Report to Education Oversight Committee

Senate Bill 1005, Section 28.6 (b) and 28.6 (h) Supplemental Funding in Low-Wealth Counties

Senate Bill 1005, Section 28.7 (b) and 28.7 (f) Small School System Supplemental Funding

Supplemental Funding Low-Wealth Counties Senate Bill 1005, Sections 28.6 (b) and 28.6 (h)

This report presents the materials required by legislation in Senate Bill 1005, Sections 28.6 (b) and 28.6 (h) and Senate Bill 1005, Sections 28.7 (b) and 28.7 (f).

Use of Funds for Supplemental Funding

Pursuant to section 28.6 (b), Local Boards of Education are encouraged to use at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the Low-Wealth County funds to improve the academic performance of children performing at Level I or II on either reading or mathematics end of grade tests in grades 3-8 and children performing at Level I or II on the writing tests in grades 4 and 7.

Section 28.6 (h) Non-Supplant Requirement – A county in which a LEA receives Low-Wealth funds shall use the funds to supplement local current expense funds and shall not supplant local current expense funds. The State Board of Education shall make a finding that a county has used these funds to supplant local current expense funds in the prior year, or the year for which the most recent data are available, if:

The current expense appropriation per student of the county for the current year is less than ninety-five percent (95%) of the average of the local current expense appropriation per student for the three prior fiscal years.

Gathering Data for report

The Department of Public Instruction (DPI) gathered the information to complete this report by analyzing current available data through the Uniform Education Reporting System (UERS) files. The available data at DPI only provides categorical use of funds at the Local Education Agency level. DPI is in the process of requesting the detail, from the Local Education Agencies (LEA), of how these funds were targeted and used to implement specific improvement strategies.

Results of Use of Funds for Supplemental Funding

Attachment 1 (A-1) details the State level expenditures by category for the Low-Wealth Counties funds, expenditure reports by LEA are available upon request. A review of the LEA level data shows that the majority of LEAs are meeting the 25% expectation. DPI will provide the analysis of how these funds were targeted to implement specific improvement strategies of each local school administrative unit and its schools by May 31, 2002.

Results of Non-Supplant Requirement

Attachment 3 (A-3) details the local appropriation and the minimum local appropriation. There is no evidence of a LEA supplanting.

Senate Bill 1005, Sections 28.7 (f) Small School System Supplemental Funding

Pursuant to Section 28.7 (f), Local Boards of Education are encouraged to use at least twenty percent (20%) of the Small School System funds to improve the academic performance of children performing at Level I or II on either reading or mathematics end of grade tests in grades 3-8 and children performing at Level I or II on the writing tests in grades 4 and 7.

Section 28.7 (b) Non-Supplant Requirement – A county in which a LEA receives Small School System funds shall use the funds to supplement local current expense funds and shall not supplant local current expense funds. The State Board of Education shall make a finding that a county has used these funds to supplant local current expense funds in the prior year, or the year for which the most recent data are available, if:

The current expense appropriation per student of the county for the current year is less than ninety-five percent (95%) of the average of the local current expense appropriation per student for the three prior fiscal years.

Gathering Data for Report

The Department of Public Instruction (DPI) gathered the information to complete this report by analyzing current available data through the Uniform Education Reporting System (UERS) files. The available data at DPI provides categorical use of funds at the Local Education Agency level. DPI is in the process of requesting, from the LEAs, the detail of how these funds were targeted and used to implement specific improvement strategies of each local school administrative unit and its schools.

Results of Use of Funds for Supplemental Funding

Attachment 2 (A-2) details the State level expenditures by category for the Small School System funds, expenditure reports by LEA are available upon request. A review of the LEA level data shows that the majority of LEAs are meeting the 20% expectation. DPI will provide the analysis of how these funds were targeted to implement specific improvement strategies of each local school administrative unit and its schools by May 31, 2002.

Results of Non-Supplant Requirement

Attachment 3 (A-3) details the local appropriation and the minimum local appropriation. There is no evidence of a LEA supplanting.

Low-Wealth Counties Supplemental Funding (031) Report on Expenditures

Total Allotment:

\$85,106,017.00

Expenditure	FY 2001 YTD			
Description	As of June 30, 2001 Including Installments	% of Total Allotment		
Salary		SSEA (10.13 SEA / 16.41.51)		
Teacher	\$24,848,699.72	29.20%		
Teacher Assistant	\$10,078,083.91	11.84%		
Tutor	\$529,690.13	0.62%		
Clerical Personnel	\$9,300,701.77	10.93%		
Salary - Other Assignments	\$1,852,629.20	2.18%		
Total Salary:	\$46,609,804.73	54.77%		
other - Salary Related				
Substitute Pay	\$783,148.59	0.92%		
Supplementary Pay	\$4,622,485.38	5.43%		
Overtime Pay	\$68,284.19	0.08%		
Matching Benefits	\$11,454,852.74	13.46%		
Salary - Other Assignments	\$167,170.56	0.20%		
Total Other - Salary Related:	\$17,095,941.46	20.09%		
on-Salary				
Instructional Supplies	\$8,008,597.82	9.41%		
Computer Software & Supplies	\$1,171,798.86	1.38%		
Textbooks	\$481,924.26	0.57%		
Library Books & Periodicals	\$942,528.62	1.11%		
Audiovisual Supplies & Materials	\$300,725.31	0.35%		
Equipment	\$2,065,723.18	2.43%		
Computer Equipment	\$5,338,902.30	6.27%		
Noncap Equipment/Computer Hardware	\$1,601,524.68	1.88%		
Workshops	\$1,336,962.08	1.57%		
Contracted Services	\$100,482.29	0.12%		
Total Non-Salary:	\$21,349,169.40	25.09%		
GRAND TOTAL:	\$85,054,915.59	99.94%		

SB 1005, Section 28.6(b) Local boards of education are encouraged to use at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds received to improve the academic performance of children who are performing at Level I or II on either reading or mathematics end-of-grade tests in grades 3-8 and children who are performing at Level I or II on the writing tests in grades 4 and 7. A review of DPI's data shows that a majority of schools are meeting this expectation.

x

Small School Supplemental Funding (019) Report on Expenditures

Total Allotment:

\$27,956,443.00

Expenditure		FY 2001 YTD			
Descript		As of June 30, 2001 Including Installments	% of Total Allotment		
ary					
Classified Principal/Head	master	\$15,971.05	0.06%		
Assistant Principal		\$528,098.15	1.89%		
Other Administrative Assi	gnments	\$134,095.94	0.48%		
Teacher		\$7,407,730.19	26.50%		
Instructional Support		\$435,943.83	1.56%		
Teacher Assistant		\$2,147,463.91	7.68%		
Tutor		\$150,060.23	0.54%		
Clerical Personnel		\$1,584,868.60	5.67%		
Salary - Other Assignment	ts	\$3,188,217.84	11.40%		
ă.	Total Salary:	\$15,592,449.74	55.77%		
er - Salary Related					
Substitute Pay		\$390,928.05	1.40%		
Supplementary Pay		\$404,433.27	1.45%		
Longevity Pay		\$305.68	0.00%		
Bonus Pay		\$30,147.71	0.11%		
Overtime Pay		\$26,738.13	0.11%		
Mentor Pay		\$5,225.00	0.02%		
Matching Benefits		\$3,800,960.64	13.60%		
Salary - Other Assignment	S	\$800,533.78	2.86%		
Total Other	- Salary Related:	\$5,459,272.26	19.53%		
-Salary					
Instructional Supplies		\$902,101.85	3.23%		
Computer Software & Sup	plies	\$393,403.03	1.41%		
Textbooks		\$75,335.23	0.27%		
Library Books & Periodica	ıls	\$85,305.67	0.27%		
Audiovisual Supplies & M		\$11,363.26	0.04%		
Equipment		\$546,256.01	1.95%		
Computer Equipment		\$1,050,407.84	3.76%		
Noncap Equipment/Compu	ter Hardware	\$205,231.70	0.73%		
Workshops	•	\$332,159.34	1.19%		
Contracted Services		\$2,099,594.40	7.51%		
Supplies & Materials		\$219,508.30	0.79%		
Pupil Transportation		\$16,381.33	0.79%		
Travel		\$22,932.66			
Other		\$929,085.25	0.08% 3.32%		
To	otal Non-Salary:	\$6,889,065.87	24.64%		
GR	AND TOTAL:	\$27,940,787.87	99.94%		
		=			

SB 1005, Section 28.7(f) Local boards of education are encouraged to use at least twenty-five percent (20%) of the funds received to improve the academic performance of children who are performing at Level I or II on either reading or mathematics end-of-grade tests in grades 3-8 and children who are performing at Level I or II on the writing tests in grades 4 and 7. A review of DPI's data shows that a majority of schools are meeting this expectation.

is a second

Public Schools of North Carolina

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

alculation of Non-Supplant Regulation for Low Wealth/Small County Supplemental Funding FY 2001-02

No.	LEA	FY 99-00 ADM	2000 Local Appropriation To Schools	Local Appropriation Per ADM	Minimum Local Appropriation Per ADM @ 95% of Average	Evidence of Supplanting
010	Alamance Co.	20,310	\$19,520,879	\$961.15	\$869.92	No
020	Alexander Co.	5,378	3,700,000	687.99	526.27	No
030	Alleghany Co.	1,480	1,235,000	834.46	689.18	No
040	Anson Co.	4,526	3,053,145	674.58	607.46	No
050	Ashe Co.	3,269	2,900,000	887.12	619.76	No
060	Avery Co.	2,556	2,480,966	970.64	905.61	No
070	Beaufort Co.	7,455	6,993,117	938.04	813.27	No
080	Bertie Co.	3,902	1,708,544	437.86	403.35	No
090	Bladen Co.	5,820	4,446,061	763.93	692.14	No
100	Brunswick Co.	10,041	16,241,040	1,617.47	1,298.76	No
110	Buncombe Co.	29,414	37,765,972	1,283.95	1,126.56	No
120	Burke Co.	14,610	10,953,100	749.70	691.73	No
130		21,612	22,658,376	1,048.42	757.24	No
	Caldwell Co.	12,385	10,761,907	868.95	745.91	No
150		1,325	828,973	625.64	616.98	No
160	Carteret Co.	8,621	16,346,268	1,896.10	1,108.85	No
170	Caswell Co.	3,512	1,983,879	564.89	476.15	No
081	Catawba Co.	23,219	23,142,562	996.71	881.10	No
90	Chatham Co.	7,274	11,023,941	1,515.53	1,248.72	No
200	Cherokee Co.	3,567	2,477,433	694.54	504.06	No
210		2,582	2,629,725	1,018.48	822.94	No
220	Clay Co.	1,287	659,030	512.07	400.27	No
230		17,186	14,312,594	832.81	787.44	No
	Columbus Co.	10,238	6,123,900	598.15	526.93	No
	Craven Co.	14,779	11,777,147	796.88	663.81	No
	Cumberland Co.	51,413	50,983,742	991.65	742.49	No
270	Currituck Co.	3,213	5,260,010	1,637.10	1,443.08	No
	Dare Co.	4,536	8,683,887	1,914.44	1,580.68	No
	Davidson Co.	24,352	21,574,903	885.96	811.97	No
	Davie Co.	5,517	5,677,100	1,029.02	895.04	No
	Duplin Co.	8,568	4,814,554	561.92	468.71	No
	Durham Co.	30,121	63,840,956	2,119.48	1,829.59	No
30	Edgecombe Co.	7,831	5,904,755	754.02	662.50	No
	Forsyth Co.	44,446	73,685,713	1,657.87	1,562.65	No
	Franklin Co.	7,455	6,300,000	845.07	675.99	No
	Gaston Co.	30,581	27,219,393	890.08		No
	Gaston Co. Gates Co.	2,116		1	759.02 762.38	
80	Graham Co.		1,643,000 454,843	776.47	1	No No
	Granville Co.	1,252		363.29	322.10	No No
	Greene Co.	7,934 2,895	7,018,640	884.63	732.43	No
		E	1,676,069	578.95	513.99	No
	Halifax Co.	62,354	103,898,121	1,666.26	1,452.80	No No
	Harnett Co.	10,515	7,871,198	748.57	664.20	No
		16,025	9,119,336	569.07	422.09	No
40	Haywood Co.	7,654	8,743,777	1,142.38	972.41	No

Public Schools of North Carolina

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Calculation of Non-Supplant Regulation for Low Wealth/Small County Supplemental Funding FY 2001-02

No. LEA	FY 99-00 ADM	2000 Local Appropriation To Schools	Local Appropriation Per ADM	Minimum Local Appropriation Per ADM @ 95% of Average	Evidence of Supplanting
450 Henderson Co.	11,484	12,809,455	1,115.42	897.44	No
460 Hertford Co.	4,147	2,958,413	713.39	635.15	No
470 Hoke Co.	6,370	2,630,534	412.96	354.08	No
480 Hyde Co.	781	1,062,664	1,360.65	1,189.99	No
190 Iredell Co.	20,767	21,614,301	1,040.80	892.05	No
500 Jackson Co.	3,749	4,004,554	1,068.17	796.87	No
Johnston Co.	20,087	20,900,000	1,040.47	764.46	No
520 Jones Co.	1,569	765,528	487.91	439.35	No
30 Lee Co.	8,867	8,343,108	940.92	808.53	No
40 Lenoir Co.	10,576	8,606,108	813.74	719.57	No
50 Lincoln Co.	10,622	7,937,591	747.28	622.97	No
60 Macon Co.	4,004	4,013,035	1,002.26	785.64	No
70 Madison Co.	2,651	1,550,000	584.69	544.99	No
80 Martin Co.	4,991	4,793,921	960.51	862.06	No
90 McDowell Co.	6,500	4,844,880	745.37	653.91	No
600 Mecklenburg Co.	101,501	207,454,000	2,043.86	1,636.03	No
10 Mitchell Co.	2,416	1,314,403	544.04	511.42	No
20 Montgomery Co.	4,362	3,874,632	888.27	612.02	No
30 Moore Co.	11,182	14,096,941	1,260.68	995.10	No
40 Nash Co.	18,828	18,706,647	993.55	866.28	No
50 New Hanover Co	. 21,503	39,474,481	1,835.77	1,352.15	No
660 Northampton Co.	3,825	2,503,000	654.38	605.42	No
70 Onslow Co.	21,478	14,675,000	683.26	558.75	No
80 Orange Co.	15,490	44,530,987	2,874.82	2,272.11	No
90 Pamlico Co.	2,146	1,502,200	700.00	563.45	No
700 Pasquotank Co.	6,258	5,492,422	877.66	740.23	No
10 Pender Co.	6,576	6,820,981	1,037.25	718.61	No
20 Perquimans Co.	1,895	1,307,012	689.72	589.54	No
30 Person Co.	5,888	5,965,409	1,013.15	861.77	No
40 Pitt Co.	20,151	18,837,249	934.80	783.49	No
50 Polk Co.	2,301	2,995,270	1,301.73	1,172.37	No
60 Randolph Co.	21,045	14,566,888	692.18	644.69	No
70 Richmond Co.	8,358	4,800,000	574.30	502.84	No
80 Robeson Co.	24,115	11,266,605	467.20	446.98	No
90 Rockingham Co.	14,731	12,531,614	850.70	749.05	No
00 Rowan Co.	21,025	22,128,719	1,052.50	777.02	No
10 Rutherford Co.	10,135	8,216,799	810.73	694.46	No
20 Sampson Co.	10,312	7,049,666	683.64	618.66	No
30 Scotland Co.	7,085	7,535,901	1,063.64	910.42	No
40 Stanly Co.	10,362	8,232,705	794.51	718.02	No
50 Stokes Co.	7,238	7,336,844	1,013.66	827.49	No
360 Surry Co.	11,541	12,014,299	1,041.01	886.13	No
370 Swain Co.	1,708	544,223	318.63	286.63	No
880 Transylvania Co.	4,124	4,818,085	1,168.30	995.42	No

Public Schools of North Carolina

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Calculation of Non-Supplant Regulation for Low Wealth/Small County Supplemental Funding FY 2001-02

No.	LEA	FY 99-00 ADM	2000 Local Appropriation To Schools	Local Appropriation Per ADM	Minimum Local Appropriation Per ADM @ 95% of Average	Evidence of Supplanting?
890	Tyrrell Co.	792	582,852	735.92	695.83	No
900	Union Co.	21,616	18,574,763	859.31	762.93	No
910	Vance Co.	8,047	7,254,002	901.45	740.26	No
920	Wake Co.	97,065	147,233,842	1,516.86	1,232.56	No
930	Warren Co.	3,334	2,000,000	599.88	557.46	No
940	Washington Co.	2,504	1,368,000	546.33	462.87	No
950	Watauga Co.	4,826	5,650,011	1,170.74	935.49	No
960	Wayne Co.	19,692	13,086,215	664.54	611.60	No
970	Wilkes Co.	10,179	8,815,500	866.05	725.38	No
980	Wilson Co.	12,554	13,656,526	1,087.82	903.15	No
990	Yadkin Co.	5,838	4,700,000	805.07	637.10	No
995	Yancey Co.	2,553	1,736,450	<u>680.16</u>	603.97	No
	Unit Totals	1,268,870	\$1,492,178,791	<u>\$ 1,175.99</u>	<u>\$ 977.12</u>	