N.C. Office of Indigent Defense Services

FY11 Reclassification Impact Study

March 2011

Office of Indigent Defense Services 123 West Main Street, Suite 400 Durham, NC 27701 919-354-7200 www.ncids.org



North Carolina Office of Indigent Defense Services FY11 Reclassification Impact Study

Purpose

The North Carolina General Assembly directed the Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) to consult with other court system actors and formulate proposals aimed at reducing future costs, including the possible reclassification of some minor misdemeanor offenses that rarely or never result in jail sentences. See Session Law 2009-451, § 15.17.

The State is currently facing a fiscal crisis and is exploring ways to create a more efficient criminal justice system without compromising public safety. The North Carolina criminal justice system expends significant time and resources on a relatively small number of minor misdemeanor offenses, some of which may be appropriate to reclassify as infractions. This study examined the sentence outcomes and potential cost savings from reclassification of 31 misdemeanor statutes.

		IDS Red	classifica	tion Study Statutes	
# of Charges	Code	Туре	Class	Offense Description	NC General Statute
5,856	5470	Т	2	ALLOW UNLICENSED TO DRIVE	20-34
194,566	5441	Т	2	NO OPERATORS LICENSE	20-7(A)
18,425	5493	Т	2	LICENSE NOT IN POSSESSION	20-7(A)
7,307	5455	Т	2	FAIL COMPLY LIC RESTRICTIONS	20-7(E)
2,152	5469	Т	2	EXPIRED OPERATORS LICENSE	20-7(F)
3,194	6207	М	ranges	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE	113-270.1B(A)
600	6202	М	ranges	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE-NR	113-270.1B(A)
816	6343	М	ranges	RECREATIONAL FISH W/O LICENSE	113-174.1(A)
216,908	5418	Т	1	DWLR	20-28(A)
50,646	2666	М	2	SIMPLE WORTHLESS CHECK	14-107(D)(1)
74	2650	М	2	OBTAIN PROPERTY WORTHLESS CHK	14-106
287,435	5450	Т	2	SPEEDING	20-141(J1)
4,827	5407	Т	2	NO REGISTRATION CARD	20-57(C)
187,320	5461	Т	2	EXPIRED REGISTRATION CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
3,760	5485	Т	2	DR/ALLOW REG PLATE NOT DISPLAY	20-111(1)
25,600	5491	Т	2	DRIVE/ALLOW MV NO REGISTRATION	20-111(1)
48,281	5556	Т	2	FICT/CNCL/REV REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
5,672	5569	Т	2	FAIL TO SIGN REGISTRATION CARD	20-57(C)
7,321	4721	Т	2	CANCL/REVOK/SUSP CERTIF/TAG	20-111(2)
8,820	4722	Т	2	FICT/ALT TITLE/REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
630	5558	Т	2	ALTERED REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
4,275	5538	Т	3	GIVE/LEND/BORROW LIC PLATE	20-111(3)
1477	2649	М	2	FAIL RETN PROP RENTD PUR OPT	14-168.4
1851	2646	М	2	FAIL TO RETURN RENTAL PROPERTY	14-167
79	2302	М	1	MISDEMEANOR CONVERSION	14-168.1
13,016	5421	Т	2	FAIL TO NOTIFY DMV ADDR CHANGE	20-7.1
510	5503	Т	2	REG/TITLE ADDRESS CHANGE VIO	20-67
82,895	5494	Т	1	OPERATE VEH NO INS	20-313(A)
1,714	5489	Т	2	NO LIABILITY INSURANCE	20-309
1,798	5565	Т	1	PERMIT OPERATION VEH NO INS	20-313
18,085	5596	Т	2	WINDOW TINTING VIOL	20-127(D)

In addition to the misdemeanor statutes identified by IDS as potential candidates for reclassification, the study also examined the sentence outcomes and potential cost savings from reclassification of 13 misdemeanor offenses that the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission recommended be reclassified as infractions after reviewing all Class 3 misdemeanors pursuant to Session Law 2010-31, § 19.5.

Introduction

Analyzing criminal justice system data is a challenging endeavor. The North Carolina court system operates on a case-basis. A prosecutor or defense attorney is appointed to a case, which consists of the collection of offenses or charges facing a defendant resulting from a criminal incident. Typically, the offenses involved in a case are investigated, prosecuted, and resolved together as a unit and defendants often plead to groups of offenses together, i.e., a defendant may plead guilty to one offense in exchange for the dismissal of another offense. Thus, to measure workload and quantify the impact of policy changes, court system caseloads or the number of cases processed by a court or individual court system actors is the desired unit of measure. However, the North Carolina Automated Criminal Infraction System (ACIS) was designed as a charge-based data-collection system. It provides a record of charges and charge outcomes and cannot be used as is to measure caseloads. The challenge facing a researcher is to convert ACIS offense data into "case" units that can be used to measure caseloads and quantify policy impacts. For the reclassification study, analyzing court data by "case" units was especially essential for two primary reasons:

- 1. One purpose of the study was to identify misdemeanor statutes that could be reclassified as infractions without negatively impacting public safety. The simplest criteria currently available for evaluating whether a statute might be reclassified as an infraction without negatively impacting public safety is if the statute rarely or never results in imprisonment. But if defendants often plead guilty to one offense in exchange for the dismissal of another offense, then looking at the raw number of offenses that end in a dismissal would be misleading.
- 2. The other primary purpose of the study was to quantify potential cost-savings to indigent defense that would result from reclassifying a statute. Cost-savings would be generated because the state does not need to provide legal representation to a defendant when there is no possibility that jail time could be imposed. The cost of these "avoided cases" would equal cost savings for indigent defense. But not all cases involving a reclassified offense would generate cost savings. For example, if a defense attorney handles a case where the client is charged with 3 offenses and only 1 of those offenses was reclassified, IDS would still need to appoint and pay an attorney to represent the defendant on the other charges. The case would not be "avoided" and cost savings would not be generated.

This study analyzed ACIS offense data by "case" units to account for the factors described above. IDS used the case definition developed by prosecutors, AOC staff, and the National Center of State Courts (NCSC) in the study North Carolina Assistant District Attorney/Victim Witness Legal Assistant Workload Assessment Final Report with one adjustment. The NCSC study defined a case as:

All charges being prosecuted together against a single defendant. For felonies, all charges filed against a defendant within a 21-day period are counted as single filing. For misdemeanors, all charges filed against a defendant within a 24-hour period are counted as a single filing. ¹

IDS research staff grouped offenses in "case" units based on the above definition. A felony case unit included all charges filed against a defendant within a 21-day-window of the felony charge. For all non-felony cases, a case unit included all charges filed against a defendant on the same day. IDS made one enhancement to NCSC's case definition. NCSC's case definition includes probation violation offenses and other similar offenses that are the result of a defendant failing to meet the conditions of a prior sentence within the original felony and misdemeanor case. Since probation violation offenses are essentially new cases involving a new appointment of an attorney and resulting in separate disposition and sentences, IDS research staff defined probation violation and similar offenses as a separate case unit.

Selection of Reclassification Study Statutes

IDS reviewed raw offense data for all misdemeanor statutes disposed in FY08 and identified 17 statutes as potential candidates for reclassification based on three criteria (see Appendix A for a list of the 17 statutes):

- 1. A high number of offenses were charged against defendants
- 2. A large percentage of offenses ended in a dismissal rather than an active or intermediate sentence, and
- 3. The likelihood that reclassification would be received favorably based on other grounds, such as the offense was a victimless crime.

IDS then reviewed North Carolina criminal statutes to identify related statutes that could be candidates for "charge-shifting." The North Carolina criminal code frequently includes multiple statutes that deal with the same subject matter. For example,

14-107(D)(1) Simple Worthless Check14-106 Obtaining Property in Return for Worthless Check, Draft or Order

If only one of the above statutes was reclassified, defendants could be charged with the other offense, which would negate any anticipated cost savings.

Finally, IDS tried to identify charges that were closely associated with the 17 selected statutes. Criminal incidents may involve multiple charges almost by definition. For example, simple possession of marijuana and possession of drug paraphernalia are commonly charged at the same time. Again, if only one of these offenses was reclassified, anticipated cost savings could be negated.

As a result, the study was expanded to include 31 misdemeanor statutes or "Statutes of Interest" as potential candidates for reclassification (See Appendix B for the full list of statutes considered for the study).

¹ National Center of State Courts, Research Division, March 2010, North Carolina Assistant District Attorney/Victim Witness Legal Assistant Workload Assessment Final Report, p. 7.

Key Findings

The reclassification study analyzed offense data from ACIS for offenses disposed in FY09.² The study had two primary purposes: 1) identify misdemeanor statutes that could be reclassified as infractions without negatively impacting public safety, and 2) estimate potential cost-savings to indigent defense from reclassification.

High Volume of Low Level Misdemeanor Cases

The court system is contending with a high volume of minor misdemeanor cases, especially misdemeanor traffic cases. In FY09, the North Carolina court system disposed of 1.498 million cases and over half or 55.2% were cases where the highest charge the defendant faced was either a Class 2 or 3 misdemeanor. Combined, cases that involved at least one of the 31 statutes selected for this study comprised 65.2% of the court system's caseload in FY09 or 977,750 cases. Half or 489,136 were cases where the defendant was charged with only a statute of interest and an additional 20% or 199,873 were cases where the defendant was charged with multiple statutes of interest.

In FY09, 1.03 million individuals or 11% of the state's population had criminal matters before the court.³

Case Outcomes

The simplest criteria available for evaluating whether a statute might be reclassified as an infraction without negatively impacting public safety is if the statute rarely or never results in imprisonment.

The reclassification study looked at case outcomes for all cases where at least one statute of interest was involved. Case outcomes were determined by the most

NC Court System: Number of Cases D By Highest Charge	isposed in F	Y09
		% of All
Highest Charge	No. Cases	Cases
Felony Class A	617	0.04%
Felony - Class Unkown - Free Text, Depends on Chg.	6,817	0.45%
Felony Class A - Violent Habitual Felon	10	0.00%
Felony Class B1	1,190	0.08%
Felony Class B2	440	0.03%
Felony Class C - Habitual Felon	2,666	0.18%
Felony Class C	3,971	0.26%
Felony Class D	5,909	0.39%
Felony Class E	3,205	0.21%
Felony Class F	5,773	0.39%
Felony Class G	7,659	0.51%
Felony Class H	41,176	2.75%
Felony Class I	23,354	1.56%
DWI and DWI Related Offenses	56,006	3.74%
Misdemeanor Class A1	50,217	3.35%
Misdemeanor Class Unknown - Free Text	13,588	0.91%
Traffic Class Unkown - Free Text, Depends on	2,090	
Chg./Def.		0.14%
Misdemeanor Class 1	138,904	9.27%
Traffic Class 1	258,759	17.27%
Misdemeanor Class 2	102,356	6.83%
Traffic Class 2	652,795	43.56%
Misdemeanor Class 3	69,795	4.66%
Traffic Class 3	2,854	0.19%
Infraction	143	0.01%
Felony Probation Violation	13,131	0.88%
Felony Fail to Pay	2	0.00%
Felony Petition to Terminate Sex Offender	31	0.00%
Felony Motion for Appropriate Relief	13	0.00%
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	24,246	1.62%
Misdemeanor Community Service Violation	314	0.02%
Misdemeanor Fail to Pay	704	0.05%
Misdemeanor Show Cause	23	0.00%
Misdemeanor Contempt	6,721	0.45%
Misdemeanor Motion for Appropriate Relief	103	0.01%
Drug Court / Review	31	0.00%
Administrative Procedure - Not an Offense	3,076	0.21%
Total	1,498,689	100.00%
No. Cases with at Least 1 Statute of Interest	977,750	65.2%

² IDS worked with a data extract from ACIS that was provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) Technical Services Division. IDS would like to thank the AOC for their assistance.

5

³ U.S. Census Bureau, State & County Quick Facts, (http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/37000.html)

severe penalty imposed on a defendant and were grouped into the following disposition categories:

- Active Punishment
- Intermediate Punishment
- Probation
- Financial and/or Civil Penalties Only (Court Costs, Fines, Restitution, Driver's License Suspension)
- Prayer for Judgment Continued
- Dismissal with Leave
- Dismissal with No True Bill or No Probable Cause
- Dismissal without Leave
- Never to be Served

The spreadsheet on the following page displays a breakdown by statute of case outcomes for cases disposed in FY09 for defendants with no other pending charges. The study excluded cases where defendants had other pending charges to avoid situations where the defendant pled guilty to the selected statute as part of a plea agreement that included other charges the defendant faced. The study found the majority of selected statutes rarely or never resulted in active, intermediate or probation dispositions. Most often, cases for selected statutes resulted in either a financial penalty or dismissal without leave.

- 12 of the selected statutes resulted in dismissal without leave at least 75% of the time.
- ▶ 21 statutes resulted in dismissal without leave at least 50% of the time.
- For all but 2 statutes, DWLR and Misdemeanor Conversion, the percent of cases that resulted in active time was less than 1%.

Estimated Cost Savings from Reclassification

The study estimated the cost savings to indigent defense from reclassifying selected statutes as infractions. The study looked at all cases disposed in FY09 that included at least one statute of interest and identified the highest charge for each case and whether additional charges were involved. Cases were then categorized by their potential impact on indigent defense costs.

Reclassifying a statute could impact cost savings in one of three ways:

- 1. Cases where defendants were charged with only the statute of interest would definitely generate savings.
- 2. Cases where defendants were charged with the statute of interest plus other statutes of interest could generate savings if all selected statutes were reclassified.
- 3. Cases where defendants were charged with other offenses not selected for reclassification would have no impact and generate no savings.

A detailed breakdown of the cost impact for each statute was compiled (See Appendix C for an example). The spreadsheet on the following page summarizes the impact that reclassifying a statute would have on cost savings for each statute. Please note that the table provides the number of cases disposed by the North Carolina court system in FY09 rather than the number of cases handled by indigent defense services. In fact, IDS paid no attorney fee awards for three of the selected statutes of interest. IDS wanted to provide the court system with caseload data for the selected statutes so that

			IDS Reclassification Study: Case Outcomes for Defendants with No Other Charges for Cases Disposed in FY09 By Most Severe Outcome	ificatio	n Study:	Case Ou	tcomes for	or Defen	dants wi	th No O	ther Chare	les for Ca	ises Disp	osed in FY	9 By Mo	st Sever	e Outcome						
	Note: Shaded statutes indicate statutes the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission has recommended become infractions pursuant to Session Law 2010-31, Section 19.5 Report.		Active	Inter	Intermediate		i i			E C S	Financial and/or Civil Penalties Only (Ct Costs, Fines, Restitution,			Deferred	Dismissal w/		Dismissal with No True Bill or No Probable	_	Dismissal w/o	Never To Be	e e		
		Cases	Punishment	- Fun	Punishment		PR	Propation		0	enspension)	ď	20.	Prosecution	Leave	ve	cause	Fe	Leave	Served		UNKNOWN	
AOC		No. of	No. of	No. of	of of	No. of	% of Cases with	Superv Unsupe	ednsı	Ž	No. of	No. o		No. of	No. of	Jo %	No. of	No. of	°o	o. o.	% of No. of		% of
Code	Offense Description)		0	_	л	ised		Mixed Cas	_		_)			(Cases		_	Ŭ	es
5470	ALLOW UNLICENSED TO DRIVE	3,209	1 0.03%		0.00%	92	2.87%	3	88	0	1,123 35.00%	96 %	2.96%	0.00%	211	6.58%	1 0.03%	1,644	4 51.23%	1 0	0.03%	41	1.28%
5558	ALTERED REG CARD/TAG	20	0 0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	3 15.00%	0 %	%00.0	0 0.00%	2	10.00%	0.00%	15	5 75.00%	0	%00.0	0	0.00%
4721	CANCL/REVOK/SUSP CERTIF/TAG	784	0 0.00%		0.00%	2	0.26%	0	2	0	99 12.63%	9 %	0.64%	0 0.00%	17	2.17%	0 0.00%	% 654		0	0.00%) /	0.89%
5485	DR/ALLOW REG PLATE NOT DISPLAY	552	0 0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	146 26.45%	0 %	0.00%	0 0.00%	18	3.26%	0 0.00%			0	%00.0		2.90%
5491	DRIVE/ALLOW MV NO REGISTRATION	2,227	0 0.00%		0.00%	4	0.18%	0	4	0	452 20.30%	2	0.09%	0 0.00%		4.85%	0.00%			0	%00.0		1.21%
5418		37,395	812 2.17%	128		5,111	13.67%	1,541	3,570		5,008 13.39%	5,1	_	4 0.01%	8,207	21.95%		12,721		11 0		218 (0.58%
2469	EXPIRED OPERATORS LICENSE	752	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	ო	0.40%	0	က	0	318 42.29%	12	1.60%	0 0.00%	78	3.72%	0 0.00%	330	2 51.86%	0	%00.0	-	0.13%
5461	EXPIRED REGISTRATION CARD/TAG	77,854	1 0.00%		0.00%	20	0.03%	2	18		8,890 11.42%	6 %	0.01%	0 0.00%	871	1.12%	1 0.00%	67,727		0		335 (0.43%
5455	FAIL COMPLY LIC RESTRICTIONS	1,405	0.00%		0.00%	11	0.78%	1	10	0	451 32.10%	% 29	2.06%	0 0.00%	44	3.13%	0 0.00%	% 855	5 60.85%	0 0	0.00%	15 ,	1.07%
2649	FAIL RETN PROP RENTD PUR OPT	874				, 57	6.52%	38	19	0	1 0.11%		0.34%	0 0.00%		10.76%	0 0.00%	470		245 28	28.03%		0.11%
5421	FAIL TO NOTIFY DMV ADDR CHANGE	1,342	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	_	0.07%	0	-	0	178 13.26%		0.15%	0 0.00%	88	2.83%	0 0.00%	1,121	1 83.53%	0	0.00%	7	0.15%
2646	FAIL TO RETURN RENTAL PROPERTY	1,058			0.00%	98	8.13%	53	33	0	9 0.85%	12	1.13%	5 0.47%	69	6.52%	0 0.00%	% 513		360 34	34.03%		0.19%
2269	FAIL TO SIGN REGISTRATION CARD	142	0 0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	30 21.13%	% 0	0.00%	0 0.00%	2	3.52%	0 0.00%	106	3 74.65%	0 0	0.00%	1 (0.70%
4722	FICT/ALT TITLE/REG CARD/TAG	1,156	0 0.00%		0 0.00%		0.87%	0	10	0			0.43%	0 0.00%		1.47%	0 0.00%			0	%00.0		%60.0
2226	FICT/CNCL/REV REG CARD/TAG	2,849	3 0.11%		0.00%		0.77%	0	22	0	398 13.97%			0 0.00%		8.49%	0 0.00%			-	0.04%		0.91%
6207	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE	2,388	9 0.38%			(A	1.05%	0	52	0		40			4	17.21%			•		%00.0		0.80%
6202	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE-NR	474					0.21%	0	-	0			1.48%			14.14%					%00.0		2.53%
5538	GIVE/LEND/BORROW LIC PLATE	231	0 0.00%				0.87%	0	7	0	18.6	%	1.30%			4.33%					%00.0		0.43%
5493	LICENSE NOT IN POSSESSION	2,846	_		0.00%		0.04%	0	-	0	` .		0.18%	_	123	4.32%		2,3		0	%00.0	16 (0.56%
2302	MISDEMEANOR CONVERSION	21					23.81%	3	-	-			4.76%			4.76%					4.76%		0.00%
5489	NO LIABILITY INSURANCE	/6					0.00%		0				7.06%			7.06%				4			0.00%
5441	NO OPERATORS LICENSE	73,812				1,28	1.74%		1,242			3,12	4.23%		11,55	15.66%		17,				782	1.06%
2407	NO REGISTRATION CARD	194				0	0.00%	0	0	0	40 20.62%	0 %	0.00%			4.12%	0 0.00%	745			0.00%	1	0.52%
2650	OBTAIN PROPERTY WORTHLESS CHK	7			0.00%		36.36%	3	-	0		0 %	0.00%	0 0.00%	2	18.18%	0 0.00%			_	18.18%	0	0.00%
5494	OPERATE VEH NO INS	9,595	8 0.08%		0.00%	168	1.75%	6	159	0		(1)		0 0.00%	448	4.67%	0 0.00%	8		3	0.03%	24 (0.25%
2265	PERMIT OPERATION VEH NO INS	459	0 0.00%			_	2.61%	0	12	0						7.19%				_	0.22%		0.65%
6343	RECREATIONAL FISH W/O LICENSE	902	1 0.14%			e ·	0.42%	0	က	0	222 31.44%	47	%99.9		1	10.48%		(*)			%00.0	23	3.26%
5503	REG/TITLE ADDRESS CHANGE VIO	17			0.00%		0.00%	0	0	0	23.5					%00.0	0 0.00%						2.88%
2666	SIMPLE WORTHLESS CHECK	22,710		%	1 0.00%	1,139	5.02%	330	807	2 7				53 0.23%		4.92%	0 0.00%		_	8,867 39			0.70%
5450		189,449		%	1 0.00%	498	0.26%	6	489	0 152	80.6	17,777	9.38%		4	7.60%					5,1		2.74%
2596	WINDOW TINTING VIOL	7,587					0.14%		10	0	25.1		0.05%	- 1		1.58%							1.21%
	Total	442,216	1,224 0.28%	130	0 0.03%	8,571	1.94%	2,034	6,534	3 220	220,686 49.90	90% 26,782	%90'9	73 0.02%	28,867	6.53%	16 0.00%	139,340	0 31.51%	9,503	2.15% 7,024		1.59%

		Tota	I Indigen	t Defense E	xpenditu	itures on Statutes of Interest C (Fee Awards Adiusted for Rate Change)	tutes of Intusted for Rate	erest Case:	Total Indigent Defense Expenditures on Statutes of Interest Cases by Reclassification Impact (Fee Awards Adiusted for Rate Change)	sification	mpact				
			Pr	Pravate Appointed Counsel (PAC)	ed Counsel	(PAC)				Public Defender Offices**	der Offices**			PAC & PD	
Charge	Only SOI Off Definite	Only SOI Offense Involved	Multiple {	Multiple SOI Offenses involved - Possible Impact	Non-SOI Off	Offense Involved	5	Total	Definite Impact PD	npact PD	Possible Impact PD	npact PD	Total Estin	Total Estimated PD & PAC Savings	C Savings
Note: Shaded statutes indicate statutes the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission has recommended become infractions pursuant to Session Law 2010-31, Section 19.5 Report.	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	PD Cases as % of PAC	Definite	PD Cases as % of PAC	Possible	Definite	Possible	Total
Allow Unlicensed To Drive	4,268	\$17,531	661	\$8,230	006	\$30,369	5,829	\$56,130	31.4%	\$5,505	27.7%	\$2,280	\$23,036	\$10,509	\$33,545
Altered Registration Card/Tag	38	\$0	261	\$1,906	322	\$6,444	621	\$8,350	%0.0	\$0	100.0%	\$1,906	\$0	\$3,812	\$3,812
Cancel/Revoked/Suspended	1,042	\$938	4,324		1,904	97	7,270	\$19,663	%0:0	\$0	100.0%	\$6,439	\$938	\$12,878	\$13,815
Drive/Allow MV No Registration	3,224	\$1,190	9,591	\$65,885	12,371	\$268,386	25,186	\$335,461	100.0%	\$1,190	%9.69	\$45,856	\$2,380	\$111,742	\$114,122
Drive/Allow Registration Plate Not	754	\$113	1,242		1,714		3,710	\$39,496	120.0%	\$135	39.3%	\$3,480	\$248	\$12,325	\$12,572
DWLR*	4,645	\$1,046,745	2,120	\$483,164	9,827	\$2,989,763	16,592	\$4,519,671	82.4%	\$862,973	%6:06	\$439,078	\$1,909,718	\$922,242	\$2,831,960
Expired Operators License	994	\$150	424	\$806	733	\$2,708	2,151	\$3,664	33.3%	\$20	%0.0	\$0	\$200	\$806	\$1,006
Expired Registration Card/Tag	90,443	93	34,475	\$	61,453	8	186,371	\$450,128	53.3%	\$7,104	74.8%	\$101,591	\$20,423	\$237,431	\$257,854
Fail to Comply with License Restriction	1,914	\$1,920	1,307	\$2,236	3,976	\$43,046	7,197	\$47,202	61.1%	\$1,173	46.2%	\$1,032	\$3,093	\$3,268	\$6,361
Fail To Notify DMV of Address Change	1,703	\$398	4,423	\$7,868	6,793	\$39,968	12,919	\$48,233	83.3%	\$331	35.6%	\$2,801	\$729	\$10,668	\$11,397
Fail To Return Property Rented With Purchase Option	1,310	\$12,629	46	\$488	53	\$5,452	1,409	\$18,569	%8.39	\$8,373	166.7%	\$813	\$21,002	\$1,300	\$22,302
Fail To Return Rental Property	1,638	\$23,200	46	\$713	88	\$8,408	1,772	\$32,320	%0.09	\$13,920	20.0%	\$356	\$37,119	\$1,069	\$38,188
Fail To Sign Registration Card	187	\$0	2,520	\$2,936	2,943	\$12,179	5,650	\$15,115	%0.0	\$0	62.3%	\$1,829	\$0	\$4,766	\$4,766
Fictitious Or Altered Title, Registration Card, Or License Tag	1,837	\$338	4,134	\$16,223	2,716	\$41,052	8,687	\$57,613	142.9%	\$482	98.4%	\$15,964	\$820	\$32,187	\$33,007
Fictitious/Canceled/Revised Registration Card/Tag	4,783	\$5,844	21,114	\$181,080	19,871	\$452,006	45,768	\$638,930	%5'.2%	\$3,945	76.5%	\$138,549	\$9,788	\$319,628	\$329,417
Fishing Without A License	2,695	\$1,865	8	\$0	485	\$2,119	3,188	\$3,984	783.3%	\$14,609	%0.0	\$0	\$16,474	\$0	\$16,474
Fishing Without A License - NR	525	\$0		\$0	89	\$713	265	\$713	100.0%	unknown	%0.0	\$0	unknown	\$0	unknown
Give, Lend, Or Borrow License Plate	423	\$188	1,693	\$4,775	2,128	\$20,386	4,244	\$25,348	100.0%	\$188	250.0%	\$11,938	\$375	\$16,713	\$17,088
License Not in Possession	4,182	\$1,823	4,825	\$9,534	9,321	\$53,634	18,328	\$64,991	83.3%	\$1,519	34.4%	\$3,284	\$3,342	\$12,818	\$16,160
Misdemeanor Conversion	48	\$2,510	_		22	\$3,518	71	\$6,028	72.7%	\$1,825	%0:0	\$0	\$4,335	\$0	\$4,335
No Liability Insurance	167	\$263	633	\$8,734	834	\$48,935	1,634	\$57,931	433.3%	\$1,137	346.4%	\$30,254	\$1,400	\$38,988	\$40,388
No Registration Card	283	\$645	2.051		2.456	\$12,729	4.790	\$21.317	100.0%	\$645	103.6%	\$8.228	\$1,290	\$16,171	\$17.461
Obtain Property With Worthless	27	\$1,046			15	\$2,375	43	\$3,421	%2'99	\$698	%0.0	0\$	\$1,744	0\$	\$1,744
Operate Vehicle No Insurance	15,102	\$22,699	36,130	\$210,568	30,640	\$583,564	81,872	\$816,832	52.9%	\$12,012	29.5%	\$125,321	\$34,711	\$335,889	\$370,600
Permit Operation No Vehicle	693	\$2,004	703		391	\$11,732	1,787	\$17,658	28.6%	\$573	58.3%	\$2,288	\$2,576	\$6,211	\$8,787
Insurance								,							
Recreational Fishing Without A License	771	\$169	က	80	42	\$113	816	\$281	%0:0	<u></u>	%0:0	0\$	\$169	0 \$	\$169
Registration/Title Address Change Violation	24	80	230	\$0	251	\$2,063	505	\$2,063	%0.0	\$0	100.0%	unknown	80	unknown	ипкпомп
Speeding	209,855	\$48,915	33,256	\$138,545	42,823	\$464,882	285,934	\$652,343	10.0%	\$4,906	80.3%	\$83,589	\$53,821	\$222,134	\$275,955
Simple Worthless Check	30,752	\$207,721	209		949		31,910	\$283,895	45.6%	\$94,669	110.0%	\$3,387	\$302,390	\$6,465	\$308,856
Window Tinting Violation	10,182	\$938	3,814		3,985		17,981	\$44,143	187.5%	\$1,758		\$10,594	\$2,695	\$21,310	\$24,006
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic Total	37.766	\$249,140	318	\$4.279	1,722	\$95.791	39.806	\$349.210	12	\$134,093	3	\$4.556	\$383,233	\$8.834	\$392,068
Misdemeanor Traffic Total	7	\$1,280,171	199,555	\$1,3	287,020	\$6	937,944	\$8,943,247	18	\$971,872		\$1,066,683	\$2,252,043	\$2,442,555	\$4,694,597
- 3;	T L	E: -+ -+- / / / /: :: - / / / F - :: -			2	0 11410									ē

* Not All DWLR cases would be reclassified. Waiting on DMV data to identify motor-vehicle-related non-DWI DWLR cases.
** Expenditures on cases handled by Public Defender office was estimated using Private Appointed fee expenditures per statute.

other court system actors, such as prosecutors, judges, clerks, corrections and probation personnel could estimate potential cost savings if these selected statutes were reclassified. Also note that shaded statutes indicate statutes the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission has recommended for reclassification as an infraction pursuant to Session Law 2010-31, § 19.5.

Excluding DWLR cases, the study estimates indigent defense would save approximately \$2.25 million⁴ in attorney fees if all selected statutes were reclassified as infractions. Cost savings drop dramatically if only some selected statutes are reclassified. Excluding DWLR cases, the cost savings generated by a single statute ranged from \$0 (indigent defense did not handle any of these cases) to \$302,390 for simple worthless checks.

DWLR cases have been excluded from cost saving estimates at this time. There are currently more than 70 ways in which a defendant's license can be revoked. These underlying offenses vary widely—from speeding, to DWI, child support contempt, to bomb threats—and consequently will need to be treated differently. IDS's preliminary recommendation is to reclassify as infractions motor vehicle-related DWLR offenses that are not DWI-related. In order to differentiate among DWLRs, IDS needs to know the license revocation event that underlies each DWLR charge in our study. The North Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles is the only source that can provide this data. IDS has requested this data from the DMV and it now appears that the DMV will provide this data to IDS by mid-to-late April 2011. Once IDS has obtained this data, we will analyze it and update the reclassification study with DWLR results.

Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission Selected Statutes

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission was asked to review all Class 3 misdemeanors and recommend that they be reclassified as either an infraction or Class 2 misdemeanor. The Commission asked the Office of Indigent Defense Services to estimate potential cost savings if all misdemeanor Class 3 cases were reclassified as infractions. Seven of the statutes the Commission selected overlapped IDS study selections. IDS also analyzed data for 7 additional statutes that the Commission recommended for reclassification. We selected the Class 3 misdemeanor statutes with highest number of sentencing episodes from the Advisory Commission Report and performed the same analysis. The spreadsheets on the following two pages show case outcomes and potential cost savings for the 14 statutes.

When IDS examined the Commission's recommendations, we made a change to the cost-saving methodology used for IDS's selected statutes of interest. Two statutes involve minor drug use—Possession of Marijuana (Up to ½ Once) and Simple Possession of a Schedule VI Controlled Substance. Because these two offenses are often charged in conjunction with the offense of Possession of Drug Paraphernalia, the potential for charge-shifting, which could negate potential cost savings, was strong. To adjust for the impact Possession of Drug Paraphernalia would have on cost savings, IDS added a fourth impact category—cases that involve Possession of Drug Paraphernalia, which would have no impact and generate no savings.

If all 13 offenses were reclassified, IDS would save approximately \$997,026 in attorney fees and \$1.73 million in attorney fees if Possession of Drug Paraphernalia was reclassified as well.

_

⁴ Total cost savings if all 31 statutes were reclassified was estimated to be \$5.08 million. However, not all DWLR's would be reclassified. If we back out DWLR cost savings (\$2.83 million), \$2.25 million in cost savings remains.

		J	Case O	Case Outcomes for Defendants with N	for Det	endan	ts with I	No Oth	er Chai	rges for	Cases	Dispose	lo Other Charges for Cases Disposed in FY09 by Most Severe Outcome	19 by Mc	ost Se	vere Ou	itcome						
						an	Community Punishment (Probation and/or Financial	nity ent on incial	Financial	a.						Dismiss	Dismissal with						
		Total Cases	Active Punishment		Intermediate Punishment	liate ent	or Civil Penalties)		and/or Civil Penalties Only	Only	PJC	_ F	Deferred Prosecution	Dismi Les	Dismissal w/ Leave		No True Bill or NPC	Dismissal w/o Leave	al w/o	Never to Be Served	to Be	Unknown	Wn
AOC																							
Crim		Š.	Š.	% of	No.	o ot	% .ov	o	No.	yo %	No.	% of No.	. of	Š	% of	Š	% of	Š.	% of	o N	% of	O	% of
Code	Offense Description	Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases Cases Cases	ases C		Cases Ca	Cases Ca	Cases Cas	Cases Ca	Cases Cases	s Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases (Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases	Cases
3401	3401 Possess Drug Paraphernalia	9,586	1,100	1,100 11.48%	.0 68	89 0.93% 2	2,324 24.24%	.24%	20 0	0.21%	256 2.67%		250 2.61%		789 8.23%	-	0.01%	4,490 46.84%	46.84%	8	0.08%	259 2.70%	2.70%
3540	3540 Simple Possess SCH VI CS (M)	2,086	105	2.03%	1	0.05%	565 27.09%	%60	92 4	4.41%	71 3.40%		37 1.77%	180	8.63%	0	%00.0	7 986	47.27%	1	0.05%	48	2.30%
3220	3550 Possess Marijuana Up to 1/2 Oz	13,518	771	2.70%	22 0.16%		3,733 27.62%	.62%	49 0	0.36%	763 5.6	5.64% 77	771 5.70%	934	6.91%	0	%00.0	6,250	46.23%	9	0.04%	219	1.62%
4117	4117 Consume Alc By 19/20	2,874	39	1.36%	1	0.03%	343 11.	11.93%	921 32	32.05%	115 4.0	4.00%	113 3.93%	142	4.94%	0	%00.0	1,104	38.41%	0	%00.0	96	3.34%
4180	4180 Pur MTBY/U-WN By 19/20	16	3	3.30%	0 0	%00.0	6	%68'6	3	3.30%	8 8.7	8.79%	10 10.99%	9	5.49%	0	%00.0	55	57.14%	0	%00.0	1	1.10%
4181	Att Pur MTBY /U-WN by 19/20	32	-	3.13%	0	%00.0	4 12.	12.50%	8	9.38%	2 6.2	6.25%	6 18.75%	%	9.38%	0	%00.0	13 4	40.63%	0	%00.0	0	%00.0
4182	4182 Poss MTBY /U-WN by 19/20	3,128	24	0.77%	3	3 0.10%	245 7.	7.83%	824 26	26.34%	122 3.90%		405 12.95%	157	5.02%	0	%00.0	1,296 41.43%	41.43%	0	0.00%	. 25	1.66%
4195	4195 Intoxicated and Disruptive	3,483	1,123	1,123 32.24%	7 0	7 0.20%	759 21.79%	%62	0	%00.0	130 3.73%		48 1.38%		146 4.19%	_	0.03%	1,127 32.36%	32.36%	0	0.00%	142 4.08%	4.08%
	Total	34,798	34,798 3,166 9.10%	9.10%	123 0	.35% 7	123 0.35% 7,982 22.9	94% 1,912	912	5.49% 1,	467 4.	5.49% 1,467 4.22% 1,640	0 4.719	4.71% 2,356 6.77%	6.77%		0.01%	2 0.01% 15,318 44.02%	44.02%	15	15 0.04%	817 2.35%	2.35%

3 of 4

indigent Defense Expenditures on Selected Statutes that the Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission Has Recommended Be Reclassified as Infractions Pursuant to Session Law 2010-31 (Fee Awards Adjusted for Rate Change)

\$1,006 \$17,088 \$16,160 \$11,397 \$16,474 \$37,207 \$1,669 \$133,315 \$26,194 \$197,54 \$1,684,048 \$53,68 \$740,704 \$1,737,72 \$1,279,51 Total Estimated PD & PAC Savings \$736,298 \$4,106 \$225 \$7,320 \$606,167 \$118,480 \$4,405 Paraphernalia Drug PAC & PD \$16,713 \$12,818 \$9,262 \$30,343 \$806 \$10,668 \$71,274 \$11,470 \$10.644 Possible \$10,644 \$163,353 \$3,342 \$95,652 \$38,632 Definte \$22,159 \$12,826 \$602,070 \$1,444 \$169 \$67,590 \$823,029 \$16,474 \$784,397 \$271,642 \$800 No Impact \$800 \$4,505 \$1,866 \$36,544 \$272,443 Possession Drug Paraphernalia %9.09 22.2% 160.0% 83.3% %0.0 44.6% unknown as % of unknown PAC \$3,284 \$16,353 \$2,665 \$4,169 \$76,432 \$3,153 \$0 Possible Impact Possible \$2,801 \$11,938 \$35,222 \$79,585 **Public Defender** 8 % of PAC 42.1% 35.6% %0.0 250.0% 97.7% %0.0 57.1% Cases as 116.9% 34.4% 40.4% 300.0% \$417,542 \$188 \$619 \$1,519 \$331 \$14,609 \$44,242 \$50 \$343,893 \$ \$26,701 \$22,505 Definite unknown \$440,047 Definite Impact 783.3% 83.3% 55.4% 33.3% 83.3% 100.0% 100.0% 86.1% 0.0% 133.2% 75.0% %0.0 as % of \$113 Total PAC \$20,386 \$25,964 \$53,634 \$38,995 \$3,978 \$2,708 \$2,119 \$713 \$2,480 \$7,035 \$39,968 \$11,233 \$195,630 Non-SPAC Offense Involved - No Impact 21,276 286 696 2,128 704 9,321 40 42 260 22,036 260 485 Total FY09 Court Vote: Data based on ACIS data entries associated with a criminal code and does not include criminal offenses with the criminal code 9999 or "Free Text **Total PAC** Involved - No Impact \$3,605 \$2,815 \$2,240 \$81,936 \$3,605 \$225 \$464,656 \$377,439 \$468,267 Possession Drug Fees **Paraphernalia** (Code 3401) Private Appointed Counsel (PAC) 113 12,299 14,774 96 126 2,131 97 14,677 Total FY09 Court Cases \$806 \$7,868 \$9,534 \$6,597 **Total PAC** Offenses Involved -\$13,989 \$7,301 \$7,490 \$36,051 \$94.411 \$86,921 Fees Multiple SPAC Possible Impact 710 1,693 4,825 476 1,543 281 465 Court 447 4,423 14,873 14,408 Cases Total FY09 \$169 FY09 Court Total PAC \$150 \$398 \$1,865 \$1,823 \$51,410 \$12,826 \$40,889 \$825 \$16.127 Only SPAC Offense \$258,178 **Involved - Definite** 525 3,483 4,182 3,128 13,518 2,086 36,505 1,703 6.126 423 77 Cases Total ttempt to Purchase a Malt Beverage at 19 or 20 Years Old Simple Possession of a Schedule VI Controlled Substance ote: Shaded statutes indicate statute was included in the IDS Possession of Malt Beverage at 19 or 20 Years Old Purchcase of Malt Beverage at 19 or 20 Years Old Consumption of Alcohol at 19 or 20 Years Old Recreational Fishing Without A License =ail To Notify DMV of Address Change Possession of Marijuana (Up to 1/2 Ounce) Misdemeanor Non-Traffic Total Give, Lend, Or Borrow License Plate Misdemeanor Traffic Total Fishing Without A License - NR ntoxicated and Disruptive in Public **Expired Operators License** icense Not in Possession Fishing Without A License otal Fiscal Impact Reclassification Study

Conclusion

The data shows that the North Carolina court system is handling a high volume of low level misdemeanor cases and suggests that the North Carolina court system could save significant money and relieve over-burdened courts by reclassifying many minor misdemeanor offenses as infractions. In addition, the fact that approximately 1.03 million individuals or 11% of North Carolina's population had criminal matters before a court in FY09 suggests that North Carolina may be treating too much as criminal.

The study illustrates that offenses are often closely interrelated and costs savings would be maximized if groups of offenses were reclassified at the same time.

Indigent defense could save approximately \$2.25 million in attorney fees if all 31 IDS selected statutes are reclassified as infractions. However, indigent defense costs represent only a small portion of the cost of these cases to the court system. There are additional prosecution, court, jail, corrections, and probation costs.

IDS is waiting to receive data from the North Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles that would allow IDS to identify non-DWI motor-vehicle-related DWLR cases. Once this data is received, IDS will estimate the additional cost savings to indigent defense if non-DWI motor-vehicle-related DWLR offenses are reclassified as infractions. IDS believes these cost savings could be significant.

Appendix A: Original 17 Study Statutes

	Misdemeanor Statu	tes of Interest		
Code	Description	Statute	Type	Class
5470	ALLOW UNLICENSED TO DRIVE	20-34	Т	2
5491	DRIVE/ALLOW MV NO REGISTRATION	20-111(1)	T	2
5418	DWLR (Not Based on DWI)	20-28(A)	T	1
5461	EXPIRED REGISTRATION CARD/TAG	20-111(2)	T	2
5455	FAIL COMPLY LIC RESTRICTIONS	20-7(E)	T	2
2649	FAIL RETN PROP RENTD PUR OPT	14-168.4	M	2
5421	FAIL TO NOTIFY DMV ADDR CHANGE	20-7.1	T	2
5569	FAIL TO SIGN REGISTRATION CARD	20-57(C)	T	2
5556	FICT/CNCL/REV REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)	T	2
6207	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE	113-270.1B(A)	M	2 or 3*
5493	LICENSE NOT IN POSSESSION	20-7(A)	T	2
5441	NO OPERATORS LICENSE	20-7(A)	T	2
5407	NO REGISTRATION CARD	20-57(C)	T	2
5494	OPERATE VEH NO INS	20-313(A)	T	1
2666	SIMPLE WORTHLESS CHECK	14-107(D)(1)	M	2
5450	SPEEDING	20-141(J1)	T	2
5596	WINDOW TINTING VIOL	20-127(D)	T	2
* Class 3 firs	t offense, class 2 subsequent offenses.			

Appendix B: Statute Investigation

		ı	dentif	cation Study Statute Investigat y Potential Charge Shifting s = Included in IDS Reclassification Stu	
# of Charges	Code	Туре	Class	Offense Description	NC General Statute
5,856	5470	Т	2	ALLOW UNLICENSED TO DRIVE	20-34
56	5409	T	2	ALLOW USE OF LICENSE OR PERMIT	20-30(2)
346	5410	Т	2	ALLOW UNLICENSE MINOR TO DRIVE	20-32
	•		. .		
194,566	5441	T	2	NO OPERATORS LICENSE	20-7(A)
18,425 7,307	5493 5455	T T	2	LICENSE NOT IN POSSESSION FAIL COMPLY LIC RESTRICTIONS	20-7(A) 20-7(E)
2,152	5469	T	7 2	EXPIRED OPERATORS LICENSE	20-7(E) 20-7(F)
9,079	5400	T	2	POSS/DISP ALT/FICT/REVD DR LIC	20-30(1)
230	5535	Т	2	DISPLAY ANOTHERS LIC AS OWN	20-30(3)
313	5564	T	1	OBTAIN DR LICENSE BY FRAUD	20-30(5)
151	5434	Т	1	FICTITIOUS DRIVERS LICENSE	20-30(5)
385	5655	Т	2	NO DRIVERS LIC COMM VEHICLE	20-7(A)
3,194	6207	М	??	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE	113-270.1B(A)
600	6207	M	55	FISHING WITHOUT A LICENSE-NR	113-270.1B(A)
816	6343	M	??	RECREATIONAL FISH W/O LICENSE	113-270.1B(A) 113-174.1(A)
105	6217	M	3	HUNT/FISH/TRAP-NO GAME LICENSE	113-270.3
25	6345	М	??	FISH W/O HAVE LIC/ASSIGN/ENDOR	113-168.1
2	6346	М	??	REFUSE EXHIBIT LIC/ASSGN/ENDOR	113-168.1
25	6347	М	??	REC FISH COM EQUIP WO GEAR LIC	113-173(A)
12	6375	М	??	FISH W/SPECIAL DEVICE W/O LIC	113-272.2
2	6376	М	??	FISH W/SPECIAL DEV W/O LIC-NR	113-272.2
21	6370	М	??	ENGAGE WRC ACT NO LIC/PERMIT	113-274
216,908	5418	Т	1	DWLR	20-28(A)
46	4704	T	1	DWLR AFT IMPAIRED REV NOTICE	20-28(A2)(1)
71	5474	T	1	DWLR PERMANENT	20-28(B)
403	5531	Т	1	AID AND ABET DWLR	20-28
235	5553	Т	1	DWLR VIOL RESTORED LIC	20-17.8(F)
29	5559	Т	1	DWLR VIOL .16 AC LMTD DRV PRV	20-179.3(J)
275	5560	Т	1	DWLR VIOL LIMITED DRIVE PRIV	20-28(A)
0	5635	T	2	DWLR LICENSE NOT RECLAIMED	20-28(A1)
86	5657	T	1	DRIVE CVEH CLIC DISQUALIFIED	20-28(D)
1	5660	Т	??	DRIVE CVEH W/C LIC SUS/REV/DQD	20-37.12
50,646	2666	М	2	SIMPLE WORTHLESS CHECK	14-107(D)(1)
74	2650	М	2	OBTAIN PROPERTY WORTHLESS CHK	14-106
43	2610	М	1	WORTHLESS CHECK NO ACCOUNT	14-107(D)(3)
1,322	2655	М	1	WORTHLESS CHECK CLOSED ACCOUNT	14-107(D)(4)
752	2656	М	1	WORTHLESS CHK 4TH SUB OFFENSE	14-107(D)(1)
207 425	5450	т	2	SPEEDING	20 141(11)
287,435 1,213	5644	T	1	AGGRESSIVE DRIVING	20-141(J1) 20-141.6
1,213	-0.7	Ė			
4,827	5407	Т	2	NO REGISTRATION CARD	20-57(C)
187,320	5461	Т	2	EXPIRED REGISTRATION CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
3,760	5485	Т	2	DR/ALLOW REG PLATE NOT DISPLAY	20-111(1)
25,600		T	2	DRIVE/ALLOW MV NO REGISTRATION	20-111(1)
48,281	5556	T	2	FICT/CNCL/REV REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
5,672 7,321	5569 4721	T T	2 2	FAIL TO SIGN REGISTRATION CARD CANCL/REVOK/SUSP CERTIF/TAG	20-57(C) 20-111(2)
8,820	4721	T	7 2	FICT/ALT TITLE/REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
630	5558	T	7 2	ALTERED REG CARD/TAG	20-111(2)
4,275	5538	Т	3	GIVE/LEND/BORROW LIC PLATE	20-111(3)
123	5536	Т	2	FAIL SURR TITLE/REG CARD/TAG	20-111(4)
			,		
1,477	•	М	2	FAIL RETN PROP RENTD PUR OPT	14-168.4
1,851 79	2646 2302	M M	2 1	FAIL TO RETURN RENTAL PROPERTY MISDEMEANOR CONVERSION	14-167
79	2302	IVI	1	WILD EINEMINOR CONVERSION	14-168.1
13,016	5421	Т	2	FAIL TO NOTIFY DMV ADDR CHANGE	20-7.1
510	5503	т	2	REG/TITLE ADDRESS CHANGE VIO	20-67
82,895	5494	Т	1	OPERATE VEH NO INS	20-313(A)
1,714	5489	Т	2	NO LIABILITY INSURANCE	20-309
1,798	5565	T	1	PERMIT OPERATION VEH NO INS	20-313
					1

Appendix C: Detailed Breakdown of Cost Impact Example

Total PAC Expend			g-Related C usted for Rate		lassific	ation Impact		
	Involved -	Definite	Involved - P	ossible Impact	Involv	ed - No Impact	Т	otal
	FeeAward	AdjPAC	FeeAwa	ardAdjPAC	Fee A	wardAdjPAC	FeeAwa	rdAdjPAC
					Total		Total	
					FY09		FY09	
	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	Total FY09 Court Cases	Total PAC Fees	Court	Total PAC Fees	Court Cases	Total PAC Fees
Felony - Class Unknown - Free Text	Court Cases	1663	Court Cases	Total i Ao rees	42	\$5,370	42	
Felony Class A or B2					1	\$0	1	\$0
Felony Class B1					3	\$0	3	\$0
Felony Class B2					1	\$0		\$0
Felony Class C - Habitual Felon					11	\$5,355		\$5,355
Felony Class C					9	\$1,119		
Felony Class C, E, F					9	\$1,736		\$1,736
Felony Class C or H					2	\$1,230		\$1,230
Felony Class D					16	\$8,175		
Felony Class D, F, G					11	\$5,738	11	\$5,738
Felony Class D, F, G, H					1	\$0	1	\$0
Felony Class D, I					1	\$1,725	1	\$1,725
Felony Class E					14	\$7,201	14	\$7,201
Felony Class F					83	\$29,038	83	\$29,038
Felony Class F to H					1	\$443	1	\$443
Felony Class F, G					24	\$2,063	24	\$2,063
Felony Class G					50	\$4,669	50	\$4,669
Felony Class G, H					4	\$1,658	4	\$1,658
Felony Class H					663	\$102,162	663	\$102,162
Felony Class H, I					2	\$938	2	\$938
Felony Class I					209	\$15,592	209	\$15,592
Felony - Dependent - Charge					3	\$938	3	\$938
DWI and DWI Related Offenses					4,300	\$135,858	4,300	\$135,858
Misdemeanor Class A1					39	\$1,260	39	\$1,260
Misdemeanor Class Unknown - Free Text					49	\$0	49	
Traffic Class Unknown - Free Text					66	\$668	66	
Misdemeanor Class 1					540	\$13,805	540	\$13,805
Traffic Class 1			11,698	\$118,719	4,514	\$59,777	16,212	\$178,495
Misdemeanor Class 1,2					4	\$0		, ,
Misdemeanor Class 2			6	* -	294	\$3,650		+ - ,
Traffic Class 2	209,855	\$48,915	,				263,264	
Total	209,855	\$48,915	33,256	\$138,545	42,823	\$464,882	285,934	\$652,343