September 6, 2007

Rich Gannon DENR/DWQ, Planning Section 1617 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1617

Re:

Jordan Lake Rules Comments

Mr. Gannon:

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed Jordan Lake Watershed rules. The rules as proposed and presented are based on unfounded data that was improperly applied based on underfunded research. The rules, as presented, carry an enormous price tag for implementation with absolutely no guarantee for improvement. The cost of this knee jerk reaction has been put solely on the communities of the watershed. I am disturbed by this, when in the community presentation DWQ staff gave a history of the lake and stated the water using communities were told of this issue before they built the lake. Why then are the water using communities not sharing a burden of the cost? The majority of the developed communities within the watershed have just implemented the Phase II Stormwater requirements. Why are these policies not been given a time to evaluate their effectiveness?

It appears the most important thing that needs to happen is more funding be applied to the research and development of an accurate model of the lake so that a proper set of rules may be determined after Phase II requirements are given time to work.

The current set of rules is unacceptable.

Sincerely,

Todd Lambert

1237 Hanford Hills Road

Graham, NC 27253

research and discussion, ment carry main are great for a to have a transmitted spore as of nates may be determined after Phase II requirements are great firms to wark

A COLUMN TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER

SKG" AALIVERED BUT LUCTURES DR.