

Department of Transportation

Performance Audit Cash Spending Plan April 2020

Beth A. Wood, CPA, North Carolina State Auditor



Audit Objectives:

Confirm Amount DOT Exceeded Plan

Identify the Causes of Overspending



- ✓ Audit Scope: (Session law 2019-251)
 - ✓ Budget Adherence by Department, Division, Highway Division
 - ✓ Timeliness of Federal Reimbursement Requests
 - ✓ Timeliness of Response to Federal Inquiries
 - Controls & Oversight Related to Cash Management, Project Coordination & Delivery, Budget Adherence
 - ✓ Effectiveness of Communication & Coordination
 - ✓ Effectiveness of Cash Management for SFY 2019



	IN	MILLIONS
FUND	CERTIFIED BUDGET	SPENDING PLAN
HIGHWAY FUND	\$3,593	\$3,593
HIGHWAY TRUST FUND	\$1,540	\$1,540
INTENTIONAL SPEND DOWN OF CASH	-	\$670
AMOUNT PLANNED FROM BOND PROCEEDS	-	<u>\$126</u>
Total:	\$5,133	\$5,929



✓ Confirmed Exceeded "Spending Plan" by \$742M

		In Millions		
Expenditure Category	Spending Plan	Actual Spending	Difference	% Difference
Construction	\$3,310	\$3,434	\$124	3.7%
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,596	\$2,174	\$578	36.2%
Other Modes	\$364	\$438	\$74	20.3%
Other	\$671	\$637	\$(34)	(5)%
Total	\$5,941*	\$6,683	\$742	12.5%

* \$5.1B Certified Budget + 670 M Planned Cash Spend Down + \$126M Planned Bond Proceeds 5



- FINDING DOT Exceeded Spending Plan Due to:
- Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY
- Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Monitor Compliance w/ Plan
- Chief Engineer's Office Did not Enforce Compliance w/ Plan

✓ 2 MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION



- ✓ Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY
 - Preliminary Engineering \$194 M Overage
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Not Based on Cost Estimates of Specific Projects or Operations
 - 2019 Estimated Spending Based on Prior-Year Spending



- ✓ Plan Was Not Based on Cost Estimates of Projects/Operations Schedule for FY (cont'd)
 - ✓ Operations & Maintenance \$578M Overage
 - ✓ 2019 Estimated Spending Not Based on Actual Planned Maintenance Projects for the Year
 - 2019 Estimated Spending Based Seasonal Spending Estimates & 10-Yr. Historical Averages
 - Disaster Spending \$246 of \$578 Overage
 Budgeted \$50M/yr. Since 2015



- ✓ Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Monitor Compliance w/ Plan
- Spending Plan Not Monitored w/in 14 Highway Divisions
- ✓ Full Fiscal Year's Allocation Provided Initially
- ✓ 14 Division Engineers Managed Spending However They Chose



- Chief Engineer's Office Did not Enforce Compliance w/ Plan
- Chief Engineer's Office Did Not Reject a Division's Contracts/Unspent Budget Insufficient
- No Mid-Year Budget Reductions/Borrow Against Next FY Allocation
- Borrowing Crossed Multiple Years



GS 143C-6-11(b) Requires:

Transportation Project funds shall be <u>budgeted</u>, <u>expended</u>, and <u>accounted</u> for on a "cash flow" basis. Pursuant to this end, transportation project contracts shall be <u>planned</u> and <u>limited</u> so payments due at any time <u>will not exceed</u> the cash available to pay them.



RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Spending Plan Should be Based on Cost Estimates of Specific Projects and Operations
- Chief Engineer's Office Should Formally Monitor Each Highway's Spending On a Regular Basis/Adjust
- Chief Engineer's Office Should Take Corrective Actions Whenever Highway Divisions are Overspending
- Chief Engineer Should Consider Requiring Corrections on Quarterly Basis



✓ MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

- ✓ MFC 1: Oversight Should Be Improved
- Managing Billions of Dollars w/out External Controls
- No Approved Budget/Spend Plan
- Spending Not Adequately Monitored
- ✓ WHO?? OSBM? Financial Planning Committee? Other?



✓ MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- Currently NO Evaluation of Advance Construction Practices
- No Detailed Report on Use of Advance Construction
- No Detailed Report on Outstanding Balance



- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- "Some Information Provided Financial Statement Schedules/STIP Document

✓ No Single Document:

- ✓ Describing Advance Construction
- ✓ Benefits,
- ✓ Risks,
- ✓ Beginning Balance,
- ✓ Expenditures,
- ✓ Contract Amounts,
- Planned Additions,
- Planned Conversions
- ✓ Ending Balance



- ✓ MFC 2: \$4.8 B Advance Construction Should be Reported & Monitored
- Every State Has Access to Federal-Aid Highway \$-Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- ✓ Annual Limit Per State "Obligation Authority"
- ✓ 2019 North Carolina's Obligation Authority \$1.1B
- ✓ State Must Incur & Pay Expenditures
- Request Reimbursement
- State Must Meet Annual Obligation Authority to be Eligible for Unused \$ Redistributed by FWHA



✓ 2 Ways to Use Federal Highway Funding

✓ Traditional

Advance Construction

North Carolina Opted to Use Advance Construction to Finance Projects with Federal-Aid



- ✓ Federally-Financed Highway Projects
- Traditionally Financed:
 - ✓ FWHA Approves Project
 - ✓ Obligates Federal Funds (80%) at Start of Contract
 - Construction Begins & Pay Construction Costs w/ State Funds
 - ✓ Submit Weekly Reimbursement Requests To FHWA



- ✓ Federally-Financed Highway Projects
- Advance Construction
 - ✓ Project Approved "Eligible" for Federal Funding
 - ✓ No Obligation of Federal \$
 - DOT Adds Federal Share of Eligible Costs to Advance Construction Balance
 - ✓ Begins Construction
 - ✓ Pays Construction Costs w/ State Funds
 - Some Point in Future Converts Advance Construction
 Project to Federal-Aid Project & FHWA Obligates Funds
 - ✓ DOT Requests Federal Reimbursement



- ✓ Traditionally-Financed vs Advance Construction
- Traditionally-Financed
 - Limits Number of Federal-Aid Projects Started Annually
 - Once FHWA Obligates Funds = State's Obligation Authority State Cannot Start any more Federal-Aid Projects
- Advance Construction
 - ✓ Does Not Require Obligation of Federal funds
 - ✓ Projects Approved Dollars Not Obligated
 - Start More Projects Annually "Anticipated" to Be Paid w/ Federal Funds
 - ✓ Approval by FHWA Subject to State's Obligation Authority 20



- ✓ Current Advance Construction Balance \$4.8 B
- ✓ \$4.8 B Ties to Federal Report of Approved Projects
 - ✓ Approved by FWHA, \$ Not Obligated
- ✓ According to DOT Balance Represents:
 - ✓ \$1.3B in Expenditures
 - \$706.6M GARVEE Bonds
 - \$604.5M State Funds convert & seek reimbursement
 - ✓ \$3.5B ???



- More Formal Document Including:
 - ✓ Describing Advance Construction
 - ✓ Benefits,
 - ✓ Risks,
 - ✓ Beginning Balance,
 - ✓ Expenditures,
 - ✓ Contract Amounts,
 - Planned Additions,
 - Planned Conversions
 - ✓ Ending Balance



- ✓ Advance Construction Recommendations:
- Consider Requiring DOT to Provide Breakdown of All Projects & Associated Contracts in Advance Construction Detailing:
- ✓ Expenditures Paid w/ State Moneys
 - ✓ Date of Expenditures
 - Anticipated Date of Reimbursement From FHWA
- ✓ Expenditures Funded w/ GARVEE Bonds
 - Breakdown of Outstanding Bonds, i.e., Amount Outstanding, Anticipated Annual Repayments to be Converted, Term End Date
- ✓ All Other Projects w/ Associated Contracts/Commitments



- ✓ Advance Construction Recommendations_{(cont'd):}
- Have Schedule/Breakdown Audited by Office of State Auditor
- ✓ Use the Prepared Breakdown as Starting Point
- Require the Detailed Information Ongoing

Questions?

