## Project Management Lapses and Planning Failures Delayed Court Technology Improvements December 2008

A presentation to the

Joint Legislative Committee on Information Technology

January 5, 2012

Michelle Beck, Senior Program Evaluator



### Court Technology Evaluation Team

Michelle Beck, Senior Evaluator

Kiernan McGorty, Senior Evaluator Carol H. Ripple, Principal Evaluator Pamela L. Taylor, Statistician Jeremy Wilson, Intern

#### **Evaluation Rationale**

- Approximately \$18.7 million was spent on 6 projects from 2000-01 to 2007-08
- Highly anticipated technology needed by users
- Users expressed concern for delays in projects



### North Carolina Lagged Behind Other States

- Delays in project development meant North Carolina was not staying up-to-date in technology
  - Out of 7 components, North Carolina had partial functionality in 2
  - Once the six projects are in place, they
    will address all 7 components



Report pp. 12-13

### **Court Technology Projects**

2007-08 Systems Systems Under Development in 2007-08 **NCAWARE** Magistrate System **Automated** Criminal Court Information Criminal/Infraction System – Clerk Component System (ACIS) Case Criminal Court Information Management System – District Attorney System (CMS) Component **Discovery Automation** No Current System eFiling ePayment Report p. 5

### **Funding for Projects**

AOC Technology Project	xpenditures 2000-01 to 2007-08	Project Development Began
NCAWARE	\$ 13,002,895	2000
CCIS-Clerk	2,578,268	2005
CCIS-DA	811,451	2006
Discovery Automation	1,609,763	2006
eFiling	545,864	2006
ePayment	134,960	2006
Total Expenditures	\$ 18,683,201	

Report p. 11





## Finding 1: Projects Were Delayed

## Management Practices Created Delays in Project Development

- Delays due to inadequate management of staff resources
  - In-house development created a steep learning curve of software; staff reassignments and turnover halted projects
- Ineffective project planning and management
  - Inadequate and inconsistent planning and budget documentation demonstrated poor planning procedures



# Finding 2: Despite efforts to involve users, many are dissatisfied and frustrated

## Communication with Users Needed Improvement

- Poor communication between the Technology Services Division and users of court technology
- There were some mechanisms for user input, but users found them ineffective

#### Summary of Recommendations

- More involvement of the Judicial Council in technology priority setting that involves stakeholders
- Reporting of technology projects to the Information Technology Oversight Committee
- AOC consult with the State Office of Information Technology Services on future information technology projects

## Report Follow-up as of October 2010

- Project implementation
  - NCAWARE was in 98 counties (was scheduled statewide September 2010)
  - CCIS-Clerks was implemented through iteration 5.1
  - CCIS-DA was implemented in 19 Districts (was scheduled statewide October 2010)
  - Discovery Automation was in 35 Districts
  - eFiling is on hold due to budget cuts
  - ePay implemented statewide in June 2010

Program Evaluation Division Activities and Accomplishments 2008-2010 pg. 10

## Report Follow-up as of October 2010

- AOC reports that user's groups have met regarding development and implementation schedules of projects
- AOC has provided reports and presentations to the General Assembly and the Judicial Council

Program Evaluation Division Activities and Accomplishments 2008-2010 pg. 10

## Report Follow-up as of October 2010

- AOC reports project management processes have changed to include
  - establishing a new Project and Quality Assurance
     Management Office
  - improved project tracking and consistent reporting and
  - certification of staff
- The State Office of Information Technology
   Services has been consulted on several projects.

Program Evaluation Division Activities and Accomplishments 2008-2010 pg. 10

## Project Management Lapses and Planning Failures Delayed Court Technology Improvements

Report Available online www.ncleg.net/PED/Reports/Topics/Judicial.html

Michelle Beck michelleb@ncleg.net

