	Pro Hgh
344 ICARD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	84.6	~	Dst Exp
350 LIBERTY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
356 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Dst Hgh
360 MULL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
364 OAK HILL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	85.8	~	Dst Exp
368 RAY CHILDERS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	79.2	~	Pro Exp
372 RUTHERFORD COL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	94.2	~	Exc Hgh MI
374 SALEM ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	86.7	~	Dst Exp
376 TABLE ROCK MID	06 08	Yes	No	80.4	~	Dst Exp
380 VALDESE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	93.5	~	Exc Exp
386 W A YOUNG ELEM	PK 05	No	No	85.5	~	NR
130 CABARRUS COUNTY						
304 A T ALLEN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
308 BETHEL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	84.9	~	Dst Exp
309 BEVERLY HILLS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	85.8	~	Dst Exp
310 CENTRAL CABARRUS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	83.4	~	Dst Hgh
311 COLTRANE-WEBB ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	78.2	~	Pro Exp
312 HARRISBURG ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	93.5	~	Exc Exp
313 CONCORD MID	06 08	No	No	80.7	~	NR
314 CONCORD HS	09 12	No	No	71.3	~	NR
315 J N FRIES MID	06 08	Yes	No	89.3	~	Dst Exp
316 JAY M ROBINSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.7	~	Pro Exp
322 MT PLEASANT ELEM	0K 05	No	No	83.2	~	NR
323 MT PLEASANT MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.8	~	Dst Exp
324 MT PLEASANT HS	09 12	No	No	75.1	~	NR
326 NW CABARRUS HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.6	~	Dst Exp
327 N W CABARRUS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh
328 ROYAL OAKS ELEM	PK 05	No	No	72.4	~	NR
329 ROCKY RIVER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
330 R B MCALLISTER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.4	~	Dst Hgh
334 SCH FOR ENV STUDIES	04 08	No	No	80.0	~	NR
336 W R ODELL ELEM	0K 05	No	No	84.9	~	NR
338 WEDDINGTON HILLS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.3	~	Dst Exp
340 WINECOFF ELEM	0K 05	No	No	83.1	~	NR
342 W M IRVIN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	74.3	~	NR
344 WOLF MEADOW ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
132 KANNAPOLIS CITY						
304 A L BROWN HS	09 12	Yes	No	67.3	~	Pro Exp
308 FOREST PARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
312 FRED L WILSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	74.8	~	Pro Hgh
328 JACKSON PARK ELEM	OK 05	No	No	76.7	~	NR
330 KANNAPOLIS MID	06 08	No	No	72.9	~	NR
332 SHADY BROOK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Pro Hgh
336 WOODROW WILSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.3	~	Dst Exp
140 CALDWELL COUNTY						
304 BATON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	87.6	~	Dst Exp
308 COLLETTSVILLE ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	89.7	~	Dst Exp
312 DAVENPORT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
316 DUDLEY SHOALS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
324 GAMEWELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
332 GAMEWELL MID	06 08	No	No	78.5	~	NR
336 GRANITE FALLS MID	06 08	Yes	No	89.4	~	Dst Exp
340 GRANITE FALLS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Dst Hgh
344 HAPPY VALLEY ELEM	OK 08	No	No	86.6	~	NR
348 HIBRITEN HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.4	~	Pro Exp
352 HUDSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.3	~	Dst Exp
356 HUDSON MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
360 KINGS CREEK ELEM	OK 08	No	No	79.4	~	NR
368 WILLIAM LENOIR MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Dst Hgh
372 LOWER CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Dst Hgh
376 OAK HILL ELEM	OK 08	No	No	80.1	~	NR
384 SAWMILLS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.0	~	Dst Hgh
386 SOUTH CALDWELL HS	09 12	No	No	66.6	~	NR
388 VALMEAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.5	~	Dst Hgh
390 WEST CALDWELL HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.3	~	Pro Exp
392 WEST LENOIR ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	73.7	~	Pro Hgh
396 WHITNEL FOUR SEASONS	PK 05	Yes	No	71.2	~	Pro Exp
150 CAMDEN COUNTY						
304 CAMDEN COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
308 GRANDY PRI	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh
312 CAMDEN MID	06 08	No	No	93.0	~	NR
160 CARTERET COUNTY						
304 ATLANTIC ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	96.9	~	Exc Exp
306 BOGUE SOUND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	97.0	~	Exc Hgh
308 BEAUFORT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
310 BEAUFORT MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Dst Hgh
311 BROAD CREEK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
160 CARTERET COUNTY						
313 EAST CARTERET HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	68.8	~	Pro Hgh
314 CROATAN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Dst Hgh
316 HARKERS ISLAND ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
317 MOREHEAD CITY ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	94.5	~	Exc Hgh
318 MOREHEAD CITY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.6	~	Exc Hgh
322 MOREHEAD CITY PRI	PK 03	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
324 NEWPORT ELEM	0K 05	No	No	90.7	~	NR
326 NEWPORT MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.1	~	Dst Exp
332 SMYRNA ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
344 WEST CARTERET HS	09 12	Yes	No	80.1	~	Dst Exp
352 WHITE OAK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.7	~	Exc Exp
16A CAPE LOOKOUT HS						
000 CAPE LOOKOUT HS	09 12	Yes	No	53.8	~	Pri Exp
16B TILLER SCH						
000 TILLER SCH	0K 06	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
170 CASWELL COUNTY						
316 BARTLETT YANCEY HS	09 12	Yes	No	53.9	~	Pri Exp
334 NORTH ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Pro Hgh
338 N L DILLARD JR HS	07 08	No	No	71.3	~	NR
339 OAKWOOD ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	81.1	~	Dst Exp
342 SOUTH ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	80.2	~	Dst Hgh
344 STONEY CREEK ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	88.1	~	Dst Hgh MI
180 CATAWBA COUNTY						
302 HARRY M ARNDT MID	07 08	Yes	No	87.5	~	Dst Exp
304 BALLS CREEK ELEM	0K 05	No	No	80.1	~	NR
308 BANDYS HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.7	~	Pro Exp
312 BANOAK ELEM	0K 06	No	No	67.9	~	NR
316 BLACKBURN ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	87.4	~	Dst Exp
320 BUNKER HILL HS	09 12	No	No	57.8	~	Pri
324 CATAWBA INTER	06 06	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
326 CHARLES H TUTTLE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
332 CLAREMONT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Dst Hgh
336 CLYDE CAMPBELL ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	90.3	~	Exc Hgh
340 FRED T FOARD HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
342 JACOBS FORK MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	89.9	~	Dst Hgh
343 LYLE CREEK ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Pro Hgh
344 MAIDEN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	79.7	~	Pro Exp
348 MAIDEN HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.2	~	Pro Exp
352 MILL CREEK MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
356 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	89.2	~	Dst Hgh
360 OXFORD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	80.3	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
180 CATAWBA COUNTY						
364 RIVER BEND MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
368 SHERRILLS FORD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Dst Hgh
372 ST STEPHENS ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	86.7	~	Dst Exp
376 ST STEPHENS HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.3	~	Pro Exp
380 STARTOWN ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	89.0	~	Dst Hgh
384 SWEETWATER ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	68.0	~	Pro Exp
388 WEBB A MURRAY ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	80.7	~	Dst Exp
181 HICKORY CITY						
312 NORTHVIEW MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
316 GRANDVIEW MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	77.6	~	Pro Hgh
322 HICKORY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	72.9	~	Pro Hgh
326 W M JENKINS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exc Hgh
332 LONGVIEW ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Pro Hgh
336 OAKWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
342 SOUTHWEST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	67.1	~	Pro Hgh
344 VIEWMONT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Dst Hgh
182 NEWTON-CONOVER						
316 NEWTON-CONOVER HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	79.0	~	Pro Hgh
321 NEWTON-CONOVER MID	06 08	Yes	No	86.9	~	Dst Exp
322 SHUFORD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
324 SOUTH NEWTON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Pro Hgh
328 THORNTON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Dst Hgh
18B ENGLEMANN SCH OF ART						
000 ENGELMANN SCH OF ART	0K 06	No	No	66.4	~	NR
190 CHATHAM COUNTY						
304 BENNETT ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	90.9	~	Exc Hgh
308 BONLEE ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	87.9	~	Dst Hgh
312 CHATHAM MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	78.4	~	Pro Hgh
316 CHATHAM CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
328 HORTON MID	05 08	No	No	79.1	~	NR
332 J S WATERS ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	84.1	~	Dst Exp
336 JORDAN MATTHEWS HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.5	~	Pro Exp
340 MONCURE ELEM	PK 08	No	No	74.0	~	NR
341 NORTH CHATHAM ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Dst Hgh
342 NORTHWOOD HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.9	~	Pro Exp
346 PERRY W HARRISON ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	92.5	~	Exc Hgh
348 PITTSBORO ELEM	0K 04	Yes	No	77.5	~	Pro Exp
350 SILER CITY ELEM	0K 04	Yes	No	72.9	~	Pro Exp
352 SILK HOPE ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
19A CHATHAM CHTR						
000 CHATHAM CHTR	0K 08	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
19B WOODS CHTR						
000 WOODS CHTR	04 12	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Pro Hgh
200 CHEROKEE COUNTY						
304 ANDREWS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	88.5	~	Dst Exp
308 ANDREWS HS	09 12	No	No	78.7	~	NR
310 ANDREWS MID	06 08	Yes	No	89.5	~	Dst Exp
312 HIWASSEE DAM UNION	PK 12	Yes	No	82.1	~	Dst Exp
316 MARBLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.9	~	Dst Exp
320 MARTINS CREEK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
324 MURPHY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
328 MURPHY HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.3	~	Dst Exp
330 MURPHY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	94.3	~	Exc Hgh
332 PEACHTREE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	93.5	~	Exc Hgh MI
336 RANGER ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	94.2	~	Exc Exp
20A THE LEARNING CTR						
000 THE LEARNING CTR	0K 06	No	No	71.8	~	NR
210 EDENTON/CHOWAN						
304 CHOWAN MID	06 08	Yes	No	80.4	~	Dst Exp
306 D F WALKER ELEM	03 05	No	No	73.5	~	NR
316 WHITE OAK ELEM	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
312 JOHN A HOLMES HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.5	~	Pro Exp
220 CLAY COUNTY						
308 HAYESVILLE ELEM	PK 04	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
310 HAYESVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
312 HAYESVILLE MID	05 08	Yes	No	89.6	~	Dst Exp
230 CLEVELAND COUNTY						
308 BOILING SPRINGS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
312 BURNS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	72.6	~	Pro Hgh
316 BURNS MID	06 08	No	No	80.2	~	NR
320 CASAR ELEM SCH ARTS	0K 05	No	No	80.3	~	NR
324 CREST SENIOR HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.1	~	Pro Exp
328 CREST MID SCH TECH	06 08	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
336 ELIZABETH ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
 Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
 EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
 Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
 9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
230 CLEVELAND COUNTY						
340 FALLSTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.9	~	Dst Hgh
364 SPRINGMORE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.3	~	Exc Hgh
368 TOWNSHIP THREE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
370 UNION ELEM	PK 05	No	No	71.0	~	NR
376 WASHINGTON MATH SCI	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Pro Hgh
231 KINGS MOUNTAIN DIST						
304 BETHWARE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Dst Hgh
316 EAST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.6	~	Exc Hgh
320 GROVER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.8	~	Dst Exp
324 KINGS MOUNTAIN HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.7	~	Pro Exp
325 KINGS MOUNTAIN MID	06 08	No	No	86.2	~	NR
328 NORTH ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Dst Hgh
336 WEST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.1	~	Exc Hgh
232 SHELBY CITY						
308 GRAHAM ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	73.5	~	Pro Hgh
310 JAMES LOVE ELEM	PK 03	Yes	No	72.4	~	Pro Exp
313 JEFFERSON ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Dst Hgh
316 MARION ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Dst Hgh
328 SHELBY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Dst Hgh
332 SHELBY HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.5	~	Pro Exp
240 COLUMBUS COUNTY						
304 ACME DELCO MID	06 08	No	No	68.5	~	NR
308 ACME DELCO ELEM	OK 05	No	No	77.0	~	NR
328 CERRO GORDO ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	76.2	~	Pro Exp
330 CHADBOURN MID	05 08	No	No	69.7	~	NR
332 CHADBOURN ELEM	OK 04	No	No	66.7	~	NR
334 EAST COLUMBUS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	59.1	~	Pri Hgh
344 EVERGREEN ELEM	OK 08	No	No	76.7	~	NR
348 FAIR BLUFF ELEM	OK 08	No	No	69.6	~	NR
352 GUIDEWAY ELEM	OK 08	No	No	67.8	~	NR
354 HALLSBORO-ARTESIA ELEM	OK 04	No	No	72.3	~	NR
356 HALLSBORO MID	05 08	Yes	No	73.3	~	Pro Exp
370 OLD DOCK ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	79.6	~	Pro Exp
371 SOUTH COLUMBUS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	69.3	~	Pro Hgh
372 TABOR CITY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	68.6	~	Pro Hgh
376 TABOR CITY MID	06 08	No	No	75.0	~	NR
380 WEST COLUMBUS HS	09 12	No	No	49.8	*	Pri
388 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP ELEM	OK 08	No	No	81.6	~	NR
241 WHITEVILLE CITY						
304 CENTRAL MID	06 08	No	No	78.8	~	NR
308 EDGEWOOD ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	78.2	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
241 WHITEVILLE CITY						
320 WHITEVILLE PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 WHITEVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.3	~	Pro Exp
250 CRAVEN COUNTY						
304 ALBERT H BANGERT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	87.8	~	Dst Exp
306 BEN D QUINN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	89.7	~	Dst Exp
308 BRIDGETON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Dst Hgh
312 BRINSON MEMORIAL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.0	~	Exc Hgh
320 VANCEBORO-FARM LIFE	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Dst Hgh
324 GROVER C FIELDS MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.2	~	Dst Exp
328 GRAHAM A BARDEN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	82.0	~	Dst Exp
332 H J MACDONALD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
336 HAVELOCK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	94.0	~	Exc Exp
340 HAVELOCK HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.3	~	Pro Exp
344 HAVELOCK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Dst Hgh
348 J T BARBER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.3	~	Dst Exp
350 JAMES W SMITH ELEM	OK 05	No	No	82.8	~	NR
356 NEW BERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.4	~	Pro Exp
360 OAKS ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
362 ROGER R BELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.4	~	Dst Exp
364 TRENT PARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	85.2	~	Dst Exp
368 TUCKER CREEK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.3	~	Exc Hgh
372 WEST CRAVEN HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.9	~	Pro Exp
376 WEST CRAVEN MID	06 08	Yes	No	80.4	~	Dst Exp
378 W JESSE GURGANUS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	95.6	~	Exc Exp
380 ARTHUR W EDWARDS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.2	~	Exc Hgh
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
306 ARMSTRONG ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.4	~	Dst Hgh
308 ASHLEY ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	87.1	~	Dst Exp
363 GLENDALE ACRES ELEM	OK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
310 LOYD E AUMAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	70.5	~	Pro Exp
312 BEAVER DAM ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
316 LILLIAN BLACK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	69.4	~	Pro Exp
318 JACK BRITT HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	79.6	~	Pro Hgh
320 BRENTWOOD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.7	~	Dst Exp
321 DOUGLAS BYRD MID	06 08	No	No	73.4	~	NR
322 DOUGLAS BYRD HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	62.8	~	Pro Hgh
324 YOUNG HOWARD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.9	~	Pro Hgh MI
325 CAPE FEAR HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.2	~	Pro Exp
326 ELIZ CASHWELL ELEM	PK 05	No	No	74.5	~	NR
332 EASTOVER CENTRAL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	96.5	~	Exc Hgh
336 ANNE CHESNUTT MID	06 08	No	No	81.8	~	NR
338 CLIFFDALE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
340 COLLEGE LAKES ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.0	~	Dst Exp
342 C WAYNE COLLIER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	77.1	~	Pro Exp
344 J W COON ELEM	PK 05	No	No	63.4	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
348 CUMBERLAND MILLS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	72.8	~	Pro Exp
352 CUMBERLAND ROAD ELEM	PK 05	No	No	70.4	~	NR
356 DISTRICT NO 7 ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exc Hgh MI
358 LUTHER JERALD MID	06 08	No	No	65.1	~	NR
359 E E SMITH HS	09 12	Yes	No	50.2	~	Pri Exp
361 FERGUSON-EASLEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	70.9	~	Pro Exp
362 GRAY'S CREEK MID	06 08	No	No	79.1	~	NR
364 GRAY'S CREEK ELEM	0K 05	No	No	81.6	~	NR
365 R MAX ABBOTT MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Dst Hgh
366 HOWARD L HALL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	79.2	~	Pro Exp
367 BILL HEFNER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exc Hgh
368 HOPE MILLS MID	06 08	No	No	87.0	~	NR
369 JOHN GRIFFIN MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
370 ED V BALDWIN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	83.1	~	Dst Exp
371 IRELAND DRIVE MID	06 08	No	No	70.7	~	NR
372 LEWIS CHAPEL MID	06 08	No	No	76.5	~	NR
373 GALLBERRY FARMS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	78.0	~	Pro Exp
380 LONG HILL ELEM	02 05	Yes	Yes	92.8	~	Exc Hgh
412 RALEIGH ROAD ELEM	0K 01	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
382 LUCILE SOUDERS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Dst Hgh
383 MAC WILLIAMS MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
386 MARGARET WILLIS ELEM	0K 05	No	No	63.3	~	NR
388 MASSEY HILL CLASSIC	09 12	No	No	72.6	~	NR
396 MARY MCARTHUR ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.0	~	NR
397 E M HONEYCUTT ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	84.6	~	Dst Hgh
398 E E MILLER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	74.7	~	NR
400 MONTCLAIR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.3	~	Pro Hgh
401 MORGANTON ROAD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Dst Hgh
402 MANCHESTER ELEM	01 05	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
384 MAE R WILLIAMS KIND	0K 0K	Yes	No		1	Exp
404 WILLIAM H OWEN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	74.0	~	Pro Exp
405 PAULINE JONES ELEM	PK 05	No	No	53.5	~	Pri
406 PINE FOREST MID	06 08	No	No	80.1	~	NR
407 LAKE RIM ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.4	~	NR
408 PINE FOREST HS	09 12	Yes	No	53.4	~	Pri Exp
410 PONDEROSA ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.0	~	NR
411 REID ROSS CLASSICAL	06 12	Yes	Yes	85.1	~	Dst Hgh
414 BENJAMIN J MARTIN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	84.3	~	Dst Exp
415 ROCKFISH ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	93.2	~	Exc Exp
416 J W SEABROOK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	79.7	~	Pro Hgh
418 71ST CLASSICAL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	98.1	~	Exc Hgh
424 SEVENTY-FIRST HS	09 12	Yes	No	55.6	~	Pri Exp
425 SOUTH VIEW MID	06 08	No	No	73.1	~	NR
426 SHERWOOD PARK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Dst Hgh
427 SOUTH VIEW HS	09 12	Yes	No	63.1	~	Pro Exp
428 SPRING LAKE MID	06 08	No	No	69.0	~	NR
430 STEDMAN ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
432 STEDMAN PRI	PK 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
434 STONEY POINT ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.4	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY						
440 SUNNYSIDE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	68.6	~	NR
444 TERESA BERRIEN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	55.2	~	Pri
446 TERRY SANFORD HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.7	~	Pro Exp
448 VANSTORY HILLS ELEM	02 05	Yes	No	85.7	~	Dst Exp
302 ALMA O EASOM ELEM	0K 01	Yes	No		1	Exp
450 WARRENWOOD ELEM	PK 05	No	No	61.9	~	NR
452 WESTAREA ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	68.9	~	Pro Exp
454 WESTOVER MID	06 08	No	No	70.5	~	NR
455 WESTOVER HS	09 12	Yes	No	48.0	~	Pri Exp
456 ALGER B WILKINS ELEM	PK 05	No	No	68.4	~	NR
459 WILLIAM T BROWN ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.8	~	NR
26B ALPHA ACAD						
000 ALPHA ACAD	06 09	No	No	49.8	*	Pri
270 CURRITUCK COUNTY						
304 CENTRAL ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Dst Hgh
306 CURRITUCK COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.7	~	Pro Exp
308 CURRITUCK CO MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	90.2	~	Exc Hgh
310 THE J P KNAPP SCH	0K 06	Yes	Yes	93.9	~	Exc Hgh
312 KNOTTS ISLAND ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
316 MOYOCK ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
320 W T GRIGGS ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	89.8	~	Dst Exp
280 DARE COUNTY						
302 CAPE HATTERAS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.8	~	Dst Hgh
304 CAPE HATTERAS SEC	06 12	Yes	No	79.4	~	Pro Exp
308 KITTY HAWK ELEM	0K 05	No	No	89.6	~	NR
312 MANTEO ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	88.1	~	Dst Exp
316 MANTEO HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.2	~	Pro Exp
320 MANTEO MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exc Hgh
324 FIRST FLIGHT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Dst Hgh
328 FIRST FLIGHT MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	93.6	~	Exc Hgh
290 DAVIDSON COUNTY						
306 NORTHWEST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	93.3	~	Exc Hgh
308 CENTRAL DAVIDSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.3	~	Pro Exp
309 CENTRAL DAVIDSON MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.2	~	Dst Exp
312 CHURCHLAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
316 DAVIS-TOWNSEND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
320 DENTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	85.1	~	Dst Exp
322 E LAWSON BROWN MID	06 08	No	No	84.2	~	NR
324 EAST DAVIDSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.6	~	Pro Exp
328 FAIR GROVE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.4	~	Dst Hgh
330 FRIEDBERG ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	93.3	~	Exc Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth		Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
Expected	High					
290 DAVIDSON COUNTY						
332 HASTY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.3	~	Exc Hgh
334 LEDFORD MID	06 08	Yes	No	93.0	~	Exc Exp
336 LEDFORD SENIOR HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.3	~	Dst Exp
344 MIDWAY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
348 NORTH DAVIDSON SR HS	09 12	Yes	No	80.7	~	Dst Exp
350 NORTH DAVIDSON MID	06 08	Yes	No	91.3	~	Exc Exp
352 PILOT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.7	~	Dst Hgh
356 REEDS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	91.3	~	Exc Exp
364 SILVER VALLEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	82.3	~	Dst Exp
365 SOUTH DAVIDSON HS	09 12	No	No	66.4	~	NR
366 SOUTHWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
367 SOUTH DAVIDSON MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.2	~	Dst Exp
376 TYRO MID	06 08	No	No	87.1	~	NR
380 WALLBURG ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
384 WELCOME ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Dst Hgh
388 WEST DAVIDSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	74.7	~	Pro Exp
291 LEXINGTON CITY						
308 CHARLES ENGLAND INT	04 05	Yes	No	71.0	~	Pro Exp
332 LEXINGTON MID	06 08	No	No	63.9	~	NR
336 LEXINGTON SR HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	54.1	~	Pri Hgh
340 PICKETT PRI	OK 03	Yes	Yes	83.3	~	Dst Hgh
348 S LEXINGTON/DEV WING	PK 03	No	No	61.1	~	NR
352 SOUTHWEST ELEM	OK 03	No	No	72.9	~	NR
292 THOMASVILLE CITY						
316 LIBERTY DRIVE ELEM	03 05	No	No	66.4	~	NR
318 THOMASVILLE PRI	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
320 THOMASVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	66.4	~	Pro Hgh
324 THOMASVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	77.2	~	Pro Hgh MI
300 DAVIE COUNTY						
308 COOLEEMEE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.7	~	Pro Hgh
310 CORNATZER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
312 DAVIE COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.3	~	Pro Exp
320 MOCKSVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh MI
325 NORTH DAVIE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
326 PINEBROOK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
328 SHADY GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exc Hgh
330 SOUTH DAVIE MID	06 08	No	No	85.7	~	NR
336 WILLIAM R DAVIE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	77.6	~	Pro Exp
310 DUPLIN COUNTY						
304 B F GRADY ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	84.2	~	Dst Exp
308 BEULAVILLE ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	83.3	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
310 DUPLIN COUNTY						
324 CHARITY MID	06 08	No	No	76.3	~	NR
330 CHINQUAPIN ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	86.2	~	Dst Hgh
336 WARSAW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	62.5	~	Pro Exp
340 E E SMITH MID	06 08	No	No	77.0	~	NR
344 EAST DUPLIN HS	09 12	No	No	67.2	~	NR
352 JAMES KENAN HS	09 12	No	No	59.1	~	Pri
356 KENANSVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	84.5	~	Dst Exp
360 NORTH DUPLIN ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
364 NORTH DUPLIN JR SR HS	07 12	Yes	No	81.0	~	Dst Exp
384 ROSE HILL-MAGNOLIA	PK 05	No	No	66.3	~	NR
388 WALLACE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.4	~	Dst Hgh
392 WALLACE-ROSE HILL HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.1	~	Pro Exp
396 WARSAW MID	06 08	No	No	56.8	~	Pri
320 DURHAM COUNTY						
304 BETHESDA ELEM	0K 05	No	No	65.3	~	NR
306 BROGDEN MID	06 08	No	No	75.8	~	NR
308 BURTON ELEM	0K 05	No	No	61.5	~	NR
310 EASTWAY ELEM	0K 05	No	No	56.2	~	Pri
312 C E JORDAN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	74.7	~	Pro Hgh
313 EASLEY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	91.3	~	Exc Exp
314 CHEWNING MID	06 08	No	No	60.5	~	NR
315 ENO VALLEY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
316 G CARRINGTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.0	~	Dst Exp
318 CLUB BLVD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.7	~	Dst Exp
320 GLENN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	67.2	~	Pro Exp
323 DURHAM SCH OF ARTS	06 12	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
324 HILLDALE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	87.2	~	Dst Exp
325 HILLSIDE HS	09 12	Yes	No	46.5	~	Pri Exp
327 HOPE VALLEY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
328 HOLT ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	77.1	~	Pro Exp
332 FOREST VIEW ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	82.1	~	Dst Exp
338 JAMES E SHEPARD MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.0	~	Dst Exp
339 LAKEWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	73.5	~	Pro Exp
340 LITTLE RIVER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.3	~	Exc Hgh
344 FAYETTEVILLE ST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	74.4	~	Pro Exp
346 LOWE'S GROVE MID	06 08	No	No	68.0	~	NR
347 GEORGE WATTS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	60.7	~	Pro Exp
348 MANGUM ELEM	0K 05	No	No	85.0	~	NR
352 MERRICK-MOORE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	74.6	~	Pro Exp
354 MOREHEAD MONTESSORI	PK 05	No	No	77.5	~	NR
355 NEAL MID	06 08	No	No	62.3	~	NR
356 NORTHERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	62.0	~	Pro Exp
360 OAK GROVE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	77.0	~	Pro Exp
362 PARKWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
363 E K POWE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	69.7	~	Pro Hgh
364 PEARSONTOWN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.0	~	Exc Exp
365 RIVERSIDE HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.1	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; High-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
320 DURHAM COUNTY						
366 SHERWOOD GITHENS MID	06 08	No	No	64.9	~	NR
367 R N HARRIS ELEM	0K 05	No	No	75.2	~	NR
368 SOUTHERN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	52.8	~	Pri Hgh
370 ROGERS-HERR MID	06 08	No	No	79.0	~	NR
372 SOUTHWEST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
374 C C SPAULDING ELEM	PK 05	No	No	62.8	~	NR
388 W G PEARSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	63.2	~	Pro Exp
400 Y E SMITH ELEM	PK 05	No	No	67.6	~	NR
32A MAUREEN JOY CHTR						
000 MAUREEN JOY CHTR	0K 05	No	No	61.6	~	NR
32B HEALTHY START ACAD						
000 HEALTHY START ACAD	0K 06	No	No	45.6	*	Pri
32C CARTER COMMUNITY						
000 CARTER COMMUNITY	0K 09	No	No	33.1	~	LP
32D KESTREL HEIGHTS SCH						
000 KESTREL HEIGHTS SCH	06 10	Yes	Yes	73.6	~	Pro Hgh
32E TURNING POINT ACAD						
000 TURNING POINT ACAD	0K 07	No	No	44.4	*	Pri
32G OMUTEKO GWAMAZIIMA						
000 OMUTEKO GWAMAZIIMA	0K 09	No	No	46.3	*	Pri
32H RESEARCH TRI CHTR						
000 RESEARCH TRI CHTR	0K 06	Yes	Yes	72.4	~	Pro Hgh
330 EDGEcombe COUNTY						
304 G W BULLUCK ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	78.1	~	Pro Hgh
308 G W CARVER ELEM	PK 04	No	No	68.2	~	NR
312 COKER-WIMBERLY MAG	PK 03	No	No	66.0	~	NR
324 C B MARTIN MID	06 08	No	No	54.3	~	Pri
328 N EDGEcombe MAGNET	09 12	Yes	Yes	56.5	~	Pri Hgh MI
330 PATTILLO ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Pro Hgh
332 PHILLIPS MAGNET	04 08	No	No	58.2	~	Pri
334 PRINCEVILLE MONTESSORI	PK 05	No	No	63.4	~	NR
336 ROBERSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Pro Hgh MI
340 S EDGEcombe MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	69.5	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
330 EDGECOMBE COUNTY						
348 W EDGECOMBE MID	04 08	No	No	70.7	~	NR
350 SW EDGECOMBE HS	09 12	Yes	No	59.9	~	Pri Exp
354 STOCKS ELEM	PK 03	No	No	56.0	~	Pri
358 TARBORO HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	66.5	~	Pro Hgh MI
340 FORSYTH COUNTY						
308 ASHLEY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	50.8	~	Pri Exp
312 ATKINS MID	06 08	No	No	55.4	~	Pri
314 BOLTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	72.7	~	Pro Exp
320 BRUNSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.2	~	Dst Exp
330 CARVER HS	09 12	Yes	No	45.1	~	Pri Exp
334 CASH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.5	~	Dst Exp
348 CLEMMONS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.3	~	Exc Exp
350 CLEMMONS MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.3	~	Dst Exp
351 COOK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	44.7	*	Pri
354 THE DOWNTOWN SCH	PK 05	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
360 DIGGS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	62.3	~	Pro Hgh
364 EAST FORSYTH HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.5	~	Pro Exp
368 EASTON ELEM	PK 05	No	No	75.9	~	NR
376 FOREST PARK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	54.9	~	Pri
380 GIBSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Pro Hgh
382 R B GLENN HS	09 12	Yes	No	58.0	~	Pri Exp
384 GRIFFITH ELEM	OK 05	No	No	75.1	~	NR
390 HALL-WOODWARD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	69.6	~	Pro Exp
392 HANES MID	06 08	Yes	No	67.6	~	Pro Exp
396 HILL MID	06 08	No	No	56.8	~	Pri
400 IBRAHAM ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	61.8	~	Pro Exp
403 JEFFERSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh
406 JEFFERSON MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.3	~	Exc Hgh
416 KERNERSVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	80.9	~	Dst Hgh
420 KERNERSVILLE MID	06 08	No	No	84.3	~	NR
424 KIMBERLEY PARK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	61.1	~	Pro Exp
428 KONNOAK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	71.2	~	Pro Exp
430 LATHAM ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.8	~	Dst Hgh MI
432 LEWISVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.0	~	Exc Exp
440 MEADOWLARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh
442 MEADOWLARK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
448 MINERAL SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Pro Hgh
452 MINERAL SPRINGS MID	06 08	No	No	63.3	~	NR
453 MOORE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
454 MOUNT TABOR HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.3	~	Pro Exp
460 NORTH FORSYTH HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.5	~	Pro Exp
462 NORTH HILLS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	62.2	~	Pro Exp
464 NORTHWEST MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
472 OLD RICHMOND ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.5	~	Pro Exp
476 OLD TOWN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	68.3	~	Pro Exp
480 PAISLEY MID	06 08	Yes	No	74.7	~	Pro Exp
486 PARKLAND HS	09 12	Yes	No	51.5	~	Pri Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
340 FORSYTH COUNTY						
490 PETREE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	57.1	~	Pri Hgh
492 PHILO MID	06 08	No	No	67.5	~	NR
494 PINEY GROVE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	86.2	~	Dst Exp
496 REYNOLDS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	75.7	~	Pro Hgh
504 RURAL HALL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Dst Hgh
508 SEDGE GARDEN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	82.8	~	Dst Exp
512 SHERWOOD FOREST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.6	~	Dst Exp
516 SOUTHEAST MID	06 08	Yes	No	80.6	~	Dst Exp
520 SOUTH FORK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	72.6	~	NR
528 SOUTHWEST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
530 SPEAS ELEM	0K 05	No	No	67.4	~	NR
532 UNION CROSS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.1	~	Dst Exp
536 VIENNA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	91.2	~	Exc Exp
540 WALKERTOWN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Dst Hgh
544 WALKERTOWN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
548 WARD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Dst Hgh
556 WEST FORSYTH HS	09 12	Yes	No	79.8	~	Pro Exp
560 WHITAKER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.8	~	Dst Hgh
564 WILEY MID	06 08	No	No	78.6	~	NR
34B QUALITY EDUC ACAD						
000 QUALITY EDUC ACAD	04 08	No	No	54.0	~	Pri
34C DOWNTOWN MID						
000 DOWNTOWN MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
34D WOODSON SCH OF CHALL						
000 WOODSON SCH OF CHALL	0K 12	No	No	39.3	~	LP
34E EAST WINSTON PRI						
000 EAST WINSTON PRI	0K 04	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Pro Hgh MI
34F FORSYTH ACAD						
000 FORSYTH ACAD	0K 07	No	No	74.9	~	NR
350 FRANKLIN COUNTY						
304 BUNN ELEM	0K 05	No	No	76.9	~	NR
308 BUNN HS	09 12	Yes	No	67.5	~	Pro Exp
310 BUNN MID	06 08	No	No	80.0	~	NR
312 CEDAR CREEK MID	06 08	No	No	73.5	~	NR
316 EDWARD BEST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	77.2	~	Pro Exp
318 FRANKLINTON ELEM	0K 05	No	No	62.2	~	NR
321 FRANKLINTON HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	61.3	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
350 FRANKLIN COUNTY						
330 LAUREL MILL ELEM	OK 05	No	No	69.0	~	NR
332 LOUISBURG ELEM	OK 05	No	No	67.3	~	NR
336 LOUISBURG HS	09 12	Yes	No	54.2	~	Pri Exp
337 RIVERSIDE ELEM MAGNET	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Dst Hgh
338 TERRELL LANE MID	06 08	No	No	70.3	~	NR
340 YOUNGSVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.3	~	Dst Exp
360 GASTON COUNTY						
308 ARLINGTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
310 ASHBROOK HS	09 12	No	No	66.8	~	NR
320 BELMONT CENTRAL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh
324 BELMONT MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.3	~	Dst Exp
332 BESSEMER CENTRAL ELEM	03 05	No	No	76.7	~	NR
338 BESSEMER CITY PRI	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
336 BESSEMER CITY HS	09 12	Yes	No	59.9	~	Pri Exp
340 BROOKSIDE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
344 CARR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	76.8	~	Pro Exp
348 CATAWBA HEIGHTS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Dst Hgh
352 CHAPEL GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
360 CHERRYVILLE SR HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.1	~	Pro Exp
364 CHERRYVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
376 COSTNER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.7	~	Dst Exp
380 CRAMERTON MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
390 EAST GASTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.4	~	Pro Exp
396 FORESTVIEW HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.1	~	Pro Exp
400 GARDNER PARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
408 W P GRIER MID	06 08	No	No	77.8	~	NR
418 HIGHLAND SCH OF TECH	09 11	Yes	Yes	90.6	~	Exc Hgh
426 HOLBROOK MID	06 08	No	No	82.3	~	NR
428 HUNTER HUSS HS	09 12	Yes	No	58.2	~	Pri Exp
430 J B PAGE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Dst Hgh
431 JOHN CHAVIS MID	06 08	Yes	No	81.5	~	Dst Exp
432 KISER ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	82.0	~	Dst Exp
499 SPRINGFIELD PRI	OK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
436 BESSEMER CITY MID	06 08	No	No	74.5	~	NR
438 LINGERFELDT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	64.5	~	Pro Exp
440 LOWELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.2	~	Dst Hgh
448 MCADENVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.5	~	Dst Exp
456 MOUNT HOLLY MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.8	~	Dst Exp
460 FOREST HEIGHTS ELEM	PK 05	No	No	61.7	~	NR
464 NEW HOPE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	91.8	~	Exc Exp
468 NORTH BELMONT ELEM	OK 05	No	No	82.6	~	NR
470 NORTH GASTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.4	~	Pro Exp
478 PINWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.8	~	Dst Exp
480 PLEASANT RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Pro Hgh
482 IDA RANKIN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
484 RHYNE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	58.9	~	Pri
488 ROBINSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	96.0	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
360 GASTON COUNTY						
490 SHERWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	82.3	~	Dst Exp
492 HERSHAL H BEAM ELEM	PK 05	No	No	79.3	~	NR
494 SOUTH POINT HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	72.8	~	Pro Hgh
498 SOUTHWEST MID	06 08	Yes	No	73.2	~	Pro Exp
500 STANLEY MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.0	~	Dst Exp
504 TRYON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.8	~	Pro Exp
510 W A BESS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.3	~	Exc Hgh
514 W C FRIDAY MID	06 08	No	No	76.7	~	NR
520 WOODHILL ELEM	PK 05	No	No	52.1	~	Pri
526 YORK CHESTER MID	06 08	No	No	68.8	~	NR
36B PIEDMONT COMMTY CHTR						
000 PIEDMONT COMMTY CHTR	OK 06	Yes	No	75.4	~	Pro Exp
370 GATES COUNTY						
304 BUCKLAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	79.5	~	Pro Exp
308 CENTRAL MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.6	~	Dst Exp
312 GATES COUNTY SR HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.9	~	Pro Exp
316 GATESVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh
324 T S COOPER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Dst Hgh
380 GRAHAM COUNTY						
306 ROBBINSVILLE ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	82.7	~	Dst Exp
308 ROBBINSVILLE HS	09 12	No	No	79.6	~	NR
310 ROBBINSVILLE MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
390 GRANVILLE COUNTY						
309 BUTNER-STEM MID	06 08	No	No	78.9	~	NR
311 BUTNER-STEM ELEM	PK 05	No	No	80.4	~	NR
312 C G CREDLE ELEM	02 03	Yes	No	70.7	~	Pro Exp
364 WEST OXFORD ELEM	PK 01	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 CREEDMOOR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	84.1	~	Dst Exp
320 G C HAWLEY MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.5	~	Dst Exp
324 J F WEBB HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	74.6	~	Pro Hgh
332 MARY POTTER INTER	04 06	No	No	65.3	~	NR
333 MOUNT ENERGY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	83.7	~	Dst Exp
334 NORTHERN GRANVILLE	07 08	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Dst Hgh
336 JOE TOLER-OAK HILL	PK 06	No	No	71.6	~	NR
352 SOUTH GRANVILLE HS	09 12	No	No	71.8	~	NR
360 STOVALL-SHAW ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	89.0	~	Dst Hgh
368 WILTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Dst Hgh
400 GREENE COUNTY						
308 GREENE CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	62.4	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
400 GREENE COUNTY						
312 GREENE COUNTY MID	06 08	No	No	72.0	~	NR
332 WEST GREENE ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	74.9	~	Pro Exp
321 SNOW HILL PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
410 GUILFORD COUNTY						
304 ALAMANCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
307 EDWIN A ALDERMAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	73.7	~	Pro Exp
310 ALLEN JAY ELEM	PK 05	No	No	61.6	~	NR
313 ALLEN JAY MID	05 08	Yes	No	79.4	~	Pro Exp
316 ALLEN MID	05 08	No	No	75.1	~	NR
319 T WINGATE ANDREWS HS	09 12	Yes	No	56.4	~	Pri Exp
322 ARCHER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	74.6	~	Pro Exp
325 AYCOCK MID	05 08	No	No	74.3	~	NR
328 BESSEMER ELEM	OK 05	No	No	59.3	~	Pri
331 BLUFORD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.4	~	Dst Exp
334 BRIGHTWOOD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Pro Hgh
337 BROOKS GLOBAL	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
340 MONTICELLO-BROWN SUM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.1	~	Dst Hgh
343 CLAXTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
346 COLFAX ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.6	~	Exc Hgh
349 CEASAR CONE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	72.6	~	Pro Exp
355 DUDLEY HS	09 12	Yes	No	37.2	~	Pri Exp
358 EASTERN GUILFORD HS	09 12	Yes	No	57.5	~	Pri Exp
364 FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 05	No	No	54.2	~	Pri
366 WALDO C FALKENER SR	PK 05	Yes	No	58.5	~	Pri Exp
367 FERNDAL MID	05 08	No	No	68.6	~	NR
370 FLORENCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.9	~	Exc Hgh
373 JULIUS I FOUST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	64.5	~	Pro Exp
376 CYRUS P FRAZIER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Pro Hgh
382 GIBSONVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Dst Hgh
388 GENERAL GREENE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
390 GC MIDDLE COLLEGE HS	10 12	Yes	No	66.3	~	Pro Exp
391 ALFRED J GRIFFIN MID	05 08	No	No	68.9	~	NR
394 GRIMSLEY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Dst Hgh
397 GUILFORD MID	03 08	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Dst Hgh
400 GUILFORD PRI	OK 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
401 GTCC MID COLLEGE HS	10 12	Yes	No	50.9	~	Pri Exp
402 OTIS HAIRSTON SR MID	05 08	No	No	61.4	~	NR
403 W M HAMPTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	61.1	~	Pro Exp
406 HIGH PT CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	60.0	~	Pro Hgh
409 HUNTER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
412 IRVING PARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	76.1	~	Pro Exp
415 JACKSON MID	05 08	No	No	62.8	~	NR
418 JAMESTOWN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Pro Hgh
421 JAMESTOWN MID	05 08	Yes	No	83.2	~	Dst Exp
423 JEFFERSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.9	~	Exc Hgh
424 JESSE WHARTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
427 JOHNSON STREET ELEM	OK 05	No	No	66.9	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
410 GUILFORD COUNTY						
430 DAVID D JONES ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.9	~	Dst Hgh
436 JAMES Y JOYNER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Dst Hgh
437 KERNODLE MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	94.1	~	Exc Hgh
439 KIRKMAN PARK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	58.9	~	Pri
442 KISER MID	05 08	No	No	82.6	~	NR
451 JOHN VAN LINDLEY ELEM	PK 05	No	No	72.9	~	NR
454 MADISON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.2	~	Dst Exp
460 EASTERN MID	05 08	No	No	78.0	~	NR
463 MENDENHALL MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	85.7	~	Dst Hgh
466 MILLIS ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.5	~	Dst Hgh
469 MONTLIEU AVE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	60.9	~	NR
472 MOREHEAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.8	~	Dst Hgh
478 MURPHEY TRAD ACAD	PK 05	Yes	No	87.4	~	Dst Exp
481 NATHANAEL GREENE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.9	~	Dst Hgh
484 NORTHEAST HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.1	~	Pro Exp
487 NORTHEAST MID	05 08	Yes	No	82.6	~	Dst Exp
490 NORTHWEST HS	09 12	Yes	No	84.6	~	Dst Exp
493 NORTHWEST MID	06 08	Yes	No	94.3	~	Exc Exp
496 NORTHWOOD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.0	~	Pro Hgh
499 OAK HILL ELEM	PK 05	No	No	60.7	~	NR
502 OAK RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
505 OAK VIEW ELEM	OK 05	No	No	81.0	~	NR
508 PAGE HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.2	~	Pro Exp
511 PARKVIEW VILLAGE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	65.4	~	NR
514 CLARA J PECK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	71.8	~	Pro Hgh
517 PEELER OPEN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	68.5	~	Pro Exp
522 PILOT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.4	~	Exc Hgh
523 PLEASANT GARDEN ELEM	OK 05	No	No	81.3	~	NR
529 LUCY RAGSDALE HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.1	~	Pro Exp
532 RANKIN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	62.0	~	NR
535 SEDALIA ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
538 SEDGEFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	77.0	~	Pro Hgh
541 SHADYBROOK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
544 BEN L SMITH HS	09 12	Yes	No	43.8	~	Pri Exp
547 SOUTHEAST HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.5	~	Pro Exp
550 SOUTHEAST MID	05 08	No	No	85.2	~	NR
553 SOUTHERN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.1	~	Dst Hgh
556 SOUTHERN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	67.8	~	Pro Hgh
559 SOUTHWEST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.4	~	Dst Exp
562 SOUTHWEST HS	09 12	No	No	66.2	~	NR
565 SOUTHWEST MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exc Hgh
568 STERNBERGER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Dst Hgh
571 STOKESDALE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.1	~	Exc Hgh
574 SUMMERFIELD ELEM	02 05	Yes	Yes	96.8	~	Exc Hgh
445 LAUGHLIN PRI	OK 01	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
577 SUMNER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	64.5	~	NR
580 UNION HILL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	69.8	~	Pro Exp
583 VANDALIA ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	71.0	~	Pro Exp
586 WASHINGTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	72.1	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
410 GUILFORD COUNTY						
592 LAURIN WELBORN MID	05 08	No	No	74.0	~	NR
595 WESTERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.2	~	Pro Exp
598 CALVIN WILEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	77.9	~	Pro Hgh
41A IMANI INSTITUTE						
000 IMANI INSTITUTE	06 08	No	No	52.8	~	Pri
41B GREENSBORO ACAD						
000 GREENSBORO ACAD	0K 07	No	No	86.6	~	NR
41C GUILFORD-SABIS						
000 GUILFORD-SABIS	0K 08	No	No	66.7	~	NR
41D PHOENIX ACAD						
000 PHOENIX ACAD	0K 06	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
420 HALIFAX COUNTY						
304 AURELIAN SPRINGS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	60.9	~	Pro Exp
308 BAKERS ELEM	03 05	No	No	51.1	~	Pri
356 SCOTLAND NECK PRI	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
312 BRAWLEY MID	06 08	Yes	No	75.8	~	Pro Exp
316 DAWSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.0	~	Pro Hgh MI
320 EASTMAN MID	06 08	No	No	80.3	~	NR
324 ENFIELD MID	06 08	No	No	57.9	~	Pri
328 EVERETTS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	71.3	~	Pro Hgh
336 HOLLISTER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	79.1	~	NR
340 INBORDEN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.9	~	Dst Hgh MI
344 MCIVER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.1	~	Dst Hgh
346 NORTHWEST HS	09 12	Yes	No	40.9	~	Pri Exp
348 PITTMAN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Pro Hgh
358 SOUTHEAST HALIFAX HS	09 12	No	No	29.7	~	LP
376 WILLIAM R DAVIE MID	06 08	No	No	65.7	~	NR
421 ROANOKE RAPIDS						
304 BELMONT ELEM	PK 05	No	No	77.6	~	NR
306 CHALONER MID	06 08	No	No	78.4	~	NR
316 ROANOKE RAPIDS HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.7	~	Pro Exp
328 WILLIAM L MANNING ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.4	~	Dst Exp
422 WELDON CITY						
314 WELDON ELEM	PK 04	No	No	53.0	~	Pri
318 WELDON MID	05 08	No	No	56.6	~	Pri

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
422 WELDON CITY						
324 WELDON HS	09 12	No	No	27.1	~	LP
430 HARNETT COUNTY						
308 ANGIER ELEM	04 05	Yes	No	92.0	~	Exc Exp
312 BENHAVEN ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	75.3	~	Pro Exp
348 JOHNSONVILLE PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 BOONE TRAIL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	74.5	~	Pro Exp
320 BUIES CREEK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	82.3	~	Dst Exp
328 COATS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	74.0	~	Pro Exp
330 COATS-ERWIN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Dst Hgh
332 DUNN MID	06 08	No	No	77.7	~	NR
336 ERWIN ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	77.4	~	Pro Exp
340 GENTRY PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
344 HARNETT PRI	0K 03	Yes	No	72.2	~	Pro Exp
346 HARNETT CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
347 HARNETT CENTRAL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh
352 LAFAYETTE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	91.6	~	Exc Exp
356 LILLINGTON ELEM	04 05	Yes	No	67.6	~	Pro Exp
368 NORTH HARNETT PRI	0K 03	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Pro Hgh
370 OVERHILLS MID	06 08	No	No	75.2	~	NR
372 SHAWTOWN PRI	PK 03	Yes	Yes	69.3	~	Pro Hgh
376 SOUTH HARNETT ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	80.3	~	Dst Exp
304 ANDERSON CREEK PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
378 TRITON HS	09 12	Yes	No	68.1	~	Pro Exp
380 WAYNE AVENUE ELEM	04 05	Yes	No	80.1	~	Dst Exp
384 WESTERN HARNETT HS	09 12	Yes	No	63.3	~	Pro Exp
386 WESTERN HARNETT MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.5	~	Dst Exp
440 HAYWOOD COUNTY						
314 BETHEL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
318 BETHEL MID	06 08	No	No	89.3	~	NR
320 CANTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.8	~	Dst Exp
324 CENTRAL ELEM	PK 05	No	No	79.5	~	NR
328 CLYDE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	94.4	~	Exc Hgh
332 RIVERBEND ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.5	~	Dst Exp
348 HAZELWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Dst Hgh
349 JONATHAN VALLEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
350 JUNALUSKA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Dst Hgh
364 MEADOWBROOK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	85.7	~	NR
368 NORTH CANTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	82.2	~	Dst Exp
378 PISGAH HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.6	~	Pro Exp
390 TUSCOLA HS	09 12	No	No	77.3	~	NR
396 WAYNESVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh
450 HENDERSON COUNTY						
301 APPLE VALLEY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.9	~	Dst Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
450 HENDERSON COUNTY						
302 ATKINSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Dst Hgh
304 BALFOUR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.1	~	Dst Exp
306 BRUCE DRYSDALE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	73.2	~	NR
308 DANA ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.9	~	Dst Hgh
316 EAST HENDERSON HS	09 12	No	No	74.6	~	NR
322 EDNEYVILLE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	85.0	~	NR
324 ETOWAH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
328 FLAT ROCK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
332 FLETCHER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	87.8	~	Dst Exp
333 HENDERSONVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	92.3	~	Exc Exp
334 HENDERSONVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
335 HENDERSONVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.1	~	Dst Exp
336 HILLDALE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	76.9	~	Pro Exp
339 GLENN C MARLOW ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
340 MILLS RIVER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.0	~	Exc Hgh
341 NORTH HENDERSON HS	09 12	No	No	73.2	~	NR
342 RUGBY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	93.2	~	Exc Hgh
346 UPWARD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
352 WEST HENDERSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	83.7	~	Dst Exp
45A MOUNTAIN CMTY SCH						
000 MOUNTAIN CMTY SCH	OK 08	Yes	Yes	94.2	~	Exc Hgh
460 HERTFORD COUNTY						
308 AHOSKIE ELEM	03 05	No	No	69.6	~	NR
312 BEARFIELD PRI	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
318 HERTFORD CO MID	06 08	No	No	62.2	~	NR
320 HERTFORD COUNTY HS	09 12	No	No	36.2	~	LP
332 RIVERVIEW ELEM	PK 05	No	No	56.7	~	Pri
470 HOKE COUNTY						
312 HOKE COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	54.3	~	Pri Hgh
316 J W MCLAUCHLIN ELEM	OK 05	No	No	58.8	~	Pri
320 WEST HOKE MID	06 08	No	No	65.1	~	NR
328 SCURLOCK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	63.2	~	NR
330 SOUTH HOKE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	63.5	~	NR
332 EAST HOKE MID	06 08	No	No	77.3	~	NR
336 WEST HOKE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	56.8	~	Pri
340 ROCKFISH HOKE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	80.8	~	NR
346 UPCHURCH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.9	~	Pro Exp
441 SANDY GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	62.6	~	Pro Exp
480 HYDE COUNTY						
306 MATTAMUSKEET ELEM	PK 05	No	No	65.2	~	NR
307 MATTAMUSKEET HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	69.1	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth		Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
Expected	High					
480 HYDE COUNTY						
309 MATTAMUSKEET MID	06 08	No	No	75.6	~	NR
316 OCRACOKE	0K 12	Yes	Yes	94.2	~	Exc Hgh
490 IREDELL-STATESVILLE						
302 ALAN RUTHERFORD ELEM	03 05	No	No	64.5	~	NR
376 WAYSIDE ELEM	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
308 BRAWLEY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
312 CELESTE HENKEL ELEM	0K 05	No	No	71.2	~	NR
316 CENTRAL ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.5	~	NR
324 COOL SPRING ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Dst Hgh
326 EAST IREDELL ELEM	02 05	Yes	No	70.2	~	Pro Exp
350 PRESSLY ELEM	0K 01	Yes	No		1	Exp
327 EAST MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	80.4	~	Dst Hgh
328 EBENEZER ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	78.9	~	Pro Exp
347 NORTHVIEW ELEM	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
332 HARMONY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	76.1	~	Pro Exp
336 LAKE NORMAN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	95.8	~	Exc Hgh
337 LAKESHORE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.9	~	Dst Hgh
338 LAKESHORE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Dst Hgh
340 MONTICELLO ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	74.7	~	Pro Exp
345 N B MILLS ELEM	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
344 MOUNT MOURNE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	87.0	~	Dst Hgh
346 NORTH IREDELL HS	09 12	No	No	66.8	~	NR
348 SCOTTS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	82.6	~	Dst Exp
349 NORTH IREDELL MID	06 08	Yes	No	76.8	~	Pro Exp
352 STATESVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	72.2	~	Pro Hgh
354 STATESVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.6	~	Pro Exp
356 SHARON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	84.4	~	Dst Exp
360 SHEPHERD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.1	~	Exc Hgh
362 SOUTH IREDELL HS	09 12	No	No	73.4	~	NR
364 TROUTMAN ELEM	0K 05	No	No	75.4	~	NR
366 TROUTMAN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	76.3	~	Pro Hgh
368 UNION GROVE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
380 WEST IREDELL HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.6	~	Pro Exp
384 WEST IREDELL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Dst Hgh
491 MOORESVILLE CITY						
306 MOORESVILLE INTER	04 06	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
308 MOORESVILLE MID	07 08	Yes	Yes	89.9	~	Dst Hgh
312 MOORESVILLE SR HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.2	~	Pro Exp
316 PARK VIEW ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
320 SOUTH ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
49A AMERICAN RENAISSANCE						
000 AMERICAN RENAISSANCE	0K 05	No	No	73.6	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; High-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
49B AM RENAISSANCE MID						
000 AM RENAISSANCE MID	06 08	No	No	74.3	~	NR
500 JACKSON COUNTY						
304 CULLOWHEE VALLEY SCH	PK 08	Yes	No	87.4	~	Dst Exp
314 FAIRVIEW ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
316 BLUE RIDGE SCH	PK 12	Yes	No	76.6	~	Pro Exp
332 SCOTTS CREEK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	83.0	~	Dst Exp
337 SMOKEY MOUNTAIN ELEM	PK 08	No	No	73.4	~	NR
340 SMOKY MOUNTAIN HS	09 12	No	No	70.7	~	NR
50A SUMMIT CHTR						
000 SUMMIT CHTR	0K 08	Yes	Yes	93.7	~	Exc Hgh
510 JOHNSTON COUNTY						
308 BENSON ELEM	PK 04	Yes	Yes	88.4	~	Dst Hgh
310 BENSON MID	05 08	No	No	80.5	~	NR
324 CLAYTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	82.3	~	Dst Exp
326 WEST CLAYTON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Dst Hgh
328 CLEVELAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	95.3	~	Exc Hgh
329 CLEVELAND MID	06 08	Yes	No	88.4	~	Dst Exp
330 COOPER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	78.8	~	Pro Exp
332 CORINTH HOLDER ELEM	PK 08	No	No	74.4	~	NR
336 EAST CLAYTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
340 FOUR OAKS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.1	~	Dst Exp
342 FOUR OAKS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
344 NORTH JOHNSTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.9	~	Dst Exp
356 GLENDALE-KENLY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	80.5	~	Dst Exp
358 MCGEE'S CROSSROADS	0K 05	No	No	85.2	~	NR
360 MEADOW ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	89.7	~	Dst Exp
368 NORTH JOHNSTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
372 MICRO-PINE LEVEL ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.3	~	NR
376 PRINCETON HS	0K 12	Yes	No	86.7	~	Dst Exp
378 RIVERWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	88.9	~	Dst Exp
379 RIVERWOOD MID	06 08	Yes	No	91.8	~	Exc Exp
388 SELMA ELEM	PK 04	No	No	73.0	~	NR
390 SELMA MID	05 09	Yes	Yes	81.1	~	Dst Hgh
396 SMITHFIELD ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	74.3	~	Pro Exp
404 SOUTH SMITHFIELD PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
397 SMITHFIELD MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.3	~	Dst Exp
399 SMITHFIELD-SELMA HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.5	~	Pro Exp
402 SOUTH JOHNSTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
408 WILSON'S MILLS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	84.1	~	Dst Hgh
410 POLENTA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.3	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
510 JOHNSTON COUNTY						
412 CLAYTON MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	93.6	~	Exc Hgh
520 JONES COUNTY						
304 POLLOCKSVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	77.8	~	Pro Exp
308 COMFORT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
320 JONES SENIOR HS	09 12	No	No	47.0	*	Pri
324 JONES MID	06 08	No	No	77.8	~	NR
328 MAYSVILLE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	85.9	~	NR
336 TRENTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
530 LEE COUNTY						
302 BENJAMIN T BULLOCK	PK 05	Yes	Yes	82.5	~	Dst Hgh
308 BROADWAY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	74.7	~	Pro Exp
312 DEEP RIVER ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	79.4	~	Pro Hgh
314 EAST LEE MID	06 08	No	No	76.9	~	NR
320 GREENWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
336 LEE COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.3	~	Pro Exp
340 J GLENN EDWARDS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
346 TRAMWAY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	92.9	~	Exc Hgh
354 J R INGRAM JR ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Dst Hgh
356 WEST LEE MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.0	~	Dst Exp
53A PROVISIONS ACAD						
000 PROVISIONS ACAD	06 11	No	No	39.2	~	LP
540 LENOIR COUNTY						
304 BANKS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.4	~	Dst Exp
306 C H BYNUM ELEM	04 05	No	No	86.4	~	NR
308 CONTENTNEA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh MI
312 E B FRINK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Dst Hgh
314 J H SAMPSON ELEM	04 05	Yes	Yes	79.7	~	Pro Hgh
315 KINSTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.0	~	Pro Exp
316 LAGRANGE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	73.8	~	NR
320 MOSS HILL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Dst Hgh
324 NORTH LENOIR HS	09 12	No	No	71.2	~	NR
326 NORTHWEST ELEM	0K 03	Yes	Yes	86.9	~	Dst Hgh
328 PINK HILL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
330 ROCHELLE MID	06 08	Yes	No	78.4	~	Pro Exp
332 SAVANNAH MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.3	~	Dst Exp
336 SOUTH LENOIR HS	09 12	No	No	69.1	~	NR
338 SOUTHEAST ELEM	0K 03	Yes	No	87.1	~	Dst Exp
340 SOUTHWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	80.3	~	Dst Exp
342 TEACHERS MEMORIAL ELEM	0K 03	Yes	Yes	67.0	~	Pro Hgh
344 WOODINGTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	86.5	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
54A CHILDREN'S ACAD						
000 CHILDREN'S ACAD	OK 06	No	No	48.6	*	Pri
550 LINCOLN COUNTY						
312 BATTLEGROUND ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Dst Hgh
314 CATAWBA SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.7	~	Dst Exp
316 G E MASSEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	72.2	~	Pro Hgh
320 EAST LINCOLN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	74.2	~	Pro Hgh
322 EAST LINCOLN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	77.8	~	Pro Hgh
328 IRON STATION ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Dst Hgh
332 LINCOLNTON HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	72.2	~	Pro Hgh MI
334 LINCOLNTON MID	06 08	No	No	75.6	~	NR
336 LOVE MEMORIAL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	84.8	~	Dst Hgh
342 NORTH BROOK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
348 PUMPKIN CENTER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Dst Hgh
350 PUMPKIN CENTER MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.5	~	Dst Exp
356 ROCK SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
360 S RAY LOWDER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.4	~	Dst Exp
364 UNION ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Dst Hgh MI
368 WEST LINCOLN HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.8	~	Pro Exp
372 WEST LINCOLN MID	06 08	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
55A LINCOLN CHTR						
000 LINCOLN CHTR	OK 09	No	No	79.9	~	NR
560 MACON COUNTY						
304 CARTOOGECAYE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	89.7	~	Dst Exp
308 COWEE ELEM	03 05	No	No	88.0	~	NR
328 IOTLA ELEM	OK 02	No	No		1	NR
312 CULLASAJA ELEM	OK 05	No	No	92.3	~	NR
316 EAST FRANKLIN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.4	~	Exc Hgh
320 FRANKLIN HS	09 12	Yes	No	72.4	~	Pro Exp
324 HIGHLANDS SCH	OK 12	Yes	No	88.0	~	Dst Exp
330 MACON COUNTY MID	06 08	No	No	84.0	~	NR
332 NANTAHALA SCH	OK 12	Yes	No	78.8	~	Pro Exp
338 SOUTH MACON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.9	~	Dst Exp
570 MADISON COUNTY						
312 HOT SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.1	~	Exc Hgh
316 LAUREL ELEM	OK 05	No	No	87.0	~	NR
318 MADISON HS	09 12	No	No	77.9	~	NR
319 MADISON MID	06 08	No	No	82.3	~	NR
320 MARS HILL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	91.4	~	Exc Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
570 MADISON COUNTY						
324 MARSHALL ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	86.2	~	Dst Hgh
326 MARSHALL PRI	0K 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
332 WALNUT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Dst Hgh
580 MARTIN COUNTY						
304 BEAR GRASS HS	07 12	No	No	74.2	~	NR
312 E J HAYES ELEM	03 06	Yes	No	74.6	~	Pro Exp
308 WILLIAMSTON PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 EAST END ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	72.3	~	Pro Exp
320 EDNA ANDREWS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	67.2	~	Pro Exp
324 JAMESVILLE SCH	PK 06	Yes	No	80.0	~	Dst Exp
328 JAMESVILLE HS	07 12	Yes	No	68.9	~	Pro Exp
344 ROANOKE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	52.2	~	Pri Hgh
350 ROANOKE MID	06 08	No	No	71.6	~	NR
356 RODGERS ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
368 WILLIAMSTON HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	60.9	~	Pro Hgh
372 WILLIAMSTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	72.1	~	Pro Exp
590 MCDOWELL COUNTY						
306 EASTFIELD ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Dst Hgh
310 EAST MCDOWELL JR HS	07 09	Yes	No	84.3	~	Dst Exp
316 GLENWOOD ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	81.0	~	Dst Exp
320 MARION ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	84.5	~	Dst Hgh
330 MCDOWELL HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.0	~	Pro Exp
332 NEBO ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	80.1	~	Dst Exp
336 NORTH COVE ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	82.4	~	Dst Exp
340 OLD FORT ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Dst Hgh
344 PLEASANT GARDENS ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	84.1	~	Dst Exp
352 WEST MARION ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	74.3	~	Pro Exp
356 WEST MCDOWELL JR HS	07 09	No	No	85.8	~	NR
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
300 ALBEMARLE ROAD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	82.0	~	Dst Hgh
301 ALBEMARLE ROAD MID	06 08	No	No	67.7	~	NR
305 J M ALEXANDER MID	06 11	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Dst Hgh MI
308 ALLENBROOK ELEM	0K 05	No	No	62.5	~	NR
311 ASHLEY PARK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	64.0	~	Pro Exp
314 BAIN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
316 BARRINGER ACADEMY CTR	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exc Hgh
319 BERRYHILL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	65.7	~	Pro Hgh
322 BEVERLY WOODS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Dst Hgh
326 BILLINGSVILLE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	76.0	~	Pro Hgh
329 BRIARWOOD ELEM	0K 05	No	No	60.0	~	NR
331 BRUNS AVENUE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	82.6	~	NR
333 CARMEL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Dst Hgh
335 CHANTILLY ELEM	0K 05	No	No	78.2	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
338 CLEAR CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
341 COCHRANE MID	06 08	No	No	56.2	~	Pri
344 COLLINSWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.3	~	Pro Hgh
346 CORNELIUS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.5	~	Exc Hgh
349 COTSWOLD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	67.3	~	Pro Exp
351 COULWOOD MID	06 08	Yes	No	68.0	~	Pro Exp
352 CROWN POINT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.7	~	Dst Exp
353 CRESTDALE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
357 DAVIDSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	96.6	~	Exc Hgh
358 DAVIDSON INT BACC MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	99.4	~	Exc Hgh
360 MARIE G DAVIS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	94.2	~	Exc Hgh
361 DAVID W BUTLER HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	64.7	~	Pro Hgh
362 DAVID COX ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.6	~	Exc Exp
363 DERITA ELEM	OK 05	No	No	66.6	~	NR
365 DEVONSHIRE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	62.8	~	Pro Hgh
368 DILWORTH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	66.8	~	Pro Exp
374 DRUID HILLS ELEM	OK 05	No	No	69.3	~	NR
376 E E WADDELL HS	09 12	Yes	No	40.2	~	Pri Exp
377 EAST MECKLENBURG HS	09 12	Yes	No	64.9	~	Pro Exp
379 EASTOVER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.0	~	Dst Hgh MI
381 EASTWAY MID	06 08	Yes	No	61.7	~	Pro Exp
382 ELIZABETH LANE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.7	~	Exc Hgh
384 ELIZABETH TRAD/CLASS	OK 05	Yes	No	92.0	~	Exc Exp
393 FIRST WARD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
394 FRANCIS BRADLEY MID	06 08	No	No	78.3	~	NR
396 GARINGER HS	09 12	Yes	No	36.7	~	Pri Exp
398 GREENWAY PARK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	75.2	~	Pro Hgh
399 ALEXANDER GRAHAM MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
405 HARDING UNIV HS	09 12	Yes	No	64.9	~	Pro Exp
406 HAWK RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.6	~	Exc Hgh
407 HAWTHORNE TRAD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	58.7	~	Pri Hgh
410 HICKORY GROVE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	74.1	~	NR
412 HIDDEN VALLEY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	67.7	~	Pro Exp
414 HIGHLAND ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.8	~	Pro Hgh
415 HOPEWELL HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.9	~	Pro Exp
416 HORNETS NEST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.6	~	Pro Exp
420 HUNTERSVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.5	~	Dst Hgh
422 HUNTINGTOWNE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.3	~	Dst Exp
424 IDLEWILD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	76.0	~	Pro Hgh
426 INDEPENDENCE HS	09 12	Yes	No	60.7	~	Pro Exp
427 IRWIN AVE OPEN	OK 05	Yes	No	73.2	~	Pro Exp
428 JAMES MARTIN MID	06 08	Yes	No	76.4	~	Pro Exp
429 JOHN MOTLEY MOREHEAD	OK 05	Yes	Yes	69.6	~	Pro Hgh
430 A JAMES MONTESSORI	OK 05	Yes	No	87.4	~	Dst Exp
434 ROBERT F KENNEDY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Dst Hgh
436 LAKE WYLIE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.5	~	Dst Exp
438 LANSDOWNE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.1	~	Pro Exp
440 LEBANON ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
441 LINCOLN HEIGHTS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	67.0	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
442 LEGETTE BLYTHE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.2	~	Dst Exp
444 LONG CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	74.2	~	Pro Exp
446 MALLARD CREEK	OK 05	Yes	No	85.3	~	Dst Exp
447 MATTHEWS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.4	~	Exc Hgh
449 MCALPINE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.9	~	Exc Hgh
450 MCCLINTOCK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	80.7	~	Dst Hgh
451 MCKEE ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	98.1	~	Exc Hgh
453 MERRY OAKS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	69.5	~	Pro Hgh
459 MONTCLAIRE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	73.5	~	Pro Hgh
464 MYERS PARK TRAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	85.7	~	Dst Exp
466 MYERS PARK HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.4	~	Pro Exp
468 NATHANIEL ALEXANDER	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Pro Hgh
471 NATIONS FORD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Dst Hgh
474 NEWELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Dst Hgh
478 J H GUNN ELEM	OK 05	No	No	70.5	~	NR
479 NORTHEAST MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Dst Hgh
480 NORTH MECKLENBURG HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.0	~	Pro Exp
481 NORTHRIDGE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	76.7	~	Pro Hgh
482 NORTHWEST HS	06 12	No	No	65.8	~	NR
485 OAKDALE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	71.0	~	NR
487 OAKHURST ELEM	OK 05	No	No	78.0	~	NR
489 OAKLAWN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.1	~	Pro Exp
490 OLYMPIC HS	09 12	Yes	No	49.8	~	Pri Exp
491 OLDE PROVIDENCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.0	~	Exc Hgh
492 PARK ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	72.1	~	Pro Exp
494 PAW CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	73.2	~	Pro Exp
495 PAWTUCKETT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	68.0	~	Pro Hgh
497 PIEDMONT OPEN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	81.2	~	Dst Hgh
500 PINEVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.4	~	Pro Exp
501 PINWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	77.7	~	Pro Hgh
503 PINEY GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Dst Hgh
508 PROVIDENCE HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.9	~	Pro Exp
509 QUAIL HOLLOW MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	77.2	~	Pro Hgh
512 RAMA ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	68.7	~	Pro Exp
513 RANDOLPH MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	73.4	~	Pro Hgh
514 RANSON MID	06 08	No	No	65.8	~	95R
516 REEDY CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.5	~	Dst Exp
517 REID PARK ELEM	OK 05	No	No	82.6	~	NR
519 SEDGEFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	65.3	~	Pro Exp
520 SEDGEFIELD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	70.7	~	Pro Hgh
522 SELWYN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.9	~	Pro Exp
527 SHAMROCK GARDENS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	61.3	~	Pro Hgh
530 SHARON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	75.9	~	Pro Exp
532 SMITH MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	77.5	~	Pro Hgh
534 SMITHFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Dst Hgh
535 SOUTH MECKLENBURG HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.6	~	Pro Exp
537 SOUTH CHARLOTTE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
541 SPAUGH MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.1	~	Dst Hgh
544 STARMOUNT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.6	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG						
546 STATESVILLE ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	73.6	~	Pro Hgh
549 STEELE CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Dst Hgh
550 STERLING ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	68.9	~	Pro Hgh
553 THOMASBORO ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	62.5	~	Pro Exp
562 TUCKASEEGEE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	71.2	~	Pro Exp
565 UNIV PARK CREAT ARTS	OK 05	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
566 UNIV MEADOWS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Pro Hgh
571 VILLA HEIGHTS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	100.0	~	Exc Hgh
576 WEST CHARLOTTE HS	09 12	Yes	No	31.2	~	Pri Exp
577 WESTERLY HILLS ELEM	OK 05	No	No	57.8	~	Pri
579 WEST MECKLENBURG HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	48.1	~	Pri Hgh
581 J T WILLIAMS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	89.5	~	Dst Hgh
585 WILSON MID	06 08	Yes	No	60.6	~	Pro Exp
586 WINDING SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	75.1	~	Pro Exp
587 WINDSOR PARK ELEM	OK 05	No	No	62.1	~	NR
589 WINTERFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	68.5	~	Pro Hgh
592 ZEBULON B VANCE HS	09 12	Yes	No	57.8	~	Pri Exp
60A COMMUNITY CHARTER SCH						
000 COMMUNITY CHARTER SCH	OK 05	No	No	68.6	~	NR
60B SUGAR CREEK CHTR						
000 SUGAR CREEK CHTR	OK 07	No	No	41.8	~	LP
60C KENNEDY CHTR						
000 KENNEDY CHTR	06 12	Yes	Yes	28.3	~	Pri Hgh MI
60D LAKE NORMAN CHTR						
000 LAKE NORMAN CHTR	05 08	Yes	Yes	98.1	~	Exc Hgh
60F METROLINA REG SCH ACAD						
000 METROLINA REG SCH ACAD	OK 07	Yes	Yes	100.0	~	Exc Hgh
610 MITCHELL COUNTY						
302 BOWMAN MID	05 08	No	No	76.8	~	NR
308 BULADEAN ELEM	OK 08	No	No	83.3	~	NR
310 DEYTON ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	84.2	~	Dst Hgh
322 GREENLEE PRI	OK 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
320 GOUGE ELEM	OK 04	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Dst Hgh
326 HARRIS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Dst Hgh
334 MITCHELL HS	09 12	No	No	69.5	~	NR
336 TIPTON HILL ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
620 MONTGOMERY COUNTY						
312 CANDOR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	68.7	~	Pro Hgh
314 EAST MID	06 08	No	No	69.6	~	NR
316 EAST MONTGOMERY HS	09 12	Yes	No	54.4	~	Pri Exp
324 MOUNT GILEAD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	67.4	~	Pro Exp
330 PAGE STREET ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	63.0	~	Pro Exp
334 STAR-BISCOE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	67.2	~	NR
339 WEST MID	06 08	Yes	No	74.5	~	Pro Exp
340 WEST MONTGOMERY HS	09 12	Yes	No	55.8	~	Pri Exp
630 MOORE COUNTY						
308 ABERDEEN ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	78.1	~	Pro Hgh
304 ABERDEEN PRI	0K 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
312 CAMERON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
316 CARTHAGE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Dst Hgh
320 ELISE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Pro Hgh
324 SANDHILLS-FARM LIFE	0K 05	Yes	No	89.3	~	Dst Exp
328 HIGHFALLS ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
331 NEW CENTURY MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
332 NORTH MOORE HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.5	~	Pro Exp
336 PINECREST HS	09 12	No	No	76.3	~	NR
340 ACADEMY HEIGHTS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
342 PINEHURST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.0	~	Dst Hgh
348 ROBBINS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	75.9	~	Pro Hgh
350 SOUTHERN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.2	~	Pro Hgh
356 SOUTHERN PINES ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
352 SOUTHERN PINES PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
360 UNION PINES HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.8	~	Pro Exp
364 VASS-LAKEVIEW ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
368 WEST END ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.9	~	Exc Exp
374 WEST PINE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
376 WESTMOORE ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
63A MAST SCHOOL INC						
000 MAST SCHOOL INC	05 08	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Pro Hgh MI
63B STARS CHTR						
000 STARS CHTR	0K 05	Yes	No	63.6	~	Pro Exp
640 NASH-ROCKY MOUNT						
304 BAILEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
306 BASKERVILLE ELEM	01 05	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Pro Hgh
308 BENVENUE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	85.2	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
620 MONTGOMERY COUNTY						
312 CANDOR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	68.7	~	Pro Hgh
314 EAST MID	06 08	No	No	69.6	~	NR
316 EAST MONTGOMERY HS	09 12	Yes	No	54.4	~	Pri Exp
324 MOUNT GILEAD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	67.4	~	Pro Exp
330 PAGE STREET ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	63.0	~	Pro Exp
334 STAR-BISCOE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	67.2	~	NR
339 WEST MID	06 08	Yes	No	74.5	~	Pro Exp
340 WEST MONTGOMERY HS	09 12	Yes	No	55.8	~	Pri Exp
630 MOORE COUNTY						
308 ABERDEEN ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	78.1	~	Pro Hgh
304 ABERDEEN PRI	0K 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
312 CAMERON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
316 CARTHAGE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	81.0	~	Dst Hgh
320 ELISE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Pro Hgh
324 SANDHILLS-FARM LIFE	0K 05	Yes	No	89.3	~	Dst Exp
328 HIGHFALLS ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
331 NEW CENTURY MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
332 NORTH MOORE HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.5	~	Pro Exp
336 PINECREST HS	09 12	No	No	76.3	~	NR
340 ACADEMY HEIGHTS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
342 PINEHURST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.0	~	Dst Hgh
348 ROBBINS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	75.9	~	Pro Hgh
350 SOUTHERN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.2	~	Pro Hgh
356 SOUTHERN PINES ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
352 SOUTHERN PINES PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
360 UNION PINES HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.8	~	Pro Exp
364 VASS-LAKEVIEW ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
368 WEST END ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.9	~	Exc Exp
374 WEST PINE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
376 WESTMOORE ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
63A MAST SCHOOL INC						
000 MAST SCHOOL INC	05 08	Yes	Yes	68.4	~	Pro Hgh MI
63B STARS CHTR						
000 STARS CHTR	0K 05	Yes	No	63.6	~	Pro Exp
640 NASH-ROCKY MOUNT						
304 BAILEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.8	~	Dst Exp
306 BASKERVILLE ELEM	01 05	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Pro Hgh
308 BENVENUE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	85.2	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY						
352 NEW HANOVER HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.1	~	Pro Exp
353 DR HUBERT EATON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	98.7	~	Exc Hgh
356 OGDON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
360 DOROTHY B JOHNSON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Dst Hgh
362 PINE VALLEY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	83.8	~	Dst Hgh
364 ROLAND-GRISE MID	06 08	Yes	No	90.8	~	Exc Exp
366 DR JOHN CODINGTON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
368 SUNSET PARK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	77.6	~	Pro Exp
380 WALTER L PARSLEY ELEM	0K 05	No	No	92.6	~	NR
384 WILLIAM H BLOUNT ELEM	0K 05	No	No	68.3	~	NR
392 WILLISTON MID	06 08	Yes	No	81.4	~	Dst Exp
400 WINTER PARK MODEL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	89.5	~	Dst Exp
404 WRIGHTSBORO ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Dst Hgh
408 WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH ELE	0K 05	Yes	Yes	95.5	~	Exc Hgh
65A CAPE FEAR CTR INQUIRY						
000 CAPE FEAR CTR INQUIRY	0K 06	Yes	No	88.8	~	Dst Exp
660 NORTHAMPTON COUNTY						
304 SEABOARD-COATES ELEM	PK 05	No	No	55.1	~	Pri
308 CONWAY MID	06 08	No	No	69.1	~	NR
312 JACKSON-EASTSIDE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	67.0	~	NR
316 GARYSBURG ELEM	PK 05	No	No	62.6	~	NR
320 GASTON MID	06 08	No	No	68.4	~	NR
324 NORTHAMPTON HIGH-WEST	09 12	No	No	39.9	~	LP
336 NORTHAMPTON HIGH-EAST	09 12	Yes	No	49.8	~	Pri Exp
356 SQUIRE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	68.7	~	Pro Hgh
360 WILLIS HARE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	75.4	~	NR
364 RICH SQUARE-CREECY	PK 05	No	No	67.5	~	NR
66A GASTON COLLEGE PREP						
000 GASTON COLLEGE PREP	05 05	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh MI
670 ONSLOW COUNTY						
304 BELL FORK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.4	~	Dst Exp
308 BLUE CREEK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
312 CLYDE ERWIN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	76.7	~	NR
314 DIXON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	82.6	~	Dst Exp
318 DIXON MID	06 08	No	No	85.8	~	NR
320 DIXON HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	79.1	~	Pro Hgh
321 HUNTERS CREEK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	89.7	~	Dst Exp
322 HUNTERS CREEK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.6	~	Exc Hgh
323 JACKSONVILLE COMMONS E	PK 05	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exc Hgh
324 JACKSONVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	76.4	~	Pro Hgh
325 JACKSONVILLE COM MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.9	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
670 ONSLOW COUNTY						
330 MORTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	85.7	~	Dst Exp
331 NEW BRIDGE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
332 NORTHWOODS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.2	~	Dst Exp
333 NORTHSIDE HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.7	~	Pro Exp
335 QUEENS CREEK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	85.5	~	Dst Exp
336 NORTHWOODS PARK MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.6	~	Dst Exp
338 PARKWOOD ELEM	PK 05	No	No	91.3	~	NR
339 RICHLANDS ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	89.6	~	Dst Exp
337 RICHLANDS PRI	0K 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
340 RICHLANDS HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.4	~	Pro Exp
341 SAND RIDGE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	93.7	~	Exc Hgh
342 SILVERDALE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.6	~	Dst Hgh
343 SOUTHWEST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	93.5	~	Exc Hgh
344 SOUTHWEST HS	09 12	Yes	No	75.3	~	Pro Exp
345 SOUTHWEST MID	06 08	No	No	89.2	~	NR
346 SUMMERSILL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp
350 SWANSBORO ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	94.2	~	Exc Exp
351 SWANSBORO MID	06 08	No	No	87.4	~	NR
352 SWANSBORO HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.9	~	Pro Exp
356 TREXLER MID	06 08	No	No	82.0	~	NR
360 WALTER THOMPSON ELEM	PK 05	No	No	77.3	~	NR
364 WHITE OAK HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.3	~	Pro Exp
680 ORANGE COUNTY						
304 A L STANBACK MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
308 CAMERON PARK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.9	~	Dst Hgh
312 CENTRAL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Dst Hgh
316 CHARLES STANFORD MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
324 EFLAND CHEEKS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	84.6	~	Dst Hgh
328 GRADY BROWN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
329 HILLSBOROUGH ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.7	~	Exc Exp
330 NEW HOPE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Dst Hgh
332 ORANGE SENIOR HS	09 12	Yes	No	74.2	~	Pro Exp
336 PATHWAYS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
681 CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO						
304 CARRBORO ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	87.2	~	Dst Hgh
308 CHAPEL HILL HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
309 C AND L MCDUGLE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	96.0	~	Exc Hgh
310 ELIZABETH SEAWELL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	92.9	~	Exc Exp
311 EPHEMUS ROAD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	92.9	~	Exc Hgh
312 ESTES HILLS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	94.4	~	Exc Hgh
314 EAST CHAPEL HILL HS	09 12	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
316 FRANK P GRAHAM ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.6	~	Exc Exp
320 GLENWOOD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	88.2	~	Dst Hgh
322 GREY CULBRETH MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
324 GUY PHILLIPS MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	96.9	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
 Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
 EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
 Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
 9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
681 CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO						
326 C AND L MCDOUGLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.5	~	Exc Hgh
330 MARY SCROGGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.2	~	Exc Hgh
336 RD AND EUZELLE SMITH M	06 08	Yes	No	88.3	~	Dst Exp
68A ORANGE CO CHTR						
000 ORANGE CO CHTR	OK 08	No	No	82.2	~	NR
68B NEW CENTRY CHTR						
000 NEW CENTURY CHTR	09 12	No	No	49.0	*	Pri
68K VILLAGE CHTR						
000 VILLAGE CHTR	OK 09	No	No	69.6	~	NR
690 PAMLICO COUNTY						
312 FRED A ANDERSON ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	84.4	~	Dst Exp
324 PAMLICO COUNTY PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
316 PAMLICO CO MID	06 08	No	No	81.0	~	NR
320 PAMLICO COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.1	~	Pro Exp
69A ARAPAHOE CHTR						
000 ARAPAHOE CHTR	OK 08	No	No	87.5	~	NR
700 ELIZABETH CITY/PASQUOT						
306 CENTRAL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Dst Hgh
308 ELIZABETH CITY MID	06 08	No	No	73.1	~	NR
314 NORTHSIDE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	81.0	~	NR
316 J C SAWYER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	79.7	~	Pro Hgh
317 NORTHEASTERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	54.6	~	Pri Exp
318 PASQUOTANK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	59.6	~	Pri Exp
319 PASQUOTANK CO HS	09 12	No	No	55.6	~	Pri
320 P W MOORE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	67.7	~	Pro Exp
322 RIVER ROAD MID	06 08	No	No	75.1	~	NR
324 SHEEP-HARNEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	70.0	~	Pro Exp
328 WEEKSVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Dst Hgh
710 PENDER COUNTY						
312 BURGAW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	78.5	~	Pro Exp
316 BURGAW MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	82.8	~	Dst Hgh
318 CAPE FEAR ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Dst Hgh
332 ROCKY POINT PRI	OK 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
320 CAPE FEAR MID	06 08	Yes	No	86.3	~	Dst Exp
323 NORTH TOPSAIL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	93.9	~	Exc Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
710 PENDER COUNTY						
324 MALPASS CORNER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	76.7	~	NR
326 PENDER HIGH	09 12	Yes	No	73.9	~	Pro Exp
328 PENDERLEA ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Dst Hgh
342 TOPSAIL HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Dst Hgh MI
346 TOPSAIL MID	06 08	Yes	No	91.9	~	Exc Exp
347 SOUTH TOPSAIL ELEM	OK 05	No	No	92.1	~	NR
348 WEST PENDER MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.7	~	Dst Exp
720 PERQUIMANS COUNTY						
312 HERTFORD GRAMMAR	03 05	No	No	79.3	~	NR
304 PERQUIMANS CENTRAL	PK 02	No	No		1	NR
316 PERQUIMANS CO HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	69.8	~	Pro Hgh
320 PERQUIMANS CO MID	06 08	No	No	83.8	~	NR
730 PERSON COUNTY						
332 HELENA ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	92.3	~	Exc Exp
334 NORTH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	71.5	~	Pro Hgh
340 NORTH END ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.4	~	Dst Exp
344 NORTHERN MID	06 08	No	No	85.4	~	NR
348 OAK LANE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.6	~	Exc Exp
352 PERSON HS	09 12	No	No	67.3	~	NR
354 STORIES CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
356 SOUTH ELEM	OK 05	No	No	73.6	~	NR
360 SOUTHERN MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.7	~	Dst Exp
368 WOODLAND ELEM	OK 05	No	No	91.0	~	NR
73A BETHEL HILL CHTR						
000 BETHEL HILL CHTR	OK 06	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Dst Hgh
740 PITT COUNTY						
302 A G COX MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
304 AYDEN ELEM	OK 04	No	No	76.1	~	NR
308 AYDEN MID	05 08	Yes	No	70.4	~	Pro Exp
309 AYDEN-GRIFTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	65.8	~	Pro Exp
310 BELVOIR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.1	~	Pro Hgh
320 BETHEL ELEM	PK 08	No	No	73.6	~	NR
324 C M EPPES MID	06 08	No	No	75.8	~	NR
332 CHICOD ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	93.0	~	Exc Exp
333 D H CONLEY HS	09 12	No	No	73.1	~	NR
334 FALKLAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.4	~	Pro Hgh
336 EASTERN ELEM	OK 05	No	No	90.7	~	NR
337 E B AYCOCK MID	06 08	Yes	No	90.6	~	Exc Exp
338 ELMHURST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	92.3	~	Exc Exp
340 FARMVILLE MID	06 08	No	No	77.9	~	NR
344 FARMVILLE CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.5	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
740 PITT COUNTY						
352 GRIFTON ELEM	OK 08	No	No	79.6	~	NR
358 G R WHITFIELD ELEM	OK 08	No	No	79.5	~	NR
366 J H ROSE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
374 NORTH PITT HS	09 12	Yes	No	60.6	~	Pro Exp
375 NORTHWEST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	69.0	~	Pro Exp
376 PACTOLUS ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	71.0	~	Pro Exp
380 W H ROBINSON ELEM	OK 05	No	No	81.4	~	NR
382 SADIE SAULTER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.5	~	Pro Exp
386 SAM D BUNDY ELEM	03 05	Yes	Yes	73.0	~	Pro Hgh
360 H B SUGG ELEM	PK 02	Yes	Yes		1	Hgh
390 S GREENVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	70.8	~	Pro Exp
396 STOKES ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Dst Hgh
400 WAHL COATES ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.0	~	Dst Hgh
402 WELLCOME MID	06 08	No	No	70.8	~	NR
404 WINTERGREEN INTER	03 06	Yes	No	80.2	~	Dst Exp
406 WINTERGREEN PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
750 POLK COUNTY						
304 TRYON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	95.8	~	Exc Hgh
306 TRYON MID	06 08	No	No	92.1	~	NR
314 POLK CENTRAL	PK 08	Yes	No	85.5	~	Dst Exp
318 POLK COUNTY HS	09 12	No	No	69.8	~	NR
320 SALUDA ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	94.7	~	Exc Hgh
328 SUNNY VIEW ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
760 RANDOLPH COUNTY						
304 ARCHDALE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
308 BRAXTON CRAVEN MID	06 06	Yes	Yes	86.5	~	Dst Hgh
316 COLERIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	72.5	~	Pro Hgh
318 EASTERN RANDOLPH HS	09 12	Yes	No	63.4	~	Pro Exp
320 FARMER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh
324 FRANKLINVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Dst Hgh
328 GRAYS CHAPEL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.5	~	Dst Exp
329 HOPEWELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Dst Hgh
330 JOHN R LAWRENCE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.1	~	Exc Hgh
331 LEVEL CROSS ELEM	OK 05	No	No	74.2	~	NR
332 LIBERTY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.5	~	Dst Exp
336 NEW MARKET ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	84.3	~	Dst Hgh
338 NE RANDOLPH MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.5	~	Dst Exp
340 RAMSEUR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.3	~	Pro Hgh
344 RANDLEMAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.6	~	Dst Hgh
348 RANDLEMAN HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	58.2	~	Pri Hgh
352 RANDLEMAN MID	06 08	No	No	76.5	~	NR
356 SEAGROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
357 S E RANDOLPH MID	06 08	No	No	79.2	~	NR
358 SOUTHWESTERN HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.9	~	Pro Exp
359 SOUTHMONT ELEM	OK 05	No	No	73.6	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
760 RANDOLPH COUNTY						
360 S W RANDOLPH MID	06 08	No	No	83.2	~	NR
364 TABERNACLE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	80.1	~	Dst Exp
368 TRINDALE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.0	~	Dst Exp
376 TRINITY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	75.6	~	Pro Exp
378 ARCHDALE-TRINITY MID	07 08	No	No	88.3	~	NR
380 TRINITY HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.9	~	Pro Exp
761 ASHEBORO CITY						
304 ASHEBORO HS	09 12	No	No	69.9	~	NR
308 SOUTH ASHEBORO MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
312 BALFOUR ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	76.3	~	Pro Exp
320 CHARLES MCCRARY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	71.1	~	Pro Exp
321 DONNA L LOFLIN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	81.2	~	Dst Exp
328 GUY B TEACHEY ELEM	0K 05	No	No	87.8	~	NR
332 LINDLEY PARK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	79.9	~	Pro Hgh
336 NORTH ASHEBORO MID	06 08	No	No	77.3	~	NR
770 RICHMOND COUNTY						
304 ASHLEY CHAPEL ELEM	04 06	Yes	Yes	66.1	~	Pro Hgh
312 CORDOVA ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	82.7	~	Dst Hgh
316 ELLERBE JR HS	06 09	No	No	69.4	~	NR
318 FAIRVIEW HEIGHTS ELEM	0K 03	Yes	Yes	80.3	~	Dst Hgh MI
328 HAMLET JUNIOR HS	07 09	Yes	No	75.2	~	Pro Exp
332 HOFFMAN ELEM	0K 06	Yes	No	67.2	~	Pro Exp
340 L J BELL ELEM	0K 03	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Pro Hgh
344 MINERAL SPRINGS ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	62.7	~	Pro Hgh
346 MONROE AVENUE ELEM	04 06	Yes	No	69.3	~	Pro Exp
347 RICHMOND PRI	PK 03	Yes	Yes	82.4	~	Dst Hgh
348 RICHMOND SENIOR HS	10 12	Yes	No	57.4	3	Pri Exp
360 ROCKINGHAM JUNIOR HS	07 09	No	No	74.8	~	NR
364 ROHANEN JUNIOR HS	07 09	No	No	72.6	~	NR
366 ROHANEN PRI	PK 03	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
368 WEST ROCKINGHAM ELEM	0K 06	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Pro Hgh
370 WASHINGTON STREET	04 06	Yes	No	74.8	~	Pro Exp
780 ROBESON COUNTY						
320 DEEP BRANCH ELEM	PK 06	Yes	No	74.4	~	Pro Exp
322 EAST ROBESON PRI	PK 03	Yes	Yes	73.5	~	Pro Hgh
324 FAIRGROVE ELEM	04 08	No	No	70.3	~	NR
325 FAIRMONT HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	62.9	~	Pro Hgh MI
326 FAIRMONT MID	05 08	No	No	61.1	~	NR
328 GREEN GROVE ELEM	PK 03	Yes	Yes	68.2	~	Pro Hgh
329 JANIE HARGRAVE ELEM	PK 04	Yes	No	68.5	~	Pro Exp
330 L G CARROLL MID	05 06	No	No	72.8	~	NR
336 LITTLEFIELD MID	04 08	No	No	69.4	~	NR
340 LONG BRANCH ELEM	PK 04	No	No	64.4	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
780 ROBESON COUNTY						
341 LUMBERTON JUNIOR HS	07 08	No	No	68.7	~	NR
342 LUMBERTON SENIOR HS	09 12	Yes	No	58.6	~	Pri Exp
344 MAGNOLIA ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	64.2	~	Pro Exp
352 ORRUM MID	05 08	Yes	No	71.1	~	Pro Exp
356 OXENDINE ELEM	PK 06	No	No	58.3	~	Pri
360 PARKTON ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	75.8	~	Pro Exp
364 PEMBROKE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	77.9	~	NR
368 PEMBROKE MID	06 08	No	No	72.1	~	NR
374 PETERSON ELEM	0K 04	No	No	66.1	~	NR
376 PINEY GROVE ELEM	PK 06	No	No	72.8	~	NR
384 PROSPECT ELEM	PK 08	No	No	71.7	~	NR
390 R B DEAN ELEM	PK 04	No	No	56.4	~	Pri
391 RED SPRINGS HS	09 12	Yes	No	41.4	~	Pri Exp
392 REX-RENNERT ELEM	PK 06	No	No	57.1	~	Pri
393 RED SPRINGS MID	05 08	No	No	64.0	~	NR
394 ROSENWALD ELEM	PK 04	Yes	Yes	68.3	~	Pro Hgh
396 ROWLAND MID	06 08	No	No	74.9	~	NR
398 ROWLAND NORMENT ELEM	0K 04	No	No	76.9	~	NR
400 SAINT PAULS ELEM	PK 05	No	No	70.6	~	NR
401 SAINT PAULS HS	09 12	Yes	No	46.6	~	Pri Exp
402 SOUTH ROBESON HS	09 12	Yes	No	47.3	~	Pri Exp
403 SAINT PAULS MID	06 08	No	No	66.2	~	NR
407 TANGLEWOOD ELEM	0K 04	Yes	No	88.4	~	Dst Exp
408 SOUTHSIDE/ASHPOLE ELEM	0K 05	No	No	64.7	~	NR
410 TOWNSEND MID	05 08	No	No	57.3	~	Pri
412 UNION CHAPEL ELEM	PK 06	No	No	76.4	~	NR
416 UNION ELEM	PK 06	No	No	67.3	~	NR
417 W H KNUCKLES MONT	PK 04	No	No	50.7	~	Pri
418 WEST LUMBERTON ELEM	PK 04	No	No	84.0	~	NR
420 PURNELL SWETT HS	09 12	No	No	54.5	~	Pri
78A CIS ACAD						
000 CIS ACAD	06 08	Yes	No	50.7	~	Pri Exp
790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY						
302 BETHANY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Dst Hgh
310 CENTRAL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	87.3	~	Dst Exp
314 DALTON MCMICHAEL HS	09 12	Yes	No	67.2	~	Pro Exp
318 DOUGLASS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	78.3	~	Pro Exp
322 DRAPER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	69.3	~	NR
330 J E HOLMES MID	06 08	No	No	77.5	~	NR
338 LAWSONVILLE AVE ELEM	PK 03	Yes	No	71.1	~	Pro Exp
344 LEAKSVILLE-SPRAY ELEM	PK 05	No	No	67.6	~	NR
347 LINCOLN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	75.1	~	Pro Exp
350 MONROETON ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	88.3	~	Dst Exp
354 JOHN M MOREHEAD HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	69.9	~	Pro Hgh
358 MOSS STREET ELEM	0K 05	No	No	64.4	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY						
362 NEW VISION INTER	03 05	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
334 JOHN W DILLARD PRI	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
366 REIDSVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	54.5	~	Pri Exp
370 REIDSVILLE INTER	04 05	No	No	69.6	~	NR
374 REIDSVILLE MID	06 08	No	No	70.9	~	NR
378 ROCKINGHAM CO HS	09 12	Yes	No	71.9	~	Pro Exp
380 ROCKINGHAM CO MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
386 SOUTH END ELEM	PK 03	No	No	80.7	~	NR
390 STONEVILLE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	79.0	~	NR
394 WEST ROCKINGHAM MID	06 08	Yes	No	81.9	~	Dst Exp
398 WENTWORTH ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	81.2	~	Dst Exp
402 WILLIAMSBURG ELEM	PK 03	Yes	No	79.8	~	Pro Exp
79A BETHANY CMTY MID						
000 BETHANY CMTY MID	06 08	No	No	81.8	~	NR
800 ROWAN-SALISBURY						
312 BOSTIAN ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
314 CHARLES ERWIN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	88.8	~	Dst Hgh
315 CARROLL T OVERTON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	79.3	~	Pro Exp
316 CHINA GROVE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	79.1	~	Pro Exp
320 CHINA GROVE MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
324 CLEVELAND ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.9	~	Dst Exp
328 CORRIHER LIPE MID	06 08	No	No	76.4	~	NR
340 EAST ROWAN HS	09 12	No	No	71.8	~	NR
348 ENOCHVILLE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	91.8	~	Exc Exp
352 FAITH ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	90.2	~	Exc Exp
356 GRANITE QUARRY ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	75.7	~	Pro Exp
358 H D ISENBERG ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	80.6	~	Dst Hgh
359 E HANFORD DOLE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	61.5	~	NR
360 HURLEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	76.6	~	Pro Exp
362 KNOLLWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	82.1	~	Dst Exp
363 KNOX MID	06 08	No	No	70.5	~	NR
364 LANDIS ELEM	PK 05	No	No	77.2	~	NR
368 MORGAN ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.4	~	Dst Exp
372 MOUNT ULLA ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	83.3	~	Dst Exp
373 NORTH ROWAN ELEM	PK 05	No	No	64.5	~	NR
376 NORTH ROWAN HS	09 12	Yes	No	57.4	~	Pri Exp
377 NORTH ROWAN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	72.6	~	Pro Hgh
392 ROCKWELL ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.2	~	Dst Hgh
396 SALISBURY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Pro Hgh
398 SOUTHEAST MID	06 08	No	No	84.6	~	NR
400 SOUTH ROWAN HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.7	~	Pro Exp
408 WEST ROWAN HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.5	~	Pro Exp
410 WEST ROWAN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	84.7	~	Dst Hgh
412 WOODLEAF ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Dst Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
80A ROWAN ACAD						
000 ROWAN ACAD	OK 05	Yes	Yes	64.1	~	Pro Hgh
810 RUTHERFORD COUNTY						
324 CHASE HS	09 12	No	No	67.8	~	NR
326 CHASE MID	06 08	No	No	81.4	~	NR
328 CLIFFSIDE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	85.5	~	Dst Hgh
336 PAUL L DUNBAR SCH	03 05	Yes	No	73.3	~	Pro Exp
348 FOREST CITY ELEM	OK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
340 EAST RUTHERFORD HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.2	~	Pro Exp
342 EAST RUTHERFORD MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp
344 ELLENBORO ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.8	~	Dst Hgh
350 FORREST W HUNT ELEM	OK 05	No	No	83.2	~	NR
360 HARRIS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.1	~	Dst Exp
364 MT VERNON-RUTH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
370 PINNACLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
378 R S MID	06 08	No	No	84.0	~	NR
384 R S CENTRAL	09 12	Yes	No	72.5	~	Pro Exp
388 RUTHERFORDTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.2	~	Exc Hgh MI
396 SPINDALE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.8	~	Dst Exp
400 SUNSHINE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
81A THOMAS JEFFERSON ACAD						
000 THOMAS JEFFERSON ACAD	06 11	No	No	86.8	~	NR
820 SAMPSON COUNTY						
312 CHARLES E PERRY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	70.3	~	Pro Hgh
320 CLEMENT ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
340 HARGROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
346 HOBBDON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.8	~	Dst Hgh
347 HOBBDON MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.7	~	Dst Exp
348 HOBBDON HS	09 12	No	No	62.1	~	NR
349 LAKEWOOD HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	68.5	~	Pro Hgh MI
350 MIDWAY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.6	~	Pro Hgh
352 MIDWAY HS	09 12	No	No	61.3	~	NR
354 MIDWAY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	81.9	~	Dst Hgh
364 PLAIN VIEW ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	77.4	~	Pro Exp
370 UNION MID	06 08	Yes	No	79.1	~	Pro Exp
372 ROSEBORO-SALEMBURG	06 08	No	No	68.8	~	NR
376 SALEMBURG ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	78.9	~	Pro Hgh
384 UNION ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	83.6	~	Dst Hgh
388 UNION HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	63.6	~	Pro Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
821 CLINTON CITY						
304 BUTLER AVENUE ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	80.8	~	Dst Exp
316 LANGDON C KERR ELEM	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
308 CLINTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	69.5	~	Pro Exp
320 SAMPSON MID	06 08	Yes	No	77.3	~	Pro Exp
830 SCOTLAND COUNTY						
304 CARVER MID	06 08	Yes	No	75.7	~	Pro Exp
312 COVINGTON STREET ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	73.0	~	Pro Exp
320 I E JOHNSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.6	~	Pro Hgh
328 LAUREL HILL ELEM	PK 05	No	No	75.3	~	NR
336 N LAURINBURG ELEM	PK 05	No	No	57.0	~	Pri
344 PATE GARDNER ELEM	PK 05	No	No	63.4	~	NR
346 SCOTLAND HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	71.1	~	Pro Hgh
347 SHAW ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	67.9	~	Pro Exp
349 SPRING HILL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.7	~	Dst Hgh
350 SYCAMORE LANE MID	06 08	No	No	80.1	~	NR
356 SOUTH SCOTLAND ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	87.3	~	Dst Hgh
360 WAGRAM PRI	PK 03	No	No	65.1	~	NR
364 WASHINGTON PARK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	91.4	~	Exc Hgh
83A LAURINBURG CHTR						
000 LAURINBURG CHTR	09 12	No	No	23.5	~	LP
83B LAURINBURG HOMEWORK						
000 LAURINBURG HOMEWORK	08 11	No	No	37.5	~	LP
840 STANLY COUNTY						
302 ALBEMARLE HS	09 12	Yes	No	67.4	~	Pro Exp
303 ALBEMARLE MID	06 08	No	No	79.1	~	NR
304 AQUADALE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.8	~	Dst Hgh
308 BADIN ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Dst Hgh
310 CENTRAL ELEM	OK 05	No	No	64.5	~	NR
312 ENDY ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	93.5	~	Exc Hgh
316 EAST ALBEMARLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	68.6	~	Pro Exp
320 LOCUST ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
324 MILLINGPORT ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	84.9	~	Dst Exp
328 NEW LONDON ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
330 NORTH ALBEMARLE ELEM	PK 05	No	No	73.2	~	NR
332 NORTH STANLY HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.4	~	Pro Exp
336 NORWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	77.2	~	Pro Hgh
340 OAKBORO ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
344 RICHFIELD ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	84.0	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
840 STANLY COUNTY						
348 RIDGECREST ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
356 SOUTH STANLY HS	09 12	No	No	63.6	~	NR
358 SOUTH STANLY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
360 STANFIELD ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	86.4	~	Dst Hgh
368 WEST STANLY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	83.5	~	Dst Hgh MI
84A STANLY CMTY OUTREACH						
000 STANLY CMTY OUTREACH	OK 04	No	No	25.0	~	LP
850 STOKES COUNTY						
304 CHESTNUT GROVE MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
308 FRANCISCO ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	79.8	~	Pro Exp
312 GERMANTON ELEM	PK 05	No	No	84.7	~	NR
314 MOUNT OLIVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.3	~	Dst Exp
316 KING ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	89.2	~	Dst Exp
320 LAWSONVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
328 NANCY REYNOLDS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.6	~	Dst Exp
332 NORTH STOKES HS	09 12	Yes	No	66.1	~	Pro Exp
336 PINE HALL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	85.9	~	Dst Exp
338 PINEY GROVE MID	06 08	No	No	79.5	~	NR
340 PINNACLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
348 SANDY RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	82.2	~	Dst Exp
350 SE STOKES MID	06 08	No	No	79.8	~	NR
352 SOUTH STOKES HS	09 12	Yes	No	58.6	~	Pri Exp
354 LONDON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	77.1	~	Pro Exp
356 WALNUT COVE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	72.2	~	Pro Exp
358 WEST STOKES HS	09 12	Yes	No	74.0	~	Pro Exp
860 SURRY COUNTY						
302 CEDAR RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	80.0	~	Dst Hgh
306 CENTRAL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
308 COPELAND ELEM	OK 05	No	No	78.4	~	NR
312 DOBSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.1	~	Dst Exp
316 EAST SURRY HS	09 12	No	No	76.7	~	NR
320 FLAT ROCK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	81.5	~	Dst Exp
324 FRANKLIN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	83.9	~	Dst Hgh
326 J SAM GENTRY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
330 MEADOWVIEW MID	06 08	Yes	No	82.1	~	Dst Exp
332 MOUNTAIN PARK ELEM	OK 05	No	No	78.4	~	NR
336 NORTH SURRY HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.6	~	Pro Exp
340 PILOT MOUNTAIN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	93.0	~	Exc Hgh
348 SHOALS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.9	~	Dst Hgh
352 SURRY CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	82.1	~	Dst Exp
356 WESTFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh MI
360 WHITE PLAINS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.7	~	Dst Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

**The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
861 ELKIN CITY						
304 ELKIN ELEM	PK 06	Yes	Yes	87.5	~	Dst Hgh
308 ELKIN HS	07 12	Yes	No	81.3	~	Dst Exp
862 MOUNT AIRY						
310 JONES ELEM	03 05	Yes	No	83.2	~	Dst Exp
308 B THARRINGTON ELEM	PK 02	Yes	No		1	Exp
312 MOUNT AIRY HS	09 12	No	No	75.0	~	NR
316 MOUNT AIRY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
86A MILLENNIUM CHTR						
000 MILLENNIUM CHTR	OK 05	No	No	82.5	~	NR
870 SWAIN COUNTY						
314 SWAIN COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
318 SWAIN COUNTY MID	06 08	No	No	82.7	~	NR
320 SWAIN CO EAST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	79.4	~	Pro Exp
324 SWAIN CO WEST ELEM	PK 05	No	No	84.4	~	NR
880 TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY						
304 BREVARD ELEM	OK 05	No	No	81.7	~	NR
308 BREVARD HS	09 12	Yes	No	88.9	~	Dst Exp
312 BREVARD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exc Hgh
318 PISGAH FOREST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.6	~	Exc Hgh
324 ROSMAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.8	~	Dst Exp
328 ROSMAN HS	09 12	Yes	No	88.2	~	Dst Exp
330 ROSMAN MID	06 08	Yes	No	94.9	~	Exc Exp
336 T C HENDERSON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.9	~	Dst Exp
88A BREVARD ACAD						
000 BREVARD ACAD	OK 08	No	No	82.3	~	NR
890 TYRRELL COUNTY						
304 COLUMBIA HS	06 12	No	No	64.8	~	NR
308 TYRRELL ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	86.1	~	Dst Exp
900 UNION COUNTY						
304 BENTON HEIGHTS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	84.4	~	Dst Hgh
306 EAST ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	59.2	~	Pri Exp
308 EAST UNION MID	06 08	Yes	No	74.3	~	Pro Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
900 UNION COUNTY						
312 FAIRVIEW ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.9	~	Dst Hgh
314 MONROE MID	06 08	No	No	68.6	~	NR
316 FOREST HILLS HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	69.1	~	Pro Hgh
318 HEMBY BRIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.9	~	Exc Hgh
320 INDIAN TRAIL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.3	~	Dst Hgh
332 MARSHVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	75.4	~	Pro Hgh
336 MONROE HS	09 12	Yes	No	60.4	~	Pro Exp
340 NEW SALEM ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.0	~	Exc Hgh
344 PARKWOOD HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.5	~	Pro Exp
346 PARKWOOD MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.7	~	Dst Exp
348 PIEDMONT HS	09 12	Yes	No	81.0	~	Dst Exp
350 PIEDMONT MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	92.2	~	Exc Hgh
352 PROSPECT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	80.9	~	Dst Hgh
354 SARDIS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
356 SHILOH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	96.7	~	Exc Hgh
360 SUN VALLEY HS	09 12	Yes	No	73.4	~	Pro Exp
362 SUN VALLEY MID	06 08	No	No	84.1	~	NR
364 UNION ELEM	OK 05	No	No	77.4	~	NR
368 UNIONVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
370 WALTER BICKETT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	55.0	~	Pri Hgh
372 WAXHAW ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	82.6	~	Dst Exp
376 WEDDINGTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	96.9	~	Exc Hgh
377 WEDDINGTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	88.2	~	Dst Exp
378 WEDDINGTON MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	96.4	~	Exc Hgh
380 WESLEY CHAPEL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.5	~	Exc Hgh
384 WESTERN UNION ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	84.0	~	Dst Hgh
388 WINGATE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.3	~	Dst Hgh
90A UNION ACAD						
000 UNION ACAD	OK 05	Yes	No	87.0	~	Dst Exp
910 VANCE COUNTY						
304 AYCOCK ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	72.4	~	Pro Hgh
308 CARVER ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	73.3	~	Pro Exp
312 CLARK STREET ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	68.8	~	Pro Exp
314 DABNEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	76.1	~	Pro Hgh
316 EATON-JOHNSON MID	06 08	No	No	63.5	~	NR
320 HENDERSON MID	06 08	No	No	57.8	~	Pri
340 NEW HOPE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	70.5	~	Pro Hgh
346 E O YOUNG JR ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	75.3	~	Pro Hgh
356 PINKSTON STREET ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	68.2	~	Pro Hgh
360 E M ROLLINS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	69.7	~	Pro Exp
364 SOUTHERN VANCE HS	09 12	Yes	No	51.8	~	Pri Exp
370 NORTHERN VANCE HS	09 12	No	No	46.7	~	LP
376 L B YANCEY ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	73.7	~	Pro Hgh
380 ZEB VANCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.9	~	Dst Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
91A VANCE CHARTER SCH						
000 VANCE CHARTER SCH	OK 08	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
920 WAKE COUNTY						
304 ADAMS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	93.5	~	Exc Exp
308 APEX ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	96.7	~	Exc Hgh
312 APEX MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.8	~	Exc Hgh
316 APEX HS	09 12	Yes	No	85.5	~	Dst Exp
318 ATHENS DRIVE HS	09 12	Yes	No	80.9	~	Dst Exp
320 AVERSBORO ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Dst Hgh
326 BAILEYWICK RD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.0	~	Exc Hgh
328 BAUCOM ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.8	~	Exc Hgh
334 BRASSFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.5	~	Exc Hgh
336 BRENTWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.6	~	Pro Exp
340 BRIARCLIFF ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.9	~	Exc Exp
344 BROOKS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.6	~	Dst Exp
348 NEEDHAM BROUGHTON HS	09 12	Yes	No	78.7	~	Pro Exp
352 BUGG ELEM	OK 05	No	No	83.2	~	NR
356 CARNAGE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	83.2	~	Dst Hgh
360 CARROLL MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.3	~	Dst Hgh
362 CARVER ELEM	OK 05	No	No	75.4	~	NR
364 CARY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Dst Hgh MI
368 CARY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	85.1	~	Dst Hgh
370 CENTENNIAL CAMPUS	06 08	No	No	84.4	~	NR
376 COMBS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	93.8	~	Exc Exp
380 CONN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	80.7	~	Dst Exp
384 CREECH ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.2	~	Pro Hgh
388 DANIELS MID	06 08	Yes	No	88.5	~	Dst Exp
390 DAVIS DRIVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	98.4	~	Exc Hgh
391 DAVIS DRIVE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
393 DILLARD DRIVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.2	~	Exc Exp
394 DILLARD DRIVE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exc Hgh
396 DOUGLAS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Dst Hgh
398 DURANT ROAD ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	93.0	~	Exc Exp
399 DURANT ROAD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
400 EAST CARY MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
404 EAST GARNER MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.6	~	Pro Hgh
408 E MILLBROOK MID	06 08	Yes	No	83.5	~	Dst Exp
410 EAST WAKE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Pro Hgh
411 EAST WAKE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	73.9	~	Pro Hgh
412 WILLIAM G ENLOE HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.1	~	Pro Exp
414 FARMINGTON WOODS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.7	~	Dst Hgh
415 FOX ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Dst Hgh
416 FULLER ELEM	OK 05	No	No	88.0	~	NR
420 FUQUAY-VARINA ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
424 FUQUAY-VARINA MID	06 08	No	No	83.8	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
 Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
 EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
 Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
 9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth		Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
		Expected	High			
920 WAKE COUNTY						
428 FUQUAY-VARINA HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.8	~	Pro Exp
436 GARNER HS	09 12	Yes	No	70.1	~	Pro Exp
439 GREEN HOPE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	99.0	~	Exc Hgh
440 GREEN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.3	~	Exc Hgh
441 GREEN HOPE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Dst Hgh
442 HILBURN DRIVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
446 HODGE ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.7	~	Dst Hgh
447 HOLLY SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.5	~	Exc Exp
448 HUNTER ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	84.1	~	Dst Exp
452 JEFFREYS GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.5	~	Exc Hgh
454 JONES DAIRY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	94.1	~	Exc Exp
456 JOYNER ELEM	OK 05	No	No	79.0	~	NR
460 KINGSWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
464 KNIGHTDALE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	76.1	~	NR
468 LACY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
469 LEESVILLE ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
470 LEAD MINE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.2	~	Exc Hgh
471 LEESVILLE ROAD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.9	~	Exc Hgh
472 LIGON MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	92.8	~	Exc Hgh
473 LEESVILLE ROAD HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	87.4	~	Dst Hgh
476 LINCOLN HEIGHTS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	90.1	~	Exc Exp
480 LOCKHART ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exc Hgh
484 LUFKIN ROAD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	96.9	~	Exc Hgh
488 LYNN ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	82.1	~	Dst Hgh
492 MARTIN MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	88.0	~	Dst Hgh
494 MIDDLE CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.0	~	Exc Hgh
496 MILLBROOK ELEM	PK 05	No	No	76.4	~	NR
500 MILLBROOK HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Pro Hgh
504 MORRISVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	96.4	~	Exc Exp
512 NORTH GARNER MID	06 08	Yes	No	78.4	~	Pro Exp
516 NORTH RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.2	~	Exc Hgh
520 NORTHWOODS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	94.0	~	Exc Exp
522 OAK GROVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	97.5	~	Exc Hgh
523 OLIVE CHAPEL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.7	~	Exc Hgh
524 OLDS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
525 PARTNERSHIP ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
530 PENNY ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	87.8	~	Dst Hgh
531 PLEASANT UNION ELEM	OK 05	No	No	93.6	~	NR
532 POE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	90.7	~	NR
536 POWELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
540 RAND ROAD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	86.1	~	Dst Hgh
542 REEDY CREEK ELEM	OK 05	No	No	82.8	~	NR
544 ROLESVILLE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	80.4	~	Dst Exp
548 ROOT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	93.2	~	Exc Exp
550 SALEM ELEM	OK 05	No	No	93.4	~	NR
552 SANDERSON HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.2	~	Pro Exp
560 SMITH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	80.9	~	Dst Hgh
562 SOUTHEAST RALEIGH HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.0	~	Pro Exp
564 STOUGH ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	94.3	~	Exc Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress;
Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition;
EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
920 WAKE COUNTY						
568 SWIFT CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.7	~	Exc Hgh
570 TIMBER DRIVE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.0	~	Exc Hgh
572 UNDERWOOD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	78.6	~	Pro Exp
576 VANCE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
580 VANDORA SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	87.3	~	Dst Exp
584 WAKE FOREST ELEM	OK 05	No	No	85.6	~	NR
588 WAKE F-ROLESVILLE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	80.5	~	Dst Hgh
592 WAKE F-ROLESVILLE MID	06 08	Yes	No	84.7	~	Dst Exp
593 WAKEFIELD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	92.6	~	Exc Hgh
594 WAKEFIELD MID	06 08	Yes	No	91.7	~	Exc Exp
595 WAKEFIELD HS	08 12	Yes	Yes	84.9	~	Dst Hgh
596 WASHINGTON ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
598 WEATHERSTONE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.1	~	Exc Hgh
600 WENDELL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.5	~	Exc Hgh
604 WEST CARY MID	06 08	Yes	No	92.2	~	Exc Exp
606 WEST LAKE ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	91.9	~	Exc Exp
607 WEST LAKE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	95.0	~	Exc Hgh
608 WEST MILLBROOK MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	91.7	~	Exc Hgh
616 WILBURN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh
618 WILDWOOD FOREST ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	88.1	~	Dst Hgh
620 WILEY ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	90.8	~	Exc Hgh
624 WILLOW SPRINGS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	91.0	~	Exc Hgh
626 YATES MILL ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	86.9	~	Dst Exp
628 YORK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.3	~	Dst Hgh
632 ZEBULON ELEM	OK 05	No	No	84.6	~	NR
636 ZEBULON MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.0	~	Dst Exp
92B EXPLORIS						
000 EXPLORIS	06 08	Yes	Yes	98.4	~	Exc Hgh
92C BAKER CHARTER HS						
000 BAKER CHARTER HS	09 12	No	No	19.0	~	LP
92D MAGELLAN CHTR						
000 MAGELLAN CHTR	04 08	Yes	Yes	99.2	~	Exc Hgh
92E STERLING MONTESSORI						
000 STERLING MONTESSORI	OK 08	No	No	80.0	~	NR
92F FRANKLIN ACAD						
000 FRANKLIN ACAD	OK 08	No	No	91.2	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
92G EAST WAKE ACAD						
000 EAST WAKE ACAD	OK 10	No	No	81.8	~	NR
92I SPARC ACAD						
000 SPARC ACAD	OK 08	No	No	52.8	~	Pri
92K RALEIGH CHARTER HS						
000 RALEIGH CHARTER HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	92.9	~	Exc Hgh
92L NE RALEIGH CHART ACAD						
000 NE RALEIGH CHART ACAD	OK 07	No	No	48.8	*	Pri
92M PREEMINENT CHTR						
000 PREEMINENT CHTR	OK 05	No	No	47.4	*	Pri
92N QUEST ACAD						
000 QUEST ACAD	OK 08	Yes	Yes	99.5	~	Exc Hgh MI
92P COMMUNITY PARTNERS						
000 COMMUNITY PARTNERS	09 12	Yes	No	53.5	~	Pri Exp
92Q HOPE ELEM						
000 HOPE ELEM	OK 04	No	No	50.0	~	Pri
930 WARREN COUNTY						
330 MARIAM BOYD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	69.8	~	Pro Exp
340 NORTHSIDE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	64.2	~	NR
344 SOUTH WARREN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	61.7	~	Pro Exp
348 VAUGHAN ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	83.8	~	Dst Exp
352 WARREN COUNTY HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	48.9	~	Pri Hgh
354 WARREN COUNTY MID	06 08	No	No	66.2	~	NR
93A HALIWA-SAPONI TRIBAL						
000 HALIWA-SAPONI TRIBAL	OK 06	No	No	59.6	~	Pri
940 WASHINGTON COUNTY						
306 CRESWELL ELEM	PK 06	No	No	61.0	~	NR

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
940 WASHINGTON COUNTY						
308 CRESWELL HS	07 12	Yes	No	61.8	~	Pro Exp
314 PINES ELEM	PK 04	No	No	57.1	~	Pri
316 PLYMOUTH HS	09 12	Yes	No	39.7	~	Pri Exp
328 WASHINGTON CO UNION	05 08	No	No	60.3	~	NR
950 WATAUGA COUNTY						
308 BETHEL ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	90.1	~	Exc Hgh
312 BLOWING ROCK ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	97.5	~	Exc Hgh
316 COVE CREEK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	96.2	~	Exc Hgh
320 GREEN VALLEY ELEM	0K 08	Yes	No	88.8	~	Dst Exp
322 HARDIN PARK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	92.8	~	Exc Hgh
324 MABEL ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	88.1	~	Dst Hgh
328 PARKWAY ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	94.6	~	Exc Hgh
332 VALLE CRUCIS ELEM	0K 08	Yes	Yes	95.5	~	Exc Hgh
336 WATAUGA HS	09 12	No	No	79.9	~	NR
960 WAYNE COUNTY						
312 BROGDEN MID	05 08	Yes	No	69.9	~	Pro Exp
314 BROGDEN PRI	0K 04	No	No	74.4	~	NR
316 CARVER ELEM	0K 05	No	No	70.9	~	NR
318 CARVER HEIGHTS	0K 05	Yes	No	64.1	~	Pro Exp
324 CHARLES B AYCOCK HS	09 12	Yes	No	67.5	~	Pro Exp
326 DILLARD MID	06 08	No	No	58.7	~	Pri
329 EASTERN WAYNE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
330 EASTERN WAYNE HS	09 12	Yes	No	79.1	~	Pro Exp
331 EASTERN WAYNE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
334 FREMONT ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	67.3	~	Pro Hgh
335 GOLDSBORO HS	09 12	Yes	No	45.1	~	Pri Exp
336 GRANTHAM	0K 08	Yes	No	85.2	~	Dst Exp
337 GOLDSBORO MID	06 08	No	No	62.3	~	NR
340 GREENWOOD MID	05 08	Yes	Yes	87.1	~	Dst Hgh
344 MEADOW LANE ELEM	0K 04	Yes	Yes	88.4	~	Dst Hgh
348 MOUNT OLIVE MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	76.8	~	Pro Hgh
360 NORWAYNE MID	06 08	No	No	83.2	~	NR
370 ROSEWOOD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	87.3	~	Dst Exp
372 ROSEWOOD HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	71.8	~	Pro Hgh
374 ROSEWOOD MID	06 08	No	No	83.9	~	NR
376 SCHOOL STREET ELEM	0K 05	Yes	No	76.4	~	Pro Exp
380 SOUTHERN WAYNE HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	71.0	~	Pro Hgh
382 SPRING CREEK ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh
386 SPRING CREEK HS	06 11	Yes	No	72.3	~	Pro Exp
388 TOMMYS ROAD ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	86.6	~	Dst Hgh
390 NORTH DRIVE ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	70.2	~	Pro Hgh
450 NORTHEAST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	87.6	~	Dst Hgh
454 NORTHWEST ELEM	0K 05	Yes	Yes	94.0	~	Exc Hgh

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	Growth High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
96C DILLARD ACAD						
000 DILLARD ACAD	OK 03	Yes	Yes	77.3	~	Pro Hgh MI
96D WAYNE TECH ACAD						
000 WAYNE TECH ACAD	09 12	Yes	No	16.4	~	Pri Exp
970 WILKES COUNTY						
308 BOOMER-FERGUSON ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	83.1	~	Dst Exp
310 C B ELLER ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	82.5	~	Dst Exp
312 C C WRIGHT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	79.5	~	Pro Hgh
315 J F WOODWARD MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	85.6	~	Dst Hgh
320 EAST WILKES HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
324 FAIRPLAINS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	No	69.0	~	Pro Exp
336 MILLERS CREEK INTER	04 08	No	No	85.5	~	NR
338 MILLERS CREEK PRI	OK 03	No	No	85.8	~	NR
340 MORAVIAN FALLS ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	86.3	~	Dst Hgh
344 MT PLEASANT ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	85.8	~	Dst Hgh
348 MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	86.0	~	Dst Hgh
352 MULBERRY ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	83.1	~	Dst Exp
356 NORTH WILKES HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.2	~	Pro Exp
360 N WILKESBORO ELEM	PK 05	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
368 ROARING RIVER ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	82.2	~	Dst Hgh
370 RONDA-CLINGMAN ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	89.6	~	Dst Hgh
376 TRAPHILL ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	89.4	~	Dst Hgh
380 UNION ELEMENTARY/MID	PK 08	Yes	Yes	88.5	~	Dst Hgh
388 WEST WILKES HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	79.8	~	Pro Hgh
390 WILKES CENTRAL HS	09 12	Yes	No	76.6	~	Pro Exp
392 WILKESBORO ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.3	~	Exc Hgh
97D BRIDGES CHARTER SCH						
000 BRIDGES CHARTER SCH	OK 08	Yes	No	69.2	~	Pro Exp
980 WILSON COUNTY						
308 B O BARNES ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	79.8	~	Pro Exp
317 DARDEN-VICK MID	06 08	No	No	72.3	~	NR
318 BEDDINGFIELD HS	09 12	No	No	56.0	~	Pri
320 ELM CITY ELEM	OK 05	No	No	87.5	~	NR
324 ELM CITY MID	06 08	Yes	No	87.5	~	Dst Exp
336 FIKE HS	09 12	No	No	62.3	~	NR
338 FOREST HILLS MID	06 08	Yes	No	85.6	~	Dst Exp
340 GARDNERS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	93.9	~	Exc Hgh
342 JAMES HUNT HS	09 12	No	No	70.4	~	NR
348 LEE WOODARD ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	88.4	~	Dst Exp

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

The ABCs of Public Education
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Growth and Performance of Schools

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Growth Expected	High	Performance Composite	Special Conditions	Status
980 WILSON COUNTY						
352 LUCAMA ELEM	OK 05	No	No	94.5	~	NR
356 MARGARET HEARNE ELEM	OK 05	No	No	56.6	~	Pri
357 MILTON M DANIELS ELEM	OK 05	No	No	66.1	~	NR
360 NEW HOPE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	89.8	~	Dst Exp
364 ROCK RIDGE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	95.6	~	Exc Hgh
380 SPEIGHT MID	06 08	No	No	85.3	~	NR
384 SPRINGFIELD MID	06 08	Yes	No	90.1	~	Exc Exp
388 STANTONSBURG ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	91.2	~	Exc Exp
390 TOISNOT MID	06 08	No	No	79.6	~	NR
392 VINSON-BYNUM ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.9	~	Exc Hgh
396 WELLS ELEM	OK 05	Yes	No	92.1	~	Exc Exp
400 WINSTEAD ELEM	OK 05	No	No	80.9	~	NR
98A SALLIE B HOWARD SCH						
000 SALLIE B HOWARD SCH	OK 08	No	No	66.3	~	NR
990 YADKIN COUNTY						
304 BOONVILLE ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	83.4	~	Dst Hgh
308 COURTNEY ELEM	OK 08	Yes	No	82.9	~	Dst Exp
312 EAST BEND ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	78.5	~	Pro Hgh
316 FALL CREEK ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	90.3	~	Exc Hgh
320 FORBUSH ELEM	PK 08	Yes	Yes	93.1	~	Exc Hgh
322 FORBUSH HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	74.3	~	Pro Hgh
324 JONESVILLE ELEM	PK 08	Yes	No	86.9	~	Dst Exp
326 STARMOUNT HS	09 12	Yes	Yes	74.0	~	Pro Hgh
328 WEST YADKIN ELEM	OK 08	No	No	82.7	~	NR
336 YADKINVILLE ELEM	OK 08	Yes	Yes	81.3	~	Dst Hgh
995 YANCEY COUNTY						
304 BALD CREEK ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.8	~	Dst Hgh
308 BEE LOG ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	94.4	~	Exc Hgh
312 BURNSVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	81.8	~	Dst Hgh
316 CANE RIVER MID	06 08	Yes	Yes	88.1	~	Dst Hgh
320 CLEARMONT ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.8	~	Dst Hgh
324 EAST YANCEY MID	06 08	Yes	No	93.0	~	Exc Exp
328 MICAVILLE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	89.8	~	Dst Hgh MI
330 MOUNTAIN HERITAGE HS	09 12	Yes	No	77.7	~	Pro Exp
336 SOUTH TOE ELEM	OK 05	Yes	Yes	85.4	~	Dst Hgh
997 EASTERN NC SCH DEAF						
202 EASTERN NC SCH DEAF	UN GR	No	No	21.5	~	LP
203 GOV. MOREHEAD SCH	OK 08	No	No	56.7	~	Pri
204 NC SCH DEAF MORGANTON	UN GR	No	No	18.2	~	LP

Status: Exp-Expected Growth; Hgh-High Growth; Exc-School of Excellence; Dst-School of Distinction; Pro-School of Progress; Pri-Priority School; MI-25 Most Improved K-8 Schools; 10 Most Improved High Schools; LP-Low-performing; NR-No Recognition; EE-Excessive Exclusions; 98R-Less than 98% tested; 95R-Less than 95% tested
Special Conditions: 1-K-2 Feeder; 2-Senior High (Gr 9-12); 3-Senior High (Gr 10-12);
9-School did not meet data requirements; *Confidence Interval Applied; ~ No Special Conditions

ABCs Results

Category	High Growth	Expected Growth	Less than Expected Growth	K-2 Feeders	Alternative Schools	Total	Percent
School of Excellence	228	72	--	--	--	300	13.7
School of Distinction	335	312	--	--	--	647	29.5
School of Progress	185	336	--	--	--	521	23.7
No Recognition	--	--	452	8	5	465	21.2
Priority School	13	51	68	--	--	132	6.0
Low-Performing	--	--	18	--	1	19	0.9
K-2 Feeder Schools	10	28	--	--	--	38	1.7
Alternative Schools	8	64	--	--	--	72	3.3
Total Schools	779	863	538	8	6	2194	100.0
Percent of Schools	35.5	39.3	24.5	0.4	0.3	100.0	

Note: Percentages do not always total 100 due to rounding.

Overall, 74.8% of the schools made either expected growth or high growth.

***Corrections to The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools***

LEA	School	LEASCH #	Correction
Guilford County	GTCC Middle College High	410401	Status of <i>Expected</i> should be removed; school should be removed from Schools Making Expected Growth section of report.
Vance County	Zeb Vance Elementary	910380	Status of <i>Distinction</i> should be removed; school should be removed from Schools of Distinction section of report; school should be given status of <i>Excellence</i> and added to Schools of Excellence section of report.

*State Board of Education – Division of Accountability Services/ Reporting Section
September 12, 2002*

**Corrections to The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-2002 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools**

LEA	School	LEASCH #	Correction
Avery County	Riverside Elementary	060332	Status of <i>Distinction</i> should be removed; school should be removed from Schools of Distinction section of report; school should be given status of <i>Excellence</i> and added to Schools of Excellence section of report.
Charlotte/ Mecklenburg	John M. Alexander Mid	600305	Status of <i>Most Improved</i> should be removed. School should be removed from 10 Most Improved High Schools section of the report. The grade span should be changed from 6-11 to 6-8.
	Crossroads Charter High	60H000	School should be assigned <i>Low-Performing</i> status. School should be removed from the Schools with Unresolved Data Issues section of the report and placed in the Low-performing section of the report.
Montgomery County	Troy Elementary	620336	School should be assigned <i>Expected Growth</i> status and added to the Schools Making Expected Growth section of the report. The grade span should be changed from K-5 to K-2.

*State Board of Education – Division of Accountability Services/Reporting Section
October 3, 2002*

Results of School Building Appeals

The deadline for filing appeals of ABCs results is Wednesday, October 22, 2002. As of this printing date, two schools had filed appeals. However, closer examination of the appeals request revealed insufficient grounds for review of the schools' growth standards. Both appeals were related to ABCs structure rather than to specific growth standards for the schools. Consequently there will be no appeals of ABCs scores. However, DPI and the Compliance Commission continue to study the issues raised in the appeals.

II. Report on Assistance Teams

Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance 2002 - 2003

Status of Superintendents of School Systems Having More than Half of Their School Identified as Low Performing

The ABCs legislation in G.S. 115 C-105.32 permits the State Board to appoint an interim superintendent in a local school administrative unit when more than half of the schools have been identified as low performing schools. Low-performing schools are those that have not met the minimum growth standards defined by the State Board and a majority of students are performing below grade level.

The results of the ABCs of Public Education for 2001-02 did not show any school systems as having more than half of their schools identified as low performing. Therefore, State Board action was not required.

155C-333. Evaluation of Certified Employees including Certain Superintendents; Action Plans; State Board Notification Upon Dismissal of Employees.

Local Board Evaluation of Certain Superintendents: Each year the local board of education shall evaluate the superintendent employed by the local school administrative unit and report to the State Board the results of that evaluation if during that year the State Board designated as low-performing:

- (1) **One or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than *10 schools*.
- (2) **Two or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than *20 schools*
- (3) **Three or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has more than *20 schools*.

LEA	Criteria From Above	Total Number of Schools	Number of Low-Performing Schools
Hertford County	(1)	5	1
Weldon City	(1)	4	1

Status of Principals of Schools Receiving Mandatory Assistance in 2001 - 2002

The General Assembly revised the ABCs legislation to require local boards and superintendents to take the first actions regarding principals located in low-performing schools. The revision provides four options for superintendents to consider in dealing with principals who are in low-performing schools:

1. Retain in the same position, if principal was in the school two years or less before it was identified as low performing;
2. Retain with a remediation plan;
3. Transfer; or
4. Demote or dismiss according to G.S. 115C-325.

LEA	School	Retained: has less than two years at the school	Retained with remediation plan: has more than two years at the school	Transferred	Demoted or Dismissed	Resigned Or Retired
Halifax	Southeast High School		x			
Weldon City	Weldon High School	x				
Hertford	Hertford County High School	x				
Northampton	Northampton High-West	x				
Vance	Northern Vance High Sch		x			

Composition and Activities of Assistance Teams

Background: For the sixth year (2002-2003) of the assistance teams, members were selected to replace team turnover. We have a total of 67 team members down from 80 members last year. The teacher shortage is causing a decrease in the number of applications received.

Composition: Practicing principals, assistant principals, classroom teachers and central office supervisor on leave from local education agencies (LEAs); and retired educators.

Profile: Average of 22 years of educational experience

8 National Board Certified

75% Advanced Degrees

Race Ethnicity:

09 White Males

08 African-American Males

29 White Females

22 African-American Females

Retention: Annually 15 - 25 members return to their school systems or accept other positions. Team members who return to LEAs are usually placed in leadership roles where they can have a positive impact on student achievement and teacher performance. This year we have a total of 68 team members: Mandated assistance serves five (5) high schools. The remaining 31 members provide voluntary assistance serving high priority elementary schools. All 37 high priority schools were offered assistance.

Major Activities in Low-Performing Schools

Low Performing Schools: Assistance team conduct the following activities in all low-performing schools:

- Conduct entry conferences with superintendents and principal or interim school leader at assigned school.
- Conduct needs assessment to identify school strengths and areas needing improvement.
- Evaluate certified personnel, including principals.
- Formulate recommendations based on results of needs assessment.
- Revise School Improvement Plan, if needed.
- Establish strategies, time lines and persons responsible for implementation.
- Implement the revised School Improvement Plan.
- Monitor and assess progress frequently.
- Prepare monthly progress reports.

Continually Low-Performing Schools (CLPs) - Level I: In addition to the services above, assistance teams provide the additional services to CLPs:

- Collaboratively develop a budget plan for the use of the additional funds allotted to CLPs. Collaborating group includes Assistance Team members, School Improvement team members and central office staff members).
- Monitor the implementation of the budget plan after its approval.
- Meet quarterly with the collaborative group (central office staff, school improvement team, school administrative team and Assistance Team) to trouble shoot, problem solve, and share concerns and successes.
- Make recommendations for the next school year (2003-2004).

Continually Low-Performing Schools (CLPs) – Level II: Schools that are continually low performing for the second year receive additional services and sanctions as described below.

- Maintain strategies adopted by the State Board of Education for Continually Low-Performing Schools- Level I.
- Provide additional strategies for Level II as outlined below:
 - ✓ External Review Committee
 - ✓ Public School Transfer – Letter of notification to parents
 - ✓ Review of district budget with special emphasis on local expenditures
- Make recommendations for the next school year (2003-2004).

**Mandated Assistance for 2002-2003
Assistance Team Assignments
9 – 12 Schools**

LEA	School	Team Leader	Team Reviewers	Division of School Improvement
Halifax	Southeast High School	Brock Ridge	Donyea Daniels Michele Halley Marylin Newkirk Brenda Parsons Betty Jo Rogers Jennifer Smith	Jennie Franklin, Section Chief Debora Sydnor, Liaison
Hertford	Hertford County High School	Sheneel Branch	Jeraldine Brooks Allen Conway Ana Cuomo Melinda Harris Earnestine McNeil Karen Rodman	Gary Miller, Liaison & Math support
Northampton	Northampton High-West School	Doyle Brinson	Shirley Allen Richard Caldwell Lisa Jefferys Kathy Lewis Linda Phillips	Jennie Franklin, Section Chief Carol White, Liaison
Vance	Northern Vance High School	Linda Mabe	Judy Craver Martha McLeod Kim Shropshire Joel Simpson Jane Teague	Charlotte Hughes, Section Chief Susan Skinner, Liaison
Weldon City	Weldon High School	Charles Johnson	Sally Arthur Carolyn Cooper Robert Kepner Joyce Williams	Jennie Franklin, Section Chief Marilyn Palmer, Liaison

Team Activities

September 23 - 27, 2001	Teams conducted entry conferences with superintendents, principals and school staffs.
September 30 - present	Team members are sharing information to build a greater understanding of the ABCs and the specific responsibilities of the team, working with assigned schools to conduct a needs assessment which includes observation of all certified personnel, and continuing to build trust and integrate the staff into their efforts.

Progress Reports and Debriefing

October 2002	Regional meetings scheduled with team members and with collaborative groups to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information.
November-Dec. 2002	Regional meetings scheduled with collaborative groups to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information
December 13, 2002	First full-team sharing session w/ Division of School Improvement
December 5, 2002	Needs Assessment Report submitted to Director of School Improvement
February 2003	Regional meetings scheduled with collaborative groups to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information
March 14, 2003	Second full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division
Mid-May 2003	Regional meetings scheduled with collaborative groups to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information
June 13, 2003	Third full-team sharing session w/ School Improvement Division

Support and Visitations

September 2001-June 2003	Team liaisons visit with team as often as necessary. Team leaders stay in contact with Agency personnel through phone conversations, faxed messages almost daily.
September 2002-June 2003	Section Chiefs will visit the schools located in their assigned regions at least once a month
September 2002 -June 2003	Director will make periodic visits throughout the year.

Summary Remarks

The teams have been received extremely well this year. Team members are focused and task oriented. In their full-team meetings and regional meetings, they will share experiences and concerns with members of the School Improvement Division. They will receive ongoing support and guidance from the School Improvement staff. This is a unique year for the Assistance Teams as only high schools (5) were designated as low-performing and assigned an Assistance Team. K-8 team members will provide assistance to high priority elementary schools. The teams are also entering their assigned schools 1½ months later than usual because of the late release of the ABCs results. Therefore, service time preceding the next testing period is somewhat reduced in high schools having the block schedule. For the first time, the teams are serving a *continually low performing school - Level II*. This group is composed of schools that have received assistance in the past and are low performing three consecutive years out of the last four years or have been low-performing three out of the recent four years.

Performance Record of Schools Assigned State Mandated Assistance Teams

School Year	Exemplary Growth	Expected Growth	No Recognition	Low-Performing	Total Schools Served
1997-98	13	1	1	0	15
1998-99	7	2	0	2	11
1999-00	5	0	0	2	7
2000-01	5	4	3	2	14
2001-02	High growth - 2	7		4	13

During the past five years of service provided by the State Assistance Teams, eleven schools have required more than one year of having a team to overcome low-performing status. The three of the four high schools that remained on the low-performing list did show growth in their performance composite.

Schools Eligible for Voluntary Assistance – 2002 – 2003

Voluntary assistance will be provided to the high priority elementary schools and Title I Schools in school improvement that accepted the services. High priority schools were first identified in 1999-2000 and are schools with 80% or more of their students on free or reduced lunch rates and 55% or less performing on grade level.

High Priority Schools

	LEA	School	Grade Span	Performance Composite 2001-02
1.	Anson	Wadesboro Primary	K-3	45.2
2.	Bertie	Windsor Elementary	K-5	55.7
3.	Cumberland	Pauline Jones Elementary	PK-5	53.5
4.	Cumberland	Teresa Berrien Elementary	PK-5	55.2
5.	Forsyth	Cook Elementary	PK-5	44.7
6.	Forsyth	Forest Park Elementary	PK-5	54.9
7.	Guilford	Fairview Elementary	PK-5	54.2
8.	Hertford	Riverview Elementary	PK-5	56.7
9.	Northampton	Rich Square-Creecy Elementary	PK-5	67.5
10.	Robeson	West Lumberton Elementary	PK-4	84.0

Title I Schools In School Improvement

	LEA	School	Grade Span	Performance Composite 2001-02
1.	Halifax	Northwest Halifax High	9-12	40.9
2.	Wayne	Goldsboro High School	9-12	45.1

Activities

September 12, 2002	Superintendents and principals notified that high priority elementary schools are eligible to receive voluntary assistance
September 18, 2002	Response to the offer of voluntary assistance due
September 20, 2002	Orientation session Conducted
September 2002	Voluntary services begin
September 2002 –June 2003	Ongoing assistance and support provided to schools accepting assistance.

Support and Visitation

September 2002-June 2003	Assistance team members and school improvement consultants receive ongoing support and guidance from section chiefs, the assistant director and director of School Improvement.
--------------------------	---

Summary Remarks

Voluntary assistance is going very well. The Division of School Improvement serves high priority elementary schools, Title I Schools in school improvement and Title I Schools on Watch with the assistance of education consultants in the School improvement Division. As time permits and if staff is available, we will assist other schools as requested.

ABCs Assistance Team Training

Topics and Subtopics: The team members work with local, state, national and international educational trainers and leaders.

1. The ABCs Plan
 - Context Setting and Training Goals
 - Local Participation, Local Flexibility, and School-Based Accountability
 - Improving Low Performing Schools
 - Issues, Questions and Concerns
2. Building a High-Performance Team
 - What Comprises a Team
 - High performance Teams
 - Roles/Responsibilities of Assistance Teams
 - Working as a Team
 - Team Mission and Code of Conduct
 - Issues, Questions and Concerns
3. Effective Schools
 - How the Correlates Inform and Assist the Team's Work
 - Excellence Without Excuses
 - Using Effective School Correlates as a Way to Structure Intervention
 - Case Studies of Effective Schools in High Poverty Areas
 - Issues, Questions, and Concerns
4. School Improvement Plans
 - Components of Plans
 - Development of Plans (process)
 - Developing Plans for Elementary and Middle Schools
 - Implementing School Improvement Plans
5. Effective Curriculum and Instruction Programming
 - The Non-Negotiable: The Standard Course of Study
 - Aligning the Curriculum in Reading
 - Aligning the Curriculum in Writing
 - Reading/Writing Across the Curriculum

- Teaching Mathematics in Elementary, Middle and High Schools
 - Teaching Reading and Writing in Elementary and Middle Schools
 - Teaching English in High School
 - Coaching, Mentoring, and Conferencing
 - Service Models
 - Managing Classrooms
 - Recognizing and Respecting Cultural Differences
6. Team-School Relations and Home-School Relations
 - Teams Entering Schools
 - Teams Working with Schools: Case Study
 - Strategies for Involving Parents/Families
 - Facilitating Positive Home-School Relations
 7. Personnel Evaluations
 - Purpose and Use of the Principals Revised Evaluation Program
 - Purpose and Use of the Teacher Performance Appraisal Instrument (TPAI)
 - TPAI Use (24 hours of training)
 - Evaluating Support Personnel
 - Evaluating the Media Center Collection
 8. Needs Assessment
 - Overview of Needs Assessment
 - Conducting a Needs Assessment
 - Interpreting, Using and Reporting Data
 9. Student Supports and Staff Development
 - Student Support Activities
 - Student Support Programming
 - School Improvement Plans and Staff Development: Matching Needs
 - Planning and Implementation
 10. Building Teams
 - Team Relationships
 - Team Relationships with Schools
 - Team Work: Case Studies
 - Team Presentations
 11. Communicating with the School Community
 - Reporting Results to the Local Board and Communities
 - Group Case Study Presentation

Additional Topics Addressed in Training During July: Presenters were members of the DPI staff and staff from other organizations.

- Student Accountability Standards
- ABCs Law/
- Critical Issues for Team Members
- Conducting Entry Conferences
- Conducting a Needs Assessment
- Mediation and Facilitation Training
- Instructional Profile
- Science Update K-12
- ESL Issues
- CRISS Training
- True Colors
- Team Leader Responsibilities
- English Language Arts Update K-12
- TPAI-Revised
- Language Acquisition/ESL Strategies
- Team Responsibility
- High Expectations
- Review of Skill Packets
- Workshop Facilitation
- K- 2 Assessment
- Testing Update/Issues
- Teams in Action
- Exceptional Children's Issues
- Principal Performance Appraisal
- PPA System Revised
- Evaluation of Team Members
- Affirming Diversity
- Mentoring

III. Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements

Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements

Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act

Senate Bill 1126, ratified in May 1998, amended the teacher competency testing provisions of the Excellent Schools Act to ensure that only teachers were tested whose unsatisfactory performance was judged in whole or part due to lack of general knowledge. While no teachers were identified for testing at the end of the 1997-98 school year under this provision, the State Board of Education approved the use of the *Florida College Level Academic Skills Test (CLAST)* to assess the general knowledge of certified staff subject to testing. In the Summer of 1998, standard-setting procedures were conducted, and in the Fall of 1998 the State Board of Education set "passing" scores for the reading and writing portions of this test.

There were no teachers recommended by the Assistance Teams or by principals in low-performing schools that were not served by Assistance Teams to take the General Knowledge Test for 2001-2002. A variety of resources were made available to assist teachers in low-performing schools. The State Board of Education allocated funds appropriated by the General Assembly to continually low-performing schools and high priority schools. These funds were in addition to support provided by the Assistance Teams. The UNC Center for School Leadership Development agreed to provide remediation assistance requested for teachers in low-performing schools. The low-performing schools were also served by Assistance Teams. Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD) Grants provided financial support to about 100 schools in the State.

The State Board of Education approved contracts with seven vendors (colleges, universities, public schools, SERVE, and the Principals' Executive Program) to develop evaluation instruments aligned with the new standards for professional educators adopted in May, 1998. The instruments were piloted in 1999-2000 and were available for use by school systems beginning with the 2000-2001 school year. While the focus of the individual instruments will vary, each will include means of rating teaching performance. In the fall of 2001-2002, all systems were required to implement the new evaluation instruments(s) they have adopted.

IV. ABCs Recognition and Schedule of Recognition Events

ABCs Recognition

Top schools around the State receive special recognition as part of the ABCs of Public Education. There are three levels of recognition in the student growth area and two levels of recognition for student performance. For student growth, the top 35 (25 K-8 and 10 high schools) in the State showing the highest amount of student growth/gains were designated as "Most Improved." Each of these schools will receive a banner to hang in the school, a certificate of achievement and an incentive bonus for certified staff. All K-12 schools that meet 110% of their student growth/gains are deemed high growth and receive a certificate of achievement. Certified employees in these schools also receive an incentive bonus. All K-12 schools meeting 100% of their student growth/gains standard are considered as having met expected growth/gain and receive a certificate.

For student performance, a School of Excellence is the designation for those schools where at least 90% of the students tested performed at or above grade level and the school made expected growth/gains (as a minimum). These schools will receive a banner to hang in the school and a certificate of achievement. Schools in which 80.0-89.9 percent of student scored at or above grade level are designated as Schools of Distinction. They receive a certificate and a plaque.

In November, December and January, the Chairman of the State Board of Education and/or the State Superintendent, Senior Leadership, or a State Board member will visit the 35 Most Improved Schools and Schools of Excellence to present recognition banners. Teachers, parents, students, administrators and community leaders proudly participate in these local celebrations.

**Number and Percent of Public Schools in North Carolina
Receiving Awards and Recognition, 1997-2002¹
K-12**

Category	1996-97 ²			1997-98 ³			1998-99 ⁴			1999-00			2000-01			2001-02		
	#	%	K-8	#	%	K-8	#	%	HS	#	%	K-8/HS	#	%	K-8/HS	#	%	K-8/HS
Schools of Excellence	12	0.7	24	1.4	0.0	50	2.5	73	3.5	171	7.9	300	13.7					
Schools of Distinction ⁵	158	9.7	289	16.8	1	408	20.6	509	24.1	640	29.7	647	29.5					
Schools Making High Growth ⁶	531	32.5	1137	66.0	265	1156	58.2	956	45.2	521	24.1	779	35.5					
Schools Making Expected Growth	395	24.2	308	17.9	83	456	23.0	520	24.6	769	35.6	863	39.3					
Schools Not Making Expected Growth ⁷	706	43.3	276	16.0	65	371	18.7	639	30.2	865	40.1	551	25.1					
Low-Performing Schools	123	7.5	15	0.9	15	3.6	13	0.7	44	2.1	31	1.4	19	0.9				
Made Expected or High Growth	926	56.7	1445	83.9	348	83.1	1612	81.2	1476	69.8	1290	59.7	1641	74.8				
Total ABCs Schools ⁸	1632		1722		419		1985		2115		2158		2194					

¹ABCs results for 1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99, 1999-00, 2000-01, and 2001-02 reflect State Board of Education actions through October 2, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 7, 1999, October 5, 2000, November 1, 2001, and October 3, 2002, respectively.

²The first year of implementation of the ABCs was in 1996-97; only K-8 schools were included in the model.

³The ABCs high school model was first implemented in 1997-98. (Schools whose grades spanned K-12 were included in statistical summaries for both K-8 and high schools, so there is duplication in these counts.)

⁴The comprehensive ABCs model has been applied since 1998-99; there is no duplication in these counts.

⁵Beginning in 2002, *Schools of Distinction* were required to make at least expected growth for the first time.

⁶*High Growth* was referred to as *Exemplary Growth* prior to 2002.

⁷*Schools Not Making Expected Growth* was included in two categories prior to 2002: *Schools Receiving No Recognition* and *Low Performing Schools*.

⁸*Total ABCs Schools* is the total number of schools participating in the ABCs for a given year; this total does not reflect the sum of the column; *Schools of Excellence*, *Schools of Distinction*, and *Low-Performing Schools* are not exclusive categories and may include schools that appear in other categories.

Caution: Comparisons across years should be made with the above footnotes in mind.

LEA Codes

Refer to the chart below to locate school systems referenced by code when reading the lists of 2001-2002 Most Improved Schools Growth and Performance Results 1998-2002 and 2001-2002 Schools of Excellence, Growth and Performance Results.

010	Alamance-Burlington	240	Columbus	480	Hyde	761	Asheboro City
020	Alexander	241	Whiteville City	490	Iredell-Statesville	770	Richmond
030	Alleghany	250	Craven	491	Mooreville City	780	Robeson
040	Anson	260	Cumberland	500	Jackson	790	Rockingham
050	Ashe	270	Currituck	510	Johnston	800	Rowan-Salisbury
060	Avery	280	Dare	520	Jones	810	Rutherford
070	Beaufort	290	Davidson	530	Lee	820	Sampson
080	Bertie	291	Lexington City	540	Lenoir	830	Scotland
090	Bladen	292	Thomasville City	550	Lincoln	840	Stanly
100	Brunswick	300	Davie	560	Macon	850	Stokes
110	Buncombe	310	Duplin	570	Madison	860	Surry
111	Asheville City	320	Durham	580	Martin	861	Elkin City
120	Burke	330	Edgecombe	590	McDowell	862	Mount Airy City
130	Cabarrus	340	Winston-Salem/Forsyth	600	Mecklenburg	870	Swain
132	Kannapolis City	350	Franklin	610	Mitchell	880	Transylvania
140	Caldwell	360	Gaston	620	Montgomery	890	Tyrrell
150	Camden	370	Gates	630	Moore	900	Union
160	Carteret	380	Graham	640	Nash-Rocky Mount	910	Vance
170	Caswell	390	Granville	650	New Hanover	920	Wake
180	Catawba	400	Greene	660	Northampton	930	Warren
181	Hickory City	410	Guilford	670	Onslow	940	Washington
182	Newton Conover City	420	Halifax	680	Orange	950	Watauga
190	Chatham	421	Roanoke Rapids City	690	Pamlico	960	Wayne
200	Cherokee	422	Weldon City	700	Elizabeth City/Pasquotank	970	Wilkes
210	Edenton-Chowan	430	Harnett	710	Pender	980	Wilson
220	Clay	440	Haywood	720	Perquimans	990	Yadkin
230	Cleveland	450	Henderson	730	Person	995	Yancey
231	Kings Mountain City	460	Hertford	740	Pitt	679	Camp Lejeune (Federal)
232	Shelby City	470	Hoke	750	Polk	209	Cherokee Central (Federal)
240	Columbus	570	Madison	760	Randolph	269	Fort Bragg (Federal)

2001-2002 Most Improved Schools Growth and Performance Results 1997-98 through 2001-2002

School Code	Name	Grade Span	1997-1998			1998-1999			1999-2000			2000-2001			2001-2002		
			Status	PC		Status	PC		Status	PC		Status	PC		Status	PC	
010 304	Alexander Wilson Elementary	PK 5	Exm	69.9	Exm Dst	Exm	80.3	Dst	80.8	Exm Dst MI	85.2	Dst Hgh MI	89.3				
070 306	Aurora Middle	6 8															
110 372	Johnston Elementary	PK 5	Exm	75.7	Exp	Exp	77.4	Exp	76.5	Exp Dst	80.8	Dst Hgh MI	83.2				
11K 000	F Delaney New School	OK 8	Exp	70.0	Exm	Exm	74.6	NR	71.1	Exm Dst	85.4	Dst Hgh MI	86.6				
120 372	Rutherford Col Elementary	PK 5	Exm Dst	86.3	Exm Dst	Exm	88.5	Exm	93.1	Dst NR	94.3	Exc Hgh MI	94.2				
170 344	Stoney Creek Elementary	OK 6	Exm	73.4	Exm	Exm	79.6	Exm Dst	85.0	Exp Dst	85.6	Dst Hgh MI	88.1				
200 332	Peachtree Elementary	PK 5	Exm			Exm											
260 324	Young Howard Elementary	PK 5	T25	74.5	Exm Dst MI	Exm	84.1	Exm	92.4	Exp Exc	93.2	Exc Hgh MI	93.5				
		PK 5	Exp	54.4	Exp	Exp	55.3	NR	56.4	NR	58.3	Pro Hgh MI	76.9				
260 356	District Number 7 Elementary	PK 5	Exm Dst	85.1	Exm Dst	Exm	86.2	Exm	90.0	Exm Exc	90.1	Exc Hgh MI	94.9				
292 324	Thomasville High School	9 #	Exp	47.0	NR	Exm	47.7	Exm	55.8	Exm	66.6	Pro Hgh MI	77.2				
300 320	Mocksville Elementary	OK 5	Exm	78.3	Exp	Exm	77.1	Exm Dst	82.3	Exp Dst	80.5	Exc Hgh MI	90.1				
330 328	North Edgecombe High	9 #	Exm	42.5	Exm	Exm	49.7	Exm	50.8	Exp	48.7	Pri Hgh MI	56.5				
330 336	Roberson Elementary	PK 5	Exm	53.7	NR	Exm	53.0	NR	48.6	NR	50.0	Pro Hgh MI	76.4				
330 358	Tarboro High School	9 #	Exm	58.3	Exm	Exm	57.3	Exm	57.6	Exm	59.4	Pro Hgh MI	66.5				
340 430	Latham Elementary	PK 5	Exm	67.8	Exp	Exm	65.4	Exm	67.4	Exp	65.5	Dst Hgh MI	82.8				
34E 000	East Winston Primary	OK 4			LP	3.3	3.3	Exp	20.8			Pro Hgh MI	76.8				
420 316	Dawson Elementary	PK 5	AP	61.8	Exm Dst	Exm	81.7	NR	73.4	Exm Exc MI	91.9	Pro Hgh MI	76.0				
420 340	Inboden Elementary	PK 5	Exm	66.1	Exm	Exm	76.6	NR	65.5	NR	60.2	Dst Hgh MI	82.9				
540 308	Contentnea Elementary	OK 5	Exm	66.0	NR	Exm	66.9	Exm	75.0	Exp	79.3	Dst Hgh MI	86.6				
550 332	Lincolnton High School	9 #	Exp	63.6	Exm	Exm	61.8	Exm	63.4	Exm	69.9	Pro Hgh MI	72.2				
550 364	Union Elementary	OK 5	Exm	70.0	Exm	Exm	73.9	Exm	77.0	Exm Dst	80.5	Dst Hgh MI	84.0				
600 379	Eastover Elementary	OK 5	Exm	65.1	Exm	Exm	72.2	Exm	74.5	Exm Dst	81.1	Dst Hgh MI	85.0				
60C 000	Kennedy Charter	6 #							7.3	Exm MI	16.3	Pri Hgh MI	28.3				

**2001-2002 Most Improved Schools
Growth and Performance Results 1997-98 through 2001-2002**

63A 000	Mast School Inc	5	8	AP Dst	81.9	Exm	76.3	NR	72.3	NR	65.1	Pro High MI	68.4
650 342	John T Hoggard High School	9	#	AP	71.7	Exm	76.4	NR	73.6	Exp	74.0	Dst High MI	85.0
66A 000	Gaston College Prep	5	5	Exm								Exc High MI	91.8
710 342	Topsail High School	9	#	T10	71.0	Exm MI	74.5	Exm	76.2	Exm Dst	85.2	Dst High MI	88.3
770 318	Fairview Heights Elementary	OK	3	Exm	74.2	Exm	67.9	Exm	60.8	Exm	64.9	Dst High MI	80.3
780 325	Fairmont High School	9	#	Exp	34.1	Exm	37.4	LP	40.6	Exp	47.3	Pro High MI	62.9
				Exm				Exm					
810 388	Rutherfordton Elementary	OK	5	Dst	84.9	Exm Dst	88.4	Dst	88.7	Exm Exc MI	92.0	Exc High MI	90.2
820 349	Lakewood High School	9	#	LP	32.3	Exm MI	50.2	Exm MI	57.1	Exm	60.5	Pro High MI	68.5
840 368	West Stanly High School	9	#	Exm	65.9	Exm MI	73.2	Exm MI	76.7	Exm	78.0	Dst High MI	83.5
860 356	Westfield Elementary	OK	5	Exm	77.9	Exm	79.3	Exm	77.1	Exp	75.8	Dst High MI	86.0
920 364	Cary Elementary	OK	5	Exp	70.6	Exm	71.7	Exm	73.2	Exp	77.7	Dst High MI	86.1
								Exm					
92N 000	Quest Academy	OK	8					Dst	94.3	Exm Exc MI	93.5	Exc High MI	99.5
96C 000	Dillard Academy	OK	3			NR	33.3	NR	38.1	NR	37.9	Pro High MI	77.3
995 328	Micaville Elementary	OK	5	Exp	76.2	Exm	77.1	Exm	78.0	Exm Dst	83.3	Dst High MI	89.8

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span	Achievement-Based Met	Local Options Met	Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
010 ALAMANCE-BURLINGTON						
378 SELLARS-GUNN ALT	06 12	EOG/EOC Competency N Y	Parents Transition Attendance Y Y Y	23.9	~	Exp
040 ANSON COUNTY						
305 ANSON CHALLENGE ACAD	07 12	EOG/EOC Competency N Y	Discipline Tutoring Parents Y Y Y	7.4	~	Exp
070 BEAUFORT COUNTY						
310 B C ED TECH CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC Competency Y Y	Accountability Behavior Academics Y Y Y	32.5	~	Hgh
080 BERTIE COUNTY	06 12	EOG/EOC Competency N	Attendance Suspensions Community N Y Y	16.3		NR
350 SERENDIPITY SCH						
090 BLADEN COUNTY						
354 SCH OF EXTENDED HOPE	06 12	EOG/EOC Competency Y Y	Parents Courses Proficiency Y N Y	32.6	~	Exp
100 BRUNSWICK COUNTY						
308 BRUNSWICK LEARN CTR	06 12	EOG/EOC Competency N Y	Attendance Suspensions Community N Y N	41.5	~	NR
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
302 BUNCOMBE CMTY-WEST	0K 12	EOG/EOC Competency N	Attendance Grades Community N Y Y	42.7	~ NR	
110 BUNCOMBE COUNTY						
303 BUNCOMBE CMTY-EAST	07 12	EOG/EOC Competency Y Y	Attendance Credits Graduates Y Y Y	43.0	~	Hgh
111 ASHEVILLE CITY						
301 ACCELERATED LEARNING	06 08	EOG/EOC Competency Y	Promotions Climate Parents Y Y Y	52.5	~	Hgh

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

System and School(s)	Grade Span		Achievement-Based Met		Local Options Met		Performance Composite	Spec. Cond.	Status
120 Burke County									
308 BURKE ALT	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Technology Reading Parents	Y Y Y	41.7	~	Exp
120 Burke County									
352 NORTH LIBERTY	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Technology Reading Parents	Y Y Y	14.7	9	Exp
130 CABARRUS COUNTY									
317 CABARRUS OPPORTUNITY	05	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Credits Responsibility	Y Y Y	39.2	9	Exp
140 CALDWELL COUNTY									
306 CALDWELL CO GATEWAY	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Staff Attendance	Y Y Y	15.2	~	Exp
307 HORIZONS ELEMENTARY	0K	06	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Parents Staff Behavior	Y Y N	38.6	~	NR
181 HICKORY CITY									
318 CATAWBA VALLEY HS	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Graduation GPA Parents	Y Y Y	14.1	~	Exp
190 CHATHAM COUNTY									
310 SAGE ACAD	08	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Communication Community Success	Y Y Y	18.4	~	Exp
200 CHEROKEE COUNTY									
322 MOUNTAIN YOUTH CTR	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	IEP Career Transition	Y Y Y	42.9	9	Exp
231 KINGS MOUNTAIN									
330 DAVIDSON SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Parents Promotion Transition	Y Y Y	17.8	~	Exp
240 COLUMBUS COUNTY									
336 NAKINA ALTERNATIVE	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Suspensions IEP Safety	Y Y Y	24.6	9	Exp

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

241 WHITEVILLE CITY										
312	N WHITEVILLE ACAD	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Parents' Satisfaction Transition	N N Y	33.3	9	Exp
260 CUMBERLAND COUNTY										
346	CUMBERLAND EVENING	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Dropout Parents Community	Y Y Y	33.3	~	Exp
409	RAMSEY ST HS ALT PGM	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Volunteers Counseling Suspensions	Y Y Y	32.5	~	Exp
413	RAMSEY ST MID SCH ALT	0K	08	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Parents Transition Community	Y Y Y	37.0	~	Exp
280 DARE COUNTY										
306	DARE CO ALT HS	08	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y N	Transition Attendance Service	Y Y Y	63.2	9	Exp
290 DAVIDSON COUNTY										
314	DAVIDSON CO EXT DAY	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Proficiency Computer TeenParent	Y N N	41.3	~	Exp
320 DURHAM COUNTY										
331	CIS ACAD	05	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Parents Dropout Discipline	Y Y Y	32.3	~	Exp
341	LAKEVIEW SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Community Parents Discipline	Y Y Y	19.8	~	Exp
330 EDGECOMBE COUNTY										
310	CTR FOR ED ACHIEVEMENT	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y	Parents AEPs Retention	Y Y Y	21.6	9	Hgh
340 FORSYTH COUNTY										
478	INDEPENDENCE HS	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Contacts Credits Reading	Y Y Y	42.4	~	Exp
488	LEAP AT KENNEDY	05	08	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Attendance Discipline Parents	Y Y Y	39.1	~	Exp
360 GASTON COUNTY										
372	WARLICK SCH	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Parents Discipline Parents	Y Y Y	29.8	~	Exp

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

410 GUILFORD COUNTY

533 SCALE SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Customer Parents Transition	Y Y Y	27.4	~	Exp
---------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

420 HALIFAX COUNTY

332 HALIFAX CO ED CTR	07	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	GPA Parents Dropout	N N Y	16.7	9	LP
-----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	---------------------------	-------------	------	---	----

430 HARNETT COUNTY

345 STAR ACAD	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Careers Transition	Y Y Y	21.7	~	Exp
---------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

440 HAYWOOD COUNTY

326 CENTRAL HAYWOOD HS	07	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	GPA Community Dropout	N Y Y	38.3	~	Exp
------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

450 HENDERSON COUNTY

343 TUXEDO EXTENDED DAY	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Goals Grades Satisfaction	Y Y Y	49.2	~	Exp
-------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	---------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

470 HOKE COUNTY

342 J W TURLINGTON	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Promotion Safety Attendance	Y Y Y	28.1	~	Exp
--------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

490 IREDELL-STATESVILLE

342 MULBERRY STREET SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Dropout Attendance Violence	Y Y Y	19.4	~	Exp
-------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

500 JACKSON COUNTY

324 JACKSON CO SCH OF ALT	0K	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Suspensions Redirection	Y Y Y	31.7	~	Exp
---------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--	-------------	------	---	-----

510 JOHNSTON COUNTY

380 SOUTH CAMPUS CMTY	0K	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Academics Attendance Parents	Y N Y	48.1	~	Exp
-----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

530 LEE COUNTY

306 BRAGG STREET ACAD	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Parents Community	Y Y Y	23.7	~	Exp
-----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

540 LENOIR COUNTY

318 LEWIS SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Discipline Absences Parents	Y Y Y	27.4	~	Exp
---------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

550 LINCOLN COUNTY

308 ASBURY SCH	07	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Suspensions Violence Parents	Y Y Y	45.5	~	High
----------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	------

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

310 MAYFIELD ALTERNATIVE	06	08	EOG/EOC Competency	Y	Absenteeism Parents Achievement	Y Y Y	55.3	~	High
--------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	------

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

354 COVENANT ACAD	06	06	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Absenteeism Achievement Parents	Y Y Y	47.0	~	Exp
-------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

386 MIDWOOD HS	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Parents Graduates Enrollment	N N Y	30.2	~	Exp
----------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

439 LEARNING ACAD-N	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Transition Safety Feedback	Y Y N	14.0	~	Exp
---------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

456 DOLLY TATE TAPS	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Enrollment Performance Parents	N Y Y	21.2	~	Exp
---------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

600 CHARLOTTE/MECKLENBURG

458 MANAGEMENT SCH	06	08	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Attendance Dropout Behavior	Y Y Y	41.2	9	Exp
--------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

620 MONTGOMERY COUNTY

310 ANCHOR SCH	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Referrals Parents	Y Y Y	8.2	9	Exp
----------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	-----	---	-----

630 MOORE COUNTY

330 PINCKNEY ACAD	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Courses Community Visitor	Y Y Y	39.0	~	Exp
-------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	---------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

640 NASH/ROCKY MOUNT

340 TAR RIVER LEARNING	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Ratio Community Personalization	Y Y Y	24.3	~	Exp
------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	---------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY

302 ALT PATHWAYS	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Transition Suspensions	Y Y Y	42.2	~	Exp
------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

650 NEW HANOVER COUNTY

354 LAKESIDE	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Parents Customer Suspensions	Y Y Y	32.8	~	High
--------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	------

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

700 PASQUOTANK COUNTY

310 H L TRIGG COMM	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Customer Parents	N Y N	34.5	~	NR
--------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	----

710 PENDER COUNTY

325 PENDER CO ALT	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Community Safety	Y Y Y	54.1	~	Exp
-------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

770 RICHMOND COUNTY

342 LEAK STREET	04	09	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Parents Agency PEP	Y Y Y	20.3	~	Exp
-----------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

790 ROCKINGHAM COUNTY

392 THE SCORE CTR	0K	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Customer Parents Transition	Y Y Y	34.0	~	Exp
-------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

800 ROWAN-SALISBURY

308 HENDERSON INDEP HS	08	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Achievement Promotions Safety	N Y Y	20.8	~	Exp
------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

810 RUTHERFORD COUNTY

386 RUTHERFORD OPPORT	0K	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Parents Social Skills Administration	Y Y Y	34.0	~	Exp
-----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	--	-------------	------	---	-----

830 SCOTLAND COUNTY

316 EAST LAURINBURG	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Suspensions Transition Reading	Y Y Y	23.5	~	Exp
---------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

345 SCOTLAND ACCELERATED	PK	02	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Reading Writing Administration	Y Y Y	--	9	Exp
--------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	--------------------------------------	-------------	----	---	-----

850 STOKES COUNTY

324 MEADOWBROOK SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Health Community	Y Y Y	26.2	~	Exp
---------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

860 SURRY COUNTY

322 FOOTHILLS HS	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Academics Literacy	Y Y Y	47.3	9	Exp
------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

880 TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY

320 DAVIDSON RIVER SCH	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Growth Volunteers Staff	N Y Y	68.6	~	Exp
------------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

900 UNION COUNTY

365	SOUTH PROVIDENCE	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Behavior Attendance Grades	Y Y Y	33.9	~	Hgh
-----	------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

910 VANCE COUNTY

368	WESTERN VANCE SECOND	05	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Parents Careers Reading	Y Y Y	31.4	~	Exp
-----	----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

920 WAKE COUNTY

324	LONGVIEW	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Suspensions Satisfaction GPA	Y Y Y	15.8	~	Exp
-----	----------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

920 WAKE COUNTY

508	MT VERNON REDIRECTION	06	08	EOG/EOC Competency	N	GPA Parents Satisfaction	Y Y Y	58.2	~	Exp
-----	-----------------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	--------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

920 WAKE COUNTY

528	PHILLIPS HS	09	12	EOG/EOC Competency	Y Y	Credits Graduation Involvement	Y N Y	40.1	~	Exp
-----	-------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	--------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

960 WAYNE COUNTY

310	BELFAST ACAD	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Community Grades Competency	Y N Y	32.8	~	Exp
-----	--------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

960 WAYNE COUNTY

378	SOUTHERN ACAD	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Attendance Suspensions Competency	Y Y Y	30.7	~	Exp
-----	---------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	---	-------------	------	---	-----

980 WILSON COUNTY

306	ADAMS LEARNING CTR	06	12	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Suspensions Parents Violence	Y Y Y	31.9	~	Exp
-----	--------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

998 JUVENILE JUSTICE

702	C A DILLON	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Grades Behavior Reading	Y Y Y	25.0	9	Exp
-----	------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

998 JUVENILE JUSTICE

703	DOBBS YOUTH	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N N	Grades Behavior Reading	Y Y Y	17.5	9	Exp
-----	-------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	-------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

998 JUVENILE JUSTICE

704	SWANNANOVA VALLEY	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N Y	Grades Reading Parents	Y Y Y	20.5	~	Exp
-----	-------------------	----	----	-----------------------	--------	------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

998 JUVENILE JUSTICE

705	SAMARKAND YOUTH	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Grades Behavior Reading	Y Y Y	11.7	9	Exp
-----	-----------------	----	----	-----------------------	---	-------------------------------	-------------	------	---	-----

**The ABCs of Public Education:
2001-02 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools
Alternative Schools**

998 JUVENILE JUSTICE									
706 STONEWALL JACKSON	UN	GR	EOG/EOC Competency	N	Grades Behavior Reading	Y Y Y	5.1	9	Exp

**V. Proposed North Carolina Testing Program Redevelopment Plan/
Impact on the ABCs
And
Issues for Further Consideration**

Testing Program Redevelopment and Issues for Further Consideration

The recently enacted *No Child Left Behind* legislation requires states to have a single accountability model and that the model address specific areas outlined in the law. Although North Carolina and all other states continue to await final federal regulations, a number of actions will be needed when final regulations are completed. Decisions will need to be made regarding adequate yearly progress and how each disaggregated group's progress will be charted over time, how full academic years will be defined, the grade levels for science testing, the treatment of alternative schools, the definition of graduation rates, and the timeline for reporting progress, among other decisions.

At the present time, federal regulations are insufficient to allow the State Board of Education and DPI to finalize redevelopment of the testing program and to resolve pending issues. However, North Carolina will adjust the testing and accountability programs as appropriate to comply with federal legislation.

