Report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee of the General Assembly

North Carolina High School Feedback Report

May 2007

University of North Carolina General Administration

North Carolina General Statute

§ 116-11 (10a)

- (10a) The Board of Governors, the State Board of Community Colleges, and the State Board of Education, in consultation with private higher education institutions defined in G.S. 116-22(1), shall plan a system to provide an exchange of information among the public schools and institutions of higher education to be implemented no later than June 30, 1995. As used in this section, "institutions of higher education" shall mean public higher education institutions defined in G.S. 116-143.1(a)(3), and those private higher education institutions defined in G.S. 116-22(1) that choose to participate in the information exchange. The information shall include:
 - a. The number of high school graduates who apply to, are admitted to, and enroll in institutions of higher education;
 - b. College performance of high school graduates for the year immediately following high school graduation including each student's: need for remedial coursework at the institution of higher education that the student attends; performance in standard freshmen courses; and continued enrollment in a subsequent year in the same or another institution of higher education in the State;
 - c. The progress of students from one institution of higher education to another; and
 - d. Consistent and uniform public school course information including course code, name, and description.

The Department of Public Instruction shall generate and the local school administrative units shall use standardized transcripts in an automated format for applicants to higher education institutions. The standardized transcript shall include grade point average, class rank, end-of-course test scores, and uniform course information including course code, name, units earned toward graduation, and credits earned for admission from an institution of higher education. The grade point average and class rank shall be calculated by a standard method to be devised by the institutions of higher education.

The Board of Governors shall coordinate a joint progress report on the implementation of the system to provide an exchange of information among the public and independent colleges and universities, the community colleges, and the public schools. The report shall be made to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee no later than February 15, 1993, and annually thereafter.



The University of North Carolina POST OFFICE BOX 2688, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27515-2688

ERSKINE B. BOWLES, President

Telephone: (919) 962-1000 Fax (919) 843-9695

E-mail: ebowles@northcarolina.edu

Appalachian State University

East Carolina University

Elizabeth City State University

Fayetteville State University

North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University

North Carolina Central University

North Carolina School of the Arts

North Carolina State University at Raleigh

University of North Carolina at Asheville

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

University of North Carolina at Charlotte

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

University of North Carolina at Pembroke

University of North Carolina Wilmington

Western Carolina University

Winston-Salem State University

An Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Employer April 26, 2007

Dear Principal/Superintendent:

Enclosed are the North Carolina High School Feedback Reports, which consist of reports on your 2004-2005 graduates who sought admission to a UNC institution in the fall of 2005. The first report, the Freshmen Applications Report (FAR), shows the number of applications submitted to each UNC institution by your graduates. The second report, the Freshmen Performance Report (FPR), presents summary data on the academic performance of your graduates in their freshman year (2005-2006). Both reports have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Senate Bill 43, enacted by the 1989 session of the General Assembly and amended by the 1992 session. Each report includes FAR and FPR tables for your high school(s) and additional tables to permit comparisons with graduates of all public high schools in the local school district and in the state. The FPR also contains a table summarizing the academic performance of all UNC freshmen, including those who graduated from private or out-of-state high schools.

The data in these reports are not all self-explanatory, and for those not already familiar with them, we have included an "Explanatory Narrative" for each report to assist in interpreting the data. Please read them carefully. Keep in mind that the institutions of the University of North Carolina are diverse with respect to their missions, programs, and practices, and this diversity is manifest in the data. For example, freshmen at NCA&T, NC State University, and UNCC typically take more courses, at a higher level, in mathematics than freshmen at other UNC institutions because of the large enrollments in engineering and technical programs offered by these institutions. Since grades in mathematics tend to be lower than in other subjects, the overall grade point average of freshmen at these institutions may be lower than at other institutions, everything else being equal. Similarly, variations in general education requirements and in options for satisfying them explain some of the variation in course-taking patterns among the institutions.

During this sixteenth year of reporting, you or your colleagues may have additional questions about your report or its interpretation. If your questions relate to the accuracy of data, they should be referred to Mrs. Diana Haywood at (919) 962-4548. If your questions relate to the format, content, calculations, or distribution of the report, they should be referred to Dr. Robert Kanoy at (919) 962-4907. If your questions relate to institutional policies and practices at a particular institution, they should be referred to the Director of Institutional Research at the institution in question. Their names and telephone numbers are attached for your information.

The third report, the supplemental report on retention, graduation, and persistence (RGP), provides data on the long-term retention, graduation, and persistence of your graduates. It provides two-year follow-up data on fall 2003 and fall 2004 matriculants and five-year follow-up data on fall 2000 and fall 2001 matriculants. Each public high school in the state is receiving the supplement and will be able to compare the long-term performance of its graduates with those of the school district taken as a whole, all public high school graduates taken as a whole, and all UNC freshman matriculants taken as a whole. As you review these data, please keep in mind that the most recent class of students we can track is determined by the length of time in the tracking period and the semester when we check on the status of students. Thus, the most recent five-year follow-up of freshmen as of the fall 2006 semester will be based on freshmen who entered in the fall of 2001.

The North Carolina High School Feedback reports can be found on the University's web site, at http://www.northcarolina.edu/content.php/assessment/reports/student_info.htm.

As always, we welcome your comments and suggestions for improving these reports, and we thank you for your interest in them and your commitment to educational improvement.

Sincerely,

Erskine Bowles

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Diana Haywood

Dr. Robert Kanoy

Institution	Director of Institutional Research	Tel. No.
APPALACHIAN STATE UNIVERSITY	Bobby Sharp	828/262-4090
EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	Claudia McCann	
		252/328-9495
ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY	Nekeesha Ferebee	252/335-3414
FAYETTEVILLE STATE UNIVERSITY	Ivan Walker	910/672-1811
N.C. A & T STATE UNIVERSITY	Robert Ussery	336/334-7797
N.C. CENTRAL UNIVERSITY	Sandra E. Davis	919/530-6314
N.C. SCHOOL OF THE ARTS	Geri Cochran	336/770-1457
N.C. STATE UNIVERSITY	Karen P. Helm	919/515-6648
UNC-ASHEVILLE	Archer Gravely	828/232-5118
UNC-CHAPEL HILL	Lynn E. Williford	919/962-1339
UNC-CHARLOTTE	Steve Coppola	704/687-2431
UNC-GREENSBORO	Sarah Carrigan	336/256-0397
UNC-PEMBROKE	Beverly King	910/521-6295
UNC-WILMINGTON	Lisa Castellino	910/962-3520
WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	Raymond Barclay	828/227-7239
WINSTON-SALEM STATE UNIVERSITY	Dana Dalton	336/750-2110

UNC-OP ProgAssess/FPF.JL001.U/4-26-07

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE FOR THE 2005 FRESHMEN APPLICATIONS REPORT (FAR)

Introduction

This report summarizes data on 2004-05 high school graduates who applied for admission as freshmen to a UNC institution in the fall of 2005. It presents data on acceptance rates and enrollment rates for applicants grouped by race and gender. The front side of the report contains counts of applications, acceptances, and enrollees at each institution. The back side eliminates the double counting caused by applicants who apply to more than one UNC institution. It presents data on <u>applicants</u>, rather than <u>applications</u>, and presents counts of graduates who applied to more than one UNC institution.

This report is a companion to the 2005 Freshmen Performance Report (FPR) which tracks the academic performance of recent high school graduates in their first year of coursework. Like the FPR, it contains summary reports on high school graduates from each North Carolina public school district and from all North Carolina public high schools taken together. These reports permit comparisons of individual high school data with school district and state level data.

Protecting Students' Rights to Privacy

To protect students' rights to privacy, acceptance rates presented on line "b" of the front side of the report show an asterisk (*) whenever the number of applications to a particular institution shown in line "a" is equal to one or two. Similarly, asterisks are shown on lines 2a, 3a, 3b, 6, and 7 of the back side of the report whenever the number of applicants shown on lines 2, 3, and 4 are equal to one or two.

Note about Race Categories

Race/ethnic categories used by the University of North Carolina include an "other race/ethnic" category that is not used by North Carolina public schools. The incongruity results from differences in federal reporting standards applicable to the two sectors. The symbol "NA" is used in data cells in which public school data are not available for graduates in the "other race/ethnic" category.

Front Side: Applications

Only applications that are sufficiently complete to permit an admissions decision are included in data on applications, acceptance rates, and enrollments.

Back Side: Applicants

Line 1, "Number of graduates", and lines 10 and 11, "Number (and Percent) of graduates intending to enroll at a UNC institution" are drawn from SDPI data on high school graduate intentions. All other data are drawn from University records.

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE FOR THE RETENTION, GRADUATION, AND PERSISTENCE (RGP) REPORT

This report provides a three- and five-year follow-up of students who entered a UNC institution in the fall term following high school graduation. Like the FAR and FPR reports, it contains summary reports for each NC public high school, each NC public high school district, all NC public high schools combined, and all high schools (public, private, and out-of-state) combined. The total number of freshmen in 2004 (line 1) represents the count of fall freshmen at each UNC institution who graduated in 2003-04 from a given high school. Lines 2-4 give the percentage of students who returned in the fall of 2006 and are calculated in lines 2-4 using line 1 as the denominator. Note, that this does not necessarily represent continuous enrollment. Line 3 indicates the percentage of students in line 1 who enrolled in the fall of 2006 with a GPA of 2.0 or better, and line 4 indicates the percentage who enrolled in the fall of 2006 with a GPA of 2.0 or better and had completed 60 or more credit hours with passing grades.

Likewise, line 5 presents the count of fall freshmen in 2001 who graduated from a given high school in 2000-01. All percentages calculated in lines 6 and 7 use line 5 as the denominator. Line 6 indicates the percentage who graduated by fall 2006. Line 7 reports the percentage who persisted (the percentage who were still enrolled in fall 2005 or had graduated by fall 2006).

For your information and use, we have also included the previous year's RGP report.

Protecting Students' Rights to Privacy

To protect students' rights to privacy, if two or fewer students are reported in a given cell, the count of students is shown but no other data for these students at that institution are given. This practice ensures that the report may be used as a public document.

UNC-GA ProgAssess/FAR.AR001.U/4-5-07

Fall 2005 Applications, Acceptance Rates and Enrollments from the 2004-05 Graduates of By UNC Institution, Race/Ethnic Origin, and Gender (Enrollments are as of the End of the Drop/Add Period)

Schools
High
Public
S.
AII
•

				-			-														
Institution	7-	Men	Momon motel	10.40	No.	Wille	100		▭	7.	Hispanic An	American	Asian	⊿.	American	Other	Race/Ethnic	thnic	- 1		
1. ASU	a Applications	107	116	222		٠,	10101	men women	men Total	Σ	MOM	TOTAL	Men	Women	Total	Men	Nomen	Total	3		Total
2	b. & Accented	42 1	977	51 6	707	27/07	20/2				68	135		64	142	84	103	187		3343	6400
		17	23	40	783	807	1590	0 6	. 7 7 7 7 1 3 .	۶ 	.8 04.2 15 1/	61.5	4.50	8.8	66.9	51.2	62.1	57.2	66.4	71.8	69
2. ECU		525	939	1464	2133	3107	5240	24	47	717	81 115	196	1_	128	243	108	129	237	2086	1881	7751
		53.7	62.0	59.0	76.4	84.6	81.2	9	6.0 64	.8 67	.9 77.4	7	73.0	74.2	73.7	62.0	76.0	69.6	71.4	78.9	76
2 50011		144	239	383	704	1103	1807	2	6	14	32	52	┙	34	62	27	38	65	928	1455	2383
3. EC30	a. Applications	40.0	267	931		52	91	н				0			11	13	18	31		652	1075
	c. No. Enrolled	127	208	336	97.2	100.0	98.9	* C	* 100 1	.00.0 100.	.0 75.0	98.9	75.0	100.0	90.9	69.2	88.9	80.6	82.0	87.6	85
4. FSU	a. Applications	400	740	1140	14	40	54	-	4	1	7	7 0	4	90	7	1	4 5			246	402
		85.3	89.6		100.0	95.0	96.3	∞ • *	0.0 83	.3 92.	9 83.3			87.5	71.4	27	43 74 4	78 5		0 00	1317
	c. No. Enrolled	181	329	510	12	23	35		~	- m	0.00	21		. "	F 1		# · # /	2.0	0.00	0.0	800
5. NCA&T		1281	1355	2636	09	22	82	8	2	10 2	21 17	38	121	11	21	16	35	51			2838
		85.2	88.3	86.8	0.06	90.9	90.2 1	00.0 100	0.00 100.0	.0 81.	0 88.2	84	80.0	100.0	90.5	81.3	77.1	78.4			87
NOOTI	c. No. Enrolled	677	693	1370	17	ω :	25	m	-	4	6 10	16	4	9	10	2	9	11		724	1436
	h & accented	0.55 7.50 7.50	1030	6701	1001	4, 0	25	m	•	9	ις, 	14		∞ :	12	24	65	89		1189	1775
		231	498	729	729	 	70.02	1 0.00	001 0.00	001/0.	8.77.8		75.0	100.0	91.7	91.7	96.9	95.5	82.3	38.6	87
7. NCSU		474	550	1024	3451	2950	6401	1		47 13	1	1	1_	210	490	170	145	315		3075	802
	b. & Accepted	50.0	68.0	59.7	70.0	79.2	74.2		81.8 83	.0 52.6	6 67.3	59.3	65.0	70.5	67.3	50.0	52.4	51.5		, ה	71
- 1		118	186	304	1483	1132	2615	17	- 1	28		- 1	1	61	163	49	27	192		1445	3248
8. UNC-A	a. Applications	18	38	56	508	869	1206	·				30		22	39	17	30	47	572	ĺ	1381
	D. & Accepted	8./7	39.v	35.7	54.5	71.3	64.3	ŏ * •	-	00.0 36.4	4 68.4		58.8	59.1	59.0	64.7	73.3	70.2			63
9. UNC-CH		274	584	0 2 0	1700	2655	4443	4		_ _	ļ	1		4	7	2	10	12	120	1	328
· ·		45.3	5.17	49.3	1,00 5,7	6100	5 4 4 4 5 F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	47 A 6.			68 100		196	258	454	109	143	252			6234
		88	216	304	692	1075	1767	4 r.	55 0.00 10	0./0 6.cc				26.2	55.3	45.9	51.7	49.2	ς.		57
10. UNC-C		373	626	999	2114	2434	4548	14		2		1		157	325	3 5	22	84	-		2438
	b. % Accepted	47.7	53.2	51.2	80.4	82.8	81.7	64.3 7	77.8 71	6	1 65.0	6.99		77.1	73.2	50.0	47.8	48.6	20 7 Z		0770
11 citiz C		98	170	256	872	862	1734	4				- 1	53	68	121	0	0	0			2180
TT. ONC-6	a. Applications	367	1159	1526	1112	2377	3489	φ,		6	49 100			117	190	73	149	222	ł	3925	5605
	- 1	83	338	421	398	914	1312	., 6.55	ري م « م	 <u></u>	9 80.0	74.5	69.6 6.6	74.4	72.6	68.5	73.8	72.1	73.3	78.6	77
12. UNC-P		186	303	489	359	379	1_		115 2	L	27 36	63	1_	20	200	24	26	102	202	1382	1918
	b. & Accepted	77.4	69.3	72.4	90.3	93.1		-		89.5 88.9	9 77.8			86.2	88.0	79.2	80.8	80.0			28.5
13. TINC-14	a Applications	3 5	117	202	159	178		56	-	4	4 17	31	┛.	10	19	12	11	23		416	751
	b. % Accepted	63.0	72.8	69.4	2000	707	2074	42 0 7	24	, d	7	119	48	9 2	108	32	47	79		3031	4917
	c. No. Enrolled	30	61	91	502	719	1221		7	, o	2.7	31	· 	14.	2.4	67.5	47.6	121	68.2	70.3	70
14. WCU		212	204	416	1409	1584	2993			9	33 36	69		24	58	89	67	135	1	929	3707
	D. & Accepted	45.8	4.64 2.5	47.6	78.4	83.3	81.0	68.2 7	71.4 69.	4 69	.7 72.2	71.0	76.5	54.2	67.2	61.8	74.6	68.1	73.6	78.8	76
15. WSSU		570	1169	1739	18	37	1104	07	٥	16	2 2	17	12	2	14	13	14	27	- 1		1319
		77.0	86.0	83.0	6.88	89.2	89.1	50.0	0.0	100	0 C	7 7	7 00 7	9 9	8 6	•	0 0	0 0			1821
	c. No. Enrolled	249	491	740	7	16	23	0	0	-) m		; 	0.0	700.0			- - -		86.2	83
16. UNC TOTAL	w	5790				21960	39322	46		6			۱_	1109	2165	772	1023	1795 2	15	4 0	60722
	b. & Accepted	68.3	3606	71.9	71.8	77.6	75.0	73.2 7	76.	.5 66.0	0 73.0	9	9	69.7	67.8	59.1	6.99	63.5	,		74
17. Number of HS Graduates	HS Graduates			19734 23155		23975	171301	306		70 21	2 258		_ _	360	695	215	307	522	~	3 2	1711
							333			,,,	0.4	2012	808	4//	7601	385	505	890 3	5097 3	7951 7	3048

UNC-OP IRA/FAR.GR002/25APR07 Notes: If the number in a cell is only one, then the cell on "% Accepted" contains an asterisk. N.C. State Department of Public Instruction does not report graduates in the "Other Race/Ethnic" category.

Fall 2005 Applications, Acceptance Rates and Enrollments from the 2004-05 Graduates of By UNC Institution, Race/Ethnic Origin, and Gender (Enrollments are as of the End of the Drop/Add Period)

- All N.C. Public High Schools

		4000			14				- 1-												
Institution	Men	Men Women Total	Total	Men	Men Women Total	Total	Men V	Men Women Total	7-	Men Women Total	Men Women Total	1can	Men 5	Men Women Total	can c	Men Mem Memen metal	Mer Memen Tetal	nnic	I COM	Total	Loton
1. Number of graduates	8937	10797	19734	19734 23155	23975	47130	396	441	837	1356	1459	2815	898	774	1642	385	505				73048
2. Number of graduates who applied to only one UNC institution	1760	2455	4215	4460	5052	9512	108	122	230	177	227	404	207	189	396	212	274	486	6924	8319	15243
a. Percent accepted	73.6	78.0	76.2	78.1	81.6	80.0	74.1	81.1	77.8	69.5	72.2	71.0	68.6	82.5	75.3	9.59	69.3	67.7	76.0	79.9	78
3. Number of graduates who applied to two or more UNC institutions	1474	2645	4119	5012	6462	11474	61	82	143	177	220	397	319	335	654	185	248	433	7228	9992	17220
a. Percent accepted by only one	30.7	24.8	26.9	26.5	19.9	22.8	31.1	24.4	27.3	28.2	19.5	23.4	28.5	24.5	26.5	36.8	28.2	31.9	27.8	21.6	24
a. Percent accepted by two or more	59.4	69.1	65.7	66.0	74.8	70.9	62.3	69.5	66.4	62.1	70.5	8.99	64.9	68.7	66.8	50.8	64.5	58.7	64.1	72.7	69
4. Number of graduates who applied to at least one UNC institution	3234	5100	8334	9472	11514	20986	169	204	373	354	447	801	526	524	1050	397	522	919	14152 1	18311	32463
6. Percent of graduates who applied to at least one UNC institution	36.2	47.2	42.2	40.9	48.0	44.5	42.7	46.3	44.6	26.1	30.6	28.5	9.09	67.7	63.9	103.1 1	103.4 1	103.3	40.3	48.2	44
6. Number of graduates who were accepted by at least one UNC institution	2624	4401	7025	8118	10238	18356	137	176	313	283	362	645	440	468	806	301	420	721 1	11903 1	16065	27968
7. Percent of graduates who were accepted by at least one UNC institution	29.4	40.8	35.6	35.1	42.7	38.9	34.6	39.9	37.4	20.9	24.8	22.9	50.7	60.5	55.3	78.2	83.2	81.0	33.9	42.3	38
8. Number of graduates enrolling at a UNC institution	2138	3580	5718	6390	7748	14138	114	150	264	218	257	475	346	360	706	217	304	521	9423 1	12399	21822
9. Percent of graduates enrolling at a UNC institution	23.9	33.2	29.0	27.6	32.3	30.0	28.8	34.0	31.5	16.1	17.6	16.9	39.9	46.5	43.0	56.4	60.2	58.5	26.8	32.7	30
10. Number of graduates intending to enroll at a UNC institution	2884	4483	7367	7873	9140	17013	130	162	292	219	283	502	363	384	747	137	204	341	11606 1	14656	26262
11. Percent of graduates intending to enroll at a UNC institution	32.3	41.5	37.3	34.0	38.1	36.1	32.8	36.7	34.9	16.2	19.4	17.8	41.8	49.6	45.5	35.6	40.4	38.3	33.1	38.6	36
12. Number applied who did not meet UNC minimum course requirements(MCR)	354	364	718	459	349	808	8	7	15	23	15	38	22	11	33	30	33	63	968	977	1675
a. Percent of applicants in line 4 who did not meet MCR	10.9	7.1	8.6	8.4	3.0	3.9	4.7	3.4	4.0	6.5	3.4	4.7	4.2	2.1	3.1	7.6	6.3	6.9	6.3	4.3	5.2
13. Number enrolled who did not meet UNC minimum course requirements	S	6	14	φ	13	19	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	н	1	1.1	23	34

UNC-OP IRA/FAR.GR002/25APR07

Notes: If the number in a race/ethnic column is only one, the column(s) will contain an asterisk for "Percent Accepted"

Data for lines 10 and 11 are drawn from N.C. public high school survey of graduates' postsecondary intentions.

N.C. State Department of Public Instruction does not report graduates in the "Other Race/Ethnic" category.

RETENTION, GRADUATION, AND PERSISTENCE (RGP) REPORTED IN SPRING, 2007 OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES WHO ENROLLED AS FIRST-TIME FRESHMAN AT AN INSTITUTION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Report For North Carolina Public High Schools

	ASU	ECU (1	CSII	FSII	NCAST	NCTI	NCCLI	TING-A	INCORT	I J-JNLI	INC-C	I'M'C-D	TINIC IN	TATOTA	Moore	1 4 1 2
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2003	1727	2543	353	622	1588	712	2978	396	2326	1855	1672	631	1376	1245	691	0715
	76.4	70.7	58.6	59.2	59.8	68.8	84.5	67.4	90.3	67.1	67.0	52.0	74.8	61.0	67.0	72.2
	1 73.2	64.8	53.5	45.8	48.5	56.6	78.3	64.1	87.5	9.09	62.4	41.2	73.5	28	56	7
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0 & Hrs>=60	40.9 31.7 35.7 23.3 25.4 26.3 46.1 30.8 16.5 26.1 27.6 19.3 38.2 29.7 21.0 30.7	31.7	35.7	23.3	25.4	26.3	46.1	30.8	16.5	26.1	27.6	19.3	38.2	29.7	21.0	30.7
	_			_	_		_		_				_	-		
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2000	1827	2244	317	423	1273	569	2932	367	2348	1672	1519	467	1179	1006	387	8530
8 Graduated within 5 yrs	59.2	50.8	49.8	32.4	32.0	44.8	65.6	48.8	82.1	44.7	48.0	33.2	9	44.6	37.7	54 8
% Persisting after 5 vrs	ii 68.3	62.6	60.3	48.0	49.5	63.4	77.5	57.8	85.8	57.2	9	45.6	7 89			65.2

Report For All High Schools

	ASU	ECU	ECSU	FSU	NCAST	NCCII	NCSII	ITNC-A	INCH	TINC-C	I DAC-C	INC-P	M. JMI	INCT1	MCCTI	TATION
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2003	2401	3379	425	716	2155	933	3780	570	3416	2348	1984	728	1730	1454	834	26853
% Returned for yr 3	74.9	70.1	60.5	57.8	61.9	67.7	83.7	68.6	90.2	65.6	65.8	51.2	75.0	603	66.4	72.2
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0	11.7	65.0	54.8	44.7	52.0	56.5	77.6	65.3	87.6	59.6	6.09	40.9	73.6	57.4	2	7
8 Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0 & Hrs>=60	39.4	31.5	36.9	21.9	31.5 36.9 21.9 28.1 25.0 45.5 32.6 15.0 25.4 26.9 19.0 39.8 29	25.0	45.5	32.6	15.0	25.4	26.9	19.0	39.8	29.0 20.9 3	20.9	30.3
•												•	-			-
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2000	2489	3049	417	491	1617	658	3682	484	3373	2067	1832	538	1614	1175	466	23952
8 Graduated within 5 yrs	57.9	50.6	46.8	32.8	46.8 32.8 34.9 4	45.3	65.1	50.2	82.7	65.1 50.2 82.7 44.8 48.3 34.2 61.6	48.3	34.2	61.6	44.9	38.8	44.9 38.8 55.7
8 Persisting after 5 vrs	67.0	62.0	55.4	47.7	51.6	6.29	76.5	200	86.1	2	7	46.5	8	71.	0 7	

Report For North Carolina Public High Schools

	I ASU	ECU	FCSII	FSII	NCACT	NCCII	NCSII IINC-A	INC-A	TINCCH	INC-C	נוטייטיים ו	DIV.	TATE - Tal	INCAT IS	meerr In	1 4 000
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2004	1794	2502		558	1552	709	3008	492	33	2021	1781	623	7 2021 1781 623 1316	338	667 2	1103
% Returned for yr 3	77.8	69.2		63.4	55.5	64.3	83.7	64.8	۳.	67.9	65.7	54.3	74.1	9.4	63.1	71.6
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0	1 74.6	63.7		50.0	46.8	51.1	77.8	62.8	7.	62.5	62.3	41.6	70.6	2 9	23.	9 4
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0 & Hrs>=60	41.1	37.3		22.2	22.7 24.0	24.0	0 44.7 27.8 4	27.8	-	41.7 27.5 2	29.0	18.9	39.3	8.8	25.2	34.0
							_	_			-	-				
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2001	1657	388	269	574		552	2945	336	2542	1769	1497	559	1400	930	50911	9243
8 Graduated within 5 yrs	61.6 4	0.61	44.6	32.9		44.4	30.1 44.4 65.3	50.6	80.4	45.7	80.4 45.7 45.7 29.7	29.7	61.1	61.11 44.9 39.9	39.9	54.1
% Persisting after 5 yrs	[[69.5]	60	55.0	52.6		63.2	76.3	58.6	84.5	59.5	56.2	6 77	67.8	53.8	61 7	65.3
																1

Report For All High Schools

	ASD	ECU	SCSU	FSI	NCARTI	NCCII	NCSII	IMC-A	INCHI	INC-C	11MC-C	I DINCED	t M Digit	17,7(%)	TACCOT	T K HOE
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2004	2463	3412	507	711	2142	961	3797	679	3472	2535	2120	7007	1858	1528	791	27676
% Returned for yr 3	76.2	67.5	57.8	62.3	59.2	63.2	82.1	63.6	92.2	66.4	64.5	53.6	73.6	601	, ני	717
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0	73.2	62.4	48.1	49.2	51.6	51.3	76.5	61.4	89.4	61.1	60.7	40.3	70.3	9 2	2.4	2 2 2
% Returned for yr 3 w/GPA>=2.0 & Hrs>=60	39.4	36.5	33.7	21.2	25.8	23.0	44.6	28.1	39.5	27.5	28.3	17.9	38.7	29.7	26.4	200
	_	-					_						,	,	* • •))
Total Number of Freshman, Fall 2001	2229 3103 338 689 1697 692 3750 429 3637 2263 1829 632 140 686 24000	3103	338	689	1697	692	3750	429	3637	2253	1829	632	1899	1140	203	24902
% Graduated within 5 yrs	59.0	49.9	45.3	31.9	35.4	44.4	64.5	51.0	81.0	45.1	45.8	28.2	2 2	OFT		74 0 40 10 54 9
% Persisting after 5 vrs	67 4	60 7	25.6	5.07	27	2 63	77. 0	0	0 70	2 7 2			, ,		* .	3

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE FOR THE 2005-06 FRESHMEN PERFORMANCE REPORT (FPR)

Introduction

This report is an analysis of 2004-05 high school graduates who attended a UNC institution in the fall semester of 2005. It presents summary data on students' first-year grades, initial course placement, and end-of-year academic status. The period covered is the first summer session of 2005 through the spring semester of 2006.

Each North Carolina public high school is receiving a packet that includes the report on its 2004-05 graduates and three other groups:

- 1. the graduates of all public high schools in its school district;
- 2. the graduates of all public high schools in North Carolina; and
- 3. the graduates of all public and private high schools, in- and out-of-state.

These three reference group reports are intended to permit performance comparisons between graduates of an individual high school and those covered by the report. All reports follow the same format. The title indicates the group being described.

The purpose of the report is to provide feedback to principals and school superintendents on the academic performance of their graduates during their freshman year at a UNC institution. The content and format of the report follow recommendations made by a representative group of North Carolina public school superintendents. Data from the North Carolina School of the Arts are not reported in either report because of the small number of students attending that institution from any one high school.

Protecting Students' Rights to Privacy

To protect students' rights to privacy, data on freshman year performance are reported only when three or more students attend a UNC institution from a given high school. If two or fewer students attend any one institution, then the count of students is shown on *line 1* but no other data for that institution are given. This practice ensures that the report may be used as a public document.

Section A: Academic Status Summary (Lines 1-7)

Line 1 of this section presents the count of fall semester freshmen at each UNC institution who graduated within the previous year from the high school or group of high schools named in the title. All percentages calculated in sections A and B (but not C) use this count as the denominator.

Lines 2 and 3 give the percentage of freshmen whose overall grade point average, calculated at the end of their last semester in attendance, was greater than 2.0 (C) and 3.0 (B), respectively. Line 4 indicates the percentage who returned for the spring semester, while line 5 indicates the percentage who returned for a second year in the fall semester of 2005. Line 6 indicates the percentage who returned for the second year and had an overall grade point average of 2.0 or better. Line 7 indicates the percentage who returned for the second year, had an overall grade point average of 2.0 or better, and had completed 30 or more credit hours with passing grades in each course taken in the freshman year.

Section B: Initial Course Placement Recommendations and Initial Course Performance (Lines 8-16)

Each UNC institution has procedures for recommending initial course placement in English and mathematics. Although some institutions do not test students for advanced placement, most do, so that students can be guided into the appropriate courses—advanced, standard, or remedial. NCA&T, UNCC, UNCP, and UNCW do not use tests to determine advanced placement in English. ECU, FSU, and NCCU do not use tests to determine placement into calculus.

In English, advanced placement (line 8) means that the student has demonstrated reading, writing, and communication skills above those required in the standard freshman course in English Composition. In mathematics, advanced placement (line 11) typically means that a student is ready to enroll in a calculus course. The standard first course in mathematics is usually a college algebra or precalculus course, although some students take courses in finite mathematics, probability and statistics, or similar courses not requiring calculus as a prerequisite (labeled "other math" on line 13). Students with deficiencies in reading, writing, or communication skills are placed in remedial English (line 10). Students with deficiencies in high school algebra skills are placed in remedial mathematics (line 14). The percentage of freshmen who took one or more remedial courses is shown on line 16.

At most institutions the percentage of freshmen who enrolled in one or more remedial courses (as reported in line 16) will not equal the sum of those reported in remedial English (line 10) and remedial mathematics (line 14). There are several reasons for this. First, some students will be placed in both remedial English and remedial mathematics. Such students are counted only once in line 16. Second, some students whose placement test scores are marginally above the cut-off for taking a remedial course will nevertheless choose to take a remedial course while others whose scores indicated a need for remedial work will choose to take the standard course. Third, many students who were initially placed in regular English or mathematics courses are advised by their instructors to switch to remedial sections based on initial writing samples, review tests, and other indicators of weakness in a subject. Finally, some remedial courses are offered in areas other than English and mathematics, and they are reported on line 16 but not on line 10 or 14.

Students, then, may ignore placement recommendations and take a first course in English or mathematics that is above or below the recommended level. Overall, 22 percent of freshmen chose to do so in the case of English, and 45 percent in the case of mathematics.

(Continued on other side)

Finally, it should be noted that freshmen at NCA&T and UNCW are not eligible to participate in an honors program (line 15). Such programs offer students the opportunity to take courses with enriched content and an accelerated pace. Selection to participate in an honors program is based on the student's record of academic achievement. It is important to keep in mind that the data reported on lines 8-14 refer to course placement recommended.

Section C: Course Performance Measures in College-Level Courses, Summer 2005 Through Spring 2006 (Lines 17-43)

Course performance data in this section are based only on the records of freshmen who attended <u>both</u> the fall and spring semesters; records of freshmen who did not return for the spring semester were not used. Also, only college-level coursework — not remedial — was used in calculating data on *lines 17-43*. Finally, all freshmen courses taken were grouped by the seven subject areas shown in order to correspond as closely as possible to the major subject areas taught in high schools. College courses in professional fields such as education and business and those in fine arts and letters were all grouped into the subject area labeled "other courses".

The purpose of the data in section C is to summarize how full-year students performed in all non-remedial coursework. *Lines* 17, 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, and 35 show the average credit hours attempted by these students in each of the seven subject areas, with the average courseload for the year shown on *line* 38. All non-remedial coursework, except some courses in physical education that exceed the number applicable to a degree, are shown on these lines.

Lines 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, 36, and 39 show the average grades earned in non-remedial courses. Courses with letter grades that cannot be converted to quality points (e.g., withdrawal, incomplete) were of necessity excluded from these calculations, but the percentage of course grades reported in lines 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, 37, and 40-43 includes grades not converted to quality points. Abbreviations for grades not converting to quality points are shown on lines 41-43. They are I=Incomplete, W=Withdrawal, WP=Withdrawal Passing, P=Pass, S=Satisfactory, and R=Repeat. The grade of R is not a grade that is actually used by campuses but is used in this report in cases where a course is repeated and the original grade has been deleted from the record. Very often the original grade was low or failing.

Lines 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, and 37 indicate the percentage of course grades in each subject, and overall (line 40), that were C or better — or (in the case of courses graded pass/fail or satisfactory/unsatisfactory) that were graded Pass or Satisfactory. Percentages in lines 40-43 should add to 100 percent, give or take rounding differences.

Section D: High School Achievement (Lines 44-46)

In this section the average class rank and average SAT scores of freshmen reported on *line 1* are shown. Average class ranks are expressed as percentiles running from a low of "1" to a high of "99". Thus, if the average class rank is 75, this means the students, on average, were in the top 25 percent of their graduating class.

Troubleshooting Your Report

Although you are likely to have questions about your report, we have tried to anticipate several that warrant explanation here. We address them section by section.

In section A, you may find a higher number on *line 5* than on *line 4* for any UNC institution. This will occur when students, who may have skipped their spring semester, return for the next fall semester. Keep in mind that *lines 2-7* all use *line 1* as the denominator in computing their percentages.

In section A, the relationship between lines 5, 6, and 7 is: line 7 should be less than or equal to line 6 which should be less than or equal to line 5. This is because the number of conditions that students must satisfy in order to be included in the numerator of line 7 is greater than those needed to be included in the numerator of line 6 which is still greater than those needed to be included in the numerator of line 5.

In section B, the data on placement in remedial courses (lines 10 and 14) and enrollment in one or more remedial courses (line 16) do not account for remedial work done by students in academic skill labs, tutorial sessions, and informal help sessions with instructors. These activities address weaknesses in a subject that are too limited in scope, content, or frequency to justify enrollment in a remedial course.

In section C, the calculation of average course grades in *lines 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33*,, and 36 uses the total number of non-remedial courses taken in each subject and in all subjects (*line 39*) by full-year students as the denominator.

In section C, the average credit hours taken in each subject vary among UNC institutions in part because general education requirements vary among institutions, and in part, because policies for placing out of required courses also vary. These differences are particularly important in the case of foreign languages where some institutions require proficiency in a foreign language which may be demonstrated by successfully completing prescribed coursework or by passing a proficiency test.

Finally, a data cell contains an asterisk when data are not printed in order to protect students' privacy rights.

FOLLOW-UP OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES WHO ENROLLED AS FIRST-TIME FRESHMAN AT AN INSTITUTION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE FALL SEMESTER OF 2005 FOLLOWING HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION REPORT for <u>ALL HIGH SCHOOLS</u>

10.0 4.5 4.5 3.4	Category	Measures	ASU	ECU	-+	FSU	급	8	-	4	E		· t	_	d	WCU IN	ussu	TOTAL
4. Workshow of the course of the co	A. Academic	1. Total No. of Freshmen	2463	315	20		2151	01	ın	26	_		_	4	38	1521	17	28597
1. W. Charles Grades = P. S. O.	Status	w/GPA >=	85.9	76.	70.		65.9	ö		ω.	5.7			7	4	ο,	4	78.3
4. Newtword for Year Z on Semewick Y XI.1 1 94.4 33.8 19.16 69.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10	Summary	w/GPA >=	51.	35.	29.		22.9	7		ω.	1.8			-	v.	4	0	40.5
6. Networmed foor Yr. 2 vordey. Sec. 2. 164.7 17.2 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17	(Fall 2005		.1 94.	93.	91.		95.0	ω.		ά.	8.9			~	ú	ė	\sim	93.7
6. Newtrone Contract Contracts 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Freshmen)	.	84.	78.	73.		69.4	1.			6.6			<u>_</u>	~	4	~	81.0
8. Windowned by processed that the course of		de i	0 76.	67.	59.		55.1	ċ	•	4	3.2			46.8	4.	œ.	ဖ	70.4
8 * N. McCharged Placement in English 4.4 0.0 9.1 6.7 2.6 10.3 0.0 61.9 16.3 10.5 12.2 12.		*	30 42.	36.	37.		21.9	7	•1	m	6.2			23.5	•	7	4	34.9
10. * In Regardiar Programs English 23.5 28.6 21.4 90.8 84.0 74.6 83.4 90.8 83.7 90.8 90.8 91.5	8. Initial	dp .	sh 4.	<u>-</u>	-		6.7				6.			0.0	۳,	٠.	7.2	12.8
1.0	Course	ф	Э.	98.	51.	•	4	4.		•	٦.	83.7		92.8	9	6	51.1	80.4
1. * In College Algorithms or Above 12.7 3.5 0.0 0.1 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.1 5.7 0.1 0	Placement	% In	•	<u>。</u>	<u>.</u>		1.9	ς.			0	0.0		9.9	•	o	9	2
1.	Recommenda-	% In		m m	·		ഗ	0			~	30.8	•	7 2 2	. 4	•		
11. * In Cother Match. 12. * In Cother Match. 13. * In Cother Match. 14. * In Cother Match. 15. * Tak Holocores Program. 15. * In Holocores Program. 15. *	tions and	% In		89.	18.		0				-	20.05	•		•	٠		20.00
15. \$ Th Remedial Courses 1.0 1.4 1.6	Enrollment	& In			-	•	١ <	•		•		1 0			٠	٠	7.70	0.10
15. * This Honores Program 2.5 1.4 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.1	in Remodial	4	•	i •	· .	•	٠ ١ ١			٠	<u>.</u>	0 ;	'n	36.2	٠	٠	4.6	16.0
17 Pagitah Course Canada	Courses	a de	•	; ;	٠ ا	•	12.5	٠			<u>-</u>	15.8	•	8.1	•	•	11.8	7.4
17. Variable Name and Courses	(Fall 2005	P motion of the second	•	; ;	· ;		7 .				<u>-</u>	 8	٠	1.9	•	٠	0.0	8.
The Big list Courses Courses The Big list Course Course Courses The Big list Course Courses Courses Courses The Big list Course Courses Courses Courses The Big list Course Courses Co		* raking>=i kemediai	•	<u>:</u>	61.	•	16.2				0	0.0	•	8.8	•	•	20.9	5.1
17. Nay: Cr. Hrs. In Subject		Brallah Comment	-	-			1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	
15. 15.		-12																
13.	Meacure		•			•	٠	•	•	•	•		•		٠	•	•	5.0
12. Nav. Course Grades = P, S OR = C 79.0 71.1 71.2 71.1 71.2	College	110 to of Course Gradon - the Con	· 6	· 8		•	٠	•	•	•	•		'n		•	•	٠	3.0
20. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 2.8 3.1 3.6 6.11 7.0 4.11 5.3 4.1 2.2 4.9 2.5 3.2 3.4 2.3 3.4 4.3 3.4 4.3 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.2 3.2 8.4 6.9 6.5 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9	Level	Mathematics Courses - F, 5 on	. 22	<u>-</u> -		•	•	•	٠	٠	•		4.		•	•	•	89.6
21. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 79.0 71.4 73.4 70.2 61.2 58.0 78.3 31.2 2.5	Courses	200																
Proceign Language Courses P. S. R. = C 72. 7.2 2.3 2.3 2.7	(S)		•	-	•	•		٠	•		٠		•	•	٠	•	٠	
2. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 3. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 4. Social Science Grades = P, S OR >= C 74.3 72.9 72.5 81.4 2.5 2.9 2.5	2005 through	Avg. Course Grades - D c on		· :	•	•	•		•		٠		•	•	٠	•	•	2.4
23. NAG. CT. Hrs. in Subject 24. NAG. CCL. Wrs. in Subject 25. NAG. CL. Wrs. in Subject 26. NAG. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 27. NAG. CCL. Wrs. in Subject 28. No. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 29. NAG. CCL. Wrs. in Subject 20. NAG. CCL. Wrs.	Spring	Foreign Language Courses	, 	; 	•	•		•			٠		•	•	٠	•	•	
24. Avg. Course Grade = P, S OR >= C 93.5 88.2 77.3 88.6 97.8 77.3 88.4 79.0 95.0 97.8	2006	Avg.																
25. \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$} \text{\$0}\$ \t	Coursework)	Avg.	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•				•	•	1.4
Physical Sciences Courses 2. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 3. Avg. Curree Grades 4. Avg. Curree Grades		% of Course Grades = P. S OP		, a		•	•		•	•	•	•			٠	٠	٠	
26. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 27. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 1.0 2.8 2.9 0.2 0.9 0.9 5.7 3.3 3.0 4.2 1.7 2.1 4.0 2.5 2.9 2.5 2.0 2.9 2.5 2.0 2.9 2.5 2.		Physical Sciences Courses		· •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			٠	٠	•	
27. Avg. Course Grade 28. % of Course Grade 29. Nay: Course Grade 29. Nay: Course Grade 29. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 29. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 30. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 31. Avg. Course Grades 29. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 31. Avg. Course Grades 32. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 33. Avg. Course Grades 34. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 35. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 36. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 37. Avg. Course Grades 38. Nay: Cr. Hrs. in Subject 39. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 31. Avg. Course Grades 32. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 33. Avg. Course Grades 34. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 35. Avg. Course Grades 36. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 37. Avg. Course Grades 38. Avg. Course Grades 39. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 39. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Course Grades 31. Avg. Course Grades 32. Avg. Course Grades 33. Avg. Course Grades 34. Avg. Course Grades 35. Avg. Course Grades 36. Avg. Course Grades 37. Avg. Course Grades 38. Avg. Course Grades 39. Avg. Course Grades 39. Avg. Course Grades 30. Avg. Course Grades								_										
28. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 74.3 78.9 72.6 88.9 53.0 81.6 84.5 85.5 91.5 84.5 70.2 56.6 78.5 80.3 76.4 80.1									•		•	•	•		•	•	•	2.0
Biological Science Courses 29. Way. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 20. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 77.5 82.6 64.1 76.1 68.2 50.7 86.9 80.3 83.5 70.3 71.5 43.9 81.1 74.9 52.2 73.8 73		% of Course Grades = P, S OR	C 74.	78.		. ,	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
29. Avg. Ccurse Grade		Ses		· ·	•	•	•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
30. Avg. Course Grade 3. Social Science By Sor N=C 77.5 82.6 64.1 76.1 68.2 50.7 86.9 80.3 83.5 70.3 71.5 43.9 81.1 74.9 52.2 75.2		-	•	.,														
31. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 77.5 82.6 64.1 76.1 68.2 50.7 86.9 80.3 83.5 70.3 71.5 43.9 81.1 74.9 51.2 76.2 Social Science Courses 3. Avg. Course Grade = P, S OR >= C 77.4 77.7 68.2 76.6 78.3 88.6 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 97.0 97.0 97.0 97.0 97.0 97.0 97.0 97.0			•		<u>;</u>		•						•	•	•	•	•	•
Social Science Courses 3. Avg. Curse Grades = P, S OR >= C 82.5 77.4 77.7 68.2 7.6 78.3 88.6 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 81.6 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 81.8 83.8		% of Course Grades = P, S OR	c 77.	82.	64.										٠.			76.4
32. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject 6.3 6.9 9.7 2.9 3.1 4.0 7.2 2.4 6.3 2.8 5.4 7.7 6.9 3.5 5.2 5.4 5.4 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4		Socia														•		•
33. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 82.5 77.4 77.7 68.2 76.6 78.3 88.6 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 82 36.4 2.9 30.2 3.0		Avg.	•	. 6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	
34. % Of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 82.5 77.4 77.7 68.2 76.6 78.3 88.6 89.0 94.5 77.0 81.6 56.5 83.2 74.9 77.8 82 27.0 35. Avg. Course Grade = P, S OR >= C 89.4 90.1 81.0 78.7 81.8 93.3 93.0 93.7 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 87.4 8		Avg. Course Grade	2	5	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	
35. Avg. Course Grades P. S OR >= C 89.4 90.1 90.1 81.0 78.7 81.8 93.3 93.0 93.7 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 87.8 93.8 93.0 93.7 93.0		other Course Grades = P, S OR	C 82.	77.	•	•	٠		•	•	•	•	•	٠		•	•	82.8
36. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 89.4 90.1 90.1 81.0 78.7 81.8 93.3 93.0 93.7 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 87.4		Ava	~	۰								,						
37. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 89.4 90.1 90.1 81.0 78.7 81.8 93.3 93.0 93.7 85.4 87.4 83.8 91.8 81.3 84.8 87 38. Avg. Cr. Hrs. 39. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 85.9 80.0 30.1 30.7 29.6 29.8 29.8 29.7 30.2 30.4 30.2 31.6 28.5 29 41. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 85.9 80.9 73.5 69.4 67.3 68.5 87.3 85.2 92.6 81.6 78.3 64.4 83.4 79.1 77.5 81 42. % of Course Grades = D, F, WF, U 13.0 15.2 18.6 21.8 51.2 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 44. Avg. Class Rank 54.4 5		Avg.	, ,		- c	, ,	•	•	•	•	٠	<i>-i</i> (٠	•	•	ທ່	٠	9.4
All Courses Alg. Avg. Cr. Hrs. Alg. Course Grades = P, SOR >= C 85.9 80.3 30.1 30.1 30.1 30.2 30.2 30.3		% of Course Grades = D c		· 6	٠ c	٠	•		•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	ď.	•	•
38. Avg. Cr. Hrs. 39. Avg. Cr. Hrs. 30. Avg. Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 85.9 30.1 30.1 30.1 30.2 29.6 30.8 30.1 30.2 30.4 30.4 30.4 30.2 30.4 30.		All Courses	· .						•	•	•	•	٠		•	i.	٠	•
39. Avg. Course Grade		Avg.	ο.	30.		ď		σ										
40. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C 85.9 80.9 73.5 69.4 67.3 68.5 87.3 85.2 92.6 81.6 78.3 64.4 83.4 79.1 77.5 81.4 9.7 9.8	٠	Avg.	~			. 2			•	•			2 0	* .	200	01.0	•	•
41. % of Course Grades = I, W, WP 1.2 3.9 8.2 12.0 10.9 12.1 0.4 5.1 0.4 3.3 6.7 8.6 2.5 0.8 1.2 3.9 42. % of Course Grades = D, F, WF, U 13.0 15.2 18.5 21.8 19.5 12.4 9.7 6.9 15.0 15.1 27.0 13.2 20.1 21.3 15 43. % of Course Grades = R 7.0 0.		% of Course Grades = P,	C 85.	80.	•		7	ω,					78.3	64.4	83.4	70.1		· -
42. % of Course Grades = D, F, WF, U 13.0 15.2 18.6 21.8 19.5 12.4 9.7 6.9 15.0 15.1 27.0 13.2 20.1 21.3 15.4 22.0		% of Course Grades = I, W,	•		•		ö	2	•	•			6.7	8		ι α	•	٠,
43. % of Course Grades = R		% of Course Grades = D, F,	U 13.	15.	•		•		•	•		•	15.1	27.0	13.2	20.1		15.0
44. AVG. Class Rank 73 64 53 51 52 51 83 80 92 71 70 58 76 58 55 64 55 AVG. Verball SAT 650 500 441 560 500 441 560 500 444 5	The state of	* of Course Grades =	0.0	4.	ó	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0		
15. Avg. Wath Sam 5.00 5.04 418 420 441 428 575 598 642 530 523 461 560 508 444 5	D. High School	44. AVG.	73		. s	51	25	51	83	80	92	71	70	28	16	58	55	2
	שמודב בשופוור	46. Avg.	202		41	420	441	428	575	298	642	230	523	461	260	208	4	534

FOLLOW-UP OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES WHO ENROLLED AS FIRST-TIME FRESHMAN AT AN INSTITUTION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE FALL SEMESTER OF 2005 FOLLOWING HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION REPORT FOR A PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS

Category	Межитее	110 4	15.6	1000	-	- 2	-		1	-	1	1-7	1-7			-	UNC
mic	1. Total No. of Freshmen	1744	2390	403	7-	1442	804	110	400	Хd		7	753	110	₹.	35	⊴ខ
Status	2. % w/GPA >= 2.0		٠.	73.9			ο.	٠.	3 %	K LO	6.2	16.67	ی د	200	0 7 6	2007	4
Summary	. 8 w/GPA >=		4.	31.3	6	- 0			<u>.</u>) O	-	38.8		3.2	4.4	7.6	
(Fall 2005			4	93.1	ω,	4	ö		2	ω	3.4	91.5	0	5.6	6.3	1.7	
Freshmen)	. % Returned for Year 2		ė,	74.7	2	-	÷			ဖ	8.4	77.5	6		2.8	4.1	
	6. % Returned for Yr.2 w/GPA >= 2.0	78.3	67.2	64.0	49.7	51.7	49.6	79.4	74.5	93.3	1.9	8.69	47.3	4.6	59.7	56.2	70.0
D Initial	Ret. LOT IT. 2 W/GPA>=2&CFHFS>=	-1	'n,	40.2	•	18.2	- 1	٠.		36.1	3.0	31.0	m	5		4	-1
	. • W/Advanced Flacement in Engli		1.3		4.6	6.4	ά,		0	42.7	16.2		0	٠. د	0.5	7.6	· ·
ent	o de		•	α	÷	92.0	•		5 6	•	۸.	0.00	•	•	•	50.4	•
	dР			•	5 6	20.4			5 6	٥			•	•		٠	•
-	& In		89.6	20.1	28.8	61.0	44.7		5 6	10.0	7 6	10.01			7 v	•	•
Enrollment	13. % In Other Math		•	,		6					,	; ,	•	;	•	•	٠,
in Remedial	14. % In Remedial Math.	•			•	13.9			5 6	• -		;	•		•	•	•
	dР	9.7	14.5	4.0	8.1	3.1	2.6	27.5	10.9	3.5	1.7	0.2	1.6	3.6.6	10.3	•	
(Fall 2005 Freshmen)	16. % Taking>=1 Remedial Courses	•	•	60.5	-	18.5	0		0	-		- -	•	0		0.3	
C. Course	English Courses				-	-	-	-	1-	1	1	+	+	+	-	1	7
nance			•														
s in		3.3	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	6.0	3.5	. m	3.5	4.6	2.5	7 6	0 00	2.0	7 · ·
ge	19. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•						
		_	_					_	_								
	Avg.	•	•	•	•		•		•		•	•		•	•	•	•
Summer	Avg. Course Grade	2.7	2.3	2.4	2.2	1.9		2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.2	2.1	5.6	2.4	2.1	2.4
ZUUS Enrougn ZZ.			•	٠	٠	٠	58.1		٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	22 True de Courses														_		
(Archioe	. B.A	•	•		٠	•	•.	٠	•	٠	•		•	٠	•	•	٠
	Avg. course Grade		6.7	2.7	5.6	2.7	2.8	3.3	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.0	2.6	3.1	2.8	2.0	3.1
		•	•		•	٠		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•
	26. Avg. Cr. Hrs. in Subject																
		2.4	, c	2 . 6	2.0	۰ د م د	2 0	7. c	ى د د. ه	٠, د ١, د	4. c	1.8	2.5	0.4	2.3	1.2	0.0
	28. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C					•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•
-	Biological Science Courses					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
-	Avg.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•		•					
	Avg. Course Grade	2.4	2.5	1.9	2.7	2.0	1.6	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.1	2.3	1.6	2.5	2.5	1.6	
	31. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	•	•	•	75.1
	30 And Or Hrs in cution																
_		•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•
	& of	82.0	75.9	79.0	1 2	71 9	76.7	2 4	2 . v	03.0	4.7	7.7		9.70	2.5	4. 6	9.5
-						•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Avg.	-	•		•						-						
-	Avg. Course Grade	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.5	2.4	5.6	3.4	3.2	3.2	2.8	3.0	2.7				
-	37. % of Course Grades = P, S OR >= C	•	•	•	•			•				87.4	83.4	91.6	81.3	34.3	6.98
	All									_	_						•
	30 Mar Courte Crado	29.6	30.3	31.0	30.1	30.6	29.5	29.8	29.7	28.8	29.7	30.2	30.5	•		28.2	•
-	, 4c	•	•	0 7	· ·	· .		÷,	m ı	٠	α,	•	•	'n.	•		•
	8 of Course Grades = I W WD	•	٠	2 0	• •	;,	: ,		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
	% of Course Grades = D. F.		•	10.7	10.2	•	•			•			•		8.0	1.2	•
7	% of Course Grades = R	•]	0	0.0	0.51		0.0	7.21	0.0	 			7.7	9.51	7.07	•	15.6
	Avg.	74	72	53	51	50	20	83	80	92	71	202	59	761	59	24	16
Achievement	. Avg.	557	511	416	417	427	423	573	595	631	527	521	458	553	506	444	528
The state of the s	40. AVG. Mach SAI	268	529	431	425	443	430	608	290	648	552	526	475	570	519	455	545