

Department of Transportation

Performance Audit Cash Spending Plan April 2020

Beth A. Wood, CPA,
North Carolina State Auditor



- **✓** Audit Objectives:
 - ✓ Confirm Amount DOT Exceeded Plan
 - ✓ Identify the Causes of Overspending



- ✓ Audit Scope: (Session law 2019-251)
 - ✓ Budget Adherence by Department, Division, Highway Division
 - √ Timeliness of Federal Reimbursement Requests
 - ✓ Timeliness of Response to Federal Inquiries
 - ✓ Controls & Oversight Related to Cash Management, Project Coordination & Delivery, Budget Adherence
 - ✓ Effectiveness of Communication & Coordination
 - ✓ Effectiveness of Cash Management for SFY 2019





	IN	MILLIONS	
FUND	CERTIFIED BUDGET	SPENDING PLAN	
HIGHWAY FUND	\$3,593	\$3,593	
HIGHWAY TRUST FUND	\$1,540	\$1,540	
INTENTIONAL SPEND DOWN OF CASH	-	\$670	
AMOUNT PLANNED FROM BOND PROCEEDS	-	\$126	
T NOOLLOO		<u>Ψ120</u>	
Total:	\$5,133	\$5,929	



✓ Confirmed Exceeded "Spending Plan" by \$742M

		In Millions		
Expenditure Category	Spending Plan	Actual Spending	Difference	% Difference
Construction	\$3,310	\$3,434	\$124	3.7%
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,596	\$2,174	\$578	36.2%
Other Modes	\$364	\$438	\$74	20.3%
Other	\$671	\$637	\$(34)	(5)%
Total	\$5,941*	\$6,683	\$742	12.5%

^{* \$5.1}B Certified Budget + 670 M Planned Cash Spend Down + \$126M Planned Bond Proceeds 5



- ✓ FINDING DOT Exceeded Spending Plan Due to:
- ✓ Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY
- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Monitor Compliance w/ Plan
- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did not Enforce Compliance w/ Plan
- ✓ 2 MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION



- ✓ Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY
 - ✓ Preliminary Engineering \$194 M Overage
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Not Based on Cost Estimates of Specific Projects or Operations
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Based on Prior-Year Spending



- ✓ Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY (cont'd)
 - ✓ Operations & Maintenance \$578M Overage
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Not Based on Actual Planned Maintenance Projects for the Year
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Based Seasonal Spending Estimates & 10-Yr. Historical Averages
 - ✓ Disaster Spending \$246 of \$578 Overage
 - ✓ Budgeted \$50M/yr. Since 2015



- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Monitor Compliance w/ Plan
- ✓ Spending Plan Not Monitored w/in 14 Highway Divisions
- ✓ Full Fiscal Year's Allocation Provided Initially
- ✓ 14 Division Engineers Managed Spending However They Chose



- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did not Enforce Compliance w/ Plan
- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Reject a Division's Contracts/Unspent Budget Insufficient
- ✓ No Mid-Year Budget Reductions/Borrow Against Next FY Allocation
- ✓ Borrowing Crossed Multiple Years



GS 143C-6-11(b) Requires:

Transportation Project funds shall be budgeted, expended, and accounted for on a "cash flow" basis. Pursuant to this end, transportation project contracts shall be planned and limited so payments due at any time will not exceed the cash available to pay them.



RECOMMENDATIONS:

- ✓ Spending Plan Should be Based on Cost Estimates of Specific Projects and Operations
- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Should Formally Monitor Each Highway's Spending On a Regular Basis/Adjust
- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Should Take Corrective Actions Whenever Highway Divisions are Overspending
- ✓ Chief Engineer Should Consider Requiring Corrections on Quarterly Basis



- ✓ MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION
- ✓ MFC 1: Oversight Should Be Improved
- ✓ Managing Billions of Dollars w/out External Controls
- ✓ No Approved Budget/Spend Plan
- Spending Not Adequately Monitored
- ✓ WHO?? OSBM? Financial Planning Committee? Other?



- ✓ MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION
- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- ✓ Currently NO Evaluation of Advance Construction Practices
- ✓ No Detailed Report on Use of Advance Construction
- ✓ No Detailed Report on Outstanding Balance



- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- ✓ "Some Information Provided Financial Statement Schedules/STIP Document
- ✓ No Single Document:
 - ✓ Describing Advance Construction
 - ✓ Benefits,
 - ✓ Risks,
 - ✓ Beginning Balance,
 - ✓ Expenditures,
 - ✓ Contract Amounts,
 - ✓ Planned Additions,
 - ✓ Planned Conversions
 - ✓ Ending Balance



- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- ✓ Every State Has Access to Federal-Aid Highway \$-Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- ✓ Annual Limit Per State "Obligation Authority"
- ✓ 2019 North Carolina's Obligation Authority \$1.1B
- ✓ State Must Incur & Pay Expenditures
- ✓ Request Reimbursement
- ✓ State Must Meet Annual Obligation Authority to be Eligible for Unused \$ Redistributed by FWHA



- ✓ 2 Ways to Use Federal Highway Funding
- ✓ Traditional
- ✓ Advance Construction

✓ North Carolina Opted to Use Advance Construction to Finance Projects with Federal-Aid



- √ Federally-Financed Highway Projects
- ✓ Traditionally Financed:
 - ✓ FWHA Approves Project
 - ✓ Obligates Federal Funds (80%) at Start of Contract
 - ✓ Construction Begins & Pay Construction Costs w/ State Funds
 - ✓ Submit Weekly Reimbursement Requests To FHWA



- √ Federally-Financed Highway Projects
- ✓ Advance Construction
 - ✓ Project Approved "Eligible" for Federal Funding
 - ✓ No Obligation of Federal \$
 - ✓ DOT Adds Federal Share of Eligible Costs to Advance Construction Balance
 - ✓ Begins Construction
 - ✓ Pays Construction Costs w/ State Funds
 - ✓ Some Point in Future Converts Advance Construction Project to Federal-Aid Project & FHWA Obligates Funds
 - ✓ DOT Requests Federal Reimbursement



- ✓ Traditionally-Financed vs Advance Construction
- ✓ Traditionally-Financed
 - ✓ Limits Number of Federal-Aid Projects Started Annually
 - ✓ Once FHWA Obligates Funds = State's Obligation Authority State Cannot Start any more Federal-Aid Projects
- ✓ Advance Construction
 - ✓ Does Not Require Obligation of Federal funds
 - ✓ Projects Approved Dollars Not Obligated
 - ✓ Start More Projects Annually "Anticipated" to Be Paid w/ Federal Funds
 - ✓ Approval by FHWA Subject to State's Obligation Authority 20



- ✓ Current Advance Construction Balance \$4.8 B
- **✓** \$4.8 B Ties to Federal Report of Approved Projects
 - ✓ Approved by FWHA, \$ Not Obligated
- ✓ According to DOT Balance Represents:
 - **✓ \$1.3B in Expenditures**

\$706.6M - GARVEE Bonds

\$604.5M - State Funds - convert & seek reimbursement

✓ \$3.5B - ???



- ✓ More Formal Document Including:
 - ✓ Describing Advance Construction
 - **✓** Benefits,
 - ✓ Risks,
 - ✓ Beginning Balance,
 - ✓ Expenditures,
 - ✓ Contract Amounts,
 - ✓ Planned Additions,
 - ✓ Planned Conversions
 - ✓ Ending Balance



- ✓ Advance Construction Recommendations:
- ✓ Consider Requiring DOT to Provide Breakdown of All Projects & Associated Contracts in Advance Construction Detailing:
- ✓ Expenditures Paid w/ State Moneys
 - ✓ Date of Expenditures
 - ✓ Anticipated Date of Reimbursement From FHWA
- √ Expenditures Funded w/ GARVEE Bonds
 - ✓ Breakdown of Outstanding Bonds, i.e., Amount Outstanding, Anticipated Annual Repayments to be Converted, Term End Date
- ✓ All Other Projects w/ Associated Contracts/Commitments



- ✓ Advance Construction Recommendations(cont'd):
- ✓ Have Schedule/Breakdown Audited by Office of State
 Auditor
- ✓ Use the Prepared Breakdown as Starting Point
- ✓ Require the Detailed Information Ongoing

Questions?

