

### Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee

Implementation of the ABCs SL 1997-18, SEC 15 (a) G.S. 115C-12(25)

**Date Due:** January 15, 2007 Report # 5 in 2006 – 2007 DPI Chronological Schedule

### STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

HOWARD N. LEE	SHIRLEY E. HARRIS	JOHN TATE III
Chairman :: Raleigh	Troy	Charlotte
JANE P. NORWOOD	MELISSA E. BARTLETT	PATRICIA N. WILLOUGHBY
Vice Chair :: Charlotte	Raleigh	Raleigh
KATHY A. TAFT	ROBERT "TOM" SPEED	BEVERLY PERDUE
Greenville	Boone	Lieutenant Governor :: New Bern
MICHELLE HOWARD-VITAL	WAYNE MCDEVITT	
Wilmington	Asheville	RICHARD MOORE
		State Treasurer :: Kittrell
EDGAR D. MURPHY		
Durham		

### NC DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

June St. Clair Atkinson, Ed.D., State Superintendent 301 N. Wilmington Street :: Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-2825

In compliance with federal law, NC Public Schools administers all state-operated educational programs,

employment activities and admissions without discrimination because of race, religion, national or ethnic origin, color, age, military service, disability, or gender, except where exemption is appropriate and allowed by law.

### Inquiries or complaints regarding discrimination issues should be directed to:

Dr. Elsie C. Leak, Associate Superintendent:: Office of Curriculum and School Reform Services 6307 Mail Service Center :: Raleigh, NC 27699-6307 :: Telephone 919-807-3761 :: Fax 919-807-3767

### Visit us on the Web:: www.ncpublicschools.org

Dr. Elsie C. Leak, Associate Superintendent :: Office of Curriculum and School Reform Services 6307 Mail Service Center :: Raleigh, NC 27699-6307 :: Telephone 919-807-3761 :: Fax 919-807-3767 Visit us on the Web :: www.ncpublicschools.org

### Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs

### **Executive Summary**

G. S. 115C-12(25) requires the State Board of Education to submit a report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee annually by October 15 regarding the continued implementation of the ABCs Plan. (Historically, in years when ABCs results are reported later than the August State Board of Education (SBE) meeting, this report is submitted two months after the ABCs report is approved by the SBE.) Information in the report includes an update of the tenth year of ABCs results for schools, report on State Assistance Teams, response to the Excellent Schools Act requirements, AYP results as required by No Child Left Behind (NCLB), schools identified as low performing, and composition and activities of the Assistance Teams.

The ABCs/AYP report for the 2005-06 school year was released electronically through the Internet. The ABCs/AYP Report was made available November 10, 2006, and Supplemental Disaggregated State, School System and School Performance results were made available on December 12, 2006, and are accessible at <a href="http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/abcs/">http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/abcs/</a>.

### **Table of Contents**

I.	Update of the Tenth Year ABCs Results	1
	<ul> <li>Executive Summary of ABCs/AYP Results</li> <li>Results of School Building Appeals</li> <li>Evolution of the ABCs</li> </ul>	9
II.	Report on Assistance Teams	16
	<ul> <li>Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance</li> <li>Composition and Activities of Assistance Teams</li> <li>Major Activities in Low-Performing Schools</li> <li>Results for Schools Receiving Mandated Assistance</li> <li>Schools Receiving Assistance for 2005-06 Mandated Assistance Team Assignments</li> <li>Performance of Schools Served by Mandated Assistance Teams during 2005-06</li> </ul>	18 21 22
	Performance of Schools in Title I School Improvement Served by     Assistance Teams during 2005-06	
	Additional Activities for Schools Receiving Mandated Assistance and LEAAP	25
	LEA Assistance Program	
	Summary Remarks	35
III.	Response to NCLB	36
	<ul> <li>Title I Schools in School Improvement</li> <li>Non-Title I Schools</li> <li>LEAs in LEA Improvement</li> </ul>	48
IV.	Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements	66
	Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act	67
V.	ABCs Recognition and Schedule of Recognition Activities	68
	ABCs Recognition	69
	ABCs Results – Ten – Year Summary Chart	
	LEA Codes	71
VI.	North Carolina Accountability Program Update	72
	North Carolina Accountability Program Update	73

I. Update of Tenth Year of ABCs Results

### The ABCs of Public Education: 2005-06 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Public Schools

### Executive Summary (January 4, 2007)

### **Statistical Summary of Results**

There are 2,353 public schools in North Carolina that will be included in the full ABCs report for the 2005-06 school year. These will include regular public schools spanning combinations of grades K-12, charter schools, alternative schools, and charter schools evaluated as alternative schools. The statewide results appear in Table 1. Forty schools were not assigned an ABCs status because they were special education schools, vocational/career schools, or hospital schools that participated in the ABCs on the basis of the schools they served, and two schools were in violation of the participation rule.

Table 1.

### 2005-06 ABCs Results

			Less than			
	High	Expected	Expected	Alternative	Row	Row
Category	Growth	Growth	Growth	Schools	Total	Percent
Honor Schools of Excellence	35	29			64	2.7
Schools of Excellence	4	1			5	0.2
Schools of Distinction	90	215			305	12.9
Schools of Progress	111	619			729	31.0
No Recognition Schools			722	14	735	31.2
Priority Schools	15	87	245		347	14.7
Low-Performing Schools			51		51	2.2
Total (Regular Schools)						
No Status Schools			40		40	1.7
Alternative Schools	8	63	18		89	3.8
Total	263	1014	1076		2353	
Percent	11.2	43.1	45.7			
Percent Meeting at least						
Expected Growth Standards	5	4.3				

Overall, 54.3% of the schools met either their expected or high growth standards.

The 2005-06 ABCs program also reported the adequate yearly progress (AYP) of 2,310 of the state's schools during the fourth year's implementation of No Child Left Behind (NCLB). Table 2 shows the number and percent of the state's schools that met and did not meet AYP.

Table 2.

### 2005-06 Statewide AYP Results

AYP Status	Number	Percent
Schools that Met AYP	1,044	45.2
Schools that Did Not Meet AYP	1,268	54.8
Under Review*		
Total	2,310	100.0

<sup>\*34</sup> Schools do not have a status assigned. Schools with two or fewer full academic year students; AYP status will be determined by a qualitative review. In addition, there are several schools whose data require further clarification.

AYP results are presented by ABCs categories in Table 3. Schools must have <u>both</u> an ABCs status and an AYP status to appear in this table. Schools that did not receive an ABCs status (i.e., special education schools, vocational/career schools, hospital schools, and schools with unresolved data issues) are not reflected here.

Table 3. 2005-06 School AYP Results by ABCs Recognition Categories

			Did	l Not	
	Met A	AYP	Mee	t AYP	Total
Category	#	%	#	%	#
Honor Schools of Excellence	64	100.0			64
Schools of Excellence			-5	100.0	5
Schools of Distinction	221	72.7	83	27.3	304
Schools of Progress	370	50.9	358	49.2	728
No Recognition	305	41.8	424	58.2	729
Priority Schools	57	16.6	287	83.4	344
Low-Performing Schools	2	3.8	49	96.1	51
Expected Growth	518	52.1	477	47.9	995
High Growth	174	67.7	83	32.3	257

Note: To be included in Table. 3, the school must have both an ABCs and AYP status.

### **Presentation of School Results**

Results of the 2005-06 ABCs are presented online at <a href="http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org">http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org</a>. The website offers users the ability to view and/or print PDF and Excel files showing ABCs growth, performance, and AYP results by individual school and school district. The site features map and custom search capabilities.

The Web site report includes menu selections that allow the user to access results for Alternative Schools, Performance of All Schools, Schools of Distinction, Honor Schools of Excellence, Schools of Excellence, Schools Making High Growth, Schools Making Expected Growth, Low-Performing Schools, Schools of Progress, Priority Schools, Charter Schools, Schools Meeting AYP, and Schools Not Meeting AYP. There are links to State and School District AYP Results, and Disaggregations. A link to Schools with No ABCs Status shows results for schools that receive ABCs incentive awards based on the schools they serve (special education schools; vocational/career schools; hospital schools), schools not included due to insufficient data, and schools with unresolved data issues. Also included in the main table are those schools that do not participate in the ABCs but have an AYP status.

There are links to *Special Conditions* and *Technical Notes* documents that explain ABCs adjustments and ABCs technical information. *Technical Notes* include a summary of standard conventions used in the analyses, a history of the ABCs, a table of constants and parameters used in the ABCs computations and the End-of-Course prediction formulas.

### Background

The State Board of Education (SBE) developed the ABCs of Public Education in response to the School-Based Management and Accountability Program enacted by the General Assembly in June 1996. The program focuses on strong Accountability, teaching the Basics with an emphasis on high educational standards, and maximum local Control.

In 2002-03, the ABCs program was expanded to incorporate the new statutory accountability requirements of No Child Left Behind (NCLB). This federal legislation sets a proficiency goal of 100% for all schools by 2013-14. The SBE adopted AYP as a "closing the achievement gap component" of the ABCs in response to General Statute 115C-105.35.

The ABCs accountability program sets growth and performance standards for each elementary, middle, and high school in the state. End-of-Grade (EOG) and End-of-Course (EOC) test results and other selected components are used to measure a school's growth and performance. Schools that attain the standards are eligible for incentive awards or other recognition, i.e., Honor Schools of Excellence, Schools of Excellence, Schools of Distinction and Schools of Progress. Priority Schools may request assistance from the Department of Public Instruction. Schools where growth and performance fall below specified levels are designated as low-performing, and may receive mandated assistance based on action by the SBE.

The implementation of new growth formulas for the 2005-06 school year make comparisons to previous years inappropriate. Multiple changes were implemented in this year including:

- 1. Writing results were included in the performance composite using a confidence interval.
- 2. US History and Civics and Economics tests were included in the performance composite.
- 3. For schools with an 8<sup>th</sup> grade, the factor for computer skills in the performance composite was based not on the number of test takers but the number of eighth grade students on the first day of spring testing.
- 4. A fundamental change in the way High Growth is computed was implemented. Instead of the standard being a larger amount of growth, the standard was changed to include the ratio of students who meet their individual growth standard compared to those who do not.
- 5. For the first time, average growth at the school level is reported as is the ratio of met/not met used to determine high growth.
- 6. As a U.S. Department of Education Pilot, North Carolina was one of only two states allowed to use growth as part of AYP determinations.
- 7. Growth by AYP group is reported for all students in the web presentation of school detail results.
- 8. Two new alternate assessments (NCCLAS and NCEXTEND2) were implemented to meet the needs of students with particular issues accessing a standard test administration. NCEXTEND2 was included in AYP and performance composite subject to a 2% cap at the LEA level of students being counted as proficient on this alternate assessment.
- 9. North Carolina was one of only 10 states that had received approval of its assessment system prior to June 30, 2006 (the USED deadline to receive such approval).

### Participating schools

In the 2005-06 first round of ABCs status reporting, every high school that contained grades 9-12 that submitted appropriate data participated in the ABCs. The second round will consist of both 9-12 high schools and all other schools. High school data include EOC test results, change in the percent of students completing courses of study (College University Prep/College Tech Prep), change in the ABCs dropout rate, and change in competency passing rates.

Alternative schools are included in the ABCs per State Board of Education Policy HSP-C-013. Their ABCs status is based on achievement data (EOC, EOG, competency passing rates) and three "local options" specified in their school improvement plans (from a list available based in HSP-C-013) and approved by their local board of education. The only ABCs designations that an alternative school can receive are: High Growth, Expected Growth, No Recognition, or Low-Performing. The procedures used in determining AYP for regular schools apply to alternative schools as well.

Special education schools, vocational/career schools, and hospital schools did not receive an ABCs status, but they received prorated ABCs incentive awards, based on the schools they served. They also received an AYP status that was determined by the performance of the schools they served. They made AYP if at least half of the schools they served made AYP.

### Analyses

### ABCs Growth and Performance

A school's ABCs status is determined by average growth, the change ratio (a measure of the percent of students meeting their individual growth targets) and a performance composite. A school's grade span and/or courses determined the composition of these measures, as described below.

The average growth for a school may include:

- a) Average growth on EOG reading and mathematics for grades 3-8 and any EOC tests (excluding U.S. History and Civics & Economics).
- b) Change over a two-year baseline in the percent of students completing the college/university prep and college tech prep courses of study.
- a. Change in the competency passing rate (from grade 8 to grade 10).
- b. Change in the ABCs dropout rate (compared to a two-year baseline).

The schools whose average growth is equal to the growth expectation (shown by an average difference of 0.00 or better) are said to have met expected growth.

The change ratio used to determine the attainment of high growth may include:

- a) The growth status of individual students on EOG reading and mathematics for grades 3-8 and any EOC tests (excluding U.S. History and Civics & Economics).
- b) Change over a two-year baseline in the percent of students completing the college/university prep and college tech prep courses of study.
- c) Change in the competency passing rate (from grade 8 to grade 10).
- d) Change in the ABCs dropout rate (compared to a two-year baseline).

The factors are arranged such that the number of students meeting their individual growth standards is in the numerator along with the change in competency pass rate and college/university prep and college tech prep courses of study. Students not meeting their individual growth standard are in the denominator and the decrease in dropout rate is subtracted from the denominator. Schools that have an average growth of 0.00 or better (met expected growth) and have a change ratio of 1.50 or better are said to have met high growth.

The performance composite is the school's percentage of test scores in the school at or above Achievement Level III in reading and mathematics (from the EOG and alternate assessments), and EOC tests: Algebra I and II, Biology, Chemistry, Civics & Economics,

English I, Geometry, Physical Science, Physics, and U.S. History. Algebra I scores of students in grade 9 who took Algebra I prior to ninth grade are included in the high school's performance composite. For schools with an 8<sup>th</sup> grade, the percent of 8<sup>th</sup> grade students who passed the Computer Skills Test prior to the first day of spring testing is included as well.

### **AYP Analyses**

NCLB requires that each school be evaluated with respect to making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). In order for a school to make AYP, each student subgroup (School as a whole; American Indian; Asian; Black; Hispanic; Multi-Racial; White; Economically Disadvantaged; Limited English Proficient, and Students with Disabilities) must have at least a 95% participation rate in the statewide assessments. Each subgroup must meet or exceed the State's percent proficient targets in reading and in mathematics (annual measurable objectives). In addition, the school as a whole must show progress on the other academic indicator, which is either attendance or graduation rate (depending on the grade configuration of the school). For additional information, see *Determining AYP Status* (linked from the blue sidebar at http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/).

### **Definition of ABCs Awards and Recognition Categories**

Schools Making High Growth attained their high growth standard. Certified staff members each receive up to \$1,500 and teacher assistants up to \$500.

Schools Making Expected Growth attained their expected growth standard (but not their high growth standard). Certified staff members each receive up to \$750 and teacher assistants up to \$375.

Honor Schools of Excellence are schools that made at least expected growth, had at least 90% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III, and made AYP. These schools receive banners and certificates. They receive incentive awards for expected or high growth.

Schools of Excellence are schools that made at least expected growth and had at least 90% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III but did not make AYP. These schools receive banners, certificates, and incentive awards for expected or high growth.

Schools of Distinction are schools that made at least expected growth and had at least 80 percent of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III (but were not Honor Schools of Excellence or Schools of Excellence). They receive plaques, certificates, and incentive awards for expected or high growth.

Schools of Progress are schools that made at least expected growth and had at least 60% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III (but were not Honor Schools of Excellence or Schools of Excellence or Distinction). They receive certificates and incentive awards for expected or high growth.

Schools Receiving No Recognition did not make their expected growth standards but have at least 60% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III.

*Priority Schools* are schools that have less than 60% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III, irrespective of making their expected growth standards, and are not Low-Performing Schools.

Low-Performing Schools are those that failed to meet their expected growth standards and have significantly less than 50% of their students' scores at or above Achievement Level III.

Schools that violate the testing requirements are assigned a violation status and cannot receive financial awards or any ABCs status, except low-performing. Low-performing schools that violate testing requirements are assigned the low-performing status in addition to the violation status. The State Board of Education may designate schools that violate testing requirements for two consecutive years as low-performing.

### **Results of School Building Appeals**

The Compliance Commission for	Accountability received	I no appeals of ABCs growth
standards for 2005-06.		

### Evolution of the ABCs

### 1995

 General Assembly directed the State Board of Education (SBE) to develop a restructuring plan for public education. The State Board conducted an in-depth study involving public hearings, surveys and interviews; reviewed current mandates and operating procedures; and undertook a major organizational analysis to relate all education operations to the mission. In May 1995, the New ABCs of Public Education outlined the framework for a dramatic restructuring.

### 1995-96

2. One hundred eight schools in ten school districts piloted The New ABCs of Public Education. The systems were Albemarle, Alleghany, Asheville City, Elizabeth City-Pasquotank, Duplin, Halifax, Lexington, McDowell, Bladen, and Lincoln.

### 1996

3. General Assembly approved the State Board's plan and put into law the School-Based Management and Accountability Program (the ABCs).

- 4. ABCs implementation began for schools with grades K-8. The model included growth and performance composites and included EOG Reading and Mathematics and Writing at Grade 4. The new Grade 7 writing was used only in the performance composite.
- 5. DPI communicated ABCs Procedures to principals and teachers.
- 6. Assistance teams were formed and trained; assistance was offered to schools that asked for it.
- 7. Steering Committee for Assessment and Accountability was established by the SBE to develop the High School Model.
- 8. Compliance Commission for Accountability was established by the SBE to advise on testing and other issues related to school accountability and improvement. The commission was to be composed of two members from each of eight educational districts and four at-large members to represent parents, business, and the community.
- 9. The first ABCs Report submitted to the State Board of Education in August.
- 10. All schools achieving exemplary growth standards received incentive awards (\$1,000 for certified staff; \$500 for teacher assistants).

- 11. Designated Low-Performing schools received assistance teams.
- 12. The next phase of statewide reform was implemented with the high school accountability model. It was considered a "work in progress" with re-examination, changes and adjustments to come.
- 13. The model included results on five mandated EOCs, a high school writing test (English II time was extended to allow students 100 minutes); percentages completing College Prep/College Tech Prep (based on a year-to-year change); SAT scores and participation rates were reported.
- 14. The Comprehensive Test in Reading and Mathematics was administered to determine cohort growth from grade 8 to grade 10. This was to satisfy the Senate Bill 1139 legislation that called for measuring student growth (for high schools). Initially, results were to "count" for the accountability year, but it was decided to delay inclusion of these data in the growth composite for high schools until the following year.
- 15. Growth for K-8 schools was computed using both the "old" *unmatched* grade 3 parameters, and the "new" (1996-97) *matched* group grade 3 parameters. The higher of the two growth computations was used in the final computations for growth.
- 16. 7<sup>th</sup> Grade Writing was included in computing growth since this was the third year of data collection; it had previously been used only in the performance composite.
- 17. Algebra I scores from grades prior to the ninth grade were included in the computations for performance composites for high schools.
- 18. A confidence band for the performance composite was computed for identifying low-performing schools; this allowed schools a safety margin for sampling error. Schools could be slightly below 50% at or above grade level and not be penalized.
- 19. ABCs status label No Recognition was changed to Adequate Performance.
- 20. Charter Schools were included in the ABCs reporting for the first time.
- 21. A Comprehensive model was defined for schools that had grades included in both the K-8 and high school configurations. The school faculty voted on whether the Comprehensive model would be used to evaluate the school for the accountability year, and the vote was to be reflected in the School Improvement Plan.
- 22. Alternative schools were asked to submit proposals of better ways to be evaluated in subsequent accountability years.
- 23. Reporting guidelines were developed to accommodate feeder patterns for special education schools, alternative schools and K-2 feeder schools; high schools with major demographic shifts were accommodated under special conditions; reporting accommodations were implemented for schools with insufficient data, and guidelines were developed to handle senior high schools under the ABCs.
- 24. It was decided that during this accountability year, no alternative schools or special schools were to be identified as Low-Performing.
- 25. EOC test scores, e.g., Algebra I scores, of students in middle grades were used in the high school portion of the performance composite score but not the gain composite score.

### 1997-98 (continued)

- 26. K-8 and high school results under the ABCs were reported in <u>A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education</u>, Volume I.
- 27. All schools making Expected or Exemplary Growth/Gain were awarded incentives per the Excellent Schools Act, enacted by the General Assembly (Up to \$1500 for certified staff, up to \$500 for teacher assistants in schools making Exemplary Growth/Gain; schools making Expected growth/gain received up to \$750 for certified staff; up to \$375 for teacher assistants).
- 28. A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education was made available on the DPI web site.

### 1998-99

- 29. The SBE increased the membership of the Compliance Commission for Accountability from the original 20 members to 22 members to include an SBE member and an additional At-Large business member.
- 30. The Comprehensive model was applied to all schools.
- 31. Five additional EOC tests were added to the performance composite score.
- 32. The High School Comprehensive Test growth parameters were approved; the growth component was included in the high school growth/gain computations.
- 33. The change in the competency passing rate component was implemented in the high school growth/gain computations.
- 34. Changes in dropout rates were approved for implementation in the 2000-01 school year for growth calculations.
- 35. EOC scores in middle schools counted toward the schools' growth/gain and performance.
- 36. Data collection guidelines and procedures were documented in an Accountability Processing Checklist to incorporate roles of LEA, regional coordinators, and the agency staff.
- 37. Insufficient data rule was documented for high schools (less than 30 students in a given course for a given year of the three years of data).
- 38. Dual enrollment policies were documented and disseminated.
- 39. Membership rule for Comprehensive Tests was approved (160 days).
- 40. Revised grade 3 parameters were applied to the grade 3 growth computations.
- 41. A Report Card for the ABCs of Public Education, Volume 2 included ABCs dropout data.
- 42. Alternative schools with sufficient data were included in the ABCs on the basis of their data; schools with insufficient data were awarded prorated incentives based on the feeder schools.
- 43. The labels *Top 10/25 Schools* and *Adequate Performance* were changed to *Most Improved 10/25* and *No Recognition*, respectively.

- 44. A rule for dropping courses in high school (10/20 Day Rule) was implemented.
- 45. Alternative Schools were included in the ABCs under HSP-C-013. Web interface was developed for data collection for alternative schools to enter local option data online.
- 46. Department of Health, Human Services (DHHS) and Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) Schools were included in the ABCs.

### 1999-00 (continued)

- 47. Schools were given test administration options for fall English II Tests due to catastrophic weather.
- 48. The SBE appointed a Writing Assessment Task Force.
- 49. Full ABCs documentation was made available on the Accountability web site.

### 2000-01

- 50. EOC prediction formulas for 10 multiple-choice EOCs were implemented; this fully addressed concerns related to comparing different cohorts over time at the high school level.
- 51. Dropout rate change was implemented as a component to the growth computations in high schools.
- 52. Computer Skills testing results at grade 8 were added to the performance composite.
- 53. EOC prediction formulas' exemplary growth standard was set at 3% more than the expected growth standard.
- 54. Weighting the ABCs growth composites was adopted by the SBE in part to eliminate concern over small groups of students having the same impact as large groups of students in the determination of whether the school met growth standards.
- 55. The North Carolina Alternate Assessment Portfolio (NCAAP) was added to the performance composite.
- 56. Writing at grades 4 and 7 was removed from the growth composites, but remained a part of the performance composite.
- 57. The North Carolina Alternate Assessment Academic Inventory (NCAAAI) and the Computerized Adaptive Testing System were approved by SBE to be pilot tested and included in ABCs Volume II Report.

- 58. The State Board of Education approved revisions to ABCs terminology for the 2001-02 school year.
- 59. The term *high* growth replaced *exemplary* growth, and the term *growth* replaced *growth/gain* in all designations of meeting or exceeding growth or gain standards.
- 60. Schools of Distinction were required to make expected growth.
- 61. Three tests were eliminated for the 2001-2002 school year: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Open-ended Assessments in grades 4 and 8, and the High School Comprehensive Tests in Reading and Mathematics at grade 10. (Only the latter had been included in the ABCs.)
- 62. English II was suspended and will not be included in the ABCs until new tests for grade 10 Writing are developed. Writing results at grades 4 and 7 were removed from the ABCs performance composite.
- 63. Format for reporting data in ABCs Volume II was revised, and the name was changed to Reports of Supplemental Disaggregated State, District and School Performance Data for 2000-2001.
- 64. SBE approved the revised achievement levels in mathematics determined from the summer of 2001 equating study for student reporting, student accountability standards gateways, student competency standard, and ABCs reporting (performance composites).
- 65. Two ABCs reporting categories were added: Schools of Progress (schools that make at least expected growth and have a performance composite of at least 60%) and Priority Schools (schools that have less than 60% performance composite and are not low-performing.)

### 2002-03

- 66. ABCs 91-Day Rule for Growth Calculations changed to 140-Day Rule to align with No Child Left Behind (NCLB) full academic year (FAY) requirement.
- 67. The ABCs 98% participation rule for grades 3-8 under the ABCs was changed to 95% to conform to the NCLB 95% participation rule.
- 68. No exclusions were allowed.
- 69. Added Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as a "closing the gap component" of the ABCs to meet requirements of General Statute 115C-105.35.
- 70. North Carolina Alternate Assessment Portfolio (NCAAP) scoring revised to yield Reading and Mathematics scores.
- 71. The ABCs Report, <u>The ABCs of Public Education 2002-2003 Growth and Performance of North Carolina Schools</u> was made available in electronic format on DPI website. No hardcopy reports were published.

### 2003-04

- 72. No U.S. History tests were administered in 2003-04. Economic, Legal and Political Systems (ELP) tests was administered for transfer students, students who previously failed the course and students in 10th-12th grade who needed the course for graduation. U.S. History and ELP data will be excluded from the ABCs data analysis for 2003-04 and 2004-05 school years. New EOC tests in these subjects are under development for administration during the 2005-06 school year.
- 73. Alternative schools' accountability policy was revised to prescribe 8 local options; these revisions were optional for the 2003-04 school year, and mandatory in 2004-05.
- 74. Certain charter schools became eligible to participate in the ABCs as alternative schools per SBE policy.
- 75. The Occupational Course of Study (OCS) graduates were subtracted from the denominator of diploma recipients in computing the College University Prep/College Tech Prep component.
- 76. Writing results (grades 4, 7, and 10) were not included in the ABCs in 2003-04; results at grades 4, 7, and 10 will be included in performance composite in 2004-05.
- 77. SBE approved a change in weighting the dropout component of the ABCs to ¼ membership, making it comparable to English I weight; this will be applied to the changes in dropout rates reported in the 2004-05 ABCs.
- 78. The SBE approved adding a recognition category for Schools of Excellence that meet AYP. The new category was named Honor Schools of Excellence.

- 79. Writing results (grades 4, 7, and 10) were not included in the ABCs in 2003-04; results at grades 4, 7, and 10 will be included in performance composite in 2005-06.
- 80. Implementation of weighting the dropout component of the ABCs to ¼ of the membership used for funding was included in growth calculations.
- 81. SBE approves new growth formulas and standards for the 2005-06 school year and beyond. Included is the calculation of student level growth and a variety of procedural changes precipitated by a provision in general statue from the summer of 2004.

### **2004-05** (continued)

82. SBE approves Report of 2004-05 ABCs with sixth grade reading excluded from the growth calculations.

- 83. New editions of the math EOG were implemented along with new standards.
- 84. AYP for 3-8 math used implied performance from 2004-05 (using the 2005-06 math standards) as a basis for safe harbor.
- 85. AYP for 3-8 math used implied performance from 2004-05 (using the 2005-06 math standards) as a basis for new AYP targets.
- 86. New growth formulas were implemented in all grades and subjects.
- 87. The following grades and subjects were not used for growth due to new tests and editions: 3<sup>rd</sup> grade math, US History, and Civics & Economics.
- 88. Performance composite in this year includes US History, Civics & Economics, and Writing (none of which were included in the 2004-05 school year).
- 89. Fundamental changes in data collection moved the source of the demographic information used in AYP from answer sheets to authoritative sources.
- 90. Two new alternate assessments replaced the NCAAAI; they are NCCLAS and NCEXTEND2.

II. Report on Assistance Teams

### Status of Personnel in Systems Receiving Mandatory Assistance

2005 - 06

### Status of Superintendents of School Systems Having More than Half of Their School Identified as Low Performing

The ABCs legislation in G.S. 115 C-105.32 permits the State Board to appoint an interim superintendent in a local school administrative unit when more than half of the schools have been identified as low performing schools. Low-performing schools are those that have not met the minimum growth standards defined by the State Board and a majority of students are performing below grade level. For 2005-06, no schools systems had more than half of their schools identified as low-performing.

### G. S. 155C-333. Evaluation of Certified Employees including Certain Superintendents; Action Plans; State Board Notification Upon Dismissal of Employees.

<u>Local Board Evaluation of Certain Superintendents</u>: Each year the local board of education shall evaluate the superintendent employed by the local school administrative unit and report to the State Board the results of that evaluation if during that year the State Board designated as low-performing:

- (1) One or more schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than 10 schools.
- (2) **Two or more** schools in a local school administrative unit that has no more than 20 schools
- (3) Three or more schools in a local school administrative unit that has more than 20 schools.

For 2005-06, there were no LEAs that met this criteria.

### Status of Principals of Schools Receiving Mandatory Assistance

The General Assembly revised the ABCs legislation to require local boards and superintendents to take the first actions regarding principals located in low-performing schools. The revision provides four options for superintendents to consider in dealing with principals who are in low-performing schools:

- 1. Retain in the same position, if principal was in the school two years or less before it was identified as low performing;
- 2. Retain with a remediation plan;
- 3. Transfer; or
- 4. Demote or dismiss according to G.S. 115C-325.

### **Composition and Activities of Assistance Teams**

### Background

For the ninth year (2005-2006) of the assistance teams, members were selected to replace team turnover. There were a total of 52 team members. While many highly qualified candidates were selected and joined the teams, there is still a severe lack of high school and exceptional children team members.

### Composition

Assistance teams were composed of practicing principals, assistant principals, classroom teachers and central office supervisors on leave from local education agencies (LEAs) and retired educators.

### **ABCs Assistance Team Training**

<u>Topics and Subtopics:</u> The team members work with local, state, national and international educational trainers and leaders.

### 1. The ABCs Plan

- Context Setting and Training Goals
- Local Participation, Local Flexibility, and School-Based Accountability
- Improving Low Performing Schools
- Issues, Questions and Concerns

### 2. Building a High-Performance Team

- What Comprises a Team
- High performance Teams
- Roles/Responsibilities of Assistance Teams
- Working as a Team
- Team Mission and Code of Conduct
- Issues, Questions and Concerns

### 3. Effective Schools

- How the Correlates Inform and Assist the Team's Work
- Excellence Without Excuses
- Using Effective School Correlates as a Way to Structure Intervention
- Case Studies of Effective Schools in High Poverty Areas
- Issues, Questions, and Concerns

### 4. School Improvement Plans

- Components of Plans
- Development of Plans (process)
- Developing Plans for Elementary and Middle Schools
- Implementing School Improvement Plans

- 5. Effective Curriculum and Instruction Programming
  - The Non-Negotiable: The Standard Course of Study
  - Aligning the Curriculum in Reading
  - Aligning the Curriculum in Writing
  - Reading/Writing Across the Curriculum
  - Teaching Mathematics in Elementary, Middle and High Schools
  - Teaching Reading and Writing in Elementary and Middle Schools
  - Teaching English in High School
  - Coaching, Mentoring and Conferencing
  - Service Models
  - Managing Classrooms
  - Recognizing and Respecting Cultural Differences
- 6. Team-School Relations and Home-School Relations
  - Teams Entering Schools
  - Teams Working with Schools: Case Study
  - Strategies for Involving Parents/Families
  - Facilitating Positive Home-School Relations
- 7. Personnel Evaluations
  - Purpose and Use of the Principals Revised Evaluation Program
  - Purpose and Use of the Teacher Performance Appraisal Instrument (TPAI)
  - TPAI Use (24 hours of training)
  - Evaluating Support Personnel
  - Evaluating the Media Center Collection
- 8. Needs Assessment
  - Overview of Needs Assessment
  - Conducting a Needs Assessment
  - Interpreting, Using and Reporting Data
- 9. Student Supports and Staff Development
  - Student Support Activities
  - Student Support Programming
  - School Improvement Plans and Staff Development: Matching Needs
  - Planning and Implementation
- 10. Building Teams
  - Team Relationships
  - Team Relationships with Schools
  - Team Work: Case Studies
  - Team Presentations
- 11. Communicating with the School Community
  - Reporting Results to the Local Board and Communities
  - Group Case Study Presentation

<u>Additional Topics Addressed in Training During July</u>: Presenters were members of the DPI staff and staff from other organizations.

- Student Accountability Standards
- ABCs Law
- Critical Issues for Team Members
- Conducting Entry Conferences
- Conducting a Needs Assessment
- Mediation and Facilitation Training
- Instructional Profile
- Science Update K-12
- ESL Issues
- CRISS Training
- True Colors
- Team Leader Responsibilities
- English Language Arts Update K-12
- TPAI-Revised

- Language Acquisition/ESL Strategies
- Team Responsibility
- High Expectations
- Review of Skill Packets
- Workshop Facilitation
- K- 2 Assessment
- Testing Update/Issues
- Teams in Action
- Exceptional Children's Issues
- Principal Performance Appraisal
- PPA System Revised
- Evaluation of Team Members
- Affirming Diversity
- Mentoring
- Blending Educational Strategies and Educational Technology

### **Profile of Team Members**

- Average of 27 years of educational experience
- 77% Advanced Degrees
- 15% Work in advanced degree underway
- 10% White Males
- 2% African-American Males
- 50% White Females
- 38% African-American Females

Retention: After the 2005-06 school year, 17 team members returned to their home school systems, accepted other positions, retired or were not invited to return to the teams. Team members who returned to LEAs were usually placed in leadership roles where they have a positive impact on student achievement and teacher performance. Three (3) mandated assistance team members (17 members) served Northeast Halifax High School, Southeast Halifax High School and T. Wingate Andrews in High School. The Middle College High School at NC A&T State University was served by staff from the New Schools Project. Remaining team members served seven (7) schools that missed the highest percentages of adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. These schools were Forest Park Elementary (Winston-Salem/Forsyth County), Pines Elementary (Washington County), Riverview Elementary (Hertford County), Hill Middle (Winston-Salem/Forsyth County), Phillips Middle (Edgecombe County), Weldon Middle (Weldon City), and Dillard Middle (Wayne County).

### Major Activities in Low-Performing Schools

### Low Performing Schools: As a minimum, assistance teams

- conducted an entry conferences with superintendents and principal or interim school leader at assigned school.
- conducted a needs assessment to identify school strengths and areas needing improvement.
- evaluated certified personnel, including principals.
- developed recommendations for improvement based on results of needs assessment.
- revised the to School Improvement Plan, as needed.
- developed and implement strategies, time lines and persons responsible for implementation of improvement strategies.
- assisted the school in implementing the revised school improvement plan.
- monitored and assessed progress frequently.
- prepared a formal needs assessment report, submitted monthly progress reports and developed an annual report summarizing accomplishments and continuing needs.
- developed a strategic plan to leave with the school district to ensure progress continues during the 2005-06 school year.

### Results for Schools Receiving Mandated Assistance

School Year	Exemplary Growth	Expected Growth	No Recognition	Low- Performing	Priority School	Total Schools Served
1997-98	13	1	Î.	0		15
1998-99	7	2	0	2		11
1999-00	5	0	0	2		7
2000-01	5	4	3	2		14
2001-02	High Growth - 2	7		4	The state of the s	13
2001-02	1	4	0	0		5
2002-03	High Growth - 10	6	0	0		16
2003-04	High Growth - 3				1	4
2004-05		11				1
2005-06				4		4

A total of 86 schools have been served in mandated assistance between 1997-2005. 70 (83%) made high or expected growth the year they had a team.

### Schools Receiving Assistance for 2005-2006 Mandated Assistance Team Assignments

LEA	School	Team Leader	Team Reviewers
Halifax County	Northwest Halifax High	Brock Ridge	Michele Halley Gertrude McNeil Nancy Mann Brenda Parsons Allen Conway
Halifax County	Southeast Halifax High	Sheneel Branch	Carolyn Cooper Jeraldene Brooks Hallie Wilson Grayling Williams Jonsie Worrell
Guilford County	T. Wingate Andrews High	Ann Osburn	Martha McLeod Betty Jo Slozak Ana Cuomo Vicki Russell
Guilford County	Middle College High School at A&T State University	New Schools Project	New Schools Project

# Performance of Schools Served by Mandated Assistance Teams during 2005-06

		Education	Performance	ABCs	Targets Met/ Percentage of Targets Met fo	Targets Met/ Percentage of Targets Met for	Targets Met/Percentage of Targets Met	gets centage ets Met
School	LEA	Region	Composite	Status for 05-06	04-05	.05	for 05-06	90-9
Middle College						2)		
High School at	0		<					
A&T State								
University	Guilford	5	17.6%	Low Performing 1 of 3	1 of 3	33%	1 of 2	20%
T. Wingate								
Andrews High					22		10 of	
School	Guilford	5	42.1%	Low Performing 8 of 17   47.1%	8 of 17	47.1%	50	20%
Northwest								
Halifax High	Halifax	3	35.2%	Low Performing   4 of 13   30.8%   4 of 13	4 of 13	30.8%	4 of 13	30.8
Southeast							10 of	
Halifax	Halifax	23	34.9%	Low Performing   9 of 13   69.2%	9 of 13	69.2%	13	76.9%

## Performance of Schools in Title I School Improvement Served by Assistance Teams during 2005-06

					Targe Percen	Targets Met/ Percentage of	Tar Met/Pei	Targets Met/Percentage
Cohool	I FA	Education Region	Performance Composite	ABCs Status for 05-06	Targets	Targets Met for 04- 05	of Targ	of Targets Met for 05-06
Forest Park	Winston-Salem/Forsyth	5	45.9%	Low Performing	10 of 19	52.6%	15 of 21	71.4%
Pines Elementary	Washington		54.2%	Priority	14 of 21	%2.99	18 of 21	82.7%
Riverview Elementary	Hertford	1	56.9%	Expected Growth	10 of 17	58.8%	13 of 17	76.5%
Dillard Middle	Wayne	2	44.6%	Low Performing	7 of 13	53.8%	9 of 13	69.2%
Hill Middle	Winston- Salem/Forsyth	5	46.2%	Low Performing	13 of 25	52%	17 of 25	%89
Phillips Middle	Edgecombe	3	72.3%	Priority	12 of 19	63.2%	10 of 13	76.9%
Weldon Middle	Weldon	3	64.6%	No Recognition	9 of 17	52.9%	10 of 13	76.9%

Teams also receive strong support to carry out their work from the Department of Public Instruction and Success is due to the commitment and skills team members bring to the teams and the attitudes and cooperation of the school faculties and support from the central offices. Division of School Improvement

Assistance teams have been able to build strong rapport with teachers/administrators to accomplish the task of improving student Teams demonstrate that there are no excuses for under-Expertise, caring and humanism foster the strong rapport. achievement and that all students can learn when taught appropriately. achievement.

### Additional Activities for Schools Receiving Mandated Assistance and LEAAP

- 1. At four different times throughout the year, regional meetings were held with team members and with collaborative groups to debrief, problem-solve, share experiences and provide information.
- 2. Three full-day sharing sessions were conducted for all team members by the Curriculum and School Reform staff to share ideas and continue the professional development of team members.
- 3. Needs Assessment Reports, Monthly Reports and the Annual Report (including Strategic Plan) were submitted to Directors of Elementary, Middle and Secondary Education. If any problem areas are noticed, the director followed up immediately with the team leader.
- 4. Team liaisons and section chiefs visited with team as often as necessary. Most visits are unannounced. Team leaders stayed in contact with Agency personnel through phone conversations, faxed messages and e-mail almost daily.
- 5. The director of the Divisions of Elementary, Middle and Secondary Education held team leaders' meetings on a bimonthly basis.
- 6. The directors made periodic (unannounced) visits throughout the year.

### **LEA Assistance**

The LEA Assistance Program (LEAAP) for 2004-05 focused on the LEAs receiving additional funding. They began the first year of a two-year pilot.

### LEAs designated to receive Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding (DSSF)

- Sixteen (16) designated LEAs
- Received funding for a partial year
- Assisted by contracted part-time LEAAP team members for part of the school year

### Progress Report on the Implementation of the LEA Assistance Program (LEAAP)

Leandro Districts						
	ABCs Co	mposite	AYP			
System	2003-04	2004-05	Total # Targets	Targets Met	% Targets Met	Made AYP
Edgecombe County Schools	69.07%	70.73%	49	34	69.4	No
Franklin County Schools	73.46%	71.63%	49	41	83.7	No
Halifax County Schools	60.89%	53.57%	41	24	58.5	No
Hertford County Schools	51.03%	56.83%	35	21	60	No
Hoke County Schools	63.36%	61.85%	61	39	63.9	No
Hyde County Schools	77.30%	77.15%	25	23	92	· No
Lexington City Schools	66.86%	65.59%	49	42	85.7	No
Montgomery County Schools	70.13%	67.11%	53	39	73.6	No
Northampton County Schools	61.42%	59.81%	36	26	72.2	No
Pasquotank County Schools	68.79%	67.28%	47	32	68.1	No
Robeson County Schools	68.59%	68.76%	65	35	53.8	No
Thomasville City Schools	70.83%	66.96%	47	34	72.3	No
Vance County Schools	63.58%	65.20%	49	34	69.4	No
Warren County Schools	65.09%	61.56%	39	28	71.8	No
Washington County Schools	57.71%	55.54%	33	23	69.7	No
Weldon City Schools	53.00%	54.97%	25	16	64	No
Report created on September 1	5, 2005 5:00	PM				

### Assistance Plan for LEAs Identified to Receive Additional Funding

### Introduction

Each of the identified LEAs will complete and submit to the State Board of Education an Action Plan and an accompanying Budget Plan no later than September 15, 2004. These documents should reflect the strategies to be implemented and how the additional funds received will support the designated strategies. Plans should be reviewed by the local board of education prior to submission to the State Board. Upon approval at the State Board meeting in October 2004, funds will be released to the LEAs. The three areas of focus that LEAs should address in their plans are

- recruiting and retaining teachers (using the Teacher Working Conditions survey as a tool),
- class size reduction, and
- PEP development and implementation.

### Menu of Recommended Strategies

### **Recruiting and Retaining Teachers**

- Signing bonuses
- Performance-based bonuses
- Targeted salary supplements/retention bonuses (for example: additional pay to teachers with National Board Certification)

### Personnel

- Class size reduction
- Support for lateral entry teachers
- Support for special instructional programs (i.e., Project Achieve, EVAAS, Learning Bridges, Positive Behavior Support, Schools Attuned, etc.)
- Classroom support for Limited English Proficient (LEP) students

### **Professional Development Activities**

- Best Practices training
- Refining PEPs

### Extending instructional time

- Tutorial services
- Transportation and other related costs for after-school programs and/or Saturday academies

### Instructional materials, supplies, and equipment

### General Overview of the Assistance Process

### State

- Conduct an orientation session for the selected LEAs
- Assign a LEAAP Team to work with the LEA
  - Provide support and guidance
  - Assist with the development and review of action and budget plans
  - Spend time onsite to assist with monitoring the implementation of the action plan
  - Provide coaches and mentoring as needed
  - Broker services when necessary

### **LEA**

- Attend the orientation session (superintendent and the instructional leader)
- Superintendent assigns a local team (superintendent, instructional leader, finance officer, personnel director, etc.) to work along with the LEAAP team to
  - thoroughly examine the LEA's data,
  - develop the action and budget plans for the system,
  - submit plan to the State Board,
  - monitor the implementation of the action plan, and
  - submit quarterly reports to the State Board on the implementation process

### Disadvantaged Students Supplemental Funding (DSSF) Menu of Required Strategies for 2005-06

**Directions**: Each of the identified LEAs will complete and submit to the State Board of Education an Action Plan and an accompanying Budget Plan no later than **July 5, 2005**. These documents should reflect the strategies being implemented and how the DSSF received will be used to support the identified strategies. All selected strategies should focus on improving the performance of students scoring at Levels I and/or II in the schools that need extra support because of high teacher turnover, large numbers of students who haven't reached proficiency, a record of less than adequate performance, rapid turnover in principals, etc. Local boards of education should approve the plans prior to submission to the State Board. Plan should be read and approved at the August 2005 State Board Meeting.

### I. The LEA Plans should address the following areas:

- Recruiting and retaining teachers (using the Teacher Working Conditions (TWC) Survey as a tool)
- Focused class size reduction
- Personalized Education Plan (PEP) development and implementation

### II. Menu of required strategies from which LEAS may select:

- A. Data-Driven Decision Making
  - > Needs Assessment based on LEA data sources
  - > Educational Value Added Assessment System (EVAAS)
- B. Recruiting and Retaining Teachers (If low wealth is fully funded, LEAs may use low wealth funding to support this item; otherwise, 35% or less of the total DSS funding may be used). Generic across the board bonuses will not be acceptable.
  - > Teacher Supplements
  - > Signing bonuses
  - ➤ Performance-based bonuses for special groups of teachers (i.e., teachers of 3<sup>rd</sup> graders move the greatest percentage of their students one of more achievement levels)
  - > Implementing a job fair for recruiting purposes
  - > Administering the Teacher Working Conditions Survey and using the feedback results

### C. Personnel

- > Class size reduction for a specific or grade-level subject
- > Support for beginning or lateral entry teachers
- > Support for special instructional programs (i.e., Project Achieve, Learning Bridges, Positive Behavior Support, Schools Attuned, *IMPACT*, etc.)

### D. Professional Development Activities

- Research-Based and Best Practices (in-school and after-school programming)
- > The Culture of Poverty
- > Cultural Competence
- > Developing and Refining Personalized Education Plans (PEPs)
- > Test-Item Writing Skills
- > EVAAS training

### E. Implementing Personalized Education Plans (PEPs)

- > Implement the PEPs prepared for incoming 9<sup>th</sup> graders
- > Implement PEPs for 10<sup>th</sup> graders scoring at Level I and/or II

### F. Extending Instructional Time

- > Tutorial Programs
- > Transportation and other related costs for after-school programs, Saturday Academies and/or summer programs
- G. <u>Instructional Materials, Supplies and Equipment</u> (resources that are aligned to the delivery and assessment of the instructional program)

	2(	2005-06 ABCs Results	S Result	S		2005-06 A	2005-06 AYP Results	
		% Met	% Met	% Priority	Number			
	Number of	Expected	High	and Low	of AYP	Number	% Targets	
School System	Schools	Growth	Growth	Performing	Targets	Met	- 1	Made AYP
Edgecombe	16	43.8	14.3	43.8	54	44	81.5	Š
Franklin	14	64.3	21.4	35.7	24	45	83.3	o N
Halifax	15	20.0	0.0	0.09	42	20	47.6	°N
Hertford	2	40.0	0.0	80.0	42	59	69	o N
Hoke	1	45.5	0.0	63.6	62	44	71	°N
Hyde	4	75.0	25.0	25.0	56	24	92.3	o N
Lexington City	7	42.9	0.0	42.9	20	33	78	§
Montgomery	10	0.09	10.0	20.0	54	20	92.6	<sub>S</sub>
Northampton	10	0.09	0.0	0.09	34	30	88.2	Š
Pasquotank	12	33.3	16.7	25.0	20	37	74	o N
Robeson	42	40.5	11.9	54.8	89	39	57.4	~ %
Thomasville City	4	25.0	25.0	75.0	20	44	88	°N
Vance	15	40.0	6.7	33.3	20	38	9/	<sub>S</sub>
Warren	9	16.7	0.0	50.0	48	34	70.8	Š
Washington	5	0.09	40.0	80.0	34	34	100	Yes
Weldon City	က	2.99	0.0	100.0	30	26	86.7	No

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

### Leandro Districts - 2005-06

### **Operational Differences**

- Review Team
- LEAAP Monthly Reports Teams
- Monthly Report to State Board
- Quarterly Meetings w/LEA Participation

### Review Team - Description

- Provide support and oversight
- Assess progress on Action Plan implementation
- Receive and review budget amendments
- Make onsite visits to LEAs
- Attend Local/LEAAP monthly meetings
- Ensure that efforts target students scoring at Levels I and II
- Ensure that the poorest performing schools at each level are receiving support and guidance from the central office staff
- Make suggestions and recommendations
- Hear your concerns
- Conference with LEAAP Teams
- Provide feedback to include in the monthly reports to State Board
- Coordinate work with the high schools

### **Team Description**

- Three (3) Members
- Make visits together or separately
- May visit some LEAs more than others

### **IMPORTANT DIRECTIONS for 2005-06**

- LEADERSHIP
- LEA effort on behalf of all schools
- Setting the right targets (measurable objectives)
  - ✓ Subgroups with poorest performance
  - ✓ Targeted areas reading and/or mathematics
  - ✓ Schools needing the most support and guidance
  - ✓ Strategies and research-based practices
- Aligning resources to support the targets

- ✓ Funding (local, state & federal)
- ✓ Human
- ✓ Time
- ✓ Professional development
- ✓ Other
- Nurturing the team effort in the district
- Quality Implementation/Staying Focused
- Monitoring/Adjusting Course

### Reminders

- Congratulations to those who grew over last year
- Turning in requested information (Measurable objectives for State Board)
- Remaining Focused on the objectives

### LEAAP ACTION PLAN 2006-07

		EVALUATION MEASURES	560
		DESIRED OUTCOMES	
TE		TIME LINE	
DATE	CONTACT NUMBER	RECIPIENTS OR PARTICIPANTS	
	CONT	PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE	
SUPERINTENDENT	ER	STRATEGIES	
LEA	LOCAL TEAM LEADER	MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES (S)	

(DUPLICATE AS OFTEN AS NECESSARY)

### **Summary Remarks**

The LEAAP teams were received with mixed reactions during 2005-06. Some LEAs worked collaboratively with the teams and others showed more reluctance. Team members were focused and task oriented. In their quarterly meetings, they shared experiences and concerns. They received ongoing support and guidance from Office of Curriculum and School Reform. The teams entered their assigned schools in either October or January depending on when the funds were available and when approval of their action and budget plans was given by the State Board of Education. Therefore, service time last year was for less than an entire school year.

III. Response to NCLB

### **Title I Schools in School Improvement**

A Title I school is a school that receives Title I money, the largest single federal funding source for education. About half of North Carolina's traditional and charter public schools are Title I schools. All 115 of the state's school districts receive Title I funding. Title I began with the passage of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. It is intended to help ensure that all children have the opportunity to obtain a high quality education and reach grade-level proficiency. Title I funds help students who are behind academically or at risk of falling behind. Services can include: hiring teachers to reduce class size, tutoring, computer labs, parental involvement activities, professional development, purchase of materials and supplies, prekindergarten programs, and hiring teacher assistants or others. Many of the major requirements in NCLB are outlined in Title I - Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), teacher and paraprofessional (teacher assistant) requirements, accountability, sanctions for schools designated for improvement, standards and assessments, annual state report cards, professional development and parent involvement.

Title I School Improvement Timetable

SCHOOL'S STATUS	SANCTIONS/IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES
After Year 1 of not making AYP	None
After Year 2 of not making AYP in the same subject	Public School Choice Improvement Plan/Technical Assistance
After Year 3 of not making AYP in the same subject	Public School Choice Improvement Plan/Technical Assistance Supplemental Educational Services
After Year 4 of not making AYP in the same subject	Public School Choice Improvement Plan/Technical Assistance Supplemental Educational Services Corrective Action
After Year 5 of not making AYP in the same subject	Public School Choice Improvement Plan/Technical Assistance Supplemental Educational Services Corrective Action Plan for Restructuring
After Year 6 of not making AYP in the same subject	Public School Choice Improvement Plan/Technical Assistance Supplemental Educational Services Corrective Action Implement Restructuring Plan

### 2006-07 Title I School Improvement List Updated January 3, 2007

LEA		Grade	2003-04	74	2004-05	35	2005-06	90	2006-07	70	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
10326	Eastlawn Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	0	-	0	2	0	
10351	Grove Park Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
10357	Haw River Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
30320	Sparta Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	0	0	0			
40311	Wadesboro Primary	Gr. 0K-03	0	0	0	-	<b>—</b>	-	2	2	
40316	Lilesville Elementary	Gr. 0K-06	0	0	0	-	_	-	*	2	Reading
40324	Morven Elementary	Gr. PK-06	0	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	
40330	Wadesboro Elementary	Gr. 03-06	0	0	_	_	2	7	က	က	
50330	Mountain View Elementary	Gr. PK-06	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	
60318	Avery Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
06A000	Grandfather Academy	Gr. 01-11	0	_	0	-	0	7	0	3	
70329	Northeast Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	2	
80310	Southwestern Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	<del>-</del>	<del></del>	2	7	က	3	
80356	West Bertie Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	3	0	
80362	Windsor Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0,	0	0	0	0	0		0	
90304	B T Washington Primary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
90332	Elizabethtown Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	<del></del>	0	7	0	က	
90333	Elizabethtown Primary	Gr. PK-04	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
90354	School of Extended Hope	Gr. 06-12	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	m	
90365	Tar Heel Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
100302	Belville Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	-	2	7	*2	*2	Both
100310	Jessie Mae Monroe Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	_	<del>-</del>	<b>←</b>	2	7	
100320	Lincoln Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	2*	0	Reading
111306	Isaac Dickson Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
120340	Hillcrest Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	_	0	2	0	7.	0	Reading
120364	Oak Hill Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
130340	Winecoff Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
130342	W M Irvin Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	
132328	Jackson Park Elementary	Gr. 0K-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
140332	Gamewell Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	_	2	7	e	e	
140356	Hudson Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	-	<del>-</del>	<b>—</b>	*-	0	Exited Math
170338	N L Dillard Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	-	0	2		
180360	Oxford Elementary	Gr. 0K-06	0	0	0	0	-	0		0	

LEA		Grade	2003-04	74	2004-05	92	2005-06	90	2006-07	-07	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading Math	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
181312	Northview Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>(-</b> )	-	
181316	Grandview Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	
190312	Chatham Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	-	<del></del>	<b>-</b>	<del>-</del>	2	2	
210304	Chowan Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	0	2	0	3	0	
210306	D F Walker Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	₹-	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	
210316	White Oak Elementary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	-	0	2	0	-
240304	Acme Delco Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	
240330	Chadbourn Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1000	
240348	Fair Bluff Elementary	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	-	0	2	0	5*		Reading
240352	Guideway Elementary	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	<del>-</del>	•	*-	Math
240356	Hallsboro Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	
240372	Tabor City Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	_	0	2	-	
240376	Tabor City Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	_	0	2	0	3	-	
240388	Williams Township Elementary	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	_	<del>-</del>	2	7	3	3	
241304	Central Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	<del></del>	2	2	5,	m	Reading
241308	Edgewood Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	To division in the second
241320	Whiteville Primary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
250350	James W Smith Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	<b>—</b>	0	2	0	2*	0	Reading
260310	Loyd E Auman Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	
260316	Lillian Black Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	÷	•	
260320	Brentwood Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	*	0	Reading
260321	Douglas Byrd Middle	Gr. 07-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
260324	Young Howard Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	*	0	Reading
260326	Elizabeth M Cashwell Elem	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	ni si
260336	Anne Chesnutt Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	-	2	2	4070
260352	Cumberland Road Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0			i haw
260358	Luther "Nick" Jeralds Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	-	0	<del></del>	-	2	
260362	Gray's Creek Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	-	0	_	0	7	
260365	R Max Abbott Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
260366	Howard L Hall Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
260373	Gallberry Farm Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
260404	William H Owen Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	284
260425	South View Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	2.00
260428	Spring Lake Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	7	Screen Service
260444	Teresa C Berrien Elementary	Gr. PK-05	-	0	_	0	2	0	*	0	Reading
260450	Warrenwood Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•		· ·
260452	Westarea Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	_	-	:	2	Reading
260454	Westover Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	<del></del>	0	-	0	2	estado.
					39						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

ΙFΑ		Grade	2003-04	04	2004-05	05	2005-06	90	2006-07	20	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading Math	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
291332	Lexington Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	-	-	-	2	7	i v
292316	Liberty Drive Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	Paleon
292318	Thomasville Primary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		et no
310308	Beulaville Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	-	0	_	0	2	0	100.00
310336	Warsaw Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
310360	North Duplin Elementary	Gr. K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	· ·
310384	Rose Hill-Magnolia Elem	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
320304	Bethesda Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	_	2	7	3	5*	Math
320308	Burton Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	3	0	
320310	Eastway Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	က	0	က	0	4	0	5	0	
320320	Glenn Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	_	_	2	2	n	က	
320328	Holt Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
320332	Forest View Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
320347	George Watts Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
320352	Merrick-Moore Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	_	0	2	-	
320360	Oak Grove Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
320363	E K Powe Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	τ-	_	7	7	e	8	
320400	Y E Smith Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
32A000	Maureen Joy Charter	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	-	_	~	-	7	*	Math
32B000	Healthy Start Academy	Gr. 0K-08	-	0	2	0	က	0	3*	0	Reading
32C000	Carter Community Charter	Gr. 0K-08	0	_	0	7	0	7	0	က	
32G000	Omuteko Gwamaziima	Gr. 0K-08	0	7	0	7	0	က	0	4	
330332	Phillips Middle	Gr. 04-08	-	-	2	7	က	က	3*	4	Reading
340320	Brunson Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	*	Math
340351	Cook Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
340376	Forest Park Elementary	Gr. PK-05	-	0	2	0	က	0	4	-	
340384	Griffith Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
340390	Hall-Woodward Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	Avenue
340396	Hill Middle	Gr. 06-08	τ-	<del>-</del>	2	7	က	က	4	4	
340416	Kernersville Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
340428	Konnoak Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	
340430	Latham Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	_	2	7	က	5	Math
340476	Old Town Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•		
340492	Philo Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	_	0	2	0	
340540	Walkertown Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	5,	Math
34B000	Quality Education Academy	Gr. 03-09	-	0	2	0	က	0	<b>*</b>	0	Reading
34C000	Downtown Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
350318	Franklinton Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1000/
					40						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

I FA		Grade	2003-04	04	2004-05	-05	2005-06	90	2006-07	20	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading Math	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
350332	Louisburg Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
360484	Rhyne Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
390312	C G Credle Elementary	Gr. 02-03	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
390332	Mary Potter Intermediate	Gr. 04-06	0	0	Ψ-	0	2	0	က	-	
390364	West Oxford Elementary	Gr. PK-01	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
400321	Snow Hill Primary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	_	0	2	0	က	-	
400332	West Greene Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	_	0	2	0	က	•	
410325	Aycock Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
410328	Bessemer Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	*	Math
410367	Ferndale Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	<b>←</b>	₩	2	7	က	က	
410385	Gillespie Park Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	*	0	Reading
410391	Penn-Griffin Middle	Gr. 06-09	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
410402	Otis L Hairston Sr Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	*-	Math
410403	W M Hampton Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
410415	Jackson Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	
410439	Kirkman Park Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	
410469	Montlieu Avenue Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	<b>*</b>	0	Reading
410496	Northwood Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	<b>*</b>	0	Reading
410499	Oak Hill Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	
410532	Rankin Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
410580	Union Hill Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	•	
410586	Washington Elementary	Gr. PK-05	-	0	-	0	2	0	e	0	
410592	Laurin Welborn Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
410598	Wiley Accel/Enrichment	Gr. PK-05	<del>-</del>	0	_	0.	2	0	က	-	
420316	Dawson Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
420320	Eastman Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	·-	
420324	Enfield Middle	Gr. 06-08	-	-	-	-	2	7	က	က	
420346	Northwest High	Gr. 09-12	0	0	0	0	0	0		***	
420358	Southeast Halifax High	Gr. 09-12	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
420376	William R Davie Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	_	0	7	-	
421306	Chaloner Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	-	-	2	7	
422318	Weldon Middle	Gr. 06-08	-	0	2	0	ဗ	0	4	0	
430328	Coats Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	·	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
430336	Erwin Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	_	_	<b>:</b>	2	Reading
430340	Gentry Primary	Gr. 0K-02	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	-	*	2	Reading
430358	Lillington-Shawtown Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	<del></del>	0	<b>\$</b>	-	Reading
440396	Waynesville Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	<u>.</u>	Math
460308	Ahoskie Elementary	Gr. 04-06	0	0	0	0	0	0			
					41						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

ΙΕΔ		Grade	2003-04	04	2004-05	05	2005-06	90	2006-07	20	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
460318	Hertford County Middle	Gr. 07-08	0	0	-	-	2	2	က	က	100,000
460332	Riverview Elementary	Gr. PK-06	-	0	2	0	က	0	4	0	
470328	Scurlock Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	m	-	
470330	South Hoke Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	τ-	2	2	3	e	
490363	Third Creek Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	2,	0	Reading
500314	Fairview Elementary	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
510332	Corinth Holders	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	ne con
510388	Selma Elementary	Gr. PK-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
53A000	Provisions Academy	Gr. 06-12	0	2	0	က	0	4	0	2	
540306	C H Bynum Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
540312	E B Frink Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	<del></del>	~	2	7	e	8	
540313	Kennedy Home	Gr. 0K-12	0	0	<b>—</b>	-	7	7	3	e	
540330	Rochelle Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	-	
550334	Lincolnton Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
550372	West Lincoln Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	-	_	_	2	2	
580316	East End Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	000110
590352	West Marion Elementary	Gr. 0K-06	0	0	-	0	-	0	2	0	CNOR
600300	Albemarle Road Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	
600301	Albemarle Road Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	will de la constant d
600329	Briarwood Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	
600335	Billingsville Elem	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	_	0	2	-	
600341	Cochrane Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	-	2	7	က	က	
600365	Devonshire Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	_	0	2	0	
600374	Druid Hills Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	0	_	0	2	0	7900
600381	Eastway Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	_	-	2	7	3	n	
600412	Hidden Valley Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	0	2	0	7.	0	Reading
600427	Irwin Avenue Open	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
600441	Lincoln Heights Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	,	0	town.
600517	Reid Park Elementry	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	0	_	0	2	0	
600520	Sedgefield Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	ronieti.
600527	Shamrock Gardens Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	-	2	7	3	5*	Math
600541	Spaugh Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	-	2	7	က	က	
600577	Westerly Hills Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	1	2	7	2.	2,	Both
600581	John T Williams Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	_	0	2	0	es C	-	
600585	Wilson Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	_	-	2	7	က	e	
600289	Winterfield Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
60B000	Sugar Creek Charter	Gr. 0K-08	2	7	2	က	က	4	7	4*	Matin
60C000	Kennedy Charter	Gr. 06-12	0	2	0	က	0	4	0	*+	Math
					42						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

LEA		Grade	2003-04	94	2004-05	05	2005-06	90	2006-07	-07	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
60H000	Crossroads Charter High	Gr. 09-12	0	0	-	-	2	7	က	က	
620330	Page Street Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	_	<del>-</del>	<u>:</u>	*	Both
620336	Troy Elementary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	0	0	-	₹~	•	*+	Both
630348	Robbins Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
630352	Southern Pines Primary	Gr. 0K-02	0	0	_	0	_	0	2	0	
630356	Southern Pines Elementary	Gr. 03-06	0	0	_	0	-	0	2	0	100250
630364	Vass-Lakeview Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	162200
63B000	Sandhills Theatre Arts Renaiss	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	****
640326	D S Johnson Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	**	0	Reading
640354	O R Pope Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	,	0	
640376	Spring Hope Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	<del>-</del>	2	7	2*	5*	Both
640400	Winstead Avenue Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	_	0	*	0	Reading
64A000	Rocky Mount Preparatory	Gr. 0K-12	0	0	_	<del>-</del>	2	7	7,	e	Reading
650341	John J Blair Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	-	_	:-	*-	Both
650346	Mary C Williams Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
660308	Conway Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	_	0	7		6	
670314	Dixon Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
670337	Richlands Primary	Gr. 0K-02	0	0	0	0	_	0	7		≡si∂:
620339	Richlands Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	<del></del>	0	2	0	enio
681304	Carrboro Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
700314	Northside Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
700324	Sheep-Hamey Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
710320	Cape Fear Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	-	0	-	•	7	Reading
720304	Perquimans Central	Gr. PK-02	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	•	
720312	Hertford Grammar	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	7	
740310	Belvoir Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	•	
740320	Bethel Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	_	0	2	0	က	0	
740358	G R Whitfield Elementary	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	NA CREE
740360	H B Sugg Elementary	Gr. PK-02	0	0	_	<del>-</del>	_	-	2	7	
740375	Northwest Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	
740376	Pactolus Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	-	0	2	0	۳	0	
740382	Sadie Saulter Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	100
740386	Sam D Bundy Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	_	0	-	0	7	0	
740390	South Greenville Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	7 54
740402	Wellcome Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	thin:
760359	Southmont Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
761312	Balfour Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
770304	Ashley Chapel Elementary	Gr. 04-06	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	
					43						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

LEA		Grade	2003-04	04	2004-05	92	2005-06	90	2006-07	7.0	-
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	_
770332	Hoffman Elementary	Gr. 0K-06	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	2	Exited Reading
770344	Mineral Springs Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
780324	Fairgrove Middle	Gr. 04-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	•	
780326	Fairmont Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
780330	L Gilbert Carroll Middle	Gr. 05-06	0	0	-	0	_	0	2	-	etura.
780336	Littlefield Middle	Gr. 04-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	rkoon
780340	Long Branch Elementary	Gr. PK-04	0	0	0	_	0	7	0	7	
780341	Lumberton Junior High	Gr. 07-08	0	0	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	2	7	
780344	Magnolia Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
780352	Orrum Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
780360	Parkton Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	က	
780364	Pembroke Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>,</b>	0	
780374	Peterson Elementary	Gr. PK-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
780384	Prospect Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
780393	Red Springs Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	<del>-</del>	_	2	7	6	m	
780400	Saint Pauls Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
780403	Saint Pauls Middle	Gr. 06-08	<b>-</b>	0	0	_	0	7		e	
780410	Townsend Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	_	0	2	0	3	0	
780412	Union Chapel Elementary	Gr. PK-06	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
780417	W H Knuckles	Gr. PK-04	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	<b>.</b>	0	Reading
78A000	CIS Academy	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
790344	Leaksville-Spray Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	0	•	0	2	0	
790358	Moss Street Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
790402	Williamsburg Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
800316	China Grove Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	0	2	0	e	0	
800356	Granite Quarry Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	m	0	troin.
800360	Hurley Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	*******
800373	North Rowan Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
810336	Forest City-Dunbar Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
820340	Hargrove Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
820354	Midway Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
820370	Union Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	_	2	7	m	m	
820372	Roseboro-Salemburg Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	
820384	Union Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	-	-	<b>-</b>	_	7	7	1000
821304	Butler Avenue Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	က	-	
821316	Langdon C Kerr Elem	Gr. PK-02	0	0	_	0	2	0	n	-	
821320	Sampson Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	
830345	Scotland Accelerated	Gr. PK-04	5	0	0	0	0	0		-	need .
					44				v		

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

LEA		Grade	2003-04	4	2004-05	92	2005-06	90	2006-07	20	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
830347	Shaw Elementary	Gr. K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
840308	Badin Elementary	Gr. 0K-11	0	0	_	0	τ-	0	0	-	Exited Reading
840316	East Albemarle Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	<b>-</b>	-	2	2	က	5*	Math
850354	London Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	200
850356	Walnut Cove Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
862308	Bruce H Tharrington Elem	Gr. PK-02	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	the state of
862310	Jones Elementary	Gr. 03-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
900306	East Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	
900370	Walter Bickett Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
910320	Henderson Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	-	-	2	2	100
910360	E M Rollins Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
920446	Hodge Road Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	0	2	0	2*	0	Reading
920536	Powell Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
92L000	Torchlight Academy	Gr. 0K-05	2	2	2	7	က	က	3*	**	Both
930344	South Warren Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	-	
93A000	Haliwa-Saponi Tribal School	Gr. 0K-11	-	0	-	0	2	0	2*	0	Reading
940314	Pines Elementary	Gr. PK-04	-	_	2	7	က	က	4	**	Math
940328	Washington County Union	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	က	
960312	Brogden Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	က	
960314	Brogden Primary	Gr. 0K-04	0	0	0	0	-	-	2	2	
960316	Carver Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	_	0	2	0	က		(A.S.)
960318	Carver Heights	Gr. 0K-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
960326	Dillard Middle	Gr. 07-08	-	<b>—</b>	2	7	က	က	7	4	
960329	Eastern Wayne Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	<del></del>	-	_	_	7	0	Exited Math
960331	Eastern Wayne Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
960336	Grantham	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	_	-	2	7	3	m	
960337	Goldsboro Intermediate	Gr. 05-06	0	0	-	-	7	2	5	es .	Reading
960340	Greenwood Middle	Gr. 05-08	0	0	<del>-</del>	τ-	-	_	2	2	
960348	Mount Olive Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	-	-	2	7		e	
960382	Spring Creek Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
960390	North Drive Elementary	Gr. 0K-04	0	0	0	_	7	7	က	ຕ	The state of the s
96C000	Dillard Academy	Gr. 0K-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
970352	Mulberry Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	
980357	Vick Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
98A000	Sallie B Howard School	Gr. 0K-08	0	0	-	0	7	0	3	-	
990312	East Bend Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
990328	West Yadkin Elementary	Gr. PK-08	0	0	_	0	<del></del>	0	2	0	
995328	Micaville Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
					45						

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

5 = Year 5 of School Improvement

<sup>\*=</sup> Remained in same year of Improvement Status making progress towards exiting

	new statuses	ses	sam	same status	ţ	total
Level of Improvement	œ	Σ	œ	Σ	œ	M
Year 1 of School Improvement	115	86	16	6	131	107
Year 2 of School Improvement	43	25	18	<b>®</b>	64	33
Year 3 of School Improvement	46	34	4	2	50	36
Year 4 of School Improvement	7	4	0	2	7	
Year 5 of School Improvement	-	-	0	0		1
	212	162	38	21	250	183

# Schools that exited Title I improvement Status for the 2006-07 School Year

LEA		Grade	2003-04	04	2004-05	05	2005-06	90	2006-07	)7	Area of
Code	School Name	Span	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
140352	Hudson Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	Exited Reading & Math
180372	Saint Stephens Elementary	Gr. 0K-06	0	0	•	0	~	0	0	0	Exited Reading
181342	Southwest Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	•	0	<b>—</b>	0	0	0	Exited Reading
240336	Nakina Altemative	Gr. 06-12	0	0	•	_	<del>-</del>	<u>~</u>	0	0	Exited Reading & Mat
260302	Alma O Easom Elementary	Gr. 0K-01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
260364	Gray's Creek Elementary	Gr. PK-05	0	0	•	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
410583	Vandalia Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	-	0	<b>-</b>	0	0	Exited Math
430316	Boone Trail Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	•	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
430348	Johnsonville Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	0	0	_	-	0	0	Exited Reading & Math
450346	Upward Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	•	0	_	0	0	0	Exited Reading
490364	Troutman Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	•	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
580372	Williamston Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	•	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
600308	Allenbrook Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	•	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
600311	Ashley Park Elementary	Gr. 0K-05	0	0	<b>T</b>	0	-	0	0	0	Exited Reading
600360	Marie G Davis Middle	Gr. 06-08	0	0	•	0	2	0	0	0	
600489		Gr. 0K-05	0	0	•	-	<del>-</del>	-	0	0	Exited Reading & Mat

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs

State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction

Office of Deputy Superintendent

<sup>3 =</sup> Year 3 of School Improvement 4 = Year 4 of School Improvement 1= Year 1 of Status Improvement 2= Year 2 of Status Improvement

		ding	ding		ding	ding	ding	£	xited Reading & Math	ding	ding
Area of	Math Progress	Exited Reading	Exited Reading	Both	Exited Reading	Exited Reading	Exited Reading	Exited Math	Exited Rea	Exited Reading	Exited Reading
	Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006-07	Reading	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90	Math	0	0	7	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
2005-06	Reading	-	-	2	5*	<del>-</del>	-	0	₹	Ψ-	_
. 20	Math	0	0	_	0	0	0	<del></del>	Ψ-	<del>-</del>	0
2004-05	Reading	•	_	-	2	-	_	0	-	-	~
74	Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003-04	Reading	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade	Span	Gr. 06-08	Gr. PK-05	Gr. PK-0K	Gr. PK-05	Gr. 0K-05	Gr. PK-05	Gr. PK-05	Gr. 0K-05	Gr. PK-06	Gr. PK-08
	School Name	Harris Middle	Coopers Elementary			Knollwood Elementary	Benton Heights Elementary	Aycock Elementary	Lynn Road Elementary	Creswell Elementary	990336 Yadkinville Elementary
LEA	Code	610326	640324	640327	780392	800362	900304	910304	920488	940306	990336

## Non-Title I Schools Required to Amend their School Improvement Plan (Updated January 3, 2007)

the Title I schools but must amend their School Improvement Plans if they miss making AYP two consecutive years in the same content area targets in that content area for two consecutive years to exit School Improvement. Non-Title I schools do not have the same sanctions as Title I schools had to miss making AYP two consecutive years in the same content area to enter School Improvement and must meet all and must meet all targets in that content area for two consecutive years to no longer have to amend their School Improvement Plan.

\* = school has met all targets in the same content area that required the amendment of their School Improvement Plan. Progress is then being made toward exiting status.

Areas of	Progress				EXITED MATH			æ		EXITED MATH			20	EXITED MATH					EXITED READING								
20	Math	0	0	τ-	0	0	က	<del>-</del>	က	0	က	0	5*	0	Ψ-	-	_	က	0	က	<b>—</b>	0	0	က	_	က	
2006-07	Reading	2*	0	0	2	က	0	0	က	2*	က	2	*	2*	<del>-</del>	<del></del>	က	2*	a. O	0	0	7*	_	က	0	က	
90	Math	0	0		_	0	7	0	2	747	7	0	2	-			0	2	0	2		0		2		2	
2005-06	Reading	2	0		2	2	0	-	2	2	2	_	_	2			2	2	_	0		2		2		7	48
05	Math	0	0		τ-	0	_	0	_	τ-	_	0	_	_			0	_	0	_		0		_		~	
2004-05	Reading	-	_		_	~	0	_	_	_	_	0	0	-			-	<del>-</del>	-	0		_		~		-	
	School Name	Altamahaw Ossipee Elem	Edwin M Holt Elementary	Elon Elementary	Graham High	Graham Middle	Hawfields Middle	Hugh M Cummings High	Sellars-Gunn Alternative	Southern High	Turrentine Middle	Walter M Williams High	Western Middle	Woodlawn Middle	West Alexander Middle	Anson Challenge Academy	Anson High	Anson Middle	Peachland-Polkton Elem	B C Ed Tech Center	Chocowinity Middle	P S Jones Middle	Southside High	Washington High	Bladenboro Middle	East Bladen High	
LEA	Code	10308	10328	10340	10348	10350	10353	10360	10378	10388	10394	10396	10403	10406	20330	40305	40306	40309	40328	70310	70325	70338	70339	70342	90315	90330	

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction

Office of Deputy Superintendent

Areas of	Progress		EXITED READING									EXITED READING & MATH		EXITED MATH		EXITED MATH									EXITED MATH	EXITED READING		EXITED MATH			EXITED MATH						EXITED MATH		
70	Math	2*	0	က	0	~	က	0	0	က	0	0	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	<del>-</del>	က	0	_	က	0	က	*	0	0	က	0	က	<del>-</del>	0	-	5*	0	_	
2006-07	Reading	က	0	2	~	က	0	2	က	က	0	0	2	က	<del>-</del>	က	m	5*	<del>-</del>	0	က	2*	က	0	0	0	0	~	*	*	0	0	2	~	<b>~</b>	5*	0	0	
90	Math	2	0	7		0	2	0	0	7	_	<del>-</del>	τ-	_		_	0	0			7	0	0	2	_	2	7	<del></del>	0	2	*	5	0			7	_		
2005-06	Reading	2	_	_		2	0	_	2	2	0	<del>-</del>	_	2		7	2	2			2	2	2	0	0	_	0	0	-	₩.	0	0	_			2	0		40
)5	Math	-	0	-		0	_	0	0	-	_	_	<del></del>	_		_	0	0			_	0	0	-	<b>—</b>	~	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	0	-	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	0			<del>-</del>	_		
2004-05	Reading	-	<del></del>	0		_	0	_	<b>←</b>	_	0	-	0	_		~	_	_			_	<b>~</b>	<b>-</b>	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			-	0		
	School Name	West Bladen High	Brunswick County Academy	Leland Middle	North Brunswick High	Shallotte Middle	West Brunswick High	A C Reynolds Middle	Charles D Owen Middle	Clyde A Erwin Middle	North Buncombe Middle	North Windy Ridge	Enka Middle	Asheville High	East Burke High	East Burke Middle	Freedom High	Heritage Middle	Walter R Johnson Middle	Concord High	J N Fries Middle	Cox Mill Elementary	Mount Pleasant Middle	Northwest Cabarrus Middle	Kannapolis Middle	Beaufort Middle	Newport Middle	Bartlett Yancey High	Balls Creek Elementary	Charles H Tuttle Elementary	Mill Creek Middle	River Bend Middle	Startown Elementary	Hickory High	Newton-Conover Middle	SAGE Academy	Horton Middle	J S Waters Elementary	
LEA	Code	90368	100308	100316	100326	100332	100348	110306	110338	110342	110381	110382	110401	111302	120314	120315	120318	120334	120390	130314	130315	130319	130323	130327	132330	160310	160326	170316	180304	180326	180352	180364	180380	181322	182321	190310	190328	190332	

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction

Office of Deputy Superintendent

																프													王	-	E								
Areas of	Progress		EXITED MATH			EXITED READING						EXITED MATH		EXIITED READING	EXITED READING	EXITED READING & MATH						EXITED MATH				(8)			EXITED READING & MATH		EXITED READING & MATH					EXITED READING		EXITED MATH	
20	Math	-	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	က	0	_	0	3	0	<del>-</del>	0	7	0	-	က	_	_	5*	0	0	-	-	က	~	5*	0	_	0	_	τ-	<del>-</del>	_	0	*	0	
2006-07	Reading	_	0	<del></del>	0	0	0	က	0	5*	2*	0	_	0	0	0	က	က	က	-	က	0	<del>-</del>	2	0	-	0	5*	0	~	0	_	<b>~</b>	0	<b>-</b>	0	0	τ-	
90	Math		*	8		0	7	0		0	7	<del>-</del>		0	₹-	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	0	7	<del></del>		0	0	2		2	<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>					0	-	<del>-</del>	
2005-06	Reading	)	0			_	0	7		2	2	0		<b>—</b>	_	<del>-</del>	2	2	2	<b>~</b>	2	0		_	0	0		2	<del>-</del>		~					<del></del>	0	0	50
05	Math		-			0	_	0		0	-	-		0	_	_	0	~	0	0	-	_		0	0	-		<b>—</b>	Ψ-		-					0	0	₹~	
2004-05	Reading		0			-	0	_		<b>-</b>	<b>—</b>	0		~	_	_	_	-	_	0	-	0		0	0	0		_	_		_					·	0	0	
然 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	School Name	Jordan Matthews High	Northwood High	Pittsboro Elementary	Mountain Youth School	John A Holmes High	Hayesville Middle	Burns High	Burns Middle	Crest High School	Crest Mid Sch of Technology	Davidson School	Kings Mountain Middle	Marion Intermediate	Shelby Middle	East Columbus High	South Columbus High	West Columbus High	Whiteville High	Havelock Middle	New Bern High	Tucker Creek Middle	West Craven High	West Craven Middle	Alma O Easom Elementary	Cape Fear High	Gray's Creek High School	E E Smith High	John R Griffin Middle	Pine Forest High	Seventy-First High	South View High	Terry Sanford High	Vanstory Hills Elementary	Westover High	Currituck County High	Currituck County Middle	Central Davidson Middle	
LEA	Code	190336	190342	190348	200322	210312	220312	230312	230316	230324	230328	230330	230352	230355	230362	240334	240371	240380	241316	250344	250356	250368	250372	250376	260302	260325	260357	260359	260369	260408	260424	260427	260446	260448	260455	270306	270308	290309	

	Areas of	Progress					EXITED READING				EXITED READING	EXITED MATH																								EXITED MATH	EXITED MATH			
-		Math	2	0	7	က	<del>-</del>	5*	τ-	_	5*	0	_	0	_	*	0	_	က	5*	*	-	က	7	2	_	0	က	က	0	*	-	τ-	က	0	0	0	<b>←</b>	5*	
00000	2006-07	Reading	2	0	*	5*	0	<b>~</b>	က	-	0	က	<b>~</b>	-	0	0	<b>~</b>	_	က	0	~	0	က	က	က	က	2	က	ကျ	<b>5</b> *	0	0	က	က	2*	ო	က	က	0	
	9	Math	-		_	7	0	2	0		7	<b>←</b>				2			2	7	7		7	<del></del>	<b>-</b>	0	0	2	7	0	2		0	2		<b>—</b>	<b>-</b>	0	2	
	2005-06	Reading	-		τ-	2	_	0	2		-	2				0			2	0	0		2	2	2	2	τ-	2	2	2	0		2	2	2	2	2	2	0	51
The section of the se	2	Math	-		0	₹-	0	<b>-</b>	0		•	-				<del>-</del>			_	_	4		_	0	0	0	0	_	-	0	_		0	τ-	<del>-</del>	_	_	0	<b>—</b>	
	2004-05	Reading	0		0	257	_	0	_		<del>-</del>	_				0			-	0	0		_	<del>-</del>	~	_	_	-	<u>-</u>	<b>—</b>	0		<del>-</del>	<del></del>	-	_	_	+-	0	
		School Name	Davidson County Ext Day	Davis-Townsend Elementary	E Lawson Brown Middle	Ledford Middle	North Davidson Middle	Tyro Middle	Lexington Senior High	Thomasville Middle	Thomasville High	Davie County High	Shady Grove Elementary	South Davie Middle	James Kenan High	Wallace-Rose Hill High	Brogden Middle	C E Jordan High	Chewning Middle	Durham Sch of the Arts	Hillside High	James E Shepard Middle	Lakeview School	Lowe's Grove Middle	Neal Middle	Northern High	Riverside High	Sherwood Githens Middle	Southern High	Rogers-Herr Middle	Center for Ed Achievement	C B Martin Middle	South Edgecombe Middle	West Edgecombe Middle	SouthWest Edgecombe High	Tarboro High	Carver High	Clemmons Middle	East Forsyth High	
Annual Control of the	LEA	Code	290314	290316	290322	290334	290350	290376	291336	292320	292324	300312	300328	300330	310352	310392	320306	320312	320314	320323	320325	320338	320341	320346	320355	320356	320365	320366	320368	320370	330310	330324	330340	330348	330350	330358	340330	340350	340364	

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

Areas of	Progress						2			EXITED READING								EXITED READING		EXITED MATH	EXITED MATH			EXITED MATH															
70	Math	က	က	က	5*	_	0	_	*	~	0	0	_	က	0	0	5*	τ-	0	0	0	က	0	Ö	က်	0	<del>-</del>	0	က	က	_	0	က	<del>-</del>	က	0	က	_	
2006-07	Reading	-	_	ო	2*	0	_	_	*	0	_	2*	2	*	2	*	က	0	<del>-</del>	က	_	က	<b>—</b>	_	0	_	_	<b>—</b>	7*	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	*	<del></del>	Ψ-	2*	က	ന.	
90	Math	2	7	2	2				_	0		0	0	2	0	0	2	0		<del>-</del>	τ-	7		_	2				2	7			7		7	0	7	0	
2005-06	Reading	0	0	2	2				_	_		2	-	-	-	τ-	7	_		2	0	2		0	0				7	0			_		0	7	7	2	52
15	Math	-	-	_	_				0	0		0	0	_	0	0	<del>-</del>	0		<b>~</b>	τ-	_		_	_				_	<del>-</del>			_		-	0	_	0	
2004-05	Reading	0	0	-	_				0	-		_	-	0	_	0	-	-		_	0	7-		0	0				_	0			0		0	<del>-</del>	-	<del></del>	
	School Name	R B Glenn High	Hanes Middle	Kernersville Middle	Lowrance Middle	Mount Tabor High	North Forsyth High	Paisley Middle	Parkland High	Reynolds High	Sedge Garden Elementary	Southeast Middle	Wiley Middle	Terrell Lane Middle	Ashbrook High	Bessemer City High	Warlick School	Cramerton Middle	East Gaston High	W P Grier Middle	Holbrook Middle	Hunter Huss High	Kiser Elementary	Bessemer City Middle	Mount Holly Middle	North Gaston High	Southwest Middle	Springfield Primary	Stanley Middle	William C Friday Middle	Central Middle	Gates County Senior High	Butner-Stem Middle	G C Hawley Middle	Northern Granville Middle	Greene Central High	Greene County Middle	Alamance Elementary	
LEA	Code	340382	340392	340420	340436	340454	340460	340480	340486	340496	340508	340516	340564	350338	360310	360336	360372	360380	360390	360408	360426	360428	360432	360436	360456	360470	360498	360499	360500	360514	370308	370312	390309	390320	390334	400308	400312	410304	

Areas of	n Progress	EXITED READING												8			EXITED READING					EXITED MATH					EXITED READING & MATH								2.		EXITED READING		
2006-07	Math		-	-	~	0	-	<del></del>	-	2	-	5*	0	0	_	0	0	-	*	~	_	0	~	0	7	7	0	0	_	_	က	<del>-</del>	0	_	က	0	0	-	
200	Reading	0	0	-	<del>-</del>	<b>—</b>	<b>-</b>	_	_	7	2	0	_	<del>-</del>	_	5*	0	<del>.</del>	0	0	0	0	-	_	7	2	0	7	2	0	_	_	5*	_	က	က	0	-	
90	Math	0								-	0	7				0	0		<del>-</del>			_			<del></del>	-	_	_	0		7		0		2	0	0		
2005-06	Reading	-								-	<b>←</b>	0				7	_		0			0			<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	2	<del>-</del>		0		7		2	7	-		•
05	Math	0								<del>-</del>	0	~				<b>-</b> -	0		0			_		7.7	0	0	<del>-</del>	<b>—</b>	0		_		0		<b>←</b>	0	0		
2004-05	Reading	-								<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	0				_	<del>-</del>		0			0			0	0	<del></del>	<b>—</b>	0		0		<b>*</b>		<del></del>	_	-		3
	School Name	Allen Jay Middle	Allen Middle	T Wingate Andrews High	Dudley High	Grimsley High	High Point Central High	Jamestown Middle	Jesse Wharton Elem	Kiser Middle	Eastern Middle	Mendenhall Middle	Middle College High at NC A&T	Northeast Guilford High	Northeast Guilford Middle	Page High	Scale School	Ben L Smith High	Southeast Guilford High	Southeast Guilford Middle		Southwest Guilford Middle	Chaloner Middle	Roanoke Rapids High	Coats-Erwin Middle	Dunn Middle	Harnett Central High	Harnett Central High		Ŭ		Western Harnett Middle	Flat Rock Middle	_	Hoke County High	East Hoke Middle	Mulberry Street School	North Iredell Middle	
LEA	Code	410313	410316	410319	410355	410394	410406	410421	410424	410442	410460	410463	410483	410484	410487	410508	410533	410544	410547	410550	410556	410565	421306	421316	430330	430332	430346	430346	430347	430371	430378	430386	450328	460320	470312	470332	490342	490349	

															MATH														11 T V V V	L AM			3	ń					
Areas of	Progress				EXITED MATH			EXITED MATH					EXITED READING		EXITED READING & MATH		EXITED READING			EXITED READING				EXITED READING				EXITED READING		EXII EU KEAUING & MAI H			EXITED READING			EXITED READING			
20	Math	က	2*	_	0	<u>.                                    </u>	*	0	က	0	<del>-</del>	~	5*	0	0	_	က	0	_	0	<del></del>	5*	0	0	0	0 (	0 (	0 (	<b>)</b>	<b>&gt;</b> ·	<del></del>	τ-	က	0	•	0	က	က	
2006-07	Reading	-	2*	<del></del>	~	0	*	0	2*	0	0	_	0	*	0	~	0	က	0	0	~	5*	5*	0	<del>.</del>	₹ '	₹ (	0	← (	o (	က	τ-	0	-	က	0	က	က	
90	Math	2	2		_		_	_	2	(f)			7	0	<del>-</del>		7	_	0	0		7	0	0				0	•	_	0	55	2	2	0	0	7	2	
2005-06	Reading	0	2		0		-	0	2				<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-		<del>-</del>	2	_	<del>-</del>		2	2	-				<del>-</del>	,	-	2		-		2	<del>-</del>	2	2	54
05	Math	•	~		τ-		0	₩-	_				<del></del>	0	_		τ-	<del>-</del>	0	0		<del>-</del>	0	0				0	,	<del>-</del>	0		•		0	0	•	-	
2004-05	Reading	0	<del>-</del>		0		0	0	_				_	0	<b>-</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>—</b>	<del></del>	11 -		_	_	7-				_	,	·	_		-			-	_	_	
では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、	School Name	Statesville Middle	Statesville High	Troutman Middle	West Iredell High	West Iredell Middle	Mooresville Intermediate	Mooresville Senior High	Jackson Co Sch of Alt	Smoky Mountain High	Benson Middle	Four Oaks Middle	North Johnston Middle	Princeton High	South Campus Community High	South Campus Community Middle	Selma Middle School	Smithfield Middle	Polenta Elementary	Bragg Street Academy	East Lee Middle	Lee County High	Kinston High	Asbury School	Lincolnton High	West Lincoln High	Madison Middle	Roanoke High	Williamston High	West McDowell Junior High	John M Alexander Middle	Carmel Middle	Coulwood Middle	Crown Point Elementary	Marie G Davis Middle	David Cox Road Elementary	E E Waddell High	East Mecklenburg High	
LEA	Code	490352	490354	490366	490380	490384	491306	491312	500324	500340	510310	510342	510344	510376	510380	510381	510390	510397	510410	530306	530314	530336	540315	550308	550332	550368	570319	580344	580368	590356	600305	600333	600351	600352	600360	600362	600376	600377	

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

Areas of	Progress					EXITED READING	EXITED READING & MATH	EXITED MATH																											EXITED READING				
20	Math	5*	~	က	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	7	2	က	0	က	က	_	က	က	~	0	_	က	<del>-</del>	က	7	က	7	က	0	*	_	7	0	_	~	0	*	0	5*	
2006-07	Reading	က	-	က	<del></del>	0	0	0	2	2	က	<del>-</del>	က	ဇ	0	ဇ	က	_	*	0	က	2	က	2	က	0	က	*	2*	<del></del>	က	_	_	က	0	*	<del></del>	2*	
90	Math	2		2		0	τ-	<del>-</del>	₹-	₩-	2		2	2		2	2		0		2	0	7	<del>-</del>	2	<b>~</b>	2	0	<b>.</b>		τ-			0	0	<u>_</u>		2	
2005-06	Reading	2		2		_	-	0	-	_	2		2	2		2	2		_		2	_	2	<del>-</del>	2	0	2	_	2		7			2	_	_		7	22
35	Math	-		~		0	_	<del>-</del>	0	_	-		_	-		_	-		0		_	0	_	0	<b>-</b>	0	<del>-</del>	0	0		0			0	0	0		<b>-</b>	
2004-05	Reading	-		Ψ		<del></del>	<del>-</del>	0	0	_	۲		-	-		_	-		0		<del>-</del>	0	<del></del>	0	_	0	_	0	_		<b>-</b> -			<b>—</b>	_	0		_	
	School Name	Midwood High/Tate TAPS	Francis Bradley Middle	Garinger High	Greenway Park Elementary	Alexander Graham Middle	Harding University High	Hickory Grove Elementary	Hopewell High	Hopewell High	Hornets Nest Elementary	Idlewild Elementary	Independence High	James Martin Middle	John Motley Morehead Elem	Robert F Kennedy Middle	Derita Alternative	Lebanon Road Elementary	Legette Blythe Elementary	Long Creek Elementary	McClintock Middle	Morgan School	Myers Park High	North Mecklenburg High	Northridge Middle	Northwest School of the Arts	Olympic High	Paw Creek Elementary	Phillip O Berry Academy of Tec	Pineville Elementary	Quail Hollow Middle	Rama Road Elementary	Randolph Middle	Ranson Middle	Reedy Creek Elementary	Smith Language Academy	Smithfield Elementary	South Mecklenburg High	
LEA	Code	600386	600394	968009	600398	600333	600405	600410	600415	600415	600416	600424	600426	600428	600429	600434	600439	600440	600442	600444	600450	600461	600466	600480	600481	600482	600490	600494	600496	600500	602009	600512	600513	600514	600516	600532	600534	600535	

LEA		2004	-05	2005-06	90	2006-07	20	Areas of
Code	School Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
600538	Southwest Middle School	0	0	-	-	2	2	
600546	Statesville Road Elementary	0	0	_	0	2	0	
600549	Steele Creek Elementary	0	_	0	2	_	5*	
600566	University Meadows Elem	_	0	₩	0	0	0	EXITED READING
600571	Villa Heights Elementary					0	0	
600576	West Charlotte High	~	_	2	7	ო	က	8
600219	West Mecklenburg High	_	-	2	7	က	က	
600592	Zebulon B Vance High	-	-	2	7	က	က	
620314	East Middle	0	0	<del></del>	_	2	2	
620316	East Montgomery High	0	0	<b>←</b>	<del>-</del>	*	*	
620339	West Middle					0	<del></del> ;	
620340	West Montgomery High	<del></del>	~ N	2	2	က	, '	
630336	Pinecrest High	_	0	2	<del>-</del>	5*	*	
630350	Southern Middle	0	0	·-	0	7	_	
640320	Nash Central Middle	0	_	0	2	_	က	
640327	Fairview Early Childhood Ctr			2	2	2*	2*	
640329	G R Edwards Middle	-	-	•	<b>~</b>	0	0	
640334	J W Parker Middle	-	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	0	EXITED READING
640340	W L Greene Alternative	₩	•	7	7	5*	က	
640346	Nash Central High	~	-	<del>, </del>	•	0	0	EXITED READING & MATH
640350	Northern Nash High	-	Ψ.	2	7	က	က	
640358	Red Oak Middle	0	0	~	<b>,</b>	*-	7	
640361	Rocky Mount High	_	•	-	7	0	2	EXITED READING
640362	Southern Nash Middle	-	χ-	2	2	က	က	
640364	Southern Nash High	-	0	-	0	0	0	EXITED READING
650326	Emsley A Laney High	0	0	~	-	7	*	
650342	John T Hoggard High	<b>-</b>	0	~	0	0 ·	0 (	EXITED READING
650350	M C S Noble Middle						0 (	
650352	New Hanover High	0	-	0	7	- 1	m (	
650354	Lakeside	<del></del>	•	2	7	m	n (	
660336	Northampton High-East	<b>—</b>	0	2	0	, 7,	0 .	
670318	Dixon Middle					-	- '	
670322	Hunters Creek Middle	0	•	0	-	0	0	EXITED MATH
670325	Jacksonville Commons Middle	\frac{1}{2}	0	2	0	က	- (	
670351	Swansboro Middle	0	0	•	•	5	7	
680304	A L Stanback Middle					<b>-</b>	-	
680316	Charles W Stanford Middle					0	-	
				56				

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

LEA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2004-05	.05	2005-06	90-	2006-07	20	Areas of	1017
Code	School Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress	
680332	Orange High					0	-		
680336	Pathways Elementary					_	0		
681314	East Chapel Hill High					0	~		
690316	Pamlico County Middle	0	_	0	2	<del>-</del>	က		
690320	Pamlico County High					<del>-</del>	0		
700308	Elizabeth City Middle	_	_	_	2	0	က	EXITED READING	
700310	H L Trigg Community	0	_	0	2	0	5*		
700317	Northeastern High	_	0	2	0	က	<b>—</b>		
700319	Pasquotank County High	0	_	0	2	0	က		
700322	River Road Middle					<del></del>	0		
710325	Pender Success Academy	-	0	_	_	0	*	EXITED READING	
710326	Pender High	•	0	-	0	0	0		
720316	Perquimans County High	-	0	_	0	0	0	EXITED READING	
720320	Perquimans County Middle					0	_		
730344	Northern Middle	0	_	0	2	~	က		
730352	Person High	_	0	2	0	က	0		
730360	Southern Middle					0	_		
740302	A G Cox Middle	0	_	0	2	_	က	1	
740308	Ayden Middle	-	0	2	0	ဇ	_		
740309	Ayden-Grifton High	0	_	0	2	0	5*		
740324	C M Eppes Middle	_	_	2	2	က	က		
740337	E B Aycock Middle	_	_	2	2	3	က		
740340	Farmville Middle	0	_	0	2	~	က		
740366	Junius H Rose High					<del></del>	0		
760308	Braxton Craven Middle					~	_		
760318	Eastern Randolph High					_	0		
760338	Northeastern Randolph Middle	_	0	7	0	က	_		
760348	Randleman High					<del>-</del>	0		
760352	Randleman Middle	0	0	<b>-</b>	_	2	7		
760357	Southeastern Randolph Mid					<del>-</del>	<u> </u>		
760358	Southwestern Randolph High	-	0	_	0	0	0	EXITED READING	
760360	Southwestern Randolph Mid	<b>,</b>	-	-	-	0	0	<b>EXITED READING &amp; MATH</b>	
760378	Archdale-Trinity Middle					0	<del>-</del>		
761304	Asheboro High	_	0	<del></del>	0	0	0	EXITED READING	
761308	South Asheboro Middle	0	-	0	7	<del></del>	က		
761336	North Asheboro Middle	0	0	<del></del>	0	7	_		
770328	Hamlet Junior High	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	<b>—</b>	က		
				7.3					
11		r 1.		27					

LEA		2004-05	05	2002-06	90-	2006-07	7.0	Areas of	
Code	School Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress	
770342	Leak Street	_	-	2	2	က	က		
770346	Monroe Avenue Elementary	0	0	<del></del>	0	2	_		
770348	Richmond Senior High	-	0	2	0	က	0		
770360	Rockingham Junior High	0	0	_	<del></del>	7	7		
770370	Washington Street	-	0	_	0	0	<del>-</del>	EXITED READING	
780342	Lumberton Senior High	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	2	2	က	က		2.
780391	Red Springs High	-	<del>-</del>	2	2	က	က		
780401	Saint Pauls High	-	_	2	2	က	က		
780402	South Robeson High	-	0	2	0	က	0		
780420	Purnell Swett High	-	_	2	2	က	5*		
790330	J E Holmes Middle	0	-	0	2	_	5*		
790366	Reidsville High	_	0	2	0	5*	<del></del>		
790374	Reidsville Middle					-	<del>-</del>		
790380	Rockingham County Middle					0	<u>_</u>		
790392	The SCORE Center	_	_	2	2	2*	5*		
790394	Western Rockingham Middle	0	<del></del>	0	2	_	*2		
800308	Henderson Independent High					0	Υ-		
800314	Charles C Erwin Middle	_	0	-	0	0	<del>-</del>	EXITED READING	
800320	China Grove Middle	_	τ-	2	2	က	3		
800363	Knox Middle	_	0	2	÷	က	7		
800376	North Rowan High	_	0	2	0	က	_		
800377	North Rowan Middle					_	<b>←</b>		
800398	Southeast Middle					_	_		
800400	South Rowan High	τ-	0	7	0	2*	0		
800410	West Rowan Middle	0	Ψ-	<del></del>	2	2	က	273	
810324	Chase High					-	0		
810326	Chase Middle	<b>~</b>	<del>-</del>	2		5*	0		
810342	East Rutherford Middle					_	<del></del>		
810378	R-S Middle	0	0	<b>—</b>	0	2	<del></del>		
810384	R-S Central High					0	<del></del>		
810386	Rutherford Opportunity Center	<b>-</b>	0	_	0	0	O		
820388	Union High	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	EXITED READING	
821308	Clinton High	<b>~</b>	0	2	0	က	0		
830316	East Laurinburg	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	5*		
830346	Scotland High	_	0	-	0	0	0		
830349	Spring Hill Middle	-	0	_	0	0	0	_	
830350	Sycamore Lane Middle	_	0	4-	0	0	0	EXITED READING	
				58					

NATIONAL PROPERTY.																																								
	Areas of	Progress											ě			EXITED READING								EXITED MATH									EXITED READING							
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	7(	Math	0	က	0	5*	က	0	0	<u>_</u>	_	<del>-</del>	0	*	0	က	က	<del>-</del>	က	က	0	_	_	0	က	က	က	<del>-</del>	က	က	0	5*	_	0	က	<del></del>	7	0	0	
	2006-07	Reading	က	_	က	0	<b>~</b>	~	~	0	က	<b>-</b>	<b>←</b>	က	<b>~</b>	0	က	-	က	က	7-	က	_	0	က	က်	5*	_	0	5*	2*	0	0	7*	က	က	0	_	<del>-</del>	
NO. OF CORPORATIONS	90	Math	0	2	0	2	7				0			-		7	2	53	7	7		0		-	2	2	2		7	2	<del>-</del>	7	0	0	7	0	-			
	2005-06	Reading	2	0	7	0	0				7			2		-	2		2	7		2		0	2	2	2		0	2	2	0	<del>-</del>	2	2	2	0			
Consideration of	05	Math	0	_	0	_	_				0			0		-	_		_	_		0		<b>-</b>	_	-	₩-		_	-	_	-	0	0	-	0	0			
	2004-05	Reading	-	0	_	0	0				-			_		-	_		<b>—</b>	_		_		0	_	_	-		0	_	-	0	-	_	_	_	0			
		School Name	Albemarle High	Albemarle Middle	Chestnut Grove Middle	Meadowbrook School	Southeastern Stokes Middle	Meadowview Middle	Mount Airy High	Mount Airy Middle	East Union Middle	Monroe Middle	Forest Hills High	Monroe High	Prospect Elementary	South Providence	Eaton-Johnson Middle	Henderson Middle	Southern Vance High	Northern Vance High	Adams Elementary	Athens Drive High	Carnage Middle	Carroll Middle	Daniels Middle	Durant Road Middle	Reedy Creek Middle	East Garner Middle	East Millbrook Middle	East Wake Middle	East Wake High	William G Enloe High	Fuquay-Varina Middle	Fuquay-Varina High	Garner High	Holly Ridge Elementary	Holly Ridge Middle	Heritage Elementary	Knightdale High	
	LEA	Code	840302	840303	850304	850324	850350	860330	862312	862316	900308	900314	900316	900336	900352	900365	910316	910320	910364	910370	920304	920318	920356	920360	920388	920399	920400	920404	920408	920410	920411	920412	920424	920428	920436	920449	920450	920454	920466	

LEA		2004	-05	2005-06	90	2006-07	07	Areas of
Code	School Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
920471	Leesville Road Middle	0	-	0	2	0	5*	
920472	Ligon Middle	0	<del>-</del>	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	EXITED READING
920473	Leesville Road High	<b>—</b>	0	7	0	5*	0	
920484	Lufkin Road Middle	<del>-</del>	_	,τ-	·	0	0	EXITED READING & MATH
920492	Martin Middle					0	τ-	
920495	Middle Creek High	_	<del>-</del>	2	2	က	က	
920500	Millbrook High	<del></del>	0	2	0	က	_	
920506	Moore Square Museum Magnet Mid	0	_	0	2	₩	က	
920508	Mount Vernon	0	_	0	2	0	7	
920512	North Garner Middle	_	0	2	0	က	-	
920530	Penny Road Elementary					₩-	0	
920572	Underwood Elementary					_	0	
920584	Wake Forest Elementary	0	-	0	<u>_</u>	0	0	EXITED MATH
920588	Wake Forest-Rolesville High	0	_	0	2	_	က	বে
920592	Wake Forest-Rolesville Mid	_	0	2	0	7*	-	
920598	Weatherstone Elementary	0	-	0	<del></del>	0	0	EXITED MATH
920606	West Lake Elementary					<del></del>	0	
920608	West Millbrook Middle	_	<b>-</b>	2	7	က	က	
920636	Zebulon Middle	0	-	0	_	0	0	EXITED MATH
930352	Warren County High					-	_	
930354	Warren County Middle	0	0	-	-	2	7	
940306	Creswell Elementary		-			0	2	EXITED READING
940308	Creswell High	0	-	0	2	0	5*	
940316	Plymouth High	<del>-</del>	_	2	-	2*	0	
960310	Belfast Academy	<b>-</b>	0	2	0	e e	0	
960324	Charles B Aycock High	0	_	~	-	*	0	EXITED MATH
960335	Goldsboro High	0	_	0	<del></del>	~	0	EXITED MATH
960378	Southern Academy	_	_	7	7	က	က	¥:
960380	Southern Wayne High	-	-	2	<del></del>	က	0	EXITED MATH
960386	Spring Creek High			i a		_	<del>-</del>	
970315	Central Wilkes Middle					<del></del>	0	
970320	East Wilkes High					_	0	
970358	North Wilkes Middle	0	_	0	7	0	<b>5</b> *	
970389	West Wilkes Middle		_	7	2	5*	က	
980306	Milton M Daniels Learning Ctr.	_	0	7	0	က	<del>-</del>	
980318	Beddingfield High	<b>-</b>	0	2	0	က	0	
980336	Fike High					(#I)	0	

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

	2004	2004-05	2005-06	90-	2006-07	07	Areas of
School Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
Forest Hills Middle	-	_	2	2	2*	က	
James Hunt High					_	0	
Speight Middle	-	_	_	<u>_</u>	0	0	EXITED READING & MATH
Vadkin Success Academy	_	_	7	7	2*	5*	

1 = Year 1 of LEA Improvement Status

2 = Year 2 of LEA Improvement Status 3 = Year 3 of LEA Improvement Status

### **LEAs in LEA Improvement**

School districts are held for the same reading and mathematics proficiency goals for student groups. School-based AYP results cannot be combined to calculate district AYP results. The SBE has adopted 40 students' scores as the minimum number of scores to be statistically reliable and valid for AYP purposes. This number is based on the students that meet the definition of a full academic year. In some cases, a student group is under 40 at the school level, but at 40 or above at the district level. In other cases, a student may not have been at a particular school for 140 days (full academic year), but may have been in the district for 140 days. This means that some students' scores are part of AYP calculations at the district level, but not at the school level. Thus, it is possible for a district to not make AYP, even though its individual schools do. LEAs in LEA Improvement must take certain measures, such as setting aside 10 percent of their Title I allotment for professional development purposes.

The minimum N count used in determining the AYP status of LEAs is 40, or 1% of the tested students, whichever is greater. This minimum N will be applied in each LEA to grades 3 through 8 as a group and high school as a group. However, in order for an LEA to enter Improvement Status, the LEA must **not make** AYP in the same subject area (reading/language arts or mathematics even if because of the 95% rule) or other academic indicator in each of the following grade spans [3-5, 6-8, and high school] for two consecutive years.

Revised January 3, 2007

LEA Improvement List for Continuing and Exiting LEAs in the 2006-07 School Year (Updated to Include Elementary and Middle Schools Math Results)

Area of	Progress		EXITED READING			EXITED MATH	EXITED MATH		Math	EXITED MATH	Reading		Math				Math				EXITED MATH	Math			Math		
24	Math	0	2*	0	က	0	0	0	5*	0	0	0	7,	0	•	0	*	-	0	0	0	2*	•	1	5*	0	0
2006-07	Reading	3	0	က	က	2	m	•	3	2	7,		0	2	3	m	2		2		3	က	•	3	က		က
90	Math	0	7	0	7	_	_	0	7	<u>_</u>	0	0	7	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	<u>_</u>	7	0	0	7	0	0
2005-06	Reading	2	*	7	2	-	2	0	2	-	7	0	0	_	2	2	*	0	_	0	2	2	0	7	7	0	2
05	Math	0	<del>-</del>	0	_	_	_	0	_	_	0	0	_	Ψ-	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	0	0	<del></del>	0	0
2004-05	Reading	-	_	_	_	_	-	0	<b>-</b>	_	-	0	0	0	-	_	-	0	_	0	~	<u>-</u>	0	_	-	0	-
	LEA Name	Alamance-Burlington Schools	Anson County Schools	Bertie County Schools	Bladen County Schools	Brunswick County Schools	Buncombe County Schools	Burke County Schools	Cabarrus County Schools	Caldwell County Schools	Catawba County Schools	Hickory City Schools	Chatham County Schools	Edenton/Chowan Schools	Columbus County Schools	Whiteville City Schools	Craven County Schools	Cumberland County Schools	Davidson County Schools	Davie County Schools	Duplin County Schools	Durham Public Schools	Edgecombe County Schools	Forsyth County Schools	Gaston County Schools	Gates County Schools	Granville County Schools
	LEA	10	40	80	06	100	110	120	130	140	180	181	190	210	240	241	250	260	290	300	310	320	330	340	360	370	390

\*

7 Area of	Math Progress	0 Reading	0		4* EXITED READING	0	0 Reading	0 EXITED MATH	0		0	0 EXITED MATH	0	0	1* Both	0	2* Math	0	0 Reading	0 Reading	0	0	0	1* Math			X.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006-07	Reading	2*	•		0	,	*	2*	3	3		2*	2		+		3	•	2*	*2		•	• E	2	m	2	က		2	က		- 1		1
90	Math	0	0	0	4	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	_	0	7	0	0	_	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005-06	Reading	2	, 0	0	3*	0	~	7	2	2	0	7	-	0	<del>-</del>	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	~~	2	2	2	0	<b>-</b>	2	0	0	0	0
92	Math	0	0	0	က	Ö	0	_	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004-05	Reading	-	0	0	က	0	0	_	<b>~</b>	-	0	_	_	0	0	0	-	0	~	<b>-</b>		0	0	0	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	,	0	<b>-</b>	_	0	0	0	0
	LEA Name	Greene County Schools	Guilford County Schools	Halifax County Schools	Weldon City Schools	Harnett County Schools	Haywood County Schools	Henderson County Public School	Hertford County Schools	Hoke County Schools	Lee County Schools	Lenoir County Schools	Martin County Schools	Mecklenburg County Schools	Montgomery County Schools	Moore County Schools	Nash-Rocky Mount Schools	New Hanover County Schools	Northampton County Schools	Onslow County Schools	Orange County Schools	Pasquotank County Schools	Pitt County Schools	Randolph County Schools	Richmond County Schools	Robeson County Schools	Rowan-Salisbury Schools	Rutherford County Schools	Sampson County Schools	Clinton City Schools	Stokes County Schools	Surry County Schools	Union County Schools	Wake County Schools
	LEA	400	410	420	422	430	440	450	460	470	530	540	580	009	620	630	640	650	099	029	680	700	740	260	770	780	800	810	820	821	850	860	006	920

Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee on the Implementation of the ABCs State Board of Education ... Department of Public Instruction Office of Deputy Superintendent

		2004-05	05	2005-06	90	2006-07	07	Area of
LEA	LEA LEA Name	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Progress
940	Washington County Schools	0	0	-	0	*	0	Reading
096	Wavne County Schools	4	0	2	0	က	•	
970	Wilkes County Schools	0	0	0	0		0	
980	Wilson County Schools	0	0	0	0		0	
	Yadkin County Schools	0	0	0	0	0		

1 = Year 1 of LEA Improvement Status

2 = Year 2 of LEA Improvement Status

3 = Year 3 of LEA Improvement Status

\* = Making progress towards exiting LEA Improvement Status

## LEAs that exited Improvement status based on Results of the 2005-06 School Year

EXITED MATH	EXITED MATH	EXITED READING	EXITED READING
	0		
0	0	0	0
_	-	0	0
0	0	~	0
←	-	0	
0	0	_	
Beaufort County Schools	Cleveland County Schools	761 Asheboro City Schools	Stanly County Schools
20	230	761	840

IV. Response to Excellent Schools Act Requirements

### Certified Staff Testing Under the Excellent Schools Act

Senate Bill 1126, ratified in May 1998, amended the teacher competency testing provisions of the Excellent Schools Act to ensure that only teachers were tested whose unsatisfactory performance was judged in whole or part due to lack of general knowledge. While no teachers were identified for testing at the end of the 1997-98 school year under this provision, the State Board of Education approved the use of the *Florida College Level Academic Skills Test* (CLAST) to assess the general knowledge of certified staff subject to testing. In the Summer of 1998, standard-setting procedures were conducted, and in the Fall of 1998 the State Board of Education set "passing" scores for the reading and writing portions of this test.

For 2005-06, there were no teachers recommended by the assistance teams or by principals in low-performing schools that were not served by assistance teams to take the General Knowledge Test.

V. ABCs Recognition and Schedule of Recognition Events

### **ABCs Recognition**

Top schools around the State receive special recognition as part of the ABCs of Public Education. There are three levels of recognition in the student growth area and three levels of recognition for student performance. All K-12 schools that meet 110% of their student growth are deemed high growth and receive a certificate of achievement. Certified employees in these schools also receive an incentive bonus. All K-12 schools meeting 100% of their student growth standard are considered as having met expected growth and receive a certificate.

For student performance, *Honor Schools of Excellence* is the designation for those schools where at least 90% of the students' test scores are at or above grade level and the school made expected growth (as a minimum). In addition, these schools have met adequate yearly progress (AYP) as required by federal legislation, No Child Left Behind. *Schools of Excellence* is the designation for those schools where at least 90% of the students' test scores are at or above grade level and the school made expected growth (as a minimum). These schools will receive a banner to hang in the school and a certificate of achievement. Schools in which 80 - 89% of students' test scores are at or above grade level and met at least expected growth are designated as *Schools of Distinction*. They receive a certificate and a plaque.

Local school systems, traditionally, celebrate the successes of individual schools and school systems in the ABCs in a variety of ways, including school-wide celebrations and community recognition events. Teachers, parents, students, administrators and community leaders have proudly participated in these local celebrations. Local districts and schools are encouraged to continue to engage their communities in recognizing the progress of their public schools.

ABCs Results	Ten -Year Summary Chart	1996-97 to 2005-06	(December 7, 2006)
ABCs Results:	Ten -Year Summary Cha	1996-97 to 2005-06	(December 7, 2006)

								7	07-7907 OF 74-0881	343												
								ě	(December 7, 2006)	kt 7,	2006)										Revise ABCs	Revised ABCs
ď	cupamo	Sons	Cross	3 2409.	hould	ре та	de with	ı cantie	Comparisons across years should be made with caution due to the evolution of the model as reflected in the footnotes below.	to the	evolutic	m of th	e mod	n as n	flected	in the	footno	tes be	OW.		Model	E.
	100	1006-07-		199	1997-98		1998-99	-00	1999-00	8	2000-01	-01	2001-02	-05	2002-03	-03	2003-04	\$0	2004-05	0510	2005-06	1,90
	144	K-8	K	K-8		HS	K-8	K-8/HS	K-8/HS	HS	K-8/ES	HS	K-8/HS	HS	K-8/HS	ERS	K-&HS	HS	K-8/HS	HS	K-8/HS	HS
Category	#1:	06	41:	0.0	111	90	41	*	11:	96	**	9,	**	9/6	*	9.6	*	9,6	9#	9,6	*	9,6
Henor Schools of Excellence																	563	25.2	496	22.0	2	2.7
Schools of Excellence	12	0.7	24	1.4	0	0.0	50	25	E.	3.5	171	2.9	300	13.7	473	21.3	33	1.5	43	1.9	<b>ሪ</b> ጎ	0.2
Schools of Distinction	158	6.7	280	16.8	~	0.2	408	20.6	506	24.1	640	29.7	249	29.5	900	39.9	040	28.7	909	27.0	305	13.0
Schools Making High Growth	531	32.5	1137	66.0	265	63.2	1156	58.2	956	45.2	521	24.1	779	35.5	1618	72.9	385	35.2	585	26.0	263	11.2
Schools Making Expected		24.3	308	17.0	100	9	456	24.0	530	74.6	760	45	863	02	476	21.4	801	30.0	97.0	43.2	1013	43.1
Schools Not Making Expected Growth	8	4 W		16.0	3 33	15.5	37.1	80	639	30.2	505	40.1	552	252	127	5.7	558	24.9	86	30.8	1077	45.8
Low-Performing Schools	123	5	15	6.0	15	3.6	13	0.7	44	2.1	31	1.4	ί	60	10	0.3	2	0.1	ক	0.2	52	22
Made Expected or High Growth	976	56.7	926 56.7 1445	83.9	348	83.1	1612	81.2	1476	8.09	1290	59.7	1642 74.8	74.8	2094	2094 94.3	1676 75.1	75.1	1559 69.2	69.2	1276	54.2
Total ABCs Schools	ă	1632	15	1722	**	419	19	1985	2115	<b>1</b> 2	2158	99	2194	yd*	2221	-	2232	Ó	2254	Ţ.	2353	3
												1	ı		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		The same of the same of		20			,

1 ABG: results for 1995-99, 1999-99, 1999-99, 1999-99, 2000-01, 2001-03, 2003-04 respectively. State Bound of Education actions derough October 2, 1997, October 7, 1999, October 5, 2000, November 1, 2001, and December 7, 2006, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>The ABCs high school models was first rimplemented in 1997-93. (Schools whose grades spansed K-12 was included in statistical ammeries for both K-8 and high schools, so there is deginative in there counts.)  $^2$  Inse first year of implementation of the ABCs was in 1996-9 ); only K-S schools were included in the model.

"The comprehensive ABCs model has been applied since 1998-99; there is no deptication in these counts.

France Schools of Excellence, approved by the SBE in 2003-14, raters to Schools of Excellence that sant ANP.

<sup>6</sup>Beginning in 2002. Schoole of Diamericon was required to make at least expected growth for the first time.

Schools Net Ajahng Expected Groools was included in two cate gains to 2002. Schools Receiving No Recognision and Low Performing Rohools. Figh Growth was tubiered to as Elemphary Growth price to 2002.

Trad ABCs. Schools is the vests sensible synthesizing in the ABCs for a given your; this total does not reflect the sum of the column; Schools of Resultment, Schools of Distinction, and Low-Performing Schools was not

exchaive exhagaries and may include telat appear in other catagaries.

10,41 took for 2004-03 do use include gasde 6 resting in growth calculations, bowerse, gasde 6 reating is used in parformance composite calculations.

12,80-11st for 2005-06 are based on a revised accountballity model and are not comparable to those from pravious years.

NCDPLAccountability Services/Reporting/pw/12.6.2006

### **LEA Codes**

010 A1	lamance-Burlington	240	Columbus	480	Hyde	760	Randolph
020 A1	lexander	241	Whiteville City	490	Iredell-Statesville	761	Asheboro City
030 A1	lleghany	250	Craven	491	Mooresville City	770	Richmond
040 Aı	nson	260	Cumberland	500	Jackson	780	Robeson
050 As	she	270	Currituck	510	Johnston	790	Rockingham
060 A	very	280	Dare	520	Jones	800	Rowan-Salisbury
070 B	eaufort	290	Davidson	530	Lee	810	Rutherford
080 B	ertie	291	Lexington City	540	Lenoir	820	Sampson
090 B	laden	292	Thomasville City	550	Lincoln	830	Scotland
100 B <sub>1</sub>	runswick	300	Davie	560	Macon	840	Stanly
110 Bi	uncombe	310	Duplin	570	Madison	850	Stokes
111 A	sheville City	320	Durham	580	Martin	860	Surry
120 B	urke	330	Edgecombe	590	McDowell	861	Elkin City
130 Ca	abarrus	340	Winston-Salem/Forsyth	600	Mecklenburg	862	Mount Airy City
132 K	annapolis City	350	Franklin	610	Mitchell	870	Swain
140 C	aldwell	360	Gaston	620	Montgomery	880	Transylvania
150 C	amden	370	Gates	630	Moore	890	Tyrrell
160 C	arteret	380	Graham	640	Nash-Rocky Mount	900	Union
170 C	aswell	390	Granville	650	New Hanover	910	Vance
180 C	atawba	400	Greene	660	Northampton	920	Wake
181 H	ickory City	410	Guilford	670	Onslow	930	Warren
182 N	lewton Conover City	420	Halifax	680	Orange	940	Washington
190 C	hatham	421	Roanoke Rapids City	690	Pamlico	950	Watauga
				700	Elizabeth City/		
200 C	herokee	422	Weldon City		Pasquotank	960	Wayne
210 E	denton-Chowan	430	Harnett	710	Pender	970	Wilkes
220 C	lay	440	Haywood	720	Perquimans	980	Wilson
230 C	leveland	450	Henderson	730	Person	990	Yadkin
231 K	ings Mountain City	460	Hertford	740	Pitt	995	Yancey
232 S	helby City	470	Hoke	750	Polk	679	Camp Lejeune (Federal)
						209	Cherokee Central (Federal)
					NA STATE OF THE ST	269	Fort Bragg (Federal)

VI. North Carolina Accountability Program Update

### North Carolina Accountability Program Update

The State Board of Education (SBE) implemented some changes to the North Carolina Accountability System effective with the 2005-06 school year. Many of these changes resulted from the SBE's evaluation of the accountability system as mandated by G.S. 115C-105.35(a), and revisions to assessments in the NC Testing Program.

- 1. The 2005-06 ABCs Report is based on new growth formulas and calculations. These formulas set a higher standard than was the case with the former standards.
- 2. Results from the NC Writing Assessments at grades 4, 7 and 10 are part of the Performance Composite of the ABCs effective with the 2005-06 school year. (The writing results are not a part of the growth calculations and, therefore, not part of the bonus structure.)
- 3. New Civics and Economics, and new U.S. History end-of-course (EOC) assessments based on 2003 NC *Standard Course of Study* were administered for the first time in 2005-06 and included as part of the Performance Composite of the ABCs. (Results from these new EOC assessments are scheduled to be part of the growth calculations for the 2006-07 school year.)
- 4. Results from the NC Online Test of Computer Skills and the NC Computer Skills Alternative Assessment are included in the ABCs Performance Composite effective with the 2005-06 school year.
- 5. The NC Testing Program's Alternate Assessment System was revised for the 2005-06 school year to include the NC Checklist of Academic Standards (NCCLAS) and NCEXTEND2. These new alternate assessments replaced those used in previous school years because of changes in regulations by the U.S. Department of Education (USED) regarding the use of out-of-level assessments to meet the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requirements. Additional guidance from the USED will be forthcoming regarding this and other issues when final regulations are published by the USED (possibly after the first of the year). This may result in more changes to the current alternate assessment system.
- 6. A new edition of the mathematics end-of-grade (EOG) assessments was implemented in the 2005-06 school year. This implementation is the 3rd edition and involved the development of a new mathematics scale and achievement levels for grades 3-8 which was the reason for delaying the reporting of the mathematics results and the ABCs/AYP Reports and the State Report Card.
- 7. The SBE also raised the standards for the achievement levels on the new EOG mathematics assessments in grades 3-8. This makes comparisons to previous years inappropriate because of the higher standards in mathematics. This change also affected the AYP results for the schools in 2005-06 because fewer schools were able to meet the proficiency targets under NCLB.

- 8. Due to curricular changes the NC Grade 3 Pretest in Mathematics was administered in 2005-06 as a statewide field test. Without the grade 3 pretest scores in mathematics, the NC Grade 3 Mathematics EOG was not included in the growth calculations for the ABCs for the 2005-06 school year, but was part of the Performance Composite.
- 9. Effective with the 2005-06 school year, a newly revised English language proficiency test was implemented to meet the Title III requirements of NCLB.
- 10. Statewide field tests in Physical Science, Chemistry and Physics are being administered this school year. Therefore, no EOC results from these courses will be in the 2006-07 ABCs results.
- 11. New EOC assessments are being administered in 2006-07 for the following courses: Algebra I, Algebra II, Geometry and English I. As was done for US History and Civics and Economics in 2005-06, reporting results from the new EOC assessments will be delayed for those students in the 2006 Fall Block courses to enable new achievement levels to be established by the SBE. This will not affect students in year-long or Spring Block courses.
- 12. The new High School Exit Standards adopted by the SBE for implementation with the incoming ninth graders in the 2006-07 school year will necessitate a review of the statutory language used to describe the Competency Test requirement. It is the intent of the SBE that the new Exit Standards would meet the Competency Test requirement.
- 13. A new 4-year cohort graduation rate will be reported in February, 2007, based on following ninth graders for the first time in the 2002-03 school year and whether they graduated by spring 2006.

The State Board of Education is required to submit the ABCs of Public Education report pursuant to G.S. 115C-12(25) annually by October 15th. However for this school year, the performance of the state's elementary and middle schools will not be reported until November 2006. The State Board of Education requests permission to submit this report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee by January 15, 2007.

We appreciate all that you do in supporting public schools and efforts to ensure that all North Carolina students succeed academically.