## **ADDENDUM I**

## Excerpt of Proceedings of THE NORTH CAROLINA SENATE JUDICIARY II COMMITTEE HEARING

**JULY 6, 2010** 

# Transcription made available to SENATE JUDICIARY II COMMITTEE by STANLY COUNTY

This is not an official record of the Committee, but is being included for informational purposes only.

Excerpt of Proceedings of The North Carolina Senate Judiciary II Committee Hearing held Tuesday, July 6, 2010

North Carolina State Legislature Building

In re: The Yadkin River Project Alcoa, Badin Lake

Question and Answer Session of Mr. Bill O'Rourke of Alcoa

Agenda Item:
Receipt and consideration of information related to Yadkin
River in and near Stanly County

Transcribed by: MARGARET M. POWELL, CVR 6212 Splitrock Trail APEX, NORTH CAROLINA 27539 (919) 779-0322

1	PROCEEDINGS (Approximately 6:00 p.m.)
2	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: First let me
3	welcome you back again to apologize for the situation
4	this morning. I want to explain it to everybody.
5	Let's go back to the agenda item
6	entitled "Receipt and Consideration of Information
7	related to the Yadkin River in or near Stanly County."
8	As I'm sure you'll remember, last Thursday, a majority
9	of the Committee voted to authorize, in fact, the
0	Committee unanimously voted to authorize the issuance
1	of subpoenas to UNC TV and to Eszter Vajda. The
2	Committee sought copies of all footage in their
3	possession regarding the Alcoa Corporation activities
4	in a Stanly County, North Carolina, near the Yadkin
5	River.
6	I want to report again that UNC TV
7	voluntarily delivered the requested materials
8	yesterday, early yesterday morning, July 5th 2010.
9	Although I still have not reviewed these materials, I
0	understand they consist of 13 DVDs and a rough
1	inventory of the raw footage.
2	With regard to Ms. Vajda, who is
3	here, she was served with a subpoena, and I might add
4	at the same time there was subpoenas that sent, we
5	sent, actually what are in effect public records

- 1 request, two each, so it's kind of an ironic situation.
- 2 However, Ms. Vajda was served with a subpoena on July
- 3 the 1st.
- 4 Before we proceed with this
- 5 further, I would like to direct you to the attention --
- 6 you have on your desk a copy of GS 120 19.2. If you
- 7 look at Subsection A, this provision allows committees
- 8 to require attendance of witnesses by subpoena. In the
- 9 last sentence it provides that committees may allow
- 10 sworn statements in lieu of oral testimony.
- 11 In addition to your copy of 120
- 12 19.2, you should also have a copy of an affidavit
- 13 executed by Ms. Vajda. Just -- I'm a little hesitant
- to read things, but I think I'll do that just for the
- 15 record:
- 16 (Chairman reads affidavit of Ms.
- 17 Eszter Vajda.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Now, I think
- 19 it is incumbent upon me to explain what happened this
- 20 morning for which I apologize. There's a long
- explanation and there's a short one; I will give you
- the long one first and then I will give you the short
- **⊉**3 one.

- Apparently, there were issues relating
- to the size and the format of the files, which caused

- 1 unexpected difficulties that took some time to resolve.
- 2 Once we received the file, it could not be played until
- 3 we obtained the appropriate hardware.
- 4 The short answer we had to go from
- 5 a Windows or an Apple to a Windows operating system and
- 6 that's what took place.
- 7 Okay. Since we do not need to hold
- 8 a hearing or take sworn testimony, we will proceed with
- 9 the remainder of the Committee by hearing the program
- 10 and then taking any Committee members' questions
- 11 following that.
- 12 And I will acknowledge to the
- 13 Committee that last evening I received a request from
- 14 Mr. Neely asking that representatives from Alcoa be
- solution is propertional to the speak and we will do so at the
- 16 conclusion of the -- that opportunity will be given in
- 17 like manner just as we would in any other committee
- 18 meeting.

- 19 Okay. I think we are prepared to
- 20 begin. I want to ask my instructor have I done
- 21 everything I need to do?
  - UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I believe the
- 23 Committee is ready to view the video.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: I would like to
- 25 thank Mr. Ross for his invaluable assistance in making

N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10

Page 5

Alcoa is a company with a very rich history of doing what's right. We've been named one of the most sustainable and environmentally responsible corporations in the world.

Most of you are familiar with the Dow Jones Sustainability Index, it's a very reputable index that's global in nature, and Alcoa has been on it every year since its inception seven years ago.

On June 25th, we filed our application to be considered again for the eighth consecutive year. I read that application and will tell you at the end of it I'm rather proud of what we're able to do as a corporation on a global nature.

Environment, health and safety is an articulated corporate value in Alcoa. We have seven articulated corporate values, and I understand so do many other corporations. What does distinguish us though is we do actually articulate environment, health and safety as one of our values.

Those values for some corporations are just words written on a piece of paper. I do believe in my heart that we do taken seriously in Alcoa, especially the environment, health and safety value. We are proud of our record. Sure, we're not perfect, but we're improving all the time, and we take

3

every allegation and every complaint seriously and address it completely.

When we started our operations in North Carolina nearly a hundred years ago, it was long before there were any environmental laws and certainly no environmental laws on PCBs because they weren't even invented. Not all of us understood the implications of the environmental

Let me talk about North Carolina.

when Alcoa started to learn about value impacts of our operations, we stepped up and started working together with state and federal officials. That's our process. It's the government regulations which are in place which are required to

laws or the impacts of them, which we do know today.

We worked with the officials to identify and address any issues that were raised in a very responsible manner.

have us in compliance, and we take that seriously.

Since then, we've spent more than \$10 million on remediation efforts at the Badin Plant, and we believe we have cleaned it up. We are fully compliant with North Carolina and federal environmental laws. I think it's important that I say that again. We are fully compliant with North Carolina and federal environmental laws.

0.

L4

5

- We are proud of our collaboration
  with the state and federal authorities to be in that
  position. We're proud of our cleanup record.
  - The statement of compliance is rather inconsistent with some of the items that I've heard in that movie, and I urge you to look closer at Alcoa's record and Alcoa's situation.
    - As part of our commitment to protect the environment, we studied the sentiments in Badin Lake. This was near our plant. We found low levels of PCBs in the sediments in a 1977 study. Immediately, we let the state officials know what we found, we let the community know what we found. That's the Alcoa I know. When you find something wrong, you

The state dealt with it. The State determined that the sentiments, which are already similar to post cleanup levels in most lakes in the region, they did not pose a risk. That was a state determination.

disclose it and then you deal with it.

Since we found the low levels of PCB in 1977, we continued to monitor, we monitored those sediments to make sure they don't spread and they are not spreading. That's one reason why the state health officials even suggested that we look upstream

- 1 for sources of PCB contamination following the Badin
- 2 Lake Fish Study.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

**2**2

23

24

25

- The movie claimed that the PCBs in
- 4 Badin Lake can be directly tied back to Alcoa's
- 5 operations. But Dr. John Rodgers, the Clemson
- 6 professor who was cited and quoted in the movie who
- 7 supposedly made that connection, backed off from his
- 8 claims when he was under oath.

In a deposition, Dr. Rodgers admitted that the work in his July 27th 2009, study was not a definitive fingerprint, it couldn't link the PCBs in the fish back to Alcoa's operation.

Dr. Rodgers went on to say that there was data inconsistent with the relationship between the PCBs from Alcoa's plant and the fish in Badin Lake. And he said there could be other sources of PCB which are responsible for the PCB levels in the fish in that lake.

The North Carolina Division of Waste Management went even further. In a February 25th 2009 memo about Badin Lake Fish Study, that memo said the source of PCBs could not be determined with any certainty and they noted that there's a small pocket of PCBs in sediments near Alcoa's plant that will analyzed and did not pose a significant health risk.

The Southwest region of Badin Lake
where the Alcoa facility is located found only one fish
tissue sample, one, that was above the standard for
PCBs.

Numerous congeners not used at the Alcoa facility were detected in the fish tissue samples collected in Badin Lake. It's important to recognize that there are 4,500 square miles that drain into the Yadkin Project, and the state has already found similar concentrations of PCBs in fish upstream of Badin Lake near Mocksville.

The bottom line is Alcoa complies with North Carolina environmental laws. We've gone beyond the regulatory requirements. Still opponents seem to be focusing attention away from a real issue of relicensing.

Throughout the relicensing process, we have worked with state agencies, environmental groups and others to craft an agreement that will improve water quality in the Yadkin River, provide for long-term conservation of land, and protect the environment. We've conducted more than 20 technical studies and spent considerable time addressing these issues.

We've spent over \$20 million on

- 1 these environmental tests on the Yadkin project and
- 2 relicensing. That's in addition to the 10 million of
- 3 cleanup efforts at the Badin Plant.
- 4 We are committed to spend \$240
- 5 million to operate the project, the dam project, 80
- 6 million of which is solely to increase the dissolved
- 7 oxygen in the Yadkin, and 40 million of that has
- 8 already been spent.
- 9 I want to address just a couple of
- 0 issues that I saw in the movie.
- We were accused of some OSHA
- 12 violations and citations. I did a quick look at how
- 13 many OSHA citations Alcoa has received since July 5th
- 14 of 2005, that's a six-year period up to today.
- 15 In the whole United States, we have
- 16 received 60 citations, that's about ten per year.
- 17 That's in the nation.

- In North Carolina, we have received
- 19 three. These are public records, you can check.
- 20 Look at the primary metals industry
- 21 of North Carolina. In that industry alone, there have
- 22 been 335 OSHA citations or North Carolina Department of
- 23 Labor citations, they sometimes inspect together or
- 24 have the inspections together.
  - So that means that Alcoa has less

24

25

states.

than one percent of the citations in North Carolina in 1 the last six years. That's pretty low and a little 2 3 different than we heard insinuated in the movie. Alcoa was lost workday rate, for 5 those who know or are familiar with that, is 0.07 through this year as of this date. Our total 6 reportable rate is 1.2. That's how many injuries you 7 8 have per hundred workers or 200,000 man hours per year. That's a rather stellar record. 9 Still, we've had fatalities and we 10 need to clean those up, and we are focusing on those. 11 12 But are lost workday rate, total reportable rate, is 13 something to be proud of. At many of our plants it's more 15 dangerous to drive to work than it is to work there. 16 This is a stellar record that has 17 been in place since 1987 and has improved every year since then, and I'm rather proud of it. 18 19 Let me mention something else that 20 was referenced in the movie. Some of the litigation. People 21 have the right to bring claims. Of course they have 22

I checked on one of the cases that

the right to bring claims, we know that, in all of the

- 1 we had recently. It is Pryor et al. versus Alcoa it
- 2 was seven named defendants [sic] in Blount County,
- 3 Tennessee. They asked for relief. A class action
- 4 relief declared and medical surveillance be made
- 5 available to employees and retirees.
- 6 In this case seven named plaintiffs
- 7 were in the case, but six had to be excluded because
- 8 they weren't residents of the district where the case
- 9 was brought, so that left the case with Mr. Pryor. In
- 10 Mr. Pryor's case, his only allegation, since they
- 11 dismissed the class, was that he wanted medical
- 12 surveillance.

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

Alcoa has been providing medical

14 surveillance for decades to active employees and

retirees. When some of the recent cases were raised,

we sent letters to 27,000 retirees around the country

requesting them to get the medical surveillance that

18 they were entitled to get.

Mr. Pryor, who brought this action

against us, chose not to get the medical surveillance.

angle 1 So, we advised them that we were going to move for

dismissal, so they asked us if we would settle the case

instead by each of as being half of the attorneys'

fees, which turned out to be \$600 for each side.

That settlement is sitting on the desk of the Blount

1 County District Court Judge.

10

11

12

13

14

**1**5

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was an allegation that the
Mohawks near Messina, New York, got a decision against

4 Alcoa. There is no such decision yet. It's called the

5 St. Regis Mohawk Tribe. We are dealing with them on a

6 regular basis. We are working with the EPA. The EPA

7 continues to collect data and information, they've been

8 collecting this data and information for 20 years now

9 because they want to get this decision right.

There are PCBs in that river as there is in Badin Lake, as there is, by the way, everywhere, these things are ubiquitous. If you remember, in the early 1950's corporations were encouraged to use PCBs, it's a flame retardant. It was considered a safety measure in plants, so everybody started to use them in their operations.

It was in 1977 that the health risks were identified, so companies like Alcoa discontinued immediately.

What we did in Badin was to stop the point source. There were still PCBs in the plant that could find their way into the lake, we stopped that immediately, and that's why we didn't have a continued run-up.

In some of our other operations, we

- were a little slow and had more cleanup to do. But in

  Badin Lake, we were able to do that as a first step,

  and the next step is to do the testing and the analysis
- and the next step is to do the testing and the analysis
  that has to be done.
- I also want to mention that we do
- 6 have what I consider to be a world-renowned health and
- 7 industrial hygiene program in the company. In the
- 8 1990's, we went to Yale University's Medical School an
- 9 said, "Would you be our corporate medical director?"
- 10 within a week they said they would like to do that, and
- they put five -- one full-time and four part-time
- 12 M.D.'s on our health account. We have since had one of
- 13 those M.D.'s move to Stanford University. We call it
- 4 our Stanford-Yale partnership, and they have data and
- information that watches all of these pieces of
- 16 information that you saw in the video. We watch for
- arsenic and hydrogen fluoride,
- 18 polyaromatichydrocarbons. We don't want them, either.
- 19 We have a respiratory program for our employees, we
- don't want them breathing those fumes, that's
- inappropriate, and we know that, and we do conduct the

🔁 tests.

23

24

**2**5

I'm very proud of being at Alcoa, I am probably in my last job in Alcoa, and I've held quite a number of them, including corporate auditor in

- 1 which we conduct environmental audits and health and
- 2 safety audits at all of our locations around the world.
- 3 We check for compliance with the law, respirator-fit
- 4 tests, health and safety programs.
- 5 And now I'm now probably in my last
- 6 job in Alcoa, and I'm very proud to be in this one
- 7 because this is the right one. This is a values job in
- 8 Alcoa and I'm proud to be here.
- 9 Again, Mr. Chairman, Committee, I
- 0 appreciate the opportunity to be here, it's very
- 1 gracious of you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Questions of

13 the Committee? Senator Vaughan?

SENATOR VAUGHAN: It looks like you

conducted a number of studies. In any of your studies,

has there been a connection between the PCBs produced

by Alcoa at Badin Lake and any cancer or any other

18 diseases?

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. O'ROURKE: We have had no

definitive analysis of that.

Again, as I said, if people believe

that that's happening, then they need to raise that

23 issue. And it's been done. Alcoa faces that

periodically, I'd say once or twice a decade we have

people that are around locations where we exist, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

LO.

11

12

13

.4

\_5

.6

17

18

19

20

21

**2**2

23

24

25

MR. O'ROURKE: I -- I don't have that information, no, but I would be very surprised if it's out of the norm of other corporations or

Committee how many worker injury lawsuits you have

settled in the State of North Carolina?

N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10

Page 18

25

lawsuits, as well?

## N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10

```
MR. O'ROURKE: I don't know that, I
 1
 2
     can go back to the office ---
 3
                         SENATOR BERGER: Okay. Will you
 4
     make that information available to this Committee?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: (Certainly.)
 5
                         SENATOR BERGER: One more
 6
 7
     follow-up.
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up.
 8
 9
                         SENATOR BERGER: Now, I understand
10
     when you do settlement ---
                         MR. O'ROURKE: Some of that may be
11
12
     confidential.
                         SENATOR BERGER: Well, that's my
13
14
     next question.
15
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I'm not a lawyer.
16
                         SENATOR BERGER: I understand in an
17
     individual case where you would not want to know how an
18
     individual worker got paid out. But, aside from that,
19
     would you be willing to release all of the attorneys
     that have entered into settlements with your company to
20
21
     disclose the facts and evidence that they gathered in
22
     those cases for public review as long as the actual
23
     amount that any particular worker got remained
     confidential with Alcoa in the interest of openness and
24
25
     full disclosure so that the public can fully see what's
```

- going on here? Would you release all of those 1 attorneys from those? Would Alcoa be willing to 2 3 release all those attorneys from those confidentiality agreements? MR. O'ROURKE: These would be cases 5 that are pertinent to the relicensing issue? 6 SENATOR BERGER: Well, I think the 7 issue for us and I think the thrust of this program is 8 9 if you're going to be entrusted as the protector of 10 this water, then your record and history will have a full bearing on whether you should be able to have that 11 12 responsibility. So, I'm talking about lawsuits, worker injury lawsuits. It's my understanding you all --15 you -- you opened up and the only lawsuit you shared with this Committee was a lawsuit in which you said, 16 "We only had to pay out \$600." Your point was to say, 17 18 "These lawsuits are frivolous." 19 well, why don't you tell people how many lawsuits you've had what the average settlement is 20 21 and let them see what the facts were in those cases as 22 long as the amount that any individual worker **2**3 negotiated is kept secret?
  - MR. O'ROURKE: We will respect the confidentiality and get you that information, the

```
collective information; yes.
 1
                          SENATOR BERGER: Will you release
 2
     the lawyers to be able to disclose the evidence that
 3
     they got against you in their cases for public
 4
     consumption? Are you going to hold them to those
 5
     confidentiality agreements?.
 6
                          MR. O'ROURKE: I won't do that
     today, I can't do that today because I don't know what
 8
     the lawyers have negotiated. I also don't know if
 9
     there is health and safety information or medical
10
     information or other information to be kept
11
     confidential for other reasons.
12
                          CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Okay. I think
.3
     I saw Senator Jones's hand.
4
                          SENATOR JONES: Thank you for
15
     coming, I appreciate your coming today.
16
                          In your three citations that were
17
     issued, would any of those cause health risks to
18
     anyone, the cause of those violations would it cause
<u> 1</u>9
     damage to anyone?
20
                         MR. O'ROURKE: One was an
21
22
     allegation or a citation against an extrusion plant
     that wasn't even in a the Badin Plant.
23
                         Second was you may recall that
24
     there was a contract diver that died around the Falls
25
```

- 1 Dam, I believe. There is always an investigation after
- 2 that and that was the second citation, and along with
- 3 it was a fine of \$6.300.
- The third I'm not aware of, but I
- 5 don't believe it was a health claim. I believe it was
- 6 a worker safety issue.
- 7 SENATOR JONES: All right. Thank
- 8 you. One follow up.
- CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up.
- SENATOR JONES: I know with all my
- testing fish, does it cost a great deal of money to
- 12 test fish to find out how much PCBs are in it? I know
- 13 we've got an issue here about what's in that lake and
- 14 how often and who's going to test these fish. Is it a
- 15 huge cost in that?

24

- 16 MR. O'ROURKE: The whole fish study
- 17 has to be identified of how you're going to do, how
- 18 you're are going to conduct the tests. Sometimes you
- 19 take the fish and you make sure they stay in the same
- 20 region. You conduct some of them that are kept inside
- 21 of a cage for a period of time and then test again in
  - another period of time. You have to locate the areas
- 23 where you're going to do it.
  - We have already spent \$20 million
  - on fish testing and other licensing issues that are

- 1 there, and that's why we have been resistant.
- If the State would come in or the
- 3 Federal Licensing Board would come in and say we need
- 4 these additional tests for these reasons, that would be
- 5 fine. The actual cost for the test is probably not
- 6 that large.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 However, let me make another

8 comment. My understanding is under the law of the

9 State of North Carolina you need a certain number of

fish to be tested and they need to have a certain level

of contaminants that are found in that test.

The current fish advisory that we have on Badin Lake was not based on that number of fish but on less fish. So why would you want to conduct another series of tests if, indeed, we're not going to follow the requirements of how many fish have to have the contaminant levels in them to determine if there is a fish advisory or not? We are reluctant to do that.

SENATOR JONES: All right. And my follow-up to that would be who selects the number of fish to test? I mean, if you want to do it correctly, I guess, and I've got a hundred fish, I want to make sure I've got a hundred in there to do it with. But who ---

MR. O'ROURKE: We deal with the

1 State authorities and they determine. We come up with a program and go back and forth on how many, which 2 3 species, how often, what time, and location. And after all that is approved, then you launch the fish study, 4 which usually takes a period of time. 5 6 **SENATOR JONES:** And my last 7 question. 8 I have never been to Badin, I just 9 know about where it's located. The plant and there, I 10 you said it's going to be closed, it's closed at this 11 time, and that will not be reopening I don't guess. So we have a dam there that was 12 13 built, I think it says, about 50 years ago and was 14 built with the intention of hiring about 900 people, 15 but they only got up to about 370, so I guess someone, 16 I don't know whether it's the state, someone violated 17 part of that somewhere on the intent of the number of 18 jobs that was going to be created by the plant. 19 Now we have a dam there that was 20 built because of the plant, is that correct? 21 MR. O'ROURKE: The dam was built in 22 1917. The plant was built shortly thereafter. The 23 electricity from the dam was used in part for running 24 of the operation, that's correct.

More dams were built, the series of

.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

**2**3

24

25

- four, with the last one being built in 1963 and the licensing starting in about 1958.

  We in Alcoa like to consider our
- 4 power to be a separate business from our operations.
- 5 In fact, we have now about 63 percent of our power is
- 6 hydropower for the smelting operations around the
- 7 world. We operate hydro assets in Iceland, are part
- 8 owner of three dams in Brazil, and really believe in
- 9 clean, renewable energy coming from these dams.

SENATOR JONES: But when you built the dams, did you have in mind the process you are using now for this power to go elsewhere?

MR. O'ROURKE: We have operations elsewhere. It was insinuated in the movie that you can take that power and put it on the grid it, and we can run it down to Mount Holly, South Carolina, and run the smelter there from that power. We have other operations that are around; yes, we can move that power around and, in effect, run our facilities with that power. That's why we have the Energy Department.

CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Vaughan again?

SENATOR VAUGHAN: In your opinion based on all your research, is it safe to drink the water in Badin Lake now?

1	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes, it's same to
2	drink the water in Badin Lake, it's safe to swim in
3	Badin Lake.
4	If I could elaborate? Thank you.
5	The amount of PCBs in Badin Lake
6	right now is lower than the targeted clean level at
7	most lakes and the Great Lakes and the Saint Lawrence
8	River and the Hudson River, it's the targeted cleanup
9	level.
0	If you go to your grocery store,
1	take some fish out of the freezer and have that
2	analyzed, you're going to find PCBs.
3	If you find a polar bear in the
4	polar ice caps and do an autopsy on it, you're going to
5	find PCBs. These things are ubiquitous.
6	At the level in a Badin Lake as has
7	been determined by the State is at a safe level, yes.
8	SENATOR VAUGHAN: And it's safe to
9	work at your plant?
0	MR. O'ROURKE: Indeed, indeed. It
1	might be safer to work at that plant than to drive to
2	work. I believe that.
3	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Okay. Go back
4	to Senator Berger, and then we'll come over here.
5	SENATOR BERGER: You discussed the

- 1 testimony or a quote from the film with regard to
- 2 Dr. Rodgers and his opinion that there was a
- 3 relationship between the PCBs being released by the
- 4 Alcoa plant and the PCBs being found in the fish in
- 5 this lake, I think it's Badin Lake.
- 6 You used the phrase -- you quoted
- 7 this doctor as not agreeing that there was a definitive
- 8 fingerprint. Can you -- can you tell me what evidence
- 9 you think has to exist to be able to show a
- 10 relationship between the PCB, PCBs, being released from
- 11 the company and the PCBs being found in the lake?
- 12 MR. O'ROURKE: There are certain
- 13 congeners that are sort of fingerprints that are inside
- 14 the PCBs that can be conducted in tests. And even in
- his test he said under oath, that certain of those
- 16 congeners did not link directly back to the Badin Lake
- 7 or the Badin plant.

19

20

22

23

24

25

Now we did use PCBs in the plant

and we released PCBs into Badin Lake, it was legal at

the time that we did it. But we did it around the

21 outfall, was the place where they went. And around

there that's where we've done most of our testing to

make sure that that's clean and not moving.

**SENATOR BERGER:** Follow-up?

CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up.

```
1
                          SENATOR BERGER: Is it your
 2
     testimony here today that ultimately in the deposition
     testimony Dr. Rodgers gave that he went through his
 3
     opinion that there was a causal relationship between
 4
 5
     the PCB in the Alcoa Plant and the PCB in the lake? Is
     that what you're saying, or are you just pointing out
 6
 7
     concessions he made a to your lawyer on
     cross-examination?
 8
 9
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I'm pointing out
     statements that he made while he was under oath. The
L0
11
     statements under oath were a lot more qualified than
12
     they were when he was talking not under -- under oath.
L3
                         SENATOR BERGER: Follow up.
                         I mean, I have not read his
15
     deposition, but I will just ask this question.
16
     isn't it true that he still stood by his professional
17
     expert opinion that there was a causal relationship
18
     between the PCBs being released by the Alcoa Plant and
19
     Badin Lake?
20
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I haven't read his
21
     entire testimony, either, I was given these statements.
22
     And I would say if he stuck to his guns that's pretty
23
     inconsistent with these statements.
24
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Okay. Senator
⊉5
    Atwater?
```

1 SENATOR ATWATER: Mr. O'Rourke, I, 2 too, want to thank you for your presence here today. 3 And I -- it piqued my interest when you were speaking about Stanford University and Yale University. I have 4 some experience in another life, administrative 5 6 experience, about occupational health studies with universities and union and corporate people. 8 And I wanted to ask you in those studies that you -- you have requested occupational 9 health assessment studies, environmental health .0 studies, from those universities about your plants? 11 12 MR. O'ROURKE: Definitely. 13 Definitely. SENATOR ATWATER: And in those 15 studies, is the research team comprised of M.D.'s. Ph. 16 D.'s, Ph.D. epidemiologists, M.D. epidemiologists, bio-17 statisticians, environmental scientists, industrial hygienists and so on? 18 19 MR. O'ROURKE: Our staff at Yale 20 includes Dr. Mark Cullen, who runs the environmental 21 and health program at Yale. He has an epidemiologist 22 on his staff, an internist and a statistician. 23 And now we are linking it with 24 Stanford University, and they're bringing their 25 background into that as well.

0.

3

I can give you an example of what
they recommended recently. There's a belief now that
for small particulate matter, smaller than two and a
half microns, pm 2.5, is causing cardiovascular
disease. Not respiratory, cardiovascular. Well, that
would be really significant if that's the case because
we have small particulate in our plants.

So we now have a research study that's been designed by the Ph.D.'s and M.D.'s and epidemiologists and statisticians, but they're sending our industrial hygienists off to plants in the United States to conduct samples. So they're hanging samples [sic] on employees now, taking tests and then feeding that information back to Yale statisticians to be able to look at the information and find out is there a causal effect here or not.

If there is, we better find a better respirator or make sure more people are wearing their respirators, and the dust situation. If there isn't, it would be nice to know that, too, that there isn't causation.

Now, the reason they want us to conduct the study is that our database includes information about people who have treatable diseases like diabetes and hypertension, people who are obese

- and people are smokers, they cause cardio-vascular 1 deceases as well. We can take that database and 2 actually exclude those effects from the pm 2.5 and 3 really isolate that as a cause or not. 4 So this is the kind of Alcoa that I 5 know, this is proactive. We want to find out if our 6 employees are being hurt by breathing something that's inappropriate; and if they are, let's fix that right 8 9 away. SENATOR JONES: One follow-up. 0. CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow-up. 11 12 SENATOR ATWATER: Thank you. Additionally, would you share with 13 us some of the medical occupational exposure studies 14 that they've been able to determine, you know, what's 15 at risk in terms of health versus relative to exposure? 16 MR. O'ROURKE: Sure. I had 17 mentioned our lost workday rate, total reportable rate, 18 19 they are -- the are stellar, but still we want to have zero incidents in our operations. So, we've gone to 20 them and said, "What can we do to lower the incidence even more?" 22 23
  - And they're able to take a lot of our data and information and come back and give us reports.

For example, people with hypertension and diabetes are being hurt about one-third more often than people without at our locations. And they are suggesting that we must not have the environment where these people can take care of their disease the right way and they must get out of balance. So, we've been able to put programs in place to address that. 

They've looked at overturn issues, and we found out that people that work more than twelve and a half hours of overtime a day [sic] or 60 hours a week were getting hurt 25 percent more than the rest of the population. So there's something you can act, too.

So, now to work more than that requires the business union's president's signature that says, "I authorize the overtime, I understand the risk and I authorize the overtime for this period of time."

But that's helpful. They have been able to look at smokers and obesity as well in the workplace and find higher incidents of worker safety and worker health issues based on the condition of our employees. So we've launched wellness programs at 60 percent of our locations, smoke cessation programs at about half of our locations, trying to get these people

### Page 33 N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10 to deal with the cause and the root cause of their 1 2 symptoms and their problems. 3 SENATOR ATWATER: One final? CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator 4 Atwater? 6 SENATOR: One brief follow-up 7 question. Thank you, sir. 8 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Sure. 9 SENATOR ATWATER: Do your studies have a component of looking longitudinally at your 10 11 employees at relative to deaths, researching their 12 death certificates, specific maladies, cancer sites and L3 so on and statistically relating that to the exposure, 4 environmental exposures, they've had in your plant? 15 MR. O'ROURKE: We are doing that now, and the database is only 20 years old, but that's 16 17 almost a generation of workers. And we probably have an elaborate database, which in ten years will probably 18 19 be the best and we'll be able to track morbidity and 20 mortality all the way through the workforce, yes. 21 SENATOR ATWATER: Again, thank you. 22 MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator

Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

SENATOR APODACA: Thank you,

24

225

Apodaca?

```
Mr. Chairman. A quick inquiry of the Chair.
 1
     permissible to ask questions other than on the health
 2
     issues dealing with this?
 3
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Certainly.
                         SENATOR APODACA: Thank you.
 5
                         And I, too, want to thank you for
 6
     showing up today. We're somewhat tired of seeing
 8
     Mr. Neely, it's good to see a new face.
                         My question is how many other
     facilities does Alcoa, and I mean dams, currently own
0.
     in the U.S. or operate in the U.S. that do not have
11
     production facilities tied to them at this time?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: In the United
13
14
     States, we only have the series of dams run along the
15
     -- there's the four that are associated with the
     project, and then there's two on the edge of Tennessee.
16
17
     So that's six that are pretty much close together. And
     that would be it.
18
19
                         SENATOR APODACA: Follow-up
20
     question.
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow-up.
21
22
                         SENATOR APODACA: Yes. On the ones
23
     in Tennessee, where are they in the licensing process
     or are they in the middle of a contract, do you know?
24
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I would have to
25
```

N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10

Page 35

Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

1 markets are here in this country. We are currently 2 looking -- well, we announced the sales agreement for an aluminum window manufacturer that's located in 3 Pennsylvania. That, if it gets all of its approvals, 4 5 will go into our building and construction business in 6 September. We're looking very closely to the 8 U.S. car manufacturers now. They have the new (Cap A) 9 regulations that are going to require them to lightweight of their vehicles. And when they do that, the 10 11 quickest way to do it is to switch to aluminum. 12 are hoping to get aluminum-intensive vehicles in this 13 country. Right now, the biggest aluminum-15 intensive vehicles are the Audi A-8. But we need it on 16 production cars, the Fords and General Motors and 17 Chryslers here. 18 So where the market is that's where 19 we will be. 20 SENATOR APODACA: Follow up? 21 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Certainly. 22 SENATOR APODACA: The last 30 23 facilities Alcoa has built, where were they? 24 MR. O'ROURKE: I would say half are 25 outside of the United States.

## N.C. Senate Judiciary II - 7/6/10

```
SENATOR APODACA: (Indiscernible.)
 1
 2
                          MR. O'ROURKE: Right.
 3
                          SENATOR APODACA: Thank you.
                          CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Queen?
 5
                          SENATOR QUEEN: Yes.
                          I just had a question concerning
 6
 7
     the filming and the work done on this documentary.
     was done at our public TV station by an employee of the
 8
 9
     public TV station and it hasn't really gone forward in
10
     a public way, this is I think the first public showing
     of it.
11
12
                         Was anybody at Alcoa involved in
13
     putting pressure on keeping this documentary from going
     forward in any way?
14
                         MR. O'ROURKE: To my knowledge that
15
6
                    Going forward, I think you would mean --
     answer is no.
17
     by "going forward," if you meant it goes through the
18
     appropriate editorial process, the solicitation of
19
     opinions from other people, the correction of any
20
     distortion or misstatement of facts, such as Alcoa is a
21
     multi-trillion dollar organization, which I wish wee
22
     were, that process I would hope would have been
23
     followed.
24
                         SENATOR QUEEN: Was there any -- I
25
     guess I just dealing in the vernacular here, I'm an
```

Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

```
1
     architect not a lawyer here. But was there efforts on
 2
     behalf of Alcoa in discussions to our public TV
 3
     stations about what sort of documentary they were doing
     and any relationship or support you may have or threats
 4
     of any kind. legally or otherwise, if such and such is
 5
     not done to your satisfaction? Do you know of any such
 6
 7
     discussions?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I know of none.
 8
     know there were discussions that went on and they
 9
     talked about it. But threats, I know of none.
10
                         SENATOR QUEEN: Do you think folks
11
12
     involved could perceive those as threats, those sorts
13
     of discussions that you say you've had?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I don't think I
14
     could put myself in their shoes and perceive that.
15
16
                         SENATOR QUEEN: Okay.
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Allran?
17
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: Thank you,
18
19
     Mr. Chairman.
                         I'll mention this to you, sir,
20
21
     since you are here--maybe we should get this
22
     information some other way--but as I watched the
23
     information about Badin Lake, I just wondered about how
24
     the water in the other lakes in North Carolina
25
     compares.
```

I know that -- I live on Lake 2 Hickory, and we're not exactly known for having the purest water in the world. 3 I know that there was an admission 4 that your arsenic levels were higher, but there was a 5 reason given. And then there was the mention of the 6 7 PCBs. I would be interested to know how 8 the other lakes in North Carolina compare for purposes 9 10 of toxins. MR. O'ROURKE: I would encourage 11 12 you to investigate that question and I think what you will find is that the PCB level and concentration in 13 Badin Lake is equivalent to that of most of the other 14 **1**5 lakes in North Carolina. CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up? 16 SENATOR ALLRAN: I think we need to find that out so that we can get a comparison with 18 19 other lakes, not just talking about one lake. But my other question, and I might 20 be asking the wrong person to ask you this, but since 21 22 the primary purpose of Alcoa is to produce aluminum not 23 to manage hydroelectric, now that you're not producing 24 aluminum anymore on the lake, it seems to me sort of

misplaced to be issuing the relicensure to you since

1 you're not doing the purpose for which it was issued in 2 the first place. MR. O'ROURKE: If you read our 3 corporate charter, it says, "Alcoa is in business to 4 5 make money and a profit for the shareholders, to 6 respect its employees and the communities where we operate." 7 8 We make money in areas outside of 9 aluminum. We also have diverted on periods of our 10 life, 122-year life, we've gone into plastics, we've 11 gone into wiring harnesses for automobiles and trucks, 12 we've gone into other areas. We've now decided that power is a L4 big business and power is a business that we should be 15 in. We've named a new president of our power 16 organization, and he runs those assets on a global basis. So it does fit the broader purpose of Alcoa. 17 8 SENATOR ALLRAN: Another question. 19 Well, like I just said, I figured you weren't the right 20 person to ask that question to. 21 But Duke Power is a utility, 22 Progress Energy is a utility. Are you a utility? 23 MR. O'ROURKE: Alcoa as a corporate **2**4 25 SENATOR ALLRAN: Right.

Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

```
1
                          MR. O'ROURKE: No.
 2
                          SENATOR ALLRAN: Well, well Walmart
     might want to run that also, and so might Ford. I
 3
     mean, there are a lot of corporations that might want
 4
 5
     to run a water company, but they don't get too, only
 6
     utilities do, normally.
 7
                          It was said in the documentary that
     your investment had been recouped through amortization;
     is that true?
 9
                         MR. O'ROURKE: Our investment had
ĹO
11
     been recouped ---
12
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: --- through
     amortization.
                         MR: O'ROURKE: --- through
15
     amortization of the investment?
16
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: Correct. That's
17
     what was said in the documentary. Is that true?
18
                         MR. O'ROURKE: Have we recovered
19
     our investment that we made in the dams along the
20
     river?
21
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: Correct.
22
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I would say over the
23
     long period that we've been there, I would sure hope
24
     so, I sure hope we're still not running at a loss. We
25
     are planning to spend $240 million more on these dams,
```

<u>L2</u>

which will put us in a non profit situation for about a five-year period. But, after that, we hope to be able to make money.

SENATOR ALLRAN: So then if you were not to be relicensed because to a large extent you are an anomaly because you are not a utility and you're anomalous relative to the other people running these hydroelectrics, then it would be true to say that you would not be losing any money because you recouped it and more over the period of time that you have been licensed?

MR. O'ROURKE: I would look at it going forward. I would look at it from here to what is your return on your investment that you're making today. So, today we want to make an investment in those dams, \$240 million. As we mentioned before, we get revenue on electricity from the dams of about \$44 million, and of that we get a profit of about eight. So it's going to take a few years to recoup the investment that we put into the dams.

SENATOR ALLRAN: Why though if you were originally licensed to do the project with those four dams and to manage the hydroelectric -- if the purpose was to produce aluminum and you are not doing that anymore, why should you be satisfied if a

different type of corporation than everybody else who 1 2 might want to do that when normally and regularly 3 hydroelectric is managed by utilities, which are highly regulated on behalf of the people? 5 MR. O'ROURKE: We have been following the regulations on that dam for the 50-year 6 term of the license and since then under the annual 7 8 renewals, and we believe that we have been doing that 9 properly. 0 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Okay. Senator Snow hasn't asked a question, so let me ---11 12 SENATOR SNOW: I was looking in 13 2009 the Department of Health and Human Services in 14 North Carolina ordered a fish consumption advisory for 15 the lake saying the had found elevated levels of PCBs 6 in the water and in smallmouth bass and in catfish. 17 that right? 18 MR. O'ROURKE: I believe there was 19 one fish that had a PCB level that was above the safe 20 limit. 21 SENATOR SNOW: The Department of 22 Health and Human Services, that was what they found 23 though, wasn't it? They did find elevated PCBs in 24 fish?

Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

MR. O'ROURKE: Yes.

SENATOR SNOW: And is it true that 1 2 after that finding that you resisted by appealing any 3 posting of signs warning the public about those findings? 4 5 MR. O'ROURKE: I would say that 6 that is an inaccurate portrayal. What Alcoa did was 7 when the -- when the authorities came to us and said, 8 "we're going to post a fish advisory," our concern was 9 let's make that advisory be accurate, let's make sure 10 that we let the community know exactly what was found, 11 exactly where the advisory ought to be posted, et cetera. We worked with the authorities to come up with 12 13 a common language which we eventually agreed upon. And 14 within I believe it was two weeks after agreement of 15 that language the signs were made, the signs were 16 posted where we agreed they should be posted, and it 17 had the language that we have a common agreement on. 18 So to construe that as us fighting 19 it I think is the opposite of what we actually did, we 20 wanted it to be accurate and complete. 21 **SENATOR SNOW:** But the department 22 did, in fact, put the signs up eventually, is that 23 right? 24 MR. O'ROURKE: And then we agreed

with the wording that was on it and the location of it,

```
1
     yes.
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Jones?
 2
 3
     We going to try to close out.
                         SENATOR JONES: All right. This
 4
     will be my final question. There may be two parts to
 5
 6
     this.
 7
                         But I know that you are an
     intelligent individual. You're not seen that by no
 8
     means that no one at the plant at Badin has ever -- did
 9
     not die from cancer caused by the plant? Is (that)
10
11
     what you're saying?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I don't know that.
12
     I wouldn't say that nobody died from cancer at the
13
     plant. I wouldn't say that the causation between
14
15
     cancer and an individual employee at that plant has
16
     been found. That's what I would say. Correct.
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Berger?
17
                         SENATOR BERGER: Follow-up?
18
19
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up.
20
                         SENATOR BERGER: So, the things
     that generate the aluminum there at the plant would not
21
22
     have caused cancer?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: There are some known
23
24
     carcinogens that are in the process. We've identified
25
     them, we want to know about them, and then we want to
```

12

13

14

.5

**L**6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- protect our employees from them. So we identified the
  employees that work in these areas, we explained to
  them the need to wear respirators, and then we actually
  tested them to make sure they're wearing the
  respirators the right way. And then they have
  continuous monitoring so the medical department can
  determine that they aren't having any symptoms or cases
  or causes, that's correct.
- 9 SENATOR BERGER: This is the last 10 one.

So, therefore, before they put the respirators on, and some of these people 34 years old, some were 50, whatever, about the time the plant got started, could they have contracted anything during that time that might have caused death later?

MR. O'ROURKE: Of course. I had grandparents who worked in steel mills before any of the health and safety regulations were in place; and during those days, God only knows what they were wearing or breathing or how they were protected. When the laws came into place they not only required certain respirators on others, they required monitoring on a regular basis.

So even the employees that had been there for years and years were requested to get into

L6

3

the medical department and get tested to find out if you had this disease or that disease or another disease and if it can be treated.

SENATOR BERGER: I what to get a sense of the level of proof you think has to exist for this Committee to believe there's a causal relationship between exposures to harmful substances and contracting a disease.

Now, I've heard you say. "Well, there has not been a finding that a worker has been exposed to a particular chemical and contracted cancer." I've heard you say there has been nobody -- there has been no definitive fingerprint to show that there is a relationship between exposure to PCBs released by your plant and any cancers.

But I did hear you say, "But we can explain the relationship between arsenic, the high level of arsenic in Stanly County, we can explain that, we can tell you, and it has to do with other substance."

So, can you give us some understanding of how you can know that that is the cause of the high rate of arsenic in Stanly County?

Because I think that would give us a sense of what it

3

- is you believe the level of proof you have. So, tell us what evidence you had to draw that conclusion and put that out there as the explanation for why Stanly County has this much higher rate of arsenic than the general population here in North Carolina.
  - MR. O'ROURKE: I can say that we conduct our water monitoring samples on a regular basis. That sampling gets reviewed by the state and other authorities on a regular basis. If they find that contamination that's in the groundwater or other areas of the plant, that gets reported.
  - And the reason we can't find a direct causation is because people have not been able to find that in those reports.
  - SENATOR BERGER: Follow up? (If nobody needs to ask a question, I'll waive.)
  - Your CEO on this documentary said he had an explanation for why Stanly County has a high rate of arsenic showing up relative to everybody else and he attributed it to some other substance, pyrite. So what is -- tell us how you all drew that conclusion that you can prove that the pyrite is the reason that there is the high rate.
  - MR. O'ROURKE: I don't think he pointed to pyrite as the culprit. He pointed to it as

```
1
     a possible other reason for that being the case.
 2
     did say that we have done the studies and the sampling
     of our groundwater and our emissions at our plant and
 3
     we haven't found it there. And if we haven't found it
 4
 5
     there. it must be somewhere else.
                         MR. ELLIS: I would just have to
 6
 7
     make the clarification, Mr. Chairman, that was not our
     CEO in the video.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Mr. Ellis?
10
                         MR. ELLIS: (Unless I got a real
11
     promotion.)
12
                         The information that I conveyed in
     the video is directly from a geologist who works for
13
14
     the State of North Carolina in a meeting of September,
15
     I think it was, 2007.
16
                          CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Follow up
17
     only.
18
                         SENATOR BERGER: Again, what was it
19
     that made you believe that geologist versus other
20
     witnesses, such as Dr. Rodgers who -- I mean, he was
21
     dealing with PCB. But what was it that made you know
22
     that the geologist was correct as opposed to those that
23
     would argue it's from your plant?
24
                         MR. ELLIS: He's certainly has the
25
     expertise in that area, and my recollection was that
```

## Margaret M. Powell, CVR - (919) 779-0322

CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: How long have

MR. O'ROURKE: I can't answer that

22

23

24

25

question.

they been in existence?

1	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: How many of
2	them are there?
3	MR. O'ROURKE: I can't answer that
4	question, either. I can get that for you, Mr.
5	Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Have the
7	results of testing the groundwater monitoring wells for
8	however long they have existed been provided to the
9	State or otherwise?
0	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes. As required.
1	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: As required?
2	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Two, do you
4	have the epidemiological documents showing a high
5	incidence of kidney and/or bladder cancer in the
6	employees at the Badin Works compared to the population
7	as a whole?
8	MR. O'ROURKE: We do not.
9	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: And are you
0	aware of the testimony in deposition in the washington
1	State case where Mr. Ellis testified that the
2	environmental cleanup cost would be 50 Million as
3	opposed to the 10 million which you identified?
4	MR. O'ROURKE: That was an
5	investment of potential liability for remedial actions

at the plant. If you go to our Annual Report in the 1 2 management summary, you'll see a discussion of our current remediation issues. The largest at that time 3 4 would have been the Messina operation, that is the 5 largest estimate, that still hasn't been decided. 6 The Point Comfort operation, which was a mercury release which has been decided, has been 7 8 cleaned and has gotten a release from the EPA. 9 And a third was Badin, which was estimated at 50 and we've spent 20 on the relicensing, .0 11 ten at the plant. But, again, at that time, it was an 12 estimate. 13 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: But the 20 on relicensing wasn't on environmental cleanup, was it? **1**5 MR. O'ROURKE: Some of it was 16 associated with the testing that was done in the lake, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: So there's a 19 difference in that deposition testimony and the facts 20 just like there may have been an element of a 21 difference in deposition a testimony from Dr. Rodgers 22 and what you consider to be the facts. Is that a fair 23 statement? 24 SENATOR BERGER: There could be a **2**5 factual difference.

```
CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: I'm just saying
1
     your conclusions drawn are little different, are they
2
3
     not, in terms of the relative testimony?
                         MR. O'ROURKE: I think the
4
     statement that was made at the time that there was a
5
     $50 million estimate of cleanup on the remediation
6
     costs at Badin was an accurate forecast of what that
7
     could have been at the time.
8
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Senator Allran,
9
0
     one question.
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: Thank you,
11
12
    Mr. Chairman.
                         Could I ask a question of staff or
13
     pose it to you, Mr. Chairman?
14
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Sure.
15
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: Could we ask the
16
     staff just to go to DENR and get for us the various
17
     levels of these toxins in all the lakes so that we can
18
     compare Badin Lake to the other lakes with regard to
19
20
     the what we've been talking about, PCBs and arsenic,
21
     whatever these things are that ---
22
                         CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: To the extent
2∤3
     they are available, we'll be glad to do that.
                         SENATOR ALLRAN: I would think we
24
25
    would have ---
```

	1	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Our Committee
}	2	staff also staffs the Environment Committee chaired by
	3	Senator Atwater.
1	4	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: We will be happy
	5	to request that information from the Department.
	6	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: Okay. Folks,
	7	Mr. O'Rourke, I will say again thank you so much for
	8	coming. Chuck, thank you for bringing him.
{	9	MR. O'ROURKE: Mr. Chairman, I
1	0.	appreciate the time and the professionalism of you and
1	1	your Committee. Thank you.
1	2	CHAIRMAN HARTSELL: With that, we
1	3	are adjourned.
1	4	(Senate Judiciary II Committee
†	5	Meeting adjourned at approximately 8:08 p.m.)
<del>1</del>	6	
1	7	
<b>1</b> 3	8	
1	9	
20	0	
<b>2</b> :	1	
2:	2	
2:	3	
<b>≱</b> ∠		
2!	5	

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE

## CERTIFICATE

I, Margaret M. Powell, Certified Verbatim
Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the
foregoing excerpt of the question and answer session of the
hearing before The North Carolina Senate Judiciary II
Committee in the matter of The Yadkin River Project was
transcribed by me and that the foregoing pages constitute a
true and accurate record of the audible portions of the
Proceedings taken from an N.C. General Assembly CD labeled
"Judiciary II 7-06-10."

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to nor employed by any of the parties to this action in which this matter was heard; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, and am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

July 8, 2008

/s/Margaret M. Powell

Margaret M. Powell, CVR

Notary Public No. 19970780127