2001

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

MINUTES

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE
2001-2002 SESSION

MEMBER		ASSISTANT	PHONE	OFFICE	SEAT
MCMAHAN, Ed	Chair	Sharon Cram Committee Assistant	733-5934	1104	54
WRIGHT, Thomas	Chair	Vanda Wilson-Wormack Committee Assistant	733-5754	528	5
ALEXANDER, Martha	Vice-Chair	Ann Faust	733-5807	2208	32
DOCKHAM, Jerry	Vice-Chair	Regina Irwin	733-5822	1106	66
HILL, Dewey	Vice-Chair	Ginny McCann	733-5830	1309	21
THOMPSON, Gregg	Vice-Chair	Edna Sykes	733-5828	1002	38
ADAMS, Alma		Rhonda Towns	733-5902	542	67
BOWIE, Joanne		Sharon Gaudette	733-5877	538	77
BOYD-MCINTYRE, Flossie		Mia Bailey	733-5974	506	18
BUCHANAN, Monroe		Glenda Jones	733-5825	536	30
CLARY, Debbie		Elena Askey	733-5654	1211	110
COX, Leslie		Ferebee Stainback	733-5746	1220	15
CREECH, Billy		Rhonda Todd	715-4466	602	88
CULPEPPER, Bill		Dot Crocker	715-3028	404	36
CUNNINGHAM, Pete		Valerie Rustin	733-5778	541	7
DAUGHTRY, Leo		Bernice Bullard	733-5868	510	42
DAVIS, Don		Ellen Pickett	715-6707	504	99
DECKER, Michael		Linda Hines	733-5988	1021	87
ELLIS, Sam		Alice Falcone	733-5755	607	116
FITCH, Toby		Carolyn Branch	715-2241	1202	27
FOX, Stan		Mary Capps	733-5758	2123	46
GIBSON, Pryor		Shirlyn McPherson	715-3007	419A	108
GILLESPIE, Mitch		Cindy Hobbs	733-5987	1201	111
GOODWIN, Wayne		Kristen Corbett	733-5849	503	81
GRAY, Lyons		Cindy Brooks	733-5820	533	49
HURLEY, Bill		Melissa Riddle	733-5601	2215	23
JARRELL, Mary		Edna Lee Collar	733-5749	2219	22
MCCOMBS, Gene		Suzanne Erskine	733-5881	514	75
MICHAUX, Mickey		Anita Wilder	733-5772	1325	57
MINER, David		Susan Phillips	733-5861	1006	76
MITCHELL, Frank		Susan West	733-5931	513	101
MORRIS, Mia		Joy Feagin	733-5741	1315	65
NESBITT, Martin		Jan Lee	715-3001	420	20
POPE, Art		Barbara Rhodes	733-5809	539	53
RUSSELL, Carolyn		Susan Brothers	715-0873	1213	103
SAUNDERS, Drew		Ruth Fish	733-5606	2217	48
SEXTON, Wayne		Debbie Turner	733-5905	508	90
TOLSON, Joe		Gayle Christian	715-3024	402	59
WALEND, Trudi		Ken Walend	715-3012	418A	113
WARNER, Alex		Ann Stancil	733-5853	1206	11
WARREN, Edith		Nancy Willis	715-3019	417A	17

Committee Counsel:

Karen Cochrane-Brown
Bill Gilkeson
Gerry Cohen

ATTENDANCE

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

2001-2002 SESSION

DATES	3/21 (Joint)	4/3 (Joint)	5/23	11/6	11/13 1:00PM	11/13 6:00P.M.	11/14								
MCMAHAN, Ed CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
WRIGHT, Thomas CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
ALEXANDER, Martha VICE-CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
DOCKHAM, Jerry VICE-CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
HILL, Dewey VICE-CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
THOMPSON, Gregg VICE-CHAIR	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
ADAMS, Alma	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓								
BOWIE, Joanne	✓	✓		✓											
BOYD-MCINTYRE, Flossie		✓	✓			✓	✓								
BUCHANAN, Monroe				✓	✓	✓	✓								
CLARY, Debbie	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓								
COX, Leslie	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓								
CREECH, Billy		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓								
CULPEPPER, Bill	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓								
CUNNINGHAM, Pete				✓	✓	✓	✓								
DAUGHTRY, Leo	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
DAVIS, Don		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
DECKER, Michael		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
ELLIS, Sam	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓								
FITCH, Toby	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓								
FOX, Stan	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓								
GIBSON, Pryor	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓								
GILLESPIE, Mitch	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
GOODWIN, Wayne	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
GRAY, Lyons					✓	✓	✓								
HURLEY, Bill	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
JARRELL, Mary	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
MCCOMBS, Gene	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								

ATTENDANCE

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

2001-2002 SESSION

DATES	Joint 3/21	Joint 4/3	5/23	4/6	1:00 P.M. 11/13	6:00 P.M. 11/13	11/14								
MICHAUX, Mickey	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓								
MINER, David					✓	✓	✓								
MITCHELL, Frank	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
MORRIS, Mia	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
NESBITT, Martin	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
POPE, Art		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
RUSSELL, Carolyn	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓								
SAUNDERS, Drew	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
SEXTON, Wayne	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TOLSON, Joe	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓								
WALEND, Trudi	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
WARNER, Alex	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓								
WARREN, Edith	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓								

NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
2001-2002 SESSION

You are hereby notified that the Committee on **HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: **Wednesday, May 23, 2001**

TIME: **1:00 p.m.**

LOCATION: **Room 643 – Legislative Office Building**

The following bills will be considered (Bill # & Short Title & Bill Sponsor):

ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING

Respectfully,

Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright
Co-chairs

I hereby certify this notice was filed by the committee clerk at the following offices at **4:30 p.m.** on **May 17, 2001**.

___Principal Clerk
___Reading Clerk - House Chamber

Sharon Cram and Vanda Wilson-Wormack (Committee Assistants)

AGENDA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

May 23, 2001
Room 643 LOB
1:00 PM

OPENING REMARKS

Representative Thomas Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

INTRODUCTION OF STAFF

Bill Gilkeson – Staff Attorney
Al Andrews - Staff Attorney

OVERVIEW OF REDISTRICTING PROCESS, PUBLIC HEARINGS, ETC.

Bill Gilkeson – Staff Attorney

AVAILABILITY OF DISTRICT BUILDERS SYSTEMS

Dennis McCarty,
Director of Information Systems Division

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

May 23, 2001

The House Committee on Congressional Redistricting met on Wednesday, May 23, 2001, in Room 643 of the Legislative Office Building at 1:13 p.m. The following members were present: Co-Chairman Ed McMahan, Co-Chairman Thomas Wright, Vice Chairman Martha Alexander, Vice Chairman Jerry Dockham, Vice Chairman Dewey Hill, Vice Chairman Greg Thompson, Representatives Adams, Boyd-McIntyre, Cox, Daughtry, Davis, Decker, Fitch, Fox, Gibson, Gillespie, Goodwin, Hurley, Jarrell, McCombs, Mitchell, Morris, Nesbitt, Pope, Saunders, Sexton, Walend, and Warren.

Co-Chairman Thomas Wright called the meeting to order. A transcript of the meeting is attached.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Ed McMahan
Co-Chairman

Sharon Cram
Committee Assistant

Representative Thomas Wright
Co-Chairman

Vanda Wilson-Wormack
Committee Assistant

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

BEFORE: HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL CO-CHAIRS: Rep. Ed McMahan
Rep. Thomas Wright

COPY

TRANSCRIPT

OF

MEETING

At Raleigh, North Carolina
May 23, 2001 - 1:13 p.m.

Reported by:
Amy L. Poythress, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Amy L. Poythress, being a Certified Verbatim Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the state of North Carolina, was appointed commissioner by consent to take the HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE meeting held on the 23rd day of May, 2001, beginning at 1:13 p.m. in Room 643, Legislative Office Building located at Raleigh, North Carolina.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

REP. WRIGHT: We will call the committee on Congressional Redistricting, the House Committee on Congressional Redistricting to order on this 23rd day of May, 2001. Members of the committee, thank you for being here.

We have a few items -- this is an organizational meeting, so we have a few items on the agenda we would just like to officially get out before you.

Before we get started officially, let me ask the staff to call the role of members.

[WHEREUPON, MS. CRAM CALLS THE ROLE]

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you. And the Co-chairs McMahan and Wright are here.

Members of the committee, Representative McMahan and I felt it would be appropriate to get us together as an organizational meeting -- for an organizational meeting to bring you up to date on what has happened thus far. We have just completed our public hearings a week ago across the state. We had eight of those, with a series of satellite up-links bringing citizens together from across the state.

The public hearings went very well and, for the record, let me say that on behalf of myself and certainly my co-chair we thank the staff for an outstanding job of pulling together that public hearing and making things go so smoothly.

We also thank the citizens of the state who participates, both by satellite and those who took the time to come out publicly to share their thoughts, ideas and proposals with us.

I am now going to ask Representative McMahan to come forward with his remarks and

introduce staff. But before I do, let me acknowledge that my two vice-chairs who are here are Representative Dewey Hill and Representative Martha Alexander, for the record.

REP. MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Representative Wright.

I would like to first introduce the staff members that are working with us on the committee. We have Sharon Cram, of course, as our committee assistant; Vanda Wilson-Womack as a committee assistant -- there's Vanda; and we have Kristen Crosen (phonetic), and Jerry Cohen, of course, who will be working with us. I am not sure if Jerry is here today or not. And of course, Al Andrews is here with us. So we've got some great staff members -- oh, Jerry. Oh, yeah, there's Jerry.

Glad you're here with us, Jerry.

And I also want to recognize the co-chairs from our side is Jerry Dockham and Greg Thompson that will be working with us.

I would like to start off by just

making a few comments about what this is all about. As you well know, this is the fifth time that we've had to redraw this Congressional map since 1990.

Of course, it was done in 1991 as part of the normal census; and 1992, of course, we had to redo it because the Justice Department wanted a second minority district. And then, of course, we had to do it again in 1997 and 1998, as you all know. And then, of course, the Justice Department basically filed a lawsuit -- well, with the Supreme Court to get permission to say that the 1997 plan that we had done that was replaced by the 1998 plan, the 1997 plan was a valid plan and one we could operate under.

I wanted to point out to you, of course, the Congressional districts this time will actually increase in population from 552,336 up to 619,178. And in our process, of course, we are supposed to have in effect zero deviation. On the Legislative side, you know, you can have up to ten percent -- five percent either way. But on our map drawing,

we are supposed to be zero deviation. The map of 1997 and 1998 -- or maybe the 1998 map -- 1997 map was .27 percent was what the deviation was. And, again, it was acceptable but it is something we need to be very careful with.

And then, of course, I would comment, of course, that District 13, as you know, was just -- I mean District 12 was just upheld by the Supreme Court as being a valid district, so, you know, that's something we certainly will take in mind. And we also, of course, now have the 13th District that we will be drawing. And we defended one lawsuit in Utah; there is a second lawsuit that's pending. And, of course, the first one has actually been appealed, but I will let maybe Bill talk a little bit more about that.

I would point out, too, that for your information, you may know this, but District 2 is actually underpopulated and also District 3. So we -- Eva Clayton's district is underpopulated by about 31,000 votes and Walker Jones' by about 3,500 votes. So

that's not a lot when you are really looking at 619,000 as far as the new figure.

The district that's most overpopulated in the 12 districts that we currently have is District 4, which is David Price, and it's actually got 146,698 extra voters, or approximately 24 percent overpopulated.

The traditional principles that we will be using, that have been used in the past and held valid, of course, is that we need to make the districts contiguous, we need to make them as compact as possible, as compact as possible. I would say to you that in 1992 there were 44 counties divided. In our 1997 map, we were able to cut that back to 22 counties; and in our 1998 map we actually were able to cut it back to 11 counties. So it can be done, you know, if we are willing to work together and really let that be one of the main issues.

Of course, the other traditional principle, the preservation of the community of interest. I think as we travel the state, we heard that over and over and over

in the public hearings. You know, let's make sure that we do recognize the communities of interest and try to keep them together as best as possible.

The maintenance of traditional boundaries, we don't want to just redraw districts to be redrawing them. We don't want to move people if we don't have to move people.

And then, of course, the protection of incumbents is very important, but certainly we are all -- it's something we are going to deal with, and I am sure you are all going to hear from incumbents as to why it's so important to protect them. They obviously don't want to be running against each other, but maybe our maps will end up creating a situation like that.

As far as the biggest challenges, I would think that we've got to do -- I hope that we obviously have got to get the bodies, both bodies, the Senate and the House, to do a fair and equitable plan and hopefully be as non-partisan as possible.

The issue is, do we do our own plan and let the Senate do their plan, and then pass them, and then go to a conference; or do we do what we did in 1997 and 1998 and that is try to come up with a plan that's approved by this committee and the House that also would be approved on the other side.

We will really sort of negotiate that on the front end, and that's something we will have to decide.

As far as the location of the new district, my only comment would be that, you know, we realize that currently both sides are controlled by the Democrats. We have heard that, of course, the preference is to put that new district somewhere in the Triangle. It's certainly the fastest growing area in North Carolina. So it makes sense to really look at the Triangle, but, again, I think the question of how it would affect District 2 and 4 will have to be considered and it's going to be interesting to see how that works out.

And then, of course, we've got to make

sure the map is racially fair. We certainly want to leave Number 1 and Number 12, in my opinion, these are my thoughts, as similar as the current plan. And, of course, I do think we want to consider the map that's been submitted that actually shows a third minority district being drawn in the southeastern part of the state. It's one map that's been suggested. I would hope the committee would consider it.

And then, of course, finally, the overall role of politics. I say, you know, some say that's what it's all about. And I will say that the Supreme Court has indicated over and over that partisan gerrymandering is allowed, you know, unless we degrade voters or a group of voters in the process.

So we all recognize that politics will be apart of it. But I hope we can, again, keep it as non-partisan as possible.

I hope that we really will be looking at trying to maintain as close as we can the current status quo and the political preference of our current delegation. I

personally believe it fairly represents the voting preference of our citizens, the districts west of the Triad, which are 5, 6, 8, 9 10 and 11, all those are west of the Triad, I think if you look at the map currently they are very compact. They will have to be adjusted obviously for population changes, but they are very compact and I hope will be districts that we can look as leaving as similar as possible because, again, the voters, we don't want to move people unnecessarily.

I think 1 and 3, I mentioned earlier, Walter Jones and Eva Clayton, those two districts obviously are down on the east coast, and there's probably reasons to leave those as similar as possible. It does leave district 2, 4 7 and, of course, our new 13th district as the districts that we really will probably be trying to focus most of our time on.

As far as the schedule, Representative Wright and I, we have talked a little bit about it. I hope that we can try to get the

maps -- all the maps submitted in the next two weeks, by the middle of June, and then use the last two weeks in June to really start our work and, again, come forth with a map that hopefully everybody can agree on sometime in very early July.

So, Mr. Chairman, those are my thoughts and I appreciate the opportunity to say those.

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And Members, certainly Representative McMahan's opinion is certainly one that I partly share with him regarding the timeline. We have yet to agree and confer on most anything else he talked about here. I want to make that straight for the record.

However, our timeline is expected to take us into June. Hopefully we can come up with a proposal at that time and see if we can agree on it and move it forward. I talked to Senator Miller about it. He is in somewhat agreement with us that maybe we can look at a June timeline for an initial proposal and hopefully get something prepared to go to the Justice Department by early

summer, or July, if you will.

With that, I will now introduce Bill Gilkeson and Al Andrews as our staff attorneys. You know them both. Now I would ask Bill to come forward and give us an overview of the redistricting process and our public hearings, et cetera.

REP. POPE: Mr. Chairman?

REP. WRIGHT: Representative Pope.

REP. POPE: Are we going to have time for discussion or questions from the members?

REP. WRIGHT: You will after the presentation as outlined on the agenda.

Mr. Gilkeson.

MR. GILKESON: Thanks. I am Bill Gilkeson. I am one of the staff attorneys assigned to redistricting, supposed to be coordinating redistricting for the Research Division.

What I was going to -- I was supposed to do some brief overview remarks. Basically what I wanted to talk about was the public hearings, the court cases, preclearance and accessibility to your staff in drawing plans,

and that would lead into Dennis McCarty's talking about accessibility to the District Builder's System itself.

The public hearings, as Representative McMahan said, or Representative Wright, as the case may be, it was -- there were eight public hearings that were conducted at 26 different sites. There were eight hearing held in the host site cities, and those radiated out into -- by videoconferencing to one or two other sites, so that actually North Carolinians could come to 26 different places and participate in these public hearings.

Now, over 200 of them did. We don't have an exact count right now. All the hearings were transcribed -- are being transcribed by a court reporter and that transcription will be available for you at some point as a part of the public record and you can read that.

I would say that, having been to a number of the public hearings, they were -- probably a little more than half of what

people were talking about was Legislative redistricting or redistricting concepts in general. But there were some thoughts that people made specifically about Congressional redistricting and at least one Congressional redistricting plan was presented by the chair of the State Republican Party.

As I said, the transcripts of the hearings will be available and all the submissions, the documents and plans that anybody submitted at the public hearings are things that you can -- that would be available to you.

As far as the court cases go, there are three of them that were important to -- that were looming over redistricting. One of them that is Cromartie versus Hunt. On April 18th, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the 12th Congressional District as drawn in 1997 is not unconstitutional, was not an unconstitutional racial gerrymander because of the involvement of politics rather than race as a predominant factor in drawing that district.

So that district will be the last legal plan -- the 1997 plan will be viewed as the last legal plan in terms of when we present a new plan to the Justice Department. Whatever plan the General Assembly presents this time will be compared with the 1997 plan because it has been upheld.

The other two cases involve the conflict with the state of Utah about the extra Congressional seat. North Carolina was sent, I am told, a document from the U.S. House of Representatives sometime earlier this year with a gold seal on it that said that we get 13 members of Congress.

And we still have the document with the gold seal, but there have been two challenges by the state of Utah to the Census Bureau's way of conducting the census and therefore the apportionment of representatives.

They are referred to Utah One and Utah Two. Utah One challenged the Census Bureau's policy of counting and assigning to states overseas federal employees who were connected with that state, but not assigning to states

overseas Mormon missionaries.

And this case went to a three-judge panel in federal court in the state of Utah and three judges from Utah ruled against that challenge.

So, as I understand it, the procedural status of that is that Utah has not appealed dismissal, I believe is the right term. Utah has not appealed that dismissal to the U.S. Supreme Court. They have until June 18th to do so. And if they do, the U.S. Supreme Court would not be able to hear that until -- that case until it comes back for its fall session.

The other case is called Utah Two in which the state of Utah filed another challenge to the Census Bureau challenging the process of imputation and substitution in conducting the count of houses and population for 2000.

When a household did not return a census form, and the census enumerators who were sent out to try to fill in the gaps when the forms weren't returned couldn't find

anybody at that house, the Census Bureau would impute to that house the characteristics of the houses around it.

So the state of Utah has challenged that. And that has been assigned also to a three-judge panel and, as I understand it, a hearing has been set for August 29th. This is another three-judge panel of Utah federal judges.

But it will not be until August 29th that that case is heard.

If there are more detailed questions about either of these cases, Tiara Smiley with the Attorney General's office, who has been involved in that, is here and she could answer those.

As far as preclearance goes, after the plan -- in 1991 the General Assembly enacted a Congressional plan in the middle of July. And because 40 of the counties in North Carolina are subject to Section V of the Voting Rights Act, any Congressional plan, before it can be put into effect, has to be -- has to receive approval under Section V

of the Voting Rights Act by the U.S. Justice Department in Washington.

The State Board of Elections has the duty to submit the plan to the Justice Department. And the state law gives them 30 days after enactment of that plan to submit it to the Justice Department. And it actually takes awhile to gather all the materials together to submit that.

But then the Justice Department, once they receive that submission have 60 days, according to their rules, to review the plan and come back with an answer.

Sometimes the answer is "We don't have enough information. This is not a complete submission. So please answer the following questions, give us the following additional information and we will have another 60 days to review the completed submission."

At least -- this puts us at least into the fall if the plan is enacted in July. So that is that process.

And, of course, the plan basically needs to be ready for the first Monday in

January of 2001 because that's the beginning of the filing period for candidates to run under the new districts.

Fourth thing I wanted to talk about was accessibility to drawing plans. If you are interested in drawing plans, Al Andrews and I have both been trained on the District Builders System and we can help you draw a plan or you tell us to draw a plan and we will attempt to draw one for you. And if you can't -- if we don't have time, we can find somebody else who can do it. There are lots of other people available, including Jerry Cohen and people on his staff.

If you want to draw plans yourself, if you just want to work on the District Builders System yourself, that, too, is accessible. And I believe Dennis McCarty with the Information Systems Division is going to talk about that in a minute.

That's what I have to say. Thank you.

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you, Bill. We will take questions after we complete the agenda. Dennis McCarty is available to talk about the

District Builders System. Remember, as you may recall in our initial joint meeting between the Senate and the House Committees, we had a display or a demonstration of the District Builders System and how it works. There are two systems available downstairs, from what I understand, on third floor for members' use. And I think Dennis is going to talk about that.

Dennis, as you come forward, please, let me do one housekeeping thing. We have two pages with us today. Maria Villalobos from Wake County sponsored by Representative Miner. Thank you for being here. And then Seth Steele, Guilford County, sponsored by Representative Maggie Jeffers, who is in the back area. Thank you both for being here.

Dennis, you're on.

MR. MCCARTY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have been asked to kind of go over access to the software and to the rooms that we have available to you. So I will try to do that quickly.

Let's start off with first the reason

why do we have it. First of all, we developed our own software this year because of the unavailability of commercial software. Graphical information software such as ours, which we call District Builder, we consider to be very hungry pieces of software. They eat every resource you can throw at it. Therefore, they need a much heavier machine than what most of us have on our desks.

With that in mind, we had to come up with some options. One of the options that we did or looked at was putting in specific areas, high powered work stations that are capable of running this type of software, which is -- there were other options. That was the one that got selected.

With that, in this building, on the second floor there are three member-access rooms. There are three now, that have the PCs in that are required; small format printers which you can print maps on; and then there is also access to the central plotters in the redistricting offices on the second floor. That's our staff office who

can help you using the software.

There is also a public access room on the second floor where the -- for the general public to use, to come in and use bringing their plans, develop plans, take some home. And, again, they are equipped with PCs and printers.

And there's also a press access room for the press, the same setup there, they have access to the central plotter as well.

And then on the third floor in this building there are several House redistricting offices with PCs and printers, and one has a big plotter in it as well.

In the other building, in the Legislative Building, there are some redistricting workrooms for the Senate side and there they have PCs and plotters there as well.

When we designed District Builder we targeted 50 users. Those of you who were here in 1990, I wasn't working in the General Assembly but I was here. Those of you who were here know that there were like

six or seven terminals that somebody would sit and do what you wanted them to do for you. This year, with this software, you can sit down and actually do a lot of it yourself.

That's the intention of it. That way, no one is misinterpreting what you are really asking them to do.

When asked about the complexity of the system versus what is commercially available today, the comment that I made is, that's a pretty good analogy. I like being told I do something right once in a while. The analogy was if you sat down with a commercially available GIS-type systems today, you'd spend a few hours and walk away totally frustrated. If you sit down with District Builder and you spend a couple of hours, you are going to walk away with a couple of three plans. So it's really made easy to use and tailored into this process.

So it's 37 work stations or PCs today with the District Builders software on them. And that's separated out into these rooms that I told you about. On some of the areas

in Research, some of the areas in ISD, and in a couple of the committee rooms. So there's lots of the options available. It looks like we are going to peak out at about 40 users and the system is going to be in need of more resources. So we are trying to not do that.

Quickly, on the availability of these rooms, we are going to be open regular hours support. Right now they are overstaffing it, is Monday through Thursday, 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.; and then on Friday 8:00 to 5:00.

When you want to use one of these rooms, there is a schedule, a calendar that you can get at through the North Carolina General Assembly Intranet site under the "Redistricting" tab. That shows you the availability slots.

Send an e-mail to Kelly West or call Kelly and we will get you onto that.

What we will ask is the first time that you use the system, we'd like to, if possible, get 24-hour notice. There's a lot of background work that has to get done for

security and for setup for your I.D.s and stuff. So we ask if you can do that. Otherwise, keeping the usages at four hours a block so that somebody doesn't schedule it for a full day and then never show up, and making it available to everybody else.

While you are in the member rooms, staff from ISD will be there to show you and help you use the software. We can't advise you on anything other than, "Well, if you push that button, that's going to log you off." We can advise you on that one.

But we can -- all we can do is tell you how the software works, and help you that way.

And that's really about it. Thank you.

REP. WRIGHT: Great. Now, members of the committee, let me remind you of the booklet that you have before you. This is the latest edition. Representative McMahan, if you would, will go through the details of this, if you choose.

REP. MCMAHAN: No, I just wanted to point out it's on the desk for them to use.

REP. WRIGHT: It's for everyone's use. And this is a document that we left with each and every citizen who attended and participated in the public hearing. So this is out there being used. You should use this as a reference and part of your guide as you begin to work through the process.

Now we take questions from members of the committee. Representative Pope, then Representative Mitchell.

REP. POPE: Mr. Chairman, Representative McMahan, in his open remarks, properly referred to traditional principles for districting or redistricting, however you want to say it, referring to the one man, one vote; or percentage deviation for the Legislative; contiguity; and other matters.

For 1991, the Congressional Redistricting Committee did adopt criteria setting forth those redistricting principles. Is it the intent of the chair of this committee that this committee adopt redistricting criteria, and if so, when? What is procedure?

REP. WRIGHT: I think that procedure is

pretty well set forth already and certainly we can review that at our next meeting if you choose to and make necessary adjustments. But as we understand it, or as I understand it, the criteria has already been established and we can proceed on and begin to draw our maps as we see fit.

I wasn't here in 1991 to follow that process as extensively as maybe some other members have. But certainly we can take a look at any process you'd like and discuss that here in the committee. Follow up.

REP. POPE: I don't mean to be argumentative. Where are the criteria set forth? We've had legal briefings, which have been very good, they are covered in the book Legal Issues" We don't have a set criteria which we can guide ourself or hopefully be guided by in drawing the maps.

REP. WRIGHT: Let me get clarification from staff on that. I think that does exist.

Bill, do you want to take a shot at answering that?

MR. GILKESON: Well, I guess you could

say --

REP. WRIGHT: Come to the mike, Bill, for recording purposes.

MR. GILKESON: Nothing has been specifically adopted as such, but, I mean, I guess one thing that could be said is that one criteria is to follow the law. And the law -- if you have looked at some of the adopted criteria in the past, much of what they say boils down to that. We will follow the law on this, we will follow the law on that.

So, I mean, that's perhaps one way of looking at it.

REP. POPE: Mr. Chairman?

REP. WRIGHT: Representative Pope.

REP. POPE: I'd like to respectfully send forward to the Chair that he make part of the record a draft of criteria, these criteria in the form of a House resolution. And it was my intent that the House committee does not adopt criteria then file this resolution with the House consideration the entire House, that follows the format of

criteria adopted in the past. The details of the criteria I am sure will be subject to a discussion. I am just asking for consideration, I am not making a motion, so they will be available to the public and members.

REP. WRIGHT: The Sergeant of Arms will retrieve the information from the member and bring it forward.

REP. POPE: This is prepared on the bill drafting computer and I authorize Bill Drafting to release it anyone who asks for it.

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you. We look forward to discussing those.

Representative Mitchell.

REP. MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Representative McMahan said there was one minority district drawn in 1991 and then the courts ruled that we had to have two. Do you know what the situation will be this year? Will we have two or three minority districts? Looks like we will need to know that before we start.

REP. WRIGHT: I think certainly we have two now and certainly it's up to the members of how we draw those districts. That's how they've always been. But let me defer to the member who made that statement for his opinion and explanation. Representative McMahan?

REP. MCMAHAN: I think it might be interesting to get a comment from the Attorney General's office. I see her -- she's smiling over there. She doesn't want to go on the record.

I just simply would say in my opinion, of course, we did get directions in 1992, clear directions that we needed to have a second district. I don't think we have received any directions any directions that we have to have more than two; but at the same time, it's something that we need to consider. And if it can be done, I guess we need to consider it.

The bottom line is, the way I understand it, to be corrected by the Attorney General's office, we are to draw a

map and then we are to submit it to Justice for preclearance. And then we will find out from them if what we have done is acceptable or not. And it's very difficult to get a directive ahead of time.

REP. MITCHELL: One follow-up.

REP. WRIGHT: Follow up, Senator (sic) Mitchell.

REP. MITCHELL: Will the Native Americans and the Latino population, what category will they be considered in?

REP. WRIGHT: I think they will be counted as citizens of the State of North Carolina if they are documented legal citizens.

REP. MITCHELL: I am aware of that, Mr. Chairman, but I mean as far as the racial makeup, if we have to have two -- is there any guideline on that now or not?

REP. WRIGHT: I am not clear on that. Let me ask our attorneys for further clarification. The Chair is being very careful about what we say here. Remember everything we ask at this point is being

recorded and will be submitted to the Justice Department. So I want my answers to be as close to accurate as possible.

Bill?

MR. GILKESON: I think Native Americans would definitely be considered a minority. As far as drawing minority/majority districts, the Justice Department would look at the cohesion of the minorities. If you have more than -- if you put together more than one minority, then have a coalition or an aggregation of different minorities that has a majority in a district, that would -- the Justice Department would look at that and in terms of whether those minorities actually act together as a cohesive political group.

REP. WRIGHT: Did that clear it up, Representative Mitchell? We've got a little more time to work on that. That's why we are starting this process. Let me back up to Representative Pope as I understand it from staff that the committee can adopt its own rules and procedures. So we will get

together and perhaps put this on our next meeting's agenda and let the committee take action and discuss it at that time.

REP. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, that was my understanding. That's why I was sending it forward to handle as a House resolution so the committee can choose an alternative if they wish, and that's just my suggestion for a starting point.

REP. WRIGHT: Wonderful. So noted. Further comments and questions? Representative Cox?

REP. COX: Mr. Chairman, are we going to have a scheduled time to meet so that we can plan our schedules for the next four, five weeks?

REP. WRIGHT: The Chair's opinion, and it's only this Co-chair's opinion -- I will defer to my colleague here in just a moment -- but as I understand the way our committee was established and set up by the leadership, the Speaker and the Rules Chairman, these meetings can and will be called upon a call of the co-chairs, convened upon the call of

the chairs, which means we will meet as needed or as often as needed. When we agree we need to get together. So any such specific time, I am not sure, but we will certainly try to accommodate all of the members here and your schedules. We understand that. It seems to be that Wednesdays, at this time it seems to be a pretty good time as long as we are going to convene session late in the afternoon. So that's this chair's opinion. But let me defer to Representative McMahan for his remarks on that.

REP. MCMAHAN: I agree with that. Again, I don't think we will meet if we don't have anything to meet on. I think once the maps are submitted in the next couple of weeks then we need to start our work and we will be meeting pretty often, probably.

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you. Further questions or comments from members of the committee?

If not, Representative McMahan, closing remarks? I think we are almost prepared to

adjourn.

If not, members have nothing else, we are adjourned.

[MEETING ADJOURNED AT 1:50 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Amy L. Poythress, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the above-named hearing was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the hearing.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 25th day of June, 2001.

Amy L. Poythress

Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 2, 2006



GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H D

HOUSE RESOLUTION DRHR6085-LB-87 (02/27)

Sponsors: Representative Pope.

Referred to:

A HOUSE RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING CRITERIA FOR REDISTRICTING.

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives:

SECTION 1. In preparing legislative and congressional district plans, the House of Representatives shall adhere to the following criteria in the order of precedence in which they appear below:

(1) State Senate and State House of Representatives districts shall be drawn so as to contain approximately the ideal number of residents for each member. In no event shall the overall range of deviation in the State House of Representatives and the State Senate plans exceed five percent (5%). In congressional districts, the districts shall be drawn as nearly equal in population as practicable.

(2) In order to enforce the provisions of the United States Constitution and the Voting Rights Act of 1965, the voting rights of racial minorities shall not be abridged or denied in the formation of districts.

(3) Compliance with the North Carolina Constitution, including:

a. All districts shall consist of contiguous territory.

b. Avoidance of unnecessary division of counties.

(4) All congressional and legislative districts shall be single-member districts.

(5) Census blocks shall not be divided in the drawing of districts.

(6) It is desirable to avoid dividing precincts in the drawing of districts.

(7) Legislative districts shall be drawn so as to avoid the unnecessary division of cities and other political subdivisions. No city smaller than the size of a district shall contain subdivisions of more than two districts.

(8) Districts shall be geographically compact in form. In drawing such districts, populous adjacent territory shall not be bypassed to reach distant populous areas.

(9) Congressional and legislative districts shall be drawn so as to preserve existing geographic communities of interest where that can be done in compliance with the standards listed above.

(10) Districts shall not be established with the intent and effect of diluting the voting strength of any person, group of persons, or members of any political party. Legislative districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring any incumbent.

SECTION 2. This resolution is effective upon adoption.

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING May 23, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Tanya Pittman	NCLM PO Box 661 Rose Hill
Harry Simmons	Town of Caswell Beach
Sandra S. Hughes	City of Wilmington
Frank Conlon	Wilmington City Council
Laura Padgett	Wilmington City Council
Charlie Rivenbark	Wilmington City Council
Tom Pollard	City of Wilmington City Attorney
Mike Mann	Southern Strategies, Inc.

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING May 23, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Todd Harris	403 W. Pine St Mt Airy, NC 27030
BRIAN GATES	P.O. Box 26 DOBSON, NC 27017
KOBYNN STEEN	ACLU
David Weiss	ACLU
[illegible]	[illegible]
Bill Peaslee	NCGOP
Becki Gray	House Minority Leader's Office
Randall Cagle	3006 Edenberry Tr / Rocky Mount NC 27804
[illegible]	
Jack Hawke	
Thomas Stith	

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING May 23, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
David Siegel	Durham Academy, Durham NC
D.E. Wood Jr. MD	N.C. Medical Society
Cheryl Mayfield Beasley	North Carolina Nurses Association
Joy Barbour	Concerned Bikers Assoc./ABATE of N.C.
David Gorn	Raleigh CPD
Lula N. Council	Town of Parmele P.O. Box 98 Parmele, N.C. 27861
Nancy Charis	Town of Parmele Parmele, NC 27861
Katherine Copening	intern for Senate
Matt Brady	CCNC
J Craig Lewis	UHS
James Pittman	NCLM

Sharon Cram (Rep. McMahan)

From: Vanda Wilson-Wormack (Rep. Wright)
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2001 3:34 PM
Subject: House Congressional Redistricting Meeting Notice-11-06-01

NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
2001-2002 SESSION

You are hereby notified that the Committee on **Congressional Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE:	**Tuesday, November 6, 2001**
TIME:	**12:00 Noon**
LOCATION:	**544 LOB**

Presentation of the following Congressional Plans:

(Wright)- House Congressional Plan.
(McMahan)- House Congressional Plan 13.

Respectfully,

Representative Thomas E. Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

I hereby certify this notice was filed by the committee clerk at the following offices at **3:15pm** on **Friday, November 2, 2001**.

___Principal Clerk
___Reading Clerk - House Chamber

Vanda Wilson-Wormack and Sharon Cram (Committee Assistant)

AGENDA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

November 6, 2001
Room 544 LOB
12:00 PM

OPENING REMARKS

Representative Thomas Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

RECOGNITION OF STAFF

Bill Gilkeson – Staff Attorney
Karen Cochrane Brown - Staff Attorney

AGENDA ITEMS

Presentations of Proposed Congressional Plans

- **House Congressional Plan (Rep. Wright)**
- **House Congressional Plan 13 (Rep. McMahan)**

Public Hearing

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

November 6, 2001

The House Committee on Congressional Redistricting met on Tuesday, November 6, 2001, in Room 544 of the Legislative Office Building at 12:00 p.m. The following members were present: Co-Chairman Ed McMahan, Co-Chairman Thomas Wright, Vice Chairman Martha Alexander, Vice Chairman Jerry Dockham, Vice Chairman Dewey Hill, Vice Chairman Gregg Thompson, Representatives Bowie, Buchanan, Creech, Culpepper, Cunningham, Daughtry, Davis, Decker, Ellis, Fox, Gibson, Gillespie, Goodwin, Hurley, Jarrell, McCombs, Michaux, Mitchell, Morris, Nesbitt, Pope, Russell, Saunders, Sexton, and Walend.

Co-Chairman Thomas Wright called the meeting to order. A transcript of the meeting is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Ed McMahan
Co-Chairman

Sharon Cram
Committee Assistant

Representative Thomas Wright
Co-Chairman

Vanda Wilson-Wormack
Committee Assistant

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

COPY

BEFORE: Representative Thomas Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

TRANSCRIPT

OF

MEETING

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 6, 2001 - 12:00 noon

Reported by:
Tina M. Kirby, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Christine (Tina) M. Kirby, was appointed official court reporter to record the meeting of the North Carolina House Congressional Redistricting Committee held on November 6, 2001, beginning at 12:00 noon in the Legislative Office Building, Raleigh, North Carolina.

REP. MCMAHAN: Good afternoon, committee members. I will call the Congressional Redistricting Committee to order. A welcome to our guests.

I want to first recognize our staff who is working with the committee on this issue, and is Bill Gilkeson. He is not here today. Karen Cochrane-Brown. Karen is here behind me. Our committee assistants are Sharon Cram and Vanda Wilson-Wormack, who is here. Vanda?

What we'll do today is basically -- you have on your desk or will have shortly two maps. One map was the map that we actually presented last week on the floor, which I think is labeled House Map Number 13, which a bill was filed last night, Bill 1476, sponsored by Representative Thompson,

Representative Dockham and myself, which we are, of course, the co-sponsors of that bill and the co-chairs of this committee. And that bill has been filed and you have that map on your desk.

In addition to that, of course, Representative Wright is passing out a map. And we each will make comments on those maps -- brief comments -- today.

We do have a public hearing scheduled, of course, for Thursday evening from 4:00 to 6:00 in the Legislative Library. Today, again, we'll pass out the maps; we'll look at the maps; we'll comment about the maps; we'll answer any questions you might have. And then we will have the public hearing on Thursday.

There will not be any voting today as far as these maps or any amendments accepted or so forth. So that will all be done after the public hearing that will occur on Thursday.

So with that introduction, I would like to now turn it over to the co-chair,

Representative Wright, who will discuss the map that he has passed out.

REP. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman?

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Thompson?

REP. THOMPSON: Just a quick question. Did I understand you say the voting will be done on Thursday?

REP. MCMAHAN: No.

REP. THOMPSON: Or just the public hearing on Thursday?

REP. MCMAHAN: That's correct.

REP. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Co-Chair. Good afternoon members. I just wanted to bring you up to date on where we are with our process.

What you have before you is a map that is entitled "House Congressional Plan" sponsored by Representatives Wright, the co-chair of this committee, and the vice chairs on the Democratic side, Representative Alexander and Representative Hill.

This map that you have before you that we presented to you is drawn to favor certainly one party over the other. It is a

Democratic performing map. That's what the intent is.

And what we used as our redistricting criteria for this congressional plan is to stay within accordance of the requirements of Article I, Section 2 of the United States Constitution, that congressional districts shall be drawn so as to -- excuse me, Mr. Chairman, was there a question to my right or is something else happening? Oh, I'm sorry. Are you okay?

In accordance to the Constitution, the congressional districts shall be drawn as to be as nearly equal in population as practicable, the ideal district population being 619,178 folks.

In addition to that, in accordance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 as amended in the 14th and 15th Amendments of the United States Constitution, the voting rights of racial minorities shall not be abridged or denied in the formation of congressional districts as well.

In addition to that, all congressional

districts shall be single-member districts, and we've certainly have done that. And all districts shall consist of contiguous territory. Also, it is desirable to retain the integrity of precincts and census blocks shall not be divided.

And that was kind of the criteria that we functioned from and certainly hope that that will continue to be the criteria of this committee as we continue on with our deliberations.

With that, Mr. Chairman and members, we look forward to the public hearing. This map will be commented on by the citizens who attend that public hearing on Thursday at 4:00 p.m.

With that, Mr. Chairman, no other questions here, and so certainly I will turn it back over to you, as well.

REP. POPE: Mr. Chairman?

REP. MCMAHAN: Yes. Representative Pope?

REP. POPE: Are we accepting questions at this time?

REP. MCMAHAN: Let me, if you don't mind, I'd appreciate it -- I would like to make some comments about our map, and then we'll open it up for questions.

I say our map. Again, as I said on the floor, I hope we can make this a House map, a map for both sides of the aisle and not just say it would be our map and your map and so forth.

But anyway, I first want to say I am very glad that we are finally getting started with this process. And as I said earlier, I sincerely hope that we can handle this process in a fair and in a nonpartisan way.

I do hope that we can both compromise and develop a map that does think of the voters in North Carolina first and foremost, and not draw a map that tries to favor one party over another party. We really need to try to draw a map that thinks of the voters, and we don't use the stroke of a pen to draw a map to give one political party a huge advantage over the other, when this is a two-party state.

We have presented a map in House Bill 1476. We think it uses the 1997 map that we spent several months drawing back in 1997 as the basis for the new map. And we think that's important.

By using the 1997 map as the basis, of course, and adjusting it for population only, then we are able to keep the map compact, as the 1997 map was. And we are able to move the fewest number of voters out of one district into a new district. And we think that's very important.

The 1997 map actually divided one half the number of counties that the previous map divided. The 1997 map actually went from 45 to 22. The new map that we have presented actually is dividing 25 counties.

So we did use -- in the drawing of the map presented in House Bill 1476, we did use the traditional district issues: continuity, compactness, the preservation of the community of interest. We maintained the traditional boundaries, the maintenance of the district cores, and also the protection

of the incumbencies. So those traditional district issues were used in the drawing of our map.

I wanted just to give you a brief overview of the map. The map actually makes minimal changes in Districts 1, 3 -- those are the two districts down on the coast -- very little change in those except for population changes; 5 and 6 there are very few changes; 9, 10, 11 and 12. So all of those districts, which actually are eight of our districts, there's very few changes in the districts, except for some change due to population changes.

The majority of the changes have occurred in District 2, 4 and 8. And they are more noticeable. It was primarily due to the fact that we have included now District 13, the new district that we in North Carolina have gained. We have included that in the Triangle area and consequently the District 2, 4 and 8 are affected the most because of the inclusion of the new District 13 in the Triangle.

District 1 and District 12 remain very similar in configuration and the voter profile. And of course, this plan does respect the Supreme Court's recent ruling on District 12 and ensures retrogression in either district is certainly not an issue.

District 13 has been located in the Triangle region as I said earlier. The new district is as compact as possible and affects the other districts as little as possible. We have included it in Wake County, in the town of Cary and Wake County, the fastest growing part of the state, so it can be as compact as possible.

The new 13th District does include Chatham County, Lee County, and then parts of Harnett and Cumberland County. As I pointed out earlier, the new district is heavily Democratic, both in voter registration and in voting habits.

The map is fair considering the current voting population of our state, the incumbency factor of our state, compactness, community of interest -- as I said earlier

-- and trying to relocate the fewest number of voters across the state.

And again, I would close by saying I am very hopeful that our map can receive bipartisan consideration by the members of the General Assembly. I do think it's important to note that during the 1990s, of course, North Carolina -- our state -- we spent millions and millions of dollars on litigation regarding our congressional map. And I think if we do draw a map as similar as possible to the 1997 map and as similar as possible to the voting wishes of our public, then we will have a lesser chance of litigation. And again, as I pointed out, that can be very, very expensive for our state.

And I will close just by saying, of course, I do think the citizens across North Carolina deserve the kind of map that we are trying to draw. And they are demanding that we do work together, and I am very hopeful that we can work together.

I do want everyone to know that the

three of us as co-chairs on the Republican side -- we certainly stand ready to work together in good faith.

And with that, I'd be more than happy to open it up for questions of the members of the committee. First, Representative Pope.

REP. POPE: May I ask Representative Wright for a couple of questions, please?

REP. WRIGHT: I yield.

REP. POPE: Representative Wright, I appreciate your being forthright in your opening remarks in saying that your plan was designed to aid one party, the Democratic Party, that was the intent. But could you tell me where in the United States Constitution, the North Carolina Constitution, or in the state statutes or any source of authority there is legitimate state interest to draw a map to favor one political party, Democrat or Republican?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, I think that the opposing map, Representative Pope, does just the opposite. It favors the Republican members of Congress, or a congressional

Republican map.

So I'm a Democrat, and I'm drawing a Democratic majority map here, and there was no shyness about that. I shared that with Representative McMahan from day one, just as he shared his views with me.

So I think you know as well as the rest of us in here that this is a partisan body, and what we are drawing here is a map that either will favor one party or the other.

REP. POPE: Follow-up question.

REP. WRIGHT: I yield.

REP. POPE: Where was this map first drawn? Was it first drawn in the North Carolina Democrat Party's computer then imported into the legislative computer? Was it first drawn on the National Committee for an Effective Congress in Washington, D.C.?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, this map was first drawn in my office, which is right on the other side of this wall. I've been working with my Senate counterpart, Senator Miller, on this map, and he's a Democrat as well in the Senate chamber. And we tried to draw a

map that we thought that we could get through both chambers of this General Assembly.

We have talked with our congressional delegation. I have talked with my member of Congress, who is a Democrat, and certainly sought his input, as well. I have talked with the other members, just as you all on the other side have probably talked to your members of your delegation. If you haven't, you probably should have.

So with that, I think I know where you're going with this. I think you know where I'm going with this. So, you know, ask the question that you really want to ask me. I'm telling you what I told you from the very beginning. This is a map that I would like to see to favor the Democrats.

REP. POPE: A follow-up question?

REP. WRIGHT: Follow-up. Yes.

REP. POPE: Mr. Chairman Wright, back when we had our one single meeting months ago, I raised the issue of the adoption of criteria by this committee and submitted to

you a list of criteria. Now we have two maps before us. While I appreciate your reading off the criteria which you went by, which other than the partisan intent -- I mean, the dominant criteria sounds fairly reasonable. Why as chairman did you not ever ask this committee to adopt the criteria?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, I talked with Chairman McMahan about that, and perhaps you may want to ask that question to him. I was hopeful that we could adopt the criteria that I talked about here today; but in concurrence with my co-chair, he says that we should probably wait a while. And that's fine with me.

I just read to the committee for the record the criteria that we used to develop our map. And I am certainly hopeful that it's the same criteria that we will use as we continue to move through this process, which may involve amendments or so.

REP. POPE: Follow-up question?

REP. WRIGHT: I yield.

REP. POPE: Representative Wright, on

the map when looking at the 6th Congressional District, it looks like it has part of north Guilford and the rest of the district is in south Guilford, on further south. How does the north Guilford connect with the rest of the southern part of the new 6th District, given that contiguity should be one of the constitutional requirements?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, our process indicates to us that it's still contiguous there. And so what we've done is just decide to stick with that process. And it connects a precinct to the other section of that district. So we think that it's fine. We think it will probably meet the test. Or if not, we will certainly change it as the process moves along.

REP. POPE: Follow-up question?

REP. WRIGHT: I yield.

REP. POPE: When you say the process, are you referring -- is this one of those what they call cross-over point, where just one infinite point in space connects both

districts or resides in both districts?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, as I recall -- and this is about one of many models that we used -- I believe that is a cross-over point.

REP. BOWIE: Mr. Chair? Could I ask a question?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, hold one second.

REP. BOWIE: Well, just if they'd move that map until somebody's using it so we can see the blow-up on the screen. If they'd just scoot that by.

REP. WRIGHT: Can we remove the easel, please? Thank you. The whole thing. Thank you, Representative Bowie. Representative Pope, I'm coming to you.

REP. BOWIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REP. WRIGHT: You're welcome.

Okay, Representative Pope?

REP. POPE: Another question. In your criteria, your self-imposed guidelines, didn't you consider compactness at all? I mean, looking at the 11th District, easily the historic district is more compact, more to the west. And it's so intermingled with

the 10th District, it looks like compactness was totally disregarded, I guess, in trying to carry out your partisan attempt.

REP. WRIGHT: Sure, we considered it.

REP. POPE: Did you follow it? Not merely consider it, did you follow it? It does not look like you followed it, at least in regard to the 11th District.

REP. WRIGHT: I think we considered it and followed it to the extent that we sought after. And so yes, I considered it.

REP. DAUGHTRY: Mr. Chairman?

REP. WRIGHT: Representative Daughtry?

REP. DAUGHTRY: Representative Wright, Representative McMahan explained when he talked about the map that he had drawn that it tried to be -- that he indicated -- professed that he was trying to be nonpartisan, that is to protect incumbents -- which is seven -- I think seven to five, and that the new district, which would be the 13th, would be Democratic, which would be 7-6. That was his analysis of his map. And I haven't -- because I could not get a copy

of this map until 12:00, I cannot tell. Can you describe to me which districts that you believe -- you say this is a Democrat map. Which of the incumbents, Republicans, do you intend to try to take out with your map?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, if you would take a look at the data there -- and I'm not trying to take anybody out. And let me just say this, and then we'll just kind of do this in the way I intended for it to be done today along with my agreement with Chairman McMahan.

This map is before you all today for your consideration and will be considered and discussed at the public hearing, at which time this committee will reconvene after the public hearing, and we can get into this extensive debate. That's the intent.

Now, the Chair is trying to be very generous here to the members. I see exactly where you're going. I don't mind going on the record with you, if that's the way you want to go.

But again, my intent is for you to look at this map, to study it between now and

Thursday when we go to the public hearing; then this committee will reconvene for your deliberations and for your action. That is what we agreed upon.

So if you want to keep this going on, fine, but do understand that we're going to adjourn this meeting here shortly so that our court reporter can go to the Rules Committee to participate in the deliberations there.

REP. DAUGHTRY: Well, if you'd answer that question, I won't ask you another one.

REP. WRIGHT: I'm not going to answer that question right now. Study the map and we'll talk afterwards.

REP. ELLIS: Mr. Chairman? Over here in the corner.

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Ellis?

REP. ELLIS: Thank you. Representative Wright, just a minute ago in your explanation you said that there may be amendments. Whether or not we have amendments, are you saying that the may is dependent on whether we offer them or whether you accept them?

REP. WRIGHT: Representative Ellis, you and I came into this chamber at the same time as freshmen. And you know as well as I know that anytime a committee gets together that if a bill is presented, that bill is going to be somehow changed. And so I would anticipate that even the McMahan bill or even the Wright plan that is before you will probably be subjected to some sort of amendment or change.

So let's be straightforward and honest here with each other, if you really want to move through this process. But if you want to play games with it, we can do that also. But if you really want to move this process along, let's be straightforward and honest.

REP. ELLIS: Mr. Chairman? The question I'm trying to ask you is we have rules that have been adopted for the function of the House.

REP. WRIGHT: Correct.

REP. ELLIS: And if I prepare amendments and offer them in the committee in accordance with the rules, is it your

intention as the chairman to accept and allow debate and votes on amendments?

REP. WRIGHT: Well, I'll say once again, Representative Ellis, this committee will certainly adhere to the rules of our House as we always have. And certainly any amendments that come forward, if you've got the votes and those amendments pass, then let them pass. If you don't, they won't.

Now let's stop playing games and stop wasting the committee's time and move on.

Representative Russell?

REP. RUSSELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your recognizing me.

The questions that are being asked here, and this is -- I'm dead serious about this -- is one of the most important things that we do.

To remind us here, number one, the folks that want to ask questions, it's not as though we have been inundated with committee meetings. And I was going to respectfully request that both chairs give us the time that we need to ask the questions.

And I hope they are in a proper and a civil manner. I think that's very important.

But this is only -- just on this meeting, we have not had one before except the organizational one. So I beg a little patience on that, if you don't mind.

The second thing is that I think -- and I think it's admirable to say up front why this is, and certainly to some degree sometimes politics does become involved. And of course the best thing that happens there is that you have a compromise which comes out, and not one plan or the other rammed through.

And I think we all need to be reminded that while a two-party system is laudable because of the debate that ensues and the good ideas that come out, it should be the means to an end rather than the end in itself. And the end is better government.

And so as we discuss what's good for one party or the other, let us not forget for one moment those people that hate their split counties and those people that feel

that they are thrust into other areas because we couldn't do any better. I just think that's very important.

And I'm not playing games with this. I feel very strongly about it.

And Mr. Chairman, if we could, when we do come back, if we could have a list of the split counties, I think that would be helpful. And the other thing that I'd like --

REP. WRIGHT: That is included in your packet.

REP. RUSSELL: Of the split? Thank you. And another thing I'd like to request from staff that we could get later, because we all went through such a process before with Mel Watts's district and having to go through all the money and the lawsuits and whatever -- don't want to do that again -- I want a briefing from the staff on potential points of problems with both plans. I want to know up front. Because when we go back home and say, "Well, you went into court," they're either going to think we were lazy

and didn't do our work well or they're going to think we're stupid. And in any event, they should send us home.

Thank you.

REP. WRIGHT: Let me just quickly say to you, Representative Russell -- and that's why we didn't want to have this extensive debate -- at least I thought that's what the chairman and I agreed upon, although apparently he hadn't talked to all the members on his side of the aisle yet -- is because the information that you have there, you need to study that. And you will see that even in my plan and the plan that we have presented by the Democratic side, we split fewer counties than you do in the McMahan plan. That's why I said, there's always room for compromise.

And certainly this chair intends to keep the process very open and fair. But certainly want the members to sort of be cordial and respectful in the same light.

REP. MCMAHAN: Let me just make a comment, too. We did meet this morning and

talked about what this meeting would be about. It may be that we decided to try to limit it too much. Obviously, people have got a lot of questions.

I do want the people to know on our side of the aisle up until this point in time, Representative Wright has certainly assured me that he has no intention whatsoever of not being open and fair in letting us offer out amendments. And this committee, of course, is represented by both sides of the aisle equally. And he has been very cooperative in everything up to this point.

I know we all are very concerned because of what happened last week with the legislative map. And we are all very -- very still unhappy about that. I know a lot of that's what's bringing some of these questions on.

But I just want to assure you at this point in time that I feel that we want to try to work together and that Representative Wright has assured me we will. And I hope

we can keep that in mind and understand the purpose of this meeting was to basically present the maps prior to the public hearing and basically it is a presentation of the maps by us. And there will be plenty of time to get into the questions and amendments being offered.

Yes, Representative Creech, and then Representative Michaux.

REP. CREECH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And just a comment, Representative Wright. You know, I believe in my recollection when Speaker Blue -- we drew these at the last census -- I believe the Democrats controlled -- they had the majority in Congress out of North Carolina. And the people chose to move in the other direction.

And I'm a little bit offended by your comments, you know, that it's all political and we would just totally look at disenfranchising what the people of this state have decided they wanted. You know, maybe we offered a better candidate. I don't know.

But to just blatantly say, you know, "I'm going to draw a Democrat plan that favors my party" -- and with the map just casually looking over it, I'm really, really concerned that we're going down the same path we went through and the same old deal we went through the last couple of weeks.

REP. WRIGHT: Study the data, Representative Creech, and then get back to me on your remarks.

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Michaux?

SEN. MICHAUX: Yes. Could I be supplied from both parties the voting age populations statistics for these districts, please?

REP. MCMAHAN: Absolutely. The staff will get that for you.

Okay, I would just comment as far as Representative Wright made some reference to the criteria, Representative Pope. And the criteria we talked about this morning, I just felt like it was maybe just a little bit too limiting for us to bring it before the committee today, but we do intend to talk

about that next week at the committee meeting.

And also, I do want to point out that the map that we drew actually is about -- it's an 8-5 map, Democratic registration. It's 7-6 Democratic -- the voting habits Democratic, but it is 7-6 based on the incumbency. The current incumbency is 7-6 Republican.

And I say that in a way that -- maybe you're saying -- I'm talking about the map that we drew on our side. So we did recognize the fact that there's two districts -- actually three districts are very heavily Democratic in North Carolina, District 3, Walter Jones's district, District 11 out on the western part of the state, and District 8, Congressman Robin Hayes's district. All three of those districts are heavily Democratic.

And we actually changed one of those, Representative Hayes's, and made it more equal. But the other two are actually as equal as they were. It just happens at this point in time that a Republican

incumbent is serving in those particular districts.

Are there any other questions? Representative Pope?

REP. POPE: First of all, just for a correction on the record. If I'm reading the statistical data correctly, the McMahan plan splits 25 counties, the Wright plan splits 39 counties. That's 50 percent more than the McMahan plan.

The information I would like to have prepared if -- Representative Wright, I was not told that we were going to be restrained on asking our question. I thought as a member and as long as the committee was in session and the bill's before us, we could ask questions.

But since Representative Wright chooses not to answer now, I would like from him and from staff an analysis on retrogression on the congressional districts from this plan, based on the current -- the Wright plan and the McMahan plan, based on the current and active plan by the 2000 census in the 1999

-- excuse me, 1990 census, 1990s districts. I guess it's 1997 districts, the last ratified legally enforceable plan we have.

In particular, I notice in District 1 under the Wright plan -- anyway, I would like to know what the retrogression is and what the analysis is comparing the two plans.

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Russell?

REP. RUSSELL: Thank you. That was my question.

REP. MCMAHAN: Is there any other information that members would like to have us pull together and distribute to you? Representative Daughtry?

REP. DAUGHTRY: Procedurally, I understand today that you're not going to respond to any questions we ask. You will respond, I assume, on Thursday at our next meeting? Is that when we will be able to ask questions?

REP. MCMAHAN: The process was, of course, the public hearing will be on Thursday. So all members of the committee as well as the public would have the right to

go and be heard at the public hearing.

We will then have committee meetings starting next week -- early next week. And at that point in time we will get into answering your questions, and basically move on with the maps, and start talking amendments and whatever we need to do. Is that correct?

REP. WRIGHT: That is correct.

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Thompson?

REP. THOMPSON: I understood Representative Wright to say that the committee would convene after the public hearing on Thursday. Am I -- you're talking about next week.

REP. WRIGHT: It is next week.

REP. MCMAHAN: Representative Russell?

REP. RUSSELL: Just one more question relative to turkeys and I don't refer to any of us. Do we think we may finish up the congressional part of it, or is that our aim for next week? Or is that just really up in the air?

REP. MCMAHAN: Well, I would hope so,

but I -- this morning when we were meeting it sounded like maybe it's going to take longer than that. Representative Wright, of course, he's part of the leadership side. So I don't know. I had hoped we could do it by next week.

REP. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman? My response to Representative Russell is, who knows.

REP. DECKER: Mr. Chairman?

REP. MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative?

REP. DECKER: This question is about the prospective schedule, so I have it clear. We're going to have the public hearing on Thursday and then the next -- the following week, we're not going to have a committee meeting until the following Thursday? Is that correct?

REP. MCMAHAN: No. That is incorrect, Representative Decker. We'll probably start early next week and maybe have multiple meetings next week, is what I would anticipate.

REP. DECKER: Yes, I appreciate that and

clearing that up, because I think we should as well.

REP. WRIGHT: And I will also say, Representative Decker, that when the Speaker established this committee, its guidelines of calling a meeting was at the convenience of the chairs. So we can call a meeting most anytime that we need to.

REP. DECKER: You're very convenient guys. Thank you.

REP. MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, committee members. This committee stands adjourned.

[MEETING ADJOURNED - 12:37 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Christine M. Kirby, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting of the House Congressional Redistricting Committee was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the session.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 11th day of November, 2001.

Notary Public

CHRISTINE M. KIRBY NOTARY PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY N.C.

My commission expires:

January 11, 2003

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H 2

HOUSE BILL 1477
Committee Substitute Favorable 11/14/01

Short Title: Congressional Redistricting Wright 3A. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block

2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block

2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block

2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct TURKEY; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1070; Precinct 01-35, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct

VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5023, Block 5024; Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct

FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Durham County, Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct BYNUM, Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Wake County: Precinct 01-47, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703,

Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct NEW BETHEL.

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26,

Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060; Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5021, Block 5022; Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON, Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block

1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 084, Precinct 095, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block

3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 021, Precinct 032, Precinct 035, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 038, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 051, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 083, Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 094, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 099, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 125, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh;

Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4071; Tract 162.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2077, Block 2078; Tract 162.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1133, Block 1147, Block 1148; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1029; Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct

Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct

Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120; Tract 510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 522.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Tract 522.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Tract 523.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029; Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-48, Precinct

01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08.

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:
   a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.
   b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.
   c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.
   d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.
   e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
   a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
   b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:

a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.
b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:
a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.
b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:
a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.
b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:
a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.
b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.
c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.
d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.
e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.
f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.
g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.
h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.
i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.

j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.
k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.
l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.
m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.
n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.
(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.
(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congressional Plan (Wright)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1 Clayton	1	619,579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2 Etheridge	1	619,103	619,178	75	0.01%
3 Jones	1	618,575	619,178	603	0.10%
4 Price	1	618,442	619,178	736	0.12%
5 Burr	1	618,273	619,178	905	0.15%
6 Coble	1	620,021	619,178	-843	-0.14%
7 McIntyre	1	619,597	619,178	-419	-0.07%
8 Hayes	1	618,747	619,178	431	0.07%
9 Myrick	1	620,034	619,178	-856	-0.14%
10 Ballenger	1	618,454	619,178	724	0.12%
11 Taylor	1	620,017	619,178	-839	-0.14%
12 Watt	1	618,744	619,178	434	0.07%
13	1	619,727	619,178	-549	-0.09%

Congressional Plan (Wright) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173107	50.17%	118114	34.23%	441	0.13%	53392	15.47%	35628	10.33%	101494	29.41%	151442	43.89%	56489	16.37%
4	218330	49.01%	137048	30.77%	1083	0.24%	89002	19.98%	49742	11.17%	174485	39.17%	179683	40.34%	41553	9.33%
5	150298	38.94%	178895	46.34%	366	0.09%	56453	14.62%	33261	8.62%	119298	30.91%	166950	43.25%	66503	17.23%
6	140681	36.45%	182955	47.41%	439	0.11%	61860	16.03%	35313	9.15%	120305	31.17%	166536	43.15%	63779	16.53%
7	221794	58.17%	107056	28.08%	554	0.15%	51897	13.61%	36000	9.44%	116955	30.67%	164240	43.07%	64120	16.82%
8	189110	51.59%	111312	30.37%	466	0.13%	65666	17.91%	38687	10.55%	137290	37.45%	144549	39.43%	46027	12.56%
9	141901	33.41%	196896	46.36%	566	0.13%	85340	20.09%	36044	8.49%	154011	36.26%	187006	44.03%	47641	11.22%
10	147275	37.53%	175014	44.60%	540	0.14%	69613	17.74%	38076	9.70%	117106	29.84%	162974	41.53%	74293	18.93%
11	210217	51.40%	131446	32.14%	516	0.13%	66828	16.34%	37943	9.28%	115998	28.36%	173585	42.44%	81474	19.92%
12	220202	59.14%	96897	26.03%	450	0.12%	54771	14.71%	42804	11.50%	133601	35.88%	140244	37.67%	55666	14.95%
13	207054	53.98%	111502	29.07%	682	0.18%	64355	16.78%	42508	11.08%	140795	36.70%	147950	38.57%	52348	13.65%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Proposed Congressional Districts (Wright) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88116	49.72%	86271	48.68%	2848	1.61%	67060	39.72%	101762	60.28%	75423	46.25%	87667	53.75%
4	136170	59.39%	88713	38.70%	4379	1.91%	125008	56.57%	95957	43.43%	122919	56.67%	93967	43.33%
5	90354	42.33%	119226	55.86%	3873	1.81%	78112	37.92%	127896	62.08%	79207	39.53%	121167	60.47%
6	82080	40.60%	116694	57.73%	3375	1.67%	76726	39.15%	119276	60.85%	69493	36.83%	119168	63.17%
7	114398	61.43%	68710	36.90%	3113	1.67%	94658	53.21%	83233	46.79%	99444	57.57%	73306	42.43%
8	91697	54.81%	71474	42.72%	4125	2.47%	87789	55.11%	71503	44.89%	86513	56.06%	67818	43.94%
9	69868	35.59%	123062	62.68%	3389	1.73%	68045	36.73%	117223	63.27%	65890	36.55%	114381	63.45%
10	76563	40.77%	107141	57.05%	4111	2.19%	66056	36.84%	113226	63.16%	68618	39.01%	107283	60.99%
11	98922	51.67%	87906	45.91%	4634	2.42%	88412	48.64%	93357	51.36%	91446	51.35%	86635	48.65%
12	108029	65.07%	55497	33.43%	2493	1.50%	105300	64.95%	56814	35.05%	103262	65.17%	55183	34.83%
13	120053	59.44%	78451	38.84%	3464	1.72%	112379	57.33%	83639	42.67%	110250	57.68%	80879	42.32%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Congressional Plan (Wright) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619,579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619,103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	618,575	482086	77.93%	103452	16.72%	2654	0.43%	6271	1.01%	14643	2.37%	9469	1.53%	27256	4.41%
4	618,442	438224	70.86%	128863	20.84%	1943	0.31%	24240	3.92%	15216	2.46%	9956	1.61%	31438	5.08%
5	618,273	552739	89.40%	42951	6.95%	1410	0.23%	4905	0.79%	10903	1.76%	5365	0.87%	22359	3.62%
6	620,021	547024	88.23%	47514	7.66%	2310	0.37%	6460	1.04%	11013	1.78%	5700	0.92%	23706	3.82%
7	619,597	399053	64.41%	144026	23.25%	53150	8.58%	3346	0.54%	12959	2.09%	7063	1.14%	24492	3.95%
8	618,747	394245	63.72%	172079	27.81%	11428	1.85%	11784	1.90%	17927	2.90%	11284	1.82%	38718	6.26%
9	620,034	534568	86.22%	55696	8.98%	1893	0.31%	12382	2.00%	9012	1.45%	6483	1.05%	23810	3.84%
10	618,454	557256	90.10%	35391	5.72%	1656	0.27%	8260	1.34%	10140	1.64%	5751	0.93%	23818	3.85%
11	620,017	533250	86.01%	60543	9.76%	9779	1.58%	4289	0.69%	5842	0.94%	6314	1.02%	14667	2.37%
12	618,744	292722	47.31%	277848	44.91%	2518	0.41%	13199	2.13%	22646	3.66%	9811	1.59%	43727	7.07%
13	619,727	409336	66.05%	167040	26.95%	2442	0.39%	12874	2.08%	18273	2.95%	9762	1.58%	36844	5.95%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Proposed House Congressional Plan (Wright)
Split Precincts
November 5, 2001

	District	Population
Craven		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

Proposed House Congressional Plan (Wright)
Split Counties
November 5, 2001

Unit	District	Population
1 Alamance		
	6	87,844
	13	42,956
2 Beaufort		
	1	16,287
	3	28,671
3 Buncombe		
	10	36,535
	11	169,795
4 Burke		
	10	53,895
	11	35,253
5 Cabarrus		
	6	24,168
	8	101,359
	12	5,536
6 Catawba		
	9	35,303
	10	106,382
7 Chatham		
	2	33,936
	4	15,393
8 Cleveland		
	10	1,822
	11	94,465
9 Craven		
	1	39,000
	3	52,436
10 Cumberland		
	2	108,056
	7	71,395
	8	123,512
11 Davidson		
	6	74,170
	12	73,076
12 Duplin		
	3	13,961
	7	35,102
13 Forsyth		
	5	169,549
	12	136,518
14 Gaston		
	9	65,326
	10	92,882
	11	32,157
15 Granville		
	1	11,992
	13	36,506
16 Guilford		
	6	181,266
	12	124,579
	13	115,203
17 Haywood		

	10	5,707
	11	48,326
18 Henderson		
	10	83,858
	11	5,315
19 Iredell		
	5	82,775
	9	39,885
20 Jones		
	1	6,756
	3	3,625
21 Lenoir		
	1	30,702
	3	28,946
22 Lincoln		
	9	24,100
	10	39,680
23 Mecklenburg		
	8	144,265
	9	331,743
	12	219,446
24 Moore		
	6	35,827
	8	38,942
25 Nash		
	1	14,765
	2	45,517
	3	27,138
26 Pitt		
	1	55,075
	3	78,723
27 Polk		
	10	1,882
	11	16,442
28 Rockingham		
	5	16,892
	13	75,036
29 Rowan		
	6	70,751
	12	59,589
30 Rutherford		
	10	14,611
	11	48,288
31 Sampson		
	2	32,154
	7	28,007
32 Scotland		
	7	195
	8	35,803
33 Stanly		
	6	15,541
	8	42,559
34 Transylvania		
	10	13,733
	11	15,601
35 Vance		
	1	28,240
	2	14,714
36 Wake		

	2	75,436
	4	261,508
	13	290,902
37 Watauga		
	5	27,648
	10	15,047
38 Wayne		
	1	52,962
	3	60,367
39 Wilson		
	1	36,795
	3	37,019

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL PLAN (WRIGHT)



District
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- Member Residence
- Counties

N

50 0 50 100 150 200 250 Miles

Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 5, 2001.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

HOUSE BILL 1476

Short Title:	House Congressional 13.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Representatives McMahan, Thompson, and Dockham (Primary Sponsors).	
Referred to:	Congressional Redistricting.	

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Perquimans County, Vance County, Warren County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1: Tract 9903: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1998, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002,

Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3996, Block 3997, Block 3998, Block 3999; Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4: Tract 9903: Block Group 3: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007; Tract 9904: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064; Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS: Tract 9604: Block Group 1: Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1061, Block 1997; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2018, Block 2039, Block 2040; Precinct RHEMS: Tract 9604: Block Group 2: Block Group 5: Block 5010, Block 5012, Block 5051, Block 5052, Block 5053, Block 5063, Block 5064, Block 5065, Block 5066, Block 5067, Block 5068, Block 5069, Block 5070, Block 5071, Block 5072, Block 5075, Block 5076; Block Group 7: Block 7048, Block 7049, Block 7051, Block 7052, Block 7053, Block 7054, Block 7992; Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct VANCEBORO: Tract 9602: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4998; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN: Tract 9604: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1050; Tract 9606: Block Group 4: Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040; Tract 9607: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002,

Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2025; Tract 9608: Block Group 3: Block 3032, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3049, Block 3050; Block Group 4: Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005; Tract 9609: Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008; Precinct GROVER C FIELDS: Tract 9606: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2005, Block 2006; Block Group 3: Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041; Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037; Tract 9607: Block Group 1: Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2023, Block 2024; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020; Precinct H J McDONALD: Tract 9605: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1027, Block 1029, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010; Block Group 3: Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3024, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3993, Block 3998; Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK: Tract 9605: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3997, Block 3999; Tract 9606: Block Group 3: Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025; Tract

9608: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3048; Block Group 6: Block 6001, Block 6002; Precinct WEST NEW BERN: Tract 9604: Block Group 5: Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5008, Block 5011, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5028, Block 5034, Block 5035, Block 5036, Block 5037; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK: Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1055; Block Group 2: Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2026; Tract 9803: Block Group 2: Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3074; Precinct CHINQUAPIN: Tract 9803: Block Group 3: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2048, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2065, Block 2069; Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE, Precinct TRENTON, Precinct TUCKAHOE: Tract 9803: Block Group 2: Block Group 3: Block 3011; Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct INSTITUTE: Tract 110: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block

1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2005; Block Group 3: Block 3004; Block Group 6: Block 6011, Block 6012, Block 6013, Block 6014, Block 6015, Block 6016, Block 6023, Block 6024, Block 6025; Tract 111: Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012; Precinct NEUSE: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 107: Block Group 2: Block 2999; Precinct VANCE, Precinct FALLING CREEK: Tract 110: Block Group 6: Block 6010; Precinct KINSTON 1: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008; Block Group 4: Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4025; Tract 104: Block Group 2: Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044; Tract 107: Block Group 2: Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055; Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3: Tract 107: Block Group 2: Block 2056; Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct MOSELEY HALL: Tract 110: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009; Block Group 6: Block 6048, Block 6994; Tract 111: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block

2047, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4997, Block 4998, Block 4999; Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Person County: Precinct ALLENSVILLE, Precinct CUNNINGHAM/CHUB LAKE, Precinct FLAT RIVER: Tract 9806: Block Group 1: Block 1043, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051; Block Group 4: Block 4049; Precinct HOLLOWAY, Precinct OLIVE HILL: Tract 9802: Block Group 3: Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3050, Block 3998; Block Group 4: Block 4010; Precinct ROXBORO 1: Tract 9803: Block Group 1: Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052; Tract 9804: Block Group 2: Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018; Block Group 3: Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034; Tract 9806: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1044, Block 1056, Block 1057; Block Group 4: Block 4043, Block 4045, Block 4046; Precinct ROXBORO 2, Precinct ROXBORO 3, Precinct ROXBORO 4: Tract 9801: Block Group 3: Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010,

Block 3011, Block 3016; Tract 9804: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2005, Block 2019, Block 2020; Tract 9805: Block Group 3: Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3049; Precinct ROXBORO 1A: Tract 9802: Block Group 3: Block 3073; Block Group 4: Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4070, Block 4071, Block 4072, Block 4077, Block 4078, Block 4083, Block 4084, Block 4997; Tract 9803: Block Group 1: Block 1022, Block 1038; Tract 9804: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020; Tract 9806: Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4994, Block 4999; Precinct WOODSDALE; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01: Tract 6: Block Group 2: Block 2018, Block 2021; Tract 16: Block Group 2: Block 2034; Tract 17: Block Group 1: Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1060; Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 12.01: Tract 8: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9: Block Group 1: Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block

2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2058, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2991, Block 2992, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3052, Block 3053; Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04: Tract 6: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3032, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3999; Block Group 4: Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067; Tract 7.01: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044; Tract 7.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014,

Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024; Tract 16: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013; Tract 17: Block Group 1: Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046; Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00A: Tract 14: Block Group 1: Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1063, Block 1064; Block Group 6: Block 6000, Block 6001, Block 6002, Block 6003, Block 6004, Block 6005, Block 6006, Block 6025, Block 6028, Block 6029, Block 6030, Block 6031, Block 6032, Block 6033, Block 6034, Block 6035, Block 6036, Block 6037, Block 6038, Block 6039, Block 6040, Block 6041, Block 6042, Block 6043, Block 6044, Block 6045; Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 11.02B: Tract 10: Block Group 4: Block 4027, Block 4028; Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B, Precinct 15.12A: Tract 6: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 16: Block Group 2: Block 2035, Block 2036; Washington County: Precinct LEES MILL: Tract 9501: Block Group 4: Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4997, Block 4998; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5031, Block 5032, Block 5033, Block 5034, Block 5035, Block 5036, Block 5037, Block 5038, Block 5039, Block 5040, Block 5041, Block 5042, Block 5043, Block 5044, Block 5045, Block 5046, Block 5047, Block 5048, Block 5049, Block 5050, Block 5051, Block 5052,

Block 5053, Block 5054, Block 5055, Block 5056, Block 5057; Block Group 6: Block 6000, Block 6001, Block 6002, Block 6003, Block 6004, Block 6005, Block 6006, Block 6007, Block 6008, Block 6009, Block 6010, Block 6011, Block 6012, Block 6020, Block 6021, Block 6022, Block 6023, Block 6024, Block 6025, Block 6026, Block 6027, Block 6998, Block 6999; Tract 9502: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1002, Block 1999; Tract 9503: Block Group 1: Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1121; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074; Precinct PLYMOUTH 1, Precinct PLYMOUTH 2, Precinct PLYMOUTH 3, Precinct SKINNERSVILLE: Tract 9501: Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4026, Block 4999; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 6: Tract 3.01: Block Group 3: Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3017; Tract 3.02: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002; Tract 13: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1044, Block 1045; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003; Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10: Tract 12: Block Group 1: Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1081, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 18: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029,

Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074; Precinct Precinct 11: Tract 12: Block Group 1: Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1082; Tract 18: Block Group 1: Block 1000; Tract 19: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1047, Block 1049, Block 1050; Block Group 2: Block 2001; Precinct Precinct 12: Tract 3.02: Block Group 1: Block 1010, Block 1011; Tract 13: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043; Block Group 2: Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2044, Block 2045; Tract 19: Block Group 1: Block 1000; Precinct Precinct 15: Tract 3.02: Block Group 2: Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2998; Precinct Precinct 17: Tract 14: Block Group 5: Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014; Tract 15: Block Group 2: Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2999; Tract 16: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051,

Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1101, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 17: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19: Tract 14: Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005; Tract 15: Block Group 1: Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2037; Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21: Tract 14: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029; Tract 19: Block Group 2: Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017; Block Group 3: Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3053, Block 3054; Precinct Precinct 22: Tract 13: Block Group 2: Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2046; Tract 19: Block Group 1: Block 1041, Block 1051; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020; Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L,

Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON P: Tract 5: Block Group 2: Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2027, Block 2028; Block Group 4: Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049; Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Johnston County, Sampson County, Cumberland County: Precinct PEARCES MILL 3: Tract 2: Block Group 3: Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3066; Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1007, Block 1009, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1032, Block 1035, Block 1043; Tract 15: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1101, Block 1988, Block 1989, Block 1990, Block 1991, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2033, Block 2034; Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE, Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Granville County: Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct TALLY HO; Harnett County: Precinct ANDERSON CREEK, Precinct AVERASBORO 1,

Precinct AVERASBORO 2, Precinct AVERASBORO 3, Precinct AVERASBORO 4, Precinct AVERASBORO 5, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct DUKE1, Precinct DUKE2, Precinct DUKE3, Precinct GROVE 1, Precinct GROVE 2, Precinct HECTORS CREEK, Precinct LILLINGTON, Precinct NEILLS CREEK 1, Precinct NEILLS CREEK 2, Precinct STEWARTS CREEK, Precinct UPPER LITTLE RIVER 1; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pender County: Precinct COLUMBIA, Precinct CASWELL, Precinct CANETUCK, Precinct GRADY, Precinct LONG CREEK, Precinct LOWER UNION, Precinct NORTH BURGAW, Precinct PENDERLEA, Precinct SOUTH BURGAW, Precinct UPPER HOLLY, Precinct UPPER UNION; Wake County: Precinct 08-04: Tract 538.01: Block Group 2: Block 2015, Block 2016; Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON P: Tract 5: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4054, Block 4055.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Pasquotank County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1: Tract 9905: Block Group 3: Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3015; Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4: Tract 9905: Block Group 3: Block Group 5: Block 5021, Block 5028, Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5031, Block 5032, Block 5034, Block 5035; Precinct WASHINGTON PARK; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS: Tract 9604: Block Group 1: Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2041; Block Group 3: Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3998, Block 3999; Block Group 6:

Block 6006, Block 6007, Block 6008, Block 6009, Block 6010, Block 6011, Block 6024, Block 6025, Block 6026, Block 6027, Block 6028, Block 6029, Block 6030, Block 6031, Block 6032, Block 6033, Block 6034, Block 6035, Block 6036, Block 6037, Block 6038, Block 6039, Block 6040, Block 6041, Block 6042, Block 6996, Block 6997, Block 6998; Precinct RHEMS: Tract 9604: Block Group 6: Block 6014, Block 6015, Block 6021, Block 6022, Block 6023; Block Group 7: Block 7000, Block 7001, Block 7002, Block 7999; Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct VANCEBORO: Tract 9602: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2041, Block 2042; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4020, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4999; Block Group 5: Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5998; Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK, Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct FORT TOTTEN: Tract 9604: Block Group 1: Block 1049; Block Group 4: Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012; Precinct GROVER C FIELDS: Tract 9605: Block Group 4: Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4032; Precinct H J McDONALD: Tract 9606: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK: Tract 9605: Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block

4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4998, Block 4999; Tract 9606: Block Group 3: Block 3000; Tract 9608: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct WEST NEW BERN: Tract 9604: Block Group 2: Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5009, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5031, Block 5032, Block 5033, Block 5038, Block 5039, Block 5040, Block 5041, Block 5042, Block 5043, Block 5044, Block 5045, Block 5046, Block 5047, Block 5048, Block 5049, Block 5050; Block Group 6: Block 6000, Block 6001, Block 6002, Block 6003, Block 6004, Block 6005, Block 6012, Block 6013, Block 6016, Block 6017, Block 6018, Block 6019, Block 6020, Block 6995, Block 6999; Tract 9606: Block Group 1: Block 1007; Block Group 2: Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Tract 9607: Block Group 3: Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014; Duplin County: Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN; Jones County: Precinct CYPRESS CREEK: Tract 9803: Block Group 2: Block 2081; Block Group 3: Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3073; Precinct CHINQUAPIN: Tract 9803: Block Group 3: Block Group 2: Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2082; Precinct TUCKAHOE: Tract 9803: Block Group 2: Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2045, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2083; Block Group 3: Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3075, Block 3076, Block 3077, Block 3078, Block 3079, Block 3080, Block 3081, Block 3082, Block 3083, Block 3084, Block 3085, Block 3086, Block 3087, Block 3088, Block 3089, Block 3090, Block 3091, Block 3092, Block 3093, Block 3094, Block 3095; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE: Tract 110: Block Group 6: Block

6022; Precinct NEUSE: Tract 107: Block Group 2: Block 2997, Block 2998; Block Group 3: Block 3999; Tract 112: Block Group 3: Block 3009; Tract 113: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4987, Block 4988, Block 4989, Block 4991, Block 4992, Block 4993, Block 4994, Block 4996, Block 4997, Block 4998, Block 4999; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028; Tract 114: Block Group 2: Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct FALLING CREEK: Tract 107: Block Group 3: Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3998; Tract 110: Block Group 1: Block 1029, Block 1030; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3005, Block 3999; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4999; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5031, Block 5032, Block 5033, Block 5034, Block 5035, Block 5036, Block 5037, Block 5038, Block 5039, Block 5040, Block 5041; Block Group 6: Block 6000, Block 6001, Block 6002, Block 6003,

Block 6004, Block 6005, Block 6006, Block 6007, Block 6008, Block 6009, Block 6017, Block 6018, Block 6019, Block 6020, Block 6021, Block 6026, Block 6027, Block 6028, Block 6029, Block 6030, Block 6031, Block 6032, Block 6033, Block 6034, Block 6035, Block 6036, Block 6037, Block 6038, Block 6039, Block 6040, Block 6041, Block 6042, Block 6043, Block 6044, Block 6045, Block 6046, Block 6047, Block 6995, Block 6996, Block 6997, Block 6998, Block 6999; Tract 113: Block Group 4: Block 4018, Block 4028, Block 4990, Block 4995; Precinct KINSTON 1: Tract 114: Block Group 2: Block 2997, Block 2998; Precinct KINSTON 3: Tract 106: Block Group 2: Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034; Block Group 4: Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026; Tract 107: Block Group 1: Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021; Block Group 2: Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2996; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046; Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct MOSELEY HALL: Tract 111: Block Group 3: Block 3047; Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Pender County: Precinct LOWER TOPSAIL, Precinct MIDDLE HOLLY, Precinct ROCKY POINT, Precinct SURF CITY, Precinct SCOTTS HILL, Precinct UPPER TOPSAIL; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01: Tract 16: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1020; Block Group 2: Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2054; Block Group 3: Block 3005, Block 3006; Tract 17: Block Group 1: Block 1023, Block 1024, Block

1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077; Tract 18: Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004; Precinct 6.01, Precinct 12.01: Tract 2: Block Group 2: Block 2997, Block 2999; Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.04: Tract 16: Block Group 2: Block 2010; Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A: Tract 13: Block Group 1: Block 1091, Block 1092; Tract 14: Block Group 1: Block 1048, Block 1049; Block Group 5: Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5034; Block Group 6: Block 6007, Block 6008, Block 6009, Block 6010, Block 6011, Block 6012, Block 6013, Block 6014, Block 6015, Block 6016, Block 6017, Block 6018, Block 6019, Block 6020, Block 6021, Block 6022, Block 6023, Block 6024, Block 6026, Block 6027; Tract 16: Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029; Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B: Tract 10: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3004, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4999; Tract 11: Block Group 1: Block 1018; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2006, Block 2010, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015; Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A: Tract 6: Block Group 2: Block 2040, Block 2043, Block 2044; Precinct 15.12B; Washington County: Precinct LEES MILL: Tract 9501: Block Group 3: Block 3031; Precinct SCUPPERNONG, Precinct SKINNERSVILLE: Tract 9501: Block Group 3: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1998, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2003; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3013,

Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3032, Block 3999; Block Group 4: Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021; Tract 9503: Block Group 1: Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1113, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1998; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6: Tract 3.01: Block Group 3: Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3016, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027; Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 10: Tract 12: Block Group 1: Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006; Precinct Precinct 11: Tract 12: Block Group 2: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070; Precinct Precinct 12: Tract 13: Block Group 3: Block 3001, Block 3002; Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15: Tract 3.02: Block Group 2: Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030; Tract 4: Block Group 1: Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3992, Block 3993, Block 3994, Block 3996; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4005, Block 4006; Tract 6.02: Block Group 3: Block 3016, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3040, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block

4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4998, Block 4999; Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 17: Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004; Tract 13: Block Group 3: Block 3066, Block 3067; Precinct Precinct 19: Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1002; Precinct Precinct 21: Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1001; Tract 13: Block Group 3: Block 3040; Precinct Precinct 22: Tract 13: Block Group 3: Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057; Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30.

District 4: Caswell County, Durham County, Orange County, Person County: Precinct BRUSHY FORK, Precinct FLAT RIVER: Tract 9805: Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3005, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056; Tract 9806: Block Group 1: Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block

2059, Block 2060, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010; Block Group 4: Block 4048; Precinct HURDLE MILLS, Precinct MT. TIRZAH, Precinct OLIVE HILL: Tract 9802: Block Group 3: Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076, Block 3077, Block 3078, Block 3079, Block 3080, Block 3081, Block 3082, Block 3083, Block 3084, Block 3085, Block 3086, Block 3087, Block 3090, Block 3092, Block 3093, Block 3094, Block 3095, Block 3096, Block 3097, Block 3098, Block 3099, Block 3100, Block 3101, Block 3102, Block 3103, Block 3104, Block 3105, Block 3106, Block 3107, Block 3108, Block 3994, Block 3995, Block 3996, Block 3997; Block Group 4: Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4081, Block 4995; Tract 9806: Block Group 4: Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4993, Block 4996; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5018; Precinct ROXBORO 1: Tract 9806: Block Group 5: Block Group 1: Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1045; Block Group 4: Block 4037, Block 4044, Block 4047; Precinct ROXBORO 4: Tract 9805: Block Group 3: Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3042; Precinct ROXBORO 1A: Tract 9802: Block Group 4: Block 4079, Block 4080, Block 4082, Block 4996; Tract 9806: Block Group 4: Block 4005, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4064, Block 4992, Block 4995, Block 4997, Block 4998; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-27, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-47, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04: Tract 537.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000,

Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1021; Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-06, Precinct 18-08.

District 5: Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Rockingham County, Stokes County, Surry County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 033: Tract 27.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000; Tract 28.05: Block Group 2: Block 2027, Block 2028; Tract 28.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024; Tract 28.07: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021; Tract 29.01: Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2033; Precinct 034, Precinct 043: Tract 33.03: Block Group 3: Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039; Tract 34.01: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1013, Block 1016, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019; Tract 34.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2021, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2035; Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 101, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122,

Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 207, Precinct 306, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 602, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703, Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909.

District 6: Alamance County, Randolph County, Davidson County: Precinct BOONE: Tract 618.01: Block Group 5: Block 5006; Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct HP, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 61: Tract 126.09: Block Group 2: Block 2007; Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64, Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26, Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jamestown 5,

Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct North Madison, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 2, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington.

District 7: Anson County, Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Richmond County, Robeson County, Scotland County, Cumberland County: Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11: Tract 2: Block Group 3: Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3064, Block 3065; Tract 5: Block Group 2: Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2026, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2048, Block 2050; Block Group 3: Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041; Tract 15: Block Group 1: Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1028; Tract 16.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1029; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STONEY POINT.

District 8: Cabarrus County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Stanly County, Union County, Mecklenburg County: Precinct 083, Precinct 094, Precinct 105, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 237, Precinct 141, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 216, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221; Moore County: Precinct BENSALEM, Precinct CAMERON, Precinct CARTHAGE, Precinct EAST ABERDEEN, Precinct EUREKA, Precinct EASTWOOD, Precinct KNOLLWOOD, Precinct LITTLE RIVER: Tract 9505.01: Block Group 2: Block 2075; Block Group 3: Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076, Block 3077, Block 3078, Block 3081, Block 3084, Block 3085, Block 3086, Block 3087, Block 3088; Tract 9505.02: Block Group 1: Block 1044, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1100, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block

1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1108, Block 1109; Tract 9510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1113, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1143, Block 1144, Block 1999; Precinct NORTH SOUTHERN PINES, Precinct PINEBLUFF, Precinct PINEDENE, Precinct ROBBINS, Precinct SEVEN LAKES, Precinct SOUTH SOUTHERN PINES, Precinct TAYLORTOWN, Precinct VASS, Precinct WEST ABERDEEN, Precinct WEST MOORE, Precinct WEST END, Precinct PINEHURST A, Precinct PINEHURST B, Precinct PINEHURST C, Precinct D-H-R; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct South Locke, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Faith, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Enochville.

District 9: Gaston County, Cleveland County: Precinct Shelby 1, Precinct Shelby 2, Precinct Shelby 3, Precinct Shelby 5, Precinct Shelby 6, Precinct Shelby 7, Precinct Kings Mountain 1, Precinct Kings Mountain 2, Precinct Kings Mountain 3, Precinct Kings Mountain 4, Precinct Waco, Precinct Boiling Springs, Precinct Oak Grove, Precinct Rippy, Precinct Bethware, Precinct Grover, Precinct Hot Springs, Precinct MRB-YO, Precinct Shanghai; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 002, Precinct 005, Precinct 007, Precinct 008, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 032, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 038, Precinct 044, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 051, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 061, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1072, Block 1079; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 096, Precinct 099, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 109, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 117, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121; Precinct 122, Precinct 225: Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1013,

Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1070, Block 1078, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025; Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 228, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 240, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 138, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 200, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 211, Precinct 215, Precinct 217, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224.

District 10: Alexander County, Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Watauga County, Cleveland County: Precinct Shelby 4, Precinct Shelby 8, Precinct Lattimore, Precinct Casar, Precinct Mulls, Precinct Fallston, Precinct Kingstown, Precinct Lawndale, Precinct Polkville; Iredell County: Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Shiloh, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE: Tract 617: Block Group 5: Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029, Block 5030, Block 5031, Block 5032, Block 5033, Block

5035, Block 5036, Block 5037, Block 5038, Block 5039, Block 5040, Block 5041, Block 5042, Block 5043, Block 5044; Block Group 6: Block 6008, Block 6009, Block 6010, Block 6011, Block 6012, Block 6013, Block 6014, Block 6015, Block 6016, Block 6017, Block 6018, Block 6019, Block 6020, Block 6021, Block 6022, Block 6023, Block 6024; Block Group 7: Block 7001, Block 7002, Block 7003, Block 7004, Block 7005, Block 7006, Block 7007, Block 7008, Block 7009, Block 7010, Block 7011, Block 7012, Block 7013, Block 7014, Block 7015, Block 7016, Block 7017, Block 7018, Block 7019, Block 7020, Block 7021, Block 7022, Block 7998; Tract 618.01: Block Group 5: Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5996, Block 5997, Block 5998; Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033: Tract 27.02: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1012; Tract 28.06: Block Group 1: Block 1033, Block 1034; Precinct 042, Precinct 043: Tract 34.01: Block Group 1: Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1022, Block 1033, Block 1041; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034; Tract 34.02: Block Group 2: Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2022, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2036, Block 2037; Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506; Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 61: Tract 126.07: Block Group 1: Block 1023; Tract 126.09: Block Group 2: Block 2006; Tract 126.17: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block

1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040; Tract 165.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009; Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 6; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 004, Precinct 006, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1071, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 084, Precinct 095, Precinct 097, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 107, Precinct 108, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 128, Precinct 225: Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1012; Precinct 238, Precinct 239, Precinct 241, Precinct 130, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 135, Precinct 202, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 210, Precinct 212, Precinct 213, Precinct 214; Rowan County: Precinct Franklin, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II.

District 13: Chatham County, Lee County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3: Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1034; Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct

CROSS CREEK 11: Tract 2: Block Group 3: Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053; Tract 4: Block Group 1: Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1052, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061; Tract 5: Block Group 1: Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1008, Block 1010, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1031, Block 1033, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1044, Block 1045; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3032; Tract 15: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Tract 16.02: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1012; Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MANCHESTER, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Harnett County: Precinct BARBECUE, Precinct BUCKHORN, Precinct JOHNSONVILLE, Precinct UPPER LITTLE RIVER 2; Moore County: Precinct LITTLE RIVER: Tract 9505.01: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3079, Block 3080, Block 3089, Block 3090, Block 3091, Block 3092, Block 3093, Block 3094, Block 3095, Block 3096, Block 3097, Block 3098, Block 3099, Block 3100, Block 3101, Block 3102, Block 3103, Block 3104, Block 3105, Block 3106, Block 3107, Block 3108, Block 3109, Block 3110, Block 3111, Block 3112, Block 3113, Block 3114, Block 3115, Block 3116, Block 3117, Block 3118, Block 3119, Block 3120, Block 3121, Block 3122, Block 3123, Block 3124, Block 3125, Block 3126, Block 3127, Block 3128, Block 3129, Block 3130, Block 3131, Block

3132, Block 3133, Block 3134, Block 3135, Block 3136, Block 3137, Block 3992, Block 3993, Block 3994, Block 3995, Block 3996, Block 3997, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9505.02: Block Group 1: Block 1116, Block 1117; Wake County: Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-35, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:

a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.

b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.

c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.

d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.

e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:
a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.
b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:
a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.
b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:
a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.
b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:
a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.
b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.
c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.
d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.
e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.

f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.
g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.
h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.
i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.
j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.
k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.
l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.
m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.
n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.
(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.
(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congressional Plan (McMahan)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1 Clayton	1	619,326	619,178	-148	-0.02%
2 Etheridge	1	619,422	619,178	-244	-0.04%
3 Jones	1	619,506	619,178	-328	-0.05%
4 Price	1	619,351	619,178	-173	-0.03%
5 Burr	1	619,292	619,178	-114	-0.02%
6 Coble	1	619,544	619,178	-366	-0.06%
7 McIntyre	1	618,791	619,178	387	0.06%
8 Hayes	1	619,108	619,178	70	0.01%
9 Myrick	1	619,073	619,178	105	0.02%
10 Ballenger	1	618,857	619,178	321	0.05%
11 Taylor	1	618,929	619,178	249	0.04%
12 Watt	1	619,020	619,178	158	0.03%
13	1	619,094	619,178	84	0.01%

Congressional Plan (McMahan) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	266685	73.72%	62547	17.29%	259	0.07%	32256	8.92%	32752	9.05%	105729	29.23%	155116	42.88%	68141	18.84%
2	179459	53.63%	111948	33.45%	320	0.10%	42897	12.82%	30701	9.17%	115191	34.42%	141515	42.29%	47215	14.11%
3	170104	50.52%	110506	32.82%	455	0.14%	55642	16.53%	36609	10.87%	99112	29.44%	145313	43.16%	55676	16.54%
4	238255	52.49%	126558	27.88%	1154	0.25%	87912	19.37%	57399	12.65%	174368	38.42%	174252	38.39%	47866	10.55%
5	164283	41.63%	172221	43.64%	384	0.10%	57715	14.63%	34371	8.71%	120135	30.44%	165952	42.06%	74146	18.79%
6	157714	41.07%	164132	42.74%	507	0.13%	61680	16.06%	38068	9.91%	126818	33.02%	159832	41.61%	59370	15.46%
7	229138	60.07%	98609	25.85%	542	0.14%	53147	13.93%	37048	9.71%	122029	31.99%	162135	42.50%	60241	15.79%
8	159065	40.83%	161855	41.55%	376	0.10%	68276	17.53%	38432	9.87%	128654	33.02%	164019	42.10%	58465	15.01%
9	159534	38.86%	170950	41.64%	584	0.14%	79465	19.36%	35322	8.60%	148369	36.14%	173116	42.17%	53721	13.09%
10	146280	39.46%	162637	43.87%	480	0.13%	61305	16.54%	37252	10.05%	117836	31.79%	158309	42.71%	57305	15.46%
11	199682	45.74%	157900	36.17%	648	0.15%	78312	17.94%	38688	8.86%	119041	27.27%	183667	42.07%	95146	21.80%
12	220677	60.23%	90115	24.60%	424	0.12%	55151	15.05%	42346	11.56%	137222	37.46%	138180	37.72%	48568	13.26%
13	173726	49.39%	110550	31.43%	620	0.18%	66864	19.01%	38963	11.08%	135664	38.57%	142851	40.61%	34269	9.74%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

[redacted]oposed Congressional Districts (McMahan) - N[redacted] Carolina 2000 General Election Results by D[redacted]ct

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	123156	68.50%	55055	30.62%	1582	0.88%	108397	62.73%	64403	37.27%	114881	68.54%	52729	31.46%
2	102886	55.02%	81894	43.80%	2202	1.18%	86565	47.58%	95360	52.42%	92317	51.66%	86394	48.34%
3	84627	51.03%	78311	47.22%	2904	1.75%	65779	41.62%	92271	58.38%	73315	48.02%	79374	51.98%
4	137410	61.88%	80392	36.20%	4269	1.92%	128573	59.96%	85870	40.04%	127122	60.51%	82964	39.49%
5	96237	45.17%	113011	53.04%	3824	1.79%	84269	40.95%	121525	59.05%	83811	42.05%	115507	57.95%
6	89936	46.17%	101471	52.09%	3394	1.74%	86315	45.54%	103230	54.46%	76923	42.31%	104903	57.69%
7	113578	63.23%	61610	34.30%	4449	2.48%	96681	56.75%	73688	43.25%	99657	60.38%	65400	39.62%
8	79800	41.83%	107656	56.43%	3321	1.74%	74229	40.87%	107384	59.13%	73202	41.51%	103159	58.49%
9	74763	40.39%	107134	57.88%	3194	1.73%	73216	41.78%	102006	58.22%	71587	42.04%	98693	57.96%
10	79254	41.76%	106618	56.17%	3934	2.07%	70267	38.14%	113967	61.86%	72946	40.70%	106276	59.30%
11	97613	48.53%	98282	48.86%	5237	2.60%	85117	45.13%	103488	54.87%	88480	47.46%	97952	52.54%
12	106744	66.96%	50470	31.66%	2208	1.39%	104843	67.39%	50732	32.61%	102744	67.36%	49797	32.64%
13	107167	57.46%	76231	40.87%	3112	1.67%	97423	53.99%	83039	46.01%	98157	55.30%	79356	44.70%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Congressional Plan (McMahan) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619326	291072	47.00%	306639	49.51%	4425	0.71%	2439	0.39%	9605	1.55%	5146	0.83%	17961	2.90%
2	619422	419881	67.79%	158700	25.62%	4129	0.67%	4411	0.71%	24955	4.03%	7346	1.19%	41385	6.68%
3	619506	469909	75.85%	115884	18.71%	2865	0.46%	6774	1.09%	13855	2.24%	10219	1.65%	26687	4.31%
4	619351	422002	68.14%	144263	23.29%	1966	0.32%	22928	3.70%	17600	2.84%	10592	1.71%	36118	5.83%
5	619292	530432	85.65%	63454	10.25%	1531	0.25%	4323	0.70%	13802	2.23%	5750	0.93%	26867	4.34%
6	619544	513306	82.85%	74405	12.01%	2488	0.40%	9212	1.49%	13069	2.11%	7064	1.14%	28149	4.54%
7	618791	387216	62.58%	154607	24.99%	56344	9.11%	4026	0.65%	8760	1.42%	7838	1.27%	19359	3.13%
8	619108	493362	79.69%	91115	14.72%	6182	1.00%	7331	1.18%	14410	2.33%	6708	1.08%	30967	5.00%
9	619073	498458	80.52%	86798	14.02%	1726	0.28%	14108	2.28%	10835	1.75%	7148	1.15%	26154	4.22%
10	618857	545840	88.20%	45921	7.42%	1532	0.25%	9864	1.59%	10038	1.62%	5662	0.91%	22346	3.61%
11	618929	566367	91.51%	26517	4.28%	9911	1.60%	3234	0.52%	6553	1.06%	6347	1.03%	16391	2.65%
12	619020	275836	44.56%	295023	47.66%	2553	0.41%	14016	2.26%	21334	3.45%	10258	1.66%	43148	6.97%
13	619094	390975	63.15%	174219	28.14%	3899	0.63%	15006	2.42%	21813	3.52%	13182	2.13%	43431	7.02%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Proposed House Congressional Plan 13 (McMahan)
Split Counties
November 5, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Beaufort		
		1	25,177
		3	19,781
2	Cleveland		
		9	71,153
		10	25,134
3	Craven		
		1	26,359
		3	65,077
4	Cumberland		
		2	18,470
		7	67,138
		13	217,355
5	Davidson		
		6	75,441
		12	71,805
6	Duplin		
		2	45,005
		3	4,058
7	Forsyth		
		5	239,558
		12	66,509
8	Granville		
		1	22,830
		2	25,668
9	Guilford		
		6	282,849
		12	138,199
10	Harnett		
		2	69,925
		13	21,100
11	Iredell		
		10	74,853
		12	47,807
12	Jones		
		1	9,463
		3	918
13	Lenoir		
		1	31,400
		3	28,248
14	Mecklenburg		
		8	74,512
		9	357,555
		12	263,387
15	Moore		
		8	72,261
		13	2,508
16	Nash		
		1	4,057
		2	83,363
17	Pender		
		2	20,473
		3	20,609

18	Person		
		1	22,899
		4	12,724
19	Pitt		
		1	59,038
		3	74,760
20	Rowan		
		8	99,027
		12	31,313
21	Rutherford		
		10	37,690
		11	25,209
22	Wake		
		2	106,499
		4	241,585
		13	279,762
23	Washington		
		1	10,577
		3	3,146
24	Wayne		
		1	33,006
		3	80,323
25	Wilson		
		1	53,181
		2	20,633

Proposed House Congressional Plan 13(McMahan)
Split Precincts
November 5, 2001

Unit			District	Population
[redacted]				
WASHINGTON WARD 1				
			1	2,282
			3	98
WASHINGTON WARD 4				
			1	3,012
			3	157
Craven				
TRENT WOODS				
			1	1,160
			3	3,032
RHEMS				
			1	889
			3	37
VANCEBORO				
			1	10
			3	5,075
FORT TOTTEN				
			1	3,306
			3	471
GROVER C FIELDS				
			1	2,421
			3	412
[redacted]ONALD				
			1	3,856
			3	1,292
GLENBURNIE PARK				
			1	2,600
			3	602
WEST NEW BERN				
			1	1,299
			3	3,341
Cumberland				
PEARCES MILL 3				
			2	2,570
			13	13
CROSS CREEK 11				
			7	828
			13	1,365
Davidson				
BOONE				
			6	0
			12	4,483
Forsyth				
033				
			5	3,393
			12	0
043				
[redacted]			5	2,771
			12	2,032
Guilford				
Greensboro 61				
			6	14

			12	3,476
Jones				
CYPRESS CREEK				
			1	905
			3	2
CHINQUAPIN				
			1	681
			3	0
TUCKAHOE				
			1	0
			3	916
Lenoir				
INSTITUTE				
			1	2,398
			3	6
NEUSE				
			1	0
			3	5,240
FALLING CREEK				
			1	0
			3	5,890
KINSTON 1				
			1	2,168
			3	0
KINSTON 3				
			1	0
			3	2,212
MOSELEY HALL				
			1	5,618
			3	0
Mecklenburg				
077				
			9	84
			12	3,246
225				
			9	2,034
			12	0
Moore				
LITTLE RIVER				
			8	577
			13	2,508
Person				
FLAT RIVER				
			1	18
			4	4,867
OLIVE HILL				
			1	25
			4	2,206
ROXBORO 1				
			1	3,095
			4	101
ROXBORO 4				
			1	2,153
			4	4
ROXBORO 1A				
			1	2,736
			4	54
Pitt				
1.01				

			1	164
			3	4,313
12.01				
			1	5,373
			3	0
[redacted]				
			1	6,199
			3	70
2.00A				
			1	1,650
			3	1,522
11.02B				
			1	13
			3	3,472
15.12A				
			1	3,386
			3	0
Wake				
08-04				
			2	12
			4	2,217
Washington				
LEES MILL				
			1	3,064
			3	0
SKINNERSVILLE				
			1	58
			3	1,261
Wayne				
[redacted]				
			1	2,357
			3	1,064
Precinct 10				
			1	2,905
			3	134
Precinct 11				
			1	2,448
			3	692
Precinct 12				
			1	3,797
			3	0
Precinct 15				
			1	321
			3	4,530
Precinct 17				
			1	2,582
			3	592
Precinct 19				
			1	1,840
			3	1,600
Precinct 21				
			1	2,383
			3	844
Precinct 22				
[redacted]			1	477
			3	2,510
Wilson				
WILSON P				
			1	1,462

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL PLAN 13 (McMAHAN)



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 2, 2001.

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 6, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
H. Webb	Raleigh
Robert Wilson	Sec of State
Bill Peele	ACCUP
Steve Wall	CCNC
Richard Hudson	Concord, NC
Bill Wilson	NCATL
Roz Sawyer	NCCA

Sharon Cram (Rep. McMahan)

From: Vanda Wilson-Wormack (Rep. Wright)
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2001 2:48 PM
Subject: Public Hearing Notice-House Congressional Redistricting

NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
2001-2002 SESSION

The House Committee on **Congressional Redistricting** will hold a **Public Hearing**

Day & Date: **Thursday, November 8, 2001**

Time: **4:00pm-6:00pm**

Location: **Legislative Auditorium**

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning the following proposed Congressional Redistricting Plans:

(Wright)- House Congressional Plan.
(McMahan)-House Congressional Plan 13.

Representative Thomas E.Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

I hereby certify this notice was filed by the committee assistant at the following offices at 3:00pm o'clock on Friday, November 2, 2001.

Principal Clerk
Reading Clerk - House Chamber
Press Room

Vanda Wilson-Wormack and Sharon Cram (Committee Assistants)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

PUBLIC HEARING ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

BASED ON THE 2000 CENSUS

COPY

BEFORE: Representative Ed McMahan
Congressional Redistricting
Committee

Representative Thomas Wright
Congressional Redistricting
Committee

TRANSCRIPT

OF

PUBLIC HEARING

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 8, 2001 - 4:10 p.m.

Reported by:
Christine M. Kirby, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Christine (Tina) M. Kirby, being a Notary Public in and for the state of North Carolina, was appointed commissioner by consent to take the Public Hearing on Congressional Redistricting Based on the 2000 Census, held on the 8th day of November, 2001, beginning at 4:10 p.m., at the Legislative Auditorium located in Raleigh, North Carolina.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I'd like to ask everyone to please take a seat. We want to go ahead and get started. We are about ten minutes late.

I would like to welcome each and everyone of you to our Legislative Redistricting, our Congressional Redistricting Hearing. We are very pleased to have you today. I understand there are people that have travelled here from all over the state.

I am Representative Ed McMahan from Charlotte; and Representative Thomas Wright, he will be saying a few words shortly. He is the -- we are co-chairing the Redistricting

Committee together.

I'd first like to take one moment, if I could, to introduce some staff members. First, Bill Gilkeson and Karen Cochran-Brown, if you will raise your hands. They are helping. These are our staff people that are helping us on this committee.

We have a number of people here from the sergeant-of-arms in the General Assembly. We've got Shannon Batten. Shanna, raise your hand. Jack Dawson, back -- Jack, there you are there back in the back. Martha Gattison. Charles Williams. And Frances Poole -- where's Frances? She's outside.

Anyway, again, we welcome you here. We originally -- and I want to introduce the legislators that are here. If each legislator that's here, would you please rise and just say your name, if you would, and what county you represent or what district. We will start here with Leslie Cox.

REP COX: I am Leslie Cox. I am from Lee County, Sanford.

REPRESENTATIVE MITCHELL: Frank

Mitchell from Iredell County.

REPRESENTATIVE JUSTUS: I am Larry Justus from Henderson and Polk County.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: A lot of you all here probably know Larry. He is from your neck of the woods.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: I am Alma Adams from Greensboro, the 26th District, Guilford County.

REPRESENTATIVE FOX: Stan Fox. Warren, Vance and Granville Counties.

REPRESENTATIVE JEFFUS: Maggie Jeffus from Guilford County, Greensboro. I represent the 89th District.

REPRESENTATIVE SHUBERT: Fern Shubert from Union County.

REPRESENTATIVE CARPENTER: Marge Carpenter from Graham, Swain, Madison, Jackson and Haywood Counties.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We are mighty proud to have Marge here. I want you all each and everyone to know that. Is that all the legislators?

Again, we are glad you are here. What

we want to do, we'd said in the instructions that each speaker would have up to five minutes. We actually have more speakers than the allocated two hours if each of you use five minutes. So we'd like to try to limit it to three minutes, if you are able to. We don't want to cut a presentation short that you have put time and effort into, but please keep that in mind.

Our sergeant-at-arms here will signal to us when the three minutes has expired and we will tell you, or you can watch him; and then, of course, at five minutes, we will cut you off. But we do want you to try to limit your remarks to three minutes, so we can keep this within a couple of hours, two and a half hours.

I think we have -- how many, Thomas? Thirty speakers and they are still signing up. So -- but I now would like to turn it over to Representative Wright for a few words.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Representative McMahan. And certainly before I get started, there is a distinguished

member of the North Carolina Senate here with us. I have only seen one senator, so if you would, please stand, sir, so that you are recognized. That's Senator Frank Ballance, the Deputy President Pro Tem of the Senate. Senator Ballance, glad that you are here.

Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to this public hearing of the North Carolina House on the Congressional Redistricting plans. What you will see to your left, and to your right are two maps. One is a version drawn by the Democratic members of the Congressional Redistricting Committee; the other is drawn by the Republican members of the Congressional Redistricting Committee.

What we would like to do this evening is receive your public comment and your input on those proposals or anything else that you would like to share with us regarding congressional redistricting. And as you recall, earlier in this year we conducted public hearings across the state to receive your input on how the Legislature should draw

legislative and congressional redistricting maps.

So, as a result of those public hearings and our efforts here in the Legislature, what you have now is the initial results of that. And we promised the citizens of the state that we would hold an additional public hearing once those maps were prepared and presented so that we could receive your input for further deliberation.

The way we understand the process is going to work, according to my co-chair and I, that once we have received your input and complete our work today and this weekend -- or tonight and this weekend, next week our committee will reconvene -- or convene for the deliberation and action on one or both of those plans.

Or, who knows, we may have to come up with something entirely different depending on what we hear from you tonight.

So, with that, Mr. Chairman, or Mr. Co-chair, I would guess we should probably get started. And, if I can, Mr. Chairman,

extend our time to I guess 6:15 and if necessary an additional 15 minutes since we have more citizens who are scheduled to speak than we have time allowed. We certainly want to hear from each and every one of you who would like to and are desirous of speaking on this plan.

One last point I would make is there are three students from Raleigh Charter School here, and we are glad that you all are present and here with us this evening. It's an optional credit and extracurricular program here for them. So glad you are here to get a lesson in civics and congressional redistricting. So thank you all for being here.

With that, Mr. Chairman and members, we will hear from our first speaker and that is John Young. If you would come to the podium and mic here to my right. Thank you.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Honorable Chairman and committee members, for allowing us this opportunity to speak on this important issue.

Ladies and gentlemen, my name is John Young. I live in Yancey County, North Carolina, in the 11th Congressional District. And, Mr. Chairman, with your permission, I'd like to ask those here from the 11th District to stand at this time.

[11TH DISTRICT ATTENDEES STAND]

MR. YOUNG: Thank you. I am a conservative Democrat. I am a Democrat who is outraged over this proposed redistricting plan. I am a cartographer by trade. As a professional map-maker, it is readily apparent to me that the plan proposed is simply manipulation of the demographics to serve political interest.

I understand that 30,000 voters must be removed from the district by law. This is a fair law that I support.

What is appalling to me is the manner in which the district is to be divided. To remove 30,000 voters from a district is a simple matter. Remove an entire county or several precincts along the outside edge of a congressional district until the proper

numbers are achieved.

Instead, you have chosen to make this horseshoe-shaped district, shaped like a "C" or a horseshoe.

Why is that? Is it simply to stack the numbers for some political gain? I assert that it is precisely that.

To label this proposal as fair and unbiased is insulting to the intelligence of all North Carolinians. To suggest that it is based upon any scientific data other than to gain a Congressional seat is beyond the pale.

Our Congressman has been enormously popular with both political parties. He is reelected each term with unprecedented bipartisan support. He is popular with both parties because he is fair.

Please allow me to make the following suggestions to those of you who would support this proposed redistricting scam.

For you to remove from District 11 our Congressman's greatest supporting counties is obviously political. For you to substitute historically overwhelmingly Democratic voting

counties into the district is a clear sign that you have not even a single shred of conscience. For you to remove a Congressman's home county from his district is unheard of.

For you to shift the demographics in this manner clearly involves racial profiling and is probably illegal. For you to blaspheme the American system of government with these antics borders on treason.

A great many Democrats and Republics alike are watching to see the outcome of this hearing. I understand that even though the Democrats could possibly gain a seat if this plan is ratified, Democrats of integrity will never stand for such underhanded politics.

We will expose this ploy and those of you who support it for what it is. Politics.

Please do not underestimate our resolve on this. Please do not continue to embarrass the Democratic Party with these antics.

Please hold a hearing in Western North Carolina and let the people of the mountains speak.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Young. Our next presenter is Bobbie Dean English from McDowell County.

MS. ENGLISH: My name is Bobbie English, retired teacher, senior citizen, farmer. And I'd like to talk with you a little bit about the redistricting plan. I am also a Democrat for Charles Taylor.

And I'd like to tell you a little story. It seems like this ol' lady, about like me, came to Raleigh and checked into one of these fine hotels and she looked around a little bit and she flew to the telephone and she rung the desk.

And she said, "There's a man up here that's indecently exposed." And the clerk went running up there and he looked around and he said, "Lady, there's no indecently exposed man up here."

She said, "Look out that window."

And he said, "You can just see head and shoulders there across the court."

She said, "Climb on that table and take a look."

So that's what we want you legislators to do. Is to climb on that table and take a look at this redistricting plan.

[APPLAUSE]

MS. ENGLISH: Our nation's democracy is based on the vote of its citizens. You, our legislators, are responsible for giving each North Carolina voter an equal opportunity and voice, and to help keep our democracy strong.

Please don't be swayed by partisan politics. I believe the McMahan Plan for redistricting is the best plan for North Carolina. Divided counties are hurtful for the economy and for the political health of that county.

I see fewer divided counties in the McMahan Plan. I would like to see McDowell County remain in the 11th District as a county intact.

Our economics, our environment, our conservation needs are more like our sister mountain counties. We are not very much

like the Piedmont. We have one little south end that has red dirt, but that's about all that we feel akin to the Piedmont, even though we love our brothers and sisters there, too.

So take a good look. And let's do the best for our citizens of this country. Thank you so much.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Ms. English. Our next presenter is Paul Boyd.

MR. BOYD: Thank you, gentlemen, for this opportunity. My name is Paul Boyd. I am from McDowell County, the 11th District. This Wright Plan here, we are taken out of the 11th District completely and put in the 10th District. We've worked hard to have our people represent us and we don't want to be taken away from the 11th District. We want to be left alone.

The best thing you can do for us is leave us alone. We are doing fine. And we don't want your help. No, just leave us alone. We have to come 200 miles down here

to tell you this. It's not a pleasant thing. Especially when you schedule us for 4:00 in the afternoon and try to keep us up late at night getting back home. We do not appreciate it.

I am a veteran. And veterans have been treated better by Charles Taylor than anybody that's ever represented us.

[APPLAUSE]

MR. BOYD: I was in the Korean War. Maybe some of you people may not remember that one. It's the one where we got spit on, us soldiers did, for wearing our uniforms. They didn't like us for being there. It was kind of like the Vietnam War. It was a total failure. And I didn't want to be there.

I went to war for my country. I didn't go to war for legislators that would have me to come to Raleigh every little bit and tell them how I feel. That isn't fair to me. We appoint people and we should have hearings there in our county where we can come and talk with them without having to drive 200

miles at 4:00 p.m.

And to be reasonable and fair and square, we just think you guys ought to be better to us. If you are going to have to change a district, which it's the law you have to, I'd like to ask you to do a north and south with your lines; not meander around 'til nobody don't know where they are supposed to vote.

And this is unreasonable. The McMahan Plan is a little better, but it's still bad enough. I think you ought to let me show you how to do that.

[LAUGHTER AND APPLAUSE]

MR. BOYD: I appreciate the opportunity to get to speak in this great hall and with these honorable people. Some of them very beautiful and sweet to me. But, I'll tell you, I am not too happy about having to come at this time of day. My time is valuable also, and I'd like to come in the daytime. You know, it's work hours and most legislators would be here probably then.

I am not going to set any new records or

anything like that, trying to or anything. I am just a farmer and a landowner, and I don't know a lot. I'm not trying to tell you I do. But I have been jerked around a whole lot here lately with this redistricting plan and the state taking my property along the streams. And lots of things that's been totally unfair in the buffer zone and things like this. We are just not being treated right in the mountains.

The mountains, sometimes 50 feet is all the land we've got to farm along a stream. You take that 50 feet away, all we've got is hillsides. Down the Piedmont and east coast, they've got more room. That's just another one of my complaints. I just threw that in extra. It didn't cost you any money.

Thank you very much.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Boyd. Our next presenter is Jerry Hunter.

MR. HUNTER: Thank you, Honorable Chairman Wright. I am Jerry Hunter from McDowell County. I am a two-term former

county commissioner, so I've lived through this, partly. And I am king of the livermush makers. I work with the public all the time. I work the stores and stuff. You have -- hear people talking all the time how bad this plan is.

Both parties are beating us to death on this, why in the world we are doing this. And what it comes dow to is, no one likes change. This Wright Plan makes the Democrats and the Republicans mad. We need a plan that has whole counties like the McMahan Plan, not parts of counties like the Wright Plan.

This is really going to make it real hard on people that are running for office. When you run for office, you are supposed to know everybody in your party that's running for office, plus all the people that's running for office on your opposite party. And they will point blank ask you which one is the best.

Well, this is going to multiply the number of people that you have to know when

they keep splitting up all these districts up and keep giving you more and more in the county. One county should be basically in one district.

Furthermore, I called the chairman of the Democratic Party last night and most of you people would think that we don't talk to each other, but we do.

Lewis Wall, McDowell Democratic Party Chairman, said -- and he told me that I could use his name, too -- that the McDowell County Democrats are mad over this plan. The Democrats will vote Republican in 2002 just like they did in 1992 and 1994 and you will ultimately lose what you are trying to do. Just check the figures.

Thank you very much.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Hunter. Our next presenter is Steve Hinson.

MR. HENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. I want to thank you for this opportunity to come give our comments today.

My name is Steve Henson. I am a resident of Haywood County. I am also the executive director of the Southern Appalachian Multi-Use Counsel, which is a non-profit 501C organization in Haywood County.

We represent about 50 small businesses employing about 4,000 hardworking constituents of Districts 10 and 11.

For the record, both my organization and me as a private individual are adamantly opposed to the adoption of the Wright Plan. We are also strong supporters of the McMahan Plan. One only has to take one look at the Wright Plan to see the blatant partisanship going on here in Raleigh.

After notifying all my members yesterday of this ridiculous plan, I got a call back from one of my larger members and he explained what in the world is going on in Raleigh. Do we have a bunch of kids down there with crayons making these maps up?

He's got a real good point. All you have to do is take a look at it and see for

yourself. Unfortunately, it also reveals the childish greedy mentality it takes to promote such an unreasonable approach to redistricting in Districts 10 and 11.

It is partisan activities that turn people off to state government, creating mistrust and voter revolts.

Finally, if you continue to pursue the adoption of this ridiculous Wright Plan, we respectfully request a full public hearing in Western North Carolina as soon as can be arranged. You can't possibly in good conscience gut a congressional district as you have District 11 without providing an adequate forum to hear the concerns of those affected. Having it in Raleigh just don't get it.

I know a lot of the folks here drove, in my case, about 300 miles today and some of them are not going to be able to speak, but I would like those that do support a hearing in Western North Carolina on this Wright Plan to please stand.

[SOME ATTENDEES STAND]

MR. HENSON: Let the record show that the vast majority did stand. Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Henson. Our next presenter is Judith Frazier.

MS. FRAZIER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you, Representative Wright.

The Wright Plan wishes to accomplish by gerrymandering exactly what could not be done by Sam Neal and $2 million. The district under the Wright Plan splits eight of our counties -- Rutherford, Pope, Henderson, Buncombe, Burke, Cleveland, Gaston, Transylvania and my own county Haywood.

If Senator Robinson had presented himself here today, I would look him in the eye and tell him, "You are here to serve the interest of all the citizens in your district; and you would do so if you were backing the McMahan Plan. You obviously are not concerned with what the majority wish to have. Remember, sir, that they are in large part the same voters who have repeatedly, repeatedly elected Congressman Taylor."

Senator Robinson and the others on the committee, you are not here to vote party lines. You are here to represent the people at home, and that is the majority wish of the people in your district.

One single vote, and that could be Senator Robinson's, one single vote properly cast would defeat the illegal Wright Plan. Just plain and simple, the Wright Plan is the wrong plan.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Ms. Frazier. Our next presenter is Bill Fishburn.

MR. FISHBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Bill Fishburn -- Mr. Co-chairman, get it straight here.

My name is Bill Fishburn. I live in Asheville in Buncombe County and so far we are in the 11th District. I am also a military veteran. I thought I'd say that now. I am a former Green Beret and I want to talk about another military veteran for the moment, if I will, because today is a special day in history.

Thirty-five years ago today, on November 8th, 1966, an army medic with the 173rd Airborne Brigade earned the nation's highest award for valor, the Congressional Medal of Honor. His unit was engaged in heavy combat in the Iron Triangle, northwest of Vietnam -- northwest of Saigon. A specialist 5th class at the time, he was severely wounded early in the battle, but he continued to provide lifesaving medical services for his airborne trooper teammates.

He was wounded yet again with a bullet that lodged very painfully in his lungs. Still with total devotion to his duties, he continued to provide aid and comfort to his teammates.

The official army records say the battle lasted for more than 24 hours. This medic provided aid and support throughout that entire period, never asking for a moment's respite despite his grievous injuries. And when the battle ended, a superior officer ordered his air med-evac.

When we think of Vietnam, Mr. Chairman,

we think of young people, many of them just kids. The individual involved in this heroic story is not a kid. He is a 38-year-old father of two who volunteered to be there and wanted to be there and did his duties. He sought the bitter heart of the battle so he could help his fellow airborne troopers, the kids, if you will.

Specialist 5th Class Lawrence Joel is the man of whom I speak. And, obviously, you are familiar with his name. The actions that day made him the first living African-American since the Spanish American War to earn the Congressional Medal of Honor. As you no doubt know, he is a native of Winston-Salem, and Joel Coliseum is named in his honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, I tell you this story because during that battle this North Carolinian faced extreme pressure, extraordinary pressures that you and I cannot even imagine. Pressures more intense even than the political pressures, the partisan political pressures being brought on this

committee.

I have heard many things about the Wright Redistricting Plan. I have heard that it is intentionally designed to defeat Republicans and elect Democrats.

I have heard that it ensures more majority-minority districts and will serve as a warning to Republican incumbents such as Charles Taylor and Robin Hayes to tow the mark for their Democratic majority constituents.

What I have not heard is that it is fair. Because it is not fair.

Do you think for a moment that Lawrence Joel cared about the rank, race or politics of the kids he was taking care of when he heard someone scream? No. All he cared about was being able to get to them to provide aid and comfort.

He served them and he did it courageously, heroically.

Mr. Chairman, can you and the members of this committee also rise to the occasion? Can you serve courageously in the face of

pressure and serve all the citizens of North Carolina? Can you create a redistricting plan that not only meets legal criteria but also enables the people of the state to be close to and have common ground with their representatives.

I believe, with all respect, sir, that the McMahan Plan meets these criteria. I urge you to honor and remember Lawrence Joel, a distinguished North Carolinian, and to place service above self in your decisions.

Thank you very much. You are invited to Western North Carolina for your next hearing.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Fishburn. Our next presenter is -- help me with this name -- Vincent Colin? I hope I've got that right.

MR. COLIN: Yeah. What was wrong with that signature?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Not a thing, sir.

MR. COLIN: Mr. Chairman, my name is

Vincent J. Colin. I am an 87-year-old retired Navy captain with 42 years of active and reserve service. Service in two wars, World War II and the Korean War. This service included serving in one heavy cruiser and six destroyers, four of which were of the World War I vintage. This is to give you an idea of how old I am.

[LAUGHTER]

MR. COLIN: I appreciate the opportunity to address you regarding the Wright Congressional Redistricting Plan. To put it mildly, it is much worse than the congressional plan concocted ten years ago which the Wall Street Journal correctly labelled gerrymandering pornography. I hate to think what language the Wall Street Journal would use to label this one.

In Henderson County voter registration is 46 percent Republican, 31 percent Democrat and 23 percent unaffiliated. The name of the local newspaper is the Hendersonville Times News. The Times News is a subsidiary of The New York Times, and as such is predominantly

Democratic in its national and local political coverage.

During election periods, despite the voter registration numbers, The Times News invariably endorses Democrat candidates over Republican candidates. Last year The Times News endorsed Democrat Sam Neal over incumbent Congressman Charles Taylor.

With that background clearly in mind, I would like to read excerpts from the editorial, printed in today's edition of The Times News.

Editorial, 11th District Should Remain in the Mountains. The proposed Congressional map unveiled by the Democrats on Tuesday does great harm to the mountains and to Transylvania and Henderson Counties especially. Democratic leaders in the Legislature should discard the map in favor of one that keeps the region intact and takes in all of Buncombe, Transylvania and Henderson County. We have often disagreed with the current occupant of the congressional seat, Charles Taylor. However,

if Taylor is to be turned out, it ought to be by voters in the mountains, not for partisan cartographers in Raleigh.

This is a prime example of politicians picking the voters because they didn't like the politicians the voters had been picking.

The editorial continues to say, We don't pretend that politics plays no role in the decennial reapportion. In drawing maps intended to solidify their party's control of the State House, Democratic leaders did what the party in power does everywhere. The Democratic Congressional Plan for Western North Carolina, however, makes no sense on political or geographic grounds. The 11th District is already Democratic by registration, 46 to 36. The new map notches it up to 51 to 32. But even that breakdown would not guarantee a Democratic win over Taylor who pulled in 50 percent of the vote last November despite a well-funded challenge from Hendersonville attorney Sam Neal.

Because proposed boundary lines pushed the 11th District into the expensive

Charlotte media market, the map would escalate the cost of campaigns. Hendersonville, most of Brevard and south Asheville would not be in the 11th District, but would be in the 10th, the seat now held by Republican Cass Balinger of Hickory. That realignment would diminish Henderson County's influence in Washington.

A Republican version is much better. And if we dare to use this word in a discussion of redistricting from a Democrat newspaper -- if we dare to use this word in the discussion of redistricting, fairer, it concedes a new 13th District to the Democrats in the Piedmont, but it preserves continuity of the 11th in the mountains.

How much time do I have? Time over? Keep going. All right. Thank you.

There is no doubt that you are keenly aware that if this proposed congressional plan is adopted, there will be lawsuit after lawsuit to remedy this insult to the people of North Carolina. Millions of taxpayer money was spent during the past several

years in three reckless and frivolous challenges defying the decisions of the United States Supreme Court that the plans like the one now before us were illegal.

If the plan now under consideration is adopted, you will be responsible for another unnecessary huge waste of taxpayer money in defending it.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Colin. And I am really having trouble with this next signature. I am not sure who signed behind you.

MR. LUCAS: That's me.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you. Would you please come to the podium and state your name for us, please.

MR. LUCAS: Arthritis in your hands is one of the joys of getting old. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Co-chairman.

My name if Fielding Lucas. That's what that "F" stands for. Fielding Lucas. I reside in the northern part of Henderson

County and am nearly a lifetime Republican. I used the adverb nearly since I must confess that my first vote cast was for Franklin Deleanor Roosevelt in the 1944 election for his fourth term, only to march in his funeral procession six months later.

Currently I serve as president of the Henderson County Republican Men's Club and as chairman of my home precinct. I am proud to be an American and to have had the privilege of serving, believe it or not, also for 42 years of active and reserve naval service.

I am proud to be a Republican; at this moment admit to be a very angry Republican.

From study of the Wright Plan it appears that Henderson County voters are to be punished for having the temerity of heavily supporting the 2000 reelection of Charles Taylor as a representative for the North Carolina 11th Congressional District.

We helped Charles defy the wishes and enormous purse of the Democrat National Committee, and preferred him to one of our own residents, Democrat Sam Neal.

Henderson County has always supported Charles and would surely do so again in the 2002 election.

The maps show only the cosmetics of the atrocity. The real story lies in comparing party registration numbers between the Republican proposal, House Congress 13 and the Democratic Wright Plan.

Vince gave you the percentages; I won't repeat them.

In both cases, given absolutely loyal party voter, neither candidate could win reelection by gaining 100 percent of the unaffiliated votes.

In short, the numbers are rigged in such a fashion there would appear to be little or no chance for either to win in 2002.

But I think you know better than that. In Western North Carolina Charles Taylor has for many years been elected by a combination of strong Republican, enlightened Democrat and conservative unaffiliated voters. I believe we can do it again. And given the

obvious perfidy of the Wright Plan, we should have a once-in-a-lifetime chance of enlightened Democrat to Republican conversion to a really massive scale for the long term.

I believe also with the Wright Plan help, we can convince unaffiliated of the inherent foolishness of the mug-oneth [phonetic] voting posture and of the fundamental superiority of Republican political thinking.

In trying to understand the thinking behind the Wright Plan, one can only conclude that it is driven by some kind of vengeance for making the North Carolina Democratic Party look foolish one more time in the eyes of the DNC. Those wonderful enlightened Democrats have helped us to seven-to-five congressional majority before, and the Democrats just can't trust that to chance again, particularly with the miserable fiscal and political leadership shown by state Democrats, elected leadership since election in 2000.

The answer is clear. You should accept

House Congress 13, the McMahan Plan, and avoid the litigation and generally god-awful public political mess that Wright virtually guarantees.

Do the voters really want a North Carolina analogy to the Florida CHAD debacle?

Vengeance is not conducive to the kind of issue-oriented debate that the electorate deserves. Neither is anger. You have an opportunity to undo the damage already done by bringing Wright to the table. Give the 2002 election process a chance to redeem ordinary voter faith and democracy and end voter malaise in North Carolina.

Take it. Let's both of us reintroduce the word fair into our political dialog. The alternative is unconscionable.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Lucas. Our next presenter is Bob Hill.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: May I ask that you adjust the microphone so those of us who can't hear, could hear?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Ask the presenters to adjust the microphone when you

come up and speak directly into the mic so that all your comments --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you.

MR. HILL: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. My name is Bob Hill. I am the executive director of the Haywood County Chamber of Commerce.

I'd like to begin with a quote from yesterday's Asheville Citizen Times, who is not generally known for its cordial coverage of Charles Taylor. They began their article in this manner.

Partisan politics took precedence over community interests as state lawmakers unveiled a congressional redistricting map on Tuesday that guts Western North Carolina.

"What possible rationale, other than political gerrymandering could explain how three districts in Haywood County could be adequately represented from Hickory; or how could any elected representative of mountain counties explain to a gathering of their constituents what Gaston County has in common with Haywood other than a determination to

overthrow the ten-year preference of the voters in the 11th District.

"The voters in Haywood County are already furious over the bisecting of the county for blatant political advantage on the state level. If this dismemberment is repeated with the proposed Wright Plan, that bipartisan fury will certainly be vented at the ballot box next year.

"Therefore, the leadership of the business community of Haywood County urges Senator Robinson to consider very carefully how much damage that the Wright Plan would impose on his constituents.

"These mountain counties are facing tremendous economic crises right now with plant closings and thousands of lost jobs. As we struggle to retool in the face of new economic realities, it would be reprehensible to tie one arm behind us by dividing our political resources. We need concerted bipartisan efforts to overcome our rural and technological divide so the next generation can enjoy the same opportunities as the rest

of the state.

"To that end, we urge the passage of the McMahan Plan, which allows common interests to work together and overcome the monumental challenges of the 21st century."

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Hill. Our next presenter is Brandon Randolph.

MR. RANDOLPH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As a member of the 11th District, the Wright Plan that is redistricting the state is unfair to the people. As a voter, this upsets me because I see a great representative such as Charles Taylor being mapped out by partisan gerrymandering.

Congressman Taylor is not only a great voice of Western North Carolina, but also the state. And if he is mapped out of his district, he will not only be a loss to the western part of the state, but the whole state itself.

As a political science major, I look to seek an office in my future. But if this

splintering takes place, the people's will will not be clear because of geographical politics. With different needs for each districts, the combination of the foothills and the mountains cannot be possible. This will be a political nightmare for the people.

In this way the Wright Plan can be compared to Governor Gray of Massachusetts in 1811 by packing and splintering out the Federalists and going against the will of the people.

Partisan gerrymanderings does not violate federal court standards in the equal protection under the 14th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. However, this plan will destroy a geological boundary. This boundary and these people need different representation than other districts. This representation needs to be more spread out throughout the district and not set in one city or one small area.

The divide would affect the people, jobs, industry and also the economic strength of our district.

With our state economy in trouble, we as a state need to keep as much economic strength as possible. This divide would not only hurt the state and the stability of the economy. Please consider your constituents at home. Remember that politics and policy are for the people and by the people.

Remember the McMahan Plan and remember the people.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Randolph. Our next presenter is Janice -- I can't make out the last name. Help me with that one, please.

MS. POTEAT: Poteat.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: -- Poteat. Janice Poteat.

MS. POTEAT: As you just heard, my name is Janice Poteat. I am from McDowell County. Can you hear, Clarice? Can you hear it? All right.

I wrote a little speech here, but I don't know if I am going to stick with it or

not. But I wanted to tell you all that before I became disabled, I was a mental health therapist. And one of the things that I learned was, and that I tried to teach my clients, was that there are consequences to actions.

I knew this because when I was about 16 years old and about to start driving, my grandpa took me up to the top of one of the mountains and he said that you can do anything you want to do, girl. Your daddy can't stop you, your mama can't stop you and I can't stop you. And if you do what is right, this family will be right here with you. But if you do what is wrong, you are on your own.

He told me, spread your wings and just fly right off the top of this mountain. I won't stop you. Go right ahead. But understand what the consequences are when you reach the bottom. You are on your own.

So I stand here today -- and I thank you for allowing me to speak -- and I wanted to tell you a little bit about what some of

the consequences are for you.

First of all, if you vote for the Wright Plan, which I already heard somebody say that's the wrong plan, then you are proving beyond a shadow of a doubt that you are trying to usurp the power from the people of North Carolina that you seem to constantly ignore. And you are acting contrary to the Constitution.

This is another attempt to control and to steal the power of the people. You are tampering with the election and are as much of a criminal as someone who would steal a vote or rig a voting machine.

You would demand the arrest and the criminal charges with fines and punishment be given to anyone who stole a vote or who rigged or tampered the machine because they would be tampering with the election. Yet you are sitting in high places and you think that you can commit these same offenses against the Constitution and the people and justify what you are doing by manipulating General Statutes.

You are changing district lines because you cannot get your friends and compatriots elected. The people have spoken time and again by electing the man that they want despite the millions of dollars that you spend to defeat that man.

The people speak to you at the polls in a voice that you cannot deny. This plan -- I am talking about the Wright Plan -- if it goes into effect, it will immediately go to court. And that's part of your logic. Because you have spent millions of dollars trying to sway the people and to get their votes and you haven't been able to.

So now what you are going to do is pass this expensive measure onto the taxpayers of North Carolina by making us pay the legal bills to defend your maneuvers to steal the election.

At a point in time when we are facing economic ruin at the local and at the state level, it is terrible for power-hungry hypocrites to pass this cost on to the people and spend money that could be more justly

spent for the medical care and needs of people that are in need.

This money would better be spent helping people stop having to make the decisions between food and medicine. So I say shame on you if you vote for the Wright Plan and may God forgive you for this is a very evil attempt to destroy one of God's greatest creations, which is the free and honest United States election.

In the war to fight terrorists, the president of the United States needs only to look here in the General Assembly for enemies of the Constitution and freedom. Remember that the people will remember and one of the consequences of the action will be that you will be held accountable in November 2002.

I swear that. And when I stand and swear something, I am not like Steve Metcalf, the senator from up in Asheville. I mean what I say. When I swear allegiance to the flag, I mean it. And I keep my word. And when I swear an oath of office to defend

and protect the Constitution, I mean it and I keep my word. And I encourage all of you legislators to vote for the McMahan Plan. But before you make your decision, I encourage you to do what several other people have asked, and to bring this to the western part of North Carolina and hear what all the people have to say. Because we will say a whole lot November 2002.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Ms. Poteat. Our next presenter is Leonard Hinsley.

MR. HINSLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to speak in front of this distinguished crowd.

I am a disabled American citizen, a four-time college graduate, and I work tirelessly for individual constitutional and property rights. I am from District 11.

I'd like to take you on a little story of metaphor and truth.

I am a very guilty man. My heart is

extremely heavy. I have ignored in the past my civic duties as a voter and have allowed fascist socialism to prevail by lack of my own actions. Just call me Mr. North Carolina Citizen.

While I was away serving in the war of personal economic survival, my servants have totally ruined my estate while I ignored their actions for too long. They have ignored the two very simply rule books I left them -- the state and national Constitutions, which are written in very simple, elementary English.

They have stolen all of the estate silver and valuable resources by any contrived means they could muster. Their income is now on average ten times my own. I have now the best dressed and richest servants in the word, but they have left the house in a state I entrusted them with in shambles.

They have falsely claimed that the estate is in financial ruin, and the whole while increased the handouts that special

interest groups from tens of millions of dollars to hundreds of millions of dollars to attack me with.

They have passed House Bills 1160 and 1468 to rob children of their birth rights and totally destroy any hope of the American Dream for future generations.

These are only two of the hundreds of misdeeds they have created, and all of them founded on good intentions.

The latest public servant escapade is House Bill 1477, the Wright Bill. It is another of their redistricting ploys to help a few achieve political superiority and authority over many others.

God, how I hate that word politics. It leaves a terrible taste in my mouth to even say it and pure disgust imbedded in my mind.

This escapade has happened before and even has it's own name. It's called gerrymandering. It's against the State Constitution in Article Two, Section 3-3 and 5-3, which state that no county shall divided in the formation of a Senate or a

Representative District.

The servants were required to take an oath of office to uphold the national and state Constitution as stated in Article Two, Section 12, when I hired them by power of the public voting method. But, sadly, the servants have created their own rule book and called it General Statutes.

Most of the articles and selected rules they want to abide by are in their book of General Statute which are written in double-speak gibberish.

It seems that the Statutes held most important to them and most dear to them are the ones that are clearly unconstitutional, that takes the life's blood from their master and every time I say something about it, I am told, "Just sue me if you don't like it. It's law until the courts decide otherwise and we will keep it tied up in court until you are broke." After all, it is my money they are using against me. Even the illegality of this is covered many, many times in the 37 sections of Article One of

the state constitution, the real rule book.

I do believe it's time to realize the position of a civil servant and that their master is the citizens of this state.

On the lighter side, isn't it wonderful that one day those servants will be out of a job and become just an average citizen like us again to have to deal with the thieving servants they have trained themselves.

We do reap what we sow, and a few seeds make an awful lot of crops to have to harvest, and especially when they have been fertilized with unmitigated greed, imagined and self-perceived power in the aftermath of fleeting popularity.

Let me leave you with one final thought. This is by Mr. Daniel Webster. Good intentions will always be pleaded for every assumption, in this case of power. It is hardly too strong to say that the Constitution was made to guard the people against the dangers of good intentions.

Mr. McMahan, I wish you all the luck in the world with your bill. It is the only one

that makes sense. Vote Charles Taylor.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Hinsley. Our next presenter is Jeff -- help me with the last name -- Dreibus. D-r-e-i-b-u-s.

MR. DREIBUS: Yes, sir. Well, you all know my name now, and I am from McDowell County.

Looking at the maps that have been provided to us, you can see that the 11th District divides nine counties with Mr. Wright's plan versus only one with Mr. McMahan's plan.

What could the logic behind your plan possibly be, Mr. Wright? It's my understanding that counties are not to be split except under mitigating circumstances.

Now, I am no expert, but it almost seems to me like cheating to divide all of those counties. Is that the only way you can get your man to win, Mr. Wright? And your party? That would be truly shameful. I feel like if you have to cheat to win, you

ought not to be in the game.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Dreibus. Our next presenter is Michelle Cox.

MS. COX: Can you hear me in the back of the room? Can you hear me in the back of the room? That's good. I came to be heard today.

Chairman Wright, Chairman McMahan, members of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Michelle Cox. I am a North Carolina citizen and I am a resident of Buncombe in the 11th Congressional District. I appreciate the opportunity to speak before you today.

The events of September 11th precipitated a surge of patriotism and pride in our country. I am sure all of us have seen the banners that read, "United We Stand." Well, today I stand before you as a member of the united group from the 11th Congressional District and we ask you not to divide us.

The newly proposed plan will take residents of South Buncombe who currently live five to nine minutes from their congressional office; it will place them in districts where they will be an hour and a half.

The new plan will increase the cost for candidates by placing them in districts where they will be faced with different media markets. It will increase voter confusion and contribute to voter cynicism. And it will make it more difficult for the average citizen to be involved in the political process.

There is every reason to continue with our current district in as close a form as possible, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason to change our district in the form that's being proposed.

I am saddened and I am angry that our lawmakers would even consider political gain to be more important than the rights and best interests of the citizens of North Carolina.

As a mother, I taught my children to do the right thing. Even when it's not easy, even when it's not popular.

Representative Wright, I respectfully request you and your colleagues to do the right thing.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Ms. Cox. Our next presenter is Connie Davies.

MS. DAVIES: Chairman Wright, Chairman McMahan, I thank you so much for giving us the opportunity to speak with you today, and other members of the Legislature that are here. My name is Connie Davies and I am from Rutherford County in the 11th District, Congressional District. I am here today for a matter of principle because I myself as a resident of Rutherford County has not been gerrymandered out of the 11th District. I am within Rutherford County, the one county that has been split in the Wright Plan, and I myself in my precinct have not been eliminated from the 11th District.

However, when I look at the map that has been drawn up by Mr. Wright and others on the committee, I cannot tolerate what has been taking place. Because it's an obvious deliberate means to gerrymander Congressman Charles Taylor.

I want to share a little bit about myself. I was born in Piedmont, North Carolina. Actually, I was born in High Point, in Guilford County. I lived there for about 30 years, in the Piedmont area. About 15 years ago, my husband and I moved to Rutherford County, in the western part of the state.

We have been in Rutherford County now for about 15 years. But as a child, I remember in the 7th grade learning American government, specifically North Carolina government, North Carolina history. And I remember back then what was taught to me, how North Carolina is a state that has three very distinct regions -- the Coastal Regional, the Piedmont and the Mountain Region.

And living in the Piedmont Region for most of my life, and now moving to the mountains, I clearly see the differences, the distinctions between those two regions, particularly.

The demographics, the economics and the lifestyle of those regions are different, very different. There are struggles that many of the people in western North Carolina face right now that those in the Piedmont area are not facing. Many struggles.

And Congressman Charles Taylor has represented us in Western North Carolina very well. For him to be taken out of this district, of the 11th District or out of our region in Western North Carolina, and for us to be included in the 10th District, not to say anything about Representative Balinger because I know he is a very good congressman but Congressman Charles Taylor has served us very well for these years. And we want to keep him there.

And, like I said, I am in Rutherford County. I have not been redistricted out of

the 11th District. I missed it by one precinct. But I feel that what is being done is not right.

I will share this with you also. I am a government teacher. I teach U.S. Government in a private Christian school. And I have had the opportunity to teach my students about apportionment, about districting in government, and they understood it very clearly. It was very easily understood by my students. But when I showed them the 1990 redistricting map of North Carolina, they could not understand how the lines could be drawn in such a way.

They were 9th graders and they did not understand. They themselves could see that it didn't make a bit of sense. And then when I shared with them that it had gone to the Supreme Court and the lines had been redrawn, I showed them the new map and they still, really, did not understand how the state of North Carolina could be districted in such a way.

One of my students raised his hand

after I shared with them a little bit about it, and I went in talking about gerrymandering. It gave us an opportunity to have a discussion about that. And one of my students raised his hand. And he said, "This is not right. This is not right." And they could feel the conviction in his own heart that it wasn't right, what was being done. What was not right in 1990. And they would say the same thing, too, when I show them this redistricting map that has been drawn up. That it's not right. And if a child can see that it's not right, why can we not see that it's not right. Why can others cannot see that it's not right.

Another thing I want to share as one interested in government, I had the opportunity to share with and help many college students that take American Government courses in Rutherford County. And recently I had an opportunity to open up a textbook, a college textbook, that talked about apportionment, that talked about redistricting. And it used North Carolina as

the example of a gerrymandering state. It was a college textbook and already we are recorded as being a state that is guilty of gerrymandering. I don't think that's the kind of impression that we want to give to our citizens, to the people of this country.

I will say that as a resident of Rutherford County, under the McMahan Plan, unfortunately, that I am redistricted out of the 11th District. We missed it by one precinct. But I am in favor of the McMahan Plan as compared to the Wright Plan. And I do ask that Rutherford County be brought into the McMahan Plan in totality, in whole. Because that's what we desire in Rutherford County.

Thank you very much for your time.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Ms. Davies. Our next presenter is Robert Richardson.

MR. RICHARDSON: Mr. Chairman, I am Robert Richardson from McDowell County. You had the answer to the redistricting with the

plan --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Robert, raise your microphone up a little.

MR. RICHARDSON: How is that? Did that do it?

You had the answer to the redistricting with the plan that was on the table October 30th. It did everything that the law required. It created compact districts which made it convenient for the public to go short distances in order to see their representative.

It enabled the public to elect representatives who had the local interest at heart. With the new proposal that was made November 6th, you managed to defeat all the good.

As a citizen of McDowell County, I want to stay just as we were. The McMahan Plan does that. It allows us to elect representatives who are local and share our view.

Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr.

Richardson. Our next presenter is Elice [sic] Pratt [sic] Richardson.

MS. RICHARDSON: I am Elsie Piatt [phonetic] Richardson. I am from McDowell County. I have lived there for 53 years.

Our founding fathers wisely designed the House of Representatives to be close to the people. This bill does not come close to fulfilling that objective. We in McDowell County aren't included in the 200,000 people that are ripped out of our district so that Representative Wright can add 160,000 voters to his choice back to the same district. This makes no sense to me.

I am sure these 160,000 people are good people. But they have different issues than what we have in the mountains. The people have elected Charles Taylor six times, and the people will elect him again. As you cast your vote, you need to remember that the people will also decide your fate. Stay with the McMahan Plan, please.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you. Our

next presenter is Art Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Co-chairman, members of the public, I am Art Pope. I am a representative of the 51st District of the North Carolina House of Representatives, which consists of part of Wake County. I also served in North Carolina House of Representatives during the 1991 Congressional Legislative redistricting, was actually involved in that process.

Furthermore, I was also the lead plaintiff-intervenor in the Shaw v. Reno litigation in regard to racial gerrymandering in the 1991 Congressional district, particularly the 12th District.

Shaw v. Reno and the subsequent Supreme Court cases, including the most recent Supreme Court cases dealing with North Carolina's Congressional district, Hunt versus Cromartie, do set forth a consistent body of law as to when and how race may be considered in drawing congressional districts.

I obviously agree with the U.S. Supreme Court holding in Shaw that racial

classification can be used in drawing a Congressional plan only when the use is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling state interest.

I believe the main and primary legitimate and compelling state interest that justifies using racial classifications in a narrowly tailored manner is meeting the requirements of the Federal Voting Rights Act.

I now respectfully submit to this committee and at this public hearing two alternative plans in which racial classification was considered solely for the purpose of the state complying with Section 2 and Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. The plans were labeled "Congressional 3 - Black Plurality Districts", and the second alternative that's labeled "Congressional 3 - Black District Alternative."

Both plans create three congressional districts in which blacks compose a plurality of the total population. This compares to only two such districts in Representative Wright's proposed plan. The additional third

district in my plan labelled District 13, it's the new 13th District, are in the areas of the north central Piedmont, running in parts through the following counties which are subject to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. Those counties are Caswell, Person, Granville, Harnett, Vance, Franklin and Nash County.

This proposed District 13 also includes parts of Alamance, Durham, Warren and Wake Counties. District 13 compares favorably to the black plurality Districts 1 and 12 in Representative Wright's plan. In fact, District 12 is the same. I did not change that.

District 13 is more compact on both its north/south axis and its east/west axis than District 1 in the northeastern part of the state in Representative Wright's plan.

It has a higher -- the District 13 I propose has a higher percentage of blacks, 47.4 percent, compared to 44.9 percent in Representative Wright's District 12 that runs from Charlotte to Greensboro and

Winston-Salem.

In fact, overall, the new District 13 in these plans that I propose are just as compact and just as much in compliance with traditional district criteria as are the district in Representative Wright's plan, including District 11 in the western end of the state. It has nothing to do with the Voting Rights Act and counties. Believe me, I am not defending District 11 in Representative Wright's plan, but that plan is constitutional and meets traditional district criteria and the proposed new District 13 in my proposed alternative certainly do as well.

In particular, District 13 that I propose do not split any precincts. What I did not consider was the partisan effect of creating three black plurality districts one way or the other. Whether it benefits or hurts Democrats, whether it benefits or hurts Republicans. Nowhere in the United States Constitution or federal law or in the North Carolina Constitution or state statutes is

partisanship set forth as a state interest, much less as a legitimate or compelling state interest that justifies gerrymandering.

By avoiding partisan consideration entirely, I was able to narrowly tailor these two plans and consider race only in order to comply with the Voting Rights Act, and at the same time follow traditional district criteria as closely as possible. In doing so, again, I was able to create three rather than just two black plurality districts as in Representative Wright's plans. I thereby avoided diluting black voting strength in this area of the state.

Representative Wright's Plan does dilute the black voting strength by fragmenting and submerging the black population in this north central Piedmont area among three different white majority congressional districts.

Those are Congressional Districts -- 2nd Congressional District, 4th Congressional District and Representative Wright's new 13th Congressional District which goes from

Raleigh and Wake County to Guilford and Greensboro.

The main difference between my two alternative proposals is that Congressional 3 Black Plurality District follows very closely Representative Wright's District 1 in the northeast part of the state. On the other hand, the Congressional 3 Black District Alternative shifts some of the western counties and precincts into Representative Wright's District 1, and from District 1 into District 13, putting more precincts in Wilson and Nash County in District 1; making both Districts 1 and 13 more geographically compact and lowering the population deviation.

That went all through the legalese, which I know we will discuss in committee. These are mathematically black plurality districts by total population where the black population exceeds the other white population.

It is not a black majority district where it's 50 percent plus one of the total population is black.

We've heard some other comments in

regard to legislative redistricting about functional black majority districts, performing black majority districts, affected black majority districts.

Well, my main point here is, if District 12 which has been upheld by the U.S. Supreme Court and District 1 in the northeast has been upheld by the U.S. Supreme Court to comply with the Voting Rights Act and required by the Voting Rights Act and do not qualify with Shaw v. Reno, this so does this district.

Finally, by narrowly tailoring the plan, we do meet with what is called the Gingles threshold, which is under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act because there is a sufficient population in a geographic compact area, with commonality of interest, basically the Durham-Wake County-Cumberland County axis that meets the Gingles threshold under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

I hope this committee will consider these proposals in compliance with Section 5, which is required by our law, is not contrary to

the Shaw v. Reno holding.

Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for your time. I will give these to staff however you direct.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You can give them to staff now. Thank you very much.

Our next presenter is Larry E. Tetterton.

MR. TETTERTON: Mr. Chairman, I am Larry Tetterton from Franklin County, 2nd Congressional District.

I have looked at the two plans and I find that the McMahan Plan divides only 25 counties, but 52 precincts; whereas the Wright Plan divides 39 counties and only seven precincts.

And as chairman of the Board of Elections in Franklin County, looking at what happened in Florida, I stand before you to tell you that the more precincts you divide, the greater chance of problem you've got.

Now, we were divided in the House, in the state House district; we were again divided -- and when I say we, I am speaking

of Franklin County -- we were again divided in the state Senate district.

But the Wright Plan and the McMahan Plan does not divide us in the Congressional district. For this I am very happy.

Looking at the benefits of the two plans, as far as we in Franklin County are concerned, we prefer the Wright Plan. So pass it and let's get on to business.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Tetterton. Our next presenter is Larry Justus.

REPRESENTATIVE JUSTUS: Chairman Wright and Chairman McMahan, thank you very much for the opportunity to speak this evening, ladies and gentlemen.

I am Larry Justus -- and I am may just hold this mic if it gives me much more trouble -- and I represent the 50th District in the House, comprised of most of Henderson and part of Polk County. And I am here on behalf of the 11th Congressional District.

Recently, in the last couple of weeks we have just come through redistricting for

the House and the Senate plans. And I served as co-chair of the House Legislative Redistricting Plan.

For seven months we have been in the redrawing rooms drawing maps and drawing maps and drawing precincts and drawing districts. The difference between this map and the House map or the Senate map is I had to draw 120 districts. We had to split areas. The Senate map had 50 districts. We had to split less areas.

But the Congressional map only has 13 districts. Which means you have to split a whole lot less counties and a whole lot less areas.

Now, most of you know about the Voting Rights Act that says if you can draw minority-majority districts, you must draw majority-minority districts. And that would account for the widespread areas down in the east, in Eva Clayton's district, and the other district that's a majority-minority district now, which is Mel Watts' district, which runs from Winston-Salem to Charlotte.

We understand why that has to be stretched out all over the state.

But on behalf of the 11th Congressional District, we don't have any majority-minority districts. It's backed up on the Tennessee border, on the -- by the South Carolina, on the west end by Georgia. We should have a very compact district. We should have a very contiguous district. We should have areas of commonality.

I ask you, Mr. Chairman, what commonality does Cherokee County have with Gaston County? Absolutely none.

We had a nice compact district. Henderson County, my district, has always been in the 11th District except a short time in the 12th District years ago when you had 12 districts then.

It seems a shame to pull the most populous county that supports Representative Taylor out of his district, yet leaving his opponent in the south end of that still in that county -- by that way, that county didn't support the opponent, it supported

Mr. Taylor, and that's why it's gone the way it is.

But we've just come through some very contentious times and I have been very disturbed. This committee, like our House committee, was supposed to be balanced equally between Republicans and Democrats to give fairness in drawing the districts. We had 22 Republican members and 22 Democrat members, and we had a balance that should have guaranteed equality and fairness till one of our own members, Representative Buck Buchanan -- anybody here from Mitchell County? Well, carry the word back home when you do go, because our committee got unbalanced when that member voted with the other side.

And as a result, we have a very unbalanced House Plan. As this plan here is unbalanced geographically in the Congressional plan.

I don't think I could say as eloquently as many of you speakers have what's wrong with the plan today. I really thank the

members from my Congressional district for coming down here. And particularly those members from my district in Henderson County.

You have brought eloquent words to this committee, which I hope will be heard. I hope you will allow us to correct the unfairness that has occurred in the House and Senate plans. I hope that we will be able to redeem ourselves, Mr. Chairman, in having some fairness and some equity in the Congressional Plan. I doubt it, but I have that hope.

I hope we don't see this plan carried before the House and not allowed to have any amendments, as the Senate and House Plan was. What I would hope for is what I hoped for initially when I did the House Plan. That, gentlemen, you two chairs have worked together and come up with a truly bipartisan plan, with compactness, compatibility, equalness in whatever regard you could, and give us some method of redeeming ourselves in the House. I hate to have to say that. But I feel like in view of some things that

have happened, my constitutional rights have been abridged and certainly yours have.

These districts do not belong to me, they don't belong to Chairman Wright or Chairman McMahan. They don't belong to any senator. Who do they belong to? You. The people of North Carolina.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Here, here.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And I think you have made that disturbingly clear by coming down here and the distances you have travelled today, and I appreciate that very much.

And, Mr. Chairman, I am not going to take a lot more of your time, but I hope you have listened to what I have said and I hope you have listened to what our constituents have said, and I hope you will keep it in mind because I have found you to be a fair man.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you,

Representative Justus. Our next presenter is Joe Lyle.

MR. LYLE: Thank you, Mr. Co-chairman, for letting us come today.

I am a resident of McDowell County in Western Carolina. I am very concerned about the fact that our county is facing redistricting for very partisan and manipulative reasons. It's my understanding that we change districting every decade for fair representation due to population changes, not so one party can outmaneuver another.

I am also very concerned about losing Congressman Taylor as McDowell County's representative because he has helped us time and time again with private property rights and many other pertinent issues. We do not want to lose him.

Keep the districts set up as communities of interest as dictated by law. Do not choose this convoluted method of districts proposed by the Wright Plan that is so obviously for political reasons rather

than for fair representation of the citizens you work for.

Doing things for the wrong reasons always come back to haunt you. Not only will the people think less of you and question your integrity, but it also appears you are not redistricting to the letter of the law.

Redistrict with a conscientious, sensible plan; not in a highly questionable and dubious manner.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Lyle. Our next presenter is Ray Munn.

MR. MUNN: Thank you, Mr. Wright.

You have heard from my friends and neighbors from the 11th District, and what they have told you is that they feel that the Wright Plan is a partisan plan and it's not right for us.

And I don't want to take too much of your time, but I want you to understand that if you will come to northwestern Carolina and

meet with the people there, you will hear what you hear today a thousand times.

One other thing. I also looked in a textbook and I saw the definition of gerrymandering. And I saw the definition of what partisan gerrymandering was. And the only example the book presented was North Carolina.

And, please, don't disgrace again.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Munn. Our next presenter is Coy Privette.

MR. PRIVETTE: Thank you, Representative Wright, Representative McMahan.

I am a Cabarrus County commissioner, and commissioner Robert Freeman is going to be speaking on behalf of our commission; but I have been asked today to represent our mayor in Kannapolis who could not be here for medical reasons.

And our mayor is frustrated, all of us in Kannapolis are frustrated. We want to know what we have done wrong. Kannapolis is a city of 35,000 people, and we are now in four House districts.

And to be honest with you, I don't even know what district I am going to be in. The mayor does not know what district he is going to be in. Our people do not know what district they are going to be in.

Also, we have been honored to be put in two senatorial districts. I don't know if Senator Bingham or Senator Hartsell is going to be my senator or -- we just don't know.

Now, even worse than that, in the Congressional Plan, the Wright Plan, we have been placed in three Congressional districts. Pray tell how in the world are people in a city of 35,000 people in three congressional districts will know what congressman to call when they have a problem or a concern?

Some of you remember in April 1997 four living governors of this great state called a commission for a study of what's gone wrong with American democracy.

Most people are not voting and there is disillusionment at high levels concerning government. What has gone wrong with American democracy?

Most congressional races, recent congressional races have been the least competitive in modern history. There have been the most money spent on those elections and the least number of voters going to the polls. What has happened?

Representative Wright, I agree with Representative Justus. As I have observed you here in the General Assembly I have assumed that you are a fair man. But I said to my wife at the supper table last night -- you were quoted in our local paper, Representative Wright -- and I said, "That's not the Thomas Wright I know, Betty."

And this is what our local paper said about you, Representative Wright. "I am a Democrat and I am drawing a Democratic controlled map."

Representative Wright, I belong to that group of people who believe -- in my eight years here, I advocated this -- this body should not draw a Democrat majority map, should not draw a Republican majority map.

I agree with Representative Justus.

You ought to draw a people's map.

How in the world is that going to happen? Well, I think we could follow the leadership of states like Arizona, Colorado, Iowa, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, State of Washington and the State of Hawaii.

Because legislators are going to protect themselves. So to avoid this problem, they set up citizens' redistricting committee, put it in the hands of people like you in order to draw maps that will represent the people.

And so I come here today saying if there are going to be partisan maps, let the people do the choosing rather than those who draw the maps.

Let's put this elections in the hands of the people, but we have the plans before us. And that's not going to happen in this session of the Legislature.

Should have been gone home long, long time ago and you would have loved to have gone home a long time ago. I know that.

But we appeal to you as we look at

Cabarrus County with three Congressional districts. Don't rob our people of knowing who their congressman is and the confidence of choosing their own congressman.

We are proud of the representation of Robert Hayes. If you don't believe it, just look at the returns of the last election.

So keep us intact as a county and, again, if we are doing something wrong in Kannapolis to be in four House districts, two Senatorial districts, three Congressional districts, please tell us what we are doing wrong so we can correct it.

Thank you, Representative Wright. Thank you Representative McMahan.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Privette.

And now I will relinquish the chair to my co-chair to continue with our list. And as I do so, I just want to recognize three other members of the North Carolina General Assembly House of Representatives who have joined us. Representative Ruth Easterling

from Mecklenburg County, Representative Martha Alexander from Mecklenburg County, and earlier -- and he's stepped out -- Representative Pryor Gibson of Anson County. Is he still here? And Representative Paul Leubke also of Durham County.

Representative McMahan.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The next speaker will be Michael Calvo.

MR. CALVO: Thank you, Chairman McMahan, thank you Chairman Wright for this opportunity to speak today.

Distinguished members of the North Carolina General Assembly and my fellow North Carolinians, my name is Michael Calvo. I am a resident of Henderson County. I am currently a senior at Duke University.

I want to begin today by saying that I am absolutely appalled at what the Wright Plan proposes.

If we look back ten years to what happened in these hallowed halls of the Legislative Building in Raleigh, we can look back and see just how poorly our General

Assembly districted the state of North Carolina.

So poorly was this legislative ineptitude that it brought us national attention in several court cases, even going as far up as to the United States Supreme Court.

Before we make that mistake again, we need to make sure that all people's interests are watched out for by our representative body.

In addition, if everyone would take a look at the Wright Plan Map, the first thing that comes to your eyes is the 4th District, Mr. Price's district. The dark royal blue that encompasses Wake County, Durham County and Orange County.

And as being a man of the mountains, it seems that this is the only area that this body truly cares about. And it's quite sickening to me the fact that when you look at the 11th District in its pale, sickly green color, that it's so convoluted that part of my county of Henderson is in Mr.

Balinger's district, which is with my friend who came with me who lives in Lenoir, also a part of Mr. Balinger's district. Absolutely appalling.

And also I find equally as sickening is the fact that it takes a forum of this nature to bring this many people from the mountains to Raleigh to have their voices heard because their voices aren't heard before today.

I think also that this redistricting plan brought by Mr. Wright is a sick political game that its main target are three House representatives. Mr. Taylor, Mr. Robin Hayes and Ms. Sue Myrick.

By looking at the way these new districts are drawn and to see how overt this political mess is in order to remove these three individuals as our representatives in Washington is utterly mind-boggling.

And I think also that by coming from Henderson County, now living mostly in Durham, although I am still registered in Henderson County, that by removing Charles

Taylor from the greater part of the western part of the state, it will remove a great amount of influence and possible benefits that many residents of this state could receive. Not only from Raleigh, but from Washington, D.C.

In conclusion, I want to take this time to remind the Committee and the general public here today that it takes just one vote, one single vote on the committee to negate the Wright Plan. I strongly urge the members of the Committee, the members of the North Carolina House and the North Carolina Senate to do what is right.

And I adamantly support all members of the General Assembly who support the McMahan Plan.

Thank you, gentlemen for you time. Everyone have a good evening.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you. The next speaker is Ms. Keane.

MS. KEANE: Co-chairman McMahan, Co-chairman Wright, I thank you for the

opportunity to come to speak to you today. It's grand to have the mountains' voice heard so well in Raleigh.

Chairmen of the Committee and members of the Committee, I am Clarissa Finley Keane and I am proud to say I am now a resident of Haywood County and happy to be there.

I feel that there is no right way to do a wrong thing. With all due respect, Representative Wright, I believe the Wright Plan is the wrong plan.

I urge you to approve the McMahan Plan.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Mr. Bernard Holiday.

MR. HOLIDAY: To Chairman McMahan and Mr. Wright, my name is Bernard Holiday, resident of Creedmoor, Granville County. I shall confine my comments specifically to the proposed new 13th Congressional District.

The Congressional redistricting plan represents diversity covering seven counties -- Caswell, Granville, Alamance, Guilford,

Person, Rockingham and Wake -- as proposed in the Wright Plan, Bill 1477.

Total population by race, Caucasian, 66.05 percent; African-American, 26.95 percent; Asian, 2.08 percent; and other, 4.53 percent.

Voter registration by political parties, Democrats, 53.93 percent; Republican, 29.07 percent; Libertarian, 0.18 percent; and unaffiliated, 16.78 percent.

Demographic projections for this growing region indicates that the population will continue to grow in terms of families. More senior citizens, teenagers, upward growth in adults working in the various businesses throughout the seven counties.

Summary. The plan identified by Mr. Wright and the plan identified as Bill 1477, this district affirms ethnic diversity based on the 2000 Census. This district symbolizes a key business sector in North Carolina. This district blends urban and rural communities in connecting neighborhoods. The door of opportunity for a representative from

the ethnic community is possible.

This district blends in with the North Carolina mosaic of a growing state in the 21st Century. I urge the North Carolina State Legislature to adopt the proposed plan identified as the Wright Bill 1477.

Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you. Mr. Lear. Stephen Lear.

MR. LEAR: Thank you for allowing me to speak. Appreciate even more the opportunity to be heard, otherwise I would not have made this lengthy trip today; neither would many of you, but I feel like we will be heard today. Thank you for that.

My name is Stephen Lear. I am a county commissioner from Anson County, North Carolina. And although I represent one district I feel like I am speaking for many people today, not only the people in my district, but also the people throughout my county, and perhaps surrounding counties as well.

Anson County is a small, rural, southern Piedmont county, currently in the 8th Congressional District. And in one of your current proposals, which is the Wright Plan, W-r-i-g-h-t, Anson County is placed in a congressional district with residents of inner-city Charlotte, bypassing the county in between Anson and inner-city Charlotte. And while I have nothing against these inner-city citizens, we have very few things in common.

I fail to see how inner-city residents of the largest city in our state can relate to the needs and interests of the citizens of a county whose largest city has a population of about 5,000.

In the other proposal, the McMahan Plan, Anson County is placed in the same district with many other rural counties, but Anson is on the fringe of a district that stretches all the way to the coast and includes two coastal counties, including the port city of Wilmington.

Now, while I like to visit the beach, once again I would argue that we have very

few common interests.

If your goal is to create communities of interest, which it should be, then that has not been achieved in either of these two plans for our county and these other counties as well.

I would ask that you formulate a third proposal that does create communities of interest and serves our citizens best.

In Anson County we faced a similar task, albeit smaller, in that we were mandated to redistrict our county commission and school board districts. And certainly the opportunity was there for incumbents to solidify their positions to enhance chances for reelection and perhaps even oust political opponents or potential political opponents.

When we got down to business, we simply did what was best for the people. Isn't that a novel concept in government?

When we decided to act in the best interest of the people, our task was achieved with relative ease. I would ask

that in formulating the new plan you not give consideration to parties, nor politics, but rather consider the people. Do what is best for them and what is right. And I think that you will find that your task becomes easier as well.

Thank you again for allowing me to be heard.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Jack Hoile. Is Jack Hoile, H-o-i-l -- okay. We will move on to Jo Perkins.

MS. PERKINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Co-chairman.

I am here to support the 2nd District as outlined in the Wright Plan. I am a resident of Johnston County. This will maintain a sense of community for the 2nd District. The counties surrounding Wake County share a similarity of issues such as high growth and school construction.

We need a representative which will be able to focus on similar issues while maintaining a sense of community for the 2nd

District.

Thank you for allowing me to speak.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Gene Gay.

MR. GAY: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen; Representative Wright, Representative McMahan.

My name is Gene Gay. My father always taught me that America is a country of opportunity for everybody, not just somebody. My dad always taught me because he served 30 years in the United States Army. He always taught me, he said, "Son, no matter what, no matter the color of your skin, you will have an opportunity to do whatever you want to do in this great county that we live in. Even to the point where you can make a difference in the lives of many people. Because you are African-American and because you are black, that doesn't mean anything. You can still make it. Just go get a good education, do the right thing, take care of your family, if that happens."

Well, today, ladies and gentleman, I am here to tell you right now today, I have run

for Congress twice in this state and I've had to leave, I've had to leave my area in which I live to run in a district that is fair.

I didn't come here to be nice about this. I come here to give you my feelings because I have been out there on the road; I have campaigned with the people; I feel your pain when you are misrepresented.

But let me tell you about misrepresentation. We've got over 1,700,000 African-American people; in North Carolina we've got two, two representatives. One from the 1st and one from the 12th. And, you know what? I don't like it.

But for some reason or not, because you want to do something for this great nation, and I found that out on September 11th with the horrific tragedy, I said, you know, I want to wake up this giant inside of me and do something for America. And it shouldn't have nothing to do with my race, my color, my ethnicity; it should have everything to do with who I am and what I bring to the table and what I can do for the American

people.

I am so sick and tired of looking at districts when you look eight percent black, 55 percent white; six percent black, 72 percent white.

You want to talk about gerrymandering? Let's talk about gerrymandering.

The Supreme Court in 1990 made a decision that we must have some kind of political influence from the African-American community. We are all Americans. And I urge my colleagues here, men and women who represent the people of this great country in this great body, that we do the right thing.

When I look at the 9th Congressional District, it's a disgrace and a shame. Eight percent. Mecklenburg County is one of the major melting pots in all of North Carolina. Eight percent? That's unheard of.

I ran last year in the 9th Congressional District. And guess what? I won Cleveland County. I worked, but I did it. It can be achieved. But if we are going to talk about race, if we are going to talk about

fairness, if we are going to talk about gerrymandering, let's talk about the facts.

There are a lot of young African-American, bright people that are coming out of college that is discouraged and don't want nothing to do with the political arena because they don't feel that they can compete.

Do you know why? Because when they look at a map that says eight percent in their area, ten percent in their area, but yet they look and see blacks and other minorities. Look at the Hispanic community. 378,000 people underrepresented.

Who are we fooling? Dr. King says we can't lead where we don't go, and we can't teach what we don't know. The Voters [sic] Rights Act was died for, for fairness. I want to represent the United States of America. I want to represent this state. If not, I wouldn't put my life and my family through all of this.

But I can make a difference in America because my father told me I could. And I

believe I can.

So in respect to Representative McMahan and Representative Wright, I think you both did a good job in terms of minority representation in the 13th Congressional District. I think you -- Representative McMahan, I think you show 28.14 percent, and Representative Wright, I think you showed 26.19 percent.

It's sad that we have to do it that way, but we have to do it that way. Because racism is something apparently that we cannot -- we are not there yet. We need more representation from the minority community, from -- North Carolina is a very ethnic state right now, people. We must understand that.

And we've got to adopt to change. This is a great nation. This is a great state. I feel so proud to be a part of it. And it's a shame I have to live where live to try to find somewhere to compete. But the 13th Congressional District, both of these gentlemen, I think, done a good job in the 13th Congressional District. They meant well.

But Representative Wright, I think you need to go back; Representative McMahan, I think you need to go back and look at it so it can be really fair. Because African-American people make up a lot in this state and do a lot in this state. This county wasn't built just on one group of people and one race of people. This country was built on many people working together. Together we stand, ladies and gentlemen, but divided we fall.

Do the right thing, gentlemen.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you. Peter Baker.

MR. BAKER: Thanks for letting me have the opportunity to speak. My name is Peter Baker. I live up in Asheville, North Carolina, along with a lot of the folks in this room.

I come here today to speak in favor of the Wright Plan. I wanted to make a few points about African-American representation being 4.4 percent in our current district; it

would go up to 9.9 in the new district.

I want to talk about Democrats that live west of I-77 having a chance of one of our four representatives being a Democrat rather than Republican since they only make up about 46 percent of the population.

I want to make a lot of points, but what I want to do now is apologize for my neighbors. They have come up here, they have insulted people, they have threatened people, they have acted in a totally ridiculous manner. I want to apologize --

[CROWD RESPONSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Please keep yourselves under control.

MR. BAKER: Just ask I've said, I have statements from four other people who had to work today and couldn't come down here, didn't have the luxury of coming down here.

In closing I want to say two things. Number one, once again, you all should be ashamed of yourself for insulting these people who work so hard for us.

[CROWD RESPONSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We are not here to criticize the other people. Just go ahead and finish with your remarks.

MR. BAKER: I am working on it. Secondly, the process of cutting up districts is a political process, there was an election, there were Republicans running around all over the state saying "Elect us so we can create Republican districts." Democrats were doing the same thing. The Democrats won the election. I'm sorry a lot of you are having trouble dealing with that.

Good day.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Mr. Robert Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: I want to thank the two chairmen for all of the hard work that they do in Raleigh.

I am Robert M. Freeman. I happen to be a Republican county commissioner in Cabarrus County. I was the first African-American commissioner in Cabarrus County, and I am a Republican.

My mom and dad also taught me that you

can do anything that you want to, as long as you are around the correct people and as long as you work hard and as long as you have some hustle.

And that's what I have done. I have tried to put myself around the correct people, tried to work, take care of my family. And last night when I got home, my wife said, you better listen to your voice mail.

And the way she said it, I knew something was wrong. Last night, my voice mail at my home address was filled up full of calls from my constituents in Cabarrus County upset because we are going to be represented by three different Congress people in Cabarrus County. They are saying they won't know who to call.

We also -- it is proposed where we have four House members, two different state senators. They are very upset about that.

When I got to my office this morning, my administrative assistant said, "Look at all those emails you have. Look at those faxes.

Listen to your voice mail." They were filled up today at my office and at my home.

I could not read all of them because I had to leave Concord, North Carolina and head here today because I wanted to be heard as a taxpayer in Cabarrus County.

I personally think we should do the correct thing and make sure that we are all fairly represented.

You know, now is a time that we shouldn't even be talking about race. We shouldn't even be talking about parties. We should talk about being Americans since September 11th.

[APPLAUSE]

MR. FREEMAN: It is vitally important, and I don't care what nobody says, this is the best nation to live in in the world.

[APPLAUSE]

MR. FREEMAN: If it wasn't, you wouldn't see all these different kinds of people wanting to come here. It's opportunity here. I am a living witness. I own my own business. I own three

businesses. I have a development firm that goes around and builds affordable homes in three different counties. Not only my home county, Cabarrus, but we are looking at property in Anson County; we are looking at property in Stanly County; we are looking at property in Montgomery County. I am a living witness. If you do the right thing, the correct thing, it's good for you.

I also own a maintenance business. But I didn't come here to tell you what all I own, but we should make sure that the 8th Congressional District say intact.

Congressman Robin Hayes, look at his voting record. He was elected by Democrats and Republicans. We don't need three Congressional districts in Cabarrus County because it's not big enough. We want to make sure that we are represented and we appreciate the job that these chairmen is doing; we appreciate the job that anybody is doing in public service. Because it takes a lot of time. I know these guys need to be home with their families right now, just like

I need to be home with mine.

But they understand that I had to come today to speak for the county commissioners, I had to come to speak for the taxpayers of the 8th District and Cabarrus County. Because we in Cabarrus County, human relation wise, we get along good in Cabarrus County. We don't have no whole lot of problems that I am hearing all over this state.

Those of you who is having problems in your districts and things, you need to come to Cabarrus County and live. That's where people get along well, we do the right thing and we do elect people that look like me.

[APPLAUSE]

MR. FREEMAN: It's highly important, highly important, that we do what the people want, not what some party wants or what some group of people want, but what is right for all North Carolinians.

So I want to leave here today saying that we must adopt the McMahan Plan and not the Wright Plan because we want the 8th Congressional District to stay intact and we

want to make sure that everybody is treated fairly in North Carolina, our great state. It's not certain people, but this is my state, my state. And we want to make sure that we are going the correct thing today.

And I want to leave you with that and I appreciate you giving me the opportunity to speak before you today.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Next speaker will be Representative Fern Shubert from Union County.

REPRESENTATIVE SHUBERT: Thank you. I need to correct that. Currently of Union County.

I'd like to thank everyone who took the time to come here today. I appreciate your desire to have your voices heard.

I would particularly like to recognize Mr. Kevin LeCount. Mr. LeCount, would you stand up, please? Thank you.

Mr. LeCount is why we are here today because he helped do the performance analysis that made the best gerrymander that I have

ever seen in this state.

It is a work of art. Sort of like looking at a poisonous snake, but a work of art, nonetheless.

I have represented Union County for the last few years mostly because in 1990 they did a gerrymander. And according to the paper at that time, when they divided the county up three ways, "The redistricting plan was devised by the Democratic majority in the State House of Representatives" -- one moment, please -- "and clearly is designed to ensure the election of more Democrats."

The new District 34 in Union County apparently would be a Democratic stronghold. Their gerrymander created a district so Democrat that nobody in their right mind would run for it. But since it was a nice compact district, smaller and easier to run in than running for county commissioner, somebody persuaded me to put my name on the ballot because nobody else would. This is my third term.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE SHUBERT: There is a thing called the law of unintended consequences, and I don't care how much malfeasance there is here in Raleigh, you can't repeal it.

I thank you for coming here today, not because your voice will be heard -- my voice as a representative was not heard on House redistricting or Senate redistricting, so I don't really have any expectation that when you get to the big money anybody is going to care what I say, either -- but you need to take back home what is happening.

This isn't done because of the Constitution. This isn't what we talk to school children about.

North Carolina has had 100 years of one-party rule because every ten years there is a major gerrymander and every ten years the public ignore what is happening.

I am elected in a Democrat district. There are a lot of people back home that are registered as Democrat -- and I suspect some of you in this audience, from what I have

heard are -- who actually care about right and wrong, who actually care about the Constitution, who actually like to see something happen that's good for this country.

Well, as long as you let people be here in Raleigh that vote based on party affiliation that do as they are told, they are willing to tolerate a gerrymander this blatant where they have a performance analysis and that's why the lines look so strange, don't expect much good to come out of Raleigh. Don't expect your trip here to necessarily make a huge difference and that the people here care, there's money on the table. But take home the message. What is going on in this state, in this body is close to criminal.

Last week when I came out I noticed all the Highway Patrol protecting us, and it was my thought that the largest crime in the state had just been committed in the halls of this [inaudible].

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Ashley Baker.

MS. BAKER: I am Ashley Baker and I live in the 11th District, up in Asheville. And I want to speak in favor of the proposal from the Democratic leadership.

Right now we have four Republican representatives that represent the four western districts. And I would like the opportunity to be able to elect a Democratic representative.

West of I-77 we have 44 percent Democratic registration to 39 percent Republican registration. Yet still we have four Republican representatives.

[CROWD RESPONSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Please, let's keep your -- let each person deliver their words without response.

MS. BAKER: Thank you. Also the current 11 District, I don't think it allows for adequate African-American representation. We have four percent in our district. And the new district would allow for ten percent, which I think would give a much more voice to the minority population where I live.

In terms of the makeup of this new district, it would bring in cities, towns such as Shelby and Gastonia, and I think that they would relate more to us than to Charlotte.

So I definitely want to support the Democratic proposal and I hope you will vote for it.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you. Mary Lawrence Williams. This is our last speaker as far as who is signed up.

MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and to all of you here.

I live in the 1st Congressional District. My residence is Pitt County. I am a flood victim.

What you are embarked upon is drawing lines that determines a faith of mankind.

I grew up in a neighborhood that has been racial all of my life. In my home was a white person. We had to speak in terms of racist in my county so that we could know what household to which we referred.

Whether it was Mr. Smith who was black, or Mr. Smith who was white. When it came down to controversy, we all fought among each other with respect. But when it came down to settling an issue, we did not accept the outsiders.

Drawing the lines today bothers me in that as a flood victim, own a brick home that got pushed down, no one represented me. I am a retired state employee. I now work two jobs and have my name on a third list. I got a loan because the loan that I got doesn't care for rebuilding my primary residence.

I called my congressman and I called my congresswoman because when you represent at the state in which we are talking about in Congress, you represent the united states. You represent everyone. It is unfortunate when you call in a crisis that who are you, are you a Republican or a Democrat. I said I am a taxpayer. And my taxes are paid. But, you know, it didn't make a difference. I still lost my home and it's still down.

There is a blank spot on that land where the bricks which stood. So as you argue about Republicans and as you argue about Democrats and as you argue about black and white, everyone have to be represented. We have to learn to accept each other on our own merits. And it is unfortunate that we can't speak without being shouted at and hollered at or embarrassed.

I am a human being and I pay my taxes. And I am embarrassed that I can't respond to my granddaughter. As you grow lines, draw it with the sincerity of the heart and speak with a consciousness, for we all need to be represented and be fair to poor people.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Wright and I would very much -- we want to thank each and everyone of you for coming tonight. The meeting was scheduled from four to six. I know someone commented about the time, and I know it's along drive for many of you. Of course, we tried to do it at the end of the day so some people would

not have to take off work to be here, was part of what we were doing. But as of right now this map, of course, is referred to tonight as the Wright Map or the McMahan Map. We have 40 members on our committee; there's 20 members on each side of those aisle. And those names are available for you. Please do get those names and contact those people. Because this map will not be our map. It will be a map developed by the committee of 40 people, and it will be a map approved by the General Assembly. So you need to make sure you contact everyone.

And, again, I will turn it back to Representative Wright, but I just want to say thank you very much for being here. Those of you from the western part of the state, I am proud to say I am a Buncombe County native and it's great to have you all here.

[APPLAUSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you. And just one last official point here. We do have another document that needs to be

entered into the record, and that is being submitted by Representative Wayne Goodwin of the 32nd District. And that is in written form and will be submitted for the record as well for review.

With that, I, too, thank you all for your time and thank you from the western part of the state for taking your time to come down here. It is certainly good to see you and good to hear your voices.

With that, this public hearing is adjourned.

[PUBLIC HEARING ADJOURNED AT 6:20 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Christine M. Kirby, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the said hearing was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the statements of the presenters.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 16th day of November, 2001.

Notary Public

State of North Carolina

County of Wake

My commission expires:

January 11, 2003

CHRISTINE M. KIRBY NOTARY * * * PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY N.C.

NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
2001-2002 SESSION

You are hereby notified that the Committee on **HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: **Tuesday, November 13, 2001**

TIME: **1:00 p.m.**

LOCATION: **Room 643 – Legislative Office Building**

The following bills will be considered (Bill # & Short Title & Bill Sponsor):

(Wright) – House Congressional Plan (HB1477)
(McMahan) – House Congressional Plan 13 (HB1476)

Respectfully,

Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright
Co-chairs

I hereby certify this notice was filed by the committee clerk at the following offices at **3:00 p.m.** on **November 9, 2001**.

___Principal Clerk
___Reading Clerk - House Chamber

Sharon Cram and Vanda Wilson-Wormack (Committee Assistants)

AGENDA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

November 13, 2001
Room 643 LOB
1:00 P.M.

OPENING REMARKS

Representative Thomas Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

RECOGNITION OF STAFF

Bill Gilkeson – Staff Attorney
Karen Cochrane Brown - Staff Attorney

AGENDA ITEMS

HB1477 – (Wright) - House Congressional Plan
HB1476 – (McMahan) – House Congressional Plan 13

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

November 13, 2001

The House Committee on Congressional Redistricting met on Tuesday, November 13, 2001, in Room 643 of the Legislative Office Building at 1:00 p.m. The following members were present: Co-Chairman Ed McMahan, Co-Chairman Thomas Wright, Vice Chairman Martha Alexander, Vice Chairman Jerry Dockham, Vice Chairman Dewey Hill, Vice Chairman Gregg Thompson, Representatives Adams, Boyd-McIntyre, Buchanan, Clary, Cox, Creech, Culpepper, Cunningham, Daughtry, Davis, Decker, Ellis, Fitch, Fox, Gibson, Gillespie, Goodwin, Gray, Hurley, Jarrell, McCombs, Michaux, Miner, Mitchell, Morris, Nesbitt, Pope, Russell, Saunders, Sexton, Tolson, Walend, Warner and Warren.

Co-Chairman Thomas Wright called the meeting to order. A transcript of the meeting is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Ed McMahan
Co-Chairman

Sharon Cram
Committee Assistant

Representative Thomas Wright
Co-Chairman

Vanda Wilson-Wormack
Committee Assistant

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 1476
HOUSE BILL 1477

COPY

BEFORE: Rep. Ed McMahan
Rep. Thomas Wright

TRANSCRIPT

OF

MEETING

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 13, 2001 - 1:14 p.m.

Reported by:
Tina M. Kirby, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Christine (Tina) M. Kirby, was appointed official court reporter to record the meeting of the House Congressional Redistricting Committee held on November 13, 2001, beginning at 1:14 p.m. in the Legislative Office Building, Raleigh, North Carolina.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Good afternoon. We'll call the House Congressional Redistricting Committee to order on this 13th day of November, the year 2001. Members of the committee, thank you for being here. Would you please take your seats.

The Chair would like to introduce our staff who's with us this afternoon from our legislative research, Bill Gilkeson, Karen Cochrane-Brown, Gerry Cohen, Erika Churchill, and Esther Manheimer and also our committee assistants, Sharon Cram, and Vanda Wilson-Wormack.

Representative McMahan, my Co-chair, and I would just like to share with you, members, our plan for today. And before we do so, may I ask the clerk to call the roll

for the record.

[ROLL CALLED BY THE CLERK]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Members of the committee, what you have before you are the two maps that the committee was presented with at our last meeting prior to our public hearing.

It's House Bill 1476 and House Bill 1477, Bills both introduced by the Co-chairs. Representative McMahan is the author of 1476; and yours truly, Representative Wright, is the author of 1477 or at least our names are attached to them.

What we'd like to do this afternoon is just hear your comments on both those proposals. We will not be taking any votes prior to session. I will share with you that we have been working on trying to come up with some compromise to both those maps to see if we can reach some consensus here.

And there are still some discussions going on to see if we can get there. That -- I will share with you our progress on that later this afternoon.

We intend to -- it is our intent to recess until one hour after session, which at that time we will reconvene and then begin our deliberations on either a proposed committee substitute for this committee or the plans that are before you or something else. So we will see what that something is when we get back.

So, I think that's pretty much, Mr. Co-chair, the way we agreed to handle our affairs today, and the Chair will now recognize any members who would like to state any inquiries.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Mr. Chairman, there may be some members who want to amend one plan or the other. Do you have -- is there going to be -- will there be a plan before us that we can amend?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct. You will have time to draw those amendments up this afternoon, this evening. And we will hear those proposals and the

committee will take action that it deems appropriate.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Follow up.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: The map that we'll be able to amend, is it one of these we have here or will it be a surprise that comes out of it?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: No surprises in this committee, at least not from this Chair, and I've talked to my Co-chair, and he indicated none as well. It will be a map that we believe we can get the committee to agree on, and I think it will probably be my map, 1477, at least will be the working document. At least that's what we've agreed to thus far.

Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate your letting us know what the plans are in the interest to begin a full disclosure. As I discussed with you this morning and Representative McMahan, when I

could find him last night, I have a proposed plan which is a state-wide plan. I think -- I don't know if staff has it ready yet with the maps, which I will be glad to distribute out at this time for the members to consider now. I don't want any surprises to be coming from me either, and the key component of it is a new 13th District which is a wide plurality district which I submitted to the public hearing last week.

Now, I do have copies of that distributed at this point in time, just so the members may consider. But, again, I just want a full disclosure and everyone be able to consider and discuss the various options.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: There's no objection to you presenting your proposal now, so if you have copies available, I can direct the Sergeant-at-Arms staff to pass that proposal out.

While that is occurring, further inquiry from members of the committee?

[NO RESPONSE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: The committee's at ease.

[COMMITTEE AT EASE]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: We will not be accepting amendments or motions at this point, but you're proposing that this be an amendment to the working document that the committee intends to work from later, which is House Bill 1477.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, actually, what I -- well, depending on how much time we have to prepare amendments, yes, that would be my intention, to offer this as an amendment, however, if we have time to make these or tinker, but the key component of this proposal is the new 13th District.

I'll be more than happy to work with any members of this committee or the Co-chairmen to see that 13th District or variation of it be fit in with the other maps that are being proposed because that's the key component of it.

I'll be glad to elaborate on that now

if you want me to or whenever.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You have the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, as we've been briefed beforehand and noted from our discussions on Legislative redistricting, we needed to comply with the United States Constitution and the federal law, including the federal Voting Rights Act, as well as the North Carolina Constitution.

Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act requires in brief that you not dilute minority voting strength, and one of the primary ways minority voting strength is diluted is by breaking up concentrations of minority populations and fragmenting them, splitting them up among other districts where they are submerged in a white population in districts that are likely to elect white candidates and unlikely to elect a black candidate.

I use the phrase "black" for African-Americans simply because that's the

way it's referred to in the census data. In seeking to comply with the Voting Rights Act, as it's been stated, you cannot go too far in engaging in racial gerrymandering, especially when it's not narrowly tailored or you run into restraints as Shaw v. Reno. And again, I was a plaintiff intervenor in the Shaw v. Reno, so I'm familiar with that phase.

On the outside though, the Cromartie decision did uphold the current Congressional plan of North Carolina on the 1997 plan, with the 1st District as a black plurality majority district and the 12th District.

Looking at the current population and basing my familiarity with Legislative redistricting discussions in 1990's, I added a 13th -- new 13th District, which rather than running from Greensboro and Rockingham County to Raleigh, runs from Caswell and Burlington through Durham and Raleigh to Fayetteville.

This brings a new black majority -- black plurality district which encompasses

several Voting Rights Act counties, Caswell, Person, Granville, Harnett, Vance, Franklin, and Nash Counties. It also includes parts of Alamance, Durham, Warren, and Wake County.

Geographically, it is just as compact -- in fact, more compact than the current and proposed 1st District. The new 13th in Congress 13 Pope on its north-south axis is slightly shorter distance than the 2nd -- than the 1st district. And on its east-west axis it is definitely shorter, more compact than the 1st District.

Likewise, in the percentage of the populations, when you compare it to the proposed Wright plan -- and this is the original Wright plan -- the new 13th open district would have a population that is 47.93 percent black out of a total population, compared to a white population of 44.95. This is higher than the percentages in the proposed 12th District for Congressman Watt, the current incumbent, which is 44.91 percent black and 47.31 white. It's obviously much higher than the proposed 13th

District in the Wright plan which is only 27 percent black and 66 percent white.

So I think that this proposed 13th District or some other variation of it -- you can have some variations of it, especially since it borders and adjoins the 1st District among -- amid several counties, will comply with the Voting Rights Act, is geographically compact -- more geographically compact than some of the other districts in the proposed Wright plan, so to comply with the Voting Rights Act and does not violate the Shaw v. Reno [inaudible] or racial gerrymandering.

So I did draw this plan to narrowly tailor it to comply with the Voting Rights Act. I did not then do any gerrymandering to make it worse for partisan purposes or anything else. I just filled in the population starting with the new 3rd District in the northeastern corner of the state, and worked myself -- my way around.

So I hope that when you're considering the various alternatives and you don't consider the Congress 13 Pope in it's whole,

which is fine with me, that you do consider incorporating this district -- the new 13th District -- which is a black plurality district, to comply with the Voting Rights Act and avoid the problem with the Justice Department overturning the Congressional plan and having us back here in special session later this year.

Mr. Chairman, I'm glad to answer any questions. Thank you for your consideration.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Representative Pope. Any questions or inquiries from members of the committee for Representative Pope on his concept and proposal here?

It's not officially before the committee for any action, and we're not going to take any action on that 'til later today.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Why don't we adjourn?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I didn't hear

that, but I'll come back to you.

With that, Representative Pope, thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: One final question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Is it your intention to vote a Bill out today?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I'd like to vote a Bill out today. Can I count on your help and support to get a Bill out today?

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: I think the Pope plan's great. I'd go ahead and vote for it right now if you want to bring it up to vote.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I don't think we're ready to do that just yet.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I would ask in regard to all these maps, either leave them or bring them back, but I don't have any spares the staff has since they've already passed these out.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That's fine. With that, let me recognize my Co-chair for any comments that he'd like to have as well.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes. I would like to introduce a new member of the committee. It's David Minor. Where are you, David?

David is the new member of the committee who replaced Joanne Bowie, who is scheduled for surgery tomorrow. Welcome, David.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you. Any other comments?

Representative Cox.

REPRESENTATIVE COX: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Can we leave this information here or do we need to take it and bring it back or just what's your plan?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: This room is available for members of the Congressional Redistricting Committee on the House side, so you can leave your information there, yes. And Representative Russell.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Yes. One

question. I was a little bit late. We're coming back, as I understand it, one hour after session?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Why can't we come back sooner?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, session's at 3:00, and I think there's another meeting that some members have to get to at 2:00, and shortly after session there will be a caucus, Democratic Caucus, and so right after that we'll reconvene.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: One more question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, Representative Russell.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: [No response]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Okay. Further inquiry? If not, we're in recess until one hour after session.

[RECESS AT 1:30 P.M. UNTIL ONE HOUR AFTER SESSION]

[COMMITTEE MEETING RECONVENED AT 6:40 P.M.]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: We'll reconvene the committee -- House Committee on Congressional Redistricting.

Members, what you have before you now is a new proposed committee substitute for House Bill 1477 entitled "Congressional Redistricting 2001."

It also has a new map attached to it. Recognize Representative Culpepper.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the committee adopt the House committee substitute for purposes of discussion.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, objection to that motion.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, you've heard the motion by Representative Culpepper. Discussion on that --

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Objection.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Objection noted by Representative Pope. And I will call for the aye's and nay's on any vote on that motion. I would like to discuss it.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You're

recognized to discuss that motion.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: And the aye's and nay's are a part of our Constitution. Any question on that, sir?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Aye's and no's having been recorded, it has been noted, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Mr. Speaker, members of the House, it is now 6:40 in the evening. Whatever committee substitute we take up at this point in time on the merits is going to get very short shrift.

We've been here, what, 10 months now. We've only had a one-hour meeting this afternoon and an hour meeting before that on the other proposal and now we're seeing a new plan.

I do not think this committee can give this -- any committee substitute, including my own, even though it was offered earlier this morning -- or early this afternoon -- a fair hearing.

In fact, after the committee recessed this afternoon I called Skip Austin, president of the NAACP. I wanted to discuss

with him the proposed districts in my plan and the other districts. Obviously the NAACP and any member of the general public will not have an opportunity to review and comment and contact their legislators in regard to this Congressional Redistricting 2001 or any of the amendments.

Also, I understand that if this committee takes action tonight -- and it's already 6:40 -- then it will be before us tomorrow morning. Again, that's no time -- meaningful time for the public or legislators to review and comment and prepare alternative amendments to that.

So I think it is just totally wrong, unfair, and, in fact, goes to the procedural fairness of adopting any plan and proceed at this point tonight. So that's why I would ask you to vote no on adopting this committee substitute, even for discussions.

And then we need to present all the amendments and have discuss -- begin discussion tonight like we did this afternoon and have votes tomorrow. No one wants to go

home earlier than I do.

We can have the Bill before you tomorrow afternoon, but there's no need to proceed at this point in time with the committee substitute with no time to consider it and prepare amendments or have discussion involving the public and groups such as the NAACP, who I think the Justice Deposition would have a concern that they have opportunity to come.

And so, Mr. Chairman, for those reasons I would respectfully ask the members of the committee to vote no against adopting Congressional Redistricting 2001 as a committee substitute for discussion purposes.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Further discussion.

Representative Culpepper.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, to debate the motion.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You're recognized to do so.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Well, I'll just have to disagree with Representative

Pope. I believe that at earlier meeting today, Mr. Chairman, when you were presiding you alluded to the fact that we would be looking at a new map.

You held a public hearing. The committee held a public hearing I believe last Thursday here in Raleigh. While I did not attend that public hearing, I did try to keep up with it through the reports of it.

I understand that there were a great number of people who spoke at that public hearing that objected to the configuration in the map that you had originally proposed, Mr. Chairman, as it relates to District 11 in the far western part of North Carolina.

The proposed committee substitute that the motion is to have the committee adopt I believe goes a great deal toward fixing that. I would think that would be something that the Republican members of this committee would welcome.

The map that would be before you, if this motion is allowed and passed, would

implement that change and make very little other changes, if any, to the map that has already been before this committee before and was there at the public hearing.

So for those reasons I don't think the gentleman's objections are well taken and that you should vote for the motion to adopt this proposed committee substitute.

If we're going to -- this committee's going to do anything we've got to have a starting point. We're talking about amendments. We've got to have something to amend.

So it makes very little -- little sense to me that we would oppose this motion. This would be a good starting point, and so I would ask the members to vote for the motion so we can get our work started, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And the question before the committee --

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I'm coming to you -- is a motion by Representative Culpepper to House Bill 1477 to be -- the

proposed committee substitute for House Bill 1477 to be before the committee for discussion purposes.

Further discussion on that motion? Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Earlier today you talked about would there be any surprises. You said, no, but I think probably the Wright map. And this is, as I understand it, a different map than we had this afternoon, one that none of us have seen before until right now.

And when we're going to work from this we ought to have the opportunity to look at it first of all and, secondly, to propose amendments to it that would meet this particular map that we have never seen before.

My understanding from you was that there would not be any surprises and that we would have a map that we could -- to amend and we have drawn amendments to a particular map, which is not this one.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: In response to your statement, Representative Daughtry, this

proposed committee substitute, a copy of that was in the hands of the Co-chair, Representative McMahan, and it was my understanding that perhaps at some point your side would have caucused and you all would have at least talked about this.

Now, I'm not in your caucus, don't know what you do and what you have done; but this is no surprise. We talked about this proposal, and certainly Representative McMahan and I have been talking about this, as a matter of fact, since last evening.

So with that, let me recognize Representative Ellis for further discussion.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If you could, could you explain to me why the committee was 40-some minutes late in convening?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yeah. That's pretty simple. As you well know, staff needed time to insure that this map was on the web site so that folks across the state or those who were desirous of looking at it will have a chance to access that one.

They needed additional time to work on some proposed amendments that some people were working on as well as additional maps that some people are working on. So staff requested the time, and we granted them that.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: So this map that's now before us was not available for inspection on the web site prior to this 40 extra minutes that we didn't convene the committee?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct as I understand it.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: So, according to your earlier statements that we would have the opportunity to prepare amendments, apparently some members were afforded the opportunity to prepare amendments because they had foreknowledge of this plan coming, but others weren't. Could you help me understand how you become part of the club

that gets that break, prior information?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, as the Chair will repeat again, Representative Ellis, Representative McMahan, the Co-chair of this committee, was afforded a copy of this proposal earlier today. We talked about it again last night.

Now, whether he acknowledges that or not is up to him. But the Chair is on record stating that I gave him a copy of this. He saw it last night when we met here while many of you were not here and talked about this proposal.

So we knew, as I indicated, that there would be a change to the initial proposal, and that is what you have before you.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: And one final question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Your final follow-up, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Given that some individuals then have had the opportunity to review this plan and see the performance of the plan and then forward information to

staff for their purposes to draw amendments to change this plan, will you now afford us the same opportunities to create amendments prior to the vote of this committee?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Without question. Certainly. We'll stay here as long as you desire to stay here this evening and work on this proposal, but certainly we hope to vote on a map and get it to the floor and -- and pass it from there.

Representative McMahan, Co-chair of this committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to ask members of the committee to consider voting with Representative Pope's proposal not to accept the committee substitute for adoption for discussion. I know this is a surprise to my Co-chair, but to be very honest about it, it's for several reasons.

First off, this map is not the map that I did expect to receive as the committee substitute. I was told about an hour before session adjourned that there were going to

some changes in the committee substitute, and I am aware of what those are.

But -- and I do know there's been an explanation as to why those changes occurred and we would offer an amendment here in the committee to correct the map to be the map that I thought this morning that we had agreed on as a compromise map and a committee substitute.

But I think more importantly -- and I hate to see us get off on such a, you know, hostile start or what this is about. We asked the Speaker tonight and asked Chairman Wright if we could meet tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock because we on the Republican side of the aisle, we have a very important dinner that was going on.

A lot of people had been committed for it, and all of us had -- a lot of people put a lot of time and effort into it, and we thought we could meet in the morning and get this done and still have it to the floor.

And initially it was a yes, and then it got to be a no, that we needed to come over

and meet. And again as you know, we were 45 minutes late getting started.

And there's some new ideas -- and finally, there are some new ideas that have been floated here tonight that I think make a lot of sense, and I don't think we're doing anybody any -- we're not really using our time wisely if we sit here tonight and work with this committee substitute, make changes yet in it, when we've been spending our last 45 minutes talking about a map that might be totally different from this, which might be a compromise map.

So I -- in the interest of everyone's time here, I would think that we would -- should support the motion for us not to accept the committee substitute for discussion, and I would ask you to vote with Representative Pope. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, point of order.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: With that -- with the aye's and no's having been called --

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman,

point of order on the clarification of the question before us.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Fitch is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman, do I understand you that this committee substitute is your intent to adopt it for the purposes of discussion and then vote on this particular committee substitute tonight?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: No. What the Chair said is that this proposed committee substitute should be adopted for discussion so that the committee can begin its deliberations and process, with the hopes of coming out of this committee with a Bill to be reported to the floor.

And it is the Chair's -- this Chair's desire to have moved forward on that this evening, but apparently that's not going to be the case, at least not now.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Could we get an

understanding that we will not vote on the Bill, then, tonight so that we can try to put together a compromise matter that could come before the floor that won't take all this fighting?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, Representative Fitch, Representative McMahan and I have been working on this thing for quite a while now, and you and I have talked about some things and several other members.

Will we reach that point? I certainly hope so. But we certainly have to just keep doing that. I think we have to start at some point, so you're exactly right.

This should be adopted for discussion so that the committee has a point to begin its deliberations. If we don't do anything, then we'll be right back here again with the same old haranguing over who's going to get what.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Could I be

recognized for a substitute motion?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, what is that substitute motion, Representative Fitch?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: The substitute motion would be that we adopt this Bill for the purposes of discussion and the purpose of discussion only for tonight.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, I do believe that's what Representative Culpepper's intentions were when he made his motion.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I understand that may have been his intentions, but the gentleman from Chowan, being the good lawyer that he is, knows that it was left open and left to be desired. I've just closed it down.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Gentleman from Chowan, Representative Culpepper.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Mr. Chairman, I would say I don't think that that motion would be in order because what the gentleman is seeking to do is to limit

the Chair's conduct of this meeting by way of that motion. I -- you know, my motion and his motion is basically the same thing. But his motion would be -- which if it were something that was recognized, while I don't think that it is -- would involve giving into the prerogative of the chair as to receiving amendments tonight or other matters that the chair might want to do.

So I would first submit to the Chair that I don't think that's in order. We did away with substitute motions in the rules this year anyhow, so for that reason it's not in order.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Noted.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I'm not trying to enter into a debate with the rules chairman. I know I can't win it. But I am attempting to get an understanding as to where we would go tonight.

I'd like to move forward, but I also

have, as you've indicated, some things that you and I have talked about. I think we've broadened that particular base, and others may also be willing to participate in that situation, thus not having the degree of confrontation on this particular matter.

And I'd like to stand with the Chair, but if the Chair's not willing to do that, then I will stand the opposite way, and I will vote not to adopt it, and if you win, then we'll move on.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Question before the committee is --

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: -- the motion by Representative Culpepper and attempted motion to be amended by Representative Fitch that the proposed committee substitute be adopted for discussion purposes to begin this process.

Further discussion on that motion.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Call an inquiry of the chair for clarification of the question before us, but I think Representative McMahan in mistaken error thought it was my motion to urge people to vote yes on my motion, but it is Representative Culpepper's motion that we adopt a committee substitute, and I'd like to speak a second time briefly on that.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You're recognized to speak a second time.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Again, members of the committee, the reason I'm asking you to vote no on the aye's and nay's against Representative Culpepper's motion is because, as to the exchange between Representative Fitch and the Chair and Representative Culpepper agreed, once the Bill is adopted and before us, it is eligible to be voted on and passed and on the House calendar tomorrow morning.

There is no time for members of this committee, much less the general public, and groups like the NAACP, which follows these

issues, to be informed. So I strongly urge you to vote not to adopt the motion, to vote against the motion to adopt this committee substitute for discussion purposes. If that motion prevails, then the Chair can proceed at his discretion with discussion or we can adjourn. But we will not be going forward with the committee substitute when no amendment have had time to be prepared -- for which we have had no time to prepare amendments and for which I do think it's premature for this committee to vote on and have on the calendar on the House floor tomorrow. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Members of the committee, let me be perfectly clear here once again what we're going to do. We're trying to get this Bill up for discussion. And certainly some of you have amendments out there.

You know who you are. Those amendments will be forthcoming. Some of you are working

on amendments; they're forthcoming.

So it's not the intentions to ramrod anything through this committee. We've never done that. And this Chair doesn't function that way. So I will ask you once again if you want to begin your deliberations, we can stay here all night if you want.

It's your choice, or some of you can get up and leave if you choose to do so, but I strongly encourage you to vote to get the Bill up for discussion. And the only way we're going to do that procedurally, since there was an objection made, is to vote in the affirmative to bring the bill forward.

So the question before the committee is proposed committee substitute --

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: -- for discussion purposes only, motion by Representative Culpepper to allow the proposed committee substitute to come before the committee for discussion.

Further discussion on that motion.

Representative Russell.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: I just want to make sure that I'm perfectly clear on one thing. Representative Culpepper made reference to the fact that we would be allowed to send forth amendments. So I'm assuming from that that we can send forth amendments and they'll be accepted.

I also heard that we were just going to do this for discussion, but I also heard that if we want to do amendments, we may go out of the room and try to have them drafted such that they fit the Bill, but that we can be here 'til 5:00 o'clock in the morning if we want to debate it.

So by that I take it that that means that we will vote on this Bill in this session of the committee, is that correct or not?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, certainly, that is the desire of this Chair, but certainly, the committee has to decide on how it wants to proceed.

But Representative Russell, you know as well as I do that the General Assembly, when

it decides to deliberate and take care of its affairs and its business, will stay as long as it needs to. And we have spent many long hours in this room and other meeting rooms around this facility here to insure that our business was taken care of, so --

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Just one follow-up comment on that. You may have spent a lot of hours doing it and somebody else may have spent a lot of hours, but I was told this was going to be an important committee. I believe this is the second time we've met.

Now, I don't mind staying all night too, but I'm going to tell you, start cooking Sunday dinner, you got people coming over. Okay. It's 11:00 o'clock. They'll get there at 12:00 o'clock. You're cramming that turkey and everything else you've got in that oven and flip it up on 500, and I'll tell you what you'll get, and that's a big mess.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: The question before the committee is a motion by

Representative Culpepper. Further discussion on that motion? Representative Walend.

REPRESENTATIVE WALEND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It was my understanding from some remarks that were made that the reason for the delay in beginning this meeting had at least part -- something to do with getting the map up on the web so that the general public would be able to see it.

We cannot find it on the web. Representative Clary and I have been looking. I don't believe it's been posted yet. And I just wanted to make the committee aware of that.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: They're working on it.

REPRESENTATIVE CREECH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Creech.

REPRESENTATIVE CREECH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You know, my concern -- you know, I've got -- I've got those rail tracks all over me, you know, where that train's run over us several times already. But I just

saw this map a few minutes ago.

This is one of the most important things we're going to do once every 10 years, folks. Once every 10 years, Mr. Chairman. And your intention, obviously, is to vote on this tonight before any of us get a chance to even flip through the pages of this.

This is unheard of. I can't imagine the rules chairman, as fair as he's been, you know, trying to just railroad this thing through.

I don't see what's wrong with holding this over and letting us have at least this evening to try to look at this thing, and maybe we'll want to vote for it. Who knows? Give us a chance to look at it.

I'm asking you, do the right thing. Give us a chance to look at it.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Question before the committee, once again, is the proposed committee substitute for House Bill 1477. The aye's and no's having been called, the clerk will call the roll.

[ROLL CALLED BY CLERK]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Nineteen aye's, twenty-one no's. The motion fails. The Bill is not before us. Therefore, members, we are adjourned.

[MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:05 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Christine M. Kirby, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting of the House Congressional Redistricting Committee was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the session.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 10th day of December, 2001.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 11, 2003

CHRISTINE M. KIRBY NOTARY *** PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY N.C.

Congressional Plan (Wright)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1 Clayton	1	619,579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2 Etheridge	1	619,103	619,178	75	0.01%
3 Jones	1	618,575	619,178	603	0.10%
4 Price	1	618,442	619,178	736	0.12%
5 Burr	1	618,273	619,178	905	0.15%
6 Coble	1	620,021	619,178	-843	-0.14%
7 McIntyre	1	619,597	619,178	-419	-0.07%
8 Hayes	1	618,747	619,178	431	0.07%
9 Myrick	1	620,034	619,178	-856	-0.14%
10 Ballenger	1	618,454	619,178	724	0.12%
11 Taylor	1	620,017	619,178	-839	-0.14%
12 Watt	1	618,744	619,178	434	0.07%
13	1	619,727	619,178	-549	-0.09%

Congressional Plan (Wright) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173107	50.17%	118114	34.23%	441	0.13%	53392	15.47%	35628	10.33%	101494	29.41%	151442	43.89%	56489	16.37%
4	218330	49.01%	137048	30.77%	1083	0.24%	89002	19.98%	49742	11.17%	174485	39.17%	179683	40.34%	41553	9.33%
5	150298	38.94%	178895	46.34%	366	0.09%	56453	14.62%	33261	8.62%	119298	30.91%	166950	43.25%	66503	17.23%
6	140681	36.45%	182955	47.41%	439	0.11%	61860	16.03%	35313	9.15%	120305	31.17%	166536	43.15%	63779	16.53%
7	221794	58.17%	107056	28.08%	554	0.15%	51897	13.61%	36000	9.44%	116955	30.67%	164240	43.07%	64120	16.82%
8	189110	51.59%	111312	30.37%	466	0.13%	65666	17.91%	38687	10.55%	137290	37.45%	144549	39.43%	46027	12.56%
9	141901	33.41%	196896	46.36%	566	0.13%	85340	20.09%	36044	8.49%	154011	36.26%	187006	44.03%	47641	11.22%
10	147275	37.53%	175014	44.60%	540	0.14%	69613	17.74%	38076	9.70%	117106	29.84%	162974	41.53%	74293	18.93%
11	210217	51.40%	131446	32.14%	516	0.13%	66828	16.34%	37943	9.28%	115998	28.36%	173585	42.44%	81474	19.92%
12	220202	59.14%	96897	26.03%	450	0.12%	54771	14.71%	42804	11.50%	133601	35.88%	140244	37.67%	55666	14.95%
13	207054	53.98%	111502	29.07%	682	0.18%	64355	16.78%	42508	11.08%	140795	36.70%	147950	38.57%	52348	13.65%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Proposed Congressional Districts (Wright) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88116	49.72%	86271	48.68%	2848	1.61%	67060	39.72%	101762	60.28%	75423	46.25%	87667	53.75%
4	136170	59.39%	88713	38.70%	4379	1.91%	125008	56.57%	95957	43.43%	122919	56.67%	93967	43.33%
5	90354	42.33%	119226	55.86%	3873	1.81%	78112	37.92%	127896	62.08%	79207	39.53%	121167	60.47%
6	82080	40.60%	116694	57.73%	3375	1.67%	76726	39.15%	119276	60.85%	69493	36.83%	119168	63.17%
7	114398	61.43%	68710	36.90%	3113	1.67%	94658	53.21%	83233	46.79%	99444	57.57%	73306	42.43%
8	91697	54.81%	71474	42.72%	4125	2.47%	87789	55.11%	71503	44.89%	86513	56.06%	67818	43.94%
9	69868	35.59%	123062	62.68%	3389	1.73%	68045	36.73%	117223	63.27%	65890	36.55%	114381	63.45%
10	76563	40.77%	107141	57.05%	4111	2.19%	66056	36.84%	113226	63.16%	68618	39.01%	107283	60.99%
11	98922	51.67%	87906	45.91%	4634	2.42%	88412	48.64%	93357	51.36%	91446	51.35%	86635	48.65%
12	108029	65.07%	55497	33.43%	2493	1.50%	105300	64.95%	56814	35.05%	103262	65.17%	55183	34.83%
13	120053	59.44%	78451	38.84%	3464	1.72%	112379	57.33%	83639	42.67%	110250	57.68%	80879	42.32%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Congressional Plan (Wright) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619,579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619,103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	618,575	482086	77.93%	103452	16.72%	2654	0.43%	6271	1.01%	14643	2.37%	9469	1.53%	27256	4.41%
4	618,442	438224	70.86%	128863	20.84%	1943	0.31%	24240	3.92%	15216	2.46%	9956	1.61%	31438	5.08%
5	618,273	552739	89.40%	42951	6.95%	1410	0.23%	4905	0.79%	10903	1.76%	5365	0.87%	22359	3.62%
6	620,021	547024	88.23%	47514	7.66%	2310	0.37%	6460	1.04%	11013	1.78%	5700	0.92%	23706	3.82%
7	619,597	399053	64.41%	144026	23.25%	53150	8.58%	3346	0.54%	12959	2.09%	7063	1.14%	24492	3.95%
8	618,747	394245	63.72%	172079	27.81%	11428	1.85%	11784	1.90%	17927	2.90%	11284	1.82%	38718	6.26%
9	620,034	534568	86.22%	55696	8.98%	1893	0.31%	12382	2.00%	9012	1.45%	6483	1.05%	23810	3.84%
10	618,454	557256	90.10%	35391	5.72%	1656	0.27%	8260	1.34%	10140	1.64%	5751	0.93%	23818	3.85%
11	620,017	533250	86.01%	60543	9.76%	9779	1.58%	4289	0.69%	5842	0.94%	6314	1.02%	14667	2.37%
12	618,744	292722	47.31%	277848	44.91%	2518	0.41%	13199	2.13%	22646	3.66%	9811	1.59%	43727	7.07%
13	619,727	409336	66.05%	167040	26.95%	2442	0.39%	12874	2.08%	18273	2.95%	9762	1.58%	36844	5.95%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Proposed House Congressional Plan (Wright)
Split Precincts
November 5, 2001

	District	Population
[redacted]		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189
[redacted]		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

Proposed House Congressional Plan (Wright)
Split Counties
November 5, 2001

Unit	District	Population
1 Alamance		
	6	87,844
	13	42,956
2 Beaufort		
	1	16,287
	3	28,671
3 Buncombe		
	10	36,535
	11	169,795
4 Burke		
	10	53,895
	11	35,253
5 Cabarrus		
	6	24,168
	8	101,359
	12	5,536
6 Catawba		
	9	35,303
	10	106,382
7 Chatham		
	2	33,936
	4	15,393
8 Cleveland		
	10	1,822
	11	94,465
9 Craven		
	1	39,000
	3	52,436
10 Cumberland		
	2	108,056
	7	71,395
	8	123,512
11 Davidson		
	6	74,170
	12	73,076
12 Duplin		
	3	13,961
	7	35,102
13 Forsyth		
	5	169,549
	12	136,518
14 Gaston		
	9	65,326
	10	92,882
	11	32,157
15 Granville		
	1	11,992
	13	36,506
16 Guilford		
	6	181,266
	12	124,579
	13	115,203
17 Haywood		

	10	5,707
	11	48,326
18 Henderson		
	10	83,858
	11	5,315
19 Iredell		
	5	82,775
	9	39,885
20 Jones		
	1	6,756
	3	3,625
21 Lenoir		
	1	30,702
	3	28,946
22 Lincoln		
	9	24,100
	10	39,680
23 Mecklenburg		
	8	144,265
	9	331,743
	12	219,446
24 Moore		
	6	35,827
	8	38,942
25 Nash		
	1	14,765
	2	45,517
	3	27,138
26 Pitt		
	1	55,075
	3	78,723
27 Polk		
	10	1,882
	11	16,442
28 Rockingham		
	5	16,892
	13	75,036
29 Rowan		
	6	70,751
	12	59,589
30 Rutherford		
	10	14,611
	11	48,288
31 Sampson		
	2	32,154
	7	28,007
32 Scotland		
	7	195
	8	35,803
33 Stanly		
	6	15,541
	8	42,559
34 Transylvania		
	10	13,733
	11	15,601
35 Vance		
	1	28,240
	2	14,714
36 Wake		

	2	75,436
	4	261,508
	13	290,902
37 Watauga		
	5	27,648
	10	15,047
38 Wayne		
	1	52,962
	3	60,367
39 Wilson		
	1	36,795
	3	37,019

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL PLAN (WRIGHT)



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 5, 2001.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H D

HOUSE BILL 1477
PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H1477-CSRO-41 [v.3]

11/13/2001 3:24:25 PM

Short Title: Cong.Redistricting 2001. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block

2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block

2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block

2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct TURKEY; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1070; Precinct 01-35, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct

VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5023, Block 5024; Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct

FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Durham County, Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct BYNUM, Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Wake County: Precinct 01-47, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703,

Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct NEW BETHEL.

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26,

Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5021, Block 5022; Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON, Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block

1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0103, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 038, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 051, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 083, Precinct 084, Precinct 094, Precinct 095, Precinct 099, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block

3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Stanly County: Precinct Albemarle 1, Precinct Albemarle 2, Precinct Albemarle 6, Precinct Albemarle 7, Precinct Albemarle 8, Precinct East

Albemarle, Precinct East Center, Precinct North Albemarle, Precinct New London, Precinct South Albemarle, Precinct West Center, Precinct Albemarle 10, Precinct Albemarle 11, Precinct Big Lick 1, Precinct Ridenhour, Precinct Palmerville, Precinct Richfield, Precinct Badin, Precinct Tyson; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101; Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 032, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Stanly County: Precinct Furr 1, Precinct Furr 2, Precinct Big Lick 2, Precinct Endy, Precinct Almond; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33,

Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh; Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4071; Tract 162.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070,

Block 2077, Block 2078; Tract 162.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1133, Block 1147, Block 1148; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1029; Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON;

Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120; Tract 510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 522.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block

2013, Block 2014; Tract 522.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Tract 523.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029; Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08."

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:

a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.

b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.

c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.

d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.

e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:

a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.

b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:

a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.

b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:

a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.

b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:

a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.

b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:

a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.

c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.

d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.

e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.

f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.
g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.
h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.
i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.
j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.
k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.
l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.
m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.
n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.
(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.
(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1 Clayton/Jones	1	619,579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2 Etheridge	1	619,103	619,178	75	0.01%
3	1	619,009	619,178	169	0.03%
4 Price	1	619,660	619,178	-482	-0.08%
5 Burr	1	619,886	619,178	-708	-0.11%
6 Coble	1	618,314	619,178	864	0.14%
7 McIntyre	1	619,163	619,178	15	0.00%
8 Hayes	1	619,744	619,178	-566	-0.09%
9 Myrick	1	619,654	619,178	-476	-0.08%
10 Ballenger	1	619,313	619,178	-135	-0.02%
11 Taylor	1	618,452	619,178	726	0.12%
12 Watt	1	618,622	619,178	556	0.09%
13	1	618,814	619,178	364	0.06%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173331	50.19%	118165	34.21%	440	0.13%	53433	15.47%	35624	10.31%	101575	29.41%	151580	43.89%	56591	16.39%
4	218622	48.98%	137502	30.80%	1090	0.24%	89155	19.97%	49776	11.15%	174469	39.09%	180136	40.36%	41988	9.41%
5	152361	38.83%	180599	46.03%	413	0.11%	58993	15.04%	36673	9.35%	122550	31.23%	165934	42.29%	67209	17.13%
6	143481	37.27%	179605	46.66%	447	0.12%	61409	15.95%	34984	9.09%	118559	30.80%	165669	43.04%	65722	17.07%
7	221570	58.16%	107005	28.09%	555	0.15%	51856	13.61%	36004	9.45%	116874	30.68%	164102	43.07%	64018	16.80%
8	190003	52.29%	108551	29.88%	439	0.12%	64356	17.71%	38794	10.68%	137208	37.76%	142793	39.30%	44553	12.26%
9	142933	33.91%	194546	46.15%	541	0.13%	83548	19.82%	36204	8.59%	151566	35.95%	184849	43.85%	48948	11.61%
10	152287	41.51%	155102	42.27%	402	0.11%	59102	16.11%	33885	9.24%	115407	31.46%	158854	43.30%	58747	16.01%
11	199275	45.64%	158242	36.24%	646	0.15%	78429	17.96%	38639	8.85%	119038	27.27%	183641	42.06%	95274	21.82%
12	219203	59.14%	96683	26.08%	449	0.12%	54323	14.66%	42712	11.52%	132732	35.81%	139976	37.77%	55227	14.90%
13	206903	53.98%	111135	28.99%	681	0.18%	64573	16.85%	42711	11.14%	141360	36.88%	147625	38.51%	51616	13.47%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2) - N Carolina 2000 General Election Results by D ct

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88313	49.75%	86351	48.65%	2846	1.60%	67194	39.74%	101886	60.26%	75582	46.28%	87747	53.72%
4	136479	59.36%	89066	38.74%	4391	1.91%	125351	56.56%	96274	43.44%	123252	56.66%	94276	43.34%
5	91247	42.75%	118140	55.35%	4042	1.89%	78979	38.38%	126814	61.62%	79833	39.90%	120272	60.10%
6	83710	41.86%	113052	56.53%	3225	1.61%	78296	40.34%	115771	59.66%	70758	37.87%	116110	62.13%
7	114201	61.42%	68630	36.91%	3115	1.68%	94524	53.21%	83109	46.79%	99285	57.55%	73226	42.45%
8	89432	54.64%	70087	42.82%	4161	2.54%	85922	55.20%	69729	44.80%	84937	56.34%	65808	43.66%
9	69356	35.46%	122884	62.83%	3338	1.71%	67648	36.66%	116866	63.34%	65461	36.49%	113928	63.51%
10	78747	42.38%	103526	55.72%	3530	1.90%	69818	38.77%	110265	61.23%	72305	41.29%	102797	58.71%
11	97377	48.50%	98155	48.89%	5229	2.60%	84955	45.13%	103308	54.87%	88285	47.44%	97804	52.56%
12	107391	65.05%	55243	33.46%	2455	1.49%	104716	64.96%	56495	35.04%	102626	65.12%	54965	34.88%
13	119997	59.56%	78011	38.72%	3472	1.72%	112142	57.36%	83369	42.64%	110141	57.77%	80521	42.23%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	619009	482416	77.93%	103540	16.73%	2653	0.43%	6274	1.01%	14649	2.37%	9477	1.53%	27279	4.41%
4	619660	440006	71.01%	128366	20.72%	1947	0.31%	24287	3.92%	15131	2.44%	9923	1.60%	31193	5.03%
5	619886	554996	89.53%	42185	6.81%	1396	0.23%	5070	0.82%	10841	1.75%	5398	0.87%	22387	3.61%
6	618314	539003	87.17%	53653	8.68%	2558	0.41%	6130	0.99%	11171	1.81%	5799	0.94%	24053	3.89%
7	619163	398723	64.40%	143938	23.25%	53151	8.58%	3343	0.54%	12953	2.09%	7055	1.14%	24469	3.95%
8	619744	391893	63.23%	173558	28.00%	11196	1.81%	11920	1.92%	19818	3.20%	11359	1.83%	42925	6.93%
9	619654	534318	86.23%	57883	9.34%	1838	0.30%	12062	1.95%	7230	1.17%	6323	1.02%	19761	3.19%
10	619313	534149	86.25%	59243	9.57%	1573	0.25%	9576	1.55%	9127	1.47%	5645	0.91%	21439	3.46%
11	618452	565590	91.45%	26838	4.34%	9911	1.60%	3249	0.53%	6517	1.05%	6347	1.03%	16271	2.63%
12	618622	291829	47.17%	278499	45.02%	2520	0.41%	13255	2.14%	22708	3.67%	9811	1.59%	43835	7.09%
13	618814	407580	65.86%	167700	27.10%	2440	0.39%	12844	2.08%	18429	2.98%	9821	1.59%	37223	6.02%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2)
Split Counties
November 13, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Alamance		
		6	91,085
		13	39,715
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	104,475
		9	21,052
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	33,936
		4	15,393
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	108,056
		7	71,395
		8	123,512
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	14,395
		7	34,668
9	Forsyth		
		5	175,378
		12	130,689
10	Gaston		
		9	164,464
		10	25,901
11	Granville		
		1	11,992
		13	36,506
12	Guilford		
		6	177,085
		12	130,286
		13	113,677
13	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
14	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
15	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
16	Mecklenburg		
		8	144,265
		9	331,743
		12	219,446
17	Nash		

		1	14,765
		2	45,517
		3	27,138
18	Pitt		
		1	55,075
		3	78,723
19	Rockingham		
		5	11,820
		13	80,108
20	Rowan		
		6	70,751
		12	59,589
21	Rutherford		
		10	38,167
		11	24,732
22	Sampson		
		2	32,154
		7	28,007
23	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35,803
24	Stanly		
		8	42,088
		9	16,012
25	Union		
		8	37,294
		9	86,383
26	Vance		
		1	28,240
		2	14,714
27	Wake		
		2	75,436
		4	262,726
		13	289,684
28	Wayne		
		1	52,962
		3	60,367
29	Wilson		
		1	36,795
		3	37,019

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 2)
Split Precincts
November 13, 2001

Unit	District	Population
C[redacted]		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Duplin		
BEULAVILLE		
	3	3,818
	7	192
Guilford		
Greensboro 64		
	6	2,800
	12	889
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
)77		
[redacted]	9	1
	12	3,329
Sc[redacted]		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
5		
	7	41
	8	1,189
Wake		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING 2001



District
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- Member Residence
- Counties

N

50 0 50 100 150 200 250 Miles

Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 13, 2001.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H D

HOUSE BILL 1477
PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H1477-CSST-76 [v.2]

11/12/2001 2:24:50 PM

Short Title: Congress 13 Pope. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block

2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Hyde County: Precinct FAIRFIELD, Precinct LAKE LANDING, Precinct ENGLEHARD; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block

2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1; Pamlico County: Precinct BAYBORO, Precinct VANDEMERE; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Tyrrell County: Precinct ALLIGATOR, Precinct COLUMBIA, Precinct GUM NECK, Precinct KILKENNY, Precinct SCUPPERNONG; Warren County: Precinct ROANOKE; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Chatham County, Hoke County, Johnston County, Lee County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block

2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct STONEY POINT, Precinct WADE; Franklin County: Precinct EAST HARRIS, Precinct DUNN, Precinct PEARCES, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CEDAR ROCK, Precinct PILOT, Precinct EAST YOUNGSVILLE, Precinct WEST HARRIS; Harnett County: Precinct AVERASBORO 1, Precinct AVERASBORO 2, Precinct AVERASBORO 3, Precinct AVERASBORO 4, Precinct AVERASBORO 5, Precinct BARBECUE, Precinct BUCKHORN, Precinct DUKE1, Precinct DUKE2, Precinct DUKE3, Precinct GROVE 1, Precinct GROVE 2, Precinct HECTORS CREEK, Precinct JOHNSONVILLE, Precinct UPPER LITTLE RIVER 1, Precinct UPPER LITTLE RIVER 2; Moore County: Precinct CAMERON, Precinct KNOLLWOOD, Precinct LITTLE RIVER, Precinct NORTH SOUTHERN PINES, Precinct PINEDENE, Precinct SOUTH SOUTHERN PINES, Precinct VASS; Nash County: Precinct DRYWELLS; Orange County: Precinct CARRBORO, Precinct BATTLE PARK, Precinct COUNTRY CLUB, Precinct COKER HILLS, Precinct COLONIAL HEIGHTS, Precinct DOGWOOD ACRES, Precinct EAST FRANKLIN, Precinct ESTES HILLS, Precinct EASTSIDE, Precinct GLENWOOD, Precinct GREENWOOD, Precinct KINGS MILL, Precinct LIONS CLUB, Precinct LINCOLN, Precinct MASON FARM, Precinct NORTH CARRBORO, Precinct NORTHSIDE, Precinct ORANGE GROVE, Precinct OWASA, Precinct RIDGEFIELD, Precinct ST. JOHNS, Precinct TOWN HALL, Precinct WHITE CROSS, Precinct WEAVER DAIRY, Precinct WESTWOOD, Precinct DAMASCUS 1, Precinct DAMASCUS 2,

Precinct WEAVER DAIRY; Wake County: Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Onslow County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE, Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Hyde County: Precinct BURGESS MILL, Precinct SWAN QUARTER, Precinct OCRACOKE, Precinct SLADESVILLE, Precinct Voting Districts not defined; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pamlico County: Precinct ALLIANCE, Precinct REELSBORO, Precinct ORIENTAL, Precinct STONEWALL, Precinct HOBUCKEN, Precinct ARAPAHOE; Pitt County: Precinct 6.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Tyrrell County: Precinct SOUTH FORK; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct

Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Caswell County: Precinct PROSPECT HILL; Durham County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2, Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 7, Precinct 16, Precinct 21, Precinct 24, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 28, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 43, Precinct 44, Precinct 45, Precinct 48, Precinct 50, Precinct 51, Precinct 53; Granville County: Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct CREEDMOOR; Orange County: Precinct ENO, Precinct HILLSBOROUGH, Precinct BOOKER CREEK, Precinct CEDAR FALLS, Precinct CEDAR GROVE, Precinct CAMERON PARK, Precinct COLES STORE, Precinct CALDWELL, Precinct CHEEKS, Precinct EFLAND, Precinct GRADY BROWN, Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct ST. MARYS, Precinct TOLARS, Precinct WEST HILLSBOROUGH, Precinct CARR; Person County: Precinct BRUSHY FORK, Precinct FLAT RIVER, Precinct HURDLE MILLS, Precinct MT. TIRZAH, Precinct OLIVE HILL; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-47, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 15-01: Tract 528.01: Block Group 1: Block 1999; Tract 528.05: Block Group 1: Block 1998; Tract 529: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1999; Block Group 3: Block 3001, Block 3014; Precinct 15-02: Tract 529:

Block Group 3: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2027, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033; Tract 530.02: Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021; Tract 531.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023; Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-06, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Shiloh, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct NEW BETHEL; Watauga County: Precinct Bald Mtn, Precinct Beaverdam, Precinct Blowing Rock, Precinct Blue Ridge, Precinct Brushy Fork, Precinct Cove Creek, Precinct Elk, Precinct Laurel Creek, Precinct Meat Camp, Precinct New River 2, Precinct New River 3, Precinct North Fork, Precinct Stony Fork.

District 6: Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 8,

Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Caswell County: Precinct PELHAM, Precinct PROVIDENCE, Precinct STONEY CREEK; Davidson County: Precinct SILVER VALLEY; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26, Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct North Madison, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct

REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Sampson County, Scotland County, Cumberland County: Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN; Duplin County: Precinct FAISON, Precinct WARSAW.

District 8: Anson County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 038, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 051, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 083, Precinct 084, Precinct 094, Precinct 095, Precinct 099, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Moore County: Precinct BENSALEM, Precinct CARTHAGE, Precinct EAST ABERDEEN, Precinct EUREKA, Precinct EASTWOOD, Precinct PINEBLUFF, Precinct ROBBINS, Precinct SEVEN LAKES, Precinct TAYLORTOWN, Precinct WEST ABERDEEN, Precinct WEST MOORE, Precinct WEST END, Precinct PINEHURST A, Precinct PINEHURST B, Precinct PINEHURST C, Precinct D-H-R; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 9: Union County, Catawba County: Precinct Balls Creek, Precinct Catawba, Precinct Claremont, Precinct East Maiden, Precinct East Newton, Precinct Monogram, Precinct Mt Olive, Precinct Oxford, Precinct Sherrills Ford, Precinct Lake Norman; Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Union, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Mt Holly 1; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2; Lincoln County: Precinct Denver, Precinct Iron Station, Precinct Lowesville, Precinct Pumpkin Center, Precinct Triangle, Precinct Westport; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 032, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224.

District 10: Burke County, Caldwell County, Cleveland County, Rutherford County, Catawba County: Precinct Banoak, Precinct Blackburn, Precinct Brookford, Precinct Conover West, Precinct Conover East, Precinct College Park, Precinct Kenworth, Precinct Greenmont, Precinct Oakwood, Precinct Ridgeview, Precinct Highlands, Precinct Longview North, Precinct Longview South, Precinct Maiden, Precinct Mtn View 1, Precinct Mtn View 2, Precinct North Newton, Precinct Oakland Heights, Precinct St Stephens 1, Precinct St Stephens 2, Precinct Sandy Ridge, Precinct South Newton, Precinct Springs, Precinct Startown, Precinct Sweetwater, Precinct Viewmont 1, Precinct Viewmont 2, Precinct Falling Creek, Precinct Northwest, Precinct West Newton; Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct

Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Lincoln County: Precinct Asbury, Precinct Boger City, Precinct Buffalo Shoals, Precinct Crouse, Precinct Daniels/Vale, Precinct Hickory Grove, Precinct Heavners, Precinct Lithia, Precinct Love Memorial, Precinct Lincolnton North, Precinct Lincolnton South, Precinct Long Shoals, Precinct North Brook I/II, Precinct North Brook III, Precinct Salem; McDowell County: Precinct Marion 1, Precinct Marion 2, Precinct Marion 3, Precinct Marion 4, Precinct Marion 5, Precinct Dysartsville, Precinct Higgins, Precinct Nebo, Precinct North Cove, Precinct Turkey Cove.

District 11: Avery County, Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, Macon County, Madison County, Mitchell County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, McDowell County: Precinct Pleasant Gardens, Precinct West Marion, Precinct Crooked Creek, Precinct Glenwood, Precinct Montford Cove, Precinct Old Fort 1, Precinct Old Fort 2; Watauga County: Precinct Boone1, Precinct Boone2, Precinct Boone3, Precinct New River 1, Precinct Shawneehaw, Precinct Watauga, Precinct Beech Mtn.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 703, Precinct 704, Precinct 901, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High

Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Vance County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON; Caswell County: Precinct ANDERSON, Precinct HIGHTOWERS, Precinct LEASBURG, Precinct LOCUST HILL, Precinct MILTON, Precinct YANCEYVILLE 2, Precinct YANCEYVILLE 4; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block

1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Durham County: Precinct 6, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 34, Precinct 36, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42, Precinct 46, Precinct 47, Precinct 49, Precinct 52, Precinct 54; Franklin County: Precinct TOWN OF LOUISBURG, Precinct LOUISBURG, Precinct WEST FRANKLINTON, Precinct WEST YOUNGSVILLE, Precinct GOLD MINE, Precinct SANDY CREEK, Precinct HAYESVILLE, Precinct EAST FRANKLINTON; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct TALLY HO, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Harnett County: Precinct ANDERSON CREEK, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct LILLINGTON, Precinct NEILLS CREEK 1, Precinct NEILLS CREEK 2, Precinct STEWARTS CREEK; Nash County: Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Person County: Precinct ALLENSVILLE, Precinct CUNNINGHAM/CHUB LAKE, Precinct HOLLOWAY, Precinct ROXBORO 1, Precinct ROXBORO 2, Precinct ROXBORO 3, Precinct ROXBORO 4, Precinct ROXBORO 1A, Precinct WOODSDALE; Wake County: Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27, Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-35, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 15-01: Tract 529: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4008, Block 4999; Precinct 15-02: Tract 529: Block Group 4: Block Group 2: Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037; Block Group 4: Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013,

Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028; Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08; Warren County: Precinct RIVER, Precinct SIX POUND, Precinct HAWTREE, Precinct SMITH CREEK, Precinct NUTBUSH, Precinct SANDY CREEK, Precinct SHOCCO, Precinct FISHING CREEK, Precinct JUDKINS, Precinct WEST WARRETON, Precinct FORK, Precinct NORLINA, Precinct EAST WARRENTON.

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:
   a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.
   b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.
   c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.
   d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.
   e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
   a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
   b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:

a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.

b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:

a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.

b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:

a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.

b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:

a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.

c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.

d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.

e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.

f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.

g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.

h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.

i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.
j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.
k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.
l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.
m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.
n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.
(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.
(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congress 13 Pope
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	619,711	619,178	-533	-0.09%
2	1	619,598	619,178	-420	-0.07%
3	1	618,698	619,178	480	0.08%
4	1	618,823	619,178	355	0.06%
5	1	618,273	619,178	905	0.15%
6	1	618,547	619,178	631	0.10%
7	1	619,754	619,178	-576	-0.09%
8	1	619,706	619,178	-528	-0.09%
9	1	620,034	619,178	-856	-0.14%
10	1	619,166	619,178	12	0.00%
11	1	619,305	619,178	-127	-0.02%
12	1	618,744	619,178	434	0.07%
13	1	618,954	619,178	224	0.04%

Congress 13 Pope - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18-25	%18-25	26-40	%26-40	41-65	%41-65	66 up	%66 up
1	256797	71.46%	67190	18.70%	283	0.08%	35066	9.76%	34361	9.56%	106553	29.65%	151907	42.27%	66516	18.51%
2	186438	52.01%	108784	30.35%	609	0.17%	62602	17.47%	42367	11.82%	130523	36.42%	140595	39.23%	44935	12.54%
3	170644	49.87%	117412	34.31%	437	0.13%	53699	15.69%	35774	10.45%	101010	29.52%	149582	43.71%	55825	16.31%
4	186818	43.24%	155016	35.88%	1065	0.25%	89147	20.63%	46257	10.71%	167334	38.73%	178058	41.21%	40391	9.35%
5	150298	38.94%	178895	46.34%	366	0.09%	56453	14.62%	33261	8.62%	119298	30.91%	166950	43.25%	66503	17.23%
6	170908	44.30%	152359	39.49%	516	0.13%	62042	16.08%	39062	10.12%	124871	32.36%	159401	41.31%	62497	16.20%
7	225543	58.88%	106154	27.71%	543	0.14%	50808	13.26%	35918	9.38%	117371	30.64%	164905	43.05%	64854	16.93%
8	172992	45.22%	144526	37.78%	420	0.11%	64595	16.89%	37513	9.81%	133786	34.97%	154232	40.32%	57002	14.90%
9	141901	33.41%	196896	46.36%	566	0.13%	85340	20.09%	36044	8.49%	154011	36.26%	187006	44.03%	47641	11.22%
10	165073	45.93%	137867	38.36%	371	0.10%	56071	15.60%	34357	9.56%	111447	31.01%	153431	42.69%	60147	16.74%
11	192419	43.53%	168593	38.14%	685	0.15%	80370	18.18%	41662	9.42%	121657	27.52%	183128	41.43%	95620	21.63%
12	220202	59.14%	96897	26.03%	450	0.12%	54771	14.71%	42804	11.50%	133601	35.88%	140244	37.67%	55666	14.95%
13	224569	65.17%	69939	20.30%	442	0.13%	49658	14.41%	38571	11.19%	128706	37.35%	134818	39.12%	42532	12.34%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congress 13 Pope - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619711	291047	46.96%	305430	49.29%	3688	0.60%	3330	0.54%	10086	1.63%	6130	0.99%	18951	3.06%
2	619598	441699	71.29%	125184	20.20%	8545	1.38%	9415	1.52%	23901	3.86%	10854	1.75%	44293	7.15%
3	618698	482536	77.99%	100537	16.25%	2643	0.43%	6131	0.99%	17339	2.80%	9512	1.54%	30660	4.96%
4	618823	490435	79.25%	79797	12.89%	1919	0.31%	24721	3.99%	12178	1.97%	9773	1.58%	27653	4.47%
5	618273	552739	89.40%	42951	6.95%	1410	0.23%	4905	0.79%	10903	1.76%	5365	0.87%	22359	3.62%
6	618547	491476	79.46%	95111	15.38%	2406	0.39%	9293	1.50%	12949	2.09%	7312	1.18%	27699	4.48%
7	619754	391587	63.18%	149390	24.10%	55962	9.03%	3037	0.49%	12851	2.07%	6927	1.12%	24076	3.88%
8	619706	467110	75.38%	116345	18.77%	3037	0.49%	9615	1.55%	16274	2.63%	7325	1.18%	33673	5.43%
9	620034	534568	86.22%	55696	8.98%	1893	0.31%	12382	2.00%	9012	1.45%	6483	1.05%	23810	3.84%
10	619166	521836	84.28%	70974	11.46%	1463	0.24%	9462	1.53%	9692	1.57%	5739	0.93%	22580	3.65%
11	619305	568670	91.82%	24960	4.03%	9972	1.61%	3087	0.50%	6290	1.02%	6326	1.02%	15905	2.57%
12	618744	292722	47.31%	277848	44.91%	2518	0.41%	13199	2.13%	22646	3.66%	9811	1.59%	43727	7.07%
13	618954	278231	44.95%	293322	47.39%	4095	0.66%	9095	1.47%	22508	3.64%	11703	1.89%	43577	7.04%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congress 13 Pope - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	117226	68.67%	51855	30.38%	1621	0.95%	102249	62.37%	61679	37.63%	108580	68.19%	50654	31.81%
2	98838	56.31%	73734	42.01%	2946	1.68%	87871	51.77%	81849	48.23%	90001	54.26%	75860	45.74%
3	86967	49.51%	85870	48.88%	2827	1.61%	66306	39.60%	101154	60.40%	74683	46.16%	87102	53.84%
4	129878	53.90%	106381	44.15%	4684	1.94%	115688	50.01%	115639	49.99%	115344	50.73%	112004	49.27%
5	90354	42.33%	119226	55.86%	3873	1.81%	78112	37.92%	127896	62.08%	79207	39.53%	121167	60.47%
6	94443	48.48%	96892	49.74%	3457	1.77%	91388	48.21%	98181	51.79%	81794	45.10%	99578	54.90%
7	115426	62.47%	66270	35.87%	3075	1.66%	95874	54.41%	80320	45.59%	100192	58.53%	70980	41.47%
8	87348	46.77%	94894	50.81%	4510	2.41%	83316	46.65%	95299	53.35%	81584	47.09%	91662	52.91%
9	69868	35.59%	123062	62.68%	3389	1.73%	68045	36.73%	117223	63.27%	65890	36.55%	114381	63.45%
10	78553	44.62%	94158	53.48%	3338	1.90%	69989	40.97%	100853	59.03%	72719	43.74%	93544	56.26%
11	96932	47.70%	100889	49.64%	5407	2.66%	84479	44.41%	105730	55.59%	87345	46.53%	100374	53.47%
12	108029	65.07%	55497	33.43%	2493	1.50%	105300	64.95%	56814	35.05%	103262	65.17%	55183	34.83%
13	119309	69.88%	49407	28.94%	2010	1.18%	113057	67.54%	54326	32.46%	114541	69.61%	50015	30.39%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.56%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congress 13 - Pope
Split Precincts
November 12, 2001

	District	Population
Craven		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	13	847
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Wake		
15-01		
	4	2,178
	13	4,046
15-02		
	4	3,921
	13	4,699

Proposed Congress 13 - Pope
Split Counties
November 12, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Alamance		
		6	105,032
		13	25,768
2	Beaufort		
		1	20,782
		3	24,176
3	Cabarrus		
		8	125,527
		12	5,536
4	Caswell		
		4	1,004
		6	8,789
		13	13,708
5	Catawba		
		9	35,303
		10	106,382
6	Craven		
		1	42,359
		3	49,077
7	Cumberland		
		2	155,552
		7	30,542
		13	116,869
8	Davidson		
		6	2,767
		8	71,403
		12	73,076
9	Duplin		
		3	40,908
		7	8,155
10	Durham		
		4	111,160
		13	112,154
11	Forsyth		
		5	169,549
		12	136,518
12	Franklin		
		2	20,736
		13	26,524
13	Gaston		
		9	65,326
		10	125,039
14	Granville		
		4	11,321
		13	37,177
15	Guilford		
		6	296,469
		12	124,579
16	Harnett		
		2	58,555
		13	32,470
17	Hyde		
		1	2,882

		3	2,944
18	Iredell		
		5	82,775
		9	39,885
19	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
20	Lenoir		
		1	33,307
		3	26,341
21	Lincoln		
		9	24,100
		10	39,680
22	McDowell		
		10	22,316
		11	19,835
23	Mecklenburg		
		8	144,265
		9	331,743
		12	219,446
24	Moore		
		2	23,770
		8	50,999
25	Nash		
		1	35,953
		2	3,125
		3	38,431
		13	9,911
26	Orange		
		2	71,832
		4	46,395
27	Pamlico		
		1	3,089
		3	9,845
28	Person		
		4	12,608
		13	23,015
29	Pitt		
		1	62,832
		3	70,966
30	Rockingham		
		5	16,892
		6	75,036
31	Rowan		
		8	70,751
		12	59,589
32	Tyrrell		
		1	4,106
		3	43
33	Wake		
		2	32,048
		4	436,335
		13	159,463
34	Warren		
		1	1,031
		13	18,941
35	Watauga		
		5	27,648
		11	15,047

36	Wayne		
		1	60,895
		3	52,434
37	Wilson		
		1	38,686
		3	35,128

CONGRESS 13 POPE



District
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- Member Residence
- Counties

N

50 0 50 100 150 200 250 Miles

Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 12, 2001.

11-13-01

PCS

ROLL CALL VOTE

19 YES 21 NO = 40 (TOTAL)

HB# 1477
SB# ____

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

House Subcommittee on _____

YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)		YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)
___	✓	MCMAHAN	(CHAIR)	✓	___	GOODWIN
✓	___	WRIGHT	(CHAIR)	___	✓	GRAY
✓	___	ALEXANDER	(VICE- CHAIR)	✓	___	HURLEY
___	✓	DOCKHAM	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓	___	JARRELL
✓	___	HILL	(VICE-CHAIR)	___	✓	MCCOMBS
___	✓	THOMPSON	(VICE-CHAIR)	___	✓	MICHAUX
___	✓	ADAMS		✓	___	MINER
✓	___	BOYD-MCINTYRE		___	✓	MITCHELL
✓	___	BUCHANAN		___	✓	MORRIS
___	✓	CLARY		✓	___	NESBITT
✓	___	COX		___	✓	POPE
___	✓	CREECH		___	✓	RUSSELL
✓	___	CULPEPPER		✓	___	SAUNDERS
✓	___	CUNNINGHAM		___	✓	SEXTON
___	✓	DAUGHTRY		✓	___	TOLSON
___	✓	DAVIS		___	✓	WALEND
___	✓	DECKER		✓	___	WARNER
___	✓	ELLIS		✓	___	WARREN
___	✓	FITCH		___	___	
✓	___	FOX		___	___	
✓	___	GIBSON				
___	✓	GILLESPIE				
___	___					
___	___					

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 13, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Chris Hollis	Rep Gibson
Carole Lauver	EGHS
Stan Will	CCNC
DAVID M. BARNES	Poyner + Spruill LLP
George Sudduth	Pepsi Cola
Carl Wilms	NCAHCA
Paul Coleman	City of Hickory
Clifton Metcalf	
Jonathan C. Jordan	NCGOP
Bill Cobey	NC GOP
Richard Hudson	Concord, NC

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 13, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Bill Peaslee	WCGOP
	NCICU
Charles Archer	NC League of Municipalities
Clinton Harris	NAACP 4713 Watauga Hwy NC

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 13, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Lori Ann Davis	L. Harris & Assoc.

NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
2001-2002 SESSION

You are hereby notified that the Committee on **HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: **Wednesday, November 14, 2001**

TIME: **11:00 a.m.**

LOCATION: **Room 643 – Legislative Office Building**

The following bills will be considered (Bill # & Short Title & Bill Sponsor):

(Wright) – House Congressional Plan (HB1477)
(McMahan) – House Congressional Plan 13 (HB1476)

Respectfully,

Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright
Co-chairs

I hereby certify this notice was filed by the committee clerk at the following offices at **10:00 a.m.** on **November 14, 2001**.

___Principal Clerk
___Reading Clerk - House Chamber

Sharon Cram and Vanda Wilson-Wormack (Committee Assistants)

AGENDA

HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

November 14, 2001
Room 643 LOB
11:00 A.M.

OPENING REMARKS

Representative Thomas Wright, Co-Chair
Representative Ed McMahan, Co-Chair

RECOGNITION OF STAFF

Bill Gilkeson – Staff Attorney
Karen Cochrane Brown - Staff Attorney

AGENDA ITEMS

HB1477 – (Wright) - House Congressional Plan
HB1476 – (McMahan) – House Congressional Plan 13

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

November 14, 2001

The House Committee on Congressional Redistricting met on Wednesday, November 14, 2001, in Room 643 of the Legislative Office Building at 11:00 a.m. The following members were present: Co-Chairman Ed McMahan, Co-Chairman Thomas Wright, Vice Chairman Martha Alexander, Vice Chairman Jerry Dockham, Vice Chairman Dewey Hill, Vice Chairman Gregg Thompson, Representatives Adams, Boyd-McIntyre, Buchanan, Clary, Cox, Creech, Culpepper, Cunningham, Daughtry, Davis, Decker, Ellis, Fitch, Fox, Gibson, Gillespie, Goodwin, Gray, Hurley, Jarrell, McCombs, Michaux, Miner, Mitchell, Morris, Nesbitt, Pope, Russell, Saunders, Sexton, Tolson, Walend, Warner and Warren.

Co-Chairman Thomas Wright called the meeting to order. A transcript of the meeting is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Ed McMahan
Co-Chairman

Sharon Cram
Committee Assistant

Representative Thomas Wright
Co-Chairman

Vanda Wilson-Wormack
Committee Assistant

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE MEETING

COPY

BEFORE: Representative Ed McMahan
Congressional Redistricting
Committee

Representative Thomas Wright
Congressional Redistricting
Committee

TRANSCRIPT

OF

MEETING

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 14, 2001 - 11:17 a.m.

Reported by:
Amy L. Poythress, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Amy L. Poythress, being a Certified Verbatim Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the state of North Carolina, was appointed commissioner by consent to take the Congressional Redistricting Committee Meeting held on the 14th day of November, 2001, beginning at 11:17 a.m. in Room 544, Legislative Office Building located at Raleigh, North Carolina.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: We'll call the committee to order. House Congressional Redistricting Committee on this 14th day of November of the year 2001.

Members of the committee, thank you for being here. If you would, please take your seats. And I will ask the clerk to call the roll.

[ROLL CALL CALLED BY MS. CRAM]

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you.

Members of the committee, this committee will continue its deliberations in attempts to get a proposal before the committee for its consideration this morning.

As you all know, the House is going to

-- it's in recess currently and will reconvene at 12:00. This committee will continue its deliberations through the 12:00 period, as the House will allow us to continue to do so. We've been assured that by the Speaker.

In addition to that, what we would hope to do is get a bill through this committee today and hopefully present it to the floor if it is the committee's wishes and will.

With that, the Chair will now relinquish to the Co-chair of the committee so that we can continue on that -- with our deliberations. Representative McMahan.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Representative Wright. Good morning, committee members. We will now hear from Representative Wright regarding Bill -- I'm sorry. We need a motion.

We've got a committee substitute for Bill 1477. We need a motion to have it for consideration. Yes, sir, Representative Monroe.

REPRESENTATIVE MONROE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I would move that we adopt this committee substitute for discussion purposes.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We here the motion --

REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON: Second.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The motion for adoption of the committee substitute for Bill 1477. Any discussion, any debate?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yes, sir. I have a question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Wait just a second. Who was the first -- Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. Which -- what are we adopting, one from last night or something new or what?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Michaux, you should have in front of you a map that actually is different from last night. Representative Wright will tell you the difference in the maps, but there is a new committee substitute that's different from the one from last night. And I assume it's numbered Committee Substitute 3.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: It should be Congressional Redistricting Map --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Wright 3.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Michaux, do you have another question?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: No. Go ahead.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes. Representative Pope?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, I have prepared an amendment to the redistricting 2001 which appeared on District Bill as abbreviation Wright 3; however -- and I think that was the 2001 Congressional redistricting that was presented last night.

That amendment does not fit the Wright 3 that was handed out this morning and is being asked to adopt as a committee substitute. I have absolutely no problem adopting as a committee substitute for discussion. I just, again, given the past history of this House, that assurances that there will be time to prepare amendments to fit the new Wright 3 which has just been

handed to us at 11:15, we're recessing at 12:00 to go into a session.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope, I can assure you that we, the committee, the leadership of the committee, will permit every amendment to be debated and introduced, and we wanted it to obviously apply to the correct Bill, so we will give adequate time for you to modify your amendment --

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: -- at the proper time.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. There's a motion before the committee to have Committee Substitute 1477 adopted for discussion. All in favor say, aye.

[RESPONSE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: All opposed, no.

[NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The motion does pass, and I'll recognize Representative Wright to explain the Committee Substitute 1477.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. House -- committee substitute for House Bill 1477, Congressional redistricting 2001, is the third edition of a proposed redistricting map for the Congressional districts for this decennial period.

What you have before you is 13 Congressional districts that have been divided across this state proportionately to ensure that North Carolina complies with the federal Constitution.

What you have different in this map than you had in the previous maps is some minor adjustments that have occurred in the 11th District, the 10th District, the 9th and the 8th Districts as well.

In addition to that, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, everything else seems to be and should be as you have seen

previously. This is a pretty straightforward proposed map, as I suggested to you some time ago.

It has been drawn fairly, has been drawn to -- in compliance with the law as we understand it. It also allows us to insure that our incumbent members are still in place, in addition to making sure that we have not violated any federal law.

With that, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I certainly will entertain any questions that you have at this point and certainly hope that the committees looks favorably upon this map.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Representative Wright. Any questions of committee members concerning the map?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman -- and this is more procedural than anything else. In the offering of amendments to this map it would be proper, I would assume, to

offer a new -- a new plan as an amendment to this.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir. That is correct.

Representative Mitchell.

REPRESENTATIVE MITCHELL: Inquiry of the chair.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MITCHELL: Last night the Chairman stated that the maps had to be put on the web site so that the public would have access to it. Has this one been posted or was that an erroneous statement, that it has to be posted?

Representative Mitchell, I'm not sure. We'll check with staff. If it's not, it will be done momentarily. So it's just -- it's actually been being sort of drawn this morning.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Let me respond to that.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Staff, have we put it on the web site yet?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: It's being done

now?

MS. MANHEIM: We're not sure.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We're not sure. We'll get an answer to that question though -- to that inquiry of the Chair. Any other questions?

Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Are there just a very limited number of copies of this plan that have been printed?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Copies are currently being made. Are there any members that don't actually have a copy on your desk? So, members, we've made enough copies to start the meeting, and we wanted to move on, but we're getting extra copies made currently. Thank you, Representative Ellis.

Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative Wright, in this current plan, Wright 3, and in the previous Wright 3, the 13th District goes from Guilford County, Greensboro, to the northern counties, and back down to Raleigh.

And the Congressional percentage is in the 20's for a total population percent black**.

The plan I gave out yesterday in this area of the state created a 13th district that went -- instead of starting in Guilford County, started in Burlington and Caswell County, went down through Wake County and Durham County to Cumberland County and had a black plurality in there of about 47 percent to 44 percent.

I'll be glad to go ahead and share with you -- and I tried to share with you earlier this morning -- I have a new proposal which would be even more compact for a 13th District, will still be about 42 percent black by total population, both of which I think will get either of those -- my original amendment at 47 percent or the new amendment that's being prepared at 42 percent, I think will give the black community a greater opportunity to elect a member of its choice.

Given that those alternatives are available, did you consider -- consider incorporating more of the black population in

the same geographical area in a more concentrated, compact district?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That was considered, Representative Pope, as we were drawing the 1st, the 2nd, and the 4th as we were looking for a way to draw the 13th as well. And that was a consideration.

But in order to keep the numbers in the 1st as we've had them previously, we thought that we would proceed on as you see it before you and then make those adjustments in the 13th accordingly, and the numbers just ended up the way they were. We never used race as a predominant factor in drawing any of these districts.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes. Follow-up, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: In the alternatives I've been drawing -- and I did a second variation -- it has about a one percent decrease in percent of the population of blacks in the 1st District. And you consider that a significant decrease as to

why that cannot be done?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, we didn't consider that as a significant decrease at all. It's just by one percent in those districts. And as you well know, the northeast part of the state has experienced a reduction in the population. And so with the reconfiguration and adjustments that we made, we thought that we'd done a pretty good job in insuring that that 1st District remained as it has been previously over the last 10 years and didn't have a significant reduction in its population as we drew the maps.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I think we're misunderstanding each other. I'll try to be more precise.

Is there a significant difference in the percentage of the black population in your 1st District and the 1st District in the amendment I submitted yesterday?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Representative Pope, I don't have the amendment that you submitted yesterday before me so on the record I'm going to be a little bit less than direct in my response until I have that.

If you have a copy I'll be happy to look at it, but at this point what I'm showing here is 27.10 percent -- I'm sorry, in the 13th District that is correct -- African-American citizens in that area and 65.86 are non-African-American citizens in that -- in that district as well.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope, I don't -- you didn't present that to the committee. You actually just presented it to Representative Wright. That's the one you showed me and Representative Wright yesterday.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: No, sir, that's not entirely correct. I said we did submit it to the committee. In our first meeting yesterday staff distributed it. The Chair indicated, which was then Representative Wright, he would not accept that as an

amendment at that time. But staff did make them available to the public, to the members of the committee, so it was submitted to the committee, but you all would not take it up as an amendment at that time.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. I'm sorry. Fine.

Does anyone have a copy of the amendment? Staff, do we have a copy of the amendment that Representative Pope -- Representative Pope, do you happen to have an extra copy?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I do have one extra copy.

[COMMITTEE AT EASE FOR DISTRIBUTION]

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative Wright, now we have the numbers before us. In your plan, Wright 3, for the 1st District, which I think is the same as the previous Wright plans, the percent black is 50.74 percent of total population in District 1.

In Congress 13, Pope, which I submitted to the committee yesterday, the percent black to the total population is 49.29 percent. So

they're very close. Do you consider that difference significant?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: No, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up question, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Given that difference is not significant and your plan, Wright 3, for District 13, the percent black is 27.1 percent and the district would run from Greensboro to Raleigh.

In the District 13 -- in Congress 13 Pope the percent black is 47.39 percent black to total population, which is plurality because the white-only population is only 44.95 percent.

So my question is, given the alternatives of creating in the same general geographical area a plurality black district or if you wanted to lower percentages to make more geographic and consider other factors -- you know, 40 percent plus, why was that not done?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, again,

Representative Pope, as I said in my earlier remarks, race was not a predominant factor as we were considering and drawing these districts up, as that is a clear violation of Shaw, as you well know.

So I kept that in mind as we were proposing these districts and wanted to make sure that that would not be an issue at all for us to have consider in litigation.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: All right. Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act there are several -- which I read the other day, Voting Rights Act, has here the state should not dilute minority voting strength.

And in Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act in the Gingles case, that there's -- the Gingles threshold is met if sufficient concentration of black population to draw a compact district, then the state does have legitimate state interest in creating such a district to avoid dilution of minority voting strength.

And what Shaw v. Reno said, we may respectfully disagree on that, is that you can consider race and must do so in a narrowly tailored manner involving traditional redistricting criteria as much as possible.

And the 13th District that I propose is narrowly tailored to comply with the Voting Rights Act and does follow the same criteria and standards -- we don't have any adopted criteria, but follows the same standard of compactness that your plan has followed for the 13th -- excuse me -- for the 1st District and the 12th District.

So given those factors, why do you not consider adopting a 13th District which is -- wherein which the black community will have a fair opportunity to elect a member as they so choose?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, as I stated earlier, we certainly wanted to keep in mind and do keep in mind the Shaw versus Reno decision and to make sure that race was not a predominant factor as we were drawing

these districts at all. And so, with that, as we were drawing the district that would actually show favor or some preference to one party over the other, this is how the numbers ended up. So that's why I stated, we presented a proposal last week that this was drawn with a specific democratic vantage in mind.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: To confirm, one of the reasons -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth, just want to clarify -- is you did consider creating an overall map with the Democrat Party having the majority of the seats, favoring the Democrat Party, and that's why you did not draw a 13th District with a plurality black population of over 40 percent black population because it would affect adversely the Democrat Party's likelihood of success in the rest of the state?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That's not

exactly what I said. That was simply one of our considerations.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions or comments from the committee members regarding the committee substitute.

Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. Mr. -- Chairman Wright, following up on the conversation with Representative Pope, it appears as though what you tried to do was try to avoid making race a predominant factor, but there's nothing stopping us from considering race, not necessarily as a predominant factor, is there?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I guess not. You're much greater at this than I am. Representative Michaux is a very sharp attorney, former Justice Department attorney. You know this much better than I do.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Well, I don't need all of that. Let me follow it up, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: If there is a possibility that the African-American community would have an opportunity to elect a person of their choice, why would it not be -- why would you not try to create a district that is that way?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, I guess you could create a district any way you want to if you're drawing it at the time, Representative Michaux. What we considered, as I stated earlier, is a map that would certainly show some deference to one political party over the other. As we understood the law that we could certainly, in fact, do that without considering race as a predominant factor under Shaw.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: All right. Another question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Shaw.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: If I could show you and the committee in maintaining the political structure on this that you would come out ahead with an eight-to-five

Democratic majority without affecting those incumbents who are there now, that wouldn't be such a bad idea, would it, based on political consideration?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, it depends on how we're drawing that map. I think that would be a great idea; however, there's some other factors and variables that we'd certainly have to consider there.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Well, my variables -- May I follow-up?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, follow-up, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: My variables were that you maintain -- you go on and maintain everybody that's there without any problem, with very slight changes in performance, population, in voting age population, and still have a district in there that has some -- I don't want to use Senator Miller's word -- but has some influence -- what you would call an influence district in there. Would there be a problem with that?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Is that a question of whether I will accept that or not or --

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: No. I'm just asking whether there would be a problem with that.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Oh, I guess there would not be a problem with that. But again, when you talk about those variables when you are looking at performance, always keep in mind that state performance is different than a federal performance, and I'd hope that you'd consider that as you're looking at it also.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman, one other question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. Have you included in your figures any federal performance on these things?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: They're not before you but, yes, we did look at that as well.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Well, how do we get a copy of those? If it was important, if you look at that, then we ought to be able to look at it.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, sir. Without question. I'll make sure you get that.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: The committee ought to have it, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I'll make sure the committee gets it. Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir. Representative Decker is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE DECKER: Actually, Representative Michaux's comments --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Would you speak in your microphone, please.

REPRESENTATIVE DECKER: I was actually objecting to Representative Michaux's comments, and I think it would be welcome if we get that information to review before a vote is taken.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Wright, did you substantially draw this plan?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Follow-up, please.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, follow-up, Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: One of the concerns that I think the population is concerned about in this, and there's a lot of things I think most of the time a lot of them think they're in Washington, but I know one thing that they are concerned about is the commonality in interest, and I've heard that repeatedly in talking to people in my district.

In looking at the 2nd District, can you explain for me what commonality you believe southeastern Wake County has with southern and eastern Sampson?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, common interest is what common interest is, Representative Ellis, and what we looked at

here, again, was performance and with the intent of certainly keeping the Democratic advantage or one party's advantage in the performance in this district. So it's based on voting patterns and how these citizens typically vote and the other interests that they had, we thought that certainly represented a good mix of the rural and urban.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Follow-up, please.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you. I don't know -- what I'm hearing you say is that you were interested in combining these areas because of political performance and the anticipated outcome of the vote, but I'm more interested in the economic or the geographic continuity in these areas. And I'm just -- I don't see that this area of Wake County has any common interest with that area of Sampson County. And so I want to know how the people of North Carolina are better served by such a broad expanse in geography.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And so your question is?

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: How are the people of North Carolina better served by this plan over one that is more compact, that has some respect for both geographic, political, or economic interest of an area? Because these two areas are distinctly different.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, we think that we accomplished that -- those very things when we were drawing this. And so that's just, I guess, in the eye and the view of the authors.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Further questions or comments by committee members. We have some amendments that are being drafted, one of which is an amendment that Representative Wright and I both are going to propose. I think it's going to be introduced by Representative Monroe, but it is an amendment to basically make one change in this committee substitute in accordance with what we had an understanding on.

We -- the map was brought to us about 10 minutes before we -- 10 minutes to 11:00 and there's one thing that was different than what we had talked about, and that is now being drafted and is going to be a correction to the map as it relates to District 8, and so we will have to wait for that to be authored. I think there's other amendments, I assume, that will be sent forth that we, you know, can entertain at this time.

Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, I think the amendment I have that's being prepared fits the Wright 3 as presented at 11:00, and if you-all change District 8, then my amendment's got to change again to fit that change. So, I mean -- I'm more than happy to accommodate. The actual text is going to be different.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: That will be fine. It looks like this is going to get very interesting.

Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: If there is a

period of time where we wait for the amendments to be drawn, I would like to announce a small meeting of the Republicans in this committee for a small caucus over near that coffee mug over there during the recess.

The coffee mug I'm talking about is -- look at my hand and look at the way it's pointing -- coffee pot.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. Back to Representative Jarrell.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had a question for Representative Wright. In looking at Guilford County you have split District 6 right through the county, and I can't even tell whether it's contiguous or not.

When I look at Guilford County, it's not just that it's split right down the middle. You have put this District 13 in there. You've taken some of our best democratic precincts, if you will, and you've given it to Wake County.

I really have to register a protest

about that. But my original question, I think, in looking at this new map is -- is the first concern. How is District 6 even connected to itself?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: By way of contiguity, Representative Jarrell. And let me just help you understand that.

The model for that currently exists in the State House districts for that area, and that's exactly where we lifted that from. I think Representative Adams even has part of that. I have a larger version of this in my office that I intended to bring up, and I'll get it during the short break to show you the point contiguity. So --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Excuse me. Representative Cunningham, point of order?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir. Which map are we on?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Cunningham, it's Wright 3, committee substitute 3.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Last time I heard we were on the Pope map.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: No, sir, we're not. Substitute 3. Other questions?

Representative Jarrell, I'm sorry.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: I have a follow-up that I didn't get to pose to the Chairman. Just tell me how that connects.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: At a point; that's how it connects.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Find the point, please. **REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT:** The point is kind of infinite, so there's no true definition, but as I understand it, there are four precincts on each side of that point, and there's Reedy Creek that ran through there at some point. Yes.

So I will certainly show you that proposal which is a lot clearer, and currently, Representative Jarrell, members of this House, their district is defined exactly the same way. I mean, precisely the same way, and so it was rather phenomenal as we looked at it, and so there is a precedent there, and so we just followed that same

precedent to make a point -- Point A to B or from one or to the other.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Jarrell.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And, Mr. Chairman, if I could just --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And the reason why we also included 13, we felt that with Guilford County being as progressive and diverse as it is, the more members of Congress you have, the better. Certainly in my little area of the state --

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: I would like to comment on that. Flattery will not get you everywhere.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. Representative Adams?

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: I just wanted to follow up a little bit. Representative Wright mentioned my district in here. I didn't want anybody to -- to be led to think that I think that this is such a great idea here with Guilford being split up as many

ways as it has been.

I don't necessarily think that's a good idea. I haven't gotten a lot of good feedback or positive feedback in terms of these divisions from the county, but I guess what I wanted to ask, what other urban area has been divided this way?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Mecklenburg.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: That's to you.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yeah. Charlotte-Mecklenburg, Wake has, and Cumberland has the same kind of districts.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, follow-up, Representative Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Well, that may be, but, you know, some of the concerns that we had in Guilford, for example, would be that with the little slivers that we have it will be very difficult for someone from Guilford to get elected to any of these districts that come in in here.

That's not the case for Mecklenburg, so there is -- there's something different about

it because you've got two members from Mecklenburg right now. So there is something different about it.

Well, I guess the same argument could be made with people from Cumberland who are connected into Mecklenburg under this proposal or the citizens who live at points in between.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: I don't have any.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I was just kind of -- as far as Mecklenburg County is concerned, we've been divided two ways. We're now divided three ways, and we welcome that extra Congressman to represent us. So I do understand the concern of having that Congressman located 250 miles away from you, but when that incumbent retires there's no reason why they couldn't run, you know, from that district because the way it's broken down from that area.

Representative Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: May I comment?

Well, I mean, I think that more could be best or could be good. I'm not saying that that's not bad, and when you look at the representation that we have, for example, in the House and the Senate, sometimes it does depend on the votes, and I think it -- it would mean whether or not those members truly had that particular county and those districts at heart. And there's some people who question whether or not that is the case.

So I'm not saying that having more may not be helpful. I'm simply expressing to you, this committee, some of the concerns that have come to my office from people who live in the county.

The other thing is I think you have to look at the numbers in those counties, and I'm not sure that there will be enough numbers in Guilford to sustain -- support -- I mean to sustain getting someone elected. So it's not just a visual thing that you look at, you have to look at the communities of interest.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, ma'am,

that's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Question for Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY:: When following up with that question and also the question about commonalities of interest that Representative Ellis mentioned regarding lower Sampson and east Wake, my understanding was that the 2nd District and the 13th were drawn in such a way as they are drawn without regard for commonality of interest but to make sure that the incumbent Democrat was to be re-elected, and the 13th, my understanding was the reason it was drawn so that it would snake down into Guilford county was to make sure it stayed a Democrat district, without regard to any -- any kinds of traditional criteria -- for example, commonalities of interest or keeping counties or keeping communities together, but simply

done to make sure that the 13th was a Democrat district, and, second, was a more stronger Democrat district than it is now. Is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Partly correct, yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Partly correct. Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: What's the other part?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: You know, part of what you said was certainly one of the considerations, but we used several variables and several considerations as we were drawing this, and that's why I said earlier the common interest question certainly was considered as we were drawing this in addition to looking at ways to enhance the performance Democratically or for one party or another.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Daughtry?

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Yes. I mean,

I'm not -- we're all grown people. What is the commonality of interest with southern Sampson and east Wake or Guilford County and Person? I can't imagine that there is any commonality of interest. One's urban and one's rural. One's -- they're completely different. It would seem to me that the reason that Guilford is like it is is so that the 13th will have enough Democrats to elect a Democrat congressman rather than any other reason.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, when you consider common interests -- you know, I live in southeastern North Carolina. The little small town that I live in, which is now a progressive town, is the urban center and urban hub for the entire southeast part of the state, which is very, very rural.

So when you consider common interests, people from other parts of the southeast will come to the City of Wilmington for certain goods and services. So there are benefits to having urban communities tied in with rural communities, as you well know, because

those rural communities will seek services, opportunities and benefits in the urban centers or the urban hub. So that's the commonality of interest as you begin to look at the process.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions or comments? Representative Ellis, I saw you first.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Just looking at the clock, Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Do you want to explain that? I don't know what that means.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Well, are we not supposed to adjourn 10 minutes before --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: No, sir. We've got permission. We're moving on. This committee will continue to meet until we finish our work, and we do intend to vote today and go to the floor with this bill, Representative Ellis, so they are going to extend the recess in the House.

Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. I've just got one short question. Has a deal

been cut on this plan?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, it all depends on what you mean by "deal."

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I mean, have you and you, the Democrats and Republicans, come to a conclusion on passing this plan? Conclusion, you know, like an agreement -- an agreement or anything at all?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: We have an understanding. We have an agreement on what we think we can do collectively to move the bill forward.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: My comment would be the two co-chairs, I guess, have reached an agreement, but we certainly don't know anything beyond that until we vote.

We will -- there's some amendments that are in process. In order to let you have a chance to grab a quick bite, we'll take about a 30-minute recess. So we'll re -- we're going to reconvene at 12:30, and we will start at 12:30.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Republicans, don't forget to caucus in this corner by the

coffee pot.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Members of the committee, we will stand in recess, let's say, until 12:20. And we will start back promptly at 12:20, so I'll ask that you please be back in your seat. The recess on the House floor is for 12:30, but I'm sure they're going to extend it beyond that. But again, when we come back we do want to move as quickly as we can, although we want to get all the amendments and have adequate discussion.

Committee stands in recess 'til 12:20.

[RECESS 11:55 A.M. - 12:31 P.M.]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. We'll call the committee back to order. I think we do now have the amendments that are being submitted so we can go ahead and move ahead and move on.

Yes, I recognize Representative Buchanan.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an amendment I'd like to send forward, please.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Buchanan has an amendment that he would like to send forth. I think all members should have a copy of that amendment on their desk.

I recognize Representative Buchanan for an explanation of his amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. On Page 9, Line 4, Page 11 and Line 32, by the deleting that line as submitted, what it actually does, it takes approximately 38,000 people out of District 8 and adds it to District 9, and it's just a switch from District 9 back to District 8. And that basically is -- all it doe, it clears up some lines down there, and as Representative Ronnie Sutton indicated, that little bit of tweaking, I think, makes a lot of difference to a lot of people, and I'd move it's adoption.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The motion is for adoption. Representative Wright, would you like to comment?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yeah. Sure, Mr. Chairman. I think this is one of the things

that we talked about earlier, and I do have some real concerns about using the terminology "tweaking" in this particular committee. I prefer to use significant or minor adjustments.

But in any event, I don't have a problem with this amendment, so certainly we'll support it.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any questions or comments?

Representative Hurley.

REPRESENTATIVE HURLEY: Yes. I noticed that most of these districts listed here are my district back home, and so your amendment -- may I ask Representative Buchanan a question?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir. Representative Buchanan.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE HURLEY: Representative Buchanan, so are you taking Hayes out or are you putting Hayes in?

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Neither one. I'm just switching our Union County 38,000

people -- approximately 38,000 out of District 9 and putting it in Hayes' district, District 8. And then over in Mecklenburg I'm taking 38,000 out of Hayes district and putting it in District 9. Which would be --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Hurley, maybe I can help too with this because this was something that we kind of worked out, and when a map was printed at the last minute it was not what I thought we were going to have.

Basically, what it does do, it basically takes 38,000 people out of Union County and puts them over into Mecklenburg County. That was the understanding, that we would -- we had originally was that we were going to basically move some voters between 8 and 9.

We wanted to put back Cabarrus County and Stanly County together in 8, which meant that created about 35,000 voters that were in 8 that we needed to get into 9, and we did that by doing it out of Union County in lieu of Mecklenburg County.

I don't think this should affect your -- I'm confident it does not affect anywhere east of Stanly County at all.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and you're exactly right on that. Representative Hurley doesn't affect any of Cumberland over there directly at all. We're not adjusting any precincts in Cumberland at all.

And what we're doing here is actually, as you see, on the Wright proposal, proposal for Wright 3, Union County, as a whole county, intact, without splitting that down the middle. That's what's happening here.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman, question as to procedure. Those who may have amendments per the Wright Bill, if this is adopted now it's going to throw those off. For the purposes of the mechanics of the

process, could we not displace this particular amendment, do the other ones that are probably going to be defeated, but at least then when it comes back it will be a whole thing. Otherwise, if you adopt this piecemeal, and then you put the other whole ones in, it's not going to fit.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, I'm not sure -- I think we only have one other amendment that I've been told about. Do you know of any other amendments other than the Pope amendment that will be coming forth?

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Yes, sir. I have one that staff has had since we came in.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. We talked about this, and we thought that we could actually just take a pen and make the change to it and let it apply to the new amended plan, but if that's not the case we'll -- Representative Fitch, your plan already conforms because it's an entire state plan. So that's not a problem.

Okay. We now have a motion on the

floor. Representative Goodwin.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Thank you, sir. Speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Democratic plan offered by Representative Wright before this amendment certainly is -- mostly abides and I think is a good plan and has the acceptable redistricting concepts of compactness and communities of interest and political affiliation.

My main points are about the -- the amendment as it pertains to the 8th District. After consulting with many Democrats down my way -- many ardent Democrats and numerous in the leadership in the NAACP down my way and many of my constituents, they actually support this amendment just, you know, for a couple reasons.

One is that it does help bring back into the 8th District counties that have historically been together, particularly

Union. Even though we cannot, due to the population growth in the other districts, bring all of Union back in, it does bring in towns and townships and precincts that have historically been together with Richmond County, Anson County, Stanly, and Montgomery and Scotland County.

And by doing -- by this amendment I think that it comports with the requests of Democrats in my area and by members of the NAACP and constituents of the various partisan registrations, and I think it would be -- would be a great improvement based upon the requests of all the folks who have contacted me and also goes along with a letter I'd submitted to Your Honorable Co-chairs at the public hearing last week.

So I think it goes a long way towards insuring that the Sandhills Region is -- is not swallowed up by metropolitan voices that are not present in the district, so I would support the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Goodwin.

Other questions or additional questions?

Representative Mitchell.

REPRESENTATIVE MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I'm just trying to read this very quick before we vote on it. On the Wright 3 plan we got this morning, I just want -- and maybe Representative Wright can confirm this. In the governor's race, the 8th District, it looks like there's 53.02 percent voted Democrat -- 53.02, 53.02.

Now, on this new one it drops down to 52.96. Are those figures correct? I mean, it's less than a half of a percentage point. Is that right?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Would you mention those one more time? I can assure you that Representative -- the person that represents -- Representative -- Congressman Hayes, these numbers are fine. It changes the numbers less than one percent.

What it really does, Representative Mitchell, it keeps Representative Hayes in Union County because he's already got an organization offices in Union County, where

the other plan did not. It gave all of Union County to Sue Myrick. So the percentages are very, very small difference. I don't know exactly the amount, but it was very, very minor.

REPRESENTATIVE MITCHELL: Thank you, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions, other debates? Hearing now the question before the House is the amendment submitted by Representative Buchanan. All in favor say, aye.

[RESPONSE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: All opposed, no.

[RESPONSE IN THE NEGATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The aye's have it. So we will adopt the amendment submitted by Representative Buchanan.

Next, are there other amendments to be submitted? Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, the sergeant-at-arms is passing out the maps and

the Bill text with the stats. One of the staff members is supposed to bring up the original amendment that conforms with amendment just adopted. So while we're passing that out, hopefully the staff member will have the original amendment for me to sign and send up to you.

And I can start the explanation while we're waiting for that as soon as the maps and stats are handed out.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. Be at ease for just a couple minutes while these maps are being passed out.

[COMMITTEE AT EASE WHILE DOCUMENTS DISTRIBUTED]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay. Now all the members should have a copy of the plan, and I'll recognize Representative Pope to explain his amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, this amendment does not affect the following Congressional districts as in the Wright plan, V3. It makes no changes in the 11th and 10th and the 5th and the 12th districts

at all. And while the map follows Wright 3 before it was just amended -- I believe it was the Buchanan amendment. Is that correct? I want to make sure I've got my terminology right.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I'm sorry. You'll have to repeat that.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Who is the author or sponsor of that prior amendment?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The prior amendment was offered by Representative Buchanan.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Okay. Thank you. And then we have the Gibson amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: But we have not -- we have not handled the Gibson amendment yet. I am not aware of the Gibson amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I think Representative Gibson wants to be recognized to speak as a non-committee member later.

REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: I don't have an amendment, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: No point -- Mr.

Chairman, I'm sorry, I was getting confused. That's why I wanted to make sure.

For the record, Mr. Chairman --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I'm sorry. Representative --

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: We just threw him off the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I apologize. Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Let me send forth the original amendment and now I can clarify what we're doing. The maps and statistical packs before you track and follow Wright 3. The amendment -- the original amendment I'm now sending forward conforms to the Buchanan amendment, which was just adopted by this committee.

There has not been time to adjust the map and stats, but other than changes in the Buchanan amendment, this amendment before us, the maps and stats conform to Wright 3. And the original amendment you have before you conforms to Wright 3 as amended by the Buchanan amendment.

Now, then, with the Buchanan amendment and the conforming amendment that I am sending forward, what this amendment does not affect at all are the 5th Congressional District, the 10th Congressional District, the 11th Congressional District, the 12th Congressional District, and the 9th Congressional District.

My amendment does not affect those Congressional districts. They are in the current plan before us as amended.

What I have done is in the eastern part of the state is send forth an amendment that complies with the Voting Rights Act -- Section 2 and Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, particularly in connection with the 13th District, which I will get to. And this does affect Voting Rights Act counties -- counties in North Carolina subject to the Voting Rights Act.

It does so, at the same time, following traditional districting criteria, even though we have no adopted criteria, and meeting what are now called the standards as shown in

the other districts drawn in the Wright 3 plan. In fact, I think it makes better improvements.

In regard to the 13th District, what it does is increase the percentage of blacks in total population to 42.38 percent, for a total white population -- white population of the total population being 50.22 percent.

The way it does this in the same geographic area as the Wright 13th District, the Wright Plan fragments the concentrations of black population in this area of the state in these Voting Rights Act counties. Splits them up among three districts, those districts being the new 13th in the Wright Plan, the 4th District, and the 2nd District.

And the percentages -- the percentages in those districts are in the 2nd District, blacks in the percent of the total population is 30.33 percent; in the 4th District 20.72 percent; in the 13th District 27.1 percent.

With all due respect, I submit that leads to the effect but not the intent of

this, it's the black population in those three districts, that they cannot win a primary.

When they have an opportunity for a black candidate to win a primary in either party, they would then be supporting a white incumbent Democrat in the 2nd and 4th Districts, Congressman Price and Congressman Etheridge, and on information and belief I think is pretty well common knowledge the 13th District was designed for Representative -- Senator Brad Miller, who is already announced his intent to run for the U.S. Congress.

That, I believe, is a violation of the Voting Rights Act to have the effect but not as well the intent of deliberately diluting and fragmenting minority voting strength.

My amendment cures that by creating the 13th District in my amendment, which has again the 42 percent-plus, which I think is sufficient to allow a black candidate an opportunity to win a primary and win the general election.

Now, yesterday I submitted it, although it was not accepted as an amendment, a 13th District that went all the way down to Cumberland County, had 47 percent, a black plurality district. And I will gladly support that.

I thought this amendment was a more modest effort because it does have less consequences and is more compact than the amendment I had yesterday -- less consequences to the Democrat incumbents because I am trying to compromise if I can.

In doing this I did consider race. You have to consider race in drawing districts that comply with the Voting Rights Act. It was not the predominant factor or the sole factor.

We now argue we can see this in the results is because when you look at your map, just eyeballing it, you will see the same time creating a better 13th District that only runs from Burlington to Wake County, then Wilson, rather than all the way over west of Guilford County in Greensboro, it

splits less counties.

Now, unfortunately, staff have not prepared the county split report in the short time they've had. That's not staff's fault.

But if you will look in the Wright Plan, Guilford County is split among three districts, Congressional districts; under this amendment it's only split twice.

Under the Wright Plan, Wake County is split between three districts. Under this amendment it's only split twice, and as a Wake County representative, Wake County has enough population to be -- remain intact and be the basis for a Congressional district almost by itself, unless you're complying with the Voting Rights Act there's no reason to split it even once, much less three times.

Likewise, Cumberland County is split three times under the Wright Plan and is only split twice under this amendment. Furthermore, you can look at the overall and see the 7th District is more geographically compact, with Sampson County being maintained

whole.

The 8th District is more compact and it does not run into Cumberland County. It's more compact in the same geographical area.

The main reason for the deviations, even for the split counties, were to track and comply with the 12th District complies with the Voting Rights Act, to track what was done by the 9th District in the Wright Plan and of course population deviation.

And the 13th District, which is, again, a 42 percent black district is more compact, far more compact than the 1st District in the northeast.

So in regard to conforming with Shaw v. Reno, I think this map overall only considers race in a narrowly tailored manner to comply with Voting Rights Act and is more closer -- is closer to following traditional districting criteria than the Wright Plan is.

Mr. Chairman, I would be glad to answer any questions. I'll respectfully submit this amendment, and I call for the aye's and nay's.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Very fine,

Representative Pope. Any questions or comments regarding Representative Pope's amendment?

Yes, Representative Jarrell.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I never thought I'd be that concerned about a Republican Congressman, but have you checked with Representative Coble about this new 6th Congressional District?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: No, ma'am, I'm trying to comply with the Constitutional Voting Rights Act, and I have not check with Congressman Coble. I have no reason to know that he opposes it, but I have not checked with him.

It is my understanding that in a press report -- I think your own comments you did not want Guilford County split. When you move the 13th District out of Guilford County, then that leaves open population up in Rockingham County to the north, so that's why the 6th goes up to Rockingham County.

But it still includes Davidson County and Randolph County, which are also

historically part of the 6th District going back three decades that I'm familiar with, other than the 4th and 12th districts. Likewise -- and that why I exactly raised this point, the Wright Plan bifurcates, cuts in half the 6th District by relying -- cuts it in half of both their 13th District which was not [inaudible] under the Voting Rights Act as well as does not comply with the Voting Rights Act as well as the 12th District and relies on a single-point contiguity, an infinite -- a theoretical point in space, to make the northern half of the 6th District contiguous with the southern half. My plan avoids using any single point of contiguity like in the Wright Plan.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions or comments by committee members?

Yes, Representative Daughtry.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: The -- I think Representative Wright indicated in his plan that he -- that the incumbents who would 7, 6 -- I was going to ask Representative Pope if he would yield, what he believes would be

the result of this plan if it were adopted with respect to the incumbency and with particularly the new district, how it would be, whether it would be Republican or Democrat or what.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope, do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: When I drew the district I started off drawing the 13th District, trying to comply with the Voting Rights Act. I did not look at incumbency. I did not look at political outcomes or partisan outcomes when I drew the map.

Once the map was completed -- and I'm sorry the staff does not have those results in here -- I did print the election return results, which had been part of the standard package.

By the election return results the 13th District would definitely be a Democratic district. It is a district that Ralph

Campbell, a black candidate for state auditor won easily. And then Justice Frye, a black candidate for chief justice, won easily.

The 2nd and 4th District, which should -- right now do have Democratic congressmen would also win. According to those results Democratic candidates would win in general in those results.

It doesn't make significant change in the 7th District. That's still Democratic. The 3rd District actually leans Democratic with probably Walter Jones, the current Republican incumbent would probably win.

1st District has always been Democratic. And in the 8th District it is a competitive swing district. I think common consensus is that the current incumbent Congressman Hayes would win that seat. If he were to move on or retire, it would probably be considered a competitive district.

But again, I only looked at results after the map was done. I did not look at those factors when drawing the map.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any additional

questions or comments?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. I'm taking a look at this plan, and I happen to agree, I guess. They said this business makes strange bedfellows.

But I'm particularly concerned about the 13th District that he has on this map. Here is an opportunity, I think, without actually reducing any of the other numbers anywhere -- well, reducing them, but not in any significant manner.

The way I look at it, it keeps incumbency pretty much intact, with the 8th being a swing district. If that incumbent ever gets out, decides he wants to run for governor or something, you can win it. I think a Democrat could win it, which would make it appealing to me, but the 13th is even more appealing because here you have recognized and not bifurcated or you have not diluted a contiguous population of

African-Americans.

In other words, the 40 -- I think it's -- his figure was 48, 49 percent, it becomes a definite influence district in my estimation, and it's an open district, and it's in an open place where Democrats run strong anyway, and I'm just surprised, Mr. Pope. I thought you'd have been trying to bend the other way. But this would definitely pick up another Democratic seat, ain't no question about it.

I don't know about the Wright Plan because the Wright Plan takes I a whole lot of Raleigh where you never know what's going to happen in Raleigh a lot of times. We're still here, so, actually -- somebody said it takes in the General Assembly, but we won't count that either.

But basically, and seriously, what this plan does, it gives the African-American community the opportunity to elect a person of their choice. It is not a predominant factor involved in this at all because of the percentages. One of the reasons is because

of the percentage. And sad as it sounds, it looks pretty doggone good to me.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I tend to agree with Representative Michaux, and I wondered -- Representative Pope, I was wondering if you were a civil rights attorney.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: No, ma'am.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope, would you like to answer that?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I yield. No, ma'am, I'm not a civil rights attorney. I do believe in following the U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Thank you, sir. I just wanted to make two comments. You know, we -- I raised earlier concerns that a lot of people had expressed about Guilford being divided up in so many ways.

What this does, I believe it divides Guilford twice, so that's a -- a little bit better, I think, and might be a little more

acceptable to some people in terms of -- of the question that Representative Jarrell raised about -- about Congressman Coble.

I don't think he'd be hurt, just looking at it. But I think it does provide an opportunity for an influence district or majority minority district, which would, if you look at for North Carolina, 13 districts, that would be somewhere in the neighborhood, I guess, of 20 percent.

For example, we could get a third influence or majority minority district, then that would be like 20 percent of the population or somewhere in there. My math may not be good.

But I think it also might be something that would be a little more acceptable to at least some of the people in Guilford County, just looking at it. So I -- I think I could support it.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Adams. Any additional comments or questions?

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Jarrell.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to ask Representative Wright, it looks to me like District 6, there's very little likelihood that a person from Guilford could carry that district. We know Randolph is heavily Republican. It goes into Davidson County, heavily Republican.

What would the chances be -- I don't have the figures in front of me here. What would the chances be of electing somebody from Guilford County in 6?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, you should probably ask -- under the Pope amendment; is that what you're referring to?

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Under the Pope amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Okay. Well, I just got these numbers myself, Representative Jarrell. Looking at it, it looks to me as though it would favor the Republican Party considerably, and so you should probably direct that question to the author of the

amendment.

But my concern would be with the entire proposal as to what it does overall, and for that, I certainly oppose the amendment and would ask that you do as well.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: My question --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Jarrell, would you like to have a follow-up?

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Yes, please. My question is not so much the party, but could a person from Guilford County be elected from --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Wright, do you want Representative Pope to talk about that?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, I'll take a shot at it first, Mr. Chairman.

You know, residency requirements are not essential when you're running for the United States Congress, so I guess the question is, it all depends on the candidate and where that candidate stands on certain issues, so a candidate can come from Guilford or any other area in the 6th or outside the 6th

Congressional district.

So it's up to the voters. I could not make that guess as to whether or not someone from Guilford could win.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Pope, any comment?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Yes. If you will look under the Wright 3 Plan, under the Split Counties Report, and down to Guilford County, you will see that in District 6 in the Wright Plan there are 177,000 population. In District 12 there's only 30,000 population. In District 13 -- the Wright District 13 -- 113,000.

My amendment, Representative Jarrell, adds all those people that are now taken out of Guilford County, out of District 6 and put in District 13 in the Wright Plan, and adds them back into the 6th District.

So your concern about Congressman Coble and Guilford County relative to other counties in the primary, this is far better. Instead of having only 177,000 people in Guilford County under the Wright Plan, you

would have approximately 290 -- 290,000 people.

So it significantly increases Congressman Coble's Guilford population. Where he loses population is in Moore County because under Wright Plan Moore County's in District 6.

Under my proposed amendment, Moore County's in District 8. And going back three decades, Moore County's been in and out of the 8th District and the 6th District and split up. But, really, he's got almost twice as much population -- Congressman Coble has almost twice as much population in Guilford County under my amendment than he does under the Wright Plan.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have a couple of questions, and I'm not exactly sure who to ask them of.

But it's been reported to me some information I'd like to get confirmed before we vote on this plan. I understand that

North Carolina is one of the very last states to deal with the Congressional redistricting process. And I also understand that nationwide there has not been a single gain or at best one new black representative may be elected under the plans that have been drawn.

Can somebody answer that question for me, if that's an accurate statement?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Wright, do you want to --

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I cannot answer it for the gentleman. I'm not sure about his sources of information.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: My only comment is, I understand that most states have not addressed this. Most states adjourned and have got work going on and are going back in session to address this. But that's just -- I'm not sure if that's true or not.

Does anybody else, staff -- anybody know?

Okay. Any other questions or comments?

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Culpepper.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Question for Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Representative Wright, looking at your numbers and zeroing in on the 1st Congressional District, under your plan looks like to me the 1st Congressional District's got almost 51 percent black population. That's Representative Clayton's district. As I understand it, that would be the only majority-minority Congressional district in your plan, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct, yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: All right. Well, looking under Representative Pope's amended plan here, the black population in Representative Clayton's district is reduced from almost 51 percent down to about 46 percent from the figures I'm looking at.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Voter registration anyhow. That's the voter registration. Is that 46 percent?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct, yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: In any event, her numbers are reduced below 50 percent of the population, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Another questions, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, additional question, Representative Culpepper.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Well, under the definition of retrogression as I've heard it defined in some of the data on the House floor, would that map make the Pope Plan somewhat retrogressive in that area?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That all depends on who's defining retrogression, Representative Culpepper. Certainly, my guess is if you consider what we have in the Wright 3 plan that's before us, comparing it

with the Pope amendment, that's considerable retrogression, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Additional questions or comments.

Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, if I could respond to that comment. First of all, there is a very small decrease in total population between the black population in the 1st District in the Wright Plan and under my plan. It's less than 10 percent deviation. That's why I asked Representative Wright earlier in this committee whether or not that's significant, and he said it was not.

Second, the main reason, even that small decrease, I was trying to honor traditional redistricting criteria and keep Wilson County whole. I could have split Wilson County three ways and kept Eva Clayton's numbers about the same, but I was trying to keep counties intact as possible and split as few [inaudible] as possible.

I did make an exception in Nash County,

which is split, because Eva Clayton DOES has two precincts in Nash County, but as everyone knows, Nash and Edgecombe County are unique in that Rocky Mount, the town, straddles the line. So I did leave the Rocky Mount precincts as IT was in the Wright Plan. And then I went around that.

So if it is absolutely required to have 50 percent plus 1, this, my amendment, could be perfected to do that, would just mean splitting Edgecombe and Wilson County more, but in violation of what I think is the traditional redistricting criteria.

But it is not significant in my opinion and that was Representative Wright's answer when asked that question earlier today. I don't have the voting age population or vote for Representative Wright's plan compared to my amendment. But I'm sure we can get staff to do that if Representative Culpepper would like that information.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative

Goodwin.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, speak against the amendment, if I may.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes. You are recognized to speak against the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Again, most of my comments primarily deal with the 8th. That's where I live, and that's where I represent folks, and among the reasons that I would this amendment, first of all, it rips Cumberland County out of the 8th completely. It rips Union County out, and I've already addressed in my earlier comments how in communities of interest historically for many, many decades, Union County has been in the 8th district.

It places, not since the -- Representative Pope, not since the amendment from Representative Buchanan. It places Rowan into the 8th, which is not presently in the 8th.

And since the legislative district that Richmond County would be aligned to would be Moore County in the coming decade I believe,

I've been following up in Moore County, and it's my understanding that Congressman Coble in Moore County would oppose having all of Moore County out of the 6th. So for those reasons and others, I do not believe that compactness should be given a superior position at all times above communities of interest in all of their facets and other constitutional factors, so I would encourage this committee to vote no on this amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. I wanted to address Representative Culpepper's question. How can you -- how can you have retrogression when you have the possibility of picking a third minority candidate?

That's not retrogression, Representative Culpepper. I think that's progress.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Additional questions or comments.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative

Pope is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Just briefly respond to Representative Goodwin's comments. Partly because this is clarification and because of the shortness of time and the other amendments, the map before you for my amendment does not conform with the text of the amendment and the previously adopted Buchanan amendment.

So in that regard, Union County is treated exactly the same way in my amendment in the 8th District as it is in the Wright Plan as amended by the Buchanan amendment.

Rowan County is treated exactly the same way in the Wright Plan as amended by the Buchanan amendment and my proposed amendment. So in those two areas where you addressed concerns, my understanding according to staff that they do conform there. And looking at the maps, best I can tell, they do track. If I'm in error I'd appreciate correction from staff on those two counties.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Goodwin -- I'm sorry, we'll be back in just a second -- since it relates to the same question.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Yes. May I ask a question of Representative Pope?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, you're recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: I know you addressed the Union County portion and the Rowan portion of my comments, but not withstanding what you've indicated, it is true that Cumberland County would be removed from the 8th in your amendment, and Moore County would be wholly put into the 8th under your amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: That is absolutely correct, as I noted in my opening remarks. Currently Cumberland County is split three ways. And this way it's only split two ways and it does remove the 8th District from Cumberland County.

To the extent that Congressman Hayes or any Congressman from the 8th District wishes

to be involved with Fort Bragg, by including Hoke County, you continue that historical relationship between the 8th District Congressman and Fort Bragg, because Hoke County includes a major part of Fort Bragg military reserve.

Moore County, which I'm sure you know, going back to 1970, was part of the 8th District and at a later date got split or put in parts of the 6th District. So Moore County's been in both districts, I believe in the last three decades I'm familiar with. And one of the primary reasons that I have removed the 8th District from Cumberland County was the absolute constitutional requirement for one man, one vote -- one person, one vote. It was just too much population once you came from the east and had the 1st, 3rd, and 7th. You might have put part of the 2nd District into Moore County in order to get to 8th District with Cumberland County, but then you were splitting Moore County. So it was population deviation that drove the 8th

District out of Cumberland County. But I repeat again, by having Hoke County, whoever the Congressman is may just continue their historic relationship and representation for the Fort Bragg military base.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Goodwin.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: I just, again, would respectfully oppose the amendment. It does not address all the concerns my constituents have raised with me.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Cunningham.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Will Representative Culpepper yield to a question?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Culpepper, do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Representative Culpepper. I was about to make some remarks, but then after Representative Michaux made his remarks, then I have a follow-up, Mr. Chairman.

Would you respond to Representative Michaux as opposed to having three possible districts instead of two?

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Well, I was just trying to make the point that under Representative Wright's Plan there is one majority-minority district, and under the Pope proposed amendment there would be no majority-minority districts, as I understand it. I was just making that point because that was a point that Representative Michaux made, I believe, during an argument on the Senate Plan, and of course I -- I was the one that presented that bill when we heard that last week and made comments then about, you know, some people might consider it to be retrogressive and others might not. But that point in time I thought that he thought that 50 percent plus 1 was very important and that a loss of, you know, a few percentage points made a difference when it comes to the issue of retrogression. And again, I'm no expert on the field, but I understood that was his definition at that

time, and maybe it's different today. I don't know.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Cunningham.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Make a comment on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: You're recognized to make a comment.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: You know, there's an old saying that what web we do weave when we practice to deceive. Everything that look like it's going up isn't going up unless you're doing the moonwalk, and you'll be walking forward, but you're actually moving backwards.

And I think that's what this amendment will do to us. Now, if we had this big argument, big debate when we were doing this redistricting about influence districts. We talked about majority-minority districts. Here we are, in this plan, if I understand it correctly, is that we were reducing Eva Clayton's plan, the numbers from 51 percent

-- 51 point something percent -- down to something like 46 percent.

I don't care what you call it. Then the possibility of picking up another seat, that's all it is, a possibility. So is flying to the moon or whatever.

It's all a possibility, but what I think we need to look at, is this in fact -- what would Eva Clayton want us to do to reduce her district. I think she'd have problems with that.

And I'm saying to you all that if we -- if we in the African-American community pick up one seat, I can assure you that will not be enough to offset everything else that we're trying to do to bring equality here. So I would vote to defeat this amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you. Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, I can't let this slide like that. Forty -- the district goes -- under Representative Pope's plan goes to 49.21 percent total population black, which would

be eight-tenth's of a point off of what we call a majority.

But let me tell you something, if you look at the -- if you look at the performance of that district, it's totally a Democratic performing district. It's a totally Democratic performing district. As is the District that Mel Watt carries, which is basically being cut back anyway.

If you have an opportunity -- and all of this whole thing is an opportunity for anybody who wants to get out there and run. It's an opportunity. If you want to get out there and run, Mr. Cunningham, you can get out there and run too. I don't know how much of an opportunity you have, but you'd have the opportunity to get out there and run. So what I'm saying to you very simply is that if you've got an opportunity -- and I think this is what the Justice Department looks at, is the opportunity to let that segment of the community be in a position to influence its choice of representation, then you ought to do that.

If you have an opportunity to have three African-American congressmen, which by the way, under this plan would put another Democratic Congressman in Congress, where we need five more at least to make a majority in Congress.

I mean, I'm -- you know, I know some of you Republicans may have a problem with that, but that's what it does. And it if the opportunity is there, then I don't see why we ought not take advantage of the opportunity. Opportunity only knocks one time.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any other questions or comments concerning the Pope amendment. Hearing none, and I think the aye's and no's have been called for. The clerk will call the roll.

[ROLL CALLED BY MS. CRAM]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: The vote is 24 no's; 16 aye's. The amendment fails. Are there any other amendments to be submitted for consideration?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman, I

have one that staff has. I don't keep you as long as the other ones, I promise.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch is recognized to send forth an amendment.

Staff, has it been distributed?

[BRIEF PAUSE FOR DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Do all committee members have a copy of the amendment?

A couple do not. They're coming.

Representative Fitch, you're recognized to explain your amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This Bill maintains the majority-minority district in the 1st. It keeps the influence district of the 12th, creates a new district, the 13th District, that is an influence district for African-Americans and it was taken off the original, yesterday's version, of the Chairman's plan, so it does not take into consideration all the compromise that was done in that particular matter.

The numbers in the 13th are not as high as what you just defeated. I realize that the fate of what this one might also see. I don't want to prolong the matter. I call for the aye's and no's, and if it would help, I'd even call for the questions and let you all do what you are going to do and let's go to the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch has submitted an amendment, and actually has called for questions. All those in favor of questions being called say, aye.

[RESPONSE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Opposed, no.

[RESPONSE IN THE NEGATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Point of order, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, point of order.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. -- Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: You check the

Rules, I don't think calling for questions is allowed in committee, only on the House floor.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, I am not sure about that. We can -- okay. The aye's and no's have been called, so the clerk will call the roll.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman. Point of order, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, point of order, Representative Michaux.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: When did we starting calling the question in committee?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Again, I think it was pretty obvious. Representative Fitch wanted to move along and not prolong this, so the man who sponsored the action amendment requested it, so I voted to honor that. I don't really think I did anything wrong. Representative Fitch, do you want to have some additional discussion?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I don't want to violate the rules. If the rules say I can't, then I can't. I was just saying that I've given you straightforward what the

amendment says. If folks want to discuss it, I'm willing to stay. If not, I see what just happened to the other one.

I feel like the track is greased and the field's been cut, and I mean, if I have to stand in the way of a freight train to get the grits on me, then fine. But, I mean, it just doesn't make much sense to just continue to stay here.

I have offered it. As I understand the submissions, it will go up to be considered or whatever you want to do with it is fine with me.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Fitch. We will back up then and have discussion.

Representative Wright, did you want to comment?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, I just wanted to ask the gentleman from Wilson if he would give further explanation as to what his plan does significantly different to ours, just for further clarification.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: The genesis of

all of this, Mr. Chairman, was a conversation that you and I had. You said that you wanted to create a district -- a map that originally created an eight/five situation -- eight Democrats/five Republicans. I attempted to draw you a map that gave you eight Democrats, five Republicans.

This map has now been adjusted majorly and minorly so that now it's my understanding that it was a seven/six Democrat situation with a possibility of another one. So that I think makes it competitive.

I think it maintains a Democrat -- well, it switches from a majority Republican controlled delegation to just the opposite way around. But that was the genesis of it all. You participated in some of it, and some others participated in it. And I hoped that it would be a compromise, but maybe it's not.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you. One other follow-up for the gentleman from Wilson.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Michaux? Who was it?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: No, this gentleman right here.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: He asked for a follow-up. I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Please.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Can you clarify for me, just for the record, what it does to the 2nd and 4th Congressional districts.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: In the 2nd District it carries the Democratic numbers down, I believe, four -- four. The population registration I believe it carries it down by four. Same is true in the 2nd and the 4th; but however, in the performance I maintained -- the performance is still maintained as a Democratic performer.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: And one last question, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield to a follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Specifically

clarify the effect that the 13th will have Democratically and minority influence.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I believe the percent minority influence would be 40 percent, but I maintain that it would be a Democratic performance situation.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Adams, I think you had -- excuse me. Representative Adams, you had your hand up.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Yes. Question for the gentleman from Wilson.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Representative Fitch, you said that the new 13th District would be an opportunity for an African-American to get elected?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Can you give me

the numbers percentage-wise in the 13th and the 1st and the --

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Fifty point zero eight in the 1st. Forty -- one minute. Forty point zero two I believe it is.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I think it's attached to the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Forty point zero two would be the 13th, and fifty point zero eight the 1st.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Okay. One more question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, follow-up question, Adams.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Well, with over 50 percent in the 12th and the 1st, then that would be two majority-minority districts and one influence district; is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is not correct. It is my understanding that the 1st, according to what we have learned this time in redistricting, anything 50 percent or better could be considered to be a majority

minority.

The 1st remains to be 50 percent or better. The 12th would be an influence, and that would be at 44.91, and the 13th would be at 40.02, which I would maintain that according to the modules giving the performance, it would be Democrat and, therefore, there would be an opportunity for the minorities to elect a candidate of their choice.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Additional questions, Representative Adams?

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Just a comment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes. Representative Adams is recognized for a comment.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. This proposal before us I think makes a good case and, as a matter of fact, is probably a little stronger than the last one, and for those persons who had concerns about the maintaining the majority minority districts, I mean, here's another -- here's an opportunity where those numbers and those

possibilities have been improved, and I would support it, and I hope you will too.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any additional questions? Representative Pope is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Speaking briefly, Obviously, I prefer my own amendment because it's more geographically compact. Doesn't go all the way to Greensboro, I didn't split Wake County three ways and actually had a higher percentage of blacks total population.

So I prefer my own amendment, which is why I offered it. I do support Representative Fitch's amendment as being far better than the current Wright Plan, so I do support the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Pope.

Representative Thompson.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON: Would Representative Fitch yield for a question?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, do you yield for a question?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON: Thank you. Representative Fitch, did I understand you to say that this plan, the percentage would yield an eight-to-five division?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: No, sir. That is not what I said. I said that I wasn't going to even bother with Congressional redistricting, that in conversations with the Chairman of the committee, the Democratic Chairman of the committee, asked if I could sit down and try to create a map that was eight/five. I attempted to sit down to do that. This plan, per the amendment, is not eight/five. It's seven/six. Democrat is a toss-up situation.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any other questions or comments regarding Representative Fitch's amendment.

Representative Cunningham.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, question of Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Do you yield, Representative Fitch?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield to the man from Mecklenburg.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, sir. I didn't understand your explanation. How would this affect the 12th District, if you don't mind repeating that?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Well, the 12th District would still be an influence district, but the numbers may have changed slightly in that particular influence, but the performance would still be highly Democratic, and a Democrat would be able to elect -- more particularly an African-American Democrat -- and certainly, the present incumbent from Mecklenburg would still be able to be elected.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Cunningham?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Could you be just a little more precise? You say a slight difference. Are you talking about a percentage of five, ten -- whatever? If you'd just give me a little more information

I'd appreciate it.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Well, the information that I could provide for you is that the race in the 12th under this plan is 44.91. My memory says to me that under the Wright Plan it may be 47.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Forty-five.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Or 45. So you can do the math and see that it is, just as I said, slight -- a slight difference.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Cunningham, I think under the current 1997 plan it was about 47.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I think that is correct, and I would say to you that the 12th is not now, since the plan has been accepted by the courts, the plan is not a majority-minority district but is, in fact, a high influence district.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Cunningham, follow-up?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: I was aware of that. Thank you very much. Rep --

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Question for

Representative Wright?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Representative Wright, under your plan, what is the percentages and what is the influence there on the 12th District?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: On the 12th?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: The total black population is 45.02.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Cunningham?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Is that what it is now? Does it go up or down or remain basically the same?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: It's what's proposed here now under our plan, and I believe the accurate numbers currently are a little bit higher than that. I don't have that with me.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up, Representative Cunningham?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Goodwin.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. On the amendment, for the same reasons I opposed the other amendments, I will respectfully oppose this amendment. The only new thing I'm going to add, in addition to my other comments opposing similar amendments ,is that in this proposal they split Richmond County, which has always been in the 8th, and apparently it targets the Beaver Dam precincts, the town of Hoffman, which is predominantly African-American, and removes it from the 8th district and puts it into the 6th district. So that would be the additional reason that I would oppose this amendment in addition to those reasons given earlier. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Goodwin.

Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Just for the record, to make sure I clarify a misstatement for Representative Cunningham's question.

The current numbers right now for the 12th Congressional District are 44.56. Under the Wright 3 proposed here it's 45.02. It goes up, and under the proposal by Representative Fitch, the amendment that's currently before the committee, it's 44.91.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions?

Yes, Representative Gibson?

REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Maybe an inquiry of the staff or maybe Representative Fitch could tell me. In the Fitch AM1 Amendment, he's returning Stanly -- the western part of Stanly County, southeastern part of Cabarrus County back to the 9th, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, did you hear the question?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I did, and --

REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: Perhaps staff.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: May I?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Karen, do you know the answer to this?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I said originally when we started that this plan was drawn before the Buchanan amendment. It would have been my intent to have incorporated the Buchanan amendment in this particular situation so that you did not have that problem.

I don't know whether floor amendments will be allowed or not, but if floor amendments would be allowed, it would be my intent to incorporate the Buchanan amendment into this.

And when I posed that question to the Chair, the Chair informed me that it was a whole map anyway, go ahead on. But I originally said that because I saw that a situation had been corrected, and I thought that it would pass, so I raised that caveat at the very beginning.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much. Staff, could you comment on that or do you have any comment about it?

Okay, Representative Gibson?

REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: Well, then, inquiry of the Chair, perhaps, Mr. Chairman. What does that mean? Does that mean that an amendment to correct this on the floor will be allowed or not? Let me just state my objections to the Fitch amendment.

The exercise that we went through with the Buchanan amendment is being undone by the Fitch amendment as it currently stands. Therefore, I would need to vote against it. If, in fact, there's going to be a correction, that would influence, obviously, everybody's opinion.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Again, that's why I raised it before the very beginning. It was never my intent -- for example, the changes that we knew last night had been agreed upon in the 10th and the 11th, we attempted in this map to incorporate those changes.

Seeing that the Buchanan amendment was passing and I had no interest in that particular area, it would have been my intent and it was still my present intent that the Buchanan amendment be included in the map that I would be offering now or if I'm able to offer that matter on the floor, based on the ruling of the Chair.

The Buchanan amendment has already passed, and I don't think I could undo the Buchanan amendment with this situation. I stand to be corrected, but that was my intent, to include the Buchanan situation. But just because of the mechanics of it of when these things were drawn before as to how it fitted and didn't fit, we find ourselves in this particular predicament, but it is my intent that the Buchanan amendment be rolled into the Fitch amendment such that the problem that is being addressed does not exist.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Would any of the committee members have a problem with us just understanding the amendment we're

getting ready to vote on would incorporate the amendment that has already passed, the Representative Buchanan amendment. Are there any problems with us having that understanding and being able to vote based on that understanding? Is that -- Staff, is that -- Staff, can we do that?

Basically, I understood that if this map passed, then it would undo it because, in effect, it's a new map; it's a new statewide map. So it would stand on its own is what I thought your amendment called for. You're saying that's not correct. You're actually saying your amendment would simply modify the map that's already been done as amended, and that's why I would like --

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: -- I would like for committee members to accept that and not let us have to wait for staff to go redraw the map to make sure that's, you know, in the actual -- it will be in the record, and does anyone have any problem

with that?

Yes, Representative Buchanan.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Mr. Chairman, from the way I look at this, the new Wright 3 map that we brought out this morning, this would undo that because it is showing on this map that part of Cabarrus and Stanly would still be in this part of District 9. And the new map that we come out with, all of -- all Stanly and the biggest portion of Cabarrus would be included in District 8.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, again, the way I understand it is, yes, sir, that's correct, if you look at the map. But what we're saying is, if the amendment passes, Representative Buchanan, that it would pass, but your amendment that would re-establish the Union -- the boundaries between Union and Mecklenburg as it relates to 8 and 9, would be incorporated into Representative Fitch's amendment. So we'll be going back and incorporating your amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: I'll agree with that wholeheartedly, but here's the

thing about it. Up above, not included in my amendment, was the Cabarrus and Stanly part that was included from last night -- it was changed from last night to this morning that we took up. And in my amendment then just deals with Union County and Mecklenburg, which my amendment did not deal with Cabarrus and Stanly.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir. I think that's correct. So I think what will happen is, if the amendment passes, we will be going back and, in effect, we will modify the committee substitute that we're going to vote on shortly to change Cabarrus and Stanly County to where it will again be divided between two districts and it will not be together. So I think that is, in essence, what will happen if we pass the Fitch amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE BUCHANAN: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any other questions or debate?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Cunningham.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: So let's assume that that will pass and it goes to the floor and at that time -- let's say if the Fitch amendment would fail, the one that he's going to do to incorporate Buchanan's amendment, that to me, you can't have one amendment -- just draw another, but be that as it may on that point.

But if we go ahead and vote to send it out, get to the floor, and the Fitch amendment fails, which has part of Buchanan's amendment in it, what happens to Buchanan's amendment?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: If the Fitch amendment that we're getting ready to vote on fails, Buchanan amendment --

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Pass -- what if it passes?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, if it passes, what will happen to the Buchanan amendment --

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: And his

intent is to incorporate both of them together.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, it is.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: But let's say on the floor that the -- that incorporating the Buchanan's amendment into the Fitch amendment and people vote against the Fitch amendment -- vote against this amendment, you still got Buchanan's amendment tied up in Fitch's amendment. How do you get that out?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Mr. Fitch, do you want to straighten this out?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I'm sorry to have caused all this confusion. I think, Mr. Chairman, if we -- if we pass the Fitch amendment with the understanding now that it includes the amendment of Representative Buchanan, then that's what goes to the floor. And at that point in time it would be just as any other matter.

If a bill comes out of this committee or any other committee that goes to the

floor and the bill fails, then the bill fails. The committee substitute then at that time is -- is over. So -- but the possibility that he is talking about, I don't think exists. But, you know, it's in the mind of the beholder.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: Representative Fitch.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Cunningham.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: But if that -- as you say, Buchanan's amendment is already passed. But if you incorporate it into yours and when we get to the floor yours fails, what happens to Buchanan's?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Cunningham, his will not fail because there wouldn't be a second amendment voted on on the floor because it's going to be rolled into the bill. So there wouldn't be a second amendment for us to vote on for it to fail on the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to defer and get a little assistance from staff and ask Jerry Cohen to help clarify this for us, please.

MR. COHEN: My understanding of the intention of the Chairs and Representative Fitch is that Representative Fitch's amendment is to consider to incorporate the changes in Union, Cabarrus, Stanly, and Mecklenburg -- all of those changes that have been made either in the Wright 3 Plan today and in the Buchanan amendment. That's my understanding. So that if the amendment adopted, the actual substitute we prepare for the floor would have changes in Cabarrus, Stanly, Mecklenburg, and Union.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is correct, Mr. Cohen.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Cohen. I spoke incorrectly then. I thought Cabarrus/Stanly would not be included, but -- so all the changes that

we've made so far will be included.

So, anyway, any other questions or comments. If the aye's and no's haven't been called, the clerk will call the roll.

[ROLL CALLED BY MS. CRAM]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Goodwin, would you state your vote again, please, for the record.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: [inaudible]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Did she call your name?

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We didn't call your name.

MS. CRAM: Goodwin.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: No. I was fairly distinct.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thirteen aye's, twenty-five no's. The amendment fails. I do want the committee members to know that the last vote that we had on the Pope amendment actually was -- there was one vote different. It was 23 no's, 17 aye's. I think we announced it -- straighten the

record up, it's 24 no's, 16 aye's. But we have incorrectly recorded that vote and it has been corrected.

Any other questions or comments concerning the committee substitute?

Representative Wright.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the committee, I certainly hope that you will find in your favor to support this bill so that we can move it onto the floor and get it on to the Senate and hopefully resolve all of this so that we have a new Congressional plan for the year and this decade.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any other questions?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, I don't know if we have a formal report, but I resume with a motion to adopt and pass this as a committee substitute with a favorable recommendation. And if that motion has not

already been made, I'm not going to make it, but I'd like to speak to that motion.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: It's not been made yet. Representative Alexander is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE ALEXANDER: Yes, I would like to make that motion, please, Mr. Chair, to move the proposed committee substitute Wright Plan 3 for House Bill 1477, move for a favorable report by rolling in the amendment and unfavorable to the original.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Alexander has made the motion. Any discussion or debate?

Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ladies and gentlemen, in looking at this plan my concerns are the same as they were this morning, not the partisan outcome because I don't think I'm down here to pick my voters or to look at the districts for that purpose. But I do believe I'm sent down here to try to keep an area of common interest together.

Wake County suffered a split a couple of years ago, and I really hate to see it now divided yet a third time, and I can't believe anybody could stand before this committee and say that Wake County's going to be better off or that we have areas of commonality with Sampson and Chatham and Franklin and Vance.

We have just totally disregarded this county, and I hope those in Wake County will share my concerns that our county has been split this way.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Additional -- yes, Representative Pope.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Chairman, I oppose this motion, and one reason I do oppose it -- and also, by way of clarification, we discussed earlier the amendment I offered yesterday to be precise.

Well, I distributed -- submitted to the committee yesterday was not an amendment but a proposed committee substitute. It's bill number is H1477-CSST-76V2, short title, Congress 13 Pope.

So that bill, that proposed committee substitute was available since yesterday for consideration by this committee. By adopting this motion this proposed committee substitute can no longer be considered.

And I do think that that proposed committee substitute as well as the amendment I offered today are better than the Wright Plan for compliance with the Voting Rights Act. The simple fact is, as far as the Voting Rights Act goes, the areas of Voting Rights Act counties is merging concentrations of black community, African-American community, into three different districts to insure the election of two white incumbent Democrats, Congressman Price in the 4th, Congressman Etheridge, in the 2nd, and probably announced in the news media, candidate for the new 13th, Senator Brad Miller, white Democrat is also the Chair's Congressional redistricting.

But beyond the Voting Rights Act -- and we've had plenty of discussion on that -- the rest of the map does not comply with

common-sense, traditional redistricting criteria. It is a partisan, gerrymander, it splits counties, it splits precincts.

I share Representative Ellis's concern that Wake County got divided twice; now it's divided three times. The same for Guilford, the same for Cumberland County. And none of those three splits -- three-way splits were necessary to comply with the federal law, one man, one vote; or the Voting Rights Act.

This committee can do better. Representative Wright, I appreciate your efforts and I know we respectfully disagree. I do think we do necessarily respectfully disagree.

And I do appreciate the committee giving us the opportunity this morning, as tortuous as it was to get an amendments prepared. I also regret the [inaudible] contested vote last night not to have this rushed through last night with no opportunity to amend it.

So overall, we can do better, the General Assembly can do better, the people

of North Carolina, the voters of North Carolina deserve better. For that reason I will vote against this motion to adopt this proposed committee substitute.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Other questions or comments regarding the committee substitute and the motion?

Representative Wright?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Just requesting the aye's and no's as well on this as well, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Decker.

REPRESENTATIVE DECKER: Not to delay the issue, but it just is to me just a lack of real concern for the people of North Carolina when we put politicians and their -- and create districts for people who have already announced they're going to run.

We've created districts for them to run in. I think that's unconscionable, and I'm going to vote against this plan, and it's really unfortunate that we haven't put the people of North Carolina first, we've put

politicians first.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Any other questions or comments?

I would just say that I disagree with my colleagues, Representative Pope, and very respectfully, obviously. I do think considering the current makeup of the General Assembly that this is a fair map.

It's fair in the idea that we're moving as few voters as any of the maps that we've submitted. And I think that's very important.

I think we needed to use the 1997 map as the origin for the new map, and I think the Wright map does do that. And I think we have worked hard to try to make it as fair as possible. And I certainly intend to support it and encourage the people on our side of the aisle to do likewise.

Hearing no additional questions, anybody -- the aye's and no's. Okay. No additional comments.

All those in favor of Representative Alexander's motion say, aye.

[RESPONSE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: All opposed say, no.

[RESPONSE IN THE NEGATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Aye's have it. The committee substitute will be approved. It will be sent to the floor. We will go back into session at 2:00.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, Representative Ellis.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: I think I heard a call for the aye's and no's.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I don't remember who did that.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I did, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Oh, you did.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I apologize, Representative Ellis. I certainly would not have done that purposely. I'm sure you would understand that.

So I did not know that. With the aye's

and no's having been called, the clerk will call the roll.

[ROLL CALLED BY MS. CRAM]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative [inaudible], that was a no?

REPRESENTATIVE UNIDENTIFIED: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: No.

The vote is 26 aye's, 11 no's. So the Bill -- the committee substitute passes.

We do have one other piece of business that we need to address. I need a motion, if I could, to have Bill 1476 that's in the committee to receive an unfavorable report. That motion's in order. Did I hear a second?

All those in favor say, aye.

[RESPONSE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Opposed, no.

[RESPONSE IN THE NEGATIVE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, committee members. I appreciate your diligence, and we'll go back in session at 2:00 o'clock. The committee stands adjourned.

[COMMITTEE ADJOURNED AT 1:52 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Amy L. Poythress, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the above-named hearing was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the hearing.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 19th day of November, 2001.

Amy L. Poythress

Notary Public



My commission expires:

January 2, 2006

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

167th Legislative Day

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 1477

COPY

BEFORE: James B. Black, Speaker

TRANSCRIPT

OF

SESSION

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 14, 2001 - 2:00 p.m.

Reported by:
Amy L. Poythress, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Amy L. Poythress, being a Certified Verbatim Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the state of North Carolina, was appointed commissioner by consent to take the House of Representatives Session held on the 14th day of November, 2001, beginning at 2:00 p.m. in the House Chambers, located in Raleigh, North Carolina.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

[OTHER BUSINESS CONDUCTED]

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: Gentleman from Chowan is recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Yes, sir, Mr. Speaker, to give notice of change for today's calendar.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to give notice.

REPRESENTATIVE CULPEPPER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1477 has been reported to me from the Congressional Redistricting Committee, a favorable report, subject to calendar, pursuant to Rule 36(b) is placed on today's calendar under that rule

for consideration. Mr. Speaker, members have copies of the Bill along with accompanying maps and statistics.

SPEAKER BLACK: House Bill 1477. The clerk will read it.

[BILL READ BY THE CLERK]

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Wright is recognized to explain the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, after a long and arduous process we have finally reached an agreement to move the Congressional redistricting Bill forward. What you have before you, members of the House, is House Bill 1477, committee substitute.

This Bill actually divides North Carolina into 13 Congressional districts. As you may recall, after the last census, Census 2000, North Carolina gained a Congressional seat, and what you have here is a map, a proposal that divides North Carolina into 13 Congressional seats, one up from the 12 that we had.

During its deliberations today the

committee amended the proposal -- the original proposal and accepted an amendment and made some changes in the 8th and 9th Congressional Districts, in addition to changes on the 11th Congressional District as well.

In addition to that, what this map actually does is protects the integrity of drawing maps according to the law as we understood it without violating the Shaw versus Reno case.

In addition to that, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, this map was drawn to show some influence for a party -- one party over the other, preferably the Democratic Party.

And we think that we still accomplished that even with the amendments that were rolled into the Bill today. So in the Bill you have all of the precinct breakdowns -- all of the precinct breakdowns and census tracts and blocks of all the districts -- all 13 districts here.

In addition to that we have in a

separate document the statistical data for the Congressional Plan that is before you.

Mr. Speaker, with that, I'd like to ask that you recognize my Co-chair, Representative McMahan, for additional comments. We think that this map is certainly one that everyone can support and certainly believe that it accomplishes what we're intended to do -- what we've intended to do. So with that, I submit the Bill to you and ask for your support.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Mecklenburg, Representative McMahan, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Speak very briefly on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: Give the gentleman your attention, please. Representative McMahan is recognized to talk on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Members of the House, I rise in support of the Bill. I think considering the make-up of the General Assembly and the make-up of this body, it's a fair map. I do think that it basically uses

the 1997 map as the origin, and it does move as few voters as we could do with the concept of the way it's been drawn.

We spent a lot of time in 1997-1998 redrawing our Congressional map to make it more compact and divide a lot fewer counties, and this map follows that principle, I think, very well. So I rise to support it. I hope that you will, too. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Johnston, Representative Daughtry, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I would say to you that I think it's true that the Co-chairs worked very hard to reach a compromise with respect to the Congressional districts. I know that compromise has to be [inaudible], but I simply say to you, I cannot support

this Bill.

If you look at the way our state has been treated and the citizens of our state have been treated by the way these districts have been drawn it becomes clear to me that we could have done much better.

For example, in the 2nd District the idea of following counties lines as a traditional criteria for redistricting or honoring communities or compactness is not in the equation. And the poor 13th District travels from Greensboro to the top of the state on down to Raleigh, and is simply drawn for the purposes of a partisan way to make sure that that particular 13th is Democrat.

I don't mind Democrats and Republicans having an opportunity to win a district, but when it's drawn in such a manner it does a real -- it does a real injustice to our state. Frankly, I think the time has come for us to take the redistricting out of this body to a third party, make sure it's done without all this contortion that you see on this map.

It's not a good map. It doesn't do anything for the people of this state except make sure that incumbents are re-elected and the 13th District is Democrat. In doing so, it takes counties all over the state and breaks the counties up, takes communities and splits them. And I think it's just a bad, bad map. I hope you'll vote against it.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake County, Representative Pope, rise.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Ask Representative Wright if he'll yield for a question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Wright yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative Wright, I apologize if it sounds like deja vu, but I am going to ask a question I asked in committee. This is the second reading of the full House redistricting committee efforts.

Representative Wright, if we do have a new 13th District on this map and the 13th District, as Representative Daughtry pointed out, goes basically from Raleigh to Greensboro, why was this 13th District drawn this way? Historically it's never been Greensboro-to-Raleigh district.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, as I answered the same question in committee earlier, Representative Pope, as we were laying out proposals and looking at the concepts and looking at ways to draw the 13 districts across the state, this is the way it ended up. So, in order to accomplish the numerical numbers that we needed to have to draw the districts proportionately, that's how we ended up drawing this to accommodate the 13th District.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative

Wright, at the public hearing last week I submitted two alternatives district for the 13th District which run all the way to Greensboro -- started in Burlington in Alamance County and Caswell County and went on down to Durham, Durham and Wake County and on down towards Cumberland County to create a black plurality district with a black population percent of total population of 47 percent, this area a Voting Rights Act county. If you're going to draw from a district that goes from Greensboro to Raleigh, why not draw a district that's recognized for the concentration of the African-American communities here in this state and comply with the Voting Rights Act, give them an opportunity to elect a representative of their choice?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, as I stated in the committee and stated here on the floor, Representative Pope, race was not the predominant factor in drawing any of these districts at all. We want to assure you we stayed away from that as we

understood what Shaw versus Reno said.

And so we've deliberately drawn districts according to interpretation of the law based on race certainly cleared [inaudible], and we did not do that. We wanted to draw districts that would certainly benefit one party or another and certainly could win seats back.

And so we, in our hearts, decided to draw maps in districts that way. So it was not the intentions of the committee to draw these districts with race in mind.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative Wright, as I said before [inaudible] plaintiff-intervenor in Shaw versus Reno. You're not saying the United States Supreme Court Shaw v. Reno declared the Voting Rights Act [inaudible] unconstitutional, are you?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: No, I'm not saying that. **REPRESENTATIVE POPE:**

Follow-up question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Would you not agree that you can consider race as a factor [inaudible] comply with the Voting Rights Act?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Not as a predominant factor, as you well know.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Speaker, speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Speaker, members of the House, we do not have any adopted criteria for the Congressional plan as we had under the Legislative plan. I wish we had. We do have an obligation to uphold the United States Constitution, federal law, the Voting Rights Act, Section 5 and Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, and I would hope traditional redistricting criteria [inaudible] adopted. But we at least have

the standards by which other districts were drawn. I did submit at the public hearing a proposed committee substitute to the Redistricting [inaudible] H1477-CSST-76V2, which would have created a new 13th District [inaudible] Democrat in the area of the following Voting Rights Act counties: Caswell, Person, Granville, Warren, [inaudible], Randolph, Harnett, [inaudible]. It would have created an additional black district -- African-American district which would have been at least 47 percent in the committee substitute.

I then prepared an amendment which made even more geographically [inaudible], which have shortened that district all the way down to Cumberland County and went from Rockingham -- excuse me -- Caswell and Alamance County, down to Wake County, and Nash and Wilson Counties.

Yes, when I drew this I did consider [inaudible] Voting Rights Act. At the same time [inaudible] traditional redistricting criteria, I narrowly considered the use of

race to narrowly draw a plan in Voting Rights Act compliance, and I thought [inaudible] and created a district which was more compact than the first district, which was after [inaudible] Shaw v. Reno [inaudible].

The first district consists of 23 counties. [Inaudible]. The district I proposed is shorter and more compact and is more sound [inaudible] than the committee substitute, and the population percentages would have been higher in the 12th District, represented by Mel Watt, [inaudible] U.S. Supreme Court.

The amendment would have been 42 percent black and 47 committee substitute. But then you look at election history, statewide candidates -- Justice Frye to the Supreme Court, and Ralph Campbell, the state auditor, would win their primaries [inaudible].

There is no reason not to adopt those minority Voting Rights Act when the districts are more compact than the first districts and

more compact than the Wright 13th District which [inaudible] Voting Rights Act.

But instead, what you have under the Wright plan, a classic example of breaking up black communities and submerging them in three different Congressional districts -- the 2nd District for white incumbent Democrat, Bob Etheridge, 30.3 percent black population. The 4th District, [inaudible], 20.72 percent. The new 13th District, 27.10 percent black population. Representative Wright's proposed plan is [inaudible] acknowledged in the paper by Senator Brad Miller, Chairman for [inaudible] redistricting, white Democrat in the Senate, [inaudible] run for a Congressional seat.

We're deliberately ignoring reasonable alternatives for more compact districts than the current [inaudible] supposed to obtain in order to keep black populations spread out and fragmented to [inaudible] white majority districts.

It's exactly for those reasons the Congressional plan in 1991 was overturned the

first time. This is even more compact.

In my amendment, which I supported the committee, unfortunately while the Chairs [inaudible] my amendment [inaudible] was not read out. [inaudible]. I would have voted against this plan. But my plan proposed not only in the 13th District more compact than the Wright Plan, [inaudible].

[MULTIPLE INAUDIBLE PARAGRAPHS BY REP. WRIGHT]

In Wake County -- Wake County is almost big enough -- it's big enough to be the core of one Congressional district all by itself. Instead, it is divided by three [inaudible] under the Wright Plan [inaudible].

Likewise, in Guilford County is split into three different districts in the Wright plan would be only two. The Wright plan splits those three [inaudible] it doesn't do so with a higher purpose of honoring one man, one vote or the Voting Rights Act. It does it to elect a white incumbent -- excuse me -- a white incumbent State Senator, Brad Miller.

Also, the Wright plan [inaudible] the map. You will see the green section of 6th District is to the northwest of the 13th and 12th District, but the majority of the district is to the south of the 12th and 13th District.

In other words, the 6th District in the Wright Plan is not contiguous. They do not connect, which is a constitutional requirement.

Now, they will argue that there's a single-point contiguity between the northern sliver and the rest of the 6th District to the south which is [inaudible].

My main point is that this map before us does not meet traditional redistricting criteria, does not comply with the Voting Rights Act. We have [inaudible] that it does comply with the Voting Rights Act, traditional criteria.

There's been a lot of discussion [inaudible] and the amendment I had to offer [inaudible]. As I said, those districts are more compact and [inaudible].

I know we're all tired [inaudible]. I respectfully submit in fact the Wright plan as it is does follow the Voting Rights Act, Section 5, Section 2 by having the effect if not the actual intent of diluting the African-American community of that area of the state for the 13th District in order to protect two white incumbent Democrats and to help elect a white incumbent senator, state senator that [inaudible]. That's exactly one of the reasons Shaw v. Reno does hold you can consider race factor if you're [inaudible] which comply with the Voting Rights Act, [inaudible] criteria, which you had alternate proposals of [inaudible] consideration, as Representative Daughtry pointed out before, nowhere in the U.S. Constitution or federal law or the State Constitution and state law is partisanship supposed to be considered, overrule common sense criteria, [inaudible] whole counties if possible, whole precincts if possible. Only deviate from that when you have to for one person, one vote [inaudible] Voting Rights

Act.

Mr. Chairman, for the record, I [inaudible]. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Rockingham, Representative Sexton, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE SEXTON: Speak briefly on the Bill, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE SEXTON: Ladies and gentleman of the House, I represent the 73rd House District, which is mainly mostly Rockingham County. I don't feel like I can represent my people back there if I didn't get up and tell you that I do not agree with this plan for one simple reason and, yes, it's selfish.

We've been representing Rockingham County and we've been in the 5th District for a long period of time and represented by Congressman Byrd and people of Rockingham County love him, and they certainly do not want to be represented by someone else.

And Representative [inaudible], I don't believe Rockingham County has a whole lot in common with Wake County. And we go all the way to the 13th District and all the way through Wake County to Rockingham.

And I just resent the fact that we have [inaudible] this way, and I will be voting against the Bill precisely for that reason. I'm sure it will be represented by someone from probably Wake County next time around, next election, so I'll be voting against the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the lady from Wayne, Representative Russell, rise?

For what purpose does the gentleman from Guilford, Representative Blust, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentleman of the House, the essence of a democracy is the right of

the voters to choose who represents them and to affect what goes on in government through the ballot box. And that's what I think is at issue here is that somehow the politicians have gotten into their mind that here we are with an opportunity to predetermine, to rig the system so that we get the outcome we desire despite what the voters may want.

And I am, shouldn't be shocked, shocked as politics goes on here, but I am disappointed that I haven't seen in my service in the General Assembly a greater sense of duty on the part of Senators and Representatives that I thought before I ever got here.

And we were elected to these offices. I keep hearing "My seat." These aren't our seats. First of all, we have a duty to the people who sent us here. And I do think that duty is being neglected.

Now, in this plan -- I come from Guilford County. It doesn't take -- I think some of the school children up here can see that if they look at Guilford County on this

map and see it divided into three different Congressional districts.

If you look at the green part of the 6th District. Here's a little sliver in northwest Guilford County where I live that's not even really contiguous. I am told they have a bridge across there somewhere.

So I would contend to you that's not even in the ballpark with what we ought to be doing down here. At least this plan doesn't vary the population of the district, but that's only because the courts wouldn't allow that with Congressional districts.

And I'm a little bit ashamed that we seem to look at this opportunity saying, "What's the most we can get away with without the courts striking us down?" It's not, "What's the best we can do for the public," it's "What can we get away with."

And this map is pure and simply designed to get more Democrats elected. It divides counties to be divided, divides cities and towns and interests that don't need to be divided and ought to be together

in Congress.

So in essence what you're saying to support this is, "To heck with the people. My interest is more important than the public's interest. We're going to do what's best for us; to heck with these people. We'll thumb our noses at the people. We don't care if we split their counties and communities. We're going to do what's good for us."

In fact, it's gotten so bad that the people charged with the biggest duty, the committee Chairmen, some of them are actually designing districts that they can run in, and that's why Guilford County, quite frankly, has been divided. Someone in Raleigh needs some Democratic precincts in Guilford to make his own political future.

And, folks, that's wrong. Everybody in their seat should know that that's wrong, particularly people from Guilford ought to stand up and say no to this. Somebody's got to do their duty here.

There's people out there like buzzards

in this chamber, flying around looking to pick this carcass and find something they can gain in the future out of it. And some of that's even been expressed in the newspapers.

And the biggest shame in this is that we could have been doing all of this -- we're even now going to back up the primaries because we haven't done our job. We could have done this -- nothing stopped us from doing this in April, May, and June except more political angling.

And so the schedule of voting, to heck with that we're saying. We can throw that out. And the worst thing about all this is we've gone way into session beyond where we should have, and we're even sticking the people who -- we're diminishing their franchise, we're sticking them with the bill for all this.

Now, this is the third time we've done redistricting; three strikes and you're out. But here's one last opportunity to do what's right, and let's go back and do a plan that serves the public and not the politicians.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Ellis, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Speak against the plan.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentlemen, one of those common sense portions of what it is we're supposed to do is to assemble a plan that represents areas of common interest.

Wake County is a county, I believe, that has a common interest, has a common future, has common goals. That future and those goals have been divided three ways in this plan.

I believe Wake as a county and as its own district has much more to offer Congress than just political fruit to be assembled for political purpose. When I questioned Representative Wright in the committee about why Wake was divided in such a way he said he drew this plan for to represent the

diverse population.

Well, that's exactly contrary to what we're supposed to do. We don't represent diverse populations, we represent areas of commonalities. So I hope that you will vote no on this plan.

Let's try to [inaudible] Wake together the way it formerly was, a great county with a great future and not vote for this drivel. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: To speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: First of all, I want to discount the disingenuous remarks of the Chairman who said that they tried to avoid race as a matter in this -- in this redistricting because of what the law says. The law simply says you cannot use race as a predominant factor.

There's a difference in using race as a predominant factor and considering race under the Voting Rights Act. I think that ought to be clear.

Second, to not have considered 22 percent of the population of this state, trying to give them equal or equitable representation I think is totally wrong.

Third, there is the possibility of drawing a 13th District where minority population in this state will have the opportunity to elect a person of their choice. That has been shown, it was shown in committee, and I think the rest of this body ought to know that a 49-percent district can be drawn without hurting anybody else.

The 1st District is majority-minority. You can maintain the 1st District as a majority-minority district.

The 12th District is an influence district. The current minority population in that district is approximately 49 percent. Under the plan that you have on your desk, that drops from 49 percent to about 45. A

third plan would only drop it to probably a little less than one half of a percent I believe it is.

And you can draw another influence district that contains 40.9 percent of the minority population. The other districts would be somewhat affected, yes, but that would maintain a Democratic majority in our Congressional representation with, with the fact that there would be one district that would be a toss-up. If that incumbent happened not to run again or retired, that district could also go Democratic, possibility of giving us eight-to-five Democratic majority rather than the seven-to-six that this plan now has.

I ask you to think about this. I'd ask you to think about what this committee has done. I ask you to make sure that you, like some other folks don't want to do, is to recognize that there's 22 percent of the population of this state that deserves and, yes, even demands not overt treatment, but simply equal and fair treatment. Then make

up your mind how you will vote.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wayne, Representative Baddour, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentleman of the House, it's said that beauty is in the eyes of the beholder, and this looks like a very pretty map to me. I want to congratulate the Chairs of the committee. They have taken into account redistricting principles in putting together this map.

They've taken into account the complicated legal principles set forth in the court cases that you've heard talked about -- Section 2, Section 5. All of this in the context of a state that has grown tremendously in population in some parts of the state and other parts of the state have not grown as fast. So it's been a difficult

thing to do legally.

Yes, they've taken into account politics and the incumbents and the winability of those incumbents, and there's been give and take. This is not the first map that you saw.

It's not the map that Representative Wright presented in committee just a few hours ago, really. Not all that many hours ago, two days ago. The feelings of the Co-chair have been taken into account, Representative McMahan.

There's been a lot of give and take. I would present to you or say to you that this Bill does involve compromise and give and take. It's a good Bill. It's a Bill that respects the incumbency and I think does, in fact, respect the people of this state and their ability to elect candidates of their choice in their communities of interest, and I urge you to vote in favor of the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: From what purpose does the gentleman from Wilson, Representative Fitch, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Chairmen have worked hard, and they have reached a compromise. This is a good Bill, but it doesn't stop right there. Grammar says that you can use a period or you can use a comma. I choose to use a comma and say that we could have done much better.

It is important, I think, that when you have an opportunity to be able to spread the representation and the power to do so. We are a diverse state. We are growing.

Statistics and people have come out to say that as we move to the year 2010 we will become more multi-cultural and probably will become more colored than we are presently today, we are a majority white.

I think we are missing a unique opportunity to do what is right, that is to create not a majority-minority district but a

district in the 13th that truly has an opportunity to be a true influence district, giving minorities an opportunity to send a third person to the halls of the United States Congress.

It wasn't long ago that we were in that point in time of our history where we actually had no minorities, and I can remember 10 years ago the debate was that there would be no minorities to go to the United States Congress.

And I'm thankful that the House stood firm in saying that we ought to at least create one, the House originally wanted to create two. The Justice Department came back and told us that, while we had created one district in the eastern part of the state, that we should have gone further and created a second district. Thus, we now have the 12th District.

Well, the court decisions came along, and then the 12th was knocked down in 1997 I believe it was, which is pretty much the basis for this map. The 12th kind of moved

from a majority-minority to a truly influence-type situation.

And it has been successful that influence has thus yielded an African-American to the halls of the United States Congress, and I think doing a very good job.

But we have a unique opportunity here to kind of move race back a little further and be able to say that there is an opportunity by creating the new 13th in a 40-percent-plus type situation without -- without decreasing the majority-minority in the 1st.

Thus, we would move this state forward by adding three African-Americans with one majority-minority and two, as the Senate calls them, "assumptional" influence districts, districts that would allow minorities an opportunity to be elected.

I think we'd become a better state by doing that, not a worse state for doing that. Some would argue, "Well, yes, I've seen plans that do that."

I really didn't want to be involved in Congressional redistricting at all. It's kind of hard to sit behind the Chairman of the Congressional redistricting without exchanging some ideas, and I got a challenge from the Chairman. And that's how I got involved in the Congressional redistricting.

The challenge was that I think we ought to create Congressional districts that are Democratic from the standpoint that there would be eight Democrats, and everybody says that you can't do it.

Well, I did do it. And there are some of you who quiver because that's being said, but it was done. But I tell you, anytime you have districts that have a high concentration of Democrats and you are attempting to create another district that will have a high influence of Democrats in that particular district, you are going to bring the Democratic percentages down to some degree.

Now, the question is, when you bring them down, are they still Democratic

districts. And the only thing that I can say is, the margin that we have, the performances that we have show that those districts with those incumbents will still perform Democratic.

So, thus, I would maintain that it is possible to create a district that you would have seven Democrats, six Republicans. Now, that does make some people, I'm sure, happy because that is not the way the split is today. That is the way that the split is, majority in this particular House is the majority would rule.

I would think that that is still possible to do today. So I say all that and say that the Chairman have done a good job. They at least got us to a position where we may be able to get out of here, but I maintain that they also have us to a position where we shall return, and I don't mean like MacArthur.

I think that we will be back because I think that we are missing the opportunity to indeed in the 13th a seat that is open that

is not occupied by an incumbent. I think we're missing a unique opportunity to create a district that is at last 40-some odd percent that would give a minority an opportunity to be elected.

And maybe I think we could have gotten away with that type of situation had the Senate not been so adamant about the fact that its Chairman, who happens to be white, created the district for his own personal aggrandizement. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: Are there further discussions, further debate?

Hearing none, the question before the House is substitute for House Bill 1477 on the second reading. All in favor vote, aye, and all opposed vote, no.

The clerk will open the vote.

[REPRESENTATIVES VOTING]

SPEAKER BLACK: The clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. Seventy-one having voted in the affirmative, forty-one in the negative. House Bill -- substitute House Bill 1477 having passed the second reading

without objection --

UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Objection.

SPEAKER BLACK: Objection having been raised, the Bill will remain on the calendar.

[OTHER BUSINESS CONDUCTED]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Amy L. Poythress, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the above-named hearing was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the hearing.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 19th day of November, 2001.

Amy L. Poythress

Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 2, 2006



GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H D

HOUSE BILL 1477
PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H1477-PCS9372-RO-42

Short Title: Cong. Redistricting 2001. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block

2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block

2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block

2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct TURKEY; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1070; Precinct 01-35, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct

VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5023, Block 5024; Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct

FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Durham County, Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct BYNUM, Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Wake County: Precinct 01-47, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703,

Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct NEW BETHEL.

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26,

Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5021, Block 5022; Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON, Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block

1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 038, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 051, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 083, Precinct 084, Precinct 094, Precinct 095, Precinct 099, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block

3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136,

Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10.

District 9: Union County, Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 032, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh; Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct

Golden Valley, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4071; Tract 162.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2077, Block 2078; Tract 162.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1133, Block 1147, Block 1148; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1029; Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High

Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro

48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120; Tract 510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 522.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Tract 522.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Tract 523.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029; Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03,

Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08."

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:
  a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.
  b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.
  c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.
  d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.
  e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
  a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
  b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:
  a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.

b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:

a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.

b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:

a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.

b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:

a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.

c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.

d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.

e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.

f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.

g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.

h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.

i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.

j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.

k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.

l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.

m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.

n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.

(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.

(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	619,579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2	1	619,103	619,178	75	0.01%
3	1	619,009	619,178	169	0.03%
4	1	619,660	619,178	-482	-0.08%
5	1	619,886	619,178	-708	-0.11%
6	1	618,314	619,178	864	0.14%
7	1	619,163	619,178	15	0.00%
8	1	619,514	619,178	-336	-0.05%
9	1	619,884	619,178	-706	-0.11%
10	1	619,165	619,178	13	0.00%
11	1	618,600	619,178	578	0.09%
12	1	618,622	619,178	556	0.09%
13	1	618,814	619,178	364	0.06%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173331	50.19%	118165	34.21%	440	0.13%	53433	15.47%	35624	10.31%	101575	29.41%	151580	43.89%	56591	16.39%
4	218622	48.98%	137502	30.80%	1090	0.24%	89155	19.97%	49776	11.15%	174469	39.09%	180136	40.36%	41988	9.41%
5	152361	38.83%	180599	46.03%	413	0.11%	58993	15.04%	36673	9.35%	122550	31.23%	165934	42.29%	67209	17.13%
6	143481	37.27%	179605	46.66%	447	0.12%	61409	15.95%	34984	9.09%	118559	30.80%	165669	43.04%	65722	17.07%
7	221570	58.16%	107005	28.09%	555	0.15%	51856	13.61%	36004	9.45%	116874	30.68%	164102	43.07%	64018	16.80%
8	185950	50.83%	113871	31.12%	447	0.12%	65585	17.93%	38736	10.59%	137850	37.68%	145108	39.66%	44158	12.07%
9	146986	35.07%	189226	45.15%	533	0.13%	82319	19.64%	36262	8.65%	150924	36.01%	182534	43.56%	49343	11.77%
10	151219	41.26%	155562	42.44%	401	0.11%	59331	16.19%	33812	9.23%	115170	31.42%	158852	43.34%	58679	16.01%
11	200343	45.85%	157782	36.11%	647	0.15%	78200	17.90%	38712	8.86%	119275	27.30%	183643	42.03%	95342	21.82%
12	219203	59.14%	96683	26.08%	449	0.12%	54323	14.66%	42712	11.52%	132732	35.81%	139976	37.77%	55227	14.90%
13	206903	53.98%	111135	28.99%	681	0.18%	64573	16.85%	42711	11.14%	141360	36.88%	147625	38.51%	51616	13.47%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

[redacted]gressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3) - Dis[redacted] Populations by Race and Ethnicity Base[redacted] 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	619009	482416	77.93%	103540	16.73%	2653	0.43%	6274	1.01%	14649	2.37%	9477	1.53%	27279	4.41%
4	619660	440006	71.01%	128366	20.72%	1947	0.31%	24287	3.92%	15131	2.44%	9923	1.60%	31193	5.03%
5	619886	554996	89.53%	42185	6.81%	1396	0.23%	5070	0.82%	10841	1.75%	5398	0.87%	22387	3.61%
6	618314	539003	87.17%	53653	8.68%	2558	0.41%	6130	0.99%	11171	1.81%	5799	0.94%	24053	3.89%
7	619163	398723	64.40%	143938	23.25%	53151	8.58%	3343	0.54%	12953	2.09%	7055	1.14%	24469	3.95%
8	619514	402517	64.97%	165033	26.64%	11188	1.81%	11963	1.93%	17689	2.86%	11124	1.80%	38161	6.16%
9	619884	523694	84.48%	66408	10.71%	1846	0.30%	12019	1.94%	9359	1.51%	6558	1.06%	24525	3.96%
10	619165	535878	86.55%	57454	9.28%	1561	0.25%	9523	1.54%	9147	1.48%	5602	0.90%	21476	3.47%
11	618600	563861	91.15%	28627	4.63%	9923	1.60%	3302	0.53%	6497	1.05%	6390	1.03%	16234	2.62%
12	618622	291829	47.17%	278499	45.02%	2520	0.41%	13255	2.14%	22708	3.67%	9811	1.59%	43835	7.09%
13	618814	407580	65.86%	167700	27.10%	2440	0.39%	12844	2.08%	18429	2.98%	9821	1.59%	37223	6.02%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88313	49.75%	86351	48.65%	2846	1.60%	67194	39.74%	101886	60.26%	75582	46.28%	87747	53.72%
4	136479	59.36%	89066	38.74%	4391	1.91%	125351	56.56%	96274	43.44%	123252	56.66%	94276	43.34%
5	91247	42.75%	118140	55.35%	4042	1.89%	78979	38.38%	126814	61.62%	79833	39.90%	120272	60.10%
6	83710	41.86%	113052	56.53%	3225	1.61%	78296	40.34%	115771	59.66%	70758	37.87%	116110	62.13%
7	114201	61.42%	68630	36.91%	3115	1.68%	94524	53.21%	83109	46.79%	99285	57.55%	73226	42.45%
8	89736	53.02%	75274	44.47%	4246	2.51%	85998	53.40%	75062	46.60%	84981	54.50%	70944	45.50%
9	69052	36.34%	117697	61.95%	3253	1.71%	67572	37.73%	111533	62.27%	65417	37.55%	108792	62.45%
10	78628	42.25%	103924	55.84%	3566	1.92%	69741	38.66%	110675	61.34%	72227	41.17%	103218	58.83%
11	97496	48.64%	97757	48.77%	5193	2.59%	85032	45.25%	102898	54.75%	88363	47.57%	97383	52.43%
12	107391	65.05%	55243	33.46%	2455	1.49%	104716	64.96%	56495	35.04%	102626	65.12%	54965	34.88%
13	119997	59.56%	78011	38.72%	3472	1.72%	112142	57.36%	83369	42.64%	110141	57.77%	80521	42.23%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3)
Split Counties
November 14, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Alamance		
		6	91,085
		13	39,715
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	125,527
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	33,936
		4	15,393
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	108,056
		7	71,395
		8	123,512
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	14,395
		7	34,668
9	Forsyth		
		5	175,378
		12	130,689
10	Gaston		
		9	164,464
		10	25,901
11	Granville		
		1	11,992
		13	36,506
12	Guilford		
		6	177,085
		12	130,286
		13	113,677
13	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
14	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
15	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
16	Mecklenburg		
		8	144,265
		9	331,743
		12	219,446
17	Nash		
		1	14,765

		2	45,517
		3	27,138
18	Pitt		
		1	55,075
		3	78,723
19	Rockingham		
		5	11,820
		13	80,108
20	Rowan		
		6	70,751
		12	59,589
21	Rutherford		
		10	38,019
		11	24,880
22	Sampson		
		2	32,154
		7	28,007
23	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35,803
24	Vance		
		1	28,240
		2	14,714
25	Wake		
		2	75,436
		4	262,726
		13	289,684
26	Wayne		
		1	52,962
		3	60,367
27	Wilson		
		1	36,795
		3	37,019

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3)
Split Precincts
November 14, 2001

Unit	District	Total Population
[redacted]		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Duplin		
BEULAVILLE		
	3	3,818
	7	192
Guilford		
Greensboro 64		
	6	2,800
	12	889
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
[redacted]	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189
Wake		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

[redacted]

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING 2001 (WRIGHT 3)



District
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- Member Residence
- Counties

N

50 0 50 100 150 200 250 Miles

Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 14, 2001.

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-ARO-50 [v.1]

Date _____,2001

Comm. Sub. [YES]
Amends Title [NO]
H1477-PCS9372-RO-42

Representative Buchanan

moves to amend the bill on page 9 line 4, through page 11, line 32, by deleting the lines and substituting the following:

"District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS

CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 084, Precinct 095, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block

Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 021, Precinct 032, Precinct 035, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 038, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 051, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 083, Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 094, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 099, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111,

5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088,

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO._____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-ARO-50 [v.1]

Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 125, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

PLAN: Wright4McMahanAm1 District 8

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619935**	**757**	**0.12%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,935	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	401,164	64.71%
TP:Black (SR)	166,747	26.90%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	11,173	1.80%
Voting Age Total Populati	458,044	100.00%
VA:White (SR)	310,405	67.77%
VA:Black (SR)	113,444	24.77%
VA:Nat. Am. (SR)	7,656	1.67%
Voter Registration Total	357,790	100.00%
VR:White	259,031	72.40%
VR:Black	88,489	24.73%
VR:Nat. Am.	3,695	1.03%
VR:All Democrats	184,531	51.58%
VR:All Republicans	110,410	30.86%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	62,445	17.45%
VR:White Democrats	105,528	29.49%
VR:White Republicans	103,237	28.85%
VR:White Unaffiliateds	49,928	13.95%
VR:Black Democrats	73,970	20.67%
VR:Black Republicans	4,465	1.25%
VR:Black Unaffiliateds	9,994	2.79%
US Senate 98 Dem John	71,228	55.44%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauc	54,364	42.32%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	87,701	52.96%
Gov Rep Richard Vinrool	73,720	44.52%
Chief Just Dem Henry Fr	83,675	53.09%
Chief Just Rep Beverly L	73,941	46.91%
State Auditor Dem Ralph	82,838	54.31%
State Auditor Rep Leslie	69,703	45.69%
US House Democrat	78,535	48.77%
US House Republican	80,514	50.00%

PLAN: Wright4McMahanAm1 District 9

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619463**	**285**	**0.05%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,463	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	525,047	84.76%
TP:Black (SR)	64,694	10.44%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	1,861	0.30%
Voting Age Total Populati	462,424	100.00%
VA:White (SR)	398,180	86.11%
VA:Black (SR)	44,072	9.53%
VA:Nat. Am. (SR)	1,411	0.31%
Voter Registration Total	427,127	100.00%
VR:White	384,856	90.10%
VR:Black	35,969	8.42%
VR:Nat. Am.	725	0.17%
VR:All Democrats	148,405	34.74%
VR:All Republicans	192,687	45.11%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	85,459	20.01%
VR:White Democrats	116,361	27.24%
VR:White Republicans	188,713	44.18%
VR:White Unaffiliateds	79,229	18.55%
VR:Black Democrats	29,774	6.97%
VR:Black Republicans	1,909	0.45%
VR:Black Unaffiliateds	4,265	1.00%
US Senate 98 Dem John	62,864	41.99%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauc	83,152	55.55%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	71,087	36.71%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	119,251	61.57%
Chief Just Dem Henry Fr	69,895	38.29%
Chief Just Rep Beverly L	112,654	61.71%
State Auditor Dem Ralph	67,560	38.04%
State Auditor Rep Leslie	110,033	61.96%
US House Democrat	54,619	28.66%
US House Republican	132,930	69.76%

PLAN: Wright4McMahanAm1 District 8

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619935**	**757**	**0.12%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,935	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	401,164	64.71%
TP:Black (SR)	166,747	26.90%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	11,173	1.80%
Voting Age Total Populati	458,044	100.00%
VA:White (SR)	310,405	67.77%
VA:Black (SR)	113,444	24.77%
VA:Nat. Am. (SR)	7,656	1.67%
Voter Registration Total	357,790	100.00%
VR:White	259,031	72.40%
VR:Black	88,489	24.73%
VR:Nat. Am.	3,695	1.03%
VR:All Democrats	184,531	51.58%
VR:All Republicans	110,410	30.86%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	62,445	17.45%
VR:White Democrats	105,528	29.49%
VR:White Republicans	103,237	28.85%
VR:White Unaffiliateds	49,928	13.95%
VR:Black Democrats	73,970	20.67%
VR:Black Republicans	4,465	1.25%
VR:Black Unaffiliateds	9,994	2.79%
US Senate 98 Dem John	71,228	55.44%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauc	54,364	42.32%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	87,701	52.96%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	73,720	44.52%
Chief Just Dem Henry Fr	83,675	53.09%
Chief Just Rep Beverly L	73,941	46.91%
State Auditor Dem Ralph	82,838	54.31%
State Auditor Rep Leslie	69,703	45.69%
US House Democrat	78,535	48.77%
US House Republican	80,514	50.00%

PLAN: Wright4McMahanAm1 District 9

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619463**	**285**	**0.05%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,463	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	525,047	84.76%
TP:Black (SR)	64,694	10.44%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	1,861	0.30%
Voting Age Total Populati	462,424	100.00%
VA:White (SR)	398,180	86.11%
VA:Black (SR)	44,072	9.53%
VA:Nat. Am. (SR)	1,411	0.31%
Voter Registration Total	427,127	100.00%
VR:White	384,856	90.10%
VR:Black	35,969	8.42%
VR:Nat. Am.	725	0.17%
VR:All Democrats	148,405	34.74%
VR:All Republicans	192,687	45.11%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	85,459	20.01%
VR:White Democrats	116,361	27.24%
VR:White Republicans	188,713	44.18%
VR:White Unaffiliateds	79,229	18.55%
VR:Black Democrats	29,774	6.97%
VR:Black Republicans	1,909	0.45%
VR:Black Unaffiliateds	4,265	1.00%
US Senate 98 Dem John	62,864	41.99%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauc	83,152	55.55%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	71,087	36.71%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	119,251	61.57%
Chief Just Dem Henry Fr	69,895	38.29%
Chief Just Rep Beverly L	112,654	61.71%
State Auditor Dem Ralph	67,560	38.04%
State Auditor Rep Leslie	110,033	61.96%
US House Democrat	54,619	28.66%
US House Republican	132,930	69.76%



N
W
E
S

Plan Title: WRIGHT4MCMAHANAM1

Map Date: Nov 14, 2001

ID:4081292

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-AST-90 [v.1]

Date _____,2001

Comm. Sub. [YES]
Amends Title [NO]
H1477-CSRO-42

Representative Pope

moves to amend the bill on page 1, line 10 through page 6, line 33 by rewriting those lines to read:

"District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block

2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block

1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3; Pamlico County: Precinct BAYBORO, Precinct VANDEMERE; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B, Precinct 15.12A; Tyrrell County: Precinct

ALLIGATOR, Precinct COLUMBIA, Precinct GUM NECK, Precinct SCUPPERNONG; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29, Precinct Precinct 30.

District 2: Harnett County, Lee County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct BYNUM, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct

CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MANCHESTER, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct STONEY POINT, Precinct WEST AREA; Johnston County: Precinct NORTH BANNER, Precinct SOUTH BANNER, Precinct WEST BANNER, Precinct BENTONVILLE, Precinct SOUTH BOON HILL, Precinct EAST CLAYTON, Precinct NORTH CLAYTON, Precinct WEST CLAYTON, Precinct NORTH CLEVELAND, Precinct NORTH ELEVATION, Precinct SOUTH ELEVATION, Precinct EAST INGRAMS, Precinct WEST INGRAMS, Precinct NORTH MEADOW, Precinct SOUTH MEADOW, Precinct PINE LEVEL, Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct EAST SELMA, Precinct WEST SELMA, Precinct EAST SMITHFIELD, Precinct NORTH SMITHFIELD, Precinct SOUTH SMITHFIELD, Precinct WILDERS, Precinct WILSON'S MILLS, Precinct SOUTH CLEVELAND, Precinct SOUTH CLAYTON; Orange County: Precinct COLES STORE, Precinct ORANGE GROVE, Precinct ST. JOHNS, Precinct WHITE CROSS.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Duplin County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD,

Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Johnston County: Precinct NORTH BEULAH, Precinct SOUTH BEULAH, Precinct NORTH BOON HILL, Precinct MICRO, Precinct NORTH O'NEALS, Precinct SOUTH O'NEALS; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pamlico County: Precinct ALLIANCE, Precinct REELSBORO, Precinct ORIENTAL, Precinct STONEWALL, Precinct HOBUCKEN, Precinct ARAPAHOE; Pitt County: Precinct 6.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12B; Tyrrell

County: Precinct KILKENNY, Precinct SOUTH FORK; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Chatham County: Precinct EAST WILLIAMS; Durham County: Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 28, Precinct 32, Precinct 37, Precinct 43, Precinct 44, Precinct 45, Precinct 50, Precinct 53; Orange County: Precinct CARRBORO, Precinct ENO, Precinct HILLSBOROUGH, Precinct BOOKER CREEK, Precinct BATTLE PARK, Precinct COUNTRY CLUB, Precinct CEDAR FALLS, Precinct CEDAR GROVE, Precinct COKER HILLS, Precinct COLONIAL HEIGHTS, Precinct CAMERON PARK, Precinct CALDWELL, Precinct CHEEKS, Precinct DOGWOOD ACRES, Precinct EAST FRANKLIN, Precinct EFLAND, Precinct ESTES HILLS, Precinct EASTSIDE, Precinct GRADY BROWN, Precinct GLENWOOD, Precinct GREENWOOD, Precinct KINGS MILL, Precinct LIONS CLUB, Precinct LINCOLN, Precinct MASON FARM, Precinct NORTH CARRBORO, Precinct NORTHSIDE, Precinct OWASA, Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct RIDGEFIELD, Precinct ST. MARYS, Precinct TOWN HALL, Precinct TOLARS, Precinct WEAVER DAIRY, Precinct WEST HILLSBOROUGH, Precinct WESTWOOD, Precinct DAMASCUS 1, Precinct DAMASCUS 2, Precinct WEAVER DAIRY, Precinct CARR; Person County: Precinct BRUSHY FORK, Precinct FLAT RIVER, Precinct HURDLE MILLS, Precinct MT. TIRZAH, Precinct OLIVE HILL; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct

01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-47, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-06, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.";

And further moves to amend the bill on page 7, line 10 through page 11, line 2 by rewriting those lines to read:

"District 6: Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct

Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26, Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct

Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct North Madison, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Rowan County: Precinct Faith, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Sampson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1113;

Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Moore County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 038, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 051, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 083, Precinct 084, Precinct 094, Precinct 095, Precinct 099, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Steele, Precinct Bostian School; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050,

Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030,

Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10.";

And further moves to amend the bill on page 13, line 28 through page 15, line 4 by rewriting those lines to read:

"District 13: Caswell County, Franklin County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON; Durham County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2, Precinct 5, Precinct 6, Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 36,

Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42, Precinct 46, Precinct 47, Precinct 48, Precinct 49, Precinct 51, Precinct 52, Precinct 54; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Person County: Precinct ALLENSVILLE, Precinct CUNNINGHAM/CHUB LAKE, Precinct HOLLOWAY, Precinct ROXBORO 1, Precinct ROXBORO 2, Precinct ROXBORO 3, Precinct ROXBORO 4, Precinct ROXBORO 1A, Precinct WOODSDALE; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27, Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-35, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO._____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-AST-90 [v.1]

TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 1

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619518**	**340**	**0.05%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,518	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	291,765	47.10%
TP:Black (SR)	304,888	49.21%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	4,492	0.73%
TP:A/PI (SR)	3,330	0.54%
Voter Registration Total	357,814	100.00%
VR:White	188,468	52.67%
VR:Black	165,452	46.24%
VR:Nat. Am.	1,191	0.33%
VR:Other Race	2,703	0.76%
VR:All Democrats	260,700	72.86%
VR:All Republicans	62,275	17.40%
VR:All Libertarians	249	0.07%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	34,590	9.67%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	88,333	62.20%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	52,099	36.69%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	1,584	1.12%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	118,448	68.89%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	51,859	30.16%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	1,622	0.94%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	104,085	62.97%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	61,196	37.03%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	110,610	68.98%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	49,734	31.02%
US House Democrat	107,424	63.87%
US House Republican	58,421	34.73%
US House Other	2,356	1.40%
NC House Democrat	119,902	79.91%
NC House Republican	29,217	19.47%
NC House Third Party	919	0.61%
NC Senate Democrat	124,735	80.72%
NC Senate Republican	29,638	19.18%
NC Senate Third Party	159	0.10%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 2

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619995**	**817**	**0.13%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,995	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	413,289	66.66%
TP:Black (SR)	155,283	25.05%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	4,799	0.77%
TP:A/PI (SR)	8,562	1.38%
Voter Registration Total	328,283	100.00%
VR:White	241,414	73.54%
VR:Black	77,063	23.47%
VR:Nat. Am.	1,350	0.41%
VR:Other Race	8,456	2.58%
VR:All Democrats	168,600	51.36%
VR:All Republicans	102,602	31.25%
VR:All Libertarians	442	0.13%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	56,639	17.25%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	62,720	50.13%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	60,542	48.39%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	1,861	1.49%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	89,461	54.97%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	70,652	43.41%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	2,637	1.62%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	81,595	51.44%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	77,029	48.56%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	82,865	53.54%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	71,909	46.46%
US House Democrat	80,327	52.12%
US House Republican	70,828	45.96%
US House Other	2,965	1.92%
NC House Democrat	84,023	51.33%
NC House Republican	77,285	47.21%
NC House Third Party	2,397	1.46%
NC Senate Democrat	91,156	59.24%
NC Senate Republican	62,715	40.76%
NC Senate Third Party	0	0.00%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 3

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**618983**	**-195**	**-0.03%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	618,983	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	482,439	77.94%
TP:Black (SR)	99,871	16.13%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	2,599	0.42%
TP:A/PI (SR)	5,892	0.95%
Voter Registration Total	339,108	100.00%
VR:White	290,366	85.63%
VR:Black	44,643	13.16%
VR:Nat. Am.	531	0.16%
VR:Other Race	3,568	1.05%
VR:All Democrats	169,866	50.09%
VR:All Republicans	115,374	34.02%
VR:All Libertarians	432	0.13%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	53,436	15.76%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	62,417	45.87%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	71,105	52.26%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	2,543	1.87%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	86,905	49.53%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	85,711	48.84%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	2,861	1.63%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	66,457	39.71%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	100,915	60.29%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	74,915	46.33%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	86,789	53.67%
US House Democrat	67,633	39.02%
US House Republican	103,547	59.74%
US House Other	2,136	1.23%
NC House Democrat	80,173	47.73%
NC House Republican	85,710	51.02%
NC House Third Party	2,103	1.25%
NC Senate Democrat	93,655	55.15%
NC Senate Republican	75,990	44.75%
NC Senate Third Party	160	0.09%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 4

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**618879**	**-299**	**-0.05%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	618,879	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	502,368	81.17%
TP:Black (SR)	69,741	11.27%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	2,038	0.33%
TP:A/PI (SR)	24,398	3.94%
Voter Registration Total	450,289	100.00%
VR:White	395,734	87.88%
VR:Black	42,928	9.53%
VR:Nat. Am.	671	0.15%
VR:Other Race	10,956	2.43%
VR:All Democrats	196,248	43.58%
VR:All Republicans	159,108	35.33%
VR:All Libertarians	1,139	0.25%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	93,794	20.83%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	91,864	51.57%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	83,676	46.97%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	2,610	1.47%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	131,537	54.26%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	106,075	43.75%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	4,824	1.99%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	117,576	50.53%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	115,129	49.47%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	116,898	51.11%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	111,820	48.89%
US House Democrat	133,897	56.75%
US House Republican	97,904	41.49%
US House Other	4,150	1.76%
NC House Democrat	107,985	47.96%
NC House Republican	109,790	48.76%
NC House Third Party	7,370	3.27%
NC Senate Democrat	117,896	49.74%
NC Senate Republican	115,294	48.64%
NC Senate Third Party	3,835	1.62%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 6

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**618747**	**-431**	**-0.07%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	618,747	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	503,191	81.32%
TP:Black (SR)	85,664	13.84%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	2,463	0.40%
TP:A/PI (SR)	8,934	1.44%
Voter Registration Total	379,509	100.00%
VR:White	327,178	86.21%
VR:Black	48,886	12.88%
VR:Nat. Am.	650	0.17%
VR:Other Race	2,795	0.74%
VR:All Democrats	161,378	42.52%
VR:All Republicans	157,384	41.47%
VR:All Libertarians	504	0.13%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	60,243	15.87%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	73,324	46.79%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	80,210	51.18%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	3,191	2.04%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	93,070	47.62%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	98,888	50.60%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	3,474	1.78%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	88,709	46.59%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	101,689	53.41%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	80,280	43.92%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	102,525	56.08%
US House Democrat	10,914	6.68%
US House Republican	139,202	85.22%
US House Other	13,232	8.10%
NC House Democrat	51,348	29.33%
NC House Republican	117,782	67.27%
NC House Third Party	5,963	3.41%
NC Senate Democrat	55,808	33.55%
NC Senate Republican	108,267	65.09%
NC Senate Third Party	2,257	1.36%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 7

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619521**	**343**	**0.06%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,521	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	398,156	64.27%
TP:Black (SR)	144,664	23.35%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	53,542	8.64%
TP:A/PI (SR)	3,408	0.55%
Voter Registration Total	383,401	100.00%
VR:White	271,230	70.74%
VR:Black	80,502	21.00%
VR:Nat. Am.	28,068	7.32%
VR:Other Race	3,601	0.94%
VR:All Democrats	222,729	58.09%
VR:All Republicans	108,605	28.33%
VR:All Libertarians	559	0.15%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	51,508	13.43%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	78,014	56.19%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	58,383	42.05%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	2,452	1.77%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	115,313	61.56%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	68,887	36.77%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	3,124	1.67%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	95,761	53.47%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	83,322	46.53%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	100,154	57.55%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	73,875	42.45%
US House Democrat	130,272	71.17%
US House Republican	50,286	27.47%
US House Other	2,482	1.36%
NC House Democrat	98,379	58.15%
NC House Republican	69,840	41.28%
NC House Third Party	976	0.58%
NC Senate Democrat	106,836	62.02%
NC Senate Republican	56,211	32.63%
NC Senate Third Party	9,211	5.35%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 7

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619521**	**343**	**0.06%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,521	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	398,156	64.27%
TP:Black (SR)	144,664	23.35%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	53,542	8.64%
TP:A/PI (SR)	3,408	0.55%
Voter Registration Total	383,401	100.00%
VR:White	271,230	70.74%
VR:Black	80,502	21.00%
VR:Nat. Am.	28,068	7.32%
VR:Other Race	3,601	0.94%
VR:All Democrats	222,729	58.09%
VR:All Republicans	108,605	28.33%
VR:All Libertarians	559	0.15%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	51,508	13.43%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	78,014	56.19%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	58,383	42.05%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	2,452	1.77%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	115,313	61.56%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	68,887	36.77%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	3,124	1.67%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	95,761	53.47%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	83,322	46.53%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	100,154	57.55%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	73,875	42.45%
US House Democrat	130,272	71.17%
US House Republican	50,286	27.47%
US House Other	2,482	1.36%
NC House Democrat	98,379	58.15%
NC House Republican	69,840	41.28%
NC House Third Party	976	0.58%
NC Senate Democrat	106,836	62.02%
NC Senate Republican	56,211	32.63%
NC Senate Third Party	9,211	5.35%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 8

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**618262**	**-916**	**-0.15%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	618,262	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	432,226	69.91%
TP:Black (SR)	141,825	22.94%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	9,904	1.60%
TP:A/PI (SR)	9,608	1.55%
Voter Registration Total	381,772	100.00%
VR:White	296,840	77.75%
VR:Black	77,807	20.38%
VR:Nat. Am.	3,241	0.85%
VR:Other Race	3,884	1.02%
VR:All Democrats	184,701	48.38%
VR:All Republicans	130,910	34.29%
VR:All Libertarians	423	0.11%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	65,738	17.22%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	75,399	53.63%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	62,028	44.12%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	3,162	2.25%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	90,105	49.89%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	86,228	47.74%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	4,291	2.38%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	86,046	50.17%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	85,479	49.83%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	84,396	50.80%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	81,727	49.20%
US House Democrat	61,908	37.70%
US House Republican	98,472	59.97%
US House Other	3,834	2.33%
NC House Democrat	83,296	54.56%
NC House Republican	69,138	45.29%
NC House Third Party	228	0.15%
NC Senate Democrat	67,926	43.11%
NC Senate Republican	87,858	55.76%
NC Senate Third Party	1,769	1.12%

PLAN: PopeAmWright3v2 District 13

Ideal Population :	Actual Population :	Difference :	% Difference
619178	**619251**	**73**	**0.01%**

Census Statistics	Pop. Total	Pop. Total (%)
Total Population	619,251	100.00%
TP:White (SR)	310,964	50.22%
TP:Black (SR)	262,436	42.38%
TP:Nat. Am. (SR)	2,468	0.40%
TP:A/PI (SR)	10,371	1.67%
Voter Registration Total	366,756	100.00%
VR:White	214,913	58.60%
VR:Black	146,395	39.92%
VR:Nat. Am.	651	0.18%
VR:Other Race	4,797	1.31%
VR:All Democrats	230,268	62.79%
VR:All Republicans	84,418	23.02%
VR:All Libertarians	562	0.15%
VR:All Unaffiliateds	51,508	14.04%
US Senate 98 Dem John Edwards	88,636	62.84%
US Sentate 98 Rep Lauch Faircloth	50,789	36.01%
US Senate 98 Lib Barbara Howe	1,619	1.15%
Gov Dem Mike Easley	124,518	67.72%
Gov Rep Richard Vinroot	57,074	31.04%
Gov Libertarian and Reform	2,288	1.24%
Chief Just Dem Henry Frye	115,405	64.40%
Chief Just Rep Beverly Lake	63,789	35.60%
State Auditor Dem Ralph Campbell	116,558	66.21%
State Auditor Rep Leslie Merritt	59,495	33.79%
US House Democrat	113,944	65.80%
US House Republican	56,722	32.75%
US House Other	2,506	1.45%
NC House Democrat	113,762	68.61%
NC House Republican	44,439	26.80%
NC House Third Party	7,601	4.58%
NC Senate Democrat	117,272	64.05%
NC Senate Republican	61,729	33.71%
NC Senate Third Party	4,093	2.24%



Plan Title: POPEAMWRIGHT3V2

Plan Author: Erika Churchill

Map Date: Dec 13, 2001

ID:6068747

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-ARO-49 [v.1]

Date _____,2001

Comm. Sub. [YES]
Amends Title [NO]
H1477-PCS9372-RO-42

Representative Fitch

moves to amend the bill on page 1, line 10 through page 15, line 4, by deleting the lines and substituting the following:

"District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Perquimans County, Vance County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048,

Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Franklin County: Precinct HAYESVILLE; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031,

Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1; Pasquotank County: Precinct 1A, Precinct 1B, Precinct 2A, Precinct 2B, Precinct 3A, Precinct 3B, Precinct 4A, Precinct 4B, Precinct MOUNT HERMON, Precinct NEWLAND, Precinct PROVIDENCE; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 9.01,

Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Tyrrell County: Precinct SCUPPERNONG; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Alamance County: Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct BYNUM, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17,

Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034,

Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Franklin County: Precinct WEST YOUNGSVILLE, Precinct EAST HARRIS, Precinct DUNN, Precinct PEARCES, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct PILOT, Precinct EAST YOUNGSVILLE, Precinct WEST HARRIS; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Wake County: Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-08.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE, Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct

WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Pasquotank County: Precinct NIXONTON, Precinct SALEM; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON, Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct TURKEY; Tyrrell County: Precinct ALLIGATOR, Precinct COLUMBIA, Precinct GUM NECK, Precinct KILKENNY, Precinct SOUTH FORK; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Durham

County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2, Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6, Precinct 7, Precinct 16, Precinct 24, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 28, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 35, Precinct 36, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 43, Precinct 44, Precinct 45, Precinct 48, Precinct 50, Precinct 51, Precinct 53, Precinct 54; Wake County: Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-47, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-06, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 705,

Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct

Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64, Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26, Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Richmond County: Precinct BEAVERDAM 1; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct NEW BETHEL, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct FAISON, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0103, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct

0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 021, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 035, Precinct 038, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 051, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 083, Precinct 084, Precinct 094, Precinct 095, Precinct 099, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 125, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Richmond County: Precinct ROCKINGHAM 1, Precinct ROCKINGHAM 2, Precinct ROCKINGHAM 3, Precinct STEELES 1, Precinct STEELES 2, Precinct BEAVERDAM 2, Precinct BLACK JACK, Precinct MARKS CREEK 1, Precinct MARKS CREEK 2, Precinct MINERAL SPRINGS 1, Precinct MINERAL SPRINGS 2, Precinct WOLF PIT 1, Precinct WOLF PIT 2, Precinct WOLF PIT 3, Precinct WOLF PIT 4; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1:

Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block

1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Stanly County: Precinct Albemarle 1, Precinct Albemarle 2, Precinct Albemarle 6, Precinct Albemarle 7, Precinct Albemarle 8, Precinct East Albemarle, Precinct East Center, Precinct North Albemarle, Precinct New London, Precinct South Albemarle, Precinct West Center, Precinct Albemarle 10, Precinct Albemarle 11, Precinct Big Lick 1, Precinct Ridenhour, Precinct Palmerville,

Precinct Richfield, Precinct Badin, Precinct Tyson; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101; Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 032, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129,

Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Stanly County: Precinct Furr 1, Precinct Furr 2, Precinct Big Lick 2, Precinct Endy, Precinct Almond; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh; Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 703, Precinct 704, Precinct 901, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043,

Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON; Durham County: Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 34, Precinct 41, Precinct 42, Precinct 46, Precinct 47, Precinct 49, Precinct 52; Franklin County: Precinct TOWN OF LOUISBURG, Precinct LOUISBURG, Precinct WEST FRANKLINTON, Precinct CEDAR ROCK, Precinct GOLD MINE, Precinct SANDY CREEK, Precinct EAST FRANKLINTON;

Granville County: Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Rockingham County: Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27, Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-35, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-07; Wilson County: Precinct

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 1477

AMENDMENT NO._____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H1477-ARO-49 [v.1]

TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON M.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Fitch Amendment)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	618427	619,178	751	0.12%
2	1	619404	619,178	-226	-0.04%
3	1	618473	619,178	705	0.11%
4	1	619473	619,178	-295	-0.05%
5	1	618839	619,178	339	0.05%
6	1	620114	619,178	-936	-0.15%
7	1	619420	619,178	-242	-0.04%
8	1	619269	619,178	-91	-0.01%
9	1	619654	619,178	-476	-0.08%
10	1	619165	619,178	13	0.00%
11	1	618600	619,178	578	0.09%
12	1	618744	619,178	434	0.07%
13	1	619731	619,178	-553	-0.09%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Fitch Amendment) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262026	73.72%	59541	16.75%	260	0.07%	33615	9.46%	34123	9.60%	104363	29.36%	150421	42.32%	66536	18.72%
2	170950	51.87%	107827	32.72%	382	0.12%	50390	15.29%	33527	10.17%	114544	34.76%	136090	41.30%	45375	13.77%
3	172663	50.71%	114429	33.60%	445	0.13%	52979	15.56%	35491	10.42%	99511	29.22%	148934	43.74%	56579	16.62%
4	203143	44.92%	152795	33.79%	1170	0.26%	95148	21.04%	53479	11.82%	178211	39.40%	182402	40.33%	38164	8.44%
5	151724	38.94%	179273	46.01%	407	0.10%	58226	14.94%	36546	9.38%	120841	31.01%	165261	42.41%	66982	17.19%
6	145604	37.87%	176973	46.03%	448	0.12%	61476	15.99%	35189	9.15%	118239	30.75%	165182	42.96%	65888	17.14%
7	221097	58.16%	106174	27.93%	557	0.15%	52330	13.77%	36163	9.51%	117205	30.83%	163423	42.99%	63381	16.67%
8	189835	52.27%	108522	29.88%	437	0.12%	64405	17.73%	38874	10.70%	137348	37.82%	142702	39.29%	44274	12.19%
9	142933	33.91%	194546	46.15%	541	0.13%	83548	19.82%	36204	8.59%	151566	35.95%	184849	43.85%	48948	11.61%
10	151219	41.26%	155562	42.44%	401	0.11%	59331	16.19%	33812	9.23%	115170	31.42%	158852	43.34%	58679	16.01%
11	200343	45.85%	157782	36.11%	647	0.15%	78200	17.90%	38712	8.86%	119275	27.30%	183643	42.03%	95342	21.82%
12	220202	59.14%	96897	26.03%	450	0.12%	54771	14.71%	42804	11.50%	133601	35.88%	140244	37.67%	55666	14.95%
13	232863	61.30%	90207	23.75%	608	0.16%	56203	14.79%	43027	11.33%	140294	36.93%	142254	37.45%	54315	14.30%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.56%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Fitch Amendment) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	618427	285420	46.15%	309707	50.08%	4469	0.72%	3004	0.49%	9911	1.60%	5916	0.96%	18882	3.05%
2	619404	431475	69.66%	144176	23.28%	3287	0.53%	5676	0.92%	25077	4.05%	9713	1.57%	45791	7.39%
3	618473	472932	76.47%	109203	17.66%	3092	0.50%	6241	1.01%	17383	2.81%	9622	1.56%	31065	5.02%
4	619473	484784	78.26%	83893	13.54%	2053	0.33%	26673	4.31%	12208	1.97%	9862	1.59%	26988	4.36%
5	618839	553042	89.37%	43100	6.96%	1392	0.22%	4997	0.81%	10930	1.77%	5378	0.87%	22466	3.63%
6	620114	535972	86.43%	58829	9.49%	2567	0.41%	6107	0.98%	10918	1.76%	5721	0.92%	23074	3.72%
7	619420	398264	64.30%	144629	23.35%	53124	8.58%	3408	0.55%	12817	2.07%	7178	1.16%	24281	3.92%
8	619269	390471	63.05%	174210	28.13%	11151	1.80%	12145	1.96%	19886	3.21%	11406	1.84%	43031	6.95%
9	619654	534318	86.23%	57883	9.34%	1838	0.30%	12062	1.95%	7230	1.17%	6323	1.02%	19761	3.19%
10	619165	535878	86.55%	57454	9.28%	1561	0.25%	9523	1.54%	9147	1.48%	5602	0.90%	21476	3.47%
11	618600	563861	91.15%	28627	4.63%	9923	1.60%	3302	0.53%	6497	1.05%	6390	1.03%	16234	2.62%
12	618744	292722	47.31%	277848	44.91%	2518	0.41%	13199	2.13%	22646	3.66%	9811	1.59%	43727	7.07%
13	619731	325517	52.53%	247986	40.02%	2576	0.42%	11335	1.83%	21979	3.55%	10338	1.67%	42187	6.81%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Fitch Amendment) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	119019	69.60%	50364	29.45%	1611	0.94%	105424	64.11%	59019	35.89%	111646	69.95%	47956	30.05%
2	92423	53.71%	77222	44.87%	2441	1.42%	80850	48.27%	86629	51.73%	83068	50.72%	80710	49.28%
3	87504	50.43%	83206	47.95%	2816	1.62%	67632	40.87%	97849	59.13%	75617	47.28%	84314	52.72%
4	132532	56.03%	99227	41.95%	4772	2.02%	119494	52.60%	107679	47.40%	117906	52.85%	105181	47.15%
5	91040	42.81%	117610	55.31%	3996	1.88%	78728	38.39%	126335	61.61%	79652	39.93%	119803	60.07%
6	86874	43.02%	111774	55.35%	3296	1.63%	80878	41.26%	115134	58.74%	73706	39.06%	114995	60.94%
7	114159	61.55%	68192	36.77%	3114	1.68%	94658	53.44%	82477	46.56%	99364	57.77%	72638	42.23%
8	89389	54.72%	69819	42.74%	4137	2.53%	85896	55.29%	69452	44.71%	84922	56.43%	65578	43.57%
9	69356	35.46%	122884	62.83%	3338	1.71%	67648	36.66%	116866	63.34%	65461	36.49%	113928	63.51%
10	78628	42.25%	103924	55.84%	3566	1.92%	69741	38.66%	110675	61.34%	72227	41.17%	103218	58.83%
11	97496	48.64%	97757	48.77%	5193	2.59%	85032	45.25%	102898	54.75%	88363	47.57%	97383	52.43%
12	108029	65.07%	55497	33.43%	2493	1.50%	105300	64.95%	56814	35.05%	103262	65.17%	55183	34.83%
13	126722	66.61%	60659	31.89%	2857	1.50%	120393	64.89%	65136	35.11%	119948	66.06%	61617	33.94%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Fitch Amendment)
Split Counties
November 14, 2001

	County	District	Total Population
1	Alamance		
		2	90,575
		6	14,457
		13	25,768
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	104,475
		9	21,052
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	38,945
		4	10,384
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	98,266
		7	78,927
		8	125,770
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	13,961
		7	35,102
9	Durham		
		4	125,819
		13	97,495
10	Forsyth		
		5	169,549
		12	136,518
11	Franklin		
		1	1,776
		2	23,344
		13	22,140
12	Gaston		
		9	164,464
		10	25,901
13	Granville		
		1	17,436
		13	31,062
14	Guilford		
		6	195,145
		12	124,579
		13	101,324
15	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
16	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625

17	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
18	Mecklenburg		
		8	144,265
		9	331,743
		12	219,446
19	Nash		
		1	1,204
		2	51,012
		13	35,204
20	Pasquotank		
		1	29,838
		3	5,059
21	Pitt		
		1	56,486
		3	77,312
22	Richmond		
		6	2,733
		8	43,831
23	Rockingham		
		5	16,602
		6	57,635
		13	17,691
24	Rowan		
		6	70,751
		12	59,589
25	Rutherford		
		10	38,019
		11	24,880
26	Sampson		
		3	39,863
		7	20,298
27	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35,803
28	Stanly		
		8	42,088
		9	16,012
29	Tyrrell		
		1	673
		3	3,476
30	Union		
		8	37,294
		9	86,383
31	Wake		
		2	55,232
		4	365,043
		13	207,571
32	Wayne		
		1	55,853
		3	57,476
33	Wilson		
		1	27,354
		3	24,108
		13	22,352

Proposed Congressional 2001 (Fitch Amendment)
Split Precincts
November 14, 2001

	District	Population
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189



Plan Title: CONGREDISTRICTING FITCHAM1

Map Date: Nov 14, 2001

ID:3713136

11-14-01

Pope

17 23

ROLL CALL VOTE

~~17~~ ~~23~~ = 40 (TOTAL)

YES NO

HB# 1477-AST-90 V.1
SB# ____

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

House Subcommittee on _____

YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)		YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)
	✓	MCMAHAN	(CHAIR)		✓	GOODWIN
	✓	WRIGHT	(CHAIR)	✓		GRAY
	✓	ALEXANDER	(VICE- CHAIR)		✓	HURLEY
✓		DOCKHAM	(VICE-CHAIR)		✓	JARRELL
	✓	HILL	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓		MCCOMBS
	✓	THOMPSON	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓		MICHAUX
✓		ADAMS			✓	MINER
	✓	BOYD-MCINTYRE		✓		MITCHELL
	✓	BUCHANAN		✓		MORRIS
	✓	CLARY			✓	NESBITT
	✓	COX		✓		POPE
✓		CREECH		✓		RUSSELL
	✓	CULPEPPER			✓	SAUNDERS
	✓	CUNNINGHAM		✓		SEXTON
✓	●	DAUGHTRY			✓	TOLSON
✓		DAVIS		✓		WALEND
✓		DECKER			✓	WARNER
✓		ELLIS			✓	WARREN
✓		FITCH				
	✓	FOX				
	✓	GIBSON				
	✓	GILLESPIE				

11-14-01

Fitch Amendment

ROLL CALL VOTE

13 YES 25 NO = 38 (TOTAL)

HB# ____
SB# ____

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

House Subcommittee on _____

YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)		YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)
___	✓	MCMAHAN	(CHAIR)	___	✓	GOODWIN
___	✓	WRIGHT	(CHAIR)	✓	___	GRAY
___	✓	ALEXANDER	(VICE- CHAIR)	___	✓	HURLEY
___	✓	DOCKHAM	(VICE-CHAIR)	___	✓	JARRELL
___	✓	HILL	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓	___	MCCOMBS
___	✓	THOMPSON	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓	___	MICHAUX
✓	___	ADAMS		___	✓	MINER
___	✓	BOYD-MCINTYRE		✓	___	MITCHELL
___	✓	BUCHANAN		___	✓	MORRIS
___	✓	CLARY		___	✓	NESBITT
___	✓	COX		✓	___	POPE
✓	___	CREECH		___	___	RUSSELL
___	✓	CULPEPPER		___	✓	SAUNDERS
___	✓	CUNNINGHAM		✓	___	SEXTON
✓	___	DAUGHTRY		___	✓	TOLSON
✓	___	DAVIS		✓	___	WALEND
✓	___	DECKER		___	✓	WARNER
___	___	ELLIS		___	✓	WARREN
✓	___	FITCH		___	___	
___	✓	FOX		___	___	
___	✓	GIBSON				
___	✓	GILLESPIE				
___	___					
___	___					

11-14-01

Wright PCS

ROLL CALL VOTE

26 YES 11 NO = 37 (TOTAL)

HB# 1477
SB# ____

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

House Subcommittee on _____

YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)		YES	NO	MEMBER (last name)
✓		MCMAHAN	(CHAIR)	✓		GOODWIN
✓		WRIGHT	(CHAIR)		✓	GRAY
✓		ALEXANDER	(VICE- CHAIR)	✓		HURLEY
✓		DOCKHAM	(VICE-CHAIR)	✓		JARRELL
✓		HILL	(VICE-CHAIR)		✓	MCCOMBS
✓		THOMPSON	(VICE-CHAIR)			MICHAUX
		ADAMS		✓		MINER
✓		BOYD-MCINTYRE			✓	MITCHELL
✓		BUCHANAN		✓		MORRIS
✓		CLARY		✓		NESBITT
✓		COX			✓	POPE
	✓	CREECH			✓	RUSSELL
✓		CULPEPPER		✓		SAUNDERS
✓		CUNNINGHAM			✓	SEXTON
	✓	DAUGHTRY		✓		TOLSON
	✓	DAVIS		✓		WALEND
	✓	DECKER		✓		WARNER
	✓	ELLIS		✓		WARREN
		FITCH				
✓		FOX				
✓		GIBSON				
✓		GILLESPIE				

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 14, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Sharon Matthews	DMV
Ruth Sappie	DOT
Deb Lan	House
Gabby Hayes	Old West
Clista B Westbrook	LOC
Rachel Rogers	DENR
Jonathan Cooper	Moore & Van Allen
Arlene Pulley	Citizen
Fran Piercy	Capitol Group
Tracie Hall	Burke Co.
Jesse H. Chaney	Burke Co

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 14, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
R. Paul Wilms	NCHBA
Chris Hall	Rep Dixon
Crissy Porter	Bone & Associates
Laura DeNis	DENR
John Rusto	NCFPC
D Allen	BellSouth
A Parker	WSR
Anne Winn	NCAWA
Steve Wall	CCNC
Mike Carey	McDonald & Myers, PLLC
Russ Swindell	Congressman Bob Etheridge

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING - Nov. 14, 2001

Name of Committee **Date**

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Richard O'Brien	Prof. Fire Fighters & Paramedics of NC

2001 COMMITTEE REPORT
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The following report(s) from standing committee(s) is/are presented:
By Representative(s) **Wright and McMahan** (Chair/Chairs) for the House Committee on **Congressional Redistricting.**

☐ Committee Substitute for
H.B. 1477 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

☐ With a favorable report.

☐ With a favorable report and recommendation that the bill be re-referred to the Committee on Appropriations ☐ Finance ☐ ☐.

☐ With a favorable report, as amended.

☐ With a favorable report, as amended, and recommendation that the bill be re-referred to the Committee on Appropriations ☐ Finance ☐ ☐.

☒ With a favorable report as to committee substitute bill (#), ☐ ~~which changes the title,~~ unfavorable as to (original bill) ~~(Committee Substitute Bill #), (and recommendation that the committee substitute bill #)~~ be re-referred to the Committee on).

☐ With a favorable report as to House committee substitute bill (#), ☐ which changes the title, unfavorable as to Senate committee substitute bill.

☐ With an unfavorable report.

☐ With recommendation that the House concur.

☐ With recommendation that the House do not concur.

☐ With recommendation that the House do not concur; request conferees.

☐ With recommendation that the House concur; committee believes bill to be material.

☐ With an unfavorable report, with a Minority Report attached.

☐ Without prejudice.

☐ With an indefinite postponement report.

☐ With an indefinite postponement report, with a Minority Report attached.

☐ With recommendation that it be adopted. (HOUSE RESOLUTION ONLY)

2/15/01

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

168th Legislative Day

COPY

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 1477

BEFORE: James B. Black, Speaker
Joe Hackney, Speaker Pro Tempore

TRANSCRIPT

OF

SESSION

At Raleigh, North Carolina
November 15, 2001 - 11:10 a.m.

Reported by:
Tina M. Kirby, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Christine (Tina) M. Kirby, was appointed official court reporter to record the Session of the North Carolina House of Representatives held on November 15, 2001, beginning at 11:10 a.m. in the Legislative Building, Raleigh, North Carolina.

[OTHER BUSINESS CONDUCTED]

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Wright is recognized to explain the committee substitute for House Bill 1477. The clerk will read it.

[BILL READ BY CLERK]

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Wright is recognized to explain the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. As was explained to you yesterday, what House Bill -- committee substitute for House Bill 1477 does is divides the state into 13 Congressional districts proportionately as per the Constitution.

We appreciate your support in passing the bill on the second reading yesterday. Nothing has changed as of today, so my

co-chair and I ask that you continue your support. We commend the bill to you.

SPEAKER BLACK: Further discussion, further debate.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Wilson, Representative Fitch, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: To ask the gentleman from New Hanover a question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Wright yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Representative Wright, when you did -- followed different models that are in the computer for performance, what is your recollection as to the performance of Democrats versus Republicans?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: For all of the districts or --

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Yes, to make the total. Is that a 7-6 Republican plan or a 7-6 Democrat?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: In my

assessment this was a 7-6 Democratic plan.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Follow-up.

SPEAKER BLACK: Do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: When you look at the delegation as it is now, I believe in the 8th District did you count Representative Hayes winning or losing?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Well, looking at the numbers statistically we felt that it would be an advantage for the Democrats to win that seat, based on the numbers.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, to speak on the bill and to offer an amendment, if possible.

SPEAKER BLACK: It is possible.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you very much --

SPEAKER BLACK: Speak first?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker.

I've learned here on this floor that different men and women have different opinions and different beliefs as to outcome based off of predictions and projections separately. I differ with my friend, Representative Wright, the gentleman from New Hanover, and I think that it is just the opposite.

I think that the delegation -- I think this is -- this democratic body has drawn a 7-6, just the opposite way. And I'm sure that my friends who are Republicans are happy to see that situation occur. They will accept that.

But I will attempt today to offer all of the members here a plan that takes into account the amendments that were adopted in the committee respecting the agreements that had been made between whatever parties and attempting to take the new 13th District and make it and give it an opportunity to have a minority representative by drawing a district that would be approximately 40 percent --

40.2 I believe -- I don't have the amendment in front of me, but will create the 13th District, giving an opportunity to elect a minority candidate.

There are other ways and people say that -- I told you yesterday that when I first even got involved with the Congressional side of this thing I did it at the request of the Chairman, Representative Wright, who asked that I draw -- attempt to draw a district that would be 8-5.

I drew a map; I realized the political realities of it and probably would not be able to sell. We made adjustments and have respected the different agreements that have been made here on this -- in this committee.

And, Mr. Speaker, with your permission, if Ms. Brown's available, I think she has the amendments, and I'd ask that the amendments be passed out and that I'd be allowed to sign and send forth the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to send forth the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: And if I could

ask one further request, permission for staff to be on the floor.

SPEAKER BLACK: Permission granted for staff to be on the floor.

The House will be at ease while the amendments are being passed out.

[HOUSE AT EASE]

SPEAKER BLACK: Does anyone not have a copy of the amendment?

Representative Fitch is recognized to send forth an amendment. The clerk will read it.

[AMENDMENT READ BY THE CLERK]

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Fitch is recognized to explain the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentleman, as I said in my original remarks, this, I maintain, is a plan 7-6 Democratic. The numbers are very compatible to each other except for the fact that we have changed the 13th and its configuration.

The race that I used was the state auditor's race as well as the other races,

but if you will -- you have the sheet from the Wright plan. If you would look and make your own comparison between the state auditor's race in the Wright plan as opposed to the state auditor's race in the Fitch plan.

You see that the total comes out for the state auditor to be 51.15, [inaudible] comes out to be 51.50 in both plans. But you will see the performance per the respective districts, and there's not that much of a difference between, and I do maintain that the Democrats take the edge on that in all of these particular districts.

I think it is indeed a fair plan. I appreciate the courtesies offered by the Chairman and the committee.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Buncombe rise?

REPRESENTATIVE NESBITT: Will the gentleman yield for a question?

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE NESBITT: Representative Fitch, I'm trying to follow you, and I don't know if you've got a handout, but I'm lost. And I'm interested in these numbers.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: The numbers that I am referring to, Representative Nesbitt, are the numbers attached to your maps. It would be the Congressional Redistricting 2001 according to districts, and then it would have a governor's race, the chief justice race, and the state auditor.

REPRESENTATIVE NESBITT: Could you go back over that again for us?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: What I would say is, Representative Nesbitt, if you look at the bottom line state-wide, from the governor's -- I mean, from the state auditor's race you see 51.15 percent Democratic performance.

You see 51.15 percent Democratic performance in my amendment and in the amendment -- and in the bill done by the Chairman. So there would be no loss per the

Democrats per the comparison of the numbers.

Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of the amendment and would be happy to answer any questions to the best of my knowledge.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Mecklenburg, Representative McMahan, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: To ask Representative Fitch a question, if I might.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Fitch yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, you've made reference to the fact that you thought the current plan that we passed yesterday was a 7-6 Republican plan. That was based on District 8. You thought in your mind -- you thought it was different from Representative Wright's. In your mind you thought it was going to elect a Republican; he thinks a Democrat.

As far as the new map that you've just

drawn on District No. 8, it appears the -- the -- my understanding was that your amendment was going to strengthen No. 8, and it just does not look like it strengthens it at all. In fact, it looks like it's pretty much the same. Is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: According to the numbers that I have, if you look at the same numbers from the same chart, in the Wright plan, that shows the Democrat percentage at 53.04 versus 52.96 in the Wright plan.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Follow-up.

SPEAKER BLACK: Gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, then you are actually strengthening No. 8 for the Democrats in your plan?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: According to the modules there, they come out very similar in this particular situation, that is -- that is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you, Representative Fitch.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from New Hanover, Representative Wright, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: To ask the gentleman from Wilson a question, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Fitch yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Representative Fitch. Can you share with me the federal performance numbers for the 2nd and the 4th Congressional Districts? Are they increased or decreased?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I do not have those numbers, but it's my understanding that the federal performing numbers for the 2nd District would be what the machine says could happen if this were the district per the 2000 election.

And I don't have those numbers with me, and Ms. Brown doesn't have them with me, and I don't know what it shows at this point in

time for the U.S. Senate, but I am confident from staff that these districts do perform in the fashion for which I have stated on this floor, 7-6 Democratic.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Follow-up, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: In doing your analysis and using the modules as you were working the numbers here and there, at any point did you ever look at the federal performance as to whether you had [inaudible] the federal performance numbers are substantially different when you look at the state performance numbers?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: What we did was we took your map to the same persons that you indicated drew the lines for you, and the instructions that were given to staff, the same staff that you used, was to take the amendments that had been offered and

have them adopted, roll those into this plan, and to attempt to make the 13th District a Democratic minority influence district, which I am told by staff has been done. That district will be 40 percent minority.

There is another way that it could have been done that could have strengthened the second and the fourth. You could have shortened the 13th to around Alamance, given the minority vote out of Guilford County that was in the 12th, swung around and allowed Representative Watt to take more of Mecklenburg County; thus, you would not have pushed him out in such a fashion that the ripple effect would have taken care of the 2nd and the 4th because you could have taken more into Wake County.

But that was not done because that was too much lifting, according to the instructions that were given to the staff. But it was my understanding, again, that this plan was a 7-6 Democratic performer in the 13th Congressional District.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Follow-up, Mr.

Speaker.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman yields.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: When looking at the 12th did you consider any consultation with Representative Watt or any other members of the Democratic Congress as you were preparing this map?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: No, sir, I did not have direct communications with Representative Watt. The figures were supplied to Representative Watt through his administrative assistant, Don Baker. We released those to him. We've had no feedback from him per his agreement or his disagreement.

We did not talk directly to Congresswoman Clayton directly or indirectly, but we did not disturb the majority minority district. District No. 1 is still a majority minority district, and all that we have done is to create another opportunity in the 13th so an African-American could possibly be elected to the United States Congress.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: One last follow-up, Mr. Speaker.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: So in your assessment here you believe that by weakening the 2nd and the 4th that the chances of our party maintaining control or gaining control of the Congressional delegation will be in order?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Yes, sir, Representative Wright. I do believe that, and I believe that with all my mind and my heart and my 325 pounds I believe that. Had I not believed that, I would not have taken the time and the opportunity and been so engaged in order to try to accomplish it. I would state to everybody here that our population --

SPEAKER BLACK: Just a minute. Give the gentleman your attention, please. It's an important debate.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I would say to everybody here that with our population you

can only divide it but so much. If you attempt to create an additional Democratic district, then the other Democratic districts are not going to be as strong as they were under another scenario.

I have -- I have taken a page from Representative Sutton and his redistricting efforts and in the redistricting efforts from the Senate that you do not have to have the higher percentages that I had possibly previously been talking about. You beat me up on that, and -- and so I have agreed with you that you do not need those higher percentages.

If you do not need those higher percentages in order to create House districts and in order to create Senatorial districts, then you do not need those higher percentages in order to create the Congressional districts. And, thus, I come this way, and these are not my numbers. These are numbers that come out of the computer here at the Legislature, done by the very same staff that did yours.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, members of the House. Yes, in fact, it's true that I asked Representative Fitch some time ago to work with me as a member of the Congressional delegation or Congressional redistricting committee on developing a plan that certainly showed favor to our party.

And I appreciate him coming forward and doing so. I wish that we had had an opportunity to have these numbers or this map some time ago. Then perhaps we could have worked a better arrangement out. Unfortunately, we didn't.

So, it does cause me some real concern here to see some reduction, although he's right. If you're going to enhance the minority participation in another district it certainly would alter the numbers elsewhere. And that is, in fact, the truth.

But it causes me some concern when I see reductions in the 2nd and 4th after consulting with our members of Congress and our Congressional delegation. So I ask that you certainly be very cautious in supporting this amendment and ask that you probably not consider supporting the amendment for that concern, although I do like it.

But as a chairman of the committee it is probably better that we not move forward on this amendment now. And perhaps I'll have an opportunity to discuss it a little bit later over at the Senate. But it causes me some real concern at this point, especially when I don't have the federal performing numbers before me. So I ask that you not support the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Mecklenburg, Representative McMahan, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Speak very briefly on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: Gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, members of the House, Mr. Speaker. I rise also to oppose the amendment. There has been a lot of time that Representative Wright & I spent over the last few weeks to try to come up with a map that we thought was as fair as possible to both sides. Considering the fact of the make-up of the General Assembly, it's certainly Democratic. It is a Democratic map; we know that.

The new district is a Democratic district, which we know that, but District 8 was the district that most of the time we've spent on trying to come up with a map that we thought that both sides would agree to and that we could get the Senate to approve. And I hope you will keep in mind there's another body across the hall that's going to have to approve the plan. I hope they do it very promptly and they don't keep us here two or three weeks to try to approve it.

No, 8 is a very, very -- is a very important district for us, very important district for y'all. We got down and looked

at -- even down to all those precincts in that particular district to try to create as much balance as possible.

Yet, as we have that district now, the numbers do favor the Democrats, and I think Representative Wright is correct, based on the numbers, there's no question that could be a Democratic district. But what this, in effect, does for us, my members of the aisle, it actually weakens our position in No. 8.

Based on the voting patterns, we're actually going to be weaker in No. 8, and that's -- I just don't think that's very wise and that's something that we should do.

And I do want to say, Representative Fitch, I appreciate very much all your work and all you've done in this debate on both the Legislative and the Congressional and the fact that you have brought some fairness to it, Representative Fitch, by doing things that kind of slowed the process down.

I hate to speak against your amendment, but I do think it's very important to understand that this is an amendment that we

think would change the balance that we negotiated. We think it would have an effect probably in the Senate. I hope you will oppose the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKNEY: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Orange, Representative Hackney, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE HACKNEY: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKNEY: Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to oppose this amendment because I don't think it's good for North Carolina. We, my seat mate in front here, Representative Michaux and I, were just debating whether the 2nd and 4th could be won by our party, which we're interested in, under this plan.

My own opinion is -- it's a very strong opinion -- is that it will swing back and forth between parties. If you look at the

state auditor's race you will see that Etheridge, 2nd District, is at 50.72. Well, in a swing year that might go one way, and another year another.

Price is a little bit better, but at 52.8, that's not good in my opinion. And I think these two members have established seats.

Congressman Price is on the Appropriations Committee and is doing good things for people all across North Carolina without regard to whether they're in his district or not, as to some of his predecessors who were Republican members of that committee have done. It's good for North Carolina that he have -- it's my personal opinion that that be a safe seat.

Congressman Etheridge has been important to the agricultural industry, very important to North Carolina. So I don't think -- I don't buy the idea that this map at all protects those two persons.

I also wonder, Representative Fitch, if it doesn't have a Shaw against Reno problem.

It's very obvious when I cruise down into Nash and Wilson Counties that looks like a Shaw against Reno problem to me, and I don't want to have that problem with our plan.

So I really hope that you'll vote against this plan -- this amendment -- and let's go forward with the one we have. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: To speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment. Just a minute. Without objection Rule 12(b) is suspended. Proceed.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Let me -- let me try to clear up a couple of things. Representative Hackney and I did -- were speaking, and you have to -- I guess you have to understand the full politics of the state in order to do this.

For instance, we have a district that

is heavily Democratic, but that district has elected a Republican Congressman. And that Congressman will continue to be elected in that particular district.

I don't think this plan -- and I see a 7-6 plan. That's exactly what it is, seven Republicans and six Democrats. In the 8th District where Robin Hayes is, there's a real edge given to the Democrats in there, but, here again, if they have the edge, like they have the edge in the 3rd District, they still elect a Republican Congressperson.

So I think this state is about 66 percent veteran Democrat, but you elect -- the state elects a Republican Senator. This state in the presidential election votes for the Republican presidential candidate.

Now, one of the things that has been obvious to me is that whoever wins a seat anywhere is going to have to go out and really win the seat. You talk about these percentages, these percentages can be changed.

These percentages can be changed by an individual who really wants to win the seat

to go out and register some more votes for their party. We heard that argument from the Chair -- Chairman of this committee, that anybody who wants it can go out and hustle for it. And that's what it's all about.

I think, in my opinion, Mr. Fitch was asked to draw an eight-to-five plan made for Democrats. He attempted to do that. In a degree of fairness, it drops from seven to six.

His plan, 7-6 Democrats versus Republicans. The plan that's offered by the committee, the committee Chair is at 7-6 plan that favors the Republicans.

I'm going to take the advantage where I can. And I would much rather be in control of my delegation than have somebody controlling me in my delegation. No disrespect to my friends on the other side. That's the way I see it. And that's what -- that's what Representative Fitch's amendment does. It gives control of the delegation by one vote -- by one-vote margin to the Democrats.

Now, you can go through these figures. You can sit down and draw a map. Representative Wright says there wasn't any time. My God, we've been here since January 24th. We've had enough time to draw a zillion plans. You could probably draw plans to satisfy everybody in this body with the time we've had. No, but we've been rushed. And now we're in a rush to get it done.

Well, it's been said it's got to go back to the Senate. It's got to go to the Senate and the Senate is going to [inaudible] their part of it too.

I say that this plan is fair. It's fair to the Democrats. It's fair to the Republicans. And it's particularly fair to the minority population of this state who will have an opportunity to make a choice of their own, a choice that they have not been given.

You know, this reminds me of an argument made not quite a hundred years ago, but when the Republicans were in control of this state there was a deal made between the

Republican Party and the Democratic Party at that time when the Democrats said, "We will give you your man in the White House if you let us handle our state politics."

And that was the beginning of Jim Crowe. From that point up until a few years ago this state operated under that plan which disenfranchised a lot of the citizens of this state. Here we have today another plan that -- where a deal has been struck and said, "We will let you have this if you give us that."

And unfortunately, some of us have sold out to that proposition. I say that the Fitch plan is a very, very fair plan that it ought to be passed by this body.

And those who are going to run, run like everybody else. Go out and register your voters. Go out and get your voters to the polls. I know plenty of people who have run for office. Everybody said they didn't have a chance to win at all. And we've seen that happen in recent municipal elections, where people went out, got those votes, got those

people to the polls, and they won those elections when they didn't have -- said they didn't have a chance of winning.

I say we have the same situation. We owe it to the people to pass this plan.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Miller, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Colleagues of the House, I appreciate Representative Fitch's effort; however, I've got to speak concerning this amendment from the Durham perspective, not a state-wide perspective because I was elected by citizens of Durham.

This plan -- amendment splits Durham in half. The last 10 years Durham has undergone quite a bit of changes due to Congressional redistricting. We've had a total of three different Congressmen, and,

quite frankly, I don't think that that was a productive state for Durham during these last 10 years.

This new plan by Representative Fitch would split Durham into two districts, one with 13 percent African-American, and one with approximately 40 percent African-American. Now, the City of Durham does not have a majority racial group that's -- comprises. There's not a majority black, not a majority white. It's an extremely diverse city.

And Durham is also one of the smallest counties in the state, so splitting Durham is not, in my opinion, a good idea for Durham. With this plan currently Congressman Price and probably one of his successors, whoever that may be -- Congressman Price has to take into consideration the opinions of the diversity of Durham.

Many of the staff members represent major areas of the city that are predominated by African-American citizens. If this plan is passed as is, it would split

Durham into two areas and half of the city would then become an area where the influence of Durham's African-American population would not really be able to speak to the Congressman, in my opinion.

If this plan matched the diversity of Durham and was included in all of Durham, I could support it. But because it's splitting Durham into two separate areas, unfortunately, I'm not going to be able to support this amendment, and I would ask my colleagues also not support it. Thank you.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Hensley, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE HENSLEY: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: The gentleman has the floor to debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HENSLEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I'd like to address something that Representative Miller just said first in speaking on this because he comes from the

perspective, I think, that I just spoke to Representative Blust about.

Ten years ago when I was on the redistricting and we split Wake County into two Congressional districts, I was horrified. I said, "My God, we've lost all of our influence. We won't have any way that we can have anything."

And then, luckily, we got two Congressmen from Wake County that actually increased our influence because they worked together in Washington to bring about great things for Wake County, Representative Etheridge and Representative Price.

And, quite frankly, I can see -- there comes a point where you don't want to split the counties more than three or four times or something like that, but there's a -- there's a great advantage to having several voices speak for you in Congress.

There's 435 members of Congress. Do the math. If you've got two or three speaking for you, you've got a heck of a lot better chance than if you've got one

speaking for you. And if communities somewhat have a commonality, then it's a good thing.

It's been good for Wake County. I hope it continues for Wake County. I hope Congressman Price and Congressman Etheridge stay representing Wake County.

And it would be good to have maybe one more with a voice up there too, as both plans do show. Mr. Speaker, I -- like I said, I went through redistricting 10 years ago, and I looked at both of these plans, and Representative Wright's plan is good. I voted for it yesterday.

But Representative Fitch's amendment makes this plan so much better. Makes it so much better. I've looked at this, and I thought one of the things that somebody said a minute ago was our friends across the water over here might not support it. If you look -- I made my way down here by learning how to count votes.

And I've only missed one time. I just missed it by one vote. But I know how to

count votes, and I look at this map, and I can tell you by where the Senators live and where the big dogs of the Senate live that the Fitch Amendment will pass the Senate.

It will pass it because it is fair and because it gives power where those people are. You don't have to worry about whether or not this will pass the Senate if the Fitch Amendment is included in this plan.

As I said, Representative Price's [sic] plan -- Wright's plan -- sorry. Representative Wright's plan is a good plan, and if it was the only plan I had to vote for, I would vote for it.

But you have the choice -- one that may seem more fair, more representative and is a lot of areas in the state even representative in that huge sponge in Washington we call the Congress. So the thing about that -- and think about this as an opportunity. Think outside the body just for a little bit.

Don't think in county lines. Think in influence. Think in what can it bring home

to your cities and your counties and your areas.

And if you think about that in relationship to your area, I think you'll support the Fitch plan. And I would ask you to vote for Representative Fitch's amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: For what purpose does the gentleman from Alamance, Representative Allred, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: To speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: The gentleman has the floor to debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Ladies and gentlemen -- thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentlemen, since we're splitting counties anyway, I think that there's a lot of validity to Representative Hensley's argument.

Under this Fitch Amendment, for instance, as it affects Alamance County, the City of Burlington would have three Congressmen, and that seems to me that it would give the City of Burlington a little

extra voice in Washington.

Alamance County would have three Congressmen representing it. It would give us another voice. There are several counties in here that would have three Congressional representatives -- Rockingham, Wake, Franklin, and Nash, I believe. But you can look at the map and see for yourself under the Fitch Amendment. But I'm going to -- I'm going to support Representative Fitch's amendment. I hope you will too.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: For what purpose does the lady from Guilford, Representative Adams, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: I'd like to speak on the amendment, please.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: The lady has the floor and may debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentleman of the House, we have had a number of maps presented, and I wish I could say that everyone in Guilford was satisfied with many of the divisions of our county that have been presented on these

maps, but that's not necessarily the case.

I think there are some concerns about Guilford being divided up in so many places, and I expressed this during the committee meetings.

But since we have this amendment before us and it essentially turns Guilford County -- does pretty much the same thing in terms of how many representatives we would have, I wanted to say that of course there's a variety of opinions about whether having more than one is helpful or hurtful.

When you look at the situation that we have here in the North Carolina House, we have a number of people who represent our county, and when it comes down to needing the votes to get things done, I think that serves the county's best interest, so I think you could probably -- and there's an argument on the other side as well.

The Fitch Amendment, I think, does a lot of good things. For me it addressed an issue that I've been talking about along with some other members of this House relative to

diversity and inclusion, majority minority influence and districts.

I think that this amendment before us not only does it favor the Democratic control, which I thought at least the members on my side of the aisle wanted. It does that.

But it also gives a very good opportunity to continue to include to the extent that there could be a majority minority influence that would, in effect, look at the overall state. We could have another African-American in Congress, but I think that's a good thing.

I think it would reflect the overall make-up of the state, which is about 22 percent, I believe, which means that it would be three -- possibility of three members out of 13. That is consistent with the minority population of the state.

I think that diversity, again, is good. Inclusion is good. I think you have from the African-American members who serve us now in Congress -- we've had excellent

representation, and I think we could continue that, and we have the possibility of expanding it.

I think that the plan before us -- the map before us, this amendment, is a good one. I would hope that you would join me in supporting it, voting for it.

I think it will do a lot of good things for North Carolina and it would enhance particularly the support that we could get in having another majority minority influence district. But I think overall it's a good thing, and I would hope that you would support it. Thank you.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: For what purpose does the gentleman from Richmond, Representative Goodwin, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: To debate the amendment.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: The gentleman has the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly join in opposition to the amendment, along the lines of

Representatives Hackney, Wright, and McMahan have stated, and I specifically wanted to base -- direct your attention to a particular point of opposition I have with the amendment. It's the same reason I brought up yesterday in committee.

In District 8, specifically in Richmond County, Richmond County would be split in this plan, and it would be split in the most unusual way, a way it's never been split.

According to the amendment, one precinct, Beaver Dam No. 1 Precinct, which includes the small town of Hoffman, a great little town, a great town that I represent -- it would take Hoffman and one precinct out of Richmond County in the 8th district, a rural [inaudible] district and put it in with folks who are in four metropolitan areas about 200 miles away.

And I see -- I have not heard from anyone in my legislative district, no one in the Congressional district who wants to have Hoffman and its precinct put in a different Congressional district.

Specifically, I think it's goes against the principle of communities of interest, keeping those communities together, and I think this would be of great harm to my district and to my constituents, but, again, I'm opposing it for that reason and for the reasons so eloquently stated by Representative Hackney.

Please vote no on the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Pope, rise?**

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER PRO TEM HACKNEY: The gentleman has the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Members of the House, along with the discussion on this amendment, the Wright plan focused on the partisan outcome, who will get elected or not get elected.

In regard to the 8th District, the Wright plan and the Fitch plan are

statistically virtually identical. In the Wright plan Republican registration in the 8th District is 30.86 percent. In the Fitch plan Republican Party registration is 30.86 percent; identical.

In the Fitch plan the Democratic Party registration in the 8th District is 51.55, which actually is slightly less than the Wright plan, which is 51.58 percent, but three hundredths of one percent registration difference would be lower in the Fitch plan for Democrats, which is not significant.

Representative Hackney talked about the 2nd and 4th Districts and how those would impact him. Well, overall, in the Wright plan and probably in the Fitch plan the state is 6-6 with one toss-up district. The 8th District is a toss-up district. It depends on the eye of the beholder.

A good Republican like Robin Hayes can probably continue to win in the 8th District, which makes it a toss-up even though it's [inaudible] Democrat performing. The truth is, the 3rd District, which everyone has in

the Republican column, is a Democrat performing area, but Congressman Walter Jones, who was Democratic Representative Jones in the state House, was a colleague of many of us, can win that district.

But my main point is, with all the discussion that's been going on about the partisan outcomes, where in the United State Constitution, in the federal law and State Constitution and state law does it say the Legislature should pass a plan based on partisan outcome of one party or the other.

We're here to represent the people of North Carolina, not the political parties themselves, not the incumbent Congressmen themselves. In that regard, while all this conversation -- and I gave my two cents on the partisan outcome -- the Fitch Amendment should not be considered in light of the partisan outcome.

The Wright plan shouldn't be considered in light of the partisan outcome, but I know that's how many of you are going to decide how you're going to vote. And they're really

about the same in partisan outcome.

What we should consider is what is in the United States Constitution and the federal law and not contradicted by the State Constitution, and that is the Equal Protection Clause, and that is the Voting Rights Act. And the significant thing about these two amendments is not the partisan outcome in the 8th; it's the same.

It creates the 13th District where African-American communities in those Voting Rights counties in that area -- Durham and Wake County, which are not Voting Rights counties but form core of it, so those African-American communities are not split, either the intent or the effect to simply support or prop up the white incumbents for a white candidate challenge in an open seat, regardless of the party outcome.

I respectfully disagree with Representative Hackney that even if the 2nd and 4th District, do become toss-up districts, what's the harm in that? The Voting Rights Act doesn't say, to comply

with the Voting Rights Act but for a win makes a Democrat seat a toss-up seat instead of a solid Democratic seat.

And the Voting Rights Act did not repeal or overturn -- excuse me -- Shaw v. Reno, the Supreme Court case, did not repeat or overturn the Voting Rights Act. What Shaw v. Reno said, and later, in other cases, including especially Cromartie [inaudible], North Carolina Congressional districts, should not engage in extreme racial gerrymandering in disregard to traditional redistricting criteria and disregard legitimate state interests.

The state does have an interest in trying to overcome the historical past discrimination against African-Americans in this state. It does have a legitimate state interest in complying with the Voting Rights Act, Section 5 and Section 2.

Representative Fitch's amendment and the amendment I tried to run in the committee unsuccessfully yesterday, does address that concern. With all due respect to

Representative Fitch, I think mine was more narrowly tailored towards traditional redistricting criteria than yours.

Yours is still more narrowly tailored -- as narrowly tailored as the 1st District, Congressman Mel Watt, and in the 12th -- excuse me. 1st District for Congresswoman Eva Clayton; 12th District Congressman Mel Watt.

Those two districts survived the U.S. Supreme Court ruling in Cromartie, compliance with Shaw v. Reno. I think this one will be in compliance with Shaw v. Reno. For that reason, I urge you to support Representative Fitch's amendment because it's the correct thing to do in [inaudible] with the Voting Rights Act.

Those that of you who do consider partisan outcome, [inaudible]. We're not elected here to [inaudible] the Congressional plan [inaudible.] We're elected here to uphold the federal law and federal Constitution and equal protection clause, so I strongly urge you to vote for the Fitch

Amendment for those reasons.

I will add one more footnote though to Representative Michaux [inaudible] on the floor like that. We did have in 1896 and 1898 elections a fusion ticket made up of Populous and Republican party.

Back then the Republican Party, primarily black African-Americans, actively participated; and it was the Democratic Party [inaudible] that overcame the fusion ticket, took out the Populous element and disenfranchised the black. So it's no different from my knowledge of districts when Republicans and Democrats use that.

That's what the Republican governor, the last Republican governor we had in 1970s, who was elected and drawn out by the Democrats, the brown shirt back then.

So I do respectfully disagree [inaudible] footnote. And since this is being transcribed for posterity, then put my understanding of that period of time on the record. Excuse me. I saw -- said brown shirts; it was the red shirts in North

Carolina at the turn of the century. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Luebke, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Members of the House, I urge you to defeat the Fitch Amendment for several reasons. First of all, I just want to get in on the historical debate from Representative Pope and Representative Michaux -- where is Representative Michaux? He's out smoking, I guess. There he is. Right there.

Representative Michaux, you make reference to Hayes versus Tillman in 1876. But, you know, you talk about political history, but let's please get it right because you know better than I, Mr. George White -- Representative White was in until 1900. Hayes v. Tillman had a lot of impact,

1901, yes, sir, and I am aware as you are of his speech -- of his important remarks.

I oppose the Fitch Amendment primarily because of what it does to Durham. Durham is a community that has struggled for years to try to bring blacks and whites together. The major criticism that we have politically in Durham is that we have a racially divided school board, and I do think it is most unfortunate that we have school board decisions that are taken time and time again, blacks voting one way, white's voting another way. And I much regret that and think that all of us -- Representative Michaux, Representative Miller, and myself -- ought to look and see what we can do to change that voting system for that school board.

But I will say that what the Fitch amendment does is to split Durham racially into black camps and white camps, putting the black voters of Durham overwhelmingly, not -- I think Representative Miller is in the Price District; and I'm not sure, Representative Michaux, if he would be in

the Price District or the -- the 4th district or the 13th.

But overwhelmingly the majority of African-American voters go into the -- the 13th District, and just look at the map and see how that looks into Durham County there. It divides our county, putting the white -- majority white precincts into the 4th District. This is a bad thing to do. It splits Durham operationally precisely at a time when we have a Congressional representative, and indeed, we have a Congressional political situation where the voice of African-Americans is very seriously taken, and on the question of electing candidates of their choosing, I can tell you that I can think of no one who is more sensitive to the concerns of the African-American community.

And it's hard to see how anyone could be more concerned than Representative Price has. And I measure that simply by how many times he shows up. Representative Price is just there time and time again at events,

and in accord with the Voting Rights Act, which says that racial minorities should be able to elect entities of their choosing.

And as far as I know, as long as Representative -- for that matter, before him Representative Etheridge and Watt at the time that Representative Miller alluded to, where we had two representatives, the African-American committee had chosen -- has made their choices, has voted strongly and has continued to be actively involved.

I've been involved in voting rights issues for 35, 36 years. And I can say that it's never been my intention and I don't think it should be the intent of people in this state to divide us racially, as I think the Fitch plan does and what it does to Durham County.

Beyond that, I just think, let's really look at the possibilities that we have in terms of moving forward with a plan that is not as wiggly as this one.

I think Representative Hackney -- Representative Hackney's right. He's out

smoking. Representative Hackney's out smoking.

Representative Hackney makes an interesting point in terms of Shaw v. Reno. You all remember the Mel Watt district that was thrown out by the U.S. Supreme Court in the Shaw v. Reno case. I'd say the 13th District as drawn by my colleague, Representative Fitch, bears some resemblance to that in the way it begins in Guilford County and manages to trickle its way all the way into Wilson County to the east. But that is, to me, not as important as what he does in Durham.

It's a bad thing for Durham. It's a bad thing for the African-American and white citizens in my district, and I agree with Representative Miller, it's not good for us in Durham, and I oppose the amendment. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, right?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I want to ask Representative Luebke --

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Luebke yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Representative Luebke, do you disagree that African-American citizens should have an opportunity to choose who they want to represent them?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: I'm trying to think if you had any double negatives in there. I think you do. Could you pose it as a straight question?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I posed it as a question to you. Do you think that African-Americans should be able to choose representation of their own choosing?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Absolutely, sir.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Mecklenburg, Representative Cunningham, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: To speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to be very brief. I just saw Representative Fitch's amendment, I think, yesterday for the first time. And it does have some good points and it has some points that are very tempting on the surface.

But when you use -- when you look at the performance, I think, from the auditor's race, then I don't know it's that a practical way to do it; it may or may not be, but I do know that if you don't use the governor's race, which you want to have a greater turnout, that makes a bigger difference. That's one thing that concerned me about the map.

The other couple of things, very briefly, is that in all the things he pointed, we do need influence districts. We need to have some influence to elect maybe one other minority candidate Congressman, but if you don't have that influence you're still going to lose it in my opinion.

And, thirdly, if all of this is good -- if this amendment is good and if it ought to be inserted, I didn't get it in time enough. We're trying to get out of here. Let the Justice Department -- they'll send it back. They'll send it back, and then we can look at it and maybe it'll pass it. But to ask this body right now to change everything we've done now to this point, to inject that as I think part of it, is not wise, and I hope you will defeat the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the lady from Union, Representative Shubert, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE SHUBERT: To speak briefly on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE SHUBERT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I'll be brief because it's my guess that the vote count says it doesn't matter what anybody says, the amendment's going to fail or otherwise the amendment would not be

up for a vote because that's the history of how this works so far in redistricting.

But I would like to point out the irony of people who are complaining because their county is being treated unfairly or their county is being divided or their city is being carved off because those people never had any concern for anyone else when that was happening.

We have seen again and again throughout this process where members were treated unfairly, where cities were treated unfairly, where counties were treated unfairly, and a lot of y'all just parked your conscience and went on.

I think Representative Fitch has made an attempt to make Congressional elections more competitive and I seem to think that competition is good for the customer. And just as a matter of historical note, if I remember correctly -- and Representative Michaux I'm sure will correct me if I'm wrong -- I believe that Mr. White, who went to Washington, the first African-American

elected to Congress after the Civil War, was a Republican.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Ellis, sir?

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: To ask Representative Fitch a question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Fitch yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, Representative Fitch. Representative Fitch, each state is given the authority to draw these new Congressional districts. And each of us feels some responsibility to our state. Do you feel that you also need to consider the outcomes on a national basis?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Yes, sir, I do.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Second question, please.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you.

According to the information I have received, of the 43 states that have more than one Congressional member, 17 have completed their process, and the 18th, Texas, is in the court at this time debating the question of retrogressivity.

Of those that are completed, are you aware of any new potential black member that might be elected to the Congress?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: It's my understanding that there was a study done by the Joint Center for Political Study in Washington, D.C., that concluded that based on the redistricting efforts and for the lay of the land at this point in time that there would not be an increase of African-Americans or Hispanics in the United States Congress.

There was an attempt, or I believe at one time that there was a possibility out of Louisiana and a possibility out of Massachusetts; both of those have now been concluded not to be in existence.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Third question, please.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Then based on the trends we've seen in the other states, North Carolina now stands at a Crossroads to be the first state to offer an opportunity to a minority member.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: If this map is adopted, the 13th District, that I maintain, will pass constitutional muster, and does not violate Shaw v. Reno, we'll have an opportunity to have the influence of an African-American community and could very well, 44.2 percent, elect an African-American from that particular district.

The previous -- some previous speakers who speak of the -- the way the 13th looks as being a violation of Shaw v. Reno, race was not a predominant factor in attempting to draw the district. The predominant factor in the 13th was to create a Democratic district such that a Democratic could elect. Thus, it would not be a violation of Shaw. And with that narrowly tailored situation,

they would have an opportunity to elect an African-American. Thus, North Carolina would be leading the nation by giving the United States Congress one more Democratic seat, that would happen to be an African-American, yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you, sir. I'd like to speak to the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: Gentleman has the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Ladies and gentlemen, another good friend of mind was back here just a few minutes ago, and I told him I was going to support the Fitch Amendment, and he couldn't understand how I would do that.

He and I both said that we would be opposed to an outcome that predisposed a particular race or party to winning. And that's the difference between the Fitch plan and what I have philosophically been opposed to in all my years down here, is the Fitch Plan doesn't guarantee that a member would be elected, but it provides the opportunity

that a minority member could be elected. And that's the very essence of the Voting Rights Act.

The Voting Rights Act and the consequential cases that have come as a result of that have been confirmed again and again that we're not down here to guarantee the outcome, only to provide the opportunity. And that's what this plan does.

So I can support this, and I can go back to my district and say, I believe I've done the thing that is fair. I believe I've done the things that it constitutional. I believe I've done the thing that Complies with the Voting Rights Act.

I have provided that members of all parties, that members of all races have the opportunity to elect a member of their choice. I hope you'll support the plan.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Alamance, Representative Allred, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: To speak a second time on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: Tell, you what, let me let some of these other people speak a first time and I'll come back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: All right, thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the lady from Wayne, Representative Russell, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know the budget crisis is kind of bad, but it would be nice if we could get a little money to fix the microphone over there.

I just wanted to ask one quick question of Representative Fitch.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Fitch yield?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Representative Fitch, in looking at the plan -- I think I know the answer to it, but I wanted to confirm that. One of the reasons that I was very concerned yesterday, there's been a lot of additional splitting in especially one or

two of the counties that are split that I represent, and I've had a number of phone calls from constituents who are upset about that.

Does your plan affect anything in -- down in my neck of the woods?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: It's my understanding that the plan -- the Fitch Amendment versus the Wright plan, that there was nothing done any different in the Fitch plan that wasn't already done -- that it hasn't done anything in Wayne County in the Fitch plan that wasn't already done in the Wright plan.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: All right. So I understand then that what was done yesterday is a done deal and that in voting for your amendment that I'll be voting for only the parts that are significantly changed.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE RUSSELL: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: That is my understanding.

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative from

Alamance -- Representative Allred is recognized to speak a second time.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentleman, I understated -- I understated the number of counties that would have three congressmen. Actually, Cumberland would have three congressmen under the plan if it passes with this amendment, even though the amendment probably doesn't change, I don't know.

But Wilson County would have three, Nash, Franklin, Wake, Alamance, Guilford, Rockingham, and Mecklenburg. And in opposition to Representative Hackney's comments that this would favor swing districts that a Republican could win, I would submit to you that the voting record of the 2nd Congressional District under the Fitch plan certainly is a Democratic voting district, 53.71 percent voted for the Democratic for governor, and 56 percent of those in the 4th District voted for the Democratic candidate for governor.

The voter registration certainly favors

the Democrats. It's not my argument, it's not my intent to try to create a Democratic district, but I'm just making an argument here so that -- because I don't think that Representative Hackney's argument was valid.

The Democratic registration in the 2nd District is almost 52 percent. The Republican registration is only 32 percent.

In the 4th District the Democratic registration is almost 45 percent. The Republican registration is less than 34 percent. So they're clearly districts that favor Democratic candidates, and I hope you'll support the Fitch amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wayne, Representative Baddour, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: To speak on the amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I'll try

not to say things that have already been said, but this amendment comes to us at the end of -- at the end of a process, and I know that everybody's not happy with the way the process took place; however, we've got two Co-chairs who worked on this plan, who worked on it very hard, and it does represent something of a compromise.

You've heard Representative McMahan speak for the Republicans as to why they should vote for this plan, and I'm not going to try to speak for the Republicans.

I'm lucky enough, Mr. Speaker, to be majority leader and was elected by the Democrats, so I'll only speak to the Democrats a little bit about -- about this plan and why you should vote against the Fitch amendment.

And, really, it is elaborating on what Representative Cunningham or Representative Hackney has already said. What Representative Fitch's amendment would do, yes, it would make it easier for an African-American to be elected in the 13th

District, that's true. But it comes at a price, and the price is paid by districts currently represented by Representative Price and Representative Etheridge.

I would submit to you that with regard to Representative Etheridge, it probably means that he would not win that district and that a Democrat would not win that district.

You might wonder, well, where do the African-Americans come from, how was Representative Fitch able to craft that district and why didn't somebody else think of it. Well, the reason is, as you take the African-American population from 30 percent -- this is Representative Etheridge's district -- from 30.33 to 23.28 and the registration from 30 to 21.41.

And that would be a district, for instance, where John Edwards lost and where Henry Frye lost and where Ralph Campbell won only by 50.72 to 49.28. And I would submit to you that it does change the balance, that it probably means that a Democrat would not win that district.

Now, Representative Price's district is hurt an equal amount. He ends up a little bit better in terms of the -- how Democrats do in that district, but he goes from a -- in registration from a 19.68 African-American down to an 11.68. And I just don't think that it -- this amendment basically destroys the balance, it destroys the plan that has been put forth by the Co-chairs --

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Speaker.

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: -- and adopted by --

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake rise?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Ask Representative Baddour if he will yield for a question.

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: I'll be glad to yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Representative Baddour, did I hear you correctly that you're opposing the Fitch Amendment because you prefer the African-American communities,

which will be grouped together in geographically related areas -- anyway, you oppose the Fitch Amendment because you want more of the African-Americans to be kept in the white majority districts for Congressman Etheridge and Congressman Price, you want to submerge those African-Americans in white districts to insure the elections of white incumbent districts?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Actually, we did not say that.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Follow-up question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: What is the difference in what you said and [inaudible] of it is to submerge those African-American communities and get a high enough percentage to make 30 percent is what you said, for one district for Etheridge, which is correct, and where it insures his re-election?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Well, that's

just -- the way you're characterizing it is just entirely wrong. We have -- North Carolina now that has 12 Congressional district.

We have gained enough population where we were entitled to draw another district, and we have to draw that district taking into account the constitutional principles set forth in the Shaw versus Reno case.

And so, I'm -- you know, we've debated those issues before, and I think you know the answers to those as well as I do. We're talking about the best way to draw those districts so it will be fair to everyone concerned, to both parties, to the existing Congressmen, and to all races.

And that's what I'm asking you to do today. I think the Wright plan -- Wright-McMahan plan that's before us is the best one. I think the Fitch plan destroys that plan, and I'd ask you to vote against this amendment.

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative Justus, would you like to be recognized on the bill?

REPRESENTATIVE JUSTUS: On the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: Further discussion, further debate on the amendment.

Hearing none, the question before the House is the amendment sent forth by the gentleman from Wilson. All in favor, vote aye. All opposed, vote no.

The clerk will open the vote.

[HOUSE VOTING]

SPEAKER BLACK: The clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. Forty-five having voted in the affirmative, sixty-seven --

REPRESENTATIVE SHERRILL: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the lady from Buncombe rise?

REPRESENTATIVE SHERRILL: For some reason my machine did not come on. I would like to be recorded as voting no.

SPEAKER BLACK: Forty-five having voted in the affirmative, sixty-eight in the negative, the amendment fails.

Further discussion, further debate on the bill.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Henderson, Representative Justus, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE JUSTUS: To speak on the bill, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE JUSTUS: Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentleman of the House, I feel I would be remiss in my duties if I didn't comment on this bill before we finally pass it.

Last week, last Thursday at 4:00 o'clock, we had a public hearing on this bill up in the auditorium. And from my district, the 11th District, over 70 people showed up to speak or show their concern for the bill.

They were deeply concerned that the 11th District had been ripped apart, that it extended from Cherokee all the way to Gaston County. The counties have nothing in common.

They're concerned that the key county in that district has been basically removed from it, and that's Henderson County, which is mostly my district. And they had driven

300 miles to voice their displeasure and to make their pleas in regard to change.

That meant in a space of less than one day they rode 600 miles. That's quite a commitment. That's democracy in action. That's the people speaking.

Representative Wright, I believe over 40 people spoke at that public hearing, about 40. It was a good hearing. And I want to tell you and commend both Chairs -- Representative McMahan also -- that I think they listened and listened very intently.

That's remarkable in this day and time -- one, that people came that far; two, that the Chairs of the redistricting committee listened to their desires and their pleas and their wants and I think reacted to what was asked.

And so I didn't want to go or allow this bill to be passed or not passed -- and it's going to pass -- without making those comments and commending both Chairs. The regrettable thing about that meeting was that there were so few Legislators there, a

relative handful of Legislators for something as important to this state as Congressional redistricting.

If, indeed, 70 people had filled that auditorium and flowed out of that auditorium from all 13 districts that we're going to have, it would have indeed been a real exercise in democracy, and should have been that way. I would hope that that certainly happens in the future.

But it was nice to see the Chairs listen. I noticed the next map that came out reflected the 10th and 11th Districts had been changed to reflect what my constituents had asked for.

I know from listening what was said at our district meeting later on that our constituents were extremely pleased that you had listened, Mr. Chairmen, and they're quite grateful.

And, Mr. Speaker, I could only say, I wish that same spirit of compromise had been on the table during the House redistricting activities also. I think we would all feel

a lot better about what's happened generally in the redistricting process. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Johnston, Representative Daughtry, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Make a few comments about the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. You know, if you look at this map and you could talk to the Congressmen that are presently in office, the incumbents, and they'll tell you, well, it's a good map, and I'm very satisfied with what we have been able to accomplish in respect to getting this map passed.

And then you would start examining it closely and you see what we have done to protect the incumbents. It becomes clear it's a bad map. In my district, the 2nd District, in order for Representative Etheridge to be happier about his district

and to feel secure about his re-election, there were attempts and there was a map drawn that goes into Wake County to add African-Americans to his district to make sure that he gets up his vote so he won't have to worry so badly.

And Representative Baddour a minute ago showed you the statistics where Representative Price needs additional African-Americans so he can win. And if you can't support another proposal with less African-Americans, then you would be propping him up and, therefore, he would not have a chance to win. And Representative Baddour also said he thought Etheridge would lose because he would not have enough African-Americans to insure his **.

Those are good reasons to vote against this plan. It's wrong for the state of North Carolina to draw a district in such a way that counties are split, people are picked up so that an incumbent can stay in office. We could have done much better, and I have to vote against the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Pope, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: To speak on the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: I'd like to start on a positive note, seconding what Representative Justus has said. I didn't know he was going to say it. But this map, the 11th district, is a good district.

The Wright one, with all due respect, was terrible. It split counties all the way up through Buncombe and on down for [inaudible] gerrymandered district. With the input at public hearing from the folks, people from the 11th District, Representative Justus's input, Representative McMahan and Representative Wright worked on a compromise in the 11th District so you now have an 11th District which is all whole counties with the exception of Rutherford County, and, yes, in the one-person-one-vote in the federal

Constitution you do have to split counties to comply with the one person, one vote.

The 11th District's a good district. Traditional redistricting criteria was followed, and the only split was for the one person, one vote. Unfortunately, as you move to the central part of the state, the exact opposite is true.

Now, again, for federal purposes, federal Equal Protection, federal Voting Rights Act, you do have to split counties, sometimes even precincts, to comply with the Voting Rights Act as in the case with the 12th District.

But when you look at the 13th, the 4th, the 6th, the 8th, the 7th, and the 2nd, they're all intertwined, counties are split, and they're not split for any constitutional purpose. They're not split in order to honor any traditional redistricting criteria; just the opposite.

Traditional criteria was thrown out the window. The Voting Rights Act isn't complied with, has been violated. Representative

Luebke spoke about that we shouldn't break up a black community in Durham County.

Well, look at Alamance County. You've got a little finger dipping down there into Burlington for the new 13th District, not to comply with the Voting Rights Act because there's not any opportunity for a black candidate to win in the Wright 13 District, simply bring in and split up the African-American community to prop up the 13th.

My home county, Wake County, is split three ways. With all due respect to Representative Hensley, I totally differ. My current House district, the neighborhoods I represent and live in are now split between the 2nd and 4th District.

When I just go to neighborhood social functions and civic events, Chamber of Commerce events, to my own political events for my House race, I'm always asked, "Well, who is my Congressman?" People don't know, and now we're going to be split among three districts in Wake County and so many other

counties. The people do not know. The same is true of Cumberland County and Guilford County.

And all this was done, not for legitimate state interests; to the contrary, obviously [inaudible] was done for partisan issues. That's not a legitimate state interest, not a state interest at all, much less a legitimate state compelling interest.

So I'd ask you to please vote against this. It's gerrymandered. It's been acknowledged that it's been gerrymandered with partisan intent.

It's been acknowledged that the African-American communities in various parts of the state have been intentionally split up to profit white incumbents. Again, make sure you have enough African-Americans to re-elect David Price and re-elect Bob Etheridge, to elect State Senator Brad Miller. Don't put too many African-Americans in there; they might win a primary. Don't put too many African-Americans in there because the adjoining district might become a

toss-up district.

That's not right. This plan is not right. the 11th District is right. Should have done the whole state they way they did the 11th District after complying with the Voting Rights Act and one man, one vote.

SPEAKER BLACK: Members of the House, the Chair is happy to extend the courtesy of the gallery to a group of students and teachers from Parkwood Elementary School in Durham.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: To speak on the bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I'll be real brief. I'm going to vote against this bill for two reasons. One is that the opportunity to create a district where African-Americans can elect a representative of their choice. Secondly, because it leaves the congressional delegation in the hands of the Republicans.

And I think all the Democrats that we [inaudible], so I'm going to oppose it simply because it doesn't give the Democrats an opportunity to run the delegation.

SPEAKER BLACK: You students from Durham, that was one of your representatives. Now another one is about to speak. Representative Luebke is recognized to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. And Parkwood students, I'm glad you're here.

Well, first, I agree with Representative Michaux that the Voting Rights Act is about allowing racial minorities to elect candidates of their choice.

He said it just right. Representative Pope said it wrong a moment ago. Some others on both sides of this debate have said it wrong.

The Voting Rights Act is not about guaranteeing any racial minority that a person of that minority's color be elected; it is that racial minorities have an

opportunity to elect a candidate of their choosing.

And it seems to me that that is what this plan allows us to do is to continue to do that. And again, I speak from the Durham perspective in saying that Durham has as strong an African-American political community as I've seen in the entire southeast -- I've ever seen.

It also has white politically active people, and it seems to me that in our community we are allowing people of the African-American -- we are allowing the African-American community to elect candidates of its choice, and it does so under the Wright proposal. And I just think it's very important that we not confuse what the Voting Rights Act calls for.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Ask the gentleman from Durham if he will yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: They're going to really

show off for you.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Yes, sir, I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: You just made the statement that folks in Durham have a varied, very active, very good, you know, community. Why won't you let them -- why wouldn't you want them to elect a representative of their choice? [inaudible]

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Well, Representative Michaux, as I said in opposing the Fitch Amendment, the flaw in the Fitch Amendment is that it divides Durham racially. It takes our two, black community and white community, and splits them basically into two Congressional districts.

I think that's bad for Durham. I think it's much better if we can elect one member to Congress.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I'd like to

ask another question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Have we been divided that way before congressionally?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Yes, sir, we have, and we have --

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Ask another question.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Well, let me finish my answer.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Oh, I thought he was through.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: No, sir. I'm just starting. We had representative Etheridge and we had Representative Watt, and they are both outstanding members of Congress, and I consider them, as well as Representative Clayton, as well as Representative Price, friends of mine, and I think they do very well for us, continue to do, even though they don't precisely elect --

represent Durham at the moment, meaning Mell Watt and Bob Etheridge.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Can I ask another question?

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield -- Representative Luebke yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Yes, I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: When did we have Representative Etheridge? I thought we had Representative Price and Representative Watt.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: No, sir, your memory is failing you. We had Representative Etheridge -- Representative Etheridge and Representative Watt were our representatives at the time when Representative Price's district was based in Wake County.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Let me ask another question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Sure. I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Wasn't there a

time when Representative Price got defeated by a Republican in that district?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Representative Price was defeated at the same time as Representative Baddour was defeated, Representative Nesbitt was defeated. I'm looking at all kinds of friends of mine going down in 1994. He went down at that time too.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Another question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: He was re-elected in the same district though a year after that, the next election cycle, wasn't he?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: My recollection -- I'm going to yield to anybody that's got those -- got maybe a Lexus-Nexus at their fingertips, but I think that Price was re-elected in that district in 1996. Then we had some Shaw v. Reno rulings, and Price

came over to represent the 4th Congressional District with Durham as his core district.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: One other question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Yes. I think I still have the floor though, right, because --

SPEAKER BLACK: You do have the floor. Do you yield the floor?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Yes, I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: In Durham being represented by two Congressmen, Representative Price, Etheridge, and Watt -- anyway you want to put it -- did Durham suffer in any way from having two Congressional representatives representing them?

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: Representative Michaux, I think the question is what the Fitch plan looks at -- looks like right now, not what happened back with the way that the Mel Watt district was drawn and the Bob

Etheridge district was drawn. And I think if we took the time to look at the district lines, we would see that the Fitch district -- Fitch proposal splits Durham along racial lines --

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Speaker.

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: -- much more than it does --

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wilson, Representative Fitch, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Point of order.

SPEAKER BLACK: Gentleman state his point of order.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Am I mistaken? I thought the Fitch plan didn't pass. Are we still debating that? Was that defeated? Are we still debating that defeated amendment that I would like to have had passed?

SPEAKER BLACK: You still have --

REPRESENTATIVE LUEBKE: I'd be happy to proceed and refrain from further discussion on the Fitch Amendment.

I would simply say, members of the House, this is a good plan. And I plan to support it and hope you will too. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: Students from Durham, you're in for a real treat now. Your third member of your delegation is about to speak.

Representative Miller from Durham is recognized to speak on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: I'm only speaking here because my future constituents are up in the gallery, but I also support the plan as it is right now, that [inaudible] amendment, that it keeps Durham one, 4th congressional district. I think it's hard sometimes to determine is the better to have one, two, or three or whatever number Congressmen, but I do listen to people who talk to me, and the constituents that talk to me from Durham prefer to have one Congressman. They complain when we have split Congressional districts, and I think as a representative I should represent their viewpoints here on the floor of the House. So I fully support this plan, and I hope you

do too. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: We sometimes let others speak too.

Representative Blust of Guilford, for what purpose does he rise?

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: To see if Representative Wright will yield for a question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative Wright yield?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: Representative Wright, looking at your map in the 6th District, in Guilford County, there appears to almost be a satellite portion of the 6th District. And I've got a blow-up of the map of Guilford here that shows the two precincts catty-corner, and I've been told there's a bridge -- they're actually connected by a bridge. Can you tell me what that bridge is?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: If you're referring to the -- what is considered a point of contiguity, discussion,

Representative Blust, that model currently exists and members of this chamber represent those specific areas.

That's where we lifted that from. By -- if I'm correct in my memory, I do believe that Guilford County Representative Adams and I believe Representative Jeffers, their districts intersect at that point identically to what you see on our proposed map here.

So that's where we lifted that from.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: Another question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield for another question? Just a minute.

Your attention, please.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: I read somewhere or was told there is a bridge there. Is that -- that accurate?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I've not been there. And all I can do is report to you what was displayed to me by the map that I have here -- I'll be happy to send it over to you -- and what was told to me by staff.

I understand that it's an area called Reedy or Ready Fork Creek. Now, whether there's a bridge there or a trestle there or some other means of crossing it or getting there, I have no idea. I've not been there. I don't know.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: One further question.

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: I yield.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE BLUST: Within what I call the satellite portion there is almost a sub-satellite, looks like the center city has been carved out to stay in the 6th with a little neck coming through to connect the center city with the rest of the 6th. Is that not -- this is going to be very difficult on the citizens to know who their Congressman is.

Could that not be somehow smoothed out a bit, to -- at least make the portion to Guilford more compact?

REPRESENTATIVE WRIGHT: It seems pretty compact to us [inaudible] drew it. That's

how it ended up that way. After all, there is a current House district -- two House districts that articulate that.

SPEAKER BLACK: For the students in the gallery, you're about to witness a vote that we take every 10 years on the redrawing of Congressional district lines for the state of North Carolina. We do this every 10 years.

This vote that we're about to take right now will, we believe, be enacted into law, so what you're seeing is a historical occasion.

Further discussion, further debate?

Hearing none, the question before the House is passage of House Committee Substitute of House Bill 1477 on third reading. All in favor, vote aye. All opposed, vote no.

The clerk will open the vote.

[HOUSE VOTING]

SPEAKER BLACK: The clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. Sixty-nine having voted in the affirmative, forty-four in the negative, House Committee Substitute

of House Bill 1477 having passed the third reading will be sent to the Senate by special messenger.

[OTHER BUSINESS CONDUCTED]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Christine M. Kirby, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing session of the House of Representatives was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the session.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 28th day of November, 2001.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 11, 2003

CHRISTINE M. KIRBY NOTARY PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY N.C.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

H 2

HOUSE BILL 1477

Committee Substitute Favorable 11/14/01

Short Title: Congressional Redistricting Wright 3A. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

November 5, 2001

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block

2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6: Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block

2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 10.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12, Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY, Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block

2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct TURKEY; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1070; Precinct 01-35, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct

VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5023, Block 5024; Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct

FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9, Precinct COOPERS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Durham County, Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct BYNUM, Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Wake County: Precinct 01-47, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02, Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015, Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703,

Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct HOGANS, Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct NEW BETHEL.

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26,

Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2, Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5021, Block 5022; Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON, Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block

1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 084, Precinct 095, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block

3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel, Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 021, Precinct 032, Precinct 035, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 038, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 051, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 083, Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 094, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 099, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 125, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4, Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh;

Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Green Hill, Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4071; Tract 162.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2077, Block 2078; Tract 162.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1133, Block 1147, Block 1148; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1029; Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct

Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200, Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct

Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59, Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Jefferson 2, Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120; Tract 510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 522.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Tract 522.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Tract 523.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029; Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-48, Precinct

01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08.

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:
  a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.
  b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.
  c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.
  d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.
  e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
  a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
  b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:

a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.
b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:
a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.
b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:
a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.
b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:
a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.
b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.
c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.
d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.
e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.
f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.
g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.
h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.
i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.

j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.

k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.

l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.

m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.

n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.

(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.

(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law.

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	619579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2	1	619103	619,178	75	0.01%
3	1	619009	619,178	169	0.03%
4	1	619660	619,178	-482	-0.08%
5	1	619886	619,178	-708	-0.11%
6	1	618314	619,178	864	0.14%
7	1	619163	619,178	15	0.00%
8	1	619935	619,178	-757	-0.12%
9	1	619463	619,178	-285	-0.05%
10	1	619165	619,178	13	0.00%
11	1	618600	619,178	578	0.09%
12	1	618622	619,178	556	0.09%
13	1	618814	619,178	364	0.06%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	619009	482416	77.93%	103540	16.73%	2653	0.43%	6274	1.01%	14649	2.37%	9477	1.53%	27279	4.41%
4	619660	440006	71.01%	128366	20.72%	1947	0.31%	24287	3.92%	15131	2.44%	9923	1.60%	31193	5.03%
5	619886	554996	89.53%	42185	6.81%	1396	0.23%	5070	0.82%	10841	1.75%	5398	0.87%	22387	3.61%
6	618314	539003	87.17%	53653	8.68%	2558	0.41%	6130	0.99%	11171	1.81%	5799	0.94%	24053	3.89%
7	619163	398723	64.40%	143938	23.25%	53151	8.58%	3343	0.54%	12953	2.09%	7055	1.14%	24469	3.95%
8	619935	401164	64.71%	166747	26.90%	11173	1.80%	11075	1.79%	18755	3.03%	11021	1.78%	40949	6.61%
9	619463	525047	84.76%	64694	10.44%	1861	0.30%	12907	2.08%	8293	1.34%	6661	1.08%	21737	3.51%
10	619165	535878	86.55%	57454	9.28%	1561	0.25%	9523	1.54%	9147	1.48%	5602	0.90%	21476	3.47%
11	618600	563861	91.15%	28627	4.63%	9923	1.60%	3302	0.53%	6497	1.05%	6390	1.03%	16234	2.62%
12	618622	291829	47.17%	278499	45.02%	2520	0.41%	13255	2.14%	22708	3.67%	9811	1.59%	43835	7.09%
13	618814	407580	65.86%	167700	27.10%	2440	0.39%	12844	2.08%	18429	2.98%	9821	1.59%	37223	6.02%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173331	50.19%	118165	34.21%	440	0.13%	53433	15.47%	35624	10.31%	101575	29.41%	151580	43.89%	56591	16.39%
4	218622	48.98%	137502	30.80%	1090	0.24%	89155	19.97%	49776	11.15%	174469	39.09%	180136	40.36%	41988	9.41%
5	152361	38.83%	180599	46.03%	413	0.11%	58993	15.04%	36673	9.35%	122550	31.23%	165934	42.29%	67209	17.13%
6	143481	37.27%	179605	46.66%	447	0.12%	61409	15.95%	34984	9.09%	118559	30.80%	165669	43.04%	65722	17.07%
7	221570	58.16%	107005	28.09%	555	0.15%	51856	13.61%	36004	9.45%	116874	30.68%	164102	43.07%	64018	16.80%
8	184531	51.58%	110410	30.86%	404	0.11%	62445	17.45%	38680	10.81%	132163	36.94%	143158	40.01%	43788	12.24%
9	148405	34.74%	192687	45.11%	576	0.13%	85459	20.01%	36318	8.50%	156611	36.67%	184484	43.19%	49713	11.64%
10	151219	41.26%	155562	42.44%	401	0.11%	59331	16.19%	33812	9.23%	115170	31.42%	158852	43.34%	58679	16.01%
11	200343	45.85%	157782	36.11%	647	0.15%	78200	17.90%	38712	8.86%	119275	27.30%	183643	42.03%	95342	21.82%
12	219203	59.14%	96683	26.08%	449	0.12%	54323	14.66%	42712	11.52%	132732	35.81%	139976	37.77%	55227	14.90%
13	206903	53.98%	111135	28.99%	681	0.18%	64573	16.85%	42711	11.14%	141360	36.88%	147625	38.51%	51616	13.47%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.66%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88313	49.75%	86351	48.65%	2846	1.60%	67194	39.74%	101886	60.26%	75582	46.28%	87747	53.72%
4	136479	59.36%	89066	38.74%	4391	1.91%	125351	56.56%	96274	43.44%	123252	56.66%	94276	43.34%
5	91247	42.75%	118140	55.35%	4042	1.89%	78979	38.38%	126814	61.62%	79833	39.90%	120272	60.10%
6	83710	41.86%	113052	56.53%	3225	1.61%	78296	40.34%	115771	59.66%	70758	37.87%	116110	62.13%
7	114201	61.42%	68630	36.91%	3115	1.68%	94524	53.21%	83109	46.79%	99285	57.55%	73226	42.45%
8	87701	52.96%	73720	44.52%	4168	2.52%	83675	53.09%	73941	46.91%	82838	54.31%	69703	45.69%
9	71087	36.71%	119251	61.57%	3331	1.72%	69895	38.29%	112654	61.71%	67560	38.04%	110033	61.96%
10	78628	42.25%	103924	55.84%	3566	1.92%	69741	38.66%	110675	61.34%	72227	41.17%	103218	58.83%
11	97496	48.64%	97757	48.77%	5193	2.59%	85032	45.25%	102898	54.75%	88363	47.57%	97383	52.43%
12	107391	65.05%	55243	33.46%	2455	1.49%	104716	64.96%	56495	35.04%	102626	65.12%	54965	34.88%
13	119997	59.56%	78011	38.72%	3472	1.72%	112142	57.36%	83369	42.64%	110141	57.77%	80521	42.23%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Split Counties
November 14, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Alamance		
		6	91,085
		13	39,715
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	125,527
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	33,936
		4	15,393
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	108,056
		7	71,395
		8	123,512
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	14,395
		7	34,668
9	Forsyth		
		5	175,378
		12	130,689
10	Gaston		
		9	164,464
		10	25,901
11	Granville		
		1	11,992
		13	36,506
12	Guilford		
		6	177,085
		12	130,286
		13	113,677
13	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
14	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
15	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
16	Mecklenburg		
		8	107,392
		9	368,616
		12	219,446
17	Nash		
		1	14,765

		2	45.517
		3	27.138
18	Pitt		
		1	55.075
		3	78.723
19	Rockingham		
		5	11.820
		13	80.108
20	Rowan		
		6	70.751
		12	59.589
21	Rutherford		
		10	38.019
		11	24.880
22	Sampson		
		2	32.154
		7	28.007
23	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35.803
24	Union		
		8	37.294
		9	86.383
25	Vance		
		1	28.240
		2	14.714
26	Wake		
		2	75.436
		4	262.726
		13	289.684
27	Wayne		
		1	52.962
		3	60.367
28	Wilson		
		1	36.795
		3	37.019

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Split Precincts
November 14, 2001

Unit	District	Total Population
Craven		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Duplin		
BEULAVILLE		
	3	3,818
	7	192
Guilford		
Greensboro 64		
	6	2,800
	12	889
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
037		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189
Wake		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING 2001 (WRIGHT 3A)



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, November 14, 2001.

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	619579	619,178	-401	-0.06%
2	1	619103	619,178	75	0.01%
3	1	619009	619,178	169	0.03%
4	1	619660	619,178	-482	-0.08%
5	1	619886	619,178	-708	-0.11%
6	1	618314	619,178	864	0.14%
7	1	619163	619,178	15	0.00%
8	1	619935	619,178	-757	-0.12%
9	1	619463	619,178	-285	-0.05%
10	1	619165	619,178	13	0.00%
11	1	618600	619,178	578	0.09%
12	1	618622	619,178	556	0.09%
13	1	618814	619,178	364	0.06%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619579	281287	45.40%	314358	50.74%	4482	0.72%	3123	0.50%	10308	1.66%	6021	0.97%	19376	3.13%
2	619103	382866	61.84%	187784	30.33%	3886	0.63%	6539	1.06%	27747	4.48%	10281	1.66%	48752	7.87%
3	619009	482416	77.93%	103540	16.73%	2653	0.43%	6274	1.01%	14649	2.37%	9477	1.53%	27279	4.41%
4	619660	440006	71.01%	128366	20.72%	1947	0.31%	24287	3.92%	15131	2.44%	9923	1.60%	31193	5.03%
5	619886	554996	89.53%	42185	6.81%	1396	0.23%	5070	0.82%	10841	1.75%	5398	0.87%	22387	3.61%
6	618314	539003	87.17%	53653	8.68%	2558	0.41%	6130	0.99%	11171	1.81%	5799	0.94%	24053	3.89%
7	619163	398723	64.40%	143938	23.25%	53151	8.58%	3343	0.54%	12953	2.09%	7055	1.14%	24469	3.95%
8	619935	401164	64.71%	166747	26.90%	11173	1.80%	11075	1.79%	18755	3.03%	11021	1.78%	40949	6.61%
9	619463	525047	84.76%	64694	10.44%	1861	0.30%	12907	2.08%	8293	1.34%	6661	1.08%	21737	3.51%
10	619165	535878	86.55%	57454	9.28%	1561	0.25%	9523	1.54%	9147	1.48%	5602	0.90%	21476	3.47%
11	618600	563861	91.15%	28627	4.63%	9923	1.60%	3302	0.53%	6497	1.05%	6390	1.03%	16234	2.62%
12	618622	291829	47.17%	278499	45.02%	2520	0.41%	13255	2.14%	22708	3.67%	9811	1.59%	43835	7.09%
13	618814	407580	65.86%	167700	27.10%	2440	0.39%	12844	2.08%	18429	2.98%	9821	1.59%	37223	6.02%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,545	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%Dem	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	262239	73.35%	60877	17.03%	265	0.07%	34115	9.54%	34177	9.56%	105239	29.44%	150594	42.12%	67487	18.88%
2	182394	56.53%	92516	28.68%	385	0.12%	47330	14.67%	37768	11.71%	113591	35.21%	128504	39.83%	42749	13.25%
3	173331	50.19%	118165	34.21%	440	0.13%	53433	15.47%	35624	10.31%	101575	29.41%	151580	43.89%	56591	16.39%
4	218622	48.98%	137502	30.80%	1090	0.24%	89155	19.97%	49776	11.15%	174469	39.09%	180136	40.36%	41988	9.41%
5	152361	38.83%	180599	46.03%	413	0.11%	58993	15.04%	36673	9.35%	122550	31.23%	165934	42.29%	67209	17.13%
6	143481	37.27%	179605	46.66%	447	0.12%	61409	15.95%	34984	9.09%	118559	30.80%	165669	43.04%	65722	17.07%
7	221570	58.16%	107005	28.09%	555	0.15%	51856	13.61%	36004	9.45%	116874	30.68%	164102	43.07%	64018	16.80%
8	184531	51.58%	110410	30.86%	404	0.11%	62445	17.45%	38680	10.81%	132163	36.94%	143158	40.01%	43788	12.24%
9	148405	34.74%	192687	45.11%	576	0.13%	85459	20.01%	36318	8.50%	156611	36.67%	184484	43.19%	49713	11.64%
10	151219	41.26%	155562	42.44%	401	0.11%	59331	16.19%	33812	9.23%	115170	31.42%	158852	43.34%	58679	16.01%
11	200343	45.85%	157782	36.11%	647	0.15%	78200	17.90%	38712	8.86%	119275	27.30%	183643	42.03%	95342	21.82%
12	219203	59.14%	96683	26.08%	449	0.12%	54323	14.66%	42712	11.52%	132732	35.81%	139976	37.77%	55227	14.90%
13	206903	53.98%	111135	28.99%	681	0.18%	64573	16.85%	42711	11.14%	141360	36.88%	147625	38.51%	51616	13.47%
Statewide	2,464,602	49.66%	1,700,528	34.20%	6,753	0.14%	800,622	16.10%	497,951	10.01%	1,650,168	33.19%	2,064,257	41.51%	760,129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118511	69.85%	49566	29.21%	1599	0.94%	104865	64.26%	58328	35.74%	110989	70.05%	47453	29.95%
2	98410	59.26%	65424	39.40%	2227	1.34%	87264	53.86%	74749	46.14%	91688	57.56%	67597	42.44%
3	88313	49.75%	86351	48.65%	2846	1.60%	67194	39.74%	101886	60.26%	75582	46.28%	87747	53.72%
4	136479	59.36%	89066	38.74%	4391	1.91%	125351	56.56%	96274	43.44%	123252	56.66%	94276	43.34%
5	91247	42.75%	118140	55.35%	4042	1.89%	78979	38.38%	126814	61.62%	79833	39.90%	120272	60.10%
6	83710	41.86%	113052	56.53%	3225	1.61%	78296	40.34%	115771	59.66%	70758	37.87%	116110	62.13%
7	114201	61.42%	68630	36.91%	3115	1.68%	94524	53.21%	83109	46.79%	99285	57.55%	73226	42.45%
8	87701	52.96%	73720	44.52%	4168	2.52%	83675	53.09%	73941	46.91%	82838	54.31%	69703	45.69%
9	71087	36.71%	119251	61.57%	3331	1.72%	69895	38.29%	112654	61.71%	67560	38.04%	110033	61.96%
10	78628	42.25%	103924	55.84%	3566	1.92%	69741	38.66%	110675	61.34%	72227	41.17%	103218	58.83%
11	97496	48.64%	97757	48.77%	5193	2.59%	85032	45.25%	102898	54.75%	88363	47.57%	97383	52.43%
12	107391	65.05%	55243	33.46%	2455	1.49%	104716	64.96%	56495	35.04%	102626	65.12%	54965	34.88%
13	119997	59.56%	78011	38.72%	3472	1.72%	112142	57.36%	83369	42.64%	110141	57.77%	80521	42.23%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Split Counties
November 14, 2001

	County	District	Population
1	Alamance		
		6	91,085
		13	39,715
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	125,527
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	33,936
		4	15,393
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	108,056
		7	71,395
		8	123,512
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	14,395
		7	34,668
9	Forsyth		
		5	175,378
		12	130,689
10	Gaston		
		9	164,464
		10	25,901
11	Granville		
		1	11,992
		13	36,506
12	Guilford		
		6	177,085
		12	130,286
		13	113,677
13	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
14	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
15	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
16	Mecklenburg		
		8	107,392
		9	368,616
		12	219,446
17	Nash		
		1	14,765

		2	45.517
		3	27.138
18	Pitt		
		1	55.075
		3	78.723
19	Rockingham		
		5	11.820
		13	80.108
20	Rowan		
		6	70.751
		12	59.589
21	Rutherford		
		10	38.019
		11	24.880
22	Sampson		
		2	32.154
		7	28.007
23	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35.803
24	Union		
		8	37.294
		9	86.383
25	Vance		
		1	28.240
		2	14.714
26	Wake		
		2	75.436
		4	262.726
		13	289.684
27	Wayne		
		1	52.962
		3	60.367
28	Wilson		
		1	36.795
		3	37.019

Proposed Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A)
Split Precincts
November 14, 2001

Unit	District	Total Population
[redacted]en		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
Duplin		
BEULAVILLE		
	3	3,818
	7	192
Guilford		
Greensboro 64		
	6	2,800
	12	889
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
Scotland		
2		
	7	154
	8	3,208
6		
	7	41
	8	1,189
Wake		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 32

COPY

BEFORE: James Black, Speaker

TRANSCRIPT

OF

SESSION

At Raleigh, North Carolina
December 5, 2001 - 1:28 a.m.

Reported by:
Tina M. Kirby, CVR

Capital Reporting
General Court Reporting

Post Office Box 19418
Raleigh, NC 27619
(919) 787-7775

I, Christine (Tina) M. Kirby, was appointed official court reporter to record the Session of the North Carolina House of Representatives held on December 5, 2001, beginning at 1:28 p.m. in the Legislative Building, Raleigh, North Carolina.

SPEAKER BLACK: For concurrence, House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 32. The clerk will read it.

READING CLERK: Committee Substitute for House Bill 32, a Bill to be entitled "An act to Divide North Carolina into Thirteen Congressional Districts."

SPEAKER BLACK: Representative McMahan, you're recognized to explain the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I apologize. I was thinking about public instruction. Anyway, members of the House, what you have before you today -- and I think the map should be on your desk. Have they been passed out or not?

Mr. Speaker, we'd probably like to wait for the maps. Staff said they would pass

them out just when we got to the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: House will be at ease.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Sorry.

[HOUSE AT EASE]

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Mr. Speaker, I could explain this, if you'd like --

SPEAKER BLACK: Go ahead and start.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Members of the House, the maps that you'll be seeing shortly is a Bill being sent back from the Senate asking us for concurrence.

First off, I recommend we do concur. Basically, what's happened is the map that we sent over to the Senate which was adopted by the Senate and accepted by the Senate, it was determined for us that we did have a few-hundred-people variation in some of the districts.

I think in some of the districts we may have gone up as many as a thousand variation. That has been accepted and passed, although the actual law says you need zero deviation, and what happened was,

when the map went over we did have some deviation.

They accepted that map. Now there is evidently some threatened litigation that's occurred because of the deviation problem, so this map simply goes across the state and divides the precincts and the districts to try to get it down to zero deviation.

And in, of course, No. 13, I think it's a few people off because you don't divide the population equally by 13. So that's all it does. We did -- I say all.

One other item we did clear up was something that was an oversight on my part and had never been pointed out to me until after the fact. That is us having Walter Jones living in a district just outside of his district.

We had moved him back in his district of 1997-1998. Somehow the map had gotten drawn where his precincts was outside the district, and this does put Walter Jones back into District 3, which should have been to start with and I did not catch it.

So that's basically what it's about. I ask you to concur with this zero deviation which [inaudible] and be able to forego any litigation that might occur due to this possible problem.

Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wilson, Representative Fitch, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Ask the gentleman from Mecklenburg a question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative McMahan yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Representative McMahan, are you suggesting that because we have now done this to keep litigation that there won't be litigation now on the Congressional map?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Fitch, I have no idea. I really could not know. I do think that it will be very difficult for someone to litigate the

variance issue.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Another question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, I do.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Did I understand you to say that the map before had been done [inaudible] we sent it over to the Senate, and that had been accepted but you thought that this was a better way to try to handle it in order to keep somebody from filing litigation, is that what I understand?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, again, the map that we did send over -- let me just explain. In 1997-1998 we actually included a provision in our Bill that said in the event there's litigation, then it automatically converted to a zero variance by specifying which precincts would be divided.

We failed to do that this time, which is probably an oversight on my part. Then when it was accepted on the other side it did have a variance, and that's what we're

trying to correct.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Follow-up.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: So other than that change, you're indicating that there were no other changes done to Congressional redistricting?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Except Walter Jones, as I explained, putting him in his district.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Can you explain what happened when you -- I'm sorry. Follow-up.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Can you explain what happened when you split precincts further? I know there were a lot of objections to split -- precincts being split. You went in there and split precincts, and you took some people out of one Congressional district and put them in

another Congressional district, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, that's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: And can you tell us approximately how many people you moved from one Congressional district to another Congressional district in order to achieve this end?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: About 5,000 total, which, again, we did 12 districts and a total of about 5,000 across 12 districts.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Follow-up.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Did you ever consider, when doing that, changing the complexity of the situation in the 13th District so that it was more influence -- more of an influence district from what it presently is?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: We simply --

again, this map was apparently acceptable by both bodies. We tried to address this situation as simply as we could and went across and just divided one precinct. And, no, sir, we did not consider that.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: One last question.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Did I understand you to say you moved 5,000 people out of one Congressional district, moved them into another? What did you do to offset, one way or another, the split between Democrat and Republican delegations?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Well, again, we did not move 5,000 in any one district. That was across 12 districts. So I think the most we moved in any district was 1,000 or something like that -- or 400.

And so, again, we did not have any significant effect whatsoever regarding political make-up of the districts.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: So the districts as they went away from the House, the way

they've come back from concurrence, is basically still a 7-6 Republican Congressional split, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: It's the same map we sent over. It's subject to interpretation as to what you think it is.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Pope, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: The gentleman has the floor to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE POPE: Mr. Speaker, members of the House, I agree entirely with my seat mate, Representative McMahan's explanation of the Bill. I do respectfully disagree with the conclusion that we should vote to concur.

This Bill is virtually identical to the one recently passed by this chamber and the State Senate, other than lowering the deviation and Representative -- or Congressman Walter Jones's seat of residence; however, if you thought there were problems

with the original Bill, you should also vote not to concur with this virtually identical Bill before us now.

We had a long debate. I know we don't need a long debate today. But, in brief, I still think the map before us that we're being asked to vote for [inaudible] still violates traditional common sense redistricting criteria.

There is unnecessary fragmenting and splitting up of counties and of precincts, which was not necessary for one man, one vote. Representative Fitch pointed out there are already split precincts that was not done for one man, one vote. It's a map that goes all over the place.

At the same time, this might have been an opportunity to try to re-address the Voting Rights Act, the fact that there could have been and maybe should have been a 13th District just as compact as the districts already in this plan which could have given the black population in a geographic area an opportunity to elect a member to the U.S.

Congress.

And this map does not realize that opportunity. Instead, apparently for partisan reasons, the 13th Congressional district goes from Wake County to Guilford County to accommodate a Senator from Wake County who wants to be a Congressman.

Also, I personally, again, resent the fact that, once again, we have a Senate Committee substitute for a House Bill.

Mr. Chairman -- Mr. Speaker, I'm going to save you time and ask you to rule the germaneness of this Bill for the electoral college. I won't even go through the motions, literally.

But this was an electoral college -- make this [inaudible] Bill the House passed and now it's [inaudible] Congressional redistricting plan. I don't think that's germane under our rules. Again, the Senate [inaudible], I believe Senator Miller commented at the committee he presided at this was so the House can't change it.

This should have been an opportunity

for fair debate and even amendments. If we're going to redo the plan for one man, one vote, then the House should be given the opportunity to consider it, vote on it.

It again came straight to the floor. The House Congressional Redistricting Committee is not even going to have a chance to meet and discuss this.

For all those reasons I think you should still vote no, not to concur. The original plan, I think, was not constitutional, not common sense traditional redistricting criteria. It's a bad plan. If you voted against the first one, vote against this one. If you want a second chance to fix your vote, vote against this one and we can, perhaps, go back and do it right.

And, finally, if we are going to do this, why not go back and do the Legislative plan that has plus or minus 5 percent deviation that's basically packing the Republican-leaning districts with plus population. And that is far more blatant

than the small deviations with the Congressional plan.

So I think you do know how you're going to vote. I appreciate your time, but I do urge you not to concur. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Johnston, Representative Daughtry, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: To speak on the motion.

SPEAKER BLACK: You have the floor to speak on the motion.

REPRESENTATIVE DAUGHTRY: I don't want to echo what Representative Pope just said, although I agree with what he just said. But another reason that I think that we ought not to concur is the article that appeared in the Charlotte paper.

It says that Senator Brad Miller plans to file paperwork this week with the Federal Election Committee to set up a campaign committee. He also established a campaign manager, Kevin Lacount, who worked out of Democratic Party headquarters in recent

months.

And it goes on to say that, according to this article, he used the power of his office to gerrymander a district for him to run in. So if you see the 13th District and you think it's a good district and was drawn correctly, there's also the argument it was drawn so that one person could run for office and win as a Democrat. And for that reason I'm going to vote no in addition to the reasons that Representative Pope mentioned.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the lady from Guilford, Representative Jarrell, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Ask Representative McMahan a question, please.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative McMahan yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, ma'am.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Representative McMahan, looking at this plan, it looks to me like District 13 had picked up more precincts

in Guilford County. It looks like it goes further into Guilford County than the Wright plan did. Can you enlighten me a little bit on that?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Representative Jarrell, I have been assured that there has not been any change like that whatsoever in any of the districts. These changes were made by Gerry Cohen, and he actually spent 12 hours, I think, going through and going across and trying to make this zero deviation, which is very difficult to do.

But as far as us increasing the influence of District 13 in Guilford County, it's actually less. It's actually less as far as the number of people in Guilford County than would be in 13.

But again, we're only talking a few hundred people over the entire district, but it's actually less in Guilford.

REPRESENTATIVE JARRELL: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does

the gentleman from Wilson, Representative Fitch, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: To speak --

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative McMahan said the reason for doing this was to keep from having lawsuits that have been threatened.

This past weekend the NAACP met in Wilson. The president of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People said at that time in response to the Congressional redistricting plan that the way the 13th District is at this time it is the intent of the NAACP to file suit and to lodge a complaint with the Justice Department concerning the drawing of these Congressional lines.

If it is good for the goose to have brought a lawsuit because of the deviation, it's good for the gander to do the same thing. And I tell you that this matter will

make lawsuits rather than stop lawsuits. Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Alamance, Representative Allred, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Will Representative McMahan yield for a question, please?

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative McMahan yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I'd be happy to.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Thank you. It was my understanding that it's always -- that the Supreme Court cases established that it's okay to have a plus or minus 5 percent deviation.

If we're concerned about the Congressional districts having to have a zero deviation, why should we not also be concerned about the State Senate districts and the State House districts having to have a zero deviation?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: It's my understanding it is five percent on Legislative incentive maps, but on the federal Congressional map it's zero.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Further question.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does the gentleman yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Could you tell me what Supreme Court case has determined that the deviation for Congressional districts should be considered differently than deviation for State Senate and State House districts?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: I do know for a fact that is true because I remember doing it in 1997/1998 when we had to basically comply with the zero deviation, although I think there's been maps done -- our map in 1997-1998 had a very small deviation.

But it's the case Baker versus Carr in 1962.

REPRESENTATIVE ALLRED: Thank you.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wayne, Representative Baddour, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Speak on the Bill.

SPEAKER BLACK: You're recognized to speak on the Bill.

REPRESENTATIVE BADDOUR: Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, you know if voting against this Bill would do what Representative Pope and Daughtry said and let us have another crack at Congressional redistricting, you know, it might be tempting to go along and defeat this Bill, and let's go back and see what we can do with Congressional redistricting.

But that is not what's before us. Representative McMahan is exactly right. This is a question of taking care of not all the lawsuits, as Representative Fitch has talked about, but one particular lawsuit that we can head off and perhaps save attorneys' fees for the state.

It's something that we can do. It's

something we ought to do. So I urge you to go ahead and vote for this. If you defeat this Bill, which I don't expect you to, but if you defeat this Bill, nothing will happen to the Congressional redistricting plan we've already passed.

We've already passed it, and we would just be hanging out there with this potential problem we have.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BLACK: For what purpose does Representative Fitch rise?

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Inquiry of Representative McMahan.

SPEAKER BLACK: Does Representative McMahan yield?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, I do.

SPEAKER BLACK: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Representative McMahan, the Congressional plan that went out of here, the Wright plan and the McMahan plan that went over to the Senate and was accepted by the Senate and thus is now ratified, is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, that's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE FITCH: Does this Bill repeal that ratified Bill?

REPRESENTATIVE MCMAHAN: Yes, sir, I think the first sentence makes reference to the fact that it does repeal the original map. Yes.

SPEAKER BLACK: Further discussion, further debate?

Hearing none, the question before the House is concurrence with Senate Committee substitute for House Bill 32. All in favor, vote aye; all opposed, vote no.

[HOUSE VOTING]

SPEAKER BLACK: The clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. Sixty-four having voted in the affirmative and 43 in the negative, the House has concurred with Senate Committee substitute for House Bill 32. It is already enrolled.

[RECORDING ENDED AT 1:52 P.M.]

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Christine M. Kirby, notary public/court reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing session of the Senate was taken and transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the session.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 11th day of December, 2001.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 11, 2003

CHRISTINE M. KIRBY NOTARY * * * PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY N.C.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2001

SESSION LAW 2001-479
HOUSE BILL 32

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO THIRTEEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. Session Law 2001-471 is repealed.

SECTION 2. G.S. 163-201 is rewritten to read:

"**§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.**

(a) For purposes of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in 2002 and every two years thereafter; the State of North Carolina shall be divided into 13 districts as follows:

District 1: Bertie County, Chowan County, Edgecombe County, Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County, Hertford County, Martin County, Northampton County, Pasquotank County, Perquimans County, Warren County, Washington County, Beaufort County: Precinct BLOUNTS CREEK, Precinct AURORA, Precinct CHOCOWINITY, Precinct EDWARD, Precinct PS JONES WARD 3, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 1, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 2; Craven County: Precinct RHEMS, Precinct CLARKS, Precinct JASPER, Precinct COVE CITY, Precinct DOVER, Precinct FORT BARNWELL, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1996; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3998, Block 3999; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1992, Block 1993, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Tract 9613: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1999; Block Group 6:

Block 6047, Block 6048, Block 6049; Precinct GEORGE STREET, Precinct FORT TOTTEN, Precinct H J McDONALD, Precinct GLENBURNIE PARK; Granville County: Precinct CREDLE, Precinct EAST OXFORD, Precinct SALEM, Precinct SOUTH OXFORD, Precinct WEST OXFORD ELEMENTARY; Jones County: Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002; Tract 9802: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054; Precinct TRENTON, Precinct WHITE OAK; Lenoir County: Precinct CONTENTNEA, Precinct VANCE, Precinct KINSTON 1, Precinct KINSTON 2, Precinct KINSTON 3, Precinct KINSTON 5, Precinct KINSTON 6, Precinct KINSTON 7, Precinct KINSTON 8, Precinct MOSELEY HALL, Precinct SAND HILL; Nash County: Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 1, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 2, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 3, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 4; Pitt County: Precinct 3.01, Precinct 4.01, Precinct 5.01, Precinct 9.01: Tract 19: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1018; Block Group 2: Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2048; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033; Precinct 10.01, Precinct 11.01, Precinct 12.01, Precinct 15.01, Precinct 15.03, Precinct 15.04, Precinct 15.06, Precinct 2.00B, Precinct 8.00B, Precinct 15.05A, Precinct 15.05B; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct NORTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 1, Precinct WEST HENDERSON 2, Precinct DABNEY, Precinct MIDDLEBURG, Precinct TOWNSVILLE, Precinct WATKINS, Precinct WILLIAMSBORO; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 7, Precinct Precinct 10, Precinct Precinct 11, Precinct Precinct 12,

Precinct Precinct 13, Precinct Precinct 17, Precinct Precinct 18, Precinct Precinct 19, Precinct Precinct 20, Precinct Precinct 21, Precinct Precinct 22, Precinct Precinct 23, Precinct Precinct 26, Precinct Precinct 27, Precinct Precinct 29; Wilson County: Precinct GARDNERS, Precinct SARATOGA, Precinct TOISNOT, Precinct WILSON A, Precinct WILSON B, Precinct WILSON C, Precinct WILSON E, Precinct WILSON F, Precinct WILSON G, Precinct WILSON H, Precinct WILSON I, Precinct WILSON N, Precinct WILSON Q.

District 2: Franklin County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Chatham County: Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BENNETT, Precinct BONLEE, Precinct GOLDSTON, Precinct THREE RIVERS, Precinct HADLEY: Tract 202: Block Group 3: Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3053, Block 3054; Precinct HARPER'S CROSSROADS, Precinct HICKORY MOUNTAIN, Precinct OAKLAND, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO: Tract 202: Block Group 3: Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3052, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4082, Block 4083; Tract 208: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1999; Block Group 3: Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4998, Block 4999; Precinct EAST PITTSBORO, Precinct EAST SILER CITY, Precinct CENTRAL SILER CITY, Precinct WEST SILER CITY; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 3, Precinct CROSS CREEK 5, Precinct CROSS CREEK 9, Precinct CROSS CREEK 13, Precinct CROSS CREEK 16, Precinct CROSS CREEK 17, Precinct CROSS CREEK 19, Precinct CROSS CREEK 21, Precinct CROSS CREEK 22, Precinct CROSS CREEK 26, Precinct CROSS CREEK 32, Precinct CROSS CREEK 33, Precinct CLIFFDALE WEST, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2009, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056,

Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2071, Block 2072, Block 2073, Block 2074, Block 2075, Block 2076, Block 2077, Block 2078, Block 2079, Block 2080, Block 2081, Block 2082, Block 2083, Block 2084, Block 2085, Block 2086, Block 2087, Block 2088, Block 2089, Block 2090, Block 2091, Block 2092, Block 2093, Block 2094, Block 2095, Block 2096, Block 2097, Block 2098, Block 2099, Block 2100, Block 2997, Block 2998; Tract 35: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1030, Block 1993, Block 1994, Block 1995, Block 1997, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2017, Block 2031, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2998; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4037, Block 4039, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4998; Precinct SPRING LAKE, Precinct WEST AREA; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK: Tract 105.03: Block Group 1: Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022; Tract 106: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2006; Block Group 3: Block 3033; Tract 107: Block Group 3: Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3040, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3047, Block 3055; Tract 108: Block Group 4: Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4059, Block 4060; Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 8, Precinct NASHVILLE, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 10, Precinct GRIFFINS, Precinct JACKSONS, Precinct MANNINGS 1, Precinct MANNINGS 2, Precinct SOUTH WHITAKERS, Precinct BAILEY, Precinct CASTALIA, Precinct DRYWELLS, Precinct FERRELLS, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 1, Precinct NORTH WHITAKERS 2; Sampson County: Precinct CENTRAL CLINTON, Precinct EAST CLINTON, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON: Tract 9706: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037; Tract 9707: Block Group 1: Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1025, Block 1026; Block Group 4: Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4014; Precinct SOUTHWEST CLINTON, Precinct GARLAND, Precinct GIDDENSVILLE, Precinct HARRELLS, Precinct INGOLD, Precinct KEENER, Precinct LAKEWOOD, Precinct NEWTON GROVE, Precinct ROWAN, Precinct TURKEY; Vance County: Precinct EAST HENDERSON 2, Precinct SOUTH HENDERSON 2, Precinct HILLTOP, Precinct KITTRELL, Precinct SANDY SCREEK; Wake County: Precinct 01-19, Precinct 01-20, Precinct 01-21, Precinct 01-22, Precinct 01-23, Precinct 01-26, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1070; Precinct 01-35, Precinct 16-01, Precinct 16-02, Precinct 16-03, Precinct 16-04, Precinct 16-05, Precinct 16-06, Precinct 16-07, Precinct 18-01, Precinct 18-06.

District 3: Camden County, Carteret County, Currituck County, Dare County, Hyde County, Onslow County, Pamlico County, Tyrrell County, Beaufort County: Precinct HUNTERS BRIDGE, Precinct BELHAVEN, Precinct NORTH CREEK, Precinct OLD FORD, Precinct RIVER ROAD, Precinct TRANTERS CREEK, Precinct WOODARDS POND, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct PANTEGO, Precinct PINETOWN, Precinct SURRY BATH, Precinct WASHINGTON WARD 4, Precinct WASHINGTON PARK, Precinct GILEAD; Craven County: Precinct TRENT WOODS, Precinct RIVER BEND, Precinct BRIDGETON, Precinct TRUITT, Precinct ERNUL, Precinct VANCEBORO, Precinct EPWORTH, Precinct GRANTHAM, Precinct CROATAN, Precinct WEST HAVELOCK: Tract 9611:

Block Group 2: Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061; Tract 9612: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1013; Tract 9613: Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4023, Block 4024; Block Group 5: Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017; Block Group 6: Block 6050; Precinct HARLOWE, Precinct FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, Precinct BRICES CREEK, Precinct EAST HAVELOCK, Precinct GROVER C FIELDS, Precinct WEST NEW BERN; Duplin County: Precinct ALBERTSON, Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052, Block 2053; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3042, Block 3043, Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3046, Block 3047, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3061, Block 3062, Block 3063, Block 3064, Block 3065, Block 3066, Block 3067, Block 3068, Block 3069, Block 3070, Block 3071, Block 3072, Block 3073, Block 3074, Block 3075, Block 3076; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4034, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5023, Block 5024; Precinct CALYPSO, Precinct CEDAR FORK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct GLISSON, Precinct WOLFSCRAPE; Jones County: Precinct BEAVER CREEK, Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHINQUAPIN, Precinct POLLOCKSVILLE: Tract 9801: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1999; Precinct TUCKAHOE; Lenoir County: Precinct INSTITUTE, Precinct NEUSE, Precinct WOODINGTON, Precinct FALLING CREEK, Precinct KINSTON 4, Precinct KINSTON 9, Precinct SOUTHWEST, Precinct TRENT 1, Precinct TRENT 2, Precinct PINK HILL 1, Precinct PINK HILL 2; Nash County: Precinct STONY CREEK: Tract 105.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004; Tract 105.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1011; Tract 107: Block Group 3: Block 3039, Block 3046, Block 3048, Block 3049, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058; Tract 108: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4040, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4052, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4061, Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4088, Block 4089, Block 4090, Block 4091, Block 4092, Block 4102, Block 4103; Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 5, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 6, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 7, Precinct ROCKY MOUNT 9,

Precinct COOPERS, Precinct OAK LEVEL, Precinct RED OAK; Pitt County: Precinct 1.01, Precinct 6.01, Precinct 7.01, Precinct 9.01: Tract 18: Block Group 3: Block 3001; Tract 19: Block Group 1: Block 1044; Block Group 2: Block 2001, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047; Precinct 13.01, Precinct 14.02, Precinct 15.09, Precinct 2.00A, Precinct 8.00A, Precinct 11.02A, Precinct 11.02B, Precinct 14.03A, Precinct 14.03B, Precinct 15.07A, Precinct 15.07B, Precinct 15.07C, Precinct 15.08A, Precinct 15.08B, Precinct 15.10A, Precinct 15.10B, Precinct 15.11A, Precinct 15.11B, Precinct 15.12A, Precinct 15.12B; Wayne County: Precinct Precinct 1, Precinct Precinct 2, Precinct Precinct 3, Precinct Precinct 4, Precinct Precinct 5, Precinct Precinct 6, Precinct Precinct 8, Precinct Precinct 9, Precinct Precinct 14, Precinct Precinct 15, Precinct Precinct 16, Precinct Precinct 24, Precinct Precinct 25, Precinct Precinct 28, Precinct Precinct 30; Wilson County: Precinct BLACK CREEK, Precinct CROSSROADS, Precinct OLD FIELDS, Precinct SPRINGHILL, Precinct STANTONSBURG, Precinct TAYLORS, Precinct WILSON D, Precinct WILSON J, Precinct WILSON K, Precinct WILSON L, Precinct WILSON M, Precinct WILSON P.

District 4: Durham County, Orange County, Chatham County: Precinct BYNUM, Precinct HADLEY: Tract 202: Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3039, Block 3040, Block 3041, Block 3050, Block 3051, Block 3055, Block 3056, Block 3057, Block 3058, Block 3059, Block 3060, Block 3996, Block 3999; Precinct EAST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct WEST MANNS CHAPEL, Precinct NEW HOPE, Precinct WEST PITTSBORO: Tract 202: Block Group 3: Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3034, Block 3035; Precinct EAST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS, Precinct WEST WILLIAMS, Precinct NORTH WILLIAMS 2, Precinct EAST WILLIAMS 2; Wake County: Precinct 01-47, Precinct 02-01, Precinct 02-02, Precinct 02-03, Precinct 02-04, Precinct 02-05, Precinct 02-06, Precinct 03-00, Precinct 04-01, Precinct 04-03, Precinct 04-05, Precinct 04-06, Precinct 04-07, Precinct 04-08, Precinct 04-09, Precinct 04-10, Precinct 04-11, Precinct 04-12, Precinct 04-13, Precinct 04-14, Precinct 04-15, Precinct 04-17, Precinct 04-18, Precinct 04-19, Precinct 05-00, Precinct 06-01, Precinct 06-02, Precinct 06-03, Precinct 07-03, Precinct 07-06, Precinct 07-07, Precinct 08-01, Precinct 08-02, Precinct 08-03, Precinct 08-04, Precinct 08-05, Precinct 08-06, Precinct 08-08, Precinct 11-01, Precinct 11-02: Tract 515.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005; Tract 525.03: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024; Tract 525.04: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2026, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2993, Block 2994, Block 2995, Block 2996, Block 2997, Block 2998, Block 2999; Precinct 12-01, Precinct 12-02, Precinct 12-03, Precinct 12-04, Precinct 12-06, Precinct 15-01, Precinct 15-02, Precinct 18-02, Precinct 18-03, Precinct 18-04, Precinct 18-05, Precinct 18-08, Precinct 20-01, Precinct 20-02, Precinct 20-03, Precinct 20-04, Precinct 20-05, Precinct 20-06, Precinct 20-10.

District 5: Alexander County, Alleghany County, Ashe County, Davie County, Stokes County, Surry County, Watauga County, Wilkes County, Yadkin County, Forsyth County: Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 015,

Precinct 021, Precinct 031, Precinct 032, Precinct 034, Precinct 051, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 122, Precinct 123, Precinct 131, Precinct 132, Precinct 133, Precinct 602, Precinct 607, Precinct 701, Precinct 702, Precinct 703, Precinct 704, Precinct 705, Precinct 706, Precinct 707, Precinct 708, Precinct 709, Precinct 801, Precinct 802, Precinct 803, Precinct 804, Precinct 805, Precinct 806, Precinct 807, Precinct 808, Precinct 809, Precinct 901, Precinct 906, Precinct 907, Precinct 908, Precinct 909; Iredell County: Precinct Barringer, Precinct Bethany, Precinct Concord, Precinct Chambersburg, Precinct Cool Springs, Precinct Eagle Mills, Precinct New Hope, Precinct Olin, Precinct Sharpesburg, Precinct Statesville 1, Precinct Statesville 2, Precinct Statesville 3, Precinct Statesville 4, Precinct Statesville 5, Precinct Statesville 6, Precinct Turnersburg, Precinct Union Grove; Rockingham County: Precinct HOGANS: Tract 410.01: Block Group 3: Block 3011; Tract 410.02: Block Group 1: Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1998; Block Group 2: Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046; Precinct HUNTSVILLE, Precinct NEW BETHEL.

District 6: Moore County, Randolph County, Alamance County: Precinct PATTERSON, Precinct COBLE, Precinct MORTON, Precinct FAUCETTE, Precinct ALBRIGHT, Precinct BOONE 5, Precinct CENTRAL BOONE, Precinct NORTH BOONE, Precinct SOUTH BOONE, Precinct WEST BOONE, Precinct GRAHAM 4, Precinct EAST GRAHAM, Precinct SOUTH GRAHAM, Precinct WEST GRAHAM, Precinct NORTH NEWLIN, Precinct SOUTH NEWLIN, Precinct NORTH THOMPSON, Precinct SOUTH THOMPSON, Precinct MELVILLE 3, Precinct NORTH MELVILLE, Precinct SOUTH MELVILLE, Precinct BURLINGTON 4, Precinct BURLINGTON 5, Precinct BURLINGTON 6, Precinct BURLINGTON 9, Precinct WEST BURLINGTON, Precinct BURLINGTON 10; Davidson County: Precinct COTTON GROVE, Precinct DENTON, Precinct EMMONS, Precinct HEALING SPRINGS, Precinct HOLLY GROVE, Precinct LEXINGTON 1, Precinct LEXINGTON 2, Precinct LEXINGTON 3, Precinct LIBERTY, Precinct MIDWAY, Precinct NORTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SILVER HILL, Precinct SILVER VALLEY, Precinct SOUTH DAVIDSON, Precinct SOUTHMONT, Precinct THOMASVILLE 1, Precinct THOMASVILLE 4, Precinct THOMASVILLE 5, Precinct THOMASVILLE 7, Precinct THOMASVILLE 9, Precinct THOMASVILLE 10, Precinct WELCOME; Guilford County: Precinct Greene, Precinct Center Grove 1, Precinct Center Grove 2, Precinct Friendship 1, Precinct Friendship 2, Precinct Friendship 3, Precinct Friendship 4, Precinct Friendship 5, Precinct Greensboro 16, Precinct Greensboro 18, Precinct Greensboro 19, Precinct Greensboro 20, Precinct Greensboro 21, Precinct Greensboro 22, Precinct Greensboro 27, Precinct Greensboro 30, Precinct Greensboro 31, Precinct Greensboro 32, Precinct Greensboro 33, Precinct Greensboro 34, Precinct Greensboro 35, Precinct Greensboro 41, Precinct Greensboro 42, Precinct Greensboro 43, Precinct Greensboro 63, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4048, Block 4049, Block 4050, Block 4051, Block 4052; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1006; Tract 165.03: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1010; Precinct Gibsonville, Precinct High Point 4, Precinct High Point 14, Precinct High Point 15, Precinct High Point 16, Precinct High Point 20, Precinct High Point 21, Precinct High Point 22, Precinct High Point 23, Precinct High Point 24, Precinct High Point 25, Precinct High Point 26, Precinct High Point 27, Precinct Oak Ridge 1, Precinct Oak Ridge 2, Precinct Pleasant Garden 1, Precinct Pleasant Garden 2,

Precinct Rock Creek 1, Precinct Rock Creek 2, Precinct Summerfield 1, Precinct Summerfield 2, Precinct Summerfield 3, Precinct Summerfield 4, Precinct Fentress 2, Precinct Greensboro 40A, Precinct Greensboro 40B, Precinct Jamestown 4, Precinct Jamestown 5, Precinct Jefferson 1, Precinct Jefferson 2: Tract 153: Block Group 3: Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026; Precinct Jefferson 4, Precinct Monroe 1, Precinct Monroe 3, Precinct North Center Grove, Precinct North Deep River, Precinct South Deep River, Precinct South Madison, Precinct Stokesdale, Precinct Sumner 3, Precinct Sumner 4, Precinct North Clay, Precinct North Washington, Precinct South Clay, Precinct South Washington; Rowan County: Precinct Barnhardt Mill, Precinct Blackwelder Park, Precinct Bostian Crossroads, Precinct North China Grove, Precinct South China Grove, Precinct South Locke, Precinct Faith, Precinct Rock Grove, Precinct Granite Quarry, Precinct Hatters Shop, Precinct West Kannapolis, Precinct East Kannapolis, Precinct West Landis, Precinct East Landis, Precinct North Locke, Precinct Morgan I, Precinct Morgan II, Precinct Rockwell, Precinct Gold Knob, Precinct Steele, Precinct Sumner, Precinct Trading Ford, Precinct Bostian School.

District 7: Bladen County, Brunswick County, Columbus County, New Hanover County, Pender County, Robeson County, Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 1, Precinct CROSS CREEK 2, Precinct HOPE MILLS 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 3, Precinct ALDERMAN, Precinct BEAVER DAM, Precinct BLACK RIVER, Precinct CROSS CREEK 11, Precinct CROSS CREEK 15, Precinct CROSS CREEK 23, Precinct CEDAR CREEK, Precinct EASTOVER, Precinct JUDSON/VANDER, Precinct LINDEN, Precinct LONG HILL, Precinct MANCHESTER: Tract 34: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2010, Block 2013, Block 2999; Tract 35: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1009, Block 1013; Tract 36: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2054, Block 2055, Block 2056, Block 2057, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2061, Block 2062, Block 2999; Tract 37: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2043, Block 2995; Precinct SHERWOOD, Precinct STONEY POINT: Tract 16.01: Block Group 3: Block 3022, Block 3024; Tract 31: Block Group 1: Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1065, Block 1995, Block 1996, Block 1997; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2021, Block 2999; Block Group 3: Block 3995, Block 3996; Tract 32.01: Block Group 3: Block 3027, Block 3028; Precinct STEDMAN, Precinct WADE; Duplin County: Precinct BEULAVILLE: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5021, Block 5022; Precinct CYPRESS CREEK, Precinct CHARITY, Precinct FAISON, Precinct HALLSVILLE, Precinct KENANSVILLE, Precinct LOCKLIN, Precinct MAGNOLIA, Precinct ROCKFISH, Precinct ROSE HILL, Precinct SMITH CABIN, Precinct WALLACE, Precinct WARSAW; Sampson County: Precinct AUTRYVILLE, Precinct CLEMENT, Precinct NORTHEAST CLINTON: Tract 9706: Block Group 1: Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028; Tract 9707: Block Group 2: Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026,

Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022; Block Group 4: Block 4005, Block 4006; Precinct WEST CLINTON, Precinct HERRING, Precinct KITTY FORK, Precinct MINGO, Precinct PLAINVIEW, Precinct ROSEBORO, Precinct SALEMBURG, Precinct WESTBROOK; Scotland County: Precinct 2: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1103, Block 1106, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1113; Precinct 6: Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1094, Block 1095, Block 1101, Block 1102, Block 1107, Block 1111, Block 1112, Block 1121, Block 1122.

District 8: Anson County, Hoke County, Montgomery County, Richmond County, Stanly County, Cabarrus County: Precinct 0101, Precinct 0102, Precinct 0103, Precinct 0104, Precinct 0201, Precinct 0202, Precinct 0203, Precinct 0205, Precinct 0206, Precinct 0207, Precinct 0401, Precinct 0402, Precinct 0403, Precinct 0404, Precinct 0405, Precinct 0406, Precinct 0407, Precinct 0408, Precinct 0409, Precinct 0410, Precinct 0500, Precinct 0600, Precinct 0700, Precinct 0800, Precinct 0900, Precinct 1000, Precinct 1101, Precinct 1102, Precinct 1201, Precinct 1202, Precinct 1203, Precinct 1204, Precinct 1205, Precinct 1206, Precinct 1207, Precinct 1208, Precinct 1209, Precinct 1210, Precinct 1211, Precinct 1212; Cumberland County: Precinct CROSS CREEK 4, Precinct CROSS CREEK 6, Precinct CROSS CREEK 7, Precinct CROSS CREEK 8, Precinct CUMBERLAND 1, Precinct CUMBERLAND 2, Precinct CUMBERLAND 3, Precinct HOPE MILLS 1, Precinct HOPE MILLS 3, Precinct MORGANTON ROAD, Precinct PEARCES MILL 2, Precinct PEARCES MILL 4, Precinct ARRAN HILLS, Precinct AUMAN, Precinct BRENTWOOD, Precinct CROSS CREEK 10, Precinct CROSS CREEK 12, Precinct CROSS CREEK 14, Precinct CROSS CREEK 18, Precinct CROSS CREEK 20, Precinct CROSS CREEK 24, Precinct CROSS CREEK 25, Precinct CROSS CREEK 27, Precinct CROSS CREEK 28, Precinct CROSS CREEK 29, Precinct CROSS CREEK 30, Precinct CROSS CREEK 31, Precinct CROSS CREEK 34, Precinct LAKE RIM, Precinct MONTIBELLO, Precinct STONEY POINT: Tract 16.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043; Block Group 3: Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3015, Block 3018; Tract 31: Block Group 1: Block 1005, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1998, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2020, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2998; Tract 32.01: Block Group 1: Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1028, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1998, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022; Block Group 3: Block 3003, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3034; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 002, Precinct 004, Precinct 005, Precinct 006, Precinct 007, Precinct 015, Precinct 017, Precinct 029, Precinct 033, Precinct 034, Precinct 045, Precinct 046, Precinct 061, Precinct 062, Precinct 063, Precinct 064, Precinct 084, Precinct 095, Precinct 108, Precinct 109, Precinct 117, Precinct 123, Precinct 124, Precinct 237, Precinct 130, Precinct 141, Precinct 204, Precinct 205; Scotland County: Precinct 1, Precinct 2: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1023, Block 1028, Block 1029,

Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1100, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039; Block Group 3: Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3013, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3023, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4015, Block 4016, Block 4017, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4024, Block 4025, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4030, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033; Block Group 5: Block 5000, Block 5001, Block 5002, Block 5003, Block 5004, Block 5005, Block 5006, Block 5007, Block 5008, Block 5009, Block 5010, Block 5011, Block 5012, Block 5013, Block 5014, Block 5015, Block 5016, Block 5017, Block 5018, Block 5019, Block 5020, Block 5021, Block 5022, Block 5023, Block 5024, Block 5025, Block 5026, Block 5027, Block 5028, Block 5029; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1114, Block 1115, Block 1116, Block 1117, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120, Block 1996; Precinct 3, Precinct 4, Precinct 5, Precinct 6: Tract 103: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2040, Block 2041; Tract 104: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1041, Block 1042, Block 1043, Block 1044, Block 1045, Block 1046, Block 1047, Block 1048, Block 1049, Block 1050, Block 1051, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1054, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1058, Block 1059, Block 1060, Block 1061, Block 1062, Block 1063, Block 1064, Block 1065, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1071, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1078, Block 1079, Block 1080, Block 1081, Block 1082, Block 1083, Block 1084, Block 1085, Block 1086, Block 1087, Block 1088, Block 1089, Block 1090, Block 1091, Block 1092, Block 1093, Block 1096, Block 1097, Block 1098, Block 1099, Block 1100, Block 1123, Block 1124, Block 1125, Block 1126, Block 1127, Block 1128, Block 1129, Block 1130, Block 1131, Block 1132, Block 1133, Block 1134, Block 1135, Block 1136, Block 1137, Block 1997, Block 1998, Block 1999; Precinct 7, Precinct 8, Precinct 9, Precinct 10; Union County: Precinct 01, Precinct 02, Precinct 03, Precinct 04, Precinct 08, Precinct 09, Precinct 10, Precinct 11, Precinct 25, Precinct 26, Precinct 27, Precinct 36, Precinct 43.

District 9: Gaston County: Precinct York Chester, Precinct Victory, Precinct Pleasant Ridge, Precinct Health Center, Precinct Myrtle, Precinct Highland, Precinct Wood Hill, Precinct Grier, Precinct Sherwood, Precinct Armstrong, Precinct Flint Grove, Precinct Ranlo, Precinct Gardner Park, Precinct Robinson 1, Precinct Gaston Day, Precinct Robinson 2, Precinct Ashbrook, Precinct South Gastonia, Precinct Bessemer City 1, Precinct Bessemer City 2, Precinct Belmont 1, Precinct Belmont 2, Precinct Belmont 3, Precinct Catawba Heights, Precinct Southpoint, Precinct Cramerton, Precinct New Hope, Precinct McAdenville, Precinct Union, Precinct Lowell, Precinct Landers Chapel: Tract 303: Block Group 1: Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1995; Tract 304: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2048, Block 2050, Block 2995, Block 2996; Tract 305: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1034, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1999; Precinct High Shoals, Precinct Alexis, Precinct Dallas 1, Precinct Dallas 2, Precinct Lucia, Precinct Stanley 1, Precinct Stanley 2, Precinct Mt Holly 1, Precinct Mt Holly 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 001, Precinct 008, Precinct 009, Precinct 010, Precinct 018, Precinct 019, Precinct 020, Precinct 021, Precinct 032, Precinct 035, Precinct 036, Precinct 037, Precinct 038, Precinct 047, Precinct 048, Precinct 049, Precinct 050, Precinct 051, Precinct 057, Precinct 058, Precinct 059, Precinct 065, Precinct 066, Precinct 067, Precinct 068, Precinct 069, Precinct 070, Precinct 071, Precinct 072, Precinct 073, Precinct 074, Precinct 075, Precinct 076, Precinct 077: Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2008, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043, Block 2044, Block 2045, Block 2046, Block 2047, Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050; Precinct 083, Precinct 085, Precinct 086, Precinct 087, Precinct 088, Precinct 089, Precinct 090, Precinct 091, Precinct 092, Precinct 093, Precinct 094, Precinct 096, Precinct 097, Precinct 099, Precinct 100, Precinct 101, Precinct 102, Precinct 103, Precinct 106, Precinct 110, Precinct 111, Precinct 112, Precinct 113, Precinct 114, Precinct 115, Precinct 116, Precinct 118, Precinct 119, Precinct 120, Precinct 121, Precinct 122, Precinct 125, Precinct 128, Precinct 225, Precinct 226, Precinct 227, Precinct 229, Precinct 230, Precinct 231, Precinct 232, Precinct 233, Precinct 234, Precinct 235, Precinct 236, Precinct 238, Precinct 240, Precinct 241, Precinct 242, Precinct 243, Precinct 129, Precinct 131, Precinct 133, Precinct 134, Precinct 136, Precinct 137, Precinct 139, Precinct 140, Precinct 142, Precinct 143, Precinct 144, Precinct 200: Tract 59.01: Block Group 3: Block 3013, Block 3023, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3993, Block 3996, Block 3997; Block Group 4: Block 4005, Block 4006, Block 4007, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4999; Precinct 201, Precinct 202, Precinct 203, Precinct 207, Precinct 208, Precinct 209, Precinct 214, Precinct 215, Precinct 216, Precinct 217, Precinct 218, Precinct 219, Precinct 220, Precinct 221, Precinct 222, Precinct 223, Precinct 224; Union County: Precinct 05, Precinct 06, Precinct 07, Precinct 12, Precinct 13, Precinct 14, Precinct 15, Precinct 16, Precinct 17, Precinct 18, Precinct 19, Precinct 20, Precinct 21, Precinct 22, Precinct 23, Precinct 24, Precinct 28, Precinct 29, Precinct 30, Precinct 31, Precinct 32, Precinct 33, Precinct 34, Precinct 35, Precinct 37, Precinct 38, Precinct 39, Precinct 40, Precinct 41, Precinct 42.

District 10: Avery County, Burke County, Caldwell County, Catawba County, Cleveland County, Lincoln County, Mitchell County, Gaston County: Precinct Forest Heights, Precinct Crowders Mountain, Precinct Tryon, Precinct Landers Chapel: Tract 305: Block Group 1: Block 1002, Block 1011, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1033, Block 1035; Precinct Cherryville 1, Precinct Cherryville 2, Precinct Cherryville 3; Iredell County: Precinct Coddle Creek 1, Precinct Coddle Creek 2, Precinct Coddle Creek 3, Precinct Coddle Creek 4,

Precinct Davidson 1, Precinct Davidson 2, Precinct Fallstown, Precinct Shiloh; Rutherford County: Precinct Bostic, Precinct Camp Creek, Precinct Caroleen, Precinct Chimney Rock 1, Precinct Chimney Rock 2, Precinct Cliffside, Precinct Duncans Creek, Precinct Ellenboro, Precinct Forest City 2, Precinct Gilkey, Precinct Golden Valley, Precinct Green Hill: Tract 9602: Block Group 1: Block 1084, Block 1085; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042, Block 2043; Block Group 3: Block 3046, Block 3048, Block 3052, Block 3053, Block 3054, Block 3055; Block Group 4: Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4007, Block 4008, Block 4009, Block 4010, Block 4011, Block 4012, Block 4013, Block 4014, Block 4018, Block 4019, Block 4020, Block 4021, Block 4022, Block 4023, Block 4025, Block 4030, Block 4999; Precinct Haynes, Precinct Morgan, Precinct Mount Vernon, Precinct Sandy Mush, Precinct Sunshine.

District 11: Buncombe County, Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Haywood County, Henderson County, Jackson County, McDowell County, Macon County, Madison County, Polk County, Swain County, Transylvania County, Yancey County, Rutherford County: Precinct Danieltown, Precinct Forest City 1, Precinct Green Hill: Tract 9602: Block Group 3: Block 3044, Block 3045, Block 3051; Block Group 4: Block 4017, Block 4024, Block 4026, Block 4027, Block 4028, Block 4029, Block 4031, Block 4032, Block 4033, Block 4035, Block 4036, Block 4037, Block 4038, Block 4039, Block 4040, Block 4041, Block 4042, Block 4043, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046; Tract 9603: Block Group 2: Block 2162; Tract 9604: Block Group 1: Block 1049; Block Group 2: Block 2006, Block 2007; Tract 9605: Block Group 1: Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1012, Block 1034, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1048, Block 1052, Block 1053, Block 1055, Block 1056, Block 1057, Block 1999; Precinct Rutherfordton 1, Precinct Rutherfordton 2, Precinct Spindale, Precinct Sulpher Springs, Precinct Union.

District 12: Cabarrus County: Precinct 0204, Precinct 0300; Davidson County: Precinct ABBOTTS CREEK, Precinct ARCADIA, Precinct BOONE, Precinct CENTRAL, Precinct GUMTREE, Precinct LEXINGTON 4, Precinct WARD 1, Precinct WARD 2, Precinct WARD 3, Precinct WARD 4, Precinct WARD 5, Precinct WARD 6, Precinct REEDS YADKIN COLLEGE, Precinct REEDY CREEK, Precinct THOMASVILLE 2, Precinct THOMASVILLE 3, Precinct THOMASVILLE 8, Precinct TYRO, Precinct WALLBURG, Precinct WEST ARCADIA; Forsyth County: Precinct 033, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 083, Precinct 101, Precinct 201, Precinct 203, Precinct 204, Precinct 205, Precinct 206, Precinct 207, Precinct 301, Precinct 302, Precinct 303, Precinct 304, Precinct 305, Precinct 306, Precinct 401, Precinct 402, Precinct 403, Precinct 404, Precinct 405, Precinct 501, Precinct 502, Precinct 503, Precinct 504, Precinct 505, Precinct 506, Precinct 507, Precinct 601, Precinct 603, Precinct 604, Precinct 605, Precinct 606, Precinct 902, Precinct 903, Precinct 904, Precinct 905; Guilford County: Precinct HP, Precinct Greensboro 4, Precinct Greensboro 46, Precinct Greensboro 52, Precinct Greensboro 53, Precinct Greensboro 54, Precinct Greensboro 55, Precinct Greensboro 57, Precinct Greensboro 59: Tract 126.09: Block Group 1: Block 1030, Block 1031, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037; Block Group 2: Block 2053, Block 2054, Block 2055; Tract 165.02: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006; Precinct Greensboro 61, Precinct Greensboro 62, Precinct Greensboro 64: Tract 160.04: Block Group 4: Block 4038, Block 4044, Block 4045, Block 4046, Block 4047, Block 4053, Block 4054, Block 4055, Block 4056, Block 4057, Block 4058, Block 4059, Block 4060, Block 4061,

Block 4062, Block 4063, Block 4064, Block 4065, Block 4066, Block 4067, Block 4068, Block 4069, Block 4071; Tract 162.01: Block Group 2: Block 2043, Block 2058, Block 2059, Block 2060, Block 2062, Block 2063, Block 2064, Block 2065, Block 2066, Block 2067, Block 2068, Block 2069, Block 2070, Block 2077, Block 2078; Tract 162.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1040, Block 1133, Block 1147, Block 1148; Tract 164.03: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1029; Precinct Greensboro 65, Precinct Greensboro 66, Precinct Greensboro 67, Precinct Greensboro 68, Precinct Greensboro 69, Precinct Greensboro 70, Precinct Greensboro 71, Precinct Greensboro 73, Precinct Greensboro 74, Precinct Greensboro 75, Precinct High Point 1, Precinct High Point 2, Precinct High Point 3, Precinct High Point 5, Precinct High Point 6, Precinct High Point 7, Precinct High Point 8, Precinct High Point 9, Precinct High Point 10, Precinct High Point 11, Precinct High Point 12, Precinct High Point 13, Precinct High Point 17, Precinct High Point 18, Precinct High Point 19, Precinct Fentress 1, Precinct Jamestown 1, Precinct Jamestown 2, Precinct Jamestown 3, Precinct Jefferson 3, Precinct Sumner 1, Precinct Sumner 2; Mecklenburg County: Precinct 003, Precinct 011, Precinct 012, Precinct 013, Precinct 014, Precinct 016, Precinct 022, Precinct 023, Precinct 024, Precinct 025, Precinct 026, Precinct 027, Precinct 028, Precinct 030, Precinct 031, Precinct 039, Precinct 040, Precinct 041, Precinct 042, Precinct 043, Precinct 044, Precinct 052, Precinct 053, Precinct 054, Precinct 055, Precinct 056, Precinct 060, Precinct 077: Tract 38.04: Block Group 1: Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2012; Tract 58.06: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1026, Block 1027, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1077, Block 1079, Block 1999; Tract 59.05: Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007; Precinct 078, Precinct 079, Precinct 080, Precinct 081, Precinct 082, Precinct 098, Precinct 104, Precinct 105, Precinct 107, Precinct 126, Precinct 127, Precinct 228, Precinct 239, Precinct 132, Precinct 135, Precinct 138, Precinct 200: Tract 59.01: Block Group 2: Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2035, Block 2036; Block Group 3: Block 3000, Block 3001, Block 3002, Block 3003, Block 3004, Block 3005, Block 3006, Block 3007, Block 3008, Block 3009, Block 3010, Block 3011, Block 3012, Block 3014, Block 3015, Block 3016, Block 3017, Block 3018, Block 3019, Block 3020, Block 3021, Block 3022, Block 3024, Block 3025, Block 3026, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3036, Block 3037, Block 3038, Block 3994, Block 3995, Block 3998, Block 3999; Block Group 4: Block 4000, Block 4001, Block 4002, Block 4003, Block 4004, Block 4008, Block 4009; Precinct 206, Precinct 210, Precinct 211, Precinct 212, Precinct 213; Rowan County: Precinct Bradshaw, Precinct Cleveland, Precinct East Enochville, Precinct Franklin, Precinct Milford Hills County, Precinct East Spencer, Precinct Mount Ulla, Precinct Scotch Irish, Precinct Spencer, Precinct Unity, Precinct West Ward II, Precinct West Ward I, Precinct South Ward, Precinct North Ward I, Precinct East Ward I, Precinct West Innes, Precinct North Ward II, Precinct Milford Hills City, Precinct West Ward III, Precinct East Ward II, Precinct West Enochville.

District 13: Caswell County, Person County, Alamance County: Precinct PLEASANT GROVE, Precinct HAW RIVER, Precinct GRAHAM 3, Precinct NORTH GRAHAM, Precinct BURLINGTON 7, Precinct BURLINGTON 8, Precinct EAST BURLINGTON, Precinct NORTH BURLINGTON, Precinct SOUTH BURLINGTON; Granville County: Precinct ANTIOCH, Precinct BEREA, Precinct

BRASSFIELD, Precinct BUTNER, Precinct CORINTH, Precinct CREEDMOOR, Precinct OAK HILL, Precinct SASSAFRAS FORK, Precinct TALLY HO; Guilford County: Precinct Center Grove 3, Precinct Greensboro 1, Precinct Greensboro 2, Precinct Greensboro 3, Precinct Greensboro 5, Precinct Greensboro 6, Precinct Greensboro 7, Precinct Greensboro 9, Precinct Greensboro 10, Precinct Greensboro 11, Precinct Greensboro 12, Precinct Greensboro 13, Precinct Greensboro 14, Precinct Greensboro 15, Precinct Greensboro 17, Precinct Greensboro 23, Precinct Greensboro 24, Precinct Greensboro 25, Precinct Greensboro 26, Precinct Greensboro 28, Precinct Greensboro 29, Precinct Greensboro 36, Precinct Greensboro 37, Precinct Greensboro 38, Precinct Greensboro 39, Precinct Greensboro 44, Precinct Greensboro 45, Precinct Greensboro 47, Precinct Greensboro 48, Precinct Greensboro 49, Precinct Greensboro 50, Precinct Greensboro 51, Precinct Greensboro 56, Precinct Greensboro 58, Precinct Greensboro 59: Tract 126.09: Block Group 1: Block 1024, Block 1025, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1032, Block 1033, Block 1034, Block 1038, Block 1039; Block Group 2: Block 2048, Block 2049, Block 2050, Block 2051, Block 2052; Precinct Greensboro 60, Precinct Greensboro 72, Precinct Greensboro 8, Precinct Jefferson 2: Tract 111.02: Block Group 2: Block 2000; Tract 127.07: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Tract 128.03: Block Group 1: Block 1018, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1022, Block 1023, Block 1024, Block 1028, Block 1029, Block 1030, Block 1032, Block 1035, Block 1036, Block 1037, Block 1038, Block 1039, Block 1042, Block 1049, Block 1051, Block 1055, Block 1066, Block 1067, Block 1069, Block 1070, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2007, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2038; Tract 153: Block Group 3: Block 3018, Block 3021, Block 3027, Block 3028, Block 3029, Block 3030, Block 3031, Block 3032, Block 3033, Block 3034, Block 3035, Block 3036; Precinct Monroe 2, Precinct North Madison; Rockingham County: Precinct BETHLEHEM, Precinct CENTRAL AREA, Precinct DRAPER, Precinct DAN VALLEY, Precinct HOGANS: Tract 410.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1013, Block 1019, Block 1020, Block 1021, Block 1023, Block 1999; Block Group 2: Block 2001, Block 2008; Tract 411: Block Group 4: Block 4026, Block 4027; Precinct IRONWORKS, Precinct MAYFIELD, Precinct MAYODAN, Precinct MARTINS, Precinct OREGON HILL, Precinct PRICE, Precinct RUFFIN, Precinct SHILOH, Precinct SIMPSONVILLE, Precinct STONEVILLE, Precinct WENTWORTH, Precinct WILLIAMSBURG, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 1, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 2, Precinct LEAKSVILLE 3, Precinct MADISON 1, Precinct MADISON 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 1, Precinct REIDSVILLE 2, Precinct REIDSVILLE 3, Precinct REIDSVILLE 4, Precinct REIDSVILLE 5, Precinct REIDSVILLE 6, Precinct SPRAY 1; Wake County: Precinct 01-01, Precinct 01-02, Precinct 01-03, Precinct 01-04, Precinct 01-05, Precinct 01-06, Precinct 01-07, Precinct 01-09, Precinct 01-10, Precinct 01-11, Precinct 01-12, Precinct 01-13, Precinct 01-14, Precinct 01-15, Precinct 01-16, Precinct 01-17, Precinct 01-18, Precinct 01-25, Precinct 01-27: Tract 501: Block Group 1: Block 1067, Block 1068, Block 1069, Block 1071, Block 1072, Block 1073, Block 1074, Block 1075, Block 1076, Block 1077, Block 1078, Block 1103, Block 1104, Block 1105, Block 1106, Block 1107, Block 1108, Block 1109, Block 1110, Block 1111, Block 1118, Block 1119, Block 1120; Tract 510: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014, Block 2015, Block 2016, Block 2017, Block 2018, Block 2019, Block 2020, Block 2021, Block 2022, Block 2023, Block 2024, Block 2025, Block 2026, Block 2027, Block 2028, Block 2029, Block 2030, Block 2031, Block 2032, Block 2033, Block 2034, Block 2035, Block 2036, Block 2037, Block 2038, Block 2039, Block 2040, Block 2041, Block 2042; Tract 522.01: Block Group 1: Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008,

Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1012, Block 1013, Block 1014, Block 1015, Block 1016, Block 1017, Block 1022; Block Group 2: Block 2000, Block 2001, Block 2002, Block 2003, Block 2004, Block 2005, Block 2006, Block 2007, Block 2008, Block 2009, Block 2010, Block 2011, Block 2012, Block 2013, Block 2014; Tract 522.02: Block Group 1: Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010; Tract 523.02: Block Group 1: Block 1000, Block 1001, Block 1002, Block 1003, Block 1004, Block 1005, Block 1006, Block 1007, Block 1008, Block 1009, Block 1010, Block 1011, Block 1027, Block 1028, Block 1029; Precinct 01-28, Precinct 01-29, Precinct 01-30, Precinct 01-31, Precinct 01-32, Precinct 01-33, Precinct 01-34, Precinct 01-36, Precinct 01-37, Precinct 01-38, Precinct 01-39, Precinct 01-40, Precinct 01-41, Precinct 01-42, Precinct 01-43, Precinct 01-44, Precinct 01-45, Precinct 01-46, Precinct 01-48, Precinct 01-49, Precinct 01-51, Precinct 04-02, Precinct 04-04, Precinct 04-16, Precinct 07-01, Precinct 07-02, Precinct 07-04, Precinct 07-05, Precinct 07-09, Precinct 07-10, Precinct 07-11, Precinct 07-12, Precinct 09-01, Precinct 09-02, Precinct 10-01, Precinct 10-02, Precinct 10-03, Precinct 10-04, Precinct 11-02: Tract 525.04: Block Group 2: Block 2021, Block 2027, Block 2030, Block 2041; Precinct 13-01, Precinct 13-02, Precinct 13-03, Precinct 14-01, Precinct 14-02, Precinct 17-01, Precinct 17-02, Precinct 17-03, Precinct 17-04, Precinct 17-05, Precinct 17-06, Precinct 17-07, Precinct 19-01, Precinct 19-02, Precinct 19-03, Precinct 19-04, Precinct 19-05, Precinct 19-06, Precinct 19-07, Precinct 19-08.

(b) The names and boundaries of precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 2000 United States Census. Boundaries are as shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files, with modifications made by the Legislative Services Office on its computer database as of May 1, 2001, to reflect precincts divided or renamed as outlined in subsection (c) of this section. However, in Robeson County PHILADEPLUS is, in fact, PHILADELPHUS, and in Vance County SANDY SCREEK is, in fact, SANDY CREEK. If the boundary line between Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties in the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files conflicts with that provided by Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429, Section 1 of Session Law 1998-15 as rewritten by Session Law 2001-429 prevails to the extent of the conflict.

(c) The Legislative Services Office modified on its computer database some of the precincts shown on the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER Files to reflect precincts divided or renamed by county boards of elections after the TIGER Files were completed. As a result, precincts are shown differently on the Legislative Services Office computer database from the TIGER Files in the following counties:

(1) Buncombe County:
   a. Precinct Asheville 4 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 4 and Asheville 28.
   b. Precinct Asheville 22 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 22 and Asheville 27.
   c. Precinct Asheville 19 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Asheville 19 and Asheville 29.
   d. Precinct Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU in TIGER is shown as Precincts Riceville Swannanoa 2 CRU and Riceville Swannanoa CRU 2.
   e. Precinct Black Mountain 3 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Black Mountain 3 and Black Mountain 4.

(2) Cabarrus County:
   a. Precinct 0202 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 0202 and 0207.
   b. Precinct 1209 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 1209 and 1212.

(3) Caldwell County: Precinct Lovelady in TIGER is shown as Precincts Lovelady 1 and Lovelady 2.

(4) Chatham County:
   a. Precinct North Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts North Williams and North Williams 2.
   b. Precinct East Williams in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Williams and East Williams 2.

(5) Craven County: Precinct Havelock in TIGER is shown as Precincts Havelock East and Havelock West.

(6) Franklin County: Precinct Harris in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Harris and West Harris.

(7) Guilford County: Precinct Greensboro 40 in TIGER is shown as Precincts Greensboro 40A and Greensboro 40B.

(8) Johnston County: Precinct East Clayton in TIGER is shown as Precincts East Clayton and South Clayton.

(9) Orange County:
   a. Precinct Frank Porter Graham in TIGER is renamed Precinct Damascus 1.
   b. Precinct Dogwood Acres in TIGER is shown as Precincts Dogwood Acres and Damascus 2.

(10) Rowan County:
   a. Precinct Bostian Crossroads in TIGER is shown as Precincts Bostian Crossroads and Rock Grove.
   b. Precinct Enochville in TIGER is shown as Precincts Enochville and West Enochville.

(11) Wake County:
   a. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.
   b. Precinct 07-06 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-06 and 07-11.
   c. Precinct 10-01 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-01 and 10-04.
   d. Precinct 10-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 10-02 and 10-03.
   e. Precinct 01-43 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-43 and 01-51.
   f. Precinct 07-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 07-02 and 07-12.
   g. Precinct 08-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 08-04 and 08-08.
   h. Precinct 12-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 12-02 and 12-06.
   i. Precinct 18-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 18-03 and 18-08.
   j. Precinct 19-02 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-02 and 19-06.
   k. Precinct 19-03 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-03 and 19-07.
   l. Precinct 19-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 19-04 and 19-08.
   m. Precinct 20-04 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 20-04 and 20-10.
   n. Precinct 01-32 in TIGER is shown as Precincts 01-32 and 01-49.

(d) If any precinct boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a congressional district, which shall remain the same.

(e) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

(1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district.

(2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 2000 United States Census.

(3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

SECTION 3. This act is effective when it becomes law.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 5th day of December, 2001.

s/ Beverly E. Perdue
President of the Senate

s/ James B. Black
Speaker of the House of Representatives

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A Zero Deviation)
Ideal versus Actual Populations based on 2000 Census Results

Congress	Members	2000 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
2	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
3	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
4	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
5	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
6	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
7	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
8	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
9	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
10	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
11	1	619177	619,178	-1	0.00%
12	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%
13	1	619178	619,178	0	0.00%

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A Zero Deviation) - Voter Registration by Congressional District

	Party								Age							
District	D	%D	R	%R	L	%L	U	%U	18 - 25	%18 - 25	26 - 40	%26 - 40	41 - 65	%41 - 65	66 up	%66 up
1	261741	73.33%	60827	17.04%	263	0.07%	34101	9.55%	34107	9.56%	105089	29.44%	150378	42.13%	67348	18.87%
2	182359	56.52%	92613	28.71%	386	0.12%	47259	14.65%	37770	11.71%	113551	35.20%	128463	39.82%	42814	13.27%
3	173746	50.25%	118142	34.17%	441	0.13%	53434	15.45%	35681	10.32%	101668	29.40%	151721	43.88%	56708	16.40%
4	218504	49.01%	137240	30.78%	1090	0.24%	89020	19.97%	49718	11.15%	174416	39.12%	179788	40.32%	41934	9.41%
5	152181	38.82%	180482	46.04%	412	0.11%	58913	15.03%	36637	9.35%	122430	31.23%	165772	42.29%	67148	17.13%
6	143729	37.29%	179806	46.65%	447	0.12%	61490	15.95%	35030	9.09%	118718	30.80%	165896	43.04%	65824	17.08%
7	221482	58.14%	106985	28.09%	555	0.15%	51899	13.62%	36011	9.45%	116892	30.69%	164106	43.08%	63918	16.78%
8	184358	51.59%	110229	30.85%	404	0.11%	62360	17.45%	38638	10.81%	132015	36.94%	142946	40.00%	43758	12.24%
9	148362	34.74%	192629	45.11%	576	0.13%	85441	20.01%	36302	8.50%	156559	36.66%	184435	43.19%	49712	11.64%
10	151194	41.26%	155545	42.44%	401	0.11%	59329	16.19%	33804	9.22%	115186	31.43%	158829	43.34%	58654	16.00%
11	200492	45.85%	157923	36.11%	647	0.15%	78255	17.89%	38751	8.86%	119366	27.30%	183800	42.03%	95399	21.81%
12	219459	59.14%	96789	26.08%	449	0.12%	54372	14.65%	42761	11.52%	132910	35.82%	140133	37.77%	55257	14.89%
13	206995	53.94%	111318	29.01%	682	0.18%	64749	16.87%	42741	11.14%	141368	36.84%	147990	38.56%	51655	13.46%
Statewide	2484602	49.56%	1700528	33.70%	6753	0.14%	800622	16.10%	497951	10.01%	1650168	33.19%	2064257	41.51%	760129	15.29%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L = Libertarian
U = Unaffiliated

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3 Zero Deviation) - District Populations by Race and Ethnicity
Based on 2000 Census Results

		Race												Ethnicity	
District	Total	White	%White	Black	%Black	AI	%AI	A/PI	%Asian	Other	%Other	MR	%MR	Hispanic	%Hisp
1	619178	281351	45.44%	313958	50.71%	4480	0.72%	3118	0.50%	10289	1.66%	5982	0.97%	19297	3.12%
2	619178	382668	61.80%	187997	30.36%	3895	0.63%	6547	1.06%	27786	4.49%	10285	1.66%	48820	7.88%
3	619178	482157	77.87%	103912	16.78%	2655	0.43%	6279	1.01%	14668	2.37%	9507	1.54%	27358	4.42%
4	619178	439558	70.99%	128354	20.73%	1950	0.31%	24253	3.92%	15135	2.44%	9928	1.60%	31178	5.04%
5	619178	554435	89.54%	42047	6.79%	1394	0.23%	5070	0.82%	10841	1.75%	5391	0.87%	22373	3.61%
6	619178	539810	87.18%	53683	8.67%	2560	0.41%	6143	0.99%	11174	1.80%	5808	0.94%	24057	3.89%
7	619178	398888	64.42%	143800	23.22%	53176	8.59%	3335	0.54%	12912	2.09%	7067	1.14%	24411	3.94%
8	619178	400574	64.69%	166649	26.91%	11136	1.80%	11068	1.79%	18749	3.03%	11002	1.78%	40924	6.61%
9	619178	524727	84.75%	64726	10.45%	1861	0.30%	12904	2.08%	8292	1.34%	6668	1.08%	21736	3.51%
10	619178	535924	86.55%	57417	9.27%	1560	0.25%	9525	1.54%	9153	1.48%	5599	0.90%	21486	3.47%
11	619177	564392	91.15%	28667	4.63%	9924	1.60%	3303	0.53%	6497	1.05%	6394	1.03%	16236	2.62%
12	619178	292101	47.18%	278724	45.02%	2533	0.41%	13287	2.15%	22714	3.67%	9819	1.59%	43854	7.08%
13	619178	408071	65.91%	167611	27.07%	2427	0.39%	12840	2.07%	18419	2.97%	9810	1.58%	37233	6.01%
Statewide	8,049,313	5,804,656	72.11%	1,737,645	21.59%	99,551	1.24%	117,672	1.46%	186,629	2.32%	103,260	1.28%	378,963	4.71%

A = Asian
AI = American Indian and Alaskan Native
PI = Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander
MR = Multi-Race
Hispanic = Hispanic or Latino (of any race)

Congressional Redistricting 2001 (Wright 3A Zero Deviation) - North Carolina 2000 General Election Results by District

	Governor						Chief Justice				State Auditor			
District	D	%D	R	%R	L/R	%L/R	D	%D	R	%R	D	%D	R	%R
1	118283	69.83%	49516	29.23%	1598	0.94%	104649	64.25%	58234	35.75%	110751	70.03%	47388	29.97%
2	98349	59.24%	65434	39.42%	2223	1.34%	87167	53.82%	74793	46.18%	91611	57.53%	67622	42.47%
3	88485	49.80%	86353	48.60%	2846	1.60%	67368	39.80%	101919	60.20%	75776	46.34%	87757	53.66%
4	136326	59.39%	88831	38.70%	4392	1.91%	125214	56.59%	96032	43.41%	123108	56.69%	94039	43.31%
5	91141	42.75%	118039	55.36%	4039	1.89%	78879	38.37%	126705	61.63%	79736	39.89%	120169	60.11%
6	83831	41.87%	113157	56.52%	3229	1.61%	78416	40.36%	115881	59.64%	70869	37.88%	116221	62.12%
7	114161	61.41%	68626	36.92%	3115	1.68%	94501	53.21%	83091	46.79%	99254	57.55%	73212	42.45%
8	87612	52.98%	73608	44.51%	4163	2.52%	83601	53.11%	73815	46.89%	82761	54.32%	69587	45.68%
9	71069	36.71%	119210	61.57%	3331	1.72%	69881	38.29%	112609	61.71%	67545	38.05%	109989	61.95%
10	78610	42.24%	103912	55.84%	3565	1.92%	69733	38.66%	110647	61.34%	72211	41.17%	103194	58.83%
11	97568	48.63%	97857	48.78%	5196	2.59%	85089	45.23%	103018	54.77%	88428	47.56%	97495	52.44%
12	107556	65.07%	55274	33.44%	2453	1.48%	104879	64.98%	56525	35.02%	102782	65.14%	55006	34.86%
13	120180	59.50%	78318	38.78%	3480	1.72%	112297	57.30%	83694	42.70%	110310	57.71%	80825	42.29%
Statewide	1,293,171	52.68%	1,118,135	45.55%	43,630	1.78%	1,161,674	49.25%	1,196,963	50.75%	1,175,142	51.15%	1,122,504	48.85%

D = Democrat
R = Republican
L/R = Libertarian and Reform

Proposed Congressional ZeroDeviation Plan
Split Counties
December 4, 2001

Unit		District	Total Population
1	Alamance		
		6	91,085
		13	39,715
2	Beaufort		
		1	16,287
		3	28,671
3	Cabarrus		
		8	125,527
		12	5,536
4	Chatham		
		2	33,037
		4	16,292
5	Craven		
		1	39,000
		3	52,436
6	Cumberland		
		2	108,056
		7	72,152
		8	122,755
7	Davidson		
		6	74,170
		12	73,076
8	Duplin		
		3	14,395
		7	34,668
9	Forsyth		
		5	175,378
		12	130,689
10	Gaston		
		9	163,874
		10	26,491
11	Granville		
		1	11,992
		13	36,506
12	Guilford		
		6	177,949
		12	131,147
		13	111,952
13	Iredell		
		5	68,584
		10	54,076
14	Jones		
		1	6,756
		3	3,625
15	Lenoir		
		1	30,702
		3	28,946
16	Mecklenburg		
		8	107,392
		9	368,921
		12	219,141
17	Nash		
		1	14,765

		2	45,749
		3	26,906
18	Pitt		
		1	54,674
		3	79,124
19	Rockingham		
		5	11,112
		13	80,816
20	Rowan		
		6	70,751
		12	59,589
21	Rutherford		
		10	37,442
		11	25,457
22	Sampson		
		2	32,896
		7	27,265
23	Scotland		
		7	195
		8	35,803
24	Union		
		8	37,294
		9	86,383
25	Vance		
		1	28,240
		2	14,714
26	Wake		
		2	75,436
		4	261,345
		13	291,065
27	Wayne		
		1	52,962
		3	60,367
28	Wilson		
		1	36,795
		3	37,019

Proposed Congressional ZeroDeviation Plan
Split Precincnts
December 4, 2001

Unit	District	Total Population
Chatham		
HADLEY		
	2	354
	4	782
WEST PITTSBORO		
	2	3,965
	4	117
Craven		
WEST HAVELOCK		
	1	15,129
	3	531
Cumberland		
MANCHESTER		
	2	33,700
	7	847
STONEY POINT		
	7	757
	8	5,871
Duplin		
BEULAVILLE		
	3	3,818
	7	192
[redacted]ers Chapel		
	9	2,690
	10	590
Guilford		
Greensboro 59		
	12	861
	13	1,296
Greensboro 64		
	6	2,800
	12	889
Jefferson 2		
	6	864
	13	1,786
Jones		
POLLOCKSVILLE		
	1	2,556
	3	153
Mecklenburg		
077		
	9	1
	12	3,329
200		
	9	305
	12	2,127
[redacted]		
STONY CREEK		
	2	232
	3	1,249

Pitt		
9.01		
	1	1,077
	3	334
Rockingham		
HOGANS		
	5	1,836
	13	708
Rutherford		
Green Hill		
	10	1,887
	11	577
Sampson		
NORTHEAST CLINTON		
	2	742
	7	2,783
Scotland		
	7	154
	8	3,208
	7	41
	8	1,189
Wake		
01-27		
	2	0
	13	2,890
11-02		
	4	3,287
	13	8

CONGRESS ZERODEVIATION



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, December 3, 2001.

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room **1104**. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1476, HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL 13** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1476** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

Ed McMahan

(Sponsor's Signature)

9/19/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 18, 2002

TO: Representative Jerry Dockham

FROM: Representative Ed McMahan

SUBJECT: Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room 1104. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: Representative Jerry Dockham
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: HB 1049, CONGRESSIONAL PLAN-2 (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1049** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Jerry Dockham**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room 1104. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Jerry Dockham**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1050, CONGRESSIONAL PLAN-1** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1050** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

Jerry C. Dockham
(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Jerry Dockham**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room **1104**. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Jerry Dockham**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1051, CONGRESSIONAL PLAN-3** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1051** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

Jerry C. Dockham
(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 18, 2002

TO: Representative Jerry Dockham

FROM: Representative Ed McMahan

SUBJECT: Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room 1104. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: Representative Jerry Dockham
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: HB 1476, HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL 13 (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1476** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

Jerry C. Dockham
(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Frank Mitchell**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room **1104**. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Frank Mitchell**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1023, CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1023** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

W. F. Mitchell
(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Frank Mitchell**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room **1104**. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Frank Mitchell**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1029, CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS-2** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1029** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

W.F. Mitchell
(Sponsor's Signature)

9/18/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: **September 18, 2002**

TO: **Representative Gregg Thompson**

FROM: **Representative Ed McMahan**

SUBJECT: **Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room 1104. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Representative Ed McMahan**
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: **Representative Gregg Thompson**
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: **HB 1476, HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL 13** (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1476** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

(Sponsor's Signature)

9/25/02 Date

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 18, 2002

TO: Representative Gregg Thompson

FROM: Representative Ed McMahan

SUBJECT: Bills Pending in the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING

Pursuant to House Rule 36(a) all House bills and resolutions shall be reported from the standing committee or permanent subcommittee to which referred with such recommendations as the standing committee or permanent subcommittee may desire to make **except in the case where the principal introducer requests in writing to the Chair(s) of the standing committee or permanent subcommittee that the bill not be considered.**

If you would like to request that your bill not be considered by the Committee on CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING, for your convenience, a printed form is included at the bottom of this page. Please complete, sign and return the form to Room 1104. This form may not be e-mailed because it requires the sponsor's signature.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Representative Ed McMahan
Representative Thomas Wright

FROM: Representative Gregg Thompson
Bill Sponsor

SUBJECT: HB 1035, CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS (Short Title)

I request that **HB 1035** not be considered by the Committee on **CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING**.

(Sponsor's Signature)

9/25/02 Date