Compromise Process for Resolving ABC Administrative Violations Lacks Policies and Procedures, Rationale, and Transparency, Resulting in Disproportionate Penalties

Sean Hamel, Principal Program Evaluator



Our Charge

- Directed to examine
 - Proportionality of penalties to violations
 - Permittee activities not covered by violations
 - Effectiveness and efficiency
- Agency: Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) Commission
- Team: Sean Hamel, Jacob Ford, Sidney Thomas

Report p. 3



Overview: Findings

- 1. ABC Commission has neither established rationale or policies and procedures for administrative penalty guidelines nor demonstrated sufficient transparency
- 2. Consequently, ABC Commission's compromise process results in disproportionate penalties for identical violations



Overview: Findings

- 3. Decrease in consumption-related violations suggests penalties are generally effective, yet increased repeat offense rate indicates room for improvement
- 4. ABC Commission's procedure for settling administrative violations takes longer and costs more than administrative court; however, the two processes are complementary
- 5. Current law sufficiently covers all areas of permittee activities



Overview: Recommendations

The General Assembly should

- Direct the ABC Commission to create policies and procedures, improve transparency by publicizing guidelines, and consider moving to a throughputbased or sales-based system for penalties
- 2. Improve ABC Commission reporting requirements to include effectiveness measures

