

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

ROY COOPER GOVERNOR

MANDY COHEN, MD, MPH

SECRETARY

October 1, 2017

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Honorable Louis Pate, Chair Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services North Carolina General Assembly Room 311, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603

The Honorable Donny Lambeth, Chair Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services North Carolina General Assembly Room 303, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603

The Honorable Josh Dobson, Chair Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services North Carolina General Assembly Room 301N, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603

Dear Chairmen:

Session Law 2017-57, Section 11F.2.(f), requires the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to submit an initial report to the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services and Fiscal Research Division on each LME/MCO determined to be at risk of failing financially, identifying the reasons for each LME/MCO's vulnerable financial position. This report is due no later than October 1, 2017. Pursuant to the provisions of law, I am pleased to submit the attached report.

Should you have any questions about this report, please contact Jason Vogler, Director for the Division of Mental Health, Development Disabilities and Substance Abuse Services, at Jason.Vogler@dhhs.nc.gov or 919-733-7011.

Sincerely,
Warlet. Bondon

Mandy Cohen, MD, MPH

Secretary

cc:

Jason Vogler

Theresa Matula

Susan Jacobs

reports@ncleg.net

Christen Linke Young

Denise Thomas

Rod Davis

Leah Burns Mark Benton Marjorie Donaldson

Joyce Jones
LT McCrimmon

Susan Perry-Manning

Kolt Ulm

Pam Kilpatrick Ben Popkin Lisa Wilkes

WWW.NCDHHS.GOV

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

ROY COOPER GOVERNOR MANDY COHEN, MD, MPH SECRETARY

October 1, 2017

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division Suite 619, Legislative Office Building Raleigh, NC 27603-5923

Dear Director Trogdon:

Session Law 2017-57, Section 11F.2.(f), requires the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to submit an initial report to the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services and Fiscal Research Division on each LME/MCO determined to be at risk of failing financially, identifying the reasons for each LME/MCO's vulnerable financial position. This report is due no later than October 1, 2017. Pursuant to the provisions of law, I am pleased to submit the attached report.

Should you have any questions about this report, please contact Jason Vogler, Director for the Division of Mental Health, Development Disabilities and Substance Abuse Services, at Jason.Vogler@dhhs.nc.gov or 919-733-7011.

Sincerely, Wark T. Embor

Mandy Cohen, MD, MPH

Secretary

cc:

Jason Vogler Theresa Matula

Susan Jacobs reports@ncleg.net

Christen Linke Young

Denise Thomas Rod Davis

Leah Burns Mark Benton Marjorie Donaldson

Joyce Jones LT McCrimmon Susan Perry-Manning Kolt Ulm Pam Kilpatrick Ben Popkin

Lisa Wilkes

Local Management Entity-Managed Care Organization (LME/MCO) Solvency Standards

Report to Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Health and Human Services and Fiscal Research Division

Session Law 2017-57, Section 11F.2.(f)



October 1, 2017

North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Local Management Entity-Managed Care Organization (LME/MCO) Solvency Standards

October 1, 2017

North Carolina Session Law 2017 – 57, Section 11F.2.(f), sets for the following reporting requirement:

Secretary shall evaluate the financial position of each LME/MCO relative to the solvency standards to be developed... and included in the Strategic Plan for Behavioral Health Services.

Executive Summary

This report describes the changes that the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) proposes to implement to the fiscal structure and reporting process of the Local Management Entities/Managed Care Organizations (LME/MCOs) relative to:

- the Medicaid Risk Reserve;
- the accumulation and use of Fund Balances; and
- the application of a reliable Solvency Standard to quantify the fiscal stability of each LME/MCO.

DHHS Contracts with LME/MCOs

NC DHHS executes contracts with LME/MCOs through the Divisions of Medical Assistance (DMA) and the Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities and Substance Abuse Services (DMHDDSAS). LME/MCOs are funded by DHHS to provide a system for the management of delivering behavioral health services to the citizens of North Carolina. The contract between DMA and the LME/MCOs specifically requires the LME/MCOs to function as a -prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) managing services for Medicaid recipient members in a capitated funding environment.

Medicaid Risk Reserve Fund

The Centers for Medicaid and Medicare (CMS) requires states to establish risk mitigation for PIHPs. DMA determined the establishment of a Medicaid Risk Reserve Fund would be used to accumulate and protect funds equal to 15% of the total managed care contract, to preserve payments to providers in the event an LME/MCO experienced a catastrophic loss or failure. The initial decision by DHHS was to require each LME/MCO to maintain a separate Risk Reserve Fund and the funding required to generate the Risk Reserve has been incorporated into the Per Member Per Month (PMPM) payments to the LME/MCOs. The funds that have been provided to generate the Risk Reserve must be allocated to the Risk Reserve; the LME/MCO may not use this funding for any purpose (unless DMA authorizes use in the event of a catastrophic loss or failure).

As the General Assembly considers changes to the behavioral health care system, it could consider alternatives to the Risk Reserve. For example, one alternative would be to establish a state-level Risk Reserve account and remove the risk reserve funds from the individual LME/MCOs and place the funds in the state account. Under this approach, DHHS would not continue to include the Risk Reserve as part of the PMPM to each LME/MCO reducing the total PMPM paid and, thereby, also reducing the state match dollars necessary to support the PMPM (Appendix A). While the state would have to pay back the

federal share of the Risk Reserve removed from the individual LME/MCOs, the state level Risk Reserve funds would continue to be available for use by DHHS for any catastrophic failure to any part, or all, of the system. In addition, the state match dollars saved through the reduction of the PMPM would be available to support other services.

Accumulation and Use of Fund Balances

In addition to the Risk Reserve, LME/MCOs have additional funds available. These funds are designated as spendable and non-spendable (See Appendix B for additional details). Amounts are designated as non-spendable if they are necessary for specific fixed expenses (ex: property and casualty insurance), or if the LME/MCO intends to use the funds for a specific reinvestment project. The remaining funds are designated as spendable.

LME/MCO should maintain the Spendable Fund Balance at an amount no more than the equivalent of forty-five (45) days of operating expense.

The General Assembly could direct DHHS to establish a process to require the review and approval of the uses of spendable funds in excess of 45 days of operating expenses. Plans for the use of the remainder of the Spendable Fund Balance would be subdivided into the following three (3) categories and submitted annually to DHHS for approval:

- 1. Investments in fixed assets.
- 2. Board restrictions for non-reinvestment items such as buildings, retirement payments, etc.
- 3. Reinvestments to grow and expand direct care services.

When the plan for use of Spendable Fund Balance is approved by DHHS, the funding to implement the plan is considered committed and will be labeled as Non-spendable Fund Balance.

Solvency Standard

DHHS has, and will continue to use, the Defensive Interval as a measure of solvency for LME/MCOs. The Defensive Interval calculation is described below; it accounts for both Spendable and Non-spendable Fund Balances.

Defensive interval: Cash plus current investments divided by the total of operating expense minus non-cash expense (See Appendix C). This calculation is done using all funding sources (Medicaid and non-Medicaid) and the result is a number that represents the number of days that an LME/MCO could continue to pay bills if there was no income. This measure is recognized as an industry standard and translates into a description of LME/MCO financial standing that is relevant for understanding solvency and communicating the significance of maintaining sufficient cash reserves.

DHHS has applied the defensive interval calculation to the seven (7) current LME/MCOs: Alliance, Cardinal, Eastpointe, Partners, Sandhills, Trillium and Vaya. At the time of this report, all of the LME/MCOs have sufficient funds to satisfy the defensive interval solvency standard (Appendix C).

Summary

The LME/MCOs report financial data to DHHS monthly and the reports are reviewed and analyzed to monitor the fiscal performance of each. The financial report for the close of state fiscal year 2016, indicated that all seven (7) LME/MCOs were within the expectations for the financial performance requirements as currently defined.

There are other non-Medicaid funding types and state reserve fund requirements that contribute to the perceived cash reserves of the LME/MCOs (10A NCAC27A.0111; G.S.122C-112,144,146; GS143B-10 and GS159-8(a)). These funds also include county funds, funds appropriated by the General Assembly in response to federal Department of Justice settlements (Transition to Community Living and Children with Complex Needs), and other special categorical appropriations and grants that are included in the total budget.

The design of the LME/MCO function was intended to both stabilize the predictability of spending for Medicaid services and to generate savings that could be reinvested in the development of the service delivery system. As the LME/MCOs have matured in their fiscal performance by demonstrating both stability and savings, and in keeping with the requirements of Session Law 2017-57, Section 11F.2.(f), the General Assembly could consider alternatives related to these funds.

The following appendices provide additional explication:

Appendix A: PMPM Risk Reserve Analysis

Appendix B: Fund Balance and Risk Reserve

Appendix C: Defensive Interval: All Funding Sources

APPENDIX A

PMPM Legislative Financial Impact

SFY 2017 Actual and SFY 2018 Projected

	LME/MCO's																
	Alliance		Cardinal		Eastpointe			Partners		Sandhills		Trillium	Vaya Health			Total	
SFY'17 Member Months - Actual		2,905,757		6,053,819		2,435,995		1,880,873		2,352,821		2,356,481		2,091,697		20,077,443	
SFY'18 Member Months - Projected		2,992,929		6,235,434		2,509,075		1,937,300		2,423,406		2,427,175		2,154,448		20,679,766	
SFY'17 Risk Reserve PMPM	\$	2.87	\$	1.90	\$	2.30	\$	2.83	\$	2.33	\$	2.91	\$	3.09			
SFY'17 Calculated Member Months - Actual	\$	2,905,757	\$	6,053,819	\$	2,435,995	\$	1,880,873	\$	2,352,821	\$	2,356,481	\$	2,091,697	\$	20,077,443	
SFY'17 Risk Reserve Deposits - Actual	\$	8,339,522	\$	11,502,256	\$	5,602,788	\$	5,322,872	\$	5,482,073	\$	6,857,359	\$	6,463,345	\$	49,570,215	
SFY'18 Risk Reserve PMPM	\$	2.91	\$	2.08	\$	2.43	\$	2.94	\$	2.31	\$	2.94	\$	3.23			
SFY'18 Calculated Member Months - Projected	\$	2,992,929	\$	6,235,434	\$	2,509,075	\$	1,937,300	\$	2,423,406	\$	2,427,175	\$	2,154,448	\$	20,679,766	
SFY'18 Calculated Risk Reserve - Projected	\$	8,709,425	\$	12,969,702	\$	6,097,051	\$	5,695,661	\$	5,598,067	\$	7,135,895	\$	6,958,868	\$	53,164,669	
SFY'17 - \$ Impact - Federal FMAP ~ 0.6672	\$	5,564,129	\$	7,674,305	\$	3,738,180	\$	3,551,420	\$	3,657,639	\$	4,575,230	\$	4,312,344	\$	33,073,247	
SFY'17 - \$ Impact - State FMAP ~ 0.3328	\$	2,775,393	\$	3,827,951	\$	1,864,608	\$	1,771,452	\$	1,824,434	\$	2,282,129	\$	2,151,001	\$	16,496,967	
SFY'18 - \$ Impact - Federal FMAP ~ 0.6743	\$	5,872,765	\$	8,745,470	\$	4,111,242	\$	3,840,584	\$	3,774,777	\$	4,811,734	\$	4,692,365	\$	35,848,936	
SFY'18 - \$ Impact - State FMAP ~ 0.3257	\$	2,836,660	\$	4,224,232	\$	1,985,810	\$	1,855,077	\$	1,823,290	\$	2,324,161	\$	2,266,503	\$	17,315,733	
Notes																	
1	All MCO's contribute the required Risk Reserve estimate of 2.0% except Cardinal Innovations, due to legacy counties reaching the required 15% there contribution is 1.6%.											the required					
2	2 SFY'18 Projections based on SFY'17 actual and 3% inflationary factor.																

APPENDIX B

Fund Balance Survey

Fund Balance-by funding source (Commit	tted, Assigned, Una	ssigned)						
June 2017	<u>Alliance</u>	<u>Cardinal</u>	<u>Trillium</u>	<u>Partners</u>	<u>Sandhills</u>	<u>Vaya</u>	<u>Eastpointe</u>	June Totals
Medicaid	\$ 116,167,730	\$ 231,373,962	\$ 70,188,076	\$ 74,493,318	\$ 140,979,528	\$ 88,038,709	\$ 75,370,833	\$ 796,612,156
State/Federal	130,585	26,788,432	(9,099,419)	24,508,562	13,247,062	(17,127,886)	10,223,866	48,671,202
Local	2,860,545	3,582,310	28,880,796	6,302,344	6,360,152	18,641,224	40,061,929	106,689,300
Total	\$ 119,158,860	\$ 261,744,704	\$ 89,969,453	\$ 105,304,224	\$ 160,586,742	\$ 89,552,047	\$ 125,656,628	\$ 951,972,658
YTD Fund Balance								
June 2017	Alliance	Cardinal	Trillium	<u>Partners</u>	Sandhills	Vaya	Eastpointe	June Totals
Committed	59,634,343	2,500,000	32,198,971	78,983,906	45,000,000		-	218,317,220
Assigned	07,001,010	5,028,466	-	-	-	27,768,860	58,368,092	91,165,418
Unassigned	17,116,602	140,378,714	1,940,031	(12,872,398)	72,274,359	14,217,189	32,847,559	265,902,056
Investment in fixed assets	3,233,622	24,716,468	11,147,402	6,220,114	11,289,055	2,927,893	3,468,318	63,002,872
Other - Non Spendable	- 5,255,022	67,552	66,657	1,035,290	476,011	1,877,057	-	3,522,567
Restricted - Statutes and Prepaids	4,665,222	22,143,133	12,784,335	8,352,195	7,080,126	11,415,538	6,362,023	72,802,572
Restricted - Risk Reserve	34,509,071	66,910,371	31,832,057	23,585,117	24,467,191	31,345,510	24,610,636	237,259,953
Total Fund balance	\$ 119,158,860	\$ 261,744,704	\$ 89,969,453	\$ 105,304,224	\$ 160,586,742	\$ 89,552,047	\$ 125,656,628	\$ 951,972,658
Total Tuna same	ψ 115 ,1 20 , 000	V 20137 1 137 0 1	+ 03,303,100	¥ 100,00 1,221	¥ 100,000,7 12	+ 05,002,011	¥ 120,000,020	\$ \$61357 23 666
YTD Fund Balance (Spendable, Non-Spen	dable)							
June 2017	<u>Alliance</u>	<u>Cardinal</u>	<u>Trillium</u>	<u>Partners</u>	<u>Sandhills</u>	<u>Vaya</u>	<u>Eastpointe</u>	June Totals
Spendable (1)	17,116,602	140,378,714	1,940,031	(12,872,398)	72,274,359	14,217,189	32,847,559	265,902,056
Non - Spendable (1)	102,042,258	121,365,990	88,029,422	118,176,622	88,312,383	75,334,858	92,809,069	686,070,602
Total Fund balance	\$ 119,158,860	\$ 261,744,704	\$ 89,969,453	\$ 105,304,224	\$ 160,586,742	\$ 89,552,047	\$ 125,656,628	\$ 951,972,658
					, ,		, ,	
Surplus Spendable Fund Balance								
June 2017	<u>Alliance</u>	<u>Cardinal</u>	<u>Trillium</u>	<u>Partners</u>	<u>Sandhills</u>	<u>Vaya</u>	<u>Eastpointe</u>	June Totals
Spendable ⁽¹⁾		1.40.270.71.4	1,940,031	(12,872,398)	72,274,359	14,217,189	32,847,559	265,902,056
	17,116,602	140,378,714						
1 0 1 \ , ,	67,509,363	104,991,870	59,617,614	40,881,344	42,489,500	58,189,770	42,230,306	415,909,766
Operating Expenses (45 days as of June 201 Surplus								
Surplus	67,509,363	104,991,870	59,617,614	40,881,344	42,489,500	58,189,770	42,230,306	415,909,766
Surplus Risk Reserve - FMAP Rate	67,509,363 \$ (50,392,761)	104,991,870	59,617,614 \$ (57,677,583)	40,881,344	42,489,500	58,189,770	42,230,306	415,909,766
Risk Reserve - FMAP Rate June 2017 Federal (FMAP Rate6672)	67,509,363	104,991,870 \$ 35,386,844	59,617,614	40,881,344 \$ (53,753,742)	42,489,500 \$ 29,784,860	\$ (43,972,581)	42,230,306 \$ (9,382,747)	415,909,766 \$ (150,007,710)
Surplus Risk Reserve - FMAP Rate June 2017	67,509,363 \$ (50,392,761)	104,991,870 \$ 35,386,844 Cardinal	59,617,614 \$ (57,677,583) Trillium	40,881,344 \$ (53,753,742)	42,489,500 \$ 29,784,860 Sandhills	\$ (43,972,581) Vaya	42,230,306 \$ (9,382,747)	415,909,766 \$ (150,007,710) June Totals

Fund Ba	lance Defi	<u>nitions</u>													
Spendak	ole														
• Uı	nassigned	: Fund balance that has	not been reported	in any other class	ification	n.									
Non-spe	ndable														
• Co	ommitted:	Amounts designated for	r use for specific pu	irposes by govern	ment o	or the Board of D	irec	tors (must be des	sigr	nated by someor	e at	the highest leve	l of a	authority).	
• As	signed: A	nounts are also design	ated for specific pu	rposes but authori	ty to as	ssign has been d	lele	gated to a person	wi	th lower level of	autho	ority.			
• ir	nvestment	in fixed assets: Fund	l balance set aside	for investment in f	ixed as	ssets.									
		ble: Amounts that can							ntra	actually required	to be	maintained inta	ct.		
• Re	estricted:	Amounts that cannot be	spent due to credi	tor obligations or d	ue to s	state, federal, or l	loca	l statutes.							
(1) DHHS	has not a	oproved the items in	the spendable an	d non-spendable	categ	ories.									

APPENDIX C

All Funding Sources

