3.	Wha	at are (or will be) the major content areas on asion professional development at your school	r to	pics covere	d during	the 5-day c	ontract
`		Individualized instruction	Π (Theme-base	-	-	eu)
		Small group instruction	П	Learning cer		OII	
		Cooperative learning		Manipulative			
		Language learning approaches		Inquiry-base		าก	
		Project -based instruction		Technology			
		Literacy instruction		Science inst		ing tool	
	П	Mathematics instruction	П	Increasing p		olvement	
		Lessons that incorporate the North Carolina Standard Course of Study			egies for t	teaching stude	ents
		Specific strategies for teaching English language learners			nanag e me	nt strategies (e.g.,
		Specific school-reform models (e.g. Comer School Development Program) Other (specify):		Don't know/r			_
5.		Not applicable – This school has not offered any of the How well has the 5-day contract extension proper effectively with smaller classes? (Check of Not at all Partially Not applicable – My class size has not been reduced.)	ofes nly	sional devel	opment p	10	
		Not applicable - This school has not offered any of	onti	ract extension	PD = SK	IP TO SECT	ION IV
6.		our opinion, how well has the 5-day contract exfollowing: (Check <i>only one</i> response for each		sion profess	ional deve	elopment add	ressed
			•	Not at all	Partially	Adequately	Fully
		lorth Carolina's Standard Course of Study, includin trategies for classroom practice	g				
	р	special strategies for working with diverse student opulations (e.g., students with disabilities, English anguage learner students)					
	• S	Strategies for promoting active learning					
	• S	strategies for implementing small group instruction					
		he specific needs of the participating teachers					
		The specific needs of the students in your school				П	П
	• 8	Strategies for implementing research-based or "bes	t				
		practice" instructional methods			_	_	-
	• 1	he school's school improvement plan					

			or do you anticipate being offered any opportunities for training, activities, or other is as a follow-up to any of the 5-day contract extension professional development?
	a.	develo	the opportunities that (or will) followed the initial 5-day contract extension professional opment activity took (or will take) the form of: (Check <i>all</i> that have (or will) occurred) A workshop or teacher seminar that built on what was learned in the PD activity. Meetings with other teachers to reflect on the PD experience and how to implement what was learned.
			Visits to classrooms of other teachers, either within or outside the school, to better understand how to implement what was learned in the initial PD activity.
			Coursework at a postsecondary institution that was related to the initial PD activity.
			Someone coming into classrooms to provide demonstration lessons or model what was learned at the initial PD activity.
			assist to implementation of what was learned at the initial PD activity.
			Discussions held during regular teacher meetings of the entire staff or certain grade level teachers.
			The opportunities to tollow up the original or
			Other (specify):
SE	CTI	ON IV -	EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR INITIATIVE FOR STUDENTS
1.	th:	at apply Holding Holding Offering Starting Extendir Holding	s school extending the school year by five additional days for students? (Check all) school on Saturdays school during teacher workdays a 5-day summer program school 5 days earlier ag the school year by 5 extra days school for students during school holidays or breaks g an after school program
		Don't kn	ow/not sure
		Other (s	pecify):
		This sch	ool is not implementing an extended school year <i>SKIP TO SECTION V</i>
2.	ini	tiative for An exter	ructional activities have been (or are being) planned for the extended school year or students at this school? (Check all that apply) nsion of what is being taught during the regular school day tent activities that are not part of the regular school day curriculum
			auon now/not sure
			pecify):
	a.	In the	space below, please provide an example of an activity that will be implemented as f the extended school year initiative for students.
		-	

3.	Was there (or will there be) specially planned power who have been (or will be) implementing the end of the Yes No	rofessiona xtended so	l develop chool yea	ment offer r program	ed to the f	teachers
	If yes, please describe the content of the teachers.	e professio	onal deve	elopment p	rovided	to these
4.	In your opinion, to what extent is the implem attributing to growth in student achievement?		f the exte	ended sch		
	1 2	3		4	_ 5	
	Not at all Son	newhat	**		To a grea	it extent
SE	CTION V - EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPLEMENTATION					
2.	Since the HP Schools Initiative began in 2000- prescribed initiatives, what combination of in achievement at your school? (Check all that a Reduced class sizes in grades K-3 Extended teacher contracts for professional d Extended school year for students Added instructional support position Don't know/not sure None of the above	itiatives d apply)	o you att	ribute to i	mproved	student
2.	How effective is the implementation of the HP	Schools Ir	nitiative in	your scho	ol in term	ns of:
			Somewhat	Very	Don't	Not
	 Reconfiguring/expanding existing physical space 	effective	effective	effective	know a	applicable
	Reducing class size for particular groups of children					
	 Obtaining qualified teachers for each newly created class 					
	 Improving student achievement (grades K-3) 					
	• Improving student achievement (all grade levels)					
	 Improving student attendance 					
	Increasing parental involvement					
3.	What changes (positive or negative) have implementation of the HP Schools Initiative?	taken pla	ce at yo	ur school	because	e of the
	£					
		(a)				

Ap	pe	nd	ix	-

What constraints, challenges, or obstacles (if any) did you or your school encounter in implementing any of the four HP Initiatives?
Finally, what changes can you suggest to improve the overall design or implementation of the different HP Initiatives?

Thank you for completing this survey.

Second Annual Evaluation of the High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative

Comparison School Teacher Survey

	Element		ii vey		
Ins pur stu	you may know, Elementary was selected as a aluation of the HP Schools Initiative in cooperation with truction. The evaluation is being conducted by Metis pose of the evaluation study is to assess the impact the dent performance and other outcomes. Teachers in nools are being asked to complete this survey.	n the Noi Associat hat the h	rth Carolina tes, a privat HP Schools	Department te research fir Initiative is h	of Public rm. The aving on
ren attr atta sch	e appreciate your cooperation, and encourage you to appletely as possible. Please know that the survey is an anin strictly confidential. Responses to the items will ibuted to any one individual. Please return your contached envelope, and return the sealed envelope to the pool's main office. If you have any questions, please of the phone number, 1-877-638-4568.	nonymou be repo npleted s the spec	us, and that orted in the survey to M sially marke	all of your ans aggregate ar etis Associated d box located	wers will nd never es in the d in your
SE	CTION I - BACKGROUND				
1.	What is your position at the school? Classroom Teacher - Grades K-3 Classroom Teacher - Grades 4-6 Specialty Teacher (Art, Phys Ed, Music) Pre-kindergarten Teacher Resource Teacher (ESL, Special Ed) Other, specify:			#	
7.	Please indicate the number of years of experience y year as one year): Years	ou've ha	d teaching	(including the	current
8.	What is your highest education achievement? Doctoral or advanced degree Master's degree Bachelor's (4-year) degree Associate's (2-year) degree Other, specify:		. W		is.
9.	Are you fully licensed and/or certified for your current Yes No	t positior	ነ?		
SE	CTION II - CLASSROOM CLIMATE AND INSTRUCTION				
1.	How often do the following occur in your classroom?	(Check	only one re	esponse for e	
	 Timely completion of daily lessons or assignments Competition among students for teacher's attention Behavioral or discipline problems Students disrupting the work of other students 				
	SUIDENIS DISCUSSION TO WORK OF Athor etudants				

Students being "off-task" for more than 5 minutes

each)	ioi your (Once	it only one	тевропве	101
		Not Really	Somewhat	To a Great
I am aware of what each student in my class know	s and can do.		NO	Extent
I provide feedback on students' writing assignmen				
 I have enough time to provide individualized attent 				
 I am able to plan instructional activities when stude small groups. 				
I am able to meet the instructional needs of all study	dents.			
 I have enough time to initiate the right amount of p contact/communication. 	arent			
 I am able to respond to parent requests/questions There is sufficient time for me to explore curriculus 				
3. How often do you use the following strategies of	,			-
reading to your students? (Check only one resp	onse for each)		_	
Math:	Never	Rarely Oc	casionally	Frequently
Using a calculator				
 Using measuring instruments 				
 Playing with math-related games 				
 Using math in the context of other subjects 				0
 Doing math worksheets 				
Using patterns to discover math relationships		П		
Practicing computational skills				
Working with manipulative aids			_	
Other (specify):				
	Ц	Ų		
Reading:				
 Having guided discussions about reading 				
 Having students read aloud to a partner 				
 Working on phonics 				
 Writing narratives or descriptive material using invented spelling 				
Discussing new or difficult vocabulary				
Working in a reading book				
Listening to the teacher read stories	Ö			
Other (specify):				
 4. Do you have a teaching assistant in your classro Yes, full-time Yes, part-time No 	om?			
P. 14164				
5. What are the main responsibilities of the teachin	g assistants in	grades K-3	in your so	:hool?
	·			
:				

		for each)				
		,	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Frequently
	 Sent home or mailed writte 	n letters or not	tes	(*)		
	 Sent home or mailed class 	room newslette	ers			
	 Made home visits 					
	Made phone calls					
	 Completed weekly behavious 	r reports				
	 Sent e-mail messages 					
	Other (specify):					
	 □ A child has been attentive □ To invite/notify parents ab □ A child has been disruptiv □ To ask parents for classed □ To invite parents to attend □ A child has shown improve □ A child has submitted exect □ A child has difficulty work □ A child has been inattenti □ A child has a serious protention □ A child in my class has a □ Not applicable — I have notention □ Other (specify): 	out classroom e during class com supplies (d class trips rement in their emplary work ing with studer we and missing blem at home t learning disabi	activities time donations) academic skills ats in small groups class work or home that is affecting their sility	work assignme schoolwork and	d/or social sl	kills
8.	How satisfied are you with		arent involvement i 3	n your school 4	?	= ²⁵
	Not at all satisfied		newhat satisfied	,	_	
					voly o	atisfied
9.	Over the past three school school year of more than 1	years, has yo 80 total instru	ur school extended actional days)?	the school ye	_	
9.	Over the past three school school year of more than 1 2001-2002: No	years, has yo 80 total instru □ Yes	uctional days)?	the school ye	ear for stude	ents (i.e., a
9.	school year of more than 1	80 total instru	uctional days)?		ear for stude	ents (i.e., a
9.	school year of more than 1 2001-2002: No	80 total instru Yes Yes Yes	uctional days)? Not applicable Not applicable Not sure – this	- I was not tea - I was not tea s is my first yea	ear for stude aching in this aching in this	ents (i.e., a s school s school

SECTION III - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

1.	pro	om what you know, what are (or will be) the maj ofessional development that will be offered at your () occurred)					
		Individualized instruction		Theme-b	ased instru	uction	
		Small group instruction		Learning	centers		
		Cooperative learning		Manipula	atives		
		Language learning approaches		Inquiry-b	ased instru	ıction	
		Project -based instruction			ogy as a lea		
		Literacy instruction			instruction		
		Mathematics instruction		Increasin	ng parental	involvement	
		Lessons that incorporate the North Carolina Standard Course of Study			strategies f	or teaching st	udents
		Specific strategies for teaching English language learners			m manage , diversity)	ment strategie	es (e.g.,
		Specific school-reform models (e.g. Comer School Development Program)		Don't kno	ow/not sure	<u>}</u>	
2.		Other (specify):ere you given or do you anticipate being given an					cope of
		e professional development (PD) that has been (or Yes	or w	'ill be) offe	ered at you	ur school?	
3.		your opinion, how well have the professional deve heck only one response for each)	lopr	ment sess	sions addr	essed the fol	lowing:
				Not at all	Partially	Adequately	Fully
		North Carolina's Standard Course of Study, including strategies for classroom practice					
	p	Special strategies for working with diverse student oppulations (e.g., students with disabilities, English anguage learner students)			D		
	• 5	Strategies for promoting active learning					
	• 5	Strategies for implementing small group instruction					
		The specific needs of the participating teachers					
		The specific needs of the students in your school					
	• 5	Strategies for implementing research-based or "best practice" instructional methods					
		The school's school improvement plan					
4.		ere you or do you anticipate being offered any of seriences as a follow-up to any of the professional Yes					or other
	b.	If yes , the opportunities that (or will) followed the (or will take) the form of: (Check all that have (or w	/ill) occun	red)		ns took
		 A workshop or teacher seminar that built on wha Meetings with other teachers to reflect on the PD learned. 				•	at was
		 Visits to classrooms of other teachers, either with how to implement what was learned in the initial 			he school,	to better unde	rstand

Appendix 1

			Coursework at	a postse	cond	ary insti	tution	that was rela	at	ted to the initial PD activity.
			Someone com learned at the i	ing into c initial PD	lassr activ	ooms to ity.	provi	de demonstr	га	ation lessons or model what was
			An experience to implementat	d teacher ion of wh	work	king with	othe	r teachers ov the initial PD	ve	er a period of time as a mentor to assist activity.
										entire staff or certain grade level
			Dissemination	of test so	ores	to shap	e inst	ruction		
			No opportunitie	s for follo	ow-up	were o	ffered	ł		
			Other (specify)	:						
SE	СТЮ		IV - REDUCED							
2.	Ov	er	the past three	school y	ears	, has th	e nui	mber of stud	de	ents in your class decreased?
	•		2001-2002: 🗆	No		Yes		Not applical	bl	le - I was not teaching in this school
			2002-2003:	No		Yes		Not applical	bl	le - I was not teaching in this school
	e (lf	yol	2003-2004: □ answered <i>no</i>			Yes chool y	□. ears,	Not sure - t	thi Æ	is is my first year teaching in this school COMPLETED THE SURVEY.)
										·
	a.	VV	hat led to the r	eduction	n of c	class siz	zes ir	your school	ol	 ?
			District initiative	(piease e	expla	lain):	-			
			Curriculum pla	n (please	exp	lain)				
			School Improv	ement Pl	lan (p	lease e	xplain);		
			Other (please	explain):						
2.	Ha red	ve luc	any changes	been m	nade	to you	ır phy	/sical class	src	oom space to allow for class size
		N	0 0	Yes						
	a.	If (C	yes, what effect theck only one	t (if any) respon) has se)	the ch	ange	in physical	cl	lassroom space had on instruction?
			Neutral - The	change ir	n clas	scoom	space	has not had	d a	any effect on instruction.
			Positive - The	change i	in cla	ssroom	space	has facilita	ate	ed effective instruction.
			Negative – Th	e change	in cl	assroor	n spa	ce has made	9 €	effective instruction more difficult.
3.	Wh	nat ole	types of sched mentation of re	uling or o	other	progra	mma (Che	tic changes	i (i	if any) are being made to support the
		Pa	arallel or block s	cheduling	3		,			Multi-age grouping of students
		Hi	ired additional te	achers/te	achi		tants		-	Team teaching
		Sı	mall group interv	ention (p]	Small group instruction
			rade level planni							Tutoring or remediation
		U:	sed school-wide ther, specify:	curriculu	m pla	an (e.g.,	SFA))	None

4.	From what you have observed as a result of reduced following changes occurred in the K-3 classrooms wi (Check <i>all</i> that apply)				
	#	No change	Modest change	Substantial change	Don't know
	Increased standardized test scores				
	Increased use of project-based instruction				
	• Increased time spent on instruction				
	Reduced time spent on classroom management				
	Fewer discipline-related problems				
	 Increased use of small group instruction 				
	 Greater incidence of individualized student instruction 				
	 Increased parental involvement in the classroom 				
	• Increased use of alternative student assessment methods				
	Positive changes in level of student effort and initiative				
	 Increased use of testing results to inform instruction 				
	Other:	"			
6.	Did you receive professional development that was spe work more effectively in a reduced class size setting?	ecifically gea	ared towa	ırds helpinç	g you
	□ Yes □ No a. If yes, please describe the topics or strategies that	were covere	ed in the	training.	
)4 (4)	
7	What changes (positive or negative) have taken plimplementation of reduced class sizes?	ace at you	ır school	because	of the
8.	What constraints, challenges, or obstacles (if any) did y implementing reduced class sizes?	ou or your	school er	acounter in	
	• • • •				ų.

Thank you for completing this survey.

Second Annual Evaluation of the High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative

Teaching	Assistant	Survey
	Element	ary

	Element	ary			
Eva	you may know,Elementary was selected as a aluation of the HP Schools Initiative. The evaluation i rate research firm. Teaching assistants in each of the ng asked to complete this survey.	s being co	inducted by	Metis Associa	tes, a
will atta	appreciate your cooperation, and encourage you to impletely as possible. Please know that the survey is remain strictly confidential. Please return your compached envelope, and return the sealed envelope to the lool's main office. If you have any questions, please free phone number, 1-877-638-4568.	anonymou pleted surv ne speciall	us, and tha vey to Metis y marked b	t all of your ans Associates in oox located in y	the our
1.	What is your position at the school?				
			Full-t	ime Part-	time
	Teaching Assistant in Grades K-3				l
	Resource Teaching Assistant (ESL, special education)]
	Other (specify):	_			l
3.			(4-year) de	gr ee 	
4.	Did (or will) you receive certification as a teaching as	ssistant?			
	 a. If yes, which of the following certifications have your North Carolina Association of Teacher Assistant North Carolina Department of Labor Teacher Assistant Other (specify):	ts' (NCATA) Profession	nal Development	Plan
5.	How often do you provide the following types of assistance)				
	Academic:	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Freque
	Tutor/assist children in learning class material using the teacher's lesson plans	1	2	3	4
	Serve as a substitute teacher	1	2	3	4

	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Frequently
Academic:			,	,
 Tutor/assist children in learning class material using the teacher's lesson plans 	1	2	3	4
 Serve as a substitute teacher 	1	2	3	4
 Grade tests and assignments as instructed by the teacher 	1	2	3	4
 Observe and record student performance 	1	2	3	4
 Demonstrate various instructional activities 	1	2	3	4
 Listen to students reading in small groups 	1	2	3	4
 Help students find information for reports 	1	2	3	4
 Check and correct students' work while in progress 	1	2	3	4

Appendix 1

				7.7	
		Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Frequently
	Check homework assignments	1	2	3	4
	Assist students with disabilities	1	2	3	4
	Assist English language learners	1	2	3	4
	Other (specify):	1	2	3	4
	Administrative:				
	Help prepare materials for instruction	1	2	3	4
	 Supervise students outside of the classroom (e.g., cafeteria, schoolyard, school discipline center, field trips) 	1	2	3	4
	Attend professional development workshops	1	2	3	4
	 Hand out materials for lessons 	1	2	3	4
	Maintain student health records	1	2	3	4
	Maintain student attendance records	1	2	3	4
20	Arrange classroom furnishings and equipment	1	2	3	4
	Prepare visual aids	1	2	3	4
	• Other (specify):	1 ,	2	3	4
	Classroom Management:				
	Praise/support achievement of students	1	2	3	4
	 Keep students on task 	1	2	3	4
	Create awards for positive behavior	1	2	3	4
	Encourage student self esteem	1	2	3	4
	• Teach citizenship, social skills, and respect for others	1	2	3	4
	Settle minor student conflicts	1	2	3	4
	Participate in the development of discipline policy	1	2	3	4
	 Discipline misbehavior through approved methods 	1	2	3	4
	Monitor and record student behavior	1	2	3	4
	Report discipline problems to teacher or principal	1	2	3	4
	Other (specify):	1	2	3	4
6.	How well are you able to do the following?				2
	·	Not Applicable	Slightly Skilled	Moderatel Skilled	y Highly Skilled
	I have successful methods of dealing with children.	1	2	3	4
	I have working knowledge of the core subjects at the grade level with which I work.	1	2	3	4
	I am familiar with the school organization and its community.	1	2	3	4
	 I have a good understanding of what is expected behavior for children (e.g., basic characteristics of ages and stages). 	1	2	3	4
	I can create learning aids that strengthen lesson plans.	1	2	3	4
	 I can teach to the children's different levels of knowledge or abilities. 	1	2	3	4
	 I know how to use good methods of recognition, reward, and punishment. 	1	2	3	4
	I can solve most minor problems independently.	1	2	3	4
	I keep student information confidential.	. 1	2	3	4
	Arr				

Thank you for completing this survey.

Second Annual Evaluation of the High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative Teacher Log Pilot Study

Instruction Sheet for Teacher Logs

The Teacher Log is designed to create a record of your teaching in reading/language arts. The first step when you begin is to fill in the log for any given day is to write in the date of the lesson/instructional block that you are reporting and the number of reading/language arts lessons or instructional blocks you taught. We strongly encourage you to complete the Log Reports at the end of the **each day**, so that you are able to recall the specific details that occurred during each lesson/instructional block you taught.

By lesson or instructional block, we mean any discrete time during the day when you teach reading/language arts. For example, you may have your students engage in silent reading after lunch or you may have them read to each other in small groups. Each of these examples would be considered a lesson, as well as the more specific curriculum-driven lessons you teach.

Kindly,

Class Survey

☐ Yes, full-time

- 1. Fill out the <u>Class Survey</u> for your class
- 2. Fill out a <u>Teacher Log Report</u> every time you teach your class a reading/language arts lesson/instructional block

School: Elementary	Grade:
Completed during the week of:	
Number of students enrolled in this class	s:
Of the students in your class, indicate w	hat percent are considered:
General education students:	%
Special needs students:	%
English language learners:	%
Is there a part-time or full-time teaching ☐ Yes, part-time	g assistant for this class?

aily	Log Report					
ate:						
	e complete a Log Report for <u>each lesson or instructional block</u> you taught today in ng/language arts.					
1.	Total length of the lesson or instructional block (total time in minutes):					
	Minutes					
	a. Approximately what percent of the total time was spent on administrative matters (e.g., school bulletins, attendance, PA announcements)?					
	%					
	b. Approximately what percent of the total time was spent on student discipline?					
	%					
2.	Number of students present for this lesson/instructional block:					
3.	List content topic(s) covered:					
4.	Purpose of the lesson/instructional block was to: Introduce a new topic Review a previously introduced topic Further develop a topic Conclude/synthesize/complete a unit Assess students' understanding of a topic					
5.	Overall, student engagement with the lesson/instructional block was: Low Moderate High					
6.	Record materials used during the lesson/instructional block, include title and publisher, if applicable:					

/.	Source(s) of materials were: (Check all that	
	☐ Intervention resource (e.g., guidance counse	elor, substance abuse prevention)
	 5-day contract extension workshop 	
	□ Other workshop	4)
	District curriculum materials (e.g., pacing gu	iide, curriculum map)
	☐ Commercially available materials (e.g., Scho	lastic)
	Other, specify:	•
8.	Student grouping practice(s) used: (Check all Whole group presentation or discussion	II that occurred during this lesson)
	Independent work	
	☐ Small group (homogeneously grouped)	
	☐ Small group (heterogeneously grouped)	
×	☐ Learning centers	
9.	Did the student grouping change during this	lesson/instructional block?
	☐ Yes	lesson/instructional block:
	□ No	•
10	During the lesson/instructional block, you: (Check all that occurred)
10	Used instructional groups based on reading	
	□ Worked with small groups	CVC/ dbinty
	☐ Worked with individual students	
	☐ Circulated around the room	
	□ Presented or led a discussion	
	Other, specify:	
11	. Describe student activities used during the	lesson/instructional block: (Check all that
	occurred)	
	 Listened to teacher read trade books or 	☐ Wrote narratives or descriptive material using
	other stories	invented spelling
	 Worked on language arts reading worksheets 	☐ Used language arts or reading in the context
	☐ Worked in learning centers	of another subject Led guided discussions about reading
	☐ Discussed new or difficult vocabulary	☐ Worked on phonics
	☐ Worked on a textbook or reading book	☐ Worked with flash cards
	☐ Worked on computer	☐ Students read aloud to other students
	-	
	☐ Played instructional activities/games	☐ Worked on a teacher-led activity
	☐ Individual/one-on-one tutoring provided by a parent or student volunteer	☐ Individual/one-on-one tutoring provided by a
	Completed library work	teaching assistant
	Other, specify:	
12.		during this lesson/instructional block: (Check
	all who participated) ☐ Another classroom teacher	□ Parent volunteer
	☐ Teacher assistant	☐ Intervention or resource teacher
	☐ No other adults participated	
		□ Other, specify:

	scribe role of other adult: (Check all tha	t occi	urred)
	Give group instruction as prescribed by teacher		Monitor student work assigned by teacher
	Provide individualized instruction		Demonstrate instructional activities
	Arrange classroom furnishings and equipment		Score student work as instructed by the teacher
	Danners of soul of d		Serve as a proctor during testing
	Check and correct student work while in progress		Praise and reinforce achievement of students
	Keep students on task		Report student progress to teacher
	Distribute and/or collect instructional materials		Discipline misbehavior through approved methods
	Monitor student behavior	П	Reconcile minor student conflicts
	Report discipline problems to the teacher		No other adult participated
	end of		The same participated
	the lesson/instructional block meet your scribe any unanticipated outcomes that ock:		
-	Se.		T-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11
-	99		
17. Oth	ner general comments about the lesson/ir	nstruc	tional block:

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Second Annual Evaluation of the High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative

Interview Guide for Directors of Instruction (DOI)
(or other District Staff Person Responsible for Overseeing/Monitoring the HP Initiative)

Introduction/Background Information

As you may know, DPI has asked Metis Associates to conduct a second annual evaluation of the initiatives being implemented by the State's HP Schools. The second year of this evaluation will continue to look at both the implementation of the initiatives designed to support these schools (e.g., class size reduction, extended teacher contracts, extended school year, additional instructional support) and at the effects these initiatives are having on student performance.

As part of the evaluation, Metis is conducting interviews with District-level staff who have oversight responsibility for the HP Initiative in their District. The questions I have for you should take about 1 hour to complete. If you do not mind, I would like to tape record our conversation so that I do not miss anything that you have to say. Please be assured that all of the information you provide will be strictly confidential, never attributed to any one individual, and *only* reported in an aggregated manner. Do you have any questions before I begin?

- 1. What are your responsibilities as part of the HP Schools Initiative in your District? What types of support or assistance do you provide to the HP schools? In what ways are these different from last year (if at all)?
- 2. Your District has **** schools, insert names of schools, which were designated as High Priority (HP). (ASK A or B)
 - A. From last year's data collection, we learned that [insert name of school] had not yet implemented the [insert name] initiative.
 - i. From what you know, has [insert name] elementary begun to implement the [insert name] initiative this year?
 - ii. IF YES What support or resources has allowed them to move forward with implementation? Probe for who provided the assistance or resources whether it was the District, DPI staff, etc.
 - iii. *IF NO* What is preventing this school from implementing this initiative? Are their particular barriers that they are facing? What, if anything, has the District or the school done to address those barriers?

OR

- B. From last year's data collection we learned that all four of the initiatives were implemented at the HP schools in your district.
 - i. From what you know, are all four HP initiatives currently being implemented this year?
 - ii. IF YES Are there any barriers or challenges that the HP schools are facing as they

- are implementing the initiatives this year? What, if anything, has been done to address those barriers or issues?
- iii. *IF NO* What is preventing this school from implementing this initiative? Are their particular barriers or challenges that they are facing? What, if anything, has the District or the school done to address those barriers?
- 3. In your opinion, were the HP schools provided with sufficient resources (either funding or technical assistance regarding implementation) by the State to assist these schools in implementing these four initiatives for this school year? If not, what was lacking?
- 4. In your opinion, has the level and/or quality of communication between DPI and the District improved regarding the expectations and requirements of the four HP Schools initiatives? How was this done (e.g., group meetings, individual discussions, memos)?
- 5. What role does the District play in communicating expectations about the HP initiatives to each of its HP schools? What efforts were implemented by the District to ensure that principals at the HP schools understood what funds were available to help them implement the four initiatives?
- 6. Do you believe that schools are more aware of the requirements of the HP Initiative this year, compared to last year? Why do you think that?
- 7. What effects has the implementation of the HP Initiative had on policy either at the District or school level? What examples of this can you provide?
- 8. Thinking about the current school year, to what extent are the HP schools in your district encountering unexpected costs associated with the implementation of any of the four HP initiatives? *IF APPLICABLE, ASK* In particular, we are interested in learning about how the schools and/or District are/is able to absorb these unexpected costs?
- 9. During the first annual evaluation, the HP schools raised several problems they faced when trying to implement the reduced class size initiative. From what you know, what problems are the HP schools in your district currently having in terms of finding appropriate classroom space for the reduction in numbers of students per teacher as specified in the legislation? What strategies are being used to find facilities for new classrooms (e.g., portables, reconfigured art or other specialty rooms)? How were these paid for?
- 10. Did the HP schools in your district have to hire new teachers to staff the additional classes in grades K-3 for the 2003-2004 school year? *IF YES, ASK*:
 - a. To what extent did the HP schools or the District have difficulty finding qualified, licensed teachers to staff the additional classes in grades K-2?
 - b. Of those who were hired through the HP allotment, how many were licensed? How many were new teachers with no or little (less than three years) prior experience?
- 11. Have the HP schools in your district been able to retain their teaching assistant positions?
 - a. IF YES What funding are they using to make that happen? What resources were no longer being provided because the monies are being used to pay for the teaching assistant positions?
 - b. IF NO In your opinion, have the benefits of class size reduction outweighed the loss of the

teaching assistant allocations in grades K-3?

- 12. What do you believe is the added value (if any) of the presence of the teaching assistants in a reduced class size setting?
- 13. How was the content or curriculum determined for the five-day teacher contract extension professional development during this school year? To what extent did you or the District influence or provide input into the content of the training? *IF APPLICABLE, ASK* Did this process vary by school or was it the same for each HP school in your District?
- 14. What efforts, if any, were undertaken to ensure that the professional development was designed to enhance instruction in a reduced class size setting? [Probe for content of the PD]
- 15. What assistance, if any, did the District provide to the HP schools to support the implementation of the five professional development days (e.g., additional money, staff developers, supplies)?
- 16. We understand that one additional instructional staff person was to be hired and placed at the HP schools. Was that person hired? If so, what is the job title of the person who was hired to fill this position this year? What are the main responsibilities of the staff person you just mentioned?
 - a. What process was used to determine what type of additional staff position was allocated to each HP school? Was this position the same or different for each HP school in your District?
- 17. Since the start of the HP initiative, do you believe that parental involvement increased in the HP schools in your district?
 - a. Thinking about each HP school, has the additional instructional support position impacted parent involvement? Would you say it has been neutral, positive, or negative? Why do you think that?
- 18. In your opinion, to what extent has the HP Initiative contributed to improved academic achievement or greater classroom learning at the HP schools?
 - a. How has the HP Initiative helped to improve skills of classroom teachers in grades K-3 at the target schools?
 - b. What other changes have you observed either at the HP schools or at the District level that you attribute to the HP Initiative?
- 19. What recommendations do you have for changes or improvements in the HP Initiative? Would you recommend that some or all aspects be continued? If so, in what form? If not, why not?
- 20. Are there any additional topics or issues pertaining to the HP Schools Initiative that you feel might inform the evaluation about which I did not already ask?

Thank you very much for your time!

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Second Annual Evaluation of High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative

District Finance Officer (DFO) Interview Protocol

Introduction/Background Information

As you may know, DPI has asked Metis Associates to conduct a second annual evaluation of the initiatives being implemented by the State's HP Schools. The second year of this evaluation will continue to look at both the implementation of the initiatives designed to support these schools (e.g., reduced class size, extended teacher contracts, extended school year, added instructional support) and at the effects these initiatives are having on student performance.

In particular, one component of the evaluation is focused on how the HP schools make use of the funding provided by the HP legislation, how the allocation of these resources is related to student achievement, and how the HP schools are coordinating resources from different sources to support the HP initiatives. As such, Metis is conducting interviews with Finance Officers of all 16 school districts that have HP designated schools. The questions I have for you should take about an hour to complete. If you don't mind, I would like to tape record our conversation so that I don't miss anything that you have to say. Please be assured that all of the information you provide will be strictly confidential, never attributed to any one individual, and only reported in the aggregate. Do you have any questions before I begin?

- 1. Last year, we asked you [OR IF THEY ARE NEW the District Finance Officers] to describe your [their] role as the District Finance Officer. Have any significant changes occurred in your responsibilities or functions as a DFO? How about with respect to administering the HP Schools Initiative?
- 2. Has the general process used to allocate State funds to the schools changed in your District from last year?
- 3. In general, since last year, has there been an increase, decrease, or no change in State funding apart from the HP legislative funding to individual schools districts above and beyond what would be normally allocated when there is an increase in student enrollment?
 - a. How about for Federal or local funding to individual districts? Has there been an increase, decrease, or no change above and beyond what would be normally allocated when there is an increase in student enrollment?
- 4. Again, since last year, regardless of the source of the funding, has there been an increase in State funding apart from the HP legislative funding earmarked for professional development?
- 5. Are the HP schools in your district receiving special HP funding allocations from the State for teacher positions, added instructional support, and extended teacher contract days for both professional development and the extended school year days?
- 6. Can you describe the process that was used this year to allocate these positions and resources to the HP school(s) to support
 - (1) reduced class size:
 - (2) extension of teacher contracts for professional development;
 - (3) the extended school year initiative; and
 - (4) the hiring of one additional instructional staff position?

- a. Is this different from the process used last year (if at all) to allocate the HP resources to the schools?
- b. Were the HP schools in your District able to make decisions regarding the use of the legislative resources in terms of planning the content/scope of PD offered, programming of the extended school year, and hiring the additional instructional staff member? If not, how was it determined how these funds were utilized?
- 7. (SEE FUNDING SOURCES CHART) We understand that [INSERT NAME OF HP SCHOOL] currently receives Federal [insert names of funding sources and/or programs], and State [insert names of funding sources and/or programs] funding. To the best of your knowledge, how are the HP schools using these other funds to enhance or support the reduced class size, professional development, or the extended school year initiatives?
 - a. Are you aware of any other funding or resources that the HP schools receive that I didn't already mention? If so, how are the schools using these funds? Are there any additional examples that show how the HP schools are using other funding sources to support the cost of reduced class size, related PD, or the extended school year program?
 - b. Has your District or any of the HP schools used Title I funds to support reduced class size? If so, how have they been used?
- 8. In your opinion, were the HP schools in your District provided with sufficient resources by the State to implement the four initiatives? If not, what is lacking? (Probe: How much money is lacking?)
- 9. Aside from the HP legislative initiative, has your District implemented reduced class size in other schools during the 2003-2004 school year?
 - a. If so, how did the district pay for reduced class size? Please name the particular funding sources used by the District.
 - b. In how many schools was this implemented? [Probe for school names]
 - c. On average, by approximately how many students were the class sizes reduced?
- 10. Last year we learned that some HP schools were not allotted additional teaching positions because the school had an overall decline in student enrollment. Thinking about the current school year, was there declining enrollment in the HP schools in your district?
 - a. If so, to what extent did overall declining student enrollment affect the number of teaching positions allocated to the HP schools?
- 11. Does your District have a budget or set of funds specifically earmarked for professional development?
 - a. If so, to what extent is the District using its PD funds this year to support or enhance the legislatively prescribed PD that was required of the HP schools?
 - b. If not, what types of district-wide PD initiatives did the District offer during this school year that would support the HP schools' efforts to implement reduced class size (e.g., individualized instruction, cooperative learning strategies, alternative assessment)?

- 13. Did the District provide the HP schools with any extra funding for PD to help support their efforts to implement reduced class size or extended school year programming for this school year? If so, how much?
- 14. In your opinion, has the level and/or quality of communication between DPI and the District improved regarding the financial aspects of the HP Schools Initiative?
 - a. Do you believe that schools are more aware of the requirements of the HP Initiative this year, compared to last year? Why do you think that?
- 15. Have the HP schools in your district been able to retain their teaching assistant positions?
 - a. If so, what funding are they using to make that happen?
 - b. What resources were no longer available because those monies are being used to pay for the teaching assistant positions?
- 16. Finally, are there any other issues related to the HP Initiative (from a funding perspective) that you believe are important or could inform the evaluation?

Thank you very much for your time!

Second Annual Evaluation of the High-Priority Schools Initiative

Comparison School Principal Interview

As you know, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) has asked Metis Associates to conduct a second annual evaluation of the High-Priority (HP) Schools Initiative in North Carolina, and that your school was selected as one of nine comparison schools.

We appreciate your cooperation, and encourage you to answer the questions honestly and as completely as possible. Please know that your responses will be reported only in the aggregate and never attributed to any one individual or school. Do you have any questions before we begin?

ION I - BACKGROUND
. Confirm title of respondent:
 For how many years have you been principal [OR OTHER POSITION SPECIFIED] at this school, including the current year as one year:
Years

SECTION II - REDUCED CLASS SIZE

1. From what you know, what initiatives has this school implemented over the past three school years that have led to reduced class size in grades K-3? [Probe for the different funding sources used to support reduced class size, average class sizes]

Note - if respondent says none, skip to Q.2

- a. What strategies (if any) has your school used to physically accommodate the increased need for classroom space? [Probes used classroom dividers, purchased portables, converted music or art rooms, moved grades to other school buildings, used team teaching strategies]
- b. What types of scheduling or other programmatic changes (if any) are being made to support the implementation of reduced class sizes? [Probes implemented parallel or block scheduling, hired additional teachers, used multi-age groups of students, used more small group intervention]
- c. Has any special staff development been provided for those teachers whose class sized has been reduced? By this we mean training that was specially offered to help them be more effective in a smaller class setting. If **yes**, please describe.
- d. From what you've observed as a result of the reduced class size, what changes have occurred in classrooms with respect to teaching and learning? [Probes – increased test scores, more time spent on instruction, less time spent on classroom management/student discipline, greater individualized instruction, increased use of small group or project-based work, greater parent involvement, greater use of alternative assessment]
- e. What types of challenges or constraints (if any) has your school encountered in trying to implement the reduced class size initiative?

- 2. Thinking about the past **three** school years, what different types of school-wide initiatives have been implemented to improve academic achievement at your school? [Probes be sure to ask what grades were impacted by each initiative, how long it's been implemented at the school, and any other relevant details for each of the following:
 - Implementation of Federal grants (such as Reading First/REA, 21st Century Community Learning Center, Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration);
 - Changes in specific instructional approaches (such as cooperative learning, balanced literacy);
 - Changes in curriculum for particular subject areas (such as a new literacy curriculum;
 - Implementation of school-wide professional development programs
 - Implementation of school-based health clinic and/or mental health services]
- 3. Is your school currently implementing any type of extended school year program for students? [Probe summer programming, after/before school programs, year-round schooling, Saturday and/or school break/holiday weekend programming, extended school year calendar]
 - a. If **yes**, please describe who participates, how are students selected, what types of instructional activities are offered.
 - b. In your opinion, to what extent have these extended school year programs led to improved academic achievement for participating students? Why do you think that?

SECTION III - PARENT INVOLVEMENT

- 1. Does your school have a parent coordinator, parent liaison, or some other staff member who has specific responsibilities of planning and conducting school-wide parent involvement? [If yes, ask for the name of the position (and the two follow-up questions below).]
 - a. What are the main responsibilities of the staff person you just mentioned?
 - b. In your opinion, what effect (if any) has this staff person had on parental involvement in your school? Would you say it has been neutral, positive, or negative? Why do you think that?
- 2. Thinking about the last three years, what have been the *most effective* types of strategies used to increase parent involvement at your school?
- 3. In general, how satisfied are you with the level of parent involvement in your school?

SECTION IV - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

- 1. We are interested in learning about the professional development that is offered to teachers at your school. Thinking about the current school year, what are the major content areas or topics that will be covered during professional development that has been (or will be) offered at your school?
- 2. How is it decided what topics will be covered?

- To what extent are teachers offered follow-up training to the different professional development initiatives you just mentioned? How is that follow-up provided? [Probe for follow-up training workshop, in-class support/modeling, discussions at grade-level or other meetings]
- 4. Finally, from what you know about the HP Schools Initiative, what changes can you suggest to improve the overall design or implementation of the different HP Initiatives – reduced class size, added instructional support position, extended teacher contracts for PD, and extended school year for students?

Thank you for your time.

Appendix 2

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Second Annual Evaluation of the High Priority Schools Initiative, 2003-2004 Technical Work Group (TWG)

BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARIES

DR. CAROLYN T. COBB, RALEIGH, NC

Carolyn was hired as the Director of the Governor's *More at Four* Pre-K Program in November 2001 to initiate the pilot program and has shaped the Pre-K program from a pilot to a statewide program in less than three years. Carolyn has over 30 years experience in education and psychology with increasing leadership and management responsibilities in educational reform, school improvement, and best practices research and evaluation. Prior positions in the Department of Public Instruction include Chief of School Psychology and Pupil Personnel Services, Chief of Evaluation and Research, and Director of the Division of Innovation and Development. For several years in DPI she supervised the evaluation and research activities of selected statewide evaluations, research briefs, and special projects.

Carolyn has served as President of the state and national School Psychology Associations. She also has been active in state-level advocacy, serving on the Board and as Chair of the N.C. Child Advocacy Institute and on the Board of Trustees for the Methodist Home for Children in North Carolina. Her publications include a co-edited book for the American Psychological Association entitled Comprehensive Services to Children and Families: Opportunities for Psychological Practice. She received her B.A. and M.A. from University of NC at Chapel Hill and her Ph.D. in Psychology from NC State University.

DR. JAMES G. EMSHOFF, ATLANTA, GA

Dr. Emshoff is currently an Associate Professor of Psychology and Director of the Community Psychology Program at Georgia State University. He also founded and serves as Director of Research at EMSTAR Research, Inc., an evaluation and organizational services firm. He has directed research projects funded by a variety of federal agencies focused on health and well-being. He has received many honors, including the American Medical Association Substance Abuse Prevention Award.

During a leave from his academic position, Dr. Emshoff worked at the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) where he concentrated on evaluation issues and the creation of CSAP's grant program for substance abusing pregnant and post partum women and their infants. Dr. Emshoff has conducted evaluation research focused on substance abuse, violence, HIV/AIDS, child abuse, community collaboratives, mentoring, delinquency, and health promotion programs at the local, state, and national levels and provides technical assistance in prevention and evaluation to many organizations. He serves on the Board of Directors or Executive Committee of several national organizations. Approximately 200 of his publications and professional presentations focus on a variety of prevention and evaluation issues. Dr. Emshoff received his M.S. and Ph.D. in Community Psychology from Michigan State University.

MR. ROBERT J. TOBIAS, NEW YORK, NY

Mr. Robert J. Tobias is currently a clinical professor and the director of the Center for Research on Teaching and Learning in the Department of Teaching and Learning at NYU's Steinhardt School of Education. For over fourteen years, Mr. Tobias held the position of Executive Director of the Division of Assessment and Accountability for the New York City Public Schools. In this position, he was responsible for supervising and coordinating all testing, research, and evaluation activities conducted in the New York City public schools, including designing and implementing the system's large-scale evaluation activities and research studies, as well as reviewing and approving all external research conducted in the system. Prior to assuming that position, Mr. Tobias served as the Administrator of the Office of Educational Evaluation, and as Manager of the Special Education Evaluation Unit, both at the New York City Board of Education.

Mr. Tobias has presented several papers at the American Educational Research Association and the Council of Chief State School Officers' Conference on Large Scale Assessment, and is a Fellow of the National Center for Educational Statistics. Mr. Tobias is particularly proficient in the area of statistical data analysis, including descriptive and inferential multi-variate analyses and in psychometrics, including classical techniques and item response theory. He received a B.A. in Psychology from Queens College, an M.A. in Psychological Research from Temple University, and has completed coursework for a doctorate in personality and social research at the New School for Social Research.

DR. PAULETTE PONCELET, CLEVELAND, OH

Dr. Poncelet is currently the Director of Program Research and Evaluation of the Cleveland Municipal School District. Dr. Poncelet joined the District in 1999, at a time when the District was transitioning to a mayoral governance structure that included an appointed school board and the appointment of Barbara Byrd-Bennett as CEO. Dr. Poncelet's team serves the Academic Office. She is responsible for all research and evaluation in the District, i.e. research and evaluation conducted in-house, contracted to independent evaluators, and projects that are the result of collaboration with external partners such as university researchers and federal, state, and local research organizations and agencies. She has directed the evaluation of important large-scale initiatives in Cleveland such as K-8 restructuring, Extended Day, English Language Arts standards implementation and impact, and the National Science Foundation Math Science Partnership grant, as well collaborated with numerous researchers on evaluations of programs such as Lightspan, Voyager, Cornerstone, and the AFT Reading Project. In addition, Dr. Poncelet is responsible for Cleveland's cooperative research proposal review process wherein researchers apply to conduct research in collaboration with the District.

Dr. Poncelet has presented several papers at American Educational Research Association (AERA) and Council of the Great City Schools (CGCS) conferences. She serves on the CGCS Technical Assistance Team and has been a conference proposal reviewer for AERA for the past three years. Her research interests include education policy, school and program evaluation, and research design and methodology. Dr. Poncelet received a B.A. in Sociology and an M.A. in Education from the University of Akron and a Ph,D. in Education from Cleveland State University.

Appendix 3

2003-2004 High Priority Schools, Organized by District

Anson County Schools

Wadesboro Primary

Bertie County Schools

Aulander Elementary Windsor Elementary

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools

Shamrock Gardens Elementary Thomasboro Elementary Westerly Hills Elementary

Cumberland County Schools

Lillian Black Elementary
Pauline Jones Elementary
Teresa C. Berrien Elementary

Durham Public Schools

George Watts Elementary Lakewood Elementary W.G. Pearson Elementary Eastway Elementary

Edgecombe County Schools

Roberson Elementary

Forsyth County Schools

Ashley Elementary
Cook Elementary
Forest Park Elementary
Kimberley Park Elementary
North Hills Elementary
Petree Elementary

Gaston County Schools

Rhyne Elementary Woodhill Elementary

Guildford County Schools

W.M. Hampton Elementary Fairview Elementary Clara J. Peck Elementary

Hertford County Schools

Riverview Elementary

Nash-Rocky Mount Schools

James C. Braswell Elementary O.R. Pope Elementary

Northampton County Schools Rich Square Creecy Elementary

Robeson County Public Schools West Lumberton Elementary

Union County Public Schools East Elementary Walter Bickett Elementary

Vance County Schools
Clark Street Elementary
New Hope Elementary
Pinkston Street Elementary

Wayne County Public Schools
Carver Heights Elementary

Appendix 4

2 ×

Appendix 4
Metis Associates' Second Annual Evaluation of the High Priority Schools Initiative
Multiple Regression Analysis Output

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

3RD GRADE

CORRELATION MATRIX BY GRADE:

2004 EOG READING Z

SCORES

PRCPS	PRCPS	DRCDC		DDCDC		DOCDC		FEDEV				
RDGZ04 RDG 00103		PRCPS ELL04 02803	SPEC	PRCPS CED04 06103	AIGO	PRCPS 4 SE 09303		FEDEX ISP04 PPS03	RCSSC	L 04	ESY04	
1.00	0.67 -0.03	0.07 0.05		0.34		3 (-0.01).12	$\substack{0.01\\0.00}$	0	.01	-0.03	
	1.00 0.03	0.11		0.33	0.24).16	-0.07 0.01	0	.01	-0.02	
	0.03 1.00	0.04		-0.02 -0.20	-0.00) -0	0.01	0. -0.13	0		0.	
0.07 -0.04	0.11 0.04	1.00 0.02		0.03	0.0		0.01	-0.01 0.03	0	.04	-0.00	
-0.04	0.33 -0.02	-0.03 0.01		1.00	0.0).14	0.06	0	.04	-0.04	
0.23	0.24 -0.00	0.05 -0.01		0.08	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.07	-0	.01	-0.00	
-0.00	0.16 - 0.01	0.01		0.14	0.0		L.00	0.01	-0	.01	0.02	
0.04	0.07 0. 0.13	-0.01 -0.03		0.06	0.0		0.01	1.00	0	.05	0.15	
0.08	0.01 0. -0.34	0.04 -0.03		0.04	-0.0	1 -0 0.28	0.01	0.05	1	.00	-0.00	
0.22	0.02 0. 0.10	$-0.00 \\ 0.19$	-	-0.04 -0.34	-0.0		0.02	0.15	₋ -0	.00	1.00	
1.00		-0.04 0.00		-0.04 0.02		3 -0 0.20		0.04	0	.08	0.22	
-0.04	0.02 0.35 1.00	-0.07 -0.27	-	-0.04 -0.04		3 -0 -0.05	0.01	0.13	-0	.34	0.10	
0.00	0.06 -0.18	0.02 1.00		0.01		-0.27		-0.03 0.65	-0	. 03	0.19	
0.02	0.04 -0.20 -0.04	0.07 0.04		0.00 1.00 0.06	-0.0	-0.07	0.02	-0.13 -0.00		. 18	-0.34	
0.20	0.02 0. -0.05	0.11		-0.07		1.00		0.14			0.12	
	0.01 -0.13	0.03 0.65		$0.01 \\ -0.00$	-0.0	0 -0.09	0.02	0.00	-0	. 03	0.21	
PAGE= Significan FILE	1, THRESHOI t correlation =C	LD= 0.00 ns from f	ile (CORR.1								
POSITION 12	13 14	1 15	2 16	3	4	5	6	5 7	8	9	10	
PRCPS PRCPS	LABEL PRCPS PRCPS	RDG PRCPS FE	RDG			SPEC				RCSS		
00103 02703	02803 06103	Z04 09303 PP	Z03 S03	HP04 E	LL04	ED04	AIG04	SEX04	ISP04	CL04	ESY04	
1	RDGZ04	100	67		7	34	23	12				

_					Append	dix 4						
2		RDGZ03 6	67	100		11	33	24	16	-7		
3		HP04			100	4						
-41	35	-18 -20	7	-13		100		-				
4	-7	ELL04 7	11	11	4	100		5				
5		SPECED04	34	33			100	8	14	6		
6	-4	AIG04	23	24		5	8	100		7		
6 7 8		SEX04	12	16 -7		,	14		100	,		
8		ISP04		-7			6	7		100	5	15
0	13	-13	14							5	100	
8	-34	RCSSCL04 -18	28							3	100	
9 8 -10 22	٥.	ESY04	20							15		100
22	10	19 -34	12	21								
11		PRCPS00103	20	12	-41						* 8	22
100 12		PRCPS02703	20	13	35	-7	-4			13	-34	10
	100	-27 -4		-27	33	,	7			13	34	10
13		PRCPS02803	5	6	-18							19
1.4	-27	100	-27	65	20	7				12	10	2.4
14	-4	PRCPS06103 100	-7		-20	7				-13	-18	-34
15	•	PRCPS09303	,			11				14	28	12
20		-27 -7	100	-9						5		
16 13	27	FEDEXPPS03	0	100	-13							21
13	-27	65	-9	100								

Multiple R Multiple R squa Adjusted R squa Std. Error of E Constant	ared	.726592 .527935 .516957 .6337455 09753581			
Analysis of Variance Regression Residual	DF 11 473	Sum of Squares 212.456662 189.972596	Mean Square 19.314242 .4016334	F Ratio 48.0892	Prob. Level .0000
Adj. Total	484	402.429258		v	

S Num	Mult Final	Mult Cha F when		Variable Partial	В,	Stand
Tvars	R	R in	Rsq	entered	raw	error
Stand. E now coef-	F to	entered Sq. or	cor. with	cor. in (* shows final	coef-	of
P in ficient	delete	deleted	dep.	deleted) step	ficient	В
1 1 .5545327	.6760 228.690	.4570 .4 406.557		RDGZ03	.5398290	.03569709
2 2	. 6999	.4899 .0	329	SPECED04	.5319339	.09233347
.2002263	33.189 .7083	.5017 .0	118	.2561 ISP04	. 6342467	.2084789
.1651661 4 4	9.255 .7123			.1385 PRCPS09303 Page 2	0009406867	.0005485321

			Append	11 X 4		
06173587	2.941	5.559	0489	0786		
5 5	.7142 .5101	.0027	RCS	SCL04	8566281	.3033273
1406771	7.976	2.669	0049	1288		
6 6	.7168 .5138	.0037	PRCPS	02703	0002836782	.0001891680
-:06340381	2.249	3.632	0386	0688		
7 7	.7207 .5194	.0056	PRCPS	06103	01041012	.003368582
1420316	9.550	5.559	1237	1407	•	
8 8	.7231 .5228	.0034		AIG04	.3063690	.1741831
.06007249	3.094	3.427	.2265	.0806		
9 9	.7243 .5246	.0017		ELL04	.2587986	.1637146
.05225088	2.499	1.714	.1186	.0725		
10 10	.7255 .5263	.0017	PRCPS	00103	0001642003	.0001254638
07065188	1.713	1.733	.0771	0601		
11 11	.7266 .5279	.0016		SEX04	.07694158	.05990675
.04222624	1.650	1.650	.2078	.0590		

A serve as a different A

Regression Equation for Grade 3:

RDGZ04 (pred) = .539829 RDGZ03 + .531934 SPECED04 + .634247 ISP04 +-.000940687 PRCPS09303 + -.856628 RCSSCL04

-.000283678 PRCPS02703 + -.0104101 PRCPS06103 + .306369 AIG04 .258799 ELL04

> -.0001642 PRCPS00103 + .0769416 SEX04 + -.0975358 NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

0.03

0.25

1.00

-0.04

0.01

-0.00

0.05

0.02

CORRELATION MATRIX BY GRADE:

0.09

-0.05

-0.03

-0.05

-0.00

-0.12

-0.29

0.02

0.21

0.

0.

-0.42

0.40

-0.19

-0.34

0.15

-0.04

1.00

-0.01

0.03

-0.01

0.01

4TH GRADE

2004 EOG READING Z

SCORES

PRCPS **PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS FEDEX** HP04 RDGZ04 RDGZ03 ELL04 SPECED04 AIG04 SEX04 ISP04 RCSSCL04 ESY04 00103 02703 02803 06103 09303 PPS03 1.00 0.74 -0.000.03 0.34 0.34 0.09 0.01 -0.080.01 -0.000.05 0.02 -0.01-0.01 -0.050.74 1.00 -0.050.35 0.05 0.35 0.14 0.01 -0.05-0.010.03 -0.010.01 -0.00 0.02 -0.00 -0.00 -0.051.00 0.05 -0.02 0.07 0.01 0. 0. 0. -0.420.40 -0.190. -0.20-0.140.03 0.05 0.05 1.00 -0.050.04 0.01 -0.030.09 -0.03-0.12 -0.050.02 0.06 0.16 0.04 0.34 0.35 -0.02-0.051.00 0.10 0.12 0.02 0.01 -0.04-0.03-0.00-0.050.00 0.04 -0.070.34 0.35 0.07 0.04 0.10 1.00 0.01 0.02 -0.06-0.02-0.010.10 -0.01-0.02-0.08 -0.010.09 0.14 0.01 0.01 0.01 1.00 0.12-0.020.02 -0.010.02 0.01 0.02 0.03 -0.03 -0.030.010.01 0. -0.030.02 0.02 -0.021.00 0.02 0.19 -0.19 -0.01 -0.010.14 -0.040.18 -0.08 -0.05

0.01

-0.04

-0.03

-0.00

-0.05

-0.26

Page 3

-0.19

0.04

0.04

-0.06

-0.02

-0.01

0.10

-0.01

0.02

-0.01

0.02

0.01

0.02

0.34

0.10

0.19

-0.12

0.02

0.19

0.22

0.12

-0.30

-0.01

0.14

-0.04

-0.06

1.00

-0.10

0.03

-0.34

-0.05

-0.10

1.00

0.25

0.15

0.21

-0.01 0. -0.05 0.	.04 5 -0 .19	-0.2 0.00 -0.1	0.20 6 0. 2	1.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 -0.3 0.0	6 3 .6 0 4	Appen 0.03 0.00 1.00 0.04 -0.02 -0.07 0.02	-0.0 -0.0 -0.0	-0.30 2 0 -0.02 8 -0 1.00 1 -0	0.03	0.65 -0.19 0.02 0.18 0.13 -0.01	0	.04 .34 .06	-0.19 0.10 0.22
	E= ficant FILE=	correl		OLD= 0. ns from		CORR.1	L						
POSIT:		12	1.4	1.1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13 LABE		15 RDG	16 RDG			SPEC				RCSS	
		PRCPS P		Z04	Z03	нр04	ELL04	ED04	AIGO4	SEX04	ISP04	CL04	ESY04
1	-	RDG	5 2 04	100	74			34	34	9		-8	
2 3 -42	5		5 Z 03 IP04 -20	74	100 -5 -14	-5 100	5 5	35	35 7	14			
A	*		_L04	1.0	5	5	100	-5	4			9	
-5 5	-12	SPECE	6 ED04	16 34	4 <u>3</u> 5		-5	100	10	12			
6		-5 A3	IG04	34	-7 35	7	4	10	100			-6	
7 8	10		EX04 SP04	-8 9	14			12	19	100	100		19
9	14	RCSS	-19 CL04	18 -8			9		-6			100	-10
10	-34	-5 Es	SY04	34	-6						19	-10	100
25 11	15	21 PRCPS00	-19	10	22	-42	-5						25
100 12	-4	PRCPS02	4	19 5	12	40			10		14	-34	15
-4	100	-29	-26	-12	-30	-19		-5	10		7.4	-5	21
13	-29	PRCPS02		-30	65			-3			10	-3	
14 4	-26	PRCPS0	100			-20					-19	5.4	-19
15 19	-12	PRCPS09		100	-13		16		-8		18	34	10
16 12	-30	FEDEXP 65	PS03	-13	100	-14	4	-7				-6	22
									NOD	TH CAR	OLTNA D	EDARTA	AENT OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

MATRIX BY GRADE: 4TH GRADE

EOG READING Z SCORES

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

NS ENTERED INTO CORRELATION

HIGH PRIORITY SCHOOLS - 2004

SPEC

PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PRCPS PROBLEM PROBLE

					Append	ix 4			
1	2457	1766	2457	2457	2457	2457 2457	1852	1852	1756
2457		2457	2062		2457	923	2457		
2	1766	1774	1774	1774	1774	1774 1774	1264		1192
1774		1774	1489		1774	631	1774	RDGZ03	
3	2457	1774	2620	2619	2620	2620 2620	1995	1995	1892
2620		2620	2200		2620	973	2620	HP04	
4	2457	1774	2619	2619	2619	2619 2619	1995	1995	1892
2619		2619	2199		2619	973	2619	ELL04	
5	2457		2620	2619	2620	2620 2620	1995	1995	1892
2620		2620	2200		2620	973	2620	SPECED04	
6	2457			2619	2620	2620 2620	1995	1995	1892
2620		2620	2200		2620	973	2620		
7	2457		2620	2619	2620	2620 2620	1995	1995	1892
2620	4050	2620	2200		2620	973	2620	SEX04	
8	1852		1995	1995	1995	1995 1995	1995	1995	1892
1995	1053	1995	1575	1005	1995	973	1995	ISP04	4000
9	1852		1995	1995	1995	1995 1995		1995	1892
1995	1756	1995	1575	1000	1995	973	1995	RCSSCL04	4000
10 1892	1756		1892	1892	1892	1892 1892	1892	1892	1892
1092	2457	1892	1513	2610	1892	932	1892	ESY04	1000
2620	2457		2620 2200	2619	2620	2620 2620	1995	1995	
12	2457	2620 1774	2620	2619	2620 2620	973	2620	PRCPS0010	
2620	2437	2620	2200	2019	2620	2620 2620 973	1995	1995	1892
13	2062			2199	2200	2200 2200	2620 1575		
2200	2002	2200	2200	2199	2200	622	T3/3	1575	ຸ1513
14	2457		2620	2619	2620	2620 2620	2200	PRCPS0280 1995	
2620	2437	2620	2200	2013	2620	973	1995 2620		
15	923		973	973	073	973 973	973	PRCPS0610 973	
973	323	973	622	3/3	973 973	973 973	973 973		932
16	2/157	1774	2620	2610	2620	2620 2620	1995	PRCPS0930	
2620	243/	2620	2200	2013	2620	973	3630 T333		1892
	C3505			21150	of missing	data.	2020	FEDEXPPS0	5
4433	Cases	were uro	hhen nec	.ause	or missing	uala.			

Multiple R Multiple R squared Adjusted R squared Std. Error of Est. Constant	.764938 .585131 .578475 .5955860 7579506	5		
Analysis of Variance DF Regression 6 Residual 374	Sum of Squares 187.112253 132.666275	Mean Square 31.185375 .3547227	F Ratio 87.9148	Prob. Level .0000
Adj. Total 380	319.778527			
	ult Change when Simple F	Variable Partial	В,	Stand
T vars R	R in Rsq ntered cor.	entered cor. in	raw	error
E now	Sq.	(* shows	coef-	of
P in	or with deleted dep.	final deleted) 1 step	Ficient	В
1 1 .7429 .55 .6809416 309.627	518 .5518 466.683 .7429	RDGZ03 .6 .6730 Page 5	.0 .0	3907920

		Apr	endix 4		
2 2	.7503 .5630 .0111	PF	RCPS09303	002195867	.0006073006
1340229	13.074 9.617	1064	1838		
3 3	.7553 .5705 .0075		AIG04	.3514046	.1385721
.09135360	6.431 6.609	.3427	.1300		9
4 4	.7586 .5755 .0051		ISP04	.3988625	.1455431
.1026652	7.510 4.481	.0343	.1403		
5 5	.7617 .5803 .0047		ESY04	.1988077	.09070229
.07592479	4.804 4.210	.0368	.1126	2226445	444004
6 6	.7649 .5851 .0049		SPECED04	.2326445	.1110261
.07697523	4.391 4.391	.3560	.1077		

Regression Equation for Grade 4:

RDGZ04 (pred) = .687646 RDGZ03 + -.00219587 PRCPS09303 + .351405 AIG04 + .398862 ISP04 + .198808 ESY04

+ .232644 SPECED04 + -.757951

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

5TH GRADE

SCORES

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

CORRELATION MATRIX BY GRADE:

2004 EOG READING Z

PRCPS PRCPS RDGZ04 RDGZ03 HP04 00103 02703	ELL04	SPECED04	PRCPS AIG04 SEX04 09303	FEDEX ISP04 PPS03	RCSSCL04	ESY04
1.00 0.75 -0.02 0.00 0.02	0.12 0.07	0.35 -0.01	0.35 0.15	-0.05	-0.02	-0.03
0.75 1.00 -0.04 0.03 0.06	0.10 0.05	0.31	0.38 0.13	0.07 -0.05 0.04	-0.09	-0.05
-0.02 -0.04 1.00 -0.51 0.26	0.01	-0.01	-0.01 0.00		0.	0.
0.12 0.10 0.01 -0.05 -0.15	1.00 -0.00	-0.22 -0.06 0.05		-0.01	0.07	-0.05
0.35 0.31 -0.01 -0.03 0.01	-0.06 -0.04	1.00 0.00	0.11 0.15	-0.01 -0.02	-0.01	-0.05
0.35 0.38 -0.01	0.06	0.11 0.02		-0.04	-0.04	0.04
0.15 0.13 0.00	-0.02	0.15	0.02 1.00	0.00	0.04	-0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.00	-0.02 -0.01 -0.21	-0.04 0.00 0.15	1.00	0.06	0.17
	0.07	-0.01	-0.04 0.04 0.32	0.06	1.00	-0.09
-0.03 -0.05 0.	-0.04 -0.05 0.22	-0.05 -0.19	0.04 - 0.01	0.17	-0.09	1.00
0.00 0.03 -0.51	-0.05 -0.00	-0.03	$-0.00 \begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ -0.00 \\ 0.17 \end{array}$	0.00	0.04	0.20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.15	0.02 0.01	0.06 - 0.02	0.13	-0.33	0.17
$\begin{array}{cccc} -0.02 & 1.00 \\ 0.07 & 0.05 & -0.17 \end{array}$	-0.33 -0.00	-0.04		-0.04	-0.04	0.22
	0.05	0.00	$0.02 \begin{array}{c} -0.30 \\ -0.02 \\ \end{array}$	-0.21	0.07	-0.19
0.02 -0.29	0.04	1.00 Page	-0.03 e 6	0.04		

0.0	17	-0.	-0.16	0.2 -0.3 0.0 0.7	30 02	Apper -0.01 -0.03 -0.02 0.04	3 -0.0)9 (1.00)2 -(-0.14		0.15 -0.14 -0.00 1.00		.32	0.08
PAGE Signi		t corre		LD= 0.(ns from		CORR.	1						
POSIT	ION 12	13	14	1 15	16 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		LAB PRCPS	EL	RDG	RDG			SPEC				RCSS	
		02803		Z04	Z03	нр04	ELL04	ED04	AIG04	SEX04	ISP04	CL04	ESY04
1		RD 7	GZ04	100	75 7		12	35	35	15	-5		
2	6		GZ03	75 -17	100		10	31	38	13		-9	
-51	26	-17	HP04 -22	17	-16	100			16.5				
-5	-15		LL04 5	12 13	10		100	-6	6			7	-5
5		SPEC A		35 35	31 38		-6 6	100 11	11 100	15			-5
7	6		EX04	-9 15	13		_	15		100			
8	13		SP04 -21	-5 15							100	6	17
9	-33	RCSS		32	-9		7				6	100	-9
10 20	17	22 22	SY04 -19	8	23		-5	-5			17	-9	100
11 100		PRCPS0		17	10	-51	-5						20
12	100	PRCPS0 -33	2703 -29		-32	26	-15		6		13	-33	17
13	-33	PRCPS0 100		-30	71	-17							22
14	-29	PRCPS0	6103 100	30	/ _	-22	5				-21	, 7	-19
15 17	23	PRCPS0 -30	9303	100	-17 -14		13		-9		15	32	8
16	-32	FEDEXP	PS03	7 -14		-16							23
DUDI TO	TNOT								NORT	TH CARC	DLINA D	EPARTM	ENT OF
		RUCTION						1	S ENTE	ERED IN	TO COR	RELATI	ON
MATRIX	BY G	RADE:	5TH G	RADE					HIGH	H PRIOR	RITY SC	HOOLS	- 2004

EOG READING Z SCORES

HIGH PRIORITY SCHOOLS - 2004

SPEC

PRCPS	PRCPS RDGZ04 RDGZ03	PRCPS HP04 ELL04	ED04 /	AIGO4 SEXO4	TCDO4	BCCCCI 04	ECV04
00103	02703		06103 PR	CPS09303 FEDE	XPPS03	row label	E5YU4
1 2145 2	2145 1502 2145 1502 1505	2145 2145 1741 1505 1505	2145	2145 2145 912 1505 1505	1767 2145 1210	1767 RDGZ04 1210	1704 1169
1505	1505	1213		615	1505	RDGZ03	1103

					Appendi	x 4				
3	2145	1505	2260	2260	2260	2260	2260	1859	1859	1794
2260		2260	1848		2260 2260 2260	94	17	2260	HP04	
4	2145	1505	.2260	2260	2260	2260	2260	1859	1859	1794
2260		2260	1848		2260	94	17	2260	ELL04	
5	2145	1505	2260	2260	2260 2260 2260 2260	2260	2260	1859	1859	1794
2260		2260	1848		2260	94	17	2260	SPECED04	
6	2145	1505	2260	2260	2260	2260	2260	1859	1859	1794
2260	24.45	2260	1848		2260	94	17	2260	AIGO4	
2260	2145	1505	2260	2260	2260 2260 1859 1859 1859	2260	_2260	1859	1859	1794
2260	4769	2260	1848	40.00	2260	94	17	2260	SEX04	
8	T/6/	1210	1859	1859	1859	1859	_1859	1859	1859	1794
-T82A	1767	1823	144/	4050	1859	94	17	1859	ISP04	
1050	T/6/	1210	1859	1859	1859	1859	_1859	1859	1859	1794
1828	1704	1829	144/	4704	1859 1794 1794 2260	1704	17	1859	RCSSCL04	
1704	1/04	1109	1/94	1/94	1794	1/94	J/94	1/94	1/94	1/94
1/94	2145	1/94	1426	2260	1/94	90)3	1/94	ESYU4	
11 11	2145	1202	2260	2260	2260	2260	2260	1828	1859	1794
2200	2145	2200	1848	2260	2260	2262	ł/	2260	PRCPS0010	3
12 12	2145	T202	2260	2260	2260 2260 1848 1848	2260	2260 "	1859	1859	1794
2260	1741	2260	1848	1040	2260	94	17	2260	PRCPS0270	3
15 1040	1/41	1213	1848	1848	1848	1848	1848	144/	1447	1426
1040	2145	1848	1848	2260	1848	9360	2260	1848	PRCPS0280	3
2260	2145	1202	2260	2260	2260	2260	2260	1828	1859	1/94
2200	012	2260	1848	047	2260	247 94	1/	2260	PRCPS0610	3
13	912	012	947	947	94/	94/	94/	94/	94/	903
94/	2145	947	972	2260	947	2260	1/	94/	PRCPS0930	3
TO	Z145	T202	2200	2260	2260 2260 947 947 2260	2260	2260	T828	1829	1/94
2200		2200	1040		2200	94	ł/	2200	FEDEXPPS0	3
TQQ\	cases	were dro	pped bed	cause	of missing	data.				

Multiple F Multiple F Adjusted F Std. Erro Constant	R squared R squared		.789609 .623483 .615208 .5584864 -1.679809			
Analysis Variand Regression Residual	ce D	8 1	Sum o Squares 88.003607 13.534167	23.	Mean Square 500451 7: 3119071	F Prob. Ratio Level 5.3444 .0000
Adj. Tota	1 37	2 3	01.537774			
S Num BETA, T vars Stand. E now coef- P in ficient	Final R	R in R entered Sq. or	Simple	Variable Partial entered cor. in (* shows final deleted) step	rav coef ficien	- of
1 1 .6633894	.7546 . 297.289	5694 .56 490.502	94 .7546	RDGZ03 .6705	. 695579	7 .04034200
2 2 .1507388		5800 .01 9.413		AIG04	.470881	0 .1127378
3 3 .2188106	.7677 .			PRCPS09303	.00351077	1 .0007128090
4 4		5990 .00		PRCPS02803 Page 8	.0646172	.01871910

			Apı	pendix 4 '		
1.681828	11.916	8.896	.1318	.1780		
5 5	.7806 .60	94 .0104		SPECED04	.2945100	.09225984
. 1115992	10.190	9.745	.3614	.1650		
6 6	.7862 .61	81 .0087	F	EDEXPPS03	0009304035	.0002877636
-1.534798	10.454	8.337	.1161	1671		
7 7	.7876 .62	03 .0022	P	RCPS06103	.01706552	.007574015
.2410120	5.077	2.117	0353	.1173		
8 8	.7896 .62	35 .0032		ISP04	.4567958	.2604926
.1206694	3.075	3.075	.0180	.0915		

Regression Equation for Grade 5:

RDGZ04 (pred) = .69558 RDGZ03 + .470881 AIG04 + .00351077 PRCPS09303 + .0646172 PRCPS02803 + .29451 SPECED04

+ -.000930404 FEDEXPPS03 + .0170655 PRCPS06103 + .456796 ISP04 + -1.67981

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION ALL GRADES

SCORES

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

CORRELATION MATRIX BY GRADE:

2004 EOG READING Z

PRCPS PRCPS	PRCPS	PRCPS	PRCPS	FFDFX		
RDGZ04 RDGZ03 HP04	ELL04	SPECED04	AIG04 SEX04	TSP04	RCSSCL04	FSY04
00103 02703	02803	06103	09303	PPS03	NCDOCEO I	
		00-00				
1.00 0.71 -0.02	0.07	0.34	0.30 0.12	-0.01	-0.03	-0.02
0.01 0.04	0.04	-0.00	-0.04			0.00
0.71 1.00 -0.02	0.09	0.33	0.32 0.14			-0.02
0.02 0.00	0.04	-0.02	-0.06	0.02		
-0.02 -0.02 1.00	0.04	-0.02	0.02 0.00	0.	0.	0.
-0.44 0.35	-0.18	-0.20	0.	-0.14		
0.07 0.09 0.04	1.00	-0.05	0.05 0.00	-0.02	0.07	-0.02
-0.05 -0.11	0.01	0.06	0.13	0.03		
0.34 0.33 -0.02	-0.05	1.00	0.10 0.14	0.02	0.01	-0.04
-0.03 -0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.03	-0.03		
0.30 0.32 0.02	0.05	0.10	1.00 0.02	0.01	-0.03	0.01
0.00 0.06	-0.01	-0.01	-0.07			
0.12 0.14 0.00	0.00	0.14	0.02 1.00	-0.00	0.01	-0.00
0.00 -0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.00	-0.01		
-0.01 -0.04 0.	-0.02	0.02		1.00	0.05	0.17
0.01 0.13	-0.04	-0.16	0.16			
-0.03 -0.04 0.	0.07	0.01	-0.03 0.01		1.00	-0.06
	-0.04	-0.05	0.31			
-0.02 -0.02 0.	-0.02	-0.04	0.01 - 0.00		-0.06	1.00
0.22 0.13	0.21	-0.26	0.10	0.22		
0.01 0.02 -0.44	-0.05	-0.03	0.00 0.00	0.01	0.05	0.22
1.00 -0.03	-0.00		0.19			
0.04 0.00 0.35	-0.11	-0.01	0.06 -0.01	0.13	-0.34	0.13
-0.03 1.00	-0.30	-0.16	-0.07	-0.29	0.04	0 01
0.04 0.04 -0.18	0.01				-0.04	0.21
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.00	0.03	-0.29	0.67	0.05	0.26
-0.00 -0.02 -0.20	0.06	0.00	-0.01 -0.01	-0.16	-0.05	-0.26
0.03 -0.16	0.03	1.00	-0.04	0.01		
		Page	: 9			

-0.04 -0.0 0.19 0.02 0.0 0.12	06 0. -0.07 02 -0.14 -0.29	0.1 -0.2 0.0 0.6	.3 !9)3	' Apper 0.03 -0.04 -0.03 0.01	-0.0 -0.0	7 (1.00 1 -(-0.12		0.16 -0.12 -0.00 1.00		.31	0.10
PAGE= 1 Significant o FILE=C				CORR.1	L						
POSITION 11 12 PRCPS PRCPS PR	13 14 LABEL RCPS PRCPS	1 15 RDG PRCPS	2 16 RDG FEDEX	3	4	5 SPEC	6	7	8	9 RCSS	10
00103 02703 02	2803 06103	Z04 09303	Z03 PPS03	нр04	ELL04	ED04	AIG04	SEX04	ISP04	CL04	ESY04
1 4	RDGZ04 4	100	71		7	34	30	12		-3	
2	RDGZ03	71 -6	100		9	33	32	14	-4	-4	
	-18 -20	_	-14	100	4		-			_	
-5 -11 5	ELL04 6 SPECED04	7 13 34	9 3 33	4	100 °	-5 100	5 10	14		7	-4
-3 ²	-3 AIG04	30	-3 32		- 5	100	100	14		-3	
6 7	SEX04	-7. 12	14			14		100			
8 13,	ISP04 -4 -16	16_	-4		_		_		100	5	17
9 5 -34	RCSSCL04 -4 -5	-3 31	-4 -4		7		-3		5	100	-6
10 22 13 11 P	ESY04 21 -26 RCPS00103	10	22	-44	-5	-4 -3			17	-6 5	100 22
100 -3	3 RCPS02703	19 4	12	35	-11	-5	6		13	-34	13
-3 100	-30 -16 RCPS02803	-7 4	-29 4	-18		-3			-4	-4	
14 P	100 3 RCPS06103	-29	67	-20	6				-16	-5	-26
	3 100 RCPS09303 -29 -4	-4	-6 -12		13		-7		16	31	10
	EDEXPPS03	100 -12	100	-14	3	-3				-4	22
							NOR.	TH CAR	OLINA D	EPARTI	MENT OF
PUBLIC INSTRU						N	s ENTE	RED IN	TO CORR	ELATI	ON
MATRIX BY GRA		IKADES					HIG	H PRIO	RITY SC	HOOLS	- 2004
LOG KLADING Z	. SCORES			SPEC							
RDGZ04	RDGZ03 HP								CSSCL04 row lab		04
1 7054 7054 7 2 5425	'054	5903	7054 5461	7054 7054 5461 Pag		4 70 2719 1 54		526 7054 144	5526 RDGZ04 4144		

					Append [.]	ix 4 2007 7451 7451 2826 7449 7449 2826			
5461		5461	4549		5461	2007	5461	RDGZ03	
3	7054	5461	7451	7449	7451	7451 7451	5862	5862	5612
7451		7451	6257		7451	2826	7451	HP04	3011
4	7054	5461	7449	7449	7449	7449 7449	5861	5861	5611
7449		7449	6255		7449	2826	7449	ELL04	
,									5612
_		7451	6257		7451	2826	7451	SPECED04	
6	7054	5461	7451	7449	7451	2826 7451 7451	5862	5862	5612
7451		7451	6257		7451	2826	7451	AIG04	
7	7054	5461	7451	7449	7451	7451 7451	5862	5862	5612
/451	FF0.6	7451	6257		7451	2826	7451	SEX04	
8	5526	4144	5862	5861	5862	7451 7451 2826 7451 7451 2826 5862 5862 2826 5862 5862 2826 5612 5612 2717 7451 7451 2826	5862	5862	5612
5862	EE26	5862	4668	F 0 0 1	5862	2826	5862	ISP04	
9	5526	4144	5862	5861	5862	5862 5862	5862	5862	5612
5862	F200	5862	4668	F C 1 1	5862	2826	5862	RCSSCL04	
TO 5	5290	396T	2017	20TT	2017	56125612	5612	5612	5612
11 11	70E4	2017	452/	7440	2017	2/1/	5612	ESY04	
74F1	7034	7451	/45I	7449	745T	/451 /451	5862	5862	5612
7431 12	7054	7401	023/	7440	/451 7451	2826	/451	PRCPS0010	3
7/151	7034	7/51	/431 6357	7449	7451 7451	7451 7451 2826 7451 7451 2826 6257 6257 1846 7451 7451 2826	5862	5862	5612
13	2003	/45I	6257	6255	/431 6357	2826	/451	PRCPS0270	3
6257	3303	6257	6257	0233	6257	1046	4008	4668	452/
14	7054	5/61	7/51	7//0	7/151	7451 7451	023/	PRCPSUZ8U	5
7451	7034	7451	6257	7443	7451	797 /43T	300Z 7451	2007 DDCDCDC10	POTT
15	2719	2007	2826	2826	7876	2826 2826 2826 7451 7451	7427	PKCP20010))717
2826	2113	2826	1846	2020	2826	2826	2826	2020 DBCDC0020	2/1/
16	7054	5461	7451	7449	7451	7451 7451	5867	50E2	5 [617
7451	. 03 /	7451	6257	. 443	7451	2826	7451		3 20T7
6212	cases	were dro	pped bed	cause	of missing	data.	, 431	FEDEAPPSU.)
-			FF-04 501		o	ww.cu.i			*

Multiple R Multiple R squared Adjusted R squared Std. Error of Est. Constant	.745190 .555308 .552051 .6086389 .2450773			
Analysis of Variance DF Regression 9 Residual 1229	Sum of Squares 568.519367 455.272402	Mean Square 63.168819 .3704413		
Adj. Total 1238	1023.791770			
BETA, Final F v T vars R	vhen Šimple Pa R in Rsq	Variable artial entered cor. in	B, raw	Stand error
E now So	or with	(* shows final	coef-	of
Pin	eleted dep.		ficient	В
1 1 .7185 .510 .6181917 790.228 13	63 .5163 320.147 .7185	RDGZ03 .	6214925 .	02210852
2 2 .7282 .530 .1430601 47.423			3930787 .	05708041
3 3 .7349 .540	01 .0098 ·26.333 .3156		4242772 .	07837617

```
Appendix 4
                                                          -.008733935
                                                                           .002180006
                                          PRCPS06103
            .7388 .5458
                             .0058
              16.051 15.687
-.1208134
                                     -.0514
                                                -.1135
            .7415 .5499 .0040
6.087 11.049
.7420 .5506 .0007
11.595 1.905
.7426 .5515 .0009
                                          FEDEXPPS03 .00003183917 .00001290494
                                       .1006
.04875191
                                                  .0702
                                                              .4667205
                                                                              .1370616
                                                 ISP04
   6
        6
                                                 .0967
                                      .0655
.1216380
       7
                                                                              .2017492
                                                             -.6484304
                                             RCSSCL04
              10.330
-.1086230
                          2.463
                                      -.0193
                                                 -.0913
            .7445 .5543
8.730
                            .0028
7.841
                                           PRCPS00103 -.0002406742 .00008145623
  8 8
-.09567647
                                        .0254
                                                 -.0840
                                                                              .1006558
  9 9
            .7452 .5553
2.769
                           .0010
2.769
                                                 ELL04
                                                              .1674939
                                                  .0474
                                       .0769
.03295929
```

Regression Equation for All Grades Combined:

RDGZ04 (pred) = .621492 RDGZ03 + .393079 SPECED04 + .424277 AIG04 + -.00873393 PRCPS06103

+ .000031839 FEDEXPPS03 + .46672 ISP04 + -.64843 RCSSCL04 + -.000240674 PRCPS00103 + .167494

ELL04 + .245077

Appendix 5

Appendix 5 - Second Annual Evaluation of the High Priority (HP) Schools List of Schools Eligible for HP Funding in 2005-06

		High Priority		ABC	Results		Qualified for
LEA Name	School Name	Sch. No.	FY 2000-01	FY 2001-02	FY 2002-03	FY 2003-04	FY 2005-067
Anson County	Wadesboro Primary	040311	NR	NR	Expected	High Growth	ON
Bertie County	Aulander Elementary	080348	High Growth	Expected	High Growth	High Growth	Yes
Bertie County	Windsor Elementary	080362	High Growth	NR	High Growth	NR	ON.
Cumberland County	Lillian Black Elem	260316	High Growth	Expected	High Growth	NR	ON
Cumberland County	Pauline Jones Elem	260405	R	NR	High Growth	NR	ON
Cumberland County	Teresa Berrien Elem	260444	High Growth	NR	High Growth	Expected	ON
Durham County	Eastway Elem	320310	Expected	NR.	High Growth	High Growth	ON.
Durham County	Lakewood Elementary	320339	High Growth	Expected	High Growth	Expected	ON
Durham County	George Watts Elem	320347	NR.	Expected	High Growth	Expected	ON.
Durham County	W G Pearson Elem	320388	Expected	Expected	High Growth	High Growth	Yes
Edgecombe County	Roberson Elementary	330336	NR	High Growth	High Growth	NR	Q.
Forsyth County	Ashley Elementary	340308	NR	Expected	High Growth	Expected	ON
Forsyth County	Cook Elementary	340351	N.	NR	High Growth	NR	NO
Forsyth County	Forest Park Elem	340376	Expected	NR	High Growth	NR	NO
Forsyth County	Kimberley Park Elem	340424	Expected	Expected	High Growth	NR	NO
Forsyth County	North Hills Elem	340462	NR	Expected	High Growth	Expected	NO
Forsyth County	Petree Elementary	340490	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	Expected	YES
Gaston County	Rhyne Elementary	360484	NR	NR	High Growth	High Growth	NO
Gaston County	Woodhill Elementary	360520	Expected	NR	High Growth	NR	ON
Guilford County	Fairview Elementary	410364	NR	NR	High Growth	Expected	ON
Guilford County	W M Hampton Elem	410403	NR	Expected	High Growth	Expected	ON
Guilford County	Clara J Peck Elem	410514	NR	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Hertford County	Riverview Elementary	460332	NR	NR	High Growth	NR	NO
Mecklenburg County	Shamrock Gardens El	600527	NR	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Mecklenburg County	Thomasboro Elem	600553	High Growth	Expected	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Mecklenburg County	Westerly Hills Elem	600577	NR	NR	High Growth	High Growth	ON
Nash-Rocky Mount	James C Braswell El	640331	NR	High Growth	High Growth	Expected	YES
Nash-Rocky Mount	O R Pope Elementary	640354	NR	Expected	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Northampton County	Rich Square-Creecy	660364	NR	NR	High Growth	NR	NO
Robeson County	West Lumberton Elem	780418	High Growth	NR	High Growth	Expected	NO
Union County	East Elementary	900306	NR	Expected	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Union County	Walter Bickett Elem	900370	NR	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Vance County	Clark Street Elem	910312	NR	Expected	High Growth	NR	ON
Vance County	New Hope Elementary	910340	NR	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Vance County	Pinkston Street Elem	910356	NR	High Growth	High Growth	High Growth	YES
Wayne County	Carver Heights	960318	High Growth	Expected	High Growth	Expected	ON.
Office of Rudget Management							