Copyright 1967
by
The Michie Company
This Supplement to Replacement Volume 1C contains the general laws of a permanent nature enacted at the 1967 Session of the General Assembly, which are within the scope of such volume, and brings to date the annotations included therein.

Amendments of former laws are inserted under the same section numbers appearing in the General Statutes, and new laws appear under the proper chapter headings. Editors’ notes point out many of the changes effected by the amendatory acts.
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Chapter 15.  
Criminal Procedure.

Article 1.  
General Provisions.

Sec.  
15-5.4. Superior and district court judges authorized to appoint counsel to represent indigents at preliminary examinations in felony cases; counsel fees.

15-10.2. Mandatory disposition of detainees — request for final disposition of charges; continuance; information to be furnished prisoner.

15-10.3. Mandatory disposition of detainees — procedure; return of prisoner after trial.

Article 4A.  
Administrative Search and Inspection Warrants.

15-27.2. Warrants to conduct inspections authorized by law.

Article 7.  
Fugitives from Justice.

15-53.1. Governor may offer rewards for information leading to arrest and conviction.

Article 10.  
Bail.

15-103.1. Release prior to trial or hearing other than on bail.

Article 15B.  
Pre-Trial Examination of Witnesses and Exhibits of the State.

15-155.4. In general.

15-155.5. Contents of order for examination of expert witnesses.

Article 17.  
Trial in Superior Court.

15-163 to 15-165. [Repealed.]


Article 21.  
Segregation of Youthful Offenders.

15-210 to 15-216. [Repealed.]

ARTICLE 1.  
General Provisions.

§ 15-1. Statute of limitations for misdemeanors.

Editor's Note.—For case law survey as to criminal law and procedure, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 970 (1966).

§ 15-4. Accused entitled to counsel.


§ 15-4.1. Appointment of counsel for indigent defendants; plea of guilty by defendant without counsel; trial transcript and records for appeal by indigent defendant.

Reason for 1963 Amendment.—Chapter 1080 of the Session Laws of 1963, codified as § 15-4.1 et seq., was passed as a result of the decision in Gideon v. Wainwright,
§ 15-5. Fees allowed counsel assigned to indigent defendant.

No Compensation Recoverable in Absence of Statute.—In the absence of statute providing therefor, an attorney who has been assigned by the court to defend an indigent accused cannot recover compensation therefor from the public. State v. Davis, 270 N.C. 1, 153 S.E.2d 749 (1967).

Section Applies Only to State Courts.—This section provides for the payment of fees to lawyers who are appointed by superior court judges of the State to represent such defendants only in the courts of the State of North Carolina. State v. Davis, 270 N.C. 1, 153 S.E.2d 749 (1967).

And There Is No State Statute Applicable to Federal Courts.—There is no statute of North Carolina that provides for the payment of fees to lawyers representing indigent defendants in criminal cases in the United States courts. State v. Davis, 270 N.C. 1, 153 S.E.2d 749 (1967).

There cannot be read into the clear and unambiguous words of this section language authorizing a superior court judge of the State to order the State treasury to pay public funds raised by taxation of its people for fees for lawyers appearing for indigent defendants in the courts of the United States. State v. Davis, 270 N.C. 1, 153 S.E.2d 749 (1967).

§ 15-5.4. Superior and district court judges authorized to appoint counsel to represent indigents at preliminary examinations in felony cases; counsel fees.—In order to expedite the administration of criminal justice, superior and district court judges are also authorized, in felony cases only, to make determinations of indigency and to appoint counsel, under the provisions of G.S. 15-4.1 and G.S. 15-5.1, to represent defendants at preliminary examinations. An attorney, appointed under the authority of this section, who represents an accused at a preliminary examination at which no probable cause is found, is entitled to a fee to be fixed by the district court judge pursuant to G.S. 15-5. If probable cause is found, the attorney’s fee for services rendered at the preliminary hearing will be fixed by the superior court judge who presides at the trial, or other disposition, of the charges. (1967, c. 869, s. 1.)

Editor’s Note.—Section 3, c. 869, Session Laws 1967, provides that the act shall become effective July 1, 1967.
§ 15-6.1. Changing place of confinement of prisoner committing offense.—In all cases where a defendant has been convicted in a court inferior to the superior court and sentenced to a term in the county jail or to serve in some county institution other than under the supervision of the State Department of Correction, and such defendant is subsequently brought before such court for an offense committed prior to the expiration of the term to be served in such county institution, upon conviction, plea of guilty or nolo contendere, the judge shall have the power and authority to change the place of confinement of the prisoner and commit such defendant to work under the supervision of the State Department of Correction. This provision shall apply whether or not the terms of the new sentence are to run concurrently with or consecutive to the remaining portion of the old sentence. (1953, c. 778; 1957, c. 65, s. 11; 1967, c. 996, s. 16.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, Highway Commission" in two places in effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted "State Department of Correction" for "State Department of Correction" in two places in first sentence.

§ 15-10. Speedy trial or discharge on commitment for felony.


§ 15-10.1. Detainer; purpose; manner of use.—Any person confined in the State prison of North Carolina, subject to the authority and control of the State Department of Correction, or any person confined in any other prison of North Carolina, may be held to account for any other charge pending against him only upon a written order from the clerk or judge of the court in which the charge originated upon a case regularly docketed, directing that such person be held to answer the charge pending in such court; and in no event shall the prison authorities hold any person to answer any charge upon a warrant or notice when the charge has not been regularly docketed in the court in which the warrant or charge has been issued: Provided, that this section shall not apply to any State agency exercising supervision over such person or prisoner by virtue of a judgment, order of court or statutory authority. (1949, c. 303; 1953, c. 603; 1957, c. 349, s. 10; 1967, c. 996, s. 13.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted "State Department of Correction" for "State Prison Department" near the beginning of this section.

§ 15-10.2. Mandatory disposition of detainers—request for final disposition of charges; continuance; information to be furnished prisoner.—(a) Any prisoner serving a sentence or sentences within the State prison system who, during his term of imprisonment, shall have lodged against him a detainer to answer to any criminal charge pending against him in any court within the State, shall be brought to trial within eight (8) months after he shall have caused to be sent to the solicitor of the court in which said criminal charge is pending, by registered mail, written notice of his place of confinement and request for a final disposition of the criminal charge against him; said request shall be accompanied by a certificate from the Commissioner of Correction stating the term of the sentence or sentences under which the prisoner is being held, the date he was received, and the time remaining to be served; provided that, for good cause shown in open court, the prisoner or his counsel being present, the court may grant any necessary and reasonable continuance.

(b) The Commissioner of Correction shall, upon request by the prisoner, inform the prisoner in writing of the source and contents of any charge for which a detainer shall have been lodged against such prisoner as shown by said detainer,
§ 15-10.3. Mandatory disposition of detainers—procedure; return of prisoner after trial.—The solicitor, upon receipt of the written notice and request for a final disposition as hereinbefore specified, shall make application to the court in which said charge is pending for a writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum and the court upon such application shall issue such writ to the Commissioner of Correction requiring the prisoner to be delivered to said court to answer the pending charge and to stand trial on said charge within the time hereinbefore provided; upon completion of said trial, the prisoner shall be returned to the State prison system to complete service of the sentence or sentences under which he was held at the time said writ was issued. (1957, c. 1067, s. 2; 1967, c. 996, s. 15.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted "Commissioner of Correction" for "Director of Prisons."
§ 15-25.2. Search warrants for articles used in or constituting evidence of commission of felony.

Editor's Note.—For note on applicability of the "mere evidence" rule to the states in search and seizure cases, see 45 N.C.L. Rev. 512 (1967).

The object of search warrants is to obtain evidence. If it were already available, there would be no reason to seek their issuance. State v. Bullard, 267 N.C. 599, 148 S.E.2d 565 (1966).

§ 15-27. Warrant issued without affidavit and examination of complainant or other person; evidence discovered thereunder incompetent.

The purpose of this section, etc.—

A search that was legal, etc.—

Failure to Examine Officer or Require Him to Sign Affidavit—Where an officer issuing a search warrant merely witnessed the signature of the officer signing the affidavit, without requiring the officer to sign the affidavit under oath and without examining him in regard thereto, the requirements of this section have not been observed, and evidence obtained by such warrant is not admissible. State v. Upchurch, 267 N.C. 417, 148 S.E.2d 259 (1966).

Testimony Concerning Observations Made During Illegal Search Presumptively Barred.—By the terms of this section, the prohibition against the use of evidence obtained as a result of an illegal search extends to "facts discovered or evidence obtained" by reason of the search; presumably, therefore, testimony concerning observations made during the search would be barred. Stem v. Turner, 370 F.2d 895 (4th Cir. 1966).

Admissibility of Tape Recordings in Prosecution under § 14-196.1.—Tape recordings allegedly containing telephone conversations by the defendant with the prosecuting witness made by a recorder attached to the witness's telephone are not competent in prosecuting for annoying a female by repeated telephoning in violation of § 14-196.1 because they violate the North Carolina Wiretapping Statute, § 14-155, and also § 14-372 and this section; these statutes were not enacted to prevent introduction of evidence obtained in such a case and are not relevant in such prosecution. State v. Godwin, 267 N.C. 216, 147 S.E.2d 890 (1966).

§ 15-27.1. Article applies to all search warrants; competency of evidence obtained by illegal search.

Editor's Note.—
§ 15-27.2 GENERAL STATUTES OF NORTH CAROLINA § 15-27.2

The purpose of this section, etc.—

A search that was legal, etc.—

Search incidental to arrest of defendant was not illegal. State v. Bell, 270 N.C. 25, 153 S.E.2d 741 (1967).

When Evidence Incompetent.—
In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See State v. Bell, 270 N.C. 25, 153 S.E.2d 741 (1967).


ARTICLE 4A.

Administrative Search and Inspection Warrants.

§ 15-27.2. Warrants to conduct inspections authorized by law.—
(a) Notwithstanding the provisions of article 4 of this chapter, any official or employee of the State or of a unit of county or local government of North Carolina may, under the conditions specified in this section, obtain a warrant authorizing him to conduct a search or inspection of property if such a search or inspection is one that is elsewhere authorized by law, either with or without the consent of the person whose privacy would be thereby invaded, and is one for which such a warrant is constitutionally required.

(b) The warrant may be issued by any magistrate of the general court of justice, judge, clerk, or assistant or deputy clerk of any court of record whose territorial jurisdiction encompasses the property to be inspected.

(c) The issuing officer shall issue the warrant when he is satisfied the following conditions are met:

(1) The one seeking the warrant must establish under oath or affirmation that the property to be searched or inspected is to be searched or inspected as part of a legally authorized program of inspection which naturally includes that property, or that there is probable cause for believing that there is a condition, object, activity or circumstance which legally justifies such a search or inspection of that property;

(2) An affidavit indicating the basis for the establishment of one of the grounds described in (1) above must be signed under oath or affirmation by the affiant;

(3) The issuing official must examine the affiant under oath or affirmation to verify the accuracy of the matters indicated by the statement in the affidavit;

(d) The warrant shall be validly issued only if it meets the following requirements:

(1) It must be signed by the issuing official and must bear the date and hour of its issuance above his signature with a notation that the warrant is valid for only 24 hours following its issuance;

(2) It must describe, either directly or by reference to the affidavit, the property where the search or inspection is to occur and be accurate enough in description so that the executor of the warrant and the owner or the possessor of the property can reasonably determine from it what person or property the warrant authorizes an inspection of;

(3) It must indicate the conditions, objects, activities or circumstances which the inspection is intended to check or reveal;

(4) It must be attached to the affidavit required to be made in order to obtain the warrant.

(e) Any warrant issued under this section for a search or inspection shall be valid for only 24 hours after its issuance, must be personally served upon the owner or possessor of the property between the hours of 8:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M. and must be returned within 48 hours.
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(f) No facts discovered or evidence obtained in a search or inspection conducted under authority of a warrant issued under this section shall be competent as evidence in any civil, criminal or administrative action, nor considered in imposing any civil, criminal, or administrative sanction against any person, nor as a basis for further seeking to obtain any warrant, if the warrant is invalid or if what is discovered or obtained is not a condition, object, activity or circumstance which it was the legal purpose of the search or inspection to discover; but this shall not prevent any such facts or evidence to be so used when the warrant issued is not constitutionally required in those circumstances.

(g) The warrants authorized under this section shall not be regarded as search warrants for the purposes of application of article 4 of chapter 15 of the General Statutes of North Carolina. (1967, c. 1260.)

ARTICLE 5.

Peace Warrants.


ARTICLE 6.

Arrest.

§ 15-41. When officer may arrest without warrant.

A formal declaration of arrest by the officer is not a prerequisite to the making of an arrest. State v. Tippett, 270 N.C. 588, 153 S.E.2d 269 (1967).

Violation of Motor Vehicle Act.—An officer has a right to make an arrest without a warrant if a violation of the Motor Vehicle Act is actually committed in his presence. State v. McCaskill, 270 N.C. 788, 154 S.E.2d 907 (1967).

If the officer saw the commission of a violation of the Motor Vehicle Act, a misdemeanor, he would have the right to enter the premises where the defendant lived in order to make an arrest without a warrant. State v. McCaskill, 270 N.C. 788, 154 S.E.2d 907 (1967).

Driving Motor Vehicle While under Influence of Intoxicants.—A highway patrolman apprehending a person driving a motor vehicle on the public highway while under the influence of intoxicating liquor is authorized, by virtue of the provisions of § 20-188 and subdivision (1) of this section, to arrest such person without a warrant, and such arrest is legal. State v. Broome, 269 N.C. 661, 153 S.E.2d 384 (1967).

Where an officer sees a person intoxicated at a public bar, the officer may arrest such person without a warrant for violation of § 14-335. State v. Shirleen, 269 N.C. 695, 153 S.E.2d 364 (1967).

When police officers stopped an automobile fitting the description of one used in conjunction with a robbery and observed a pistol on the seat of the automobile, they had reasonable ground to believe that defendant had committed a felony and would evade arrest if not taken into custody. State v. Bell, 270 N.C. 25, 153 S.E.2d 741 (1967).

Information Sufficient to Authorize Arrest.—Where arresting officer knew that a robbery had been committed by one who had fled and had a general description of the felon, of his checkered pants, and of the cut on the rear of his right leg, and defendant was found at the location described in the officer's information and had property on his person similar to that taken in the robbery, such information in possession of the officers was amply sufficient to authorize the arrest without a warrant. State v. Grier, 268 N.C. 296, 150 S.E.2d 443 (1966).

Where a police officer who had been informed of a felony committed by a barefooted white man, wearing coveralls, was looking for such a man and at about 3 A.M. he found the defendant, who answered the description, hiding behind a bush two blocks from the scene of the crime, it was lawful for him to arrest the defendant without a warrant. State v. Tippett, 270 N.C. 588, 155 S.E.2d 269 (1967).

§ 15-46. Procedure on arrest without warrant.

Editor's Note.—For comment on admissibility of confessions, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 972 (1965).


§ 15-47. Arresting officer to inform offender of charge, allow bail except in capital cases, and permit communication with counsel or friends.

This section, etc.—This section does not prescribe mandatory procedures affecting the validity of a trial. Carroll v. Turner, 262 F. Supp. 486 (E.D.N.C. 1966).

§ 15-53. Governor may employ agents, and offer rewards. — The Governor, on information made to him of any person, whether the name of such person be known or unknown, having committed a felony or other infamous crime within the State, and of having fled out of the jurisdiction thereof, or who conceals himself within the State to avoid arrest, or who, having been convicted, has escaped and cannot otherwise be apprehended, may either employ a special agent, with a sufficient escort, to pursue and apprehend such fugitive, or issue his proclamation, and therein offer a reward, not exceeding ten thousand dollars, according to the nature of the case, as in his opinion may be sufficient for the purpose, to be paid to him who shall apprehend and deliver the fugitive to such person and at such place as in the proclamation shall be directed. (1800, c. 561, P.R.; R.C., c. 35, s. 4; 1866, c. 28; 1868-9, c. 52; 1870-1, c. 15; 1871-2, c. 29; Code, s. 1169; 1891, c. 421; Rev., s. 3188; C.S., s. 4554; 1925, c. 275, s. 6; 1967, c. 165, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment increased the maximum reward from four hundred dollars to ten thousand dollars.

§ 15-53.1. Governor may offer rewards for information leading to arrest and conviction. — When it shall appear to the Governor, upon satisfactory information furnished to him, that a felony or other infamous crime has been committed within the State, whether the name or names of the person or persons suspected of committing the said crime be known or unknown, the Governor may issue his proclamation and therein offer an award not exceeding ten thousand dollars ($10,000), according to the nature of the case as, in his opinion, may be sufficient for the purpose, to be paid to him who shall provide information leading to the arrest and conviction of such person or persons. The proclamation shall be upon such terms as the Governor may deem proper, but it shall identify the felony or felonies and the authority to whom the information is to be delivered and shall state such other terms as the Governor may require under which the reward is payable. (1967, c. 165, s. 2.)

ARTICLE 8.

Extradition.

§ 15-55. Definitions.

§ 15-59. Extradition of persons imprisoned or awaiting trial in another state or who have left the demanding state under compulsion.

§ 15-77. Application for issuance of requisition; by whom made; contents.

ARTICLE 9.
Preliminary Examination.

§ 15-85. Waiver of examination.
One of the principal functions of the preliminary hearing is to inquire into the validity of the arrest and restraint of a person charged with the commission of a crime by bringing him before a magistrate to determine whether an offense has been committed and whether there is probable cause to believe that the prisoner is the offender. Carroll v. Turner, 262 F. Supp. 486 (E.D.N.C. 1966).


The general rule in the United States is that in absence of a statute, a preliminary hearing is not a prerequisite or an indispensable step in the prosecution of a person accused with crime, and an accused person is not entitled to a preliminary hearing as a matter of substantive right. Carroll v. Turner, 262 F. Supp. 486 (E.D.N.C. 1966).


It is merely a course of procedure whereby a possible abuse of power may be prevented. Carroll v. Turner, 262 F. Supp. 486 (E.D.N.C. 1966).

Waiver without Benefit of Counsel.—
The contention that the defendant's constitutional right was violated when he was permitted to waive the preliminary hearing without the benefit of counsel presents no prejudicial error that would justify disturbing the result of the trial where the defendant was furnished with court-appointed counsel to represent him at his trial in the superior court and, when the hearing was waived, no plea was entered. State v. Cas- on, 267 N.C. 316, 148 S.E.2d 137 (1966).

ARTICLE 10.

Bail.

§ 15-103.1. Release prior to trial or hearing other than on bail.—
(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, every officer authorized to fix and take bail in any situation is empowered in his discretion to release from custody, pending trial or hearing, any person charged with a noncapital felony or a misdemeanor, upon such person's own recognizance or upon the execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount specified by the officer.

(b) Every person in custody pending trial as a defendant in a criminal case, other than a person charged with a capital felony, may be released other than upon bail if it appears likely that he will appear and surrender himself to the jurisdiction of the court at the proper time. The officer authorized to fix and take bail in any case may cause an investigation to be made into the background of the defendant and to require him to provide under oath a statement of his circumstances with respect to residence, employment, and family situation wherein the officer may make a finding upon which to base the decision as to whether or not to allow the defendant's release on recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. The officer is further authorized to set such terms and conditions as reasonably appear to him to be required to insure the appearance of the
defendant. In determining which conditions of release will reasonably assure appearance, the officer shall, on the basis of available information and without having to conform to the rules of evidence, take into account the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, the weight of the evidence against the accused, the accused's family ties, employment, financial resources, character and mental condition, the length of his residence in the community, his record of convictions, and his record of appearance at court proceedings or of flight to avoid prosecution or failure to appear at court proceedings. The officer is further empowered to cause the arrest and recommitment of the accused if he has reasonable grounds to believe that the accused is about to depart the jurisdiction or for other reason may fail to appear or if the defendant has violated any condition of release.

(c) Every person released from custody under this section who wilfully fails to appear for trial or hearing, or knowingly violates any condition of his release, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

(d) For the purposes of payment of expenses of extradition under the provisions of the Uniform Criminal Extradition Act every person who becomes a fugitive from justice during a period of release under this section, other than on bail, shall be deemed a felon.

The term "officer" when used herein shall mean and include any officer or official authorized to fix and take bail under the provisions of article 10 of chapter 15 of the General Statutes of North Carolina.

Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring any person accused to be released without bail. (1967, c. 1041.)

Editor's Note.—The act adding this section became effective July 1, 1967.

Article 11.

Forfeiture of Bail.

§ 15-110. In recognizance to keep the peace.
Recognizance Binds to Three Things.—

§ 15-113. Notice of judgment nisi before execution.

§ 15-122. Right of bail to surrender principal.

Article 13.

Venue.

§ 15-134. Improper venue met by plea in abatement; procedure.
Purpose of Section.—
The mischief intended to be remedied by this section was the difficulty encountered by the court in effecting the conviction of persons who had violated the criminal law of the state where the offense was committed near the boundaries of counties which were undetermined or unknown. And it often happened that, where the boundaries were established and known, it was uncertain from the proof whether the offense was committed on the one or the other side of the line, and, in consequence of the uncertainty and the doubt arising from it, offenders went "unwhipped of justice." This was the evil intended to be remedied. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Burden of Proof. — This section does not state which party has the burden of proof if a plea in abatement is filed. At common law, the burden of proof was upon the State to prove that the offense occurred in the county named in the bill of
§ 15-137. No arrest or trial on presentment.

There can be no trial, conviction, or punishment for a crime without a formal and sufficient accusation. In the absence of an accusation the court acquires no jurisdiction whatever, and if it assumes jurisdiction a trial and conviction are a nullity. McClure v. State, 267 N.C. 212, 148 S.E.2d 15 (1966).

When the court sentenced petitioner, who had been indicted for a violation of § 14-26 (carnal knowledge of female virgins between twelve and sixteen years of age), to imprisonment for a term of not less than twelve nor more than fifteen years upon his plea of guilty to a violation of § 14-22 (assault with intent to commit rape) when there was no formal and sufficient accusation against him for the offense to which he pleaded guilty, it would seem to be without precedent, and the sentence of imprisonment was a nullity, and violates petitioner's rights as guaranteed by N.C. Const., Art. I, § 17, and by § 1 of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution and must be vacated in post-conviction proceedings. McClure v. State, 267 N.C. 212, 148 S.E.2d 15 (1966).

ARTICLE 15.

Indictment.

§ 15-140.1. Waiver of indictment in noncapital felony cases.

Waiver of Finding Includes Waiver of Return.—The waiver of the finding of a bill of indictment also includes the waiver of the return. State v. Hodge, 267 N.C. 238, 147 S.E.2d 881 (1966).

New Indictment Not Required for Lesser Included Offense.—It is not necessary that accused be tried under a new indictment charging him with assault with intent to commit rape, since assault with intent to commit rape is a lesser included offense of rape and accused therefore could be tried on the original indictment. Godlock v. Ross, 259 F. Supp. 659 (E.D.N.C. 1966).

§ 15-141. Bills returned by foreman except in capital cases.

Minutes of Court as Evidence of Compliance.—The minutes of the court show that the requirements of this section as to return of an indictment in a capital case in open court were strictly complied with. State v. Childs, 269 N.C. 307, 152 S.E.2d 453 (1967).

Defendant is not entitled to be present in court, either in person or by his attorney, when the indictments are returned as true bills by the grand jury, and his motion to quash the indictments because neither he nor his attorney was present in court when the indictments were returned was properly overruled. State v. Childs, 269 N.C. 307, 152 S.E.2d 453 (1967), citing State v. Stanley, 227 N.C. 650, 44 S.E.2d 196 (1947).

The “particulars” authorized are not, etc.—

A bill of particulars is not a part of the indictment, nor a substitute therefor, nor an amendment thereto. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

When Denial, etc.—

Where defense counsel had been furnished copies of the officers' reports, the reports of the autopsies, and had been permitted to interrogate the State's key witness, and was present when the defendant made admissions to investigating officers, and the State introduced nothing which
§ 15-144. Essentials of bill for homicide.

Hearsay Testimony of Qualified Witness.—Indictment will not be quashed on the ground that some of the testimony of the qualified witness heard by the grand jury may have been hearsay and incom-pletely stated. State v. Cade, 268 N.C. 438, 150 S.E.2d 756 (1966).

Burglary and Larceny.—An indictment charged two offenses, (1) burglary in the first degree, and (2) larceny of money from the building allegedly feloniously broken into and entered, as alleged in the first count, but the bill was not defective. These two counts, by virtue of this section, may be joined in one indictment in separate counts. State v. Childs, 269 N.C. 307, 152 S.E.2d 453 (1967).

Felonious Entry, Kidnapping, and Forcible Taking of Automobile.—The felonious entry into a dwelling house, the kidnapping of one of the occupants of the house, and the forcible taking of an automobile in which the perpetrators attempted to make their getaway were so connected and tied together as to make the three offenses one continuous criminal episode. The evidence of the whole affair was pertinent and necessary to establish the identity of the accused as one of the guilty parties. The three charges were properly consolidated and tried together. State v. Arsad, 269 N.C. 184, 152 S.E.2d 99 (1967).

Larceny and receiving may be included in the same indictment, even though the charges are inconsistent and a defendant cannot be guilty of both. Doss v. North Carolina, 252 F. Supp. 298 (M.D.N.C. 1966).

When a defendant pleads guilty to the indictment, and a single judgment is pronounced thereon, it is regarded as immaterial whether the judgment is considered as relating to the larceny count or to the receiving count. It is only when there is some defect in either the larceny count or the receiving count that knowledge of which count the defendant is pleading guilty to is required. Doss v. North Carolina, 252 F. Supp. 298 (M.D.N.C. 1966).

Rape and Kidnapping.—The consolidation of indictments, charging defendant with rape and kidnapping, based upon a single occurrence, rests within the discretionary power of the trial court. State v. Turner, 268 N.C. 225, 150 S.E.2d 406 (1966).

§ 15-153. Bill or warrant not quashed for informality.

I. NATURE AND PURPOSE.

Quashing, etc.—Quashing of indictments and warrants is not favored. State v. Abernathy, 265 N.C. 724, 145 S.E.2d 2 (1965).

II. GENERAL EFFECT.

This section does not dispense, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Guffey, 265 N.C. 331, 144 S.E.2d 14 (1965).

It is an essential of jurisdiction that a criminal offense shall be sufficiently charged in the indictment. State v. Guffey, 265 N.C. 331, 144 S.E.2d 14 (1965).

A charge in a bill of indictment must be complete in itself, and contain all of the material allegations which constitute the offense charged. State v. Guffey, 265 N.C. 331, 144 S.E.2d 14 (1965).

§ 15-155. Defects which do not vitiate.


ARTICLE 15B.

Pre-Trial Examination of Witnesses and Exhibits of the State.

§ 15-155.4. In general.—In all criminal cases before the superior court, the superior court judge assigned to hold the courts of the district wherein the case is pending, or the resident superior court judge of the district, shall for good cause shown, direct the solicitor or other counsel for the State to produce for inspection, examination, copying and testing by the accused or his counsel any specifically identified exhibits to be used in the trial of the case sufficiently in advance of the trial to permit the accused to prepare his defense; and such judge shall for good cause shown and regardless of any objection of the solicitor or other counsel for the State, direct that the accused or his counsel be permitted to examine before any clerk of superior court, or any other person designated by the judge for the purpose, any expert witnesses to be offered by the State in the trial of the case regarding the proposed testimony of such expert witnesses.

Prior to issuance of any order for the inspecting, examining, copying or testing of any exhibit or the examination of any expert witness under this section the accused or his counsel shall have made a written request to the solicitor or other counsel for the State for such inspection, examination, copying or testing of one or more specifically identified exhibits or the examination of a specific expert witness and have had such request denied by the solicitor or other counsel for the State or have had such request remain unanswered for a period of more than 15 days. (1967, c. 1064.)

§ 15-155.5. Contents of order for examination of expert witnesses..—Such order for examination of the expert witnesses of the State may contain such protective provisions on behalf of the State or the witnesses as the judge deems just and reasonable, and may also direct the attendance of such witnesses for such examination. (1967, c. 1064.)

ARTICLE 17.

Trial in Superior Court.

§ 15-162. Prisoner standing mute, plea of "not guilty" entered.


§§ 15-163 to 15-165: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 218, s. 4.

Cross References. — For present provisions as to peremptory challenges in criminal cases, see § 9-21. As to challenge to special venire, see § 9-11.


When Section Applicable.—This section is not applicable where all the evidence for the State, uncontradicted which the charge in a warrant or bill of indictment is laid, is not necessary to its validity. State v. Hunt, 265 N.C. 714, 144 S.E.2d 890 (1965).

§ 15-170. Conviction for a less degree or an attempt.

In a prosecution for assault with a deadly weapon, etc.—

An indictment sufficiently charging defendant with assault with a deadly weapon, to wit, a pistol, with intent to kill and inflicting serious injury not resulting in death, includes the offense of assault with a deadly weapon. State v. Caldwell, 269 N.C. 521, 153 S.E.2d 34 (1967).

In a prosecution for burglary, etc.—

A felonious entering into a house other than burglarylaries with intent to commit larceny, a violation of § 14-54, is a less degree of the felony of burglary in the first degree. State v. Fikes, 270 N.C. 780, 155 S.E.2d 277 (1967).

Quoted in State v. Perry, 265 N.C. 517, 144 S.E.2d 591 (1965).

§ 15-173. Demurrer to the evidence.

Compared with § 1-183.—

Section 1-183 is the statute setting forth the procedure to make a motion for judgment of compulsory nonsuit in civil actions and this section is the statute setting forth the procedure to make a motion for judgment of compulsory nonsuit in criminal actions. Jenkins v. Hawthorne, 269 N.C. 679, 153 S.E.2d 339 (1967).

A motion for judgment as in case of nonsuit challenges the sufficiency of the State's evidence to warrant its submission to the jury and to support a verdict of guilty of the criminal offense charged in the warrant or indictment on which the prosecution is based. State v. Vaughan, 268 N.C. 105, 150 S.E.2d 31 (1966).

Means of Raising Objection, etc.—


The objection that the evidence is not sufficient to carry the case to the jury must be raised during the trial by a motion for a compulsory nonsuit under this section or by a prayer for instruction to the jury. State v. Glover, 270 N.C. 319, 154 S.E.2d 305 (1967).

Whether Competent or Incompetent.—

On motion for judgment of nonsuit the evidence must be considered in the light most favorable to the State and contradictions and discrepancies therein do not warrant the granting of the motion. State v. Jackson, 265 N.C. 558, 144 S.E.2d 584 (1965).

On a motion to nonsuit, the evidence is to be considered in its most favorable light for the State, and the State is entitled to every inference of fact which may reasonably be deduced from the evidence, and contradictions and discrepancies in the State’s evidence are for the jury to resolve and do not warrant the granting of the motion of nonsuit. State v. Carter, 265 N.C. 626, 144 S.E.2d 826 (1965).

If there be any evidence tending to prove the fact in issue, or which reasonably conduces to its conclusion as a fairly logical and legitimate deduction, and not merely such as raises a suspicion or conjecture in regard to it, the case should be submitted to the jury. State v. Bogan, 266 N.C. 99, 145 S.E.2d 374 (1965).

There must be substantial evidence of all material elements of the offense to withstand the motion to dismiss. State v. Bogan, 266 N.C. 99, 145 S.E.2d 374 (1965).

Motion to nonsuit should be denied if there is substantial evidence tending to prove each essential element of the offense charged. This rule applies whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial, or a combination of both. State v. Stephens, 244 N.C. 380, 93 S.E.2d 431 (1956).


Variance.—

The defendant in a criminal action may raise the question of variance between the indictment and proof by a motion for nonsuit. State v. Bell, 270 N.C. 25, 153 S.E.2d 741 (1966); State v. Overman, 257 N.C. 464, 125 S.E.2d 920 (1962).

Demurrer to the Evidence Properly Denied.—


§ 15-173.1. Review of sufficiency of evidence on appeal.—The sufficiency of the evidence of the State in a criminal case is reviewable upon appeal without regard to whether a motion has been made pursuant to G.S. 15-173 in the trial court. (1967, c. 762.)

§ 15-175. Nol. pros. after two terms; when capias and subpoenas to issue.

Editor’s Note.—For note on nolle prosequi with leave, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 1126 (1966).

§ 15-176.1. Solicitor may argue for death penalty.

Arguments by Solicitor Held Proper, etc.—


ARTICLE 18.

Appeal.

§ 15-177.1. Appeal from justice of the peace or inferior court; trial anew or de novo.

Upon appeal from a county court to the superior court, a defendant, by virtue of the provisions of this section, is entitled to a trial de novo by a jury, without prejudice from the former proceedings of the court below and regardless of his plea of guilty and the judgment pronounced thereon in the county court. State v. Broome, 269 N.C. 661, 153 S.E.2d 384 (1967).

Trial De Novo.—Whenever the accused in a criminal action appeals to the superior court from an inferior court, the action is to be tried anew from the beginning to the end in the superior court on both the law
§ 15-179. When State may appeal.

Legislative Intent. — The General Assembly, by the 1945 amendment to this section, intended to give the State the right to appeal when a criminal action is dismissed on the ground the statute purporting to create and to define the purported criminal offense on which the prosecution is based is unconstitutional and therefore affords no basis for such prosecution. State v. Vaughan, 268 N.C. 105, 150 S.E.2d 31 (1966).

No Appeal from Judgment as in Case of Nonsuit.—This section contains no provision authorizing an appeal by the State from a judgment as in case of nonsuit. State v. Vaughan, 268 N.C. 105, 150 S.E.2d 31 (1966).

The 1945 amendment to this section does not authorize an appeal by the State from a judgment as in case of nonsuit notwithstanding such judgment is based in part upon a ruling that a statute purporting to create a rule of evidence is unconstitutional. State v. Vaughan, 268 N.C. 105, 150 S.E.2d 31 (1966).


§ 15-180. Appeal by defendant to Supreme Court.

Period in Which Appeal to Be Taken.—Under this section and § 1-279 an appeal must be taken by a defendant in a criminal action within ten days after rendition of judgment, unless the record shows an appeal taken at the trial. Van Mitchell v. North Carolina, 247 F. Supp. 139 (E.D.N.C. 1964).

This section, by incorporating the provisions of § 1-279, provides that notice of appeal must be filed within ten days after rendition of judgment. The constitutionality of this requirement was upheld by the Supreme Court of the United States in Brown v. Allen, 344 U.S. 443, 73 Sup. Ct. 397, 97 L. Ed. 469 (1953). Fox v. North Carolina, 266 F. Supp. 19 (E.D.N.C. 1967).

Exercise of Right, etc.—In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See State v. Rhinehart, 267 N.C. 470, 148 S.E.2d 651 (1966).

Appeal Not Waived by Consent to Terms of Judgment.—Defendant's consent to the terms of a judgment does not constitute a waiver of his right of appeal for
§ 15-185. Judgment for fines docketed; lien and execution.

ARTICLE 20.
Suspension of Sentence and Probation.

§ 15-197. Suspension of sentence and probation.
Editor's Note.—
For a brief comparison of criminal law sanctions in two civil rights cases, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 667 (1965).

Probation and Parole Distinguished.—
Probation relates to judicial action taken before the prison door is closed, whereas parole relates to executive action taken after the door has closed on a convict. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

Probation or suspension of sentence is not a right granted by either the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of this State, but is an act of grace to one convicted of crime. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

An order suspending the imposition, etc.—
When a sentence of imprisonment in a criminal case is suspended upon certain valid conditions expressed in a probation judgment, defendant has a right to rely upon such conditions, and as long as he complies therewith the suspension must stand. In such a case, defendant carries the keys to his freedom in his willingness to comply with the court's sentence. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

The condition that a probationer avoid injurious or vicious habits is a valid condition of probation. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).


The period of probation or suspension of sentence shall not exceed a period of five years and shall be determined by the judge of the court and may be continued or extended, terminated or suspended by the court at any time, within the above limit. Upon the satisfactory fulfillment of the conditions of probation or suspension of sentence the court shall by order duly entered discharge the defendant. At any time during the period of probation or suspension of sentence, the court may issue a warrant and cause the defendant to be arrested for violating any of the conditions of probation or suspension of sentence. Any police officer, or other officer with power of arrest, upon the request of the probation officer, may arrest a probationer without a warrant. In case of an arrest without a warrant the arresting officer shall have a written statement signed by said probation officer setting forth that the probationer has, in his judgment, violated the conditions of probation; and said statement shall be sufficient warrant for the detention of said probationer in the county jail, or other appropriate place of


It is the duty of appellant, etc.—

Right to Review and Equal Protection Denied.—Where, on April 21, 1962, petitioner wrote trial judge a letter expressing his desire to appeal and delivered the letter to prison authorities on April 21 to be mailed to the trial judge, and it was so mailed on April 27, and thereafter, the trial judge informed petitioner that he had failed to give notice of appeal in apt time, the petitioner, being an indigent prisoner without counsel at the time of his attempted appeal, was denied the statutory right to appellate review and his constitutional right of equal protection of the law. Van Mitchell v. North Carolina, 247 F. Supp. 139 (E.D.N.C. 1964).
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detention, until said probationer shall be brought before the judge of the court. Such probation officer shall forthwith report such arrest and detention to the judge of the court, or in superior court cases to the judge holding the courts of the district, or the resident judge, or any judge commissioned at the time to hold court in said district, and submit in writing a report showing in what manner the probationer has violated probation. Upon such arrest, with or without warrant, the court shall cause the defendant to be brought before it in or out of term and may revoke the probation or suspension of sentence, and shall proceed to deal with the case as if there had been no probation or suspension of sentence. If at any time during the period of probation or suspension of sentence a warrant is issued and the defendant is arrested for a violation of any of the conditions of probation or suspension of sentence, or in the event any person is arrested at the instance of a probation officer, the defendant shall be allowed to give bond pending a hearing before the judge of the court, and the court issuing the order of arrest shall in said order, fix the amount of the appearance bond, or if appearance bond should not be fixed by the court, the officer having the defendant in charge shall take sufficient justified bail for the defendant's appearance at said hearing and the bond shall be returnable at such time and place as shall be designated by the probation officer.

Where a probationer resides in, or violates the terms of his probation in, a county and judicial district other than that in which said probationer was placed on probation, concurrent jurisdiction is hereby vested in the resident judge of superior court of the district in which said probationer resides or in which he violates the terms of his probation, or the judge of superior court holding the courts of such district, or a judge of the superior court commissioned to hold court in such district, to issue warrants for the arrest of such probationer, to discharge such probationer from probation, to continue, extend, suspend or terminate the period of probation of such probationer, and to revoke probation and enter judgment or put into effect suspended sentences of probation judgment, for breach of the conditions of probation, as fully as same might be done by the courts of the county and district in which such probationer was placed on probation, when such probationer was originally placed on probation by a superior court judge; provided, that the court may, in its discretion, for good cause shown, and shall on request of the probationer, return such probationer for hearing and disposition to the county or judicial district in which such probationer was originally placed on probation; provided, that in cases where the probation is revoked in a county other than the county of original conviction the clerk in such county revoking probation may record the order of revocation in the judge's minute docket, which shall constitute sufficient permanent record of the proceedings in that court, and shall send one copy of the order revoking probation to the North Carolina Department of Correction to serve as a temporary commitment, and shall send the original order revoking probation and all other papers pertaining thereto, to the county of original conviction to be filed with the original records; the clerk of the county of original conviction shall then issue a formal commitment to the North Carolina Department of Correction. (1937, c. 132, s. 4; 1939, c. 373; 1953, c. 43; 1955, c. 120; 1959, c. 424; 1961, c. 1185; 1967, c. 996, s. 13.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted “Department of Correction” for “Prison Department” in two places in the last sentence.

Prisoner Has Right to Rely on Conditions of Suspension.—Where a sentence in a criminal case is suspended upon certain valid conditions expressed in the sentence imposed, the prisoner has a right to rely upon such conditions. State v. Seagraves, 266 N.C. 112, 145 S.E.2d 327 (1965).

And so long as he complies with such conditions, the suspension should stand. State v. Seagraves, 266 N.C. 112, 145 S.E.2d 327 (1965).

Conduct Violating Condition of Suspension on Good Behavior.—Behavior such as will warrant a finding that a defendant has breached the condition of suspension
§ 15-200.1. Notice of intention to pray revocation of probation or suspension; appeal from revocation.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 15-200. Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard Required.—A defendant on probation or a defendant under a suspended sentence, before any sentence of imprisonment is put into effect and activated, shall be given notice in writing of the hearing in apt time and an opportunity to be heard. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967); State v. Duncan, 270 N.C. 241, 154 S.E.2d 53 (1967).


The rights of an offender in a proceeding to revoke his conditional liberty under probation are not coextensive with the federal constitutional rights of one on trial in a criminal prosecution. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

The difference between hearings as to whether probation shall be revoked and criminal trials is so great that procedural requirements in criminal trials ought not to be imposed in absolute terms in hearings to revoke probation. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).


There is no statute in this State giving a defendant the right to counsel in a proceeding to revoke probation. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

Section 15-4.1 applies to the appointment of counsel for indigent defendants in criminal trials and does not apply to the appointment of counsel for indigent defendants in a proceeding to revoke probation. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).


Whether defendant has violated valid conditions of probation is not an issue of fact for a jury, but is a question of fact for the judge to be determined in the exercise of his sound discretion. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967).

Sufficiency of Evidence.—All that is required in a hearing to revoke probation is that the evidence be such as to reasonably satisfy the judge in the exercise of his sound discretion that the defendant has willfully violated a valid condition of probation or that the defendant has violated without lawful excuse a valid condition upon which the sentence was suspended. State v. Hewett, 270 N.C. 348, 154 S.E.2d 476 (1967); State v. Duncan, 270 N.C. 241, 154 S.E.2d 53 (1967).

§ 15-200.2. Bill of particulars as prerequisite to praying that suspended sentence be placed in effect.

Sentences to Which Section Applies.—This section applies only to sentences which have been suspended upon specified terms and conditions. State v. Thompson, 267 N.C. 653, 148 S.E.2d 613 (1966).

When prayer for judgment has been continued, this section does not require that the solicitor, before praying judgment, shall serve defendant with a bill of particulars setting forth his reasons for doing so. State v. Thompson, 267 N.C. 653, 148 S.E.2d 613 (1966).


ARTICLE 21.

Segregation of Youthful Offenders.


ARTICLE 22.

Review of Criminal Trials.

§ 15-217. Institution of proceeding; effect on other remedies.—Any person imprisoned in the penitentiary, Central Prison, common jail of any county or imprisoned in the common jail of any county and assigned to work under the supervision of the State Department of Correction, who asserts that in the proceedings which resulted in his conviction there was a substantial denial of his rights under the Constitution of the United States or of the State of North Carolina or both, or that the court was without jurisdiction to impose the sentence, or that the sentence exceeds the maximum authorized by law, or that the sentence is otherwise subject to collateral attack upon any ground of alleged error heretofore available under a writ of habeas corpus, writ of coram nobis, or other common-law or statutory remedy, as to which there has been no prior adjudication by any court of competent jurisdiction, may institute a proceeding under this article.

The remedy herein provided is not a substitute for nor does it affect any remedies which are incident to the proceedings in the trial court, or any remedy of direct review of the sentence or conviction, but, except as otherwise provided in this article it comprehends and takes the place of all other common-law and statutory remedies which have heretofore been available for challenging the validity of incarceration under sentence of death or imprisonment, and shall be used exclusively in lieu thereof. (1951, c. 1083, s. 1; 1957, c. 349, s. 10; 1959, c. 21; 1965, c. 352, s. 1; 1967, c. 996, s. 13.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted “State Department of Correction” for “State Prison Department” in the first sentence.

For comment on this article, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 153 (1965).

Article Provides Adequate and Enlightened Procedure.—Under this article North Carolina has a wholly adequate and enlightened procedure under which State prisoners may obtain from State courts a review of the constitutionality of their trial and imprisonment. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

The procedure established by this article is not a substitute for an appeal from the judgment entered at the trial of the criminal charge. Branch v. State, 269 N.C. 642, 153 S.E.2d 343 (1967).

The burden is upon the petitioner seeking a federal habeas corpus to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, the alleged violations of his constitutional rights. A petitioner does not bear that burden when he has had substantially identical issues previously determined adversely to him in a State post-conviction hearing, this hearing having been held in accordance with the standards required and enunciated in Townsend v. Sain, 372 U.S. 293, 83 Sup. Ct. 745, 9 L. Ed. 2d 770 (1963). Paige v. Ross, 257 F. Supp. 27 (E.D.N.C. 1966), rev'd on other grounds, 372 F.2d 436 (4th Cir. 1967).

Federal Court May Accept Findings of Fact Made by State Court.—In a federal
§ 15-217 1967 SUPPLEMENT § 15-217

habeas corpus proceeding, the federal court is free to accept the findings of fact made by the State court after it offered petitioner a full day of hearings in a State post-conviction hearing. Paige v. Ross, 237 F. Supp. 27 (E.D.N.C. 1966), rev’d on other grounds, 372 F.2d 426 (4th Cir. 1967).

But conclusory finding by post-conviction court that plea of guilty was voluntarily made was unacceptable in federal habeas corpus proceeding, where state court records contain no resolution of the historic facts, either explicitly or implicitly, as required by Townsend v. Sain, 372 U.S. 293, 83 Sup. Ct. 745, 9 L. Ed. 2d 770 (1963). Neal v. Taylor, 264 F. Supp. 418 (E.D.N.C. 1967).

This article seems to require that a complainant be in custody under the sentence which he attacks, or otherwise prejudiced by it. Norkett v. Stallings, 251 F. Supp. 662 (E.D.N.C. 1966).


The imposition of a harsher penalty at a second trial (whether by denial of credit for time served or by increased sentence), without there being contained in the record any facts tending to rationally support the imposition of such a penalty, inhibits the right to petition for a new trial and unconstitutionally conditions that right. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Where the protection of society is presumably accomplished sufficiently by the imposition of the first sentence, conditioning the right of obtaining a new trial upon fictional consent to be more harshly punished cannot be justified. There is no compelling unprotected interest of society to support a limitation of the constitutional right to seek and obtain a new trial. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Want of Formal and Sufficient Accusation.—When the court sentenced petitioner, who had been indicted for a violation of § 14-26 (carnal knowledge of female virgins between twelve and sixteen years of age), to imprisonment for a term of not less than twelve nor more than fifteen years upon his plea of guilty to a violation of § 14-22 (assault with intent to commit rape) when there was no formal and sufficient accusation against him for the offense to which he pleaded guilty, it would seem to be without precedent, and the sentence of imprisonment was a nullity, and violates petitioner’s rights as

Exhaustion of a state procedure to a foregone conclusion is not a prerequisite to federal habeas corpus jurisdiction. It is well established that under such circumstances jurisdiction exists in the federal district court to entertain the petition for habeas corpus and to review the constitutionality of the trial and imprisonment. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Where petitioner for habeas corpus in a federal court maintained that he was detained pursuant to an unconstitutional judgment based upon unconstitutional statutes, and he had raised this issue at his trial, and again on direct appeal, and the Supreme Court of North Carolina had passed upon his constitutional objections, it was not necessary for him to raise them again in State collateral proceedings, i.e., via the Post-Conviction Hearing Act. Walker v. North Carolina, 262 F. Supp. 105 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Where accused has not sought review of his second trial and sentence pursuant to the North Carolina Post-Conviction Hearing Act, federal habeas corpus jurisdiction, if it exists, therefore depends upon the existence of circumstances rendering such process ineffective. Such circumstances exist where prior decisions of the Supreme Court of North Carolina foreclosing in the state courts accused's contentions that (a) he is entitled to credit for time served, and (b) that he cannot be more harshly punished at a second trial. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Forcing a petitioner for habeas corpus in the federal court to present again to the North Carolina Post-Conviction Hearing Act, federal habeas corpus jurisdiction, if it exists, therefore depends upon the existence of circumstances rendering such process ineffective. Such circumstances exist where prior decisions of the Supreme Court of North Carolina foreclosing in the state courts accused's contentions that (a) he is entitled to credit for time served, and (b) that he cannot be more harshly punished at a second trial. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Effect of Declaring Trial a Nullity.—Once a trial has been declared a nullity in a post-conviction proceeding, this nullity cannot be resuscitated and made to serve as the basis for a sentence. When a trial is annulled, so is the sentence, and it cannot be reimposed without a new trial. State v. Hollars, 266 N.C. 45, 145 S.E.2d 309 (1965).

No Credit Allowed, etc.—Under the law of North Carolina, it is plain that the fortunate recipient of a new trial may be (1) denied credit for all time served in prison under the vacated judgment and sentence imposed at the first trial, unless given the maximum sentence at the second trial, and/or (2) be given a longer sentence than that previously imposed, so long as it is within the maximum permitted by the statute. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Denial of credit at a second trial for time served while in the de facto status of state prisoner is so fundamentally unfair as to constitute a violation of the due process and equal protection clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment of the federal Constitution. Patton v. North Carolina, 256 F. Supp. 225 (W.D.N.C. 1966).

Waiver of Protection against Double Jeopardy.—When, in either a post-conviction hearing or a habeas corpus proceeding, at the prisoner's request, the court vacates a judgment against him and directs a new trial, the prisoner waives his constitutional protection against double jeopardy, and he may be tried anew on the same indictment for the same offense. In such case, a plea of former jeopardy will avail him nothing. State v. Case, 268 N.C. 330, 150 S.E.2d 509 (1966); State v. Mitchell, 270 N.C. 753, 155 S.E.2d 96 (1967).


§ 15-218. Contents of petition, waiver of claims not alleged.

A petitioner for habeas corpus in a federal court no longer had available a state remedy to vindicate the claimed denials of constitutional rights which could have been, but were not, raised in his application for post-conviction relief, made before his application for a writ of habeas corpus, since the North Carolina statute on post-conviction relief clearly prohibits raising a ground in a successive petition which could have been raised earlier. Stem v. Turner, 370 F.2d 895 (4th Cir. 1966).


§ 15-219. Petitioner unable to pay costs or procure counsel.


§ 15-220. Answer of the State; withdrawal of petition; amendments.


§ 15-221. Hearing.

Nature of Hearing.—A post-conviction hearing is a post-conviction remedy to determine whether a defendant was deprived of any constitutional right in his original trial. This is a question of law for the court. State v. Gainey, 265 N.C. 437, 144 S.E.2d 249 (1965).


It is not designed to be a second day in court. State v. Gainey, 265 N.C. 437, 144 S.E.2d 249 (1965).

Nor is it a substitute for appeal. State v. Gainey, 265 N.C. 437, 144 S.E.2d 249 (1965).

§ 15-222. Review by application for certiorari.—Any final judgment entered upon such a petition and proceeding may be reviewed by the Court of Appeals of North Carolina upon application by the petitioner or by the State for a writ of certiorari brought within 60 days from the entry of the judgment in such proceeding. A petitioner who seeks review of such a judgment may apply to the judge hearing the proceeding or to any judge having jurisdiction of the proceeding for the appointment of counsel for the purpose of seeking such review, and the judge, if he is satisfied that the petitioner is unable to employ counsel, shall appoint counsel to represent petitioner for that purpose. If the State seeks review of any such judgment the court shall appoint counsel to represent an indigent petitioner, unless petitioner waives the appointment of counsel. Counsel appointed to represent an indigent petitioner in any such review shall be compensated in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 15-5, such compensation to be paid by the State.

If the judge is satisfied that a petitioner is unable to procure the records required for an adequate and effective consideration by the Court of Appeals of an application for writ of certiorari, he shall order the county to make available such records, including the transcript.

The law of this State governing the application, granting and disposition of writs of certiorari shall be applicable to any application for writ of certiorari brought under the provisions of this article for the purpose of seeking a review of such judgment or proceeding. (1951, c. 1083, s. 1; 1965, c. 352, ss. 1, 2.)

Editor's Note.—
Session Laws 1967, c. 108, effective July 1, 1967, substituted "Court of Appeals" for "Supreme Court" in the first sentence.

Session Laws 1967, c. 523, s. 1, inserted
"upon application by the petitioner or by the State" in the first sentence, added all of the first paragraph following the first sentence, inserted the second paragraph, and made the former second sentence the last paragraph of the section as amended. Session Laws 1967, c. 523, s. 2, effective Oct. 1, 1967, substituted "Court of Appeals" for "Supreme Court" in the section as so amended.

No appeal lies from an order entered in a post-conviction hearing denying defendant a new trial, but such an order may be reviewed only upon allowance of a writ of certiorari. In re McBride, 267 N.C. 93, 147 S.E.2d 597 (1966).

§ 17-40

That Defendant Is on Parol Does Not Affect Right to Review.—The fact that a defendant is on parol at the time of his application for certiorari does not affect his right to review by the Supreme Court (now Court of Appeals), since conditions of parol are a restraint upon his liberty not shared by the public generally. State v. Rhinehart, 267 N.C. 470, 148 S.E.2d 651 (1966).


Chapter 17.

Habeas Corpus.

Article 7.

Habeas Corpus for Custody of Children in Certain Cases.

Sec. 17-39 to 17-40. [Repealed.]

ARTICLE 7.

Habeas Corpus for Custody of Children in Certain Cases.

§ 17-39: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 1153, s. 1, effective October 1, 1967.

Cross References.—As to action or proceeding for custody of minor child, see §§ 50-13.1 to 50-13.8.


Cross Reference.—As to action or proceeding for custody of minor child, see §§ 50-13.1 to 50-13.8.

§ 17-40: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 1153, s. 1, effective October 1, 1967.

Cross Reference.—As to action or proceeding for custody of minor child, see §§ 50-13.1 to 50-13.8.
Chapter 18.
Regulation of Intoxicating Liquors.

Article 3.
Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937.
Sec. 18-39.2. Special peace officers; powers and jurisdiction.
18-51. Possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages at designated places.
18-51.1. Exceptions.

Article 4.
Beverage Control Act of 1939.
18-79. State license; sale of "short-filled" packages by manufacturers to employees.
18-82. By whom excise taxes payable.
18-88.2. Exemption of beer, etc., sold to oceangoing vessels.
18-90.1. Sale to or purchase by minors.

Article 5.
Fortified Wine Control Act of 1941.
18-99.1. Manufacturers and bottlers of fortified and sweet wines.

ARTICLE 1.
The Turlington Act.
§ 18-1. Definitions; application of article.
The Turlington Act remains, etc.—The Turlington Act is still the primary law in every area which has not elected to come under the A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.). D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

Where liquor stores have been established under the A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.), the Turlington Act is the law except to the extent it has been modified or repealed by the A.B.C. Act. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

The A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.) contains no clause specifically repealing the Turlington Act or any other provisions of the law relating to alcoholic beverages. It therefore repealed only those laws which are "utterly irreconcilable" with it. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

The Two Acts, etc.—The Turlington Act and the A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.) constitute the body of State law relating to the purchase, possession, and sale of intoxicating liquor, and must be construed in pari materia. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).


Unlawful Transportation and Consumption of Liquor.—It is unlawful for a person in an area which has elected to come under the A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.) to transport to a restaurant, a private club, or other public place, intoxicating liquor for consumption on the premises, notwithstanding the liquor may be concealed from public view. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the amendment of § 18-51 by c. 222, Session Laws 1967.

Act Does Not Infringe on Right to Engage in Restaurant Business. — The constitutional right to earn a livelihood by engaging in the restaurant business is not infringed by either the Turlington Act or the A.B.C. Act (§ 18-36 et seq.) D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

Act Applicable to Davidson County.—Davidson County has never come within the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937. Thus, the Turlington Act of 1933 remains the primary law there. State v. Anderson, 265 N.C. 548, 144 S.E.2d 581 (1965).

§ 18-2. Manufacture, sale, etc., of nonbeverage liquor.

§ 18-4. Advertising, etc., of utensils, etc., for use in manufacturing liquor.
§ 18-11. Possession prima facie evidence of keeping for sale.—The possession of liquor by any person not legally permitted under this article to possess liquor shall be prima facie evidence that such liquor is kept for the purpose of being sold, bartered, exchanged, given away, furnished, or otherwise disposed of in violation of the provisions of this article. But it shall not be unlawful to possess liquor in one's private dwelling while the same is occupied and used by him as his dwelling only, provided such liquor is for use only for the personal consumption of the owner thereof, and his family residing in such dwelling, and of his bona fide guests when entertained by him therein. It shall not be unlawful to possess liquor at such other places as are authorized by other provisions of chapter 18, North Carolina General Statutes. (1923, c. 1, s. 10; C. S., s. 3411(j); 1967, c. 222, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the last sentence.

The law with reference to the possession of whiskey or similar intoxicating beverages is this: Whether the area be wet or dry, conforming or nonconforming, a person may legally possess alcoholic liquors as defined by § 18-60 only in his private dwelling as provided by this section and while transporting not in excess of one gallon purchased out of the State or from an A.B.C. store within the State to his dwelling as provided by § 18-49 and § 18-58. This has been the law since the passage of the A.B.C. Act of 1937. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the amendment of § 18-51 by c. 222, Session Laws 1967.

This section and § 18-32 (2) were modified by § 18-49. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

§ 18-15. Clubrooms and other places for keeping, etc., of liquor.—No corporation, club, association, or person shall directly or indirectly keep or maintain, alone or by association with others, or by any other means, or shall in any manner aid, assist, or abet others in keeping or maintaining a clubroom or other place where intoxicating liquor is received, kept, or stored for barter, sale, exchange, distribution, or division among the members of any such club or association or aggregation of persons, or to or among any other persons by any means whatever, or shall act as agents in ordering, procuring, buying, storing, or keeping intoxicating liquor for any such purpose; provided, however, this section shall not prohibit the storage of any form of intoxicating liquor that is specifically authorized or permitted by article 3, the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937, as amended. (1923, c. 1, s. 14; C. S., s. 3411(n); 1967, c. 222, s. 5.)

Cross Reference.—See § 18-51.

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the proviso.


Cross Reference.—See § 18-51.

Scope of Prohibition.—The prohibition of this section extends to any person. It thus includes the restaurateur and his employees; the host who entertains his guests at a restaurant or club; and the patron who brings his bottle and serves himself, none of whom may legally transport the liquor to the restaurant in the first place. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the amendment of § 18-51 by c. 222, Session Laws 1967.
§ 18-20. Grain alcohol for use in medicine or surgery; manufacture or sale of cider.

Article 2.

Miscellaneous Regulations.

§ 18-32. Keeping liquor for sale; evidence.
(2) The possession of more than one gallon of spirituous liquors at any one time, whether in one or more places; provided, however, it shall not be unlawful to possess spirituous liquors where specifically authorized or permitted by article 3, the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937, as amended; or

(1967, c. 222, s. 6.)

Cross Reference.—See § 18-51.

Editor's Note.—
The 1967 amendment inserted the proviso in subdivision (2).
As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subdivision (2) is set out.

Section 18-11 and subdivision (2) of this section were modified by § 18-49. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendment to subdivision (2).

Possession for Use of Owner.—The law permits an individual to possess in his home an unlimited quantity of tax-paid intoxicating liquor for his own use and that of his bona fide guests, but the possession of more than one gallon is prima facie evidence that such liquor is for the purpose of sale. State v. Causby, 269 N.C. 747, 153 S.E.2d 467 (1967).

Possession of More than Five Gallons of Beer.—Although any individual may possess beer as defined by § 18-64 for his own use without restriction or regulation as provided by § 18-66, defendant's possession of more than five gallons of beer in sixty king-size cans (7½ gallons) constituted prima facie evidence under this section that he had it for the purposes of sale. State v. Causby, 269 N.C. 747, 153 S.E.2d 467 (1967).


Article 3.

Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937.

§ 18-36. Purposes of article.

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, etc.—
The Turlington Act is still the primary law in every area which has not elected to come under the A.B.C. Act. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

Where liquor stores have been established under the A.B.C. Act, the Turlington Act is the law except to the extent it has been modified or repealed by the A.B.C. Act. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

The A.B.C. Act contains no clause specifically repealing the Turlington Act or any other provisions of the law relating to alcoholic beverages. It therefore repealed only those laws which are "utterly irreconcilable" with it. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

The Two Acts, etc.—

Purpose Is to Control Every Facet of Intoxicating Liquor.—The purpose of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937 and the many local acts of regulation and prohibition is to control every possible facet of intoxicating liquor. Gardner v. City of Reidsville, 269 N.C. 581, 153 S.E.2d 139 (1967).

And Not to Place State in Business Venture for Profit.—It was not the intent of the legislature in passing the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act of 1937 to place the sovereign State in a "business venture for profit" for the purpose of dispensing a product to its people which is recognized as a cause of crime, cruelty, indolence, neglect and poverty. Gardner v. City of

(3) To fix the retail prices of all alcoholic beverages sold in county and municipal liquor stores at such levels as shall promote the temperate use of such beverages and as may facilitate policing, which price shall be uniform throughout the State, to compute the taxes levied by G.S. 18-85 on the retail prices so fixed, to determine the total prices of all such alcoholic beverages which total price shall be the sum of the retail price plus the tax levied by G.S. 18-85, and to notify the stores periodically of such prices. The State Board of Alcoholic Control shall cause the several county and municipal alcoholic boards of control to add to the established retail prices of all alcoholic beverages sold in said county and municipal liquor stores as provided above the sum of five cents (5¢) per bottle on every bottle of alcoholic beverages sold in said stores, which shall be in addition to the retail prices of all alcoholic beverages as set by the State Board of Alcoholic Control, which five cents (5¢) per bottle increase in the retail prices of alcoholic beverages sold by county or municipal liquor stores shall not be subject to the tax levied in G.S. 18-85, but the clear proceeds of the additional retail price of five cents (5¢) per bottle as provided above shall be remitted to the State Treasurer, accompanied by forms or reports to be prescribed and furnished by the State Board of Alcoholic Control, which remittances shall be placed in the general fund, and shall be subject to appropriation by the General Assembly to the same degree as any other moneys deposited in said general fund. Said reports and remittances of the five cents (5¢) per bottle as herein provided shall be made monthly by the local boards on or before the 15th day of the succeeding month.

(15) To promulgate rules and regulations for the control and use of alcoholic beverages pursuant to the provisions of G.S. 18-51, and each subdivision thereof, to the end that said section be strictly construed to control the dispensation of alcoholic beverages in the exercise of the police power of this State; to establish forms and procedures for receiving applications and granting permits, and for suspension and revocation, hearing and reviews, with respect to any person, association or corporation that seeks, obtains or holds a permit for any purpose authorized by G.S. 18-51, and each subdivision thereof; to apply to this article of chapter 18, the Statutes, rules and regulations provided for under article 4, chapter 18, of the General Statutes of North Carolina, insofar as they are applicable; to issue, renew, suspend or revoke any temporary or annual permit required pursuant to the provisions of G.S. 18-51, and each subdivision thereof; and from time to time to adopt, amend or repeal reasonable rules and regulations for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this article, but not inconsistent herewith, which rules and regulations shall become effective on the tenth day after adoption and the filing of a certified copy thereof in the office of the Secretary of State. (1937, c. 49, s. 4; cc. 237, 411; 1945, c. 954; 1961, c. 956; 1963, c. 916, s. 2; c. 1119, s. 1; 1965, c. 1063; c. 1102, s. 3; 1967, c. 222, s. 2; c. 1240, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—
The first 1967 amendment added subdivision (15).
§ 18-39.2. Special peace officers; powers and jurisdiction.—(a) Any regular employee of the State Board of Alcoholic Control commissioned as a special peace officer shall have the right to arrest with warrant any person violating the provisions of chapter 18 of the General Statutes and shall have power to pursue and arrest without warrant any person violating in his presence any of the provisions of chapter 18 and any breach of the peace including public drunkenness connected to or associated with the enforcement of the provisions of chapter 18. All special peace officers appointed by the State Board of Alcoholic Control shall have state-wide jurisdiction in enforcing the provisions of chapter 18.

(b) Within their respective jurisdictions, all sheriffs, deputy sheriffs, municipal police and local alcoholic beverage control officers shall have authority to investigate the operation of premises licensed under the provisions of G.S. 18-51 (3), (4) and (5), and to procure evidence with respect to violations of this article, or any rule or regulation promulgated pursuant thereto. These law-enforcement officers shall have the right to enter the licensed premises in the performance of their duties at any hour of the day or night. (1961, c. 645; 1963, c. 426, s. 2; 1967, c. 868.)

Editor's Note.—former provisions of this section as sub-

§ 18-45. Powers and duties of county boards.—The said county boards shall each have the following powers and duties:

(1) Control and jurisdiction over the importation, sale and distribution of alcoholic beverages within its respective county.

(2) Power to buy and to have in its possession and to sell alcoholic beverages within its county.

(3) Power and authority to adopt rules and regulations governing the operation of stores within its county and relating to the carrying out of the provisions and purposes of this article.

(4) To prescribe and regulate and direct the duties and services of all employees of said county board.

(5) To fix the hours for the opening and closing of stores operated by it. No store, however, shall be permitted to remain open between the hours of nine o'clock P.M. and nine o'clock A.M.

(6) To require any county stores to close on such days as it may designate, but all stores in any county operating under the provisions of this article shall remain closed on Sundays, election days, New Year's Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Armistice Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas Day.

(7) To import, transport, receive, purchase, sell and deliver and have in its possession for sale for present and future delivery alcoholic beverages.

(8) To purchase or lease property, furnish and equip buildings, rooms and accommodations as and when required for the storage and sale of alcoholic beverages and for distribution to all county stores within said county.

(9) To borrow money, guarantee the payment thereof and the interest thereon, in such manner as may be required or permitted by law, and to issue, sign, endorse and accept checks, promissory notes, bills of exchange and other negotiable instruments and to do all such other and necessary things as may be required or may be convenient in the conduct of liquor stores in its county.

(10) To investigate and aid in the prosecution of violations of this article
§ 18-45
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and other liquor laws, by whatever name called, and to seize alcoholic beverages in said county sold, kept, imported or transported illegally and to apply for confiscation thereof and to cooperate in the prosecution of offenders in any court in said county.

(11) To regulate and to prescribe rules and regulations that may be necessary or feasible for the obtaining of purity in all alcoholic beverages, including true statements of contents and the proper labeling thereof.

(12) To require liquor stores to sell alcoholic beverages at the prices fixed by the State Board of Alcoholic Control, and to prescribe to whom the same may be sold.

The provisions of this article shall not apply to ethyl alcohol intended for use and/or used for the following purposes:

For scientific, chemical, mechanical, industrial, medicinal and culinary purposes.

For use by those authorized to procure the same tax free, as provided by the acts of Congress and regulations promulgated thereunder.

In the manufacture of denatured alcohol produced and used as provided by the acts of Congress and regulations promulgated thereunder.

In the manufacture of patented, patent, proprietary, medicinal, pharmaceutical, antiseptic, toilet, scientific, chemical, mechanical, and industrial preparations or products unfit for beverage purposes.

In the manufacture of flavoring extracts and syrups unfit for beverage purposes.

(13) To exercise the power to buy, purchase and sell and to fix the prices at which all alcoholic beverages may be purchased from it, but nothing herein contained shall give said board the power to purchase or sell or deal in alcoholic beverages which contain less than five per centum of alcohol by weight.

(14) To locate stores in its county and to provide for the management thereof and to appoint and employ at least one person for each store conducted by it, who shall be known as “manager” thereof. The duty of such manager shall be to conduct the said store under directions of the county board and to carry out the law applying thereto, and such manager shall give bond for the faithful performance of his duties in such sum as may be fixed by said county board, with sufficient corporate surety and said surety, or sureties thereon, shall be approved by the said county board as a part of the qualifications of such manager for his appointment, and the said county board shall have the right to sue on said bond and to recover for all failures on the part of said manager faithfully to perform his duties as such manager, to the extent of any loss occasioned by such manager on his part, but as against the surety, or sureties, thereon, such aggregate recovery, or recoveries, shall not exceed the penalty of said bond.

(15) To expend for law enforcement a sum not less than five percent nor more than ten percent of the total profits to be determined by quarterly audits and in the expenditure of said funds shall employ one or more persons to be appointed by and directly responsible to the respective county boards. In addition, any county or municipal board is authorized, in its discretion, to expend for education and research as to the effects of the use of alcoholic beverages and for the rehabilitation of alcoholics not more than five percent (5%) of its total profits, to be determined by quarterly audits. The persons so appointed shall, after taking the oath prescribed by law for the peace officers, have the same powers and authorities within their respective counties as other peace officers. And any person so appointed, or any other peace officer while in hot pursuit of anyone found to be violating the prohibition laws of this State, shall have the right to go into any other county of the State.
and arrest such offender therein so long as such hot pursuit of such person shall continue, and the common law of hot pursuit shall be applicable to said offenses and such officers. Any law-enforcement officer appointed by such county boards and any other peace officer is hereby authorized, upon request of the sheriff or other lawful officer in any other county, to go into such other county and assist in suppressing a violation of the prohibition law therein, and while so acting shall have such powers as a peace officer as are granted to him in his own county and be entitled to all the protection provided for said officer while acting in his own county.

(16) To discontinue the operation of any store in its county whenever it shall appear to said board that the operation thereof is not sufficiently profitable to justify a continuance of its operation, or when, in its opinion, the operation of any store is inimical or hurtful to the morals or welfare of the community in which it is operated, or when said county board may be directed to close any store by the State Board.

All the powers and duties herein conferred upon county boards, or required of them, shall be subject to the powers herein conferred upon the State Board and whenever or wherever herein the State Board has been given power to approve or disapprove anything in respect to county stores or county boards, then no power on the part of the county boards and no act of any county board shall be exercisable or valid until and unless the same has been approved by the State Board.

(1937, c. 49, s. 10; cc. 411, 431; 1939, c. 98; 1957, cc. 1006, 1335; 1963, c. 1119, s. 2; 1967, c. 1178.)

Local Modification.—Catawba, as to subdivision (15): 1967, c. 288, amending 1953, c. 784; Dare, as to subdivision (15): 1967, c. 318; Northampton, as to subdivision (15): 1967, c. 426; Wilson, as to subdivision (15): 1967, c. 147.

Cross Reference.—As to rehabilitation of alcoholics, see §§ 122-35.13 to 122-35.17.

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted "and research" near the beginning of the second sentence of subdivision (15).


§ 18-46. No sales except during hours fixed by county boards; sales to minors, habitual drunkards, etc.; discretion of managers and employees; list of persons convicted of drunkenness, etc.; unlawful to buy for person prohibited.


§ 18-47. Drinking upon premises prohibited; stores closed on Sundays, election days, etc.

Legislative Purpose.—In this section and § 18-51, the legislature was giving attention to specific places where it obviously thought special hazards existed. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to § 18-51.

This section and § 18-51 define additional criminal offenses. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to § 18-51.

Such sections were designed, inter alia, to prevent drinking before driving. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to § 18-51.

§ 18 48. Possession illegal if taxes not paid; punishment and forfeiture for violations; possession in container without proper stamp, prima facie evidence; counterfeit or unauthorized stamps.

§ 18-49. Transportation, not in excess of one gallon, authorized; transportation in course of delivery to stores.—It shall not be unlawful for any person to transport a quantity of alcoholic beverages not in excess of one gallon from a county in North Carolina coming under the provisions of this article to or through another county in North Carolina not coming under the provisions of this article: Provided, said alcoholic beverages are not being transported for the purpose of sale, and provided further that the container or containers of said alcoholic beverages are maintained within any vehicle as regulated and provided for in this article. Nothing contained in this article shall be construed to prevent the transportation through any county not coming under the provisions of this article, of alcoholic beverages in actual course of delivery to any alcoholic beverage control board established in any county coming under the provisions of this article.

(1937, c. 249; s. -143 1967, c. 222, s. 7.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote the second proviso to the first sentence.

Section Has State-Wide Application.—The legislature intended this section to have state-wide application. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

The law with reference to the possession of whiskey or similar intoxicating beverages is this: Whether the area be wet or dry, conforming or nonconforming, a person may legally possess alcoholic liquors as dwelling as provided by § 18-11 and while transporting not in excess of one gallon purchased out of the State or from an A.B.C. store within the State to his dwelling as provided by § 18-51. This has been the law since the passage of the A.B.C. Act of 1937. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to § 18-51.


§ 18-50. Possession for sale and sales of illicit liquors; sales of liquors purchased from stores.


§ 18-51. Possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages at designated places.—Notwithstanding any other provisions of chapter 18 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, it shall be lawful in any county or municipality of this State for any person, who is at least twenty-one years of age, to possess, for lawful purposes, alcoholic beverages, as defined in G.S. 18-60, in quantities not in excess of one gallon, unless otherwise authorized, provided that said alcoholic beverages are obtained from an authorized alcoholic beverage control store within this State, or from a lawful source outside this State, and provided that said alcoholic beverages are possessed for a purpose other than for sale or barter, and provided that said alcoholic beverages are purchased, possessed, and consumed in accordance with this and other applicable sections of chapter 18, and including the following:

1. Transportation.—A person may transport, not for sale or barter, not more than one gallon of alcoholic beverages to and from any place where the beverage may be lawfully possessed or consumed; but if the cap or seal on the container or containers has been opened or broken, it shall be unlawful to transport the same in the passenger area of any motor vehicle.

2. Residence and Related Places.—A person may possess and consume said alcoholic beverages in his private residence, or in any private residence of another where permission has been given, or in any hotel or motel room which said person has rented or to which he is invited, or at any place of secondary residence similarly used, where permitted by the owner. A person may also possess and consume said alcoholic beverages, but not in view of the general public, on any other private property dwelling as provided by § 18-11 and while transporting not in excess of one gallon purchased out of the State or from an A.B.C. store within the State to his dwelling as provided by this section and § 18-51. This has been the law since the passage of the A.B.C. Act of 1937. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to § 18-51.

not primarily engaged in commercial entertainment and not open to
the general public at the time, when such person, association or cor-
poration has obtained the express permission of the owner or person
lawfully in possession of said property, and when said alcoholic bev-
erages are consumed by said person, his family, or his bona fide guests,
or bona fide guests of the association or corporation; provided, however,
this sentence shall not be construed to permit or in any way or manner
authorize the possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages on
premises for which a permit is required pursuant to subdivisions (3),
(4), or (5) of G.S. 18-51.

(3) Social Establishments.—Any person, association, or corporation may
furnish facilities, located on its premises, which facilities shall not be
open to the general public, for the storage of alcoholic beverages for its
bona fide members, in quantities not in excess of one gallon for each
member, unless otherwise authorized, and for consumption by its mem-
bers and their guests, but subject to the following conditions:

a. The establishment is organized and operated solely for purposes
   of a social, recreational, patriotic, or fraternal nature; and

b. It has a valid permit from the State Board of Alcoholic Control
   for this purpose; and

c. The alcoholic beverages shall be stored in individual lockers and
   the name of the beverage owner shall be clearly displayed on
   both the locker and the bottle or bottles; and

d. Any alcoholic beverages stored in any locker shall be for the ex-
   clusive use of the member and his guests, and shall not be sold
   or distributed to any other person.

(4) Special Occasions.—Alcoholic beverages in quantities in excess of one
gallon may be possessed by a person on a special occasion, subject to
the rules and regulations adopted by the State Board of Alcoholic Con-
tral, not for sale or barter, for the use and consumption of himself and
his guests, when he meets one or more of the following requirements:

a. He is using his personal residence or premises under his exclusive
   control, or

b. He is using a facility, as a member, as defined in subdivision (3)
   of this section, and said facility has a valid permit from the State
   Board of Alcoholic Control for this purpose; or

c. He is using a commercial establishment or any part thereof for a
   private meeting or party limited in attendance to members or
   guests of a particular person, group, association, or organiza-
   tion, and said commercial establishment has obtained a permit
   from the State Board of Alcoholic Control for this purpose.

(5) Restaurants and Related Places.—It shall be unlawful for any person to
possess or consume any alcoholic beverages of any and all kinds, other
than fortified or sweet wines, which contain more than fourteen per-
cent (14%) of alcohol by volume, on the premises of any business
establishment which is not permitted under subdivisions (2), (3), or
(4), of this section, unless said establishment meets the following re-
quirements:

a. The premises have an inside dining area with a seating capacity
   of at least 36 persons, and a separate kitchen facility; and

b. The business is engaged primarily and substantially in the prep-
aration and serving of meals or furnishing of lodging; and pro-
vided further, the State Board of Alcoholic Control shall have
broad power to examine the type and nature of the business, and
the combination and location of separate or affiliated businesses
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at the same location to determine if the establishment is a bona
fide restaurant-type facility; and

c. The business has a valid permit from the State Board of Alcoholic
Control for this purpose, including the requirement that the
business post the type of notices required by said Board.

(6) Unlawful Possession or Use.—It shall be unlawful for:
a. Any person to drink alcoholic beverages or to offer a drink to
another person,
1. On the premises of a county or municipal liquor control
store, or
2. Upon any premises used or occupied by a county or mu-
nicipal alcoholic control board, or
3. On any public road, street or highway.
b. Any person to make any public display of alcoholic beverages at
any athletic contest.
c. Any person to possess or consume any alcoholic beverages upon
any of the premises designated under subdivisions (3), (4), or
(5) of this section, unless there is conspicuously displayed a
valid permit or notice on said premises from the State Board of
Alcoholic Control, as required therein.
d. Any person, association, or corporation to permit any alcoholic
beverages to be possessed or consumed upon any premises not
authorized pursuant to chapter 18, North Carolina General Stat-
tutes.
e. Any person to possess or consume any alcoholic beverages upon
any premises where not authorized by law, or where said per-
son has been forbidden to possess or consume alcoholic bever-
geases by the owner, operator or person in charge of said premises.
f. Any person, firm or corporation to refuse to surrender any permit
or notice upon request of the State Board of Alcoholic Control,
or to falsely display any such notice, or to display any notice not
permitted by the State Board of Alcoholic Control, or to obtain
any facsimile permit or notice from any person.

(7) Permits.—Any person, association or corporation making application for
a permit under subdivisions (3), (4) b, (4) c, or (5) of this section
shall file said application and appropriate fee with the State Board of
Alcoholic Control, and said Board shall have the exclusive authority,
not inconsistent herewith, in issuing any permit, or in renewing, sus-
pending or revoking any temporary or annual permit, pursuant to the
specific authority of G.S. 18-51, and each subdivision thereof, and pur-
suant to the other provisions of chapter 18, North Carolina General
Statutes. The additional provisions relating to said permits are as
follows:
a. Said Board may issue temporary permits where application in
proper form has been received, with applicable fees, which shall
be valid for 90 days unless sooner suspended or revoked. No
applicant or permittee shall be entitled to any hearing with ref-
ence to the issuance, suspension or revocation of any tempo-
rary permit.
b. Any temporary or annual permit shall be suspended or revoked by
said Board, upon the suspension or revocation of any other per-
mit or license by the State Board of Alcoholic Control, pursuant
to any other section of chapter 18, North Carolina General Stat-
tutes.
c. All annual permits issued under this section shall be valid until
May 1, 1968, unless sooner suspended or revoked, and there-
after all annual permits shall be valid for one year, renewable on May 1, 1968 and annually thereafter, unless sooner suspended or revoked.

d. Any person, association or corporation shall promptly surrender any permit issued hereunder upon request of said Board.

e. Before exercising any privilege granted hereunder, and immediately upon the receipt of any temporary or annual permit, said person, association, or corporation receiving the same, shall keep conspicuously displayed said permit, and in addition, shall post a notice or notices, approved by said Board, designating the type of permit that is applicable to the premises. The Board shall approve and designate the type of signs, notices, and exhibits that may be displayed or used on any premises.

f. All permits shall be the property of the State Board of Alcoholic Control, and no permit shall be transferable, and upon the termination of any business, or upon a change of ownership or control, all permits issued hereunder shall be immediately surrendered to said Board.

g. All permits shall be issued for a designated location, and may not be transferred to any other location; a separate permit being required for each separate location of any business.

h. Said Board shall not refuse the issuance of any permit to any person, firm or corporation who shall comply with the provisions of this article, and the issuance of a permit shall not be arbitrary in any case, but issuance of a permit shall be mandatory to any person, firm or corporation complying with the provisions of chapter 18, North Carolina General Statutes.

(8) Fees.—Applications for permits shall be accompanied by appropriate fees, payable to the State Board of Alcoholic Control, which shall not be refundable in case a permit is refused, suspended or revoked. No additional fees or licenses shall be collected by any county or municipality under this section, and the fees received by the State Board of Alcoholic Control shall be deposited with the State Treasurer of North Carolina, as in the case of any other permit fees collected by said Board.

No additional charge shall be imposed for any temporary permit, and the schedule of fees for the original permit is as follows:

   a. Two hundred dollars ($200.00) for a social establishment as defined in subdivision (3).
   b. Two hundred dollars ($200.00) for a commercial establishment as defined in subdivision (4) c.
   c. One hundred dollars ($100.00) for a restaurant as defined in subdivision (5) having less than 50 seating capacity.
   d. Two hundred dollars ($200.00) for a restaurant as defined in subdivision (5) having 50 or more seating capacity.
   e. Three hundred dollars ($300.00) for any establishment which obtains licenses under two or more of the foregoing schedules for the same premises.
   
   f. The annual renewal fees for such permits shall be twenty-five percent (25%) of the original permit as herein set forth.

(9) Penalty.—Violation of any provision of this section shall constitute a misdemeanor, and shall be punishable by fine, or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1937, c. 49, s. 16; c. 411; 1967, c. 222, s. 1; c. 1256, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1967 amendment rewrote this section, which formerly contained only one paragraph and prohibited drinking or offering drinks on the premises of stores, public roads or streets, and drunkenness, etc., in public places.
§ 18-51.1. Exceptions. — Notwithstanding the provisions of G.S. 18-51, the following provisions shall be applicable:

(1) Exemption from Fees.—No fee shall be charged by the State Board of Alcoholic Control for any permit issued under subdivision (7) of G.S. 18-51 to the State or any county or municipality, for any premises operated by the State, county or municipality.

(2) Local Laws.—Nothing in this article shall operate to repeal any of the local acts of the General Assembly of North Carolina prohibiting the possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages within any county, municipality, or portion thereof, and all such local acts shall continue in full force and effect and in concurrence herewith, until repealed or modified.

(3) Exemption of Counties.—Until at least one county or municipal alcoholic beverage control store has been lawfully established within any county, no permit shall be issued by the State Board of Alcoholic Control for the purposes defined in subdivision (5) of G.S. 18-51 to any person, association or corporation for premises located in said county. (1967, c. 222, s. 9; c. 1176.)

Local Modification.—Gaston, as to subdivision (3): 1967, c. 837.

Editor's Note. — Session Laws 1967, c. 1176, added the references to the State in subdivision (1).


§ 18-54. Advertising by radio broadcasts prohibited.


§ 18-58. Transportation into State; and purchases, other than from stores, prohibited.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to purchase in or to bring into this State, any alcoholic beverage from any source, except from a control store operated in accordance with this article, except a person may purchase legally outside of this State and bring into the same for his own personal use not more than one gallon of alcoholic beverage: Provided, that the container or containers of said alcoholic beverages are maintained within any vehicle as regulated and provided for in this article. A violation of this section shall constitute a misdemeanor, punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1937, c. 49, s. 22; 1955, c. 999; 1967, c. 222, s. 8.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment substituted "control" for "county" near the beginning of the first sentence, deleted "such" preceding "alcoholic beverage" immediately preceding the colon in the first sentence and rewrote the proviso to the first sentence.

The law with reference to the possession of whiskey or similar intoxicating beverages is this: Whether the area be wet or dry, conforming or nonconforming, a person may legally possess alcoholic liquors as defined by § 18-60 only in his private dwelling as provided by § 18-11 and while transporting not in excess of one gallon purchased out of the State or from an A.B.C. store within the State to his dwelling as provided by § 18-49 and this section. This has been the law since the passage of the A.B.C. Act of 1937. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to this section and § 18-51.

§ 18-60. Definition of "alcoholic beverage."

The law with reference to the possession of whiskey or similar intoxicating beverages is this: Whether the area be wet or dry, conforming or nonconforming, a person may legally possess alcoholic liquors as defined by this section only in his private dwelling as provided by § 18-11 and while transporting not in excess of one gallon purchased out of the State or from an A.B.C. store within the State to his dwelling as provided by § 18-49 and § 18-58. This has been the law since the passage of the A.B.C. Act of 1937. D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendments to §§ 18-11, 18-49, 18-51 and 18-58. Cited in National Food Stores v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 268 N.C. 624, 151 S.E.2d 582 (1966); D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 720, 152 S.E.2d 199 (1966).

§ 18-61. County elections as to liquor control stores; application of Turlington Act; time of elections.

Problem Recognized by Legislature.—The truth of the fact that, due to varying social and cultural differences within the State, the control of intoxicating liquors was not a subject easily susceptible of uni-

form regulation was recognized by the 1937 legislature when it, after the end of prohibition, adopted a "local option" plan of liquor control. Gardner v. City of Reidsville, 269 N.C. 581, 153 S.E.2d 139 (1967).

ARTICLE 4.

Beverage Control Act of 1939.

§ 18-64. Definitions.

Exemption from Turlington Act.—Beer and the other beverages defined in this section are exempted from the Turlington Act (§ 18-1 et seq.). D & W, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 268 N.C. 577, 151 S.E.2d 241 (1966).

Possession of More than Five Gallons of Beer.—Although any individual may possess beer as defined by this section for his own use without restriction or regulation as provided by § 18-66, defendant's possession of more than five gallons of beer in sixty king-size cans (7½ gallons) constituted prima facie evidence under § 18-32 that he had it for the purposes of sale. State v. Causby, 269 N.C. 747, 153 S.E.2d 467 (1967).


§ 18-66. Transportation.

Possession of More than Five Gallons of Beer.—Although any individual may possess beer as defined by § 18-64 for his own use without restriction or regulation as provided by this section, defendant’s possession of more than five gallons of beer in sixty king-size cans (7½ gallons) consti-

tuted prima facie evidence under § 18-32 that he had it for the purposes of sale. State v. Causby, 269 N.C. 747, 153 S.E.2d 467 (1967).


§ 18-67. Manufacture. — The brewing or manufacture of beverages for sale enumerated in § 18-64 shall be permitted in this State upon the payment of an annual license tax to the Commissioner of Revenue in the sum of five hundred dollars ($500.00) for a period ending on the next succeeding thirtieth day of April and annually thereafter. The license specified in this section shall not be issued for the manufacture of the beverages described in § 18-64 (2) unless the applicant for license exhibits a valid permit from the State Board of Alcoholic Control to engage in the business of selling such beverages for resale, as provided in this chapter. Persons licensed under this section may sell such beverages in barrels, bottles, or other closed containers only to persons licensed under the provisions of this article for resale, and no other license tax shall be levied upon the business taxed in this section. Provided, that pursuant to the rules and regulations of the State Board of Alcoholic Control, the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64 to nonresident wholesalers is authorized when the purchase is not for resale in this State. The sale of malt, hops, and other ingredients
used in the manufacture of beverages for sale enumerated in § 18-64 is hereby permitted and allowed: Provided, that any person engaged in the business of manufacturing in this State the wines described in § 18-64, subdivision (2) shall be required to pay the following tax based on the number of gallons manufactured:

Where not more than one hundred gallons are manufactured for sale ....... $ 5.00
Where one hundred gallons and not more than two hundred gallons are manufactured for sale ................................................. 10.00
Where two hundred gallons and not more than five hundred gallons are manufactured for sale ................................................. 25.00
Where five hundred gallons and not more than one thousand gallons are manufactured for sale ................................................. 50.00
Where one thousand gallons and not more than two thousand five hundred gallons are manufactured for sale ................................................. 200.00
Where two thousand five hundred gallons or more are manufactured for sale ................................................. 250.00

When a licensed resident manufacturer of the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64 (1) procures proper license under this section, it may receive the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64 (1) which are manufactured by it at some point outside this State, but within the United States, for transshipment to dealers in other states, provided that such resident manufacturer is actually engaged in the manufacturing in this State of the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64 (1). Such shipments of the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64(1) for transshipment to other states shall be kept segregated by the resident manufacturer in its warehouse from any such North Carolina tax paid beverages and shall comply with any and all rules and regulations promulgated by the Commissioner of Revenue and the North Carolina Board of Alcoholic Control.

Nothing in this article shall be construed to impose any tax upon any resident citizen of this State who makes native wines for the use of himself, his family and guests from fruits, grapes and berries cultivated or grown wild upon his own land. (1939, c. 158, s. 504; 1945, c. 903, s. 4; 1967, c. 162, s. 1; c. 867, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added that part of the first paragraph that follows the tax schedule. Section 4 of c. 163, Session Laws 1967, provides: "Nothing herein shall be construed to amend, modify or repeal the provisions of G.S. 81-14.3 or G.S. 81-18; otherwise, all laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act are hereby repealed."

The second 1967 amendment inserted the first proviso in the opening paragraph.

§ 18-72. Character of license.

§ 18-73. Retail license issued for sale of wines.

(1) "On premises" licenses shall be issued only to bona fide hotels, cafeterias, cafes and restaurants which shall have a Grade A rating from the State Department of Health, and shall authorize the licensees to sell at retail for consumption on the premises designated in the license; provided, no such license shall be issued except to such hotels, cafeterias, cafes and restaurants where prepared food is customarily sold and only to such as are licensed under the provisions of subsection (a) of § 105-62. (1967, c. 1110, s. 10.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, deleted "§ 105-62; provided further, no such license shall be issued to persons or places which are licensed only under," formerly appearing between "of" and "subsection" near the end of subdivision (1). As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subdivision (1) is set out.
§ 18-76. County license to sell at retail.
Local Modification. — Onslow: 1967, c. 373.

§ 18-78. Revocation or suspension of license or permit; confiscation of beverages not meeting standards of State Board of Alcoholic Control; rule making power of Board; refusal to surrender permit.


A permit is a privilege granted only to those who meet the standards which the Board has set up and, should be, revoked if the permittee fails to keep faith with the Board by observing its regulations and obeying the laws of the State. J. Lampros Wholesale, Inc. v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 265 N.C. 679, 144 S.E.2d 895 (1965).

Revocation of Permit Requires Notice and Hearing.—Before a permit may be revoked the permittee is entitled to notice and a hearing before the Board. J. Lampros Wholesale, Inc. v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 265 N.C. 679, 144 S.E.2d 895 (1965).

Authority of Board.—Authority to conduct a hearing and determine whether a State retail (or wholesale) beer permit should be revoked is lodged in the State Board of Alcoholic Control by this section. J. Lampros Wholesale, Inc. v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 265 N.C. 679, 144 S.E.2d 895 (1965).

§ 18-78.1. Prohibited acts under license for sale of malt beverages and wines for consumption on or off premises.

Subdivision (1) of this section and § 18-90.1 (1) will be construed together and harmonized to give effect to a consistent legislative policy. National Food Stores v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 268 N.C. 624, 151 S.E.2d 582 (1966).

And the specific provisions of subdivision (1) prevail over the general provisions of § 18-90.1 (1) in regard to the sale at retail of beer and wine under a license from the A.B.C. Board. National Food Stores v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 268 N.C. 624, 151 S.E.2d 582 (1966).

The fact that the A.B.C. Board proceeds under § 18-90.1 instead of this section in suspending a license to sell beer and wine does not authorize the A.B.C. Board to suspend the license for violation of § 18-90.1. National Food Stores v. North Carolina Bd. of Alcoholic Control, 268 N.C. 624, 151 S.E.2d 582 (1966).

Sale of Beer to Minor Unknownly on Single Occasion.—Under the provisions of subdivision (1) of this section, the sale of beer or wine to a person under eighteen years of age by a licensee or an employee of a licensee is ground for the suspension or revocation of the license only if the sale was knowingly made to such minor; therefore, evidence that an employee of the licensee sold beer on a single occasion to a seventeen year old boy, without any evidence that the employee or the licensee...


§ 18-79. State license; sale of "short-filled" packages by manufacturers to employees.—Every person who intends to engage in the business of retail sale of the beverages enumerated in § 18-64, subdivision (1) shall also apply for and procure a State license from the Commissioner of Revenue.

For the first license issued to each licensee five dollars ($5.00), and for each additional license issued to one person an additional tax of ten percent (10%) of the five dollars base tax shall be charged. That is to say, that for the second license issued the tax shall be five dollars and fifty cents ($5.50) annually, for third license six dollars ($6.00) annually, and an additionally fifty cents (50c.) per annum for each additional license issued to such person.

A resident manufacturer of the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64 (1) may sell "short-filled" packages to its employees for the sole use of said employees, members of their families and bona fide guests in this State provided that such manufacturer sells only such "short-filled" packages on which the appropriate North Carolina taxes have been paid or will be paid, based upon the size of the bottle or container short filled. Any sale made to any employee of said manufacturer under this section shall not be construed as a retail or wholesale sale under any other provisions of chapter 18 of the General Statutes of North Carolina and such manufacturer shall not be required by reason of such sales to obtain a permit or license as provided by this chapter. (1939, c. 158, ss.19, 20)

Editor’s Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added the last paragraph.

Section 4 of the 1967 amendatory act provides: “Nothing herein shall be construed to amend, modify or repeal the provisions of G.S. 81-14.3 or G.S. 81-18; otherwise, all laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act are hereby repealed.”

§ 18-81. Additional tax. — (a) In addition to the license taxes herein levied, a tax is hereby levied upon the sale of beverages enumerated in § 18-64, subdivision (1), of seven dollars and fifty cents ($7.50) per barrel of thirty-one gallons, or the equivalent of such tax in containers of more or less than thirty-one gallons, and in bottles or other containers of not more than six ounces, a tax of one and one-fourth cents (1 ¼¢) per bottle or container, and in bottles or other containers of more than six ounces and not more than twelve ounces, a tax of two and one-half cents (2 ½¢) per bottle or container, and in bottles or containers of the capacity of one quart, or its equivalent, a tax of six and two-thirds cents (6 ⅔¢) per bottle or container. Provided fruit cider of alcoholic content not exceeding that provided in this article may be sold in bottles or other containers of not more than six ounces at a tax of five eighths of a cent (5/8ths of 1¢) per bottle or container.

Wholesale distributors and importers may, at their option, pay the tax levied in this subsection at the rate of twenty-one one hundredths of a cent (.21¢) per ounce when the beverages taxed herein contained in bottles of over six ounces.

(a1) In addition to all other taxes levied in this chapter, there is hereby levied an additional tax or surtax upon the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-
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64, subdivision (1), of three dollars ($3.00) per barrel of thirty-one gallons, or the equivalent of such tax in containers of more or less than thirty-one gallons, and in bottles or other containers of not more than six ounces, a tax of one half of one cent (½¢) per bottle or container, and in bottles or other containers of more than six ounces and not more than twelve ounces, a tax of one cent (1¢) per bottle or container, and in bottles or containers of the capacity of one quart, or its equivalent, a tax of two and two-thirds cents (2½¢) per bottle or container. Notwithstanding any provisions of subsection (t) [subsection (p)] of this section, none of the revenues collected pursuant to the tax imposed by this subsection shall be allocated or distributed to any county or municipality, but all of said revenue derived from the increase in tax rates imposed by this subsection shall be paid into the general fund of the State. Every person, firm or corporation who owns or possesses any of the beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 on July 1, 1955, for the purpose of sale in this State shall file with the Commissioner of Revenue not later than July 20, 1955, a complete inventory of such beverages and pay to the Commissioner of Revenue the tax imposed by this subsection with respect to all such beverages on hand on said July 1, 1955. The Commissioner of Revenue shall prescribe the form and manner of making such inventory reports and the method of evidencing the payment of the tax herein imposed with respect to said inventory of said beverages.

Wholesale distributors and importers may, at their option, pay the tax levied in this subsection at the rate of nine one hundredths of a cent (.09¢) per ounce when the beverages taxed herein are contained in bottles of over six ounces.

(a2) Notwithstanding any other provisions of subsection (a) of G.S. 18-81, as amended by chapter 1313 of the 1955 Session Laws, the rate of the tax therein imposed in said subsection (a) of G.S. 18-81 with respect to beverages described in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 shall be one and one-half cents (1½¢) per bottle or container with respect to such beverages in bottles or other containers of exactly seven ounces.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of subsection (al) of G.S. 18-81, as enacted by chapter 1313 of the 1955 Session Laws, the rate of additional tax or surtax therein imposed in said subsection (al) of G.S. 18-81, said subsection being an amendment to G.S. 18-81, with respect to beverages described in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 shall be six tenths of one cent (.6¢) per bottle or container with respect to such beverages in bottles or other containers of exactly seven ounces.

Except as herein provided, all provisions of article 4 of chapter 18 of the General Statutes shall be applicable with respect to the taxes imposed by this subsection in the same manner and to the same extent said provisions are applicable to other taxes imposed in said article with respect to beverages described in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64.

The provisions of this subsection shall not be applicable with respect to beverages in bottles or containers in other than those of exactly seven ounces, and the provisions of G.S. 18-81, as amended by said chapter 1313, above referred to, shall be applicable to said beverages in any other size containers, and the taxes therein imposed with respect to beverages in containers of more than six but not more than twelve ounces shall be applicable with respect to said beverages in containers of more than seven but not more than twelve ounces.

(b) Each licensed wholesale distributor and importer of beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 shall pay the excise tax levied by this article on said beverages on or before the fifteenth day of the month following the calendar month in which they are first sold or disposed of within this State by said wholesale distributor and importer.

(c) Each of the licensees responsible for the payment of the excise tax levied by this article shall, on or before the fifteenth of each month, file a report, verified on forms provided by the Commissioner of Revenue, showing, for the preceding
calendar month, the exact quantities of beverages, enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64, by size and type of container:

(1) Constituting his beginning and ending inventory for the month;
(2) Shipped to him from inside this State and received by him in this State;
(3) Shipped to him from outside this State and received by him in this State;
(4) Sold or disposed of by him in this State;
(5) Sold by him in this State to army, navy, air force and coast guard services of the United States and their organized personnel separately indicating those sales or transactions of beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 to which the excise tax is not applicable;
(6) Sold or disposed of by him to persons outside this State, separately indicating those sales or transactions of beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 to which the excise tax is not applicable.

The report, on forms prescribed by the Commissioner of Revenue, shall also show the amount of excise tax payable, after allowance for all proper deductions, for all such beverages sold or disposed of by him in this State, and shall include such additional information as the Commissioner of Revenue may require for the proper administration of this article. Payment of the excise tax levied by this article in the amount disclosed by the report, shall accompany the report, and shall be paid to the Commissioner of Revenue. Each wholesale distributor and importer required to file a return shall keep complete and accurate books, papers, invoices and other records as may be necessary to substantiate the accuracy of his report and the amount of excise tax due, and shall retain such records for a period of three years, subject to the use and inspection of the Commissioner of Revenue or his agents.

(d) Any person required by this section to retain books, papers, invoices and other records, who fails to produce same upon demand by the Commissioner of Revenue or his agent, unless such nonproduction is due to providential or other causes beyond his control, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court.

(e) Each manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler, and foreign wholesaler licensed by the North Carolina Commissioner of Revenue to sell and/or deliver any of the beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 in North Carolina, at the time it sells and/or delivers such beverages to a licensed North Carolina wholesale distributor or importer shall furnish to each such wholesale distributor or importer a sales ticket or invoice in duplicate, and a third copy to the Commissioner of Revenue, with the following information written thereon:

(1) The name and address of the manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler, or foreign wholesaler making the delivery and/or sale;
(2) The name, address and license number of the wholesale distributor or importer receiving the shipment, and/or making the purchase;
(3) The exact number of barrels, kegs or cases delivered and/or purchased, specifying the size and type of container.

(f) Each manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler or foreign wholesaler licensed by the Commissioner of Revenue to sell and/or deliver beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99 in North Carolina shall prepare and file a monthly report, verified on forms provided by the Commissioner of Revenue, showing the exact number of barrels, kegs or cases, specifying the size and type of container, of such beverages sold to licensed wholesale distributors or importers during the previous calendar month. This report must be filed with the Commissioner of Revenue on or before the fifteenth day of each calendar month following the month during which the sales are made. Each manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler or foreign wholesaler shall retain copies of such sales records for a period of three years, subject to the use and inspection of the Commissioner of Revenue or his agents.
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(g) Persons operating boats, dining cars, buffet cars or club cars upon or in which malt beverages are sold, shall keep such records of the sales of such beverages in this State as the Commissioner of Revenue shall prescribe and shall submit monthly reports of such sales to the Commissioner of Revenue upon a form prescribed therefor by the Commissioner of Revenue, and shall pay the excise tax levied under this article at the time such reports are filed.

(h) On the total excise tax due upon the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99, levied by this article, the Commissioner of Revenue shall allow a discount of two percent (2%). Said discount shall constitute compensation allowed by the State of North Carolina to wholesale distributors and importers for spoilage and breakage and for expenses incurred in the preparation of monthly reports and the maintenance of books, papers and invoices and bond required by this article. Provided that no compensation or refund shall be made for tax-paid beverages given as free goods or advertising.

(i) In addition to the allowance of a discount on the excise tax due from wholesale distributors or importers, as provided in subsection (h) of this section, the wholesale distributor or importer shall not be required to pay the excise tax on any beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99, destroyed or spoiled or otherwise rendered unsalable in a major disaster, upon adequate proof of same. For the purposes of this subsection a major disaster shall be defined as the destruction, spoilage or unsalability of 50 or more cases, or their equivalent, of beverages described in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 or of 25 or more cases, or their equivalent, of beverages described in subdivision (2) of G.S. 18-64, and G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99.

(j) The Commissioner of Revenue shall promulgate rules and regulations to relieve resident manufacturers, wholesale distributors and importers from the liability of paying the excise tax levied and imposed on beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 which are intended to be sold and are thereafter sold to army, navy, air force and coast guard services of the United States and their organized personnel in this State or which are intended to be shipped and are thereafter shipped out of this State by such resident manufacturers, wholesale distributors or importers for resale outside of this State or which are intended for use or consumption by or on oceangoing vessels which ply the high seas in interstate or foreign commerce in the transport of freight and/or passengers for hire exclusively, when delivered to an officer or agent of such vessel for use by or on such vessel.

(j1) Each manufacturer or bottler manufacturing beverages within or outside the State of North Carolina which are intended to be sold and are thereafter sold to the army, navy, air force, coast guard services, or any other military establishment in North Carolina, shall identify such beverages by placing on the label, crown, can end or kegs the phrase "For Military Use Only," any and all laws, regulations, and requirements to the contrary notwithstanding. Provided that all other beverages described in G.S. 18-64 (1) intended for sale in North Carolina shall bear no special identification other than proprietary crowns, lids or stamps.

(k) If the excise tax levied and imposed in this section shall not be paid when due by the wholesale distributor or importer responsible therefor, there shall be added to the amount of the tax as a penalty, a sum equivalent to ten percent (10%) thereof, and in addition thereto interest on the tax and penalty at the rate of one half of one percent (½ of 1%) per month or fraction of a month from the date the tax became due until paid. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to relieve any licensee otherwise liable from liability for payment of the excise tax.

(1) Any person who shall fail, neglect, or refuse to comply with or shall violate any provisions of this section, for which no specific penalty is provided, or who shall refuse to permit the Commissioner of Revenue or his agents to examine his books, papers, invoices and other records, his store of beverages in and upon any premises where the same are manufactured, bottled, stored, sold, offered for sale.
or held for sale, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court.

(m) The Commissioner of Revenue is hereby charged with the enforcement of the provisions of this section and hereby authorized and empowered to prescribe, adopt, promulgate, and enforce rules and regulations relating to any matter or thing pertaining to the administration and enforcement of the provisions of this section, and the collection of taxes, penalties, and interest imposed by this article.

(n) The Commissioner of Revenue is hereby authorized to prescribe, adopt, promulgate, and enforce the rules and regulations relating to the transportation of beverages enumerated in § 18-64 through this State, and from points outside of this State to points within this State, and to prescribe, adopt, promulgate and enforce rules and regulations reciprocal to those of, or laws of, any other state or territory affecting the transportation of beverages manufactured in this State.

(o) In addition to the license taxes herein levied, a tax is hereby levied upon the sale of beverages described in G.S. 18-64, subdivision (2) at the rate of sixty cents (60¢) per gallon.

Each licensed wholesale distributor and importer of beverages enumerated in subdivision (2) of G.S. 18-64 shall pay the excise tax levied by this article on said beverages on or before the fifteenth day of the month following the calendar month in which they are first sold or disposed of within the State by said licensed wholesale distributor or importer. The provisions of subsection (c) through (1) inclusive, of this section, shall also be applicable to the control of the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, subdivision (2), G.S. 18-96 and G.S.18-99.

(p) From the taxes collected annually under subsection (a) an amount equivalent to forty-seven and one-half percent (47½%) thereof, and from the taxes collected annually under subsection (o) an amount equivalent to one half thereof shall be allocated and distributed, upon the basis herein provided, to counties and municipalities wherein such beverages may be licensed to be sold at retail under the provisions of this article. The amounts distributable to each county and municipality entitled to the same under the provisions of this subsection shall be determined upon the basis of population therein as shown by the latest federal decennial census. Where such beverages may be licensed to be sold at retail in both the county and municipality, allocation of such amounts shall be made to both the county and the municipality on the basis of population. Where such beverages may be licensed to be sold at retail in a municipality wherein the sale of such beverages is otherwise prohibited, allocation of such amounts shall be made to the municipality on the basis of population; provided, however, that where the sale of such beverages is prohibited within defined areas within a county or municipality, the amounts otherwise distributable to such county or municipality on the basis of population shall be reduced in the same ratio that such areas bear to the total area of the county or municipality, and the amount of such reduction shall be retained by the State: Provided, further, that if said area within a county is a municipality for which the population is shown by the latest federal decennial census, reduction of such amounts shall be based on such population rather than on area. The Commissioner of Revenue shall determine the amounts distributable to each county and municipality, for the period July 1st, 1947, to September 30th, 1947, inclusive, and shall distribute such amounts within sixty (60) days thereafter; and the Commissioner of Revenue annually thereafter shall determine the amounts distributable to each county and municipality for each twelve-month period ending September 30th and shall distribute such amounts within sixty (60) days thereafter.

The taxes levied in this section are in addition to the taxes levied in Schedule E of the Revenue Act.

(q) Each nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler, and foreign wholesaler of beverages enumerated in subdivision (1) of G.S. 18-64 of this article, then licensed by the Commissioner of Revenue to sell and/or deliver such beverages in
North Carolina shall, if required by the Commissioner of Revenue, on or before January 15, 1968, make an advance lump sum excise tax payment, in cash or equivalent, to the Commissioner of Revenue, in an amount equal to each such nonresident manufacturer's, nonresident wholesaler's and foreign wholesaler's highest two months' tax liability for tax crowns, lids and stamps during the twelve-month period ending June 30, 1967. Each such advance lump sum excise tax payment shall be credited to the account of such nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler and foreign wholesaler by the Commissioner of Revenue, and, beginning on the first day of January 1969, and on the first day of each month thereafter, a refund in the amount of one twelfth of each advance lump sum excise tax payment shall be made by the Commissioner of Revenue to such nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler, or foreign wholesaler, until the total amount of such refunds equals the total amount of such advance lump sum excise tax payment.

(r) As of the close of business on December 31, 1967, each nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler and foreign wholesaler then licensed by the Commissioner of Revenue to sell and/or deliver in North Carolina the beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99 shall take an inventory of all North Carolina tax-paid crowns, lids and stamps, affixed and unaffixed, in his possession and control and shall submit the results of such inventory to the North Carolina Commissioner of Revenue no later than January 15, 1968, verified on forms provided by the Commissioner.

Upon receipt of each such verified inventory, the Commissioner of Revenue shall satisfy himself as to the accuracy of each such inventory and shall determine the total amount of tax payment represented thereby.

(s) Each nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler and foreign wholesaler in possession of unaffixed tax-paid stamps as of the close of business on December 31, 1967, shall surrender such tax-paid stamps to the Commissioner of Revenue within 60 days thereafter and shall claim refund therefor.

(t) Each nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler and foreign wholesaler may claim refunds on his monthly report due on or before January 15, 1968, for the full amount of the tax paid by the affixation, before January 1, 1968, of stamps, crowns or lids to the original containers of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99, which containers are still in his possession and control on January 1, 1968. The Commissioner of Revenue shall provide for a refund in the amount of the tax paid:

1. For said stamps, crowns and lids affixed before January 1, 1968 to containers in the possession and control of such manufacturer or wholesaler on January 1, 1968;
2. For tax stamps returned unused to the Commissioner within 60 days after January 1, 1968; and
3. For tax crowns and lids as to which the nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler or foreign wholesaler has submitted satisfactory proof to the Commissioner, on or before January 15, 1968, that said tax crowns and lids were in his possession as unused inventory on January 1, 1968.

The total of the refunds provided for in this subsection shall be credited to the account of said nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler or foreign wholesaler in the same manner as that provided in subsection (q) of this section and shall be refunded to said nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler or foreign wholesaler in the same manner and in accordance with the schedule set forth in that subsection.

Each nonresident manufacturer, nonresident wholesaler and foreign wholesaler shall, after determination of the amount of refund due him for his crown and lid inventory on January 1, 1968, thereafter be permitted to use the crowns and lids constituting that inventory on the beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96
and G.S. 18-99, solely as closures, without such use indicating payment of the North Carolina excise tax.

(u) As of the close of business on December 31, 1967, each wholesale distributor and importer licensed to sell beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99 shall take an inventory of all such beverages in his possession and control having tax-paid crowns, lids and stamps affixed thereto and shall submit, verified on forms provided by the Commissioner, the results of such verified inventory to the Commissioner of Revenue no later than January 15, 1968. Upon receipt of each such verified inventory, the Commissioner of Revenue shall satisfy himself as to the accuracy of each such inventory and shall determine the total amount of the tax payment represented thereby.

Each wholesale distributor and importer may claim credit or refund on his monthly report due on or before January 15, 1968, for the full amount of the tax represented by the inventory filed as required by this subsection. The Commissioner of Revenue shall provide for a credit or refund equal to the full amount of said tax to each wholesale distributor or importer claiming same.

Each wholesale distributor or importer shall, after determination of the amount of credit or refund due him, thereafter be permitted to sell or otherwise dispose of all beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99 to which tax-paid crowns, lids or stamps are affixed, which are in his possession and control as of the close of business on December 31, 1967, and which have been reported in the inventory required by this subsection; provided that said crowns, lids or stamps shall not be considered evidence that the excise tax has been paid, on the beverages to which they are affixed. (1939, c. 158, s. 517; c. 370, s. 1; 1941, c. 50, s. 7; c. 339, s. 4; 1943, c. 400, s. 6; c. 564, 565; 1945, c. 708, s. 6; 1947, c. 1084, ss. 7-9; 1951, c. 1162, s. 1; 1955, c. 1313, s. 6; c. 1370; 1957, c. 1340, s. 11; 1963, c. 460, s. 3; c. 992, s. 2; 1967, c. 162, s. 3; c. 759, ss. 1-20.)

Editor's Note.—
The first 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added the following provisions to subsection (d) of this section as it appears in the replacement volume:

“Provided, the beverages defined in G.S. 18-64 (1) may be shipped by a resident manufacturer to itself in this State or from this State without the tax-paid crown or lid being affixed thereto, when such beverages are for taste purposes only and as a part of its laboratory function of its manufacturing operation. The shipment of such beverages for taste purposes only shall first be approved by the North Carolina Board of Alcoholic Control and properly identified as required by said Board prior to shipment into this State.”

Section 4 of the first 1967 amendatory act provides: “Nothing herein shall be construed to amend, modify or repeal the provisions of G.S. 81-14.3 or G.S. 81-18; otherwise, all laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act are hereby repealed.”

The second 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, rewrote this section.

The subsection designated (j1) in the section as set out above was designated (jj) in the second 1967 amendatory act.

The reference to subsection (p) has been inserted in brackets in the first paragraph of subsection (a1), since former subsection (t) was redesignated (p) by the second 1967 amendatory act.

§ 18-82. By whom excise taxes payable.—The excise tax levied in G.S. 18-81 upon the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, subdivision (1) shall be paid to the Commissioner of Revenue by the wholesale distributor or importer of such beverages, and the excise tax levied in G.S. 18-81 upon the sale of beverages enumerated in G.S. 18-64, subdivision (2), G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99 shall be paid to the Commissioner of Revenue by the wholesale distributor or importer of such beverages; provided that the excise tax levied in G.S. 18-81 shall be paid and collected on the same beverages only once. The Commissioner of Revenue shall require each wholesale distributor or importer to furnish bond in an indemnity company licensed to do business under the insurance laws of this State in such sums as the Commissioner of Revenue shall find adequate to cover the tax liability of each such wholesale distributor or importer, proportioned to the volume
of business of each such wholesale distributor or importer, but in no event to be
less than one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or more than fifty thousand dollars
($50,000.00), or to deposit federal, State, county or municipal bonds in required
amounts, such county and municipal bonds to be approved by the Commissioner
of Revenue. The Commissioner of Revenue may grant such extension of time for
compliance with this condition as may be found reasonable. (1939, c. 158, s. 518;
1941, c. 339, s. 4; 1967, c. 759, s. 21.)

Editor’s Note.—
The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1,
1968, rewrote this section.

§ 18-83.2. Importers to be licensed.
(b): Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 759, s. 22.
(c): Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 759, s. 22. (1957, c. 1244; 1967, c.
759, s. 22.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, struck out former
sections (b) and (c), relating to tax-
paid crowns or lids and tax-paid wine
stamps.

§ 18-85.1. Tax on fortified wines.—In addition to other taxes levied in
this article, there is hereby levied a tax upon the sale of fortified wines as defined
in §§ 18-96 and 18-99 of seventy cents (70¢) per gallon. (1951, c. 1162, s. 3; 1955,
c. 1313, s. 6; 1967, c. 759, s. 23.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, struck out former
provisions of this section relating to tax
stamps and other methods of collecting
the taxes levied by this section.

§ 18-88.1. Wine for sacramental purposes exempt from tax.—The
tax levied in this article upon the sale of beverages described in § 18-64 (2) shall
not apply to sacramental wines received by ordained ministers of the gospel under
the provisions of § 18-21. (1945, c. 708, s. 6.)

Editor’s Note.—This section is set out in
the Supplement to correct an error appear-
ing in the replacement volume.

§ 18-88.2. Exemption of beer, etc., sold to oceangoing vessels.—
The taxes levied in this article upon the sale of beverages described in G.S. 18-64
(1) shall not apply or be chargeable against any manufacturer, bottler, wholesaler,
or distributor on any of such beverages sold and delivered for use or consumption
by or on oceangoing vessels which ply the high seas in interstate or foreign com-
merce in the transport of freight and/or passengers for hire exclusively, when de-
ivered to an officer or agent of such vessel for use of such vessel; provided, how-
ever, that sales of beverages described in § 18-64 (1) made to officers, agents,
members of the crew or passengers of such vessels for their personal use shall not
be exempted from payment of such taxes. (1963, c. 992, s. 1; 1967, c. 759, s. 24.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, struck out the
former last sentence, relating to sale and
delivery of beverages to oceangoing ves-
sels without having affixed thereto tax-
paid lids or crowns.

§ 18-90.1. Sale to or purchase by minors.—It shall be unlawful for:
(1) Any person, firm or corporation knowingly to sell or give any of the
products described in G.S. 18-64 to any minor under 18 years of age.
(2) Any minor under 18 years of age to purchase or possess, or for anyone
to aid or abet such minor in purchasing any of the products described
in G.S. 18-64
(3) Any person, firm or corporation knowingly to sell or give any of the
products described in G.S. 18-60 to any minor under 21 years of age.
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§ 18-99.1 Manufacturers and bottlers of fortified and sweet wines.

—Any person, firm or corporation authorized to do business in North Carolina may, subject to the laws of this State and the rules and regulations of the North Carolina Board of Alcoholic Control, engage in the business of manufacturing, producing and bottling of fortified and sweet wines as defined in G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99, and is hereby authorized and permitted to manufacture, purchase, import and transport brandy and other ingredients and equipment used in the manufacture of fortified and sweet wines; provided, that G.S. 18-49.1 shall be applicable to the transportation of fortified and sweet wines, alcohol, and brandy used in the manufacture thereof.

The same annual license tax imposed upon manufacturers and bottlers of unfortified wines in G.S. 18-67 and G.S. 18-68 shall be paid by the manufacturer and bottler of fortified and sweet wines.

Fortified and sweet wines manufactured and bottled under this section may be sold as now authorized and shall be taxed as provided by statute. (1967, c. 614.)

ARTICLE 5.

Fortified Wine Control Act of 1941.

§ 18-99.1. Manufacturers and bottlers of fortified and sweet wines.

—Any person, firm or corporation authorized to do business in North Carolina may, subject to the laws of this State and the rules and regulations of the North Carolina Board of Alcoholic Control, engage in the business of manufacturing, producing and bottling of fortified and sweet wines as defined in G.S. 18-96 and G.S. 18-99, and is hereby authorized and permitted to manufacture, purchase, import and transport brandy and other ingredients and equipment used in the manufacture of fortified and sweet wines; provided, that G.S. 18-49.1 shall be applicable to the transportation of fortified and sweet wines, alcohol, and brandy used in the manufacture thereof.

The same annual license tax imposed upon manufacturers and bottlers of unfortified wines in G.S. 18-67 and G.S. 18-68 shall be paid by the manufacturer and bottler of fortified and sweet wines.

Fortified and sweet wines manufactured and bottled under this section may be sold as now authorized and shall be taxed as provided by statute. (1967, c. 614.)

ARTICLE 11.

Elections on Question of Sale of Wine and Beer.

§ 18-128.1. Certain wholesalers excepted. — Nothing in this article shall prevent bottlers, manufacturers or wholesalers of beer, who have complied with article 12 of chapter 18 of the General Statutes, from bottling, manufacturing, possessing, transporting or selling beer as a wholesaler to any person, firm or corporation who has complied with the provisions of article 12 of chapter 18 of the General Statutes, or, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the State Board of Alcoholic Control, selling to nonresident wholesalers when the purchase is not for resale in this State. (1951, c. 998, s. 1; 1967, c. 867, s. 2.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment added at the end of the section the provision as to selling to nonresident wholesalers.

Chapter 19.

Offenses Against Public Morals.

§ 19-1. What are nuisances under this chapter. — Whoever shall erect, establish, continue, maintain, use, own, or lease any building, erection, or place used for the purpose of lewdness, assignation, prostitution, gambling, illegal sale of whiskey, or illegal sale of beer, or illegal sale of narcotic drugs as defined in the Uniform Narcotic Drug Act is guilty of nuisance, and the building, erection, or place, or the ground itself, in or upon which such lewdness, assignation, prostitution, gambling, or illegal sale of whiskey, beer, or narcotic drugs is conducted, permitted, or carried on, continued, or exists, and the furniture, fixtures, musical instruments and contents, are also declared a nuisance, and shall be enjoined and abated as hereinafter provided. Provided, that the illegal sale of beer shall not be declared to be a nuisance where the person or building sought to be enjoined is
subject to the provisions of the Beverage Control Act of 1939. (Pub. Loc. 1913, c. 761, s. 25; 1919, c. 288; C. S., s. 3180; 1949, c. 1164; 1967, c. 142.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment made this section applicable to the illegal sale of beer, substituted “whiskey, beer, or narcotic drugs” for “liquor” near the middle of the section and added the proviso at the end of the section.

Admissibility of Evidence. — Where defendant was not charged with maintaining a nuisance, the admission of evidence tending to show the general reputation of defendant's premises was error. State v. Tessnear, 265 N.C. 319, 144 S.E.2d 43 (1965).


§ 19-3. When triable; evidence; dismissal of complaint.

Evidence, etc.—
This section, which makes evidence of the general reputation of the place admissible for the purpose of proving a nuisance, is not applicable where the defendant is not charged with maintaining a nuisance. State v. Tessnear, 265 N.C. 319, 144 S.E.2d 43 (1965).

Hence, evidence of the general reputation of defendant's premises is inadmissible in prosecutions for liquor law violations involving a charge of unlawful sale or possession of intoxicants at particular premises. State v. Tessnear, 265 N.C. 319, 144 S.E.2d 43 (1965).

§ 19-5. Order abating nuisance; what it shall contain.

Proceeding Is in Personam.—
A proceeding to abate a nuisance is not a proceeding in rem against the property itself, but is a proceeding in personam. State ex rel. Bowman v. Fipps, 266 N.C. 535, 146 S.E.2d 395 (1966).

And Lessor Must Have Knowledge before His Premises Can Be Padlocked.—
Before the court can padlock a lessor-own-
er's premises and deprive him of the possession of his property on account of a nuisance maintained thereon by his tenant, it must be established by verdict, in a proceeding to which the owner is a party, that he knew, or could by due diligence have known, that the nuisance was being maintained. State ex rel. Bowman v. Fipps, 266 N.C. 535, 146 S.E.2d 395 (1966).

§ 19-6. Application of proceeds of sale.


§ 19-8. Attorney’s fees may be taxed as costs.

Chapter 20.
Motor Vehicles.

Article 1A.
Reciprocity Agreements as to Registration and Licensing.
Sec. 20-4.6. Declarations of extent of reciprocity, when; deferral period for registration of vehicles owned by new residents.

Article 2.
Uniform Driver's License Act.
20-13.1. Revocation of license of provisional licensee upon conviction of moving violation in connection with accident resulting in personal injury or property damage.

Article 2A.
Afflicted, Disabled or Handicapped Persons.
20-37.2. Handicapped drivers—display of distinctive flags.
20-37.3. Handicapped drivers—issuance of flags and cards.
20-37.4. Handicapped drivers—unauthorized use of flag; violation of §§ 20-37.2 to 20-37.5.
20-37.5. Handicapped drivers—definition.

Article 3.
Part 3. Registration and Certificates of Titles of Motor Vehicles.
20-63.1. Department may cause plates to be reflectorized.

Part 4. Transfer of Title or Interest.
20-75. When transferee is dealer or insurance company.
20-77. Transfer by operation of law; sale under mechanic's or storage lien; unclaimed vehicles.

Part 5. Issuance of Special Plates.
20-81.3. Special personalized registration plates.

Part 6. Vehicles of Nonresidents of State, etc.
20-84. Vehicles owned by State, municipalities or orphanages, etc.; certain vehicles operated by local chapters of American National Red Cross.

Part 7. Title and Registration Fees.
20-101. For hire vehicles to be marked.

Sec. 20-130.2. Use of amber lights on certain vehicles.

20-139.1. Results of chemical analysis admissible in evidence; presumptions; use of ethyl alcohol in chemical testing, etc., programs.
20-140.2. Overloaded or overcrowded vehicle; persons riding on motorcycles to wear safety helmets.

Article 3A.
Part 2. Safety Equipment Inspection of Motor Vehicles.
20-183.8. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles to issue regulations subject to approval of Governor; penalties for violation; fictitious or unlawful safety inspection certificate.

Article 4.
State Highway Patrol.
20-196.2. Use of airplanes to discover violations of §§ 20-138 to 20-171; testimony of pilots and observers; declaration of policy.

Article 7.
20-219.1. Parked or abandoned vehicles removed from public highways.

Article 9A.
20-279.10. Custody, disposition and return of security; escheat.
20-279.17. [Repealed.]

Article 13.
20-309.1 Purchase of automobile insurance by minors.
§ 20-4.6

Article 183A.

Certification of Automobile Insurance Coverage by Insurance Companies.

Sec.
20-319.1. Company to forward certification within seven days after receipt of request.

20-319.2. Penalty for failure to forward certification.

Article 1A.

Reciprocity Agreements as to Registration and Licensing.

§ 20-4.6. Declarations of extent of reciprocity, when; deferral period for registration of vehicles owned by new residents. — In the absence of an agreement or arrangement with another jurisdiction, the Commissioner may examine the laws and requirements of such jurisdiction and declare the extent and nature of exemptions, benefits and privileges to be extended to vehicles properly registered or licensed in such other jurisdiction, or to the owners of such vehicles, which shall, in the judgment of the Commissioner, be in the best interest of this State and the citizens thereof and which shall be fair and equitable to this State and the citizens thereof, and all of the same shall be determined on the basis and recognition of the benefits which accrue to the economy of this State from the uninterrupted flow of commerce.

It is hereby provided that the owner of a private passenger vehicle who takes up residence in North Carolina on a permanent or temporary basis shall be exempt from the provisions of registration for a period of 30 days from the date that either permanent or temporary residence is established in North Carolina provided that his vehicle is properly licensed in the jurisdiction of which he is a resident or a former resident. (1961, c. 642, s. 1; 1967, c. 1166.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment added the second paragraph.

Article 2.

Uniform Driver's License Act.

§ 20-7. Operators' and chauffeurs' licenses; expiration; examinations; fees.

(f) The operators' licenses issued under this section shall automatically expire on the birthday of the licensee in the fourth year following the year of issuance; and no new license shall be issued to any operator after the expiration of his license until such operator has again passed the examination specified in this section. Any operator may at any time within sixty days prior to the expiration of his license apply for a new license and if the applicant meets the requirements of this article, the Department shall issue a new license to him. A new license issued within sixty days prior to the expiration of an applicant's old license or within twelve months thereafter shall automatically expire four years from the date of the expiration of the applicant's old license.

Provided, that any person serving in the armed forces of the United States on active duty and holding a valid operator's license properly issued under this section and stationed outside of the State of North Carolina may renew his license by making application to the Department by mail. In such cases, the Department may waive the examination and color photograph ordinarily required for the renewal of an operator's license, and may require in lieu thereof such statement as to the physical condition of the applicant and his ability to operate a motor vehicle safely as it may deem appropriate. Provided further, that the foregoing proviso shall not affect the validity of licenses extended under chapter 1284 of the Session Laws.
of 1953, but that all such licenses continued in force by the provisions of chapter 1284 of the Session Laws of 1953 shall expire on July 1, 1955.

(i) The fee for issuance or reissuance of an operator's license shall be three dollars and twenty-five cents ($3.25) and the fee for issuance or reissuance of a chauffeur's license shall be four dollars and seventy-five cents ($4.75).

(m) Every operator's or chauffeur's license issued by the Department shall bear thereon the distinguishing number assigned to the licensee and color photograph of the licensee of a size approved by the Commissioner and shall contain the name, age, residence address and a brief description of the licensee, who, for the purpose of identification and as a condition precedent to the validity of the license, immediately upon receipt thereof, shall endorse his or her regular signature in ink upon the same in the space provided for that purpose unless a facsimile of his or her signature appears thereon. Such license shall be carried by the licensee at all times while engaged in the operation of a motor vehicle. However, no person charged with failing to so carry such license shall be convicted, if he produces in court an operator's or chauffeur's license theretofore issued to him and valid at the time of his arrest.

(1967, c. 509.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, inserted "and color photograph" near the middle of the second paragraph of subsection (f), increased the fees in subsection (i) from $2.50 to $3.25 and $4.00 to $4.75, respectively, and inserted "and color photograph of the licensee of a size approved by the Commissioner" in the first sentence of subsection (m). As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subsections (f), (i) and (m) are set out. Applied in State v. Green, 266 N.C. 785, 147 S.E.2d 377 (1966).

§ 20-9. What persons shall not be licensed.

(d) No operator's or chauffeur's license shall be issued to any applicant who has been previously adjudged insane or an idiot, imbecile, or feebleminded, and who has not at the time of such application been restored to competency by judicial decree or released from a hospital for the insane or feebleminded upon a certificate of the superintendent that such person is competent, nor then unless the Department is satisfied that such person is competent to operate a motor vehicle with safety to persons and property.

(g) The Department may issue an operator's or chauffeur's license to any applicant covered by subsection (e) of this section under the following conditions:

(1) The Department may issue a license to any person who is afflicted with or suffering from physical or mental disability set out in subsection (e) of this section who is otherwise qualified to obtain a license, provided such person submits to the Department a certificate in the form prescribed in subdivision (2). Unless sooner revoked, suspended or cancelled, such license continues in force as long as the licensee presents to the Department one year from the date of issuance of such license and at yearly intervals thereafter a certificate in the form prescribed in subdivision (2), provided the Commissioner may require the submission of such certificate at six months intervals where in his opinion public safety demands. In no event shall a license issued pursuant to this section be valid beyond the birthday of the licensee in the fourth year following the year of issuance, at which time the license is subject to renewal.

(2) The Department shall not issue a license pursuant to this section unless the applicant has submitted to a physical examination by a physician or surgeon duly licensed to practice medicine in this State and unless such examining physician or surgeon has completed and signed the certificate required by subdivision (1). Such certificate shall be devised by the Commissioner with the advice of qualified experts in the field of
diagnosing and treating physical and mental disorders as he may select
to assist him and shall be designed to elicit the maximum medical in-
formation necessary to aid in determining whether or not it would be
a hazard to public safety to permit the applicant to operate a motor
vehicle, including, if such is the fact, the examining physician's state-
ment that the applicant is under medication and treatment and that
such person's physical or mental disability is controlled. The certifi-
cate shall contain a waiver of privilege and the recommendation of the
examining physician to the Commissioner as to whether a license
should be issued to the applicant.

(3) The Commissioner is not bound by the recommendation of the examining
physician but shall give fair consideration to such recommendation in
exercising his discretion in acting upon the application, the criterion
being whether or not, upon all the evidence, it appears that it is safe
to permit the applicant to operate a motor vehicle. The burden of proof
of such fact is upon the applicant. In deciding whether to issue or deny
a license, the Commissioner may be guided by opinion of experts in
the field of diagnosing and treating the specific physical or mental dis-
order suffered by an applicant and such experts may be compensated
for their services on an equitable basis. The Commissioner may also
take into consideration any other factors which bear on the issue of
public safety.

(4) Whenever a license is denied by the Commissioner, such denial may be
reviewed by a review board upon written request of the applicant
filed with the Department within 10 days after receipt of such denial.
The review board shall consist of the Commissioner or his autho-
rized representative and four persons designated by the chairman of
the State Board of Health. The persons designated by the chairman
of the State Board of Health shall be either members of the State
Board of Health or physicians duly licensed to practice medicine in this
State. The members so designated by the chairman of the State Board
of Health shall receive the same per diem and expenses as provided
by law for members of the State Board of Health, which per diem
and expenses shall be charged to the same appropriation as per diems
and expenses for members of the State Board of Health. The Com-
missioner or his authorized representative, plus any two of the mem-
bers designated by the chairman of the State Board of Health, con-
stitute a quorum. The procedure for hearings authorized by this section
shall be as follows:

a. Applicants shall be afforded an opportunity for hearing, after
reasonable notice of not less than 10 days, before the review
board established by subdivision (4). The notice shall be in
writing and shall be delivered to the applicant in person or sent
by registered mail, with return receipt requested. The notice
shall state the time, place, and subject of the hearing.

b. The review board may compel the attendance of witnesses and the
production of such books, records and papers as it desires at a
hearing authorized by the section. Upon request of an applicant,
a subpoena to compel the attendance of any witness or a sub-
poena duces tecum to compel the production of any books,
records, or papers shall be issued by the board. Subpoenas shall
be directed to the sheriff of the county where the witness re-
sides or is found and shall be served and returned in the same
manner as a subpoena in a criminal case. Fees of the sheriff and
witnesses shall be the same as that allowed in the county re-
corder's court or district court in cases before that court and
shall be paid in the same manner as other expenses of the Department of Motor Vehicles are paid. In any case of disobedience or neglect of any subpoena served on any person, or the refusal of any witness to testify to any matters regarding which he may be lawfully interrogated, the district court or superior court where such disobedience, neglect or refusal occurs, or any judge thereof, on application by the board, shall compel obedience or punish as for contempt.

c. A hearing may be continued upon motion of the applicant for good cause shown with approval of the board or upon order of the board.

d. The board shall pass upon the admissibility of evidence at a hearing but the applicant affected may at the time object to the board's ruling, and, if evidence offered by an applicant is rejected the party may profer the evidence, and such profer shall be made a part of the record. The board shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence which prevail in courts of law or equity and may admit and give probative value to evidence which possesses probative value commonly accepted by reasonably prudent men in the conduct of their affairs. They may exclude incompetent, immaterial, irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence. Uncontested facts may be stipulated by agreement between an applicant and the board and evidence relating thereto may be excluded. All evidence, including records and documents in the possession of the Department of Motor Vehicles or the board, of which the board desires to avail itself shall be made a part of the record. Documentary evidence may be received in the form of copies or excerpts, or by incorporation by reference. The board shall prepare an official record, which shall include testimony and exhibits. A record of the testimony and other evidence submitted shall be taken, but it shall not be necessary to transcribe shorthand notes or electronic recordings unless requested for purposes of court review.

e. Every decision and order adverse to an applicant shall be in writing or stated in the record and shall be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The findings of fact shall consist of a concise statement of the board's conclusions on each contested issue of fact. Counsel for applicant, or applicant, if he has no counsel, shall be notified of the board's decision in person or by registered mail with return receipt requested. A copy of the board's decision with accompanying findings and conclusions shall be delivered or mailed upon request to applicant's attorney of record or to applicant, if he has no attorney.

f. Actions of the reviewing board are subject to judicial review as provided under article 33 of chapter 143 of the General Statutes.

g. An applicant or licensee who has been denied a license pursuant to a hearing before the board may not file a new application until the expiration of two years after the date of such denial by the board.

h. All records and evidence collected and compiled by the Department and the reviewing board shall not be considered public records within the meaning of chapter [section] 132-1, and following, of the General Statutes of North Carolina and may be made available to the public only upon an order of a court of competent jurisdiction. All information furnished by or on behalf of an applicant under this section shall be without prejudice.
§ 20-10. Age limits for drivers of public passenger-carrying vehicles.—It shall be unlawful for any person, whether licensed under this article or not, who is under the age of twenty-one years to drive a motor vehicle while in use as a public passenger-carrying vehicle. For purposes of this section, an ambulance when operated for the purpose of transporting persons who are sick, injured, or otherwise incapacitated shall not be treated as a public passenger-carrying vehicle.

No person fourteen years of age or under, whether licensed under this article or not, shall operate any road machine, farm tractor or motor driven implement of husbandry on any highway within this State. Provided any person may operate a road machine, farm tractor, or motor driven implement of husbandry upon a highway adjacent to or running in front of the land upon which such person lives when said person is actually engaged in farming operations. (1935, c. 52, s. 5; 1951, c. 764; 1967, c. 343, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the second sentence of the first paragraph.

§ 20-11. Application of minors.—(a) The Department shall not grant the application of any minor between the ages of sixteen (16) and eighteen (18) years for an operator's license or a learner's permit unless such application is signed both by the applicant and by the parent, guardian, husband, wife or employer of the applicant, or, if the applicant has no parent, guardian, husband, wife or employer residing in this State, by some other responsible adult person. It shall be unlawful for any person to sign the application of a minor under the provisions of this section when such application misstates the age of the minor and any person knowingly violating this provision shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

The Department shall not grant the application of any minor between the ages of sixteen (16) and eighteen (18) years for an operator's license unless such minor presents evidence of having satisfactorily completed the driver training and safety education courses offered at the public high schools as provided in G.S. 20-88.1 or upon having satisfactorily completed a course of driving instruction offered at a licensed commercial driver training school or an approved nonpublic secondary school, provided instruction offered in such schools shall be approved by the State Commissioner of Motor Vehicles and the State Superintendent of Public Instruction and all expenses for such instruction shall be paid by the persons enrolling in such courses and/or by the schools offering them.

(1967, c. 694.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added to the second paragraph of subsection (a) the provisions following the reference to G.S. 20-88.1.

As subsection (b) was not changed by the amendment, it is not set out.
(b) The basis for departmental action, and the period of suspension, shall be as follows:
(1) For conviction of a second motor vehicle moving violation, in any twelve-month period, thirty (30) days;
(2) For conviction of a third such violation, in any twelve-month period, three (3) months;
(3) For conviction of a fourth such violation, in any twelve-month period, one (1) year.

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment deleted former subdivision (4) of subsection (b), relating to suspension for conviction of one violation in connection with an accident resulting in personal injury or property damage of one hundred dollars or more. As the rest of the section was not affected by the amendment, it is not set out.

§ 20-13.1. Revocation of license of provisional licensee upon conviction of moving violation in connection with accident resulting in personal injury or property damage.—The operator's license of a provisional licensee as defined in G.S. 20-13 may be suspended by the Department for a period of 60 days upon notice of such licensee's conviction of one motor vehicle moving violation in connection with a motor vehicle accident resulting in personal injury or property damage of more than one hundred dollars ($100.00). Upon suspending any license as herein provided, the Department shall immediately notify the licensee in writing, and, upon the request of the licensee's parent or guardian or someone standing in loco parentis to the child, afford him an opportunity for a hearing as early as practical within 20 days after receipt of the request in the county wherein the licensee resides or at some other place mutually agreed upon. Upon such hearing, the duly authorized agents of the Department may administer oaths and issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of relevant documents and may require reexamination. Upon such hearing, the Department may rescind, modify or affirm its order of suspension. (1967, c. 295, s. 2.)

§ 20-16. Authority of Department to suspend license.
(c) The Department shall maintain a record of convictions of every person licensed or required to be licensed under the provisions of this article as an operator or chauffeur and shall enter therein records of all convictions of such persons for any violation of the motor vehicle laws of this State and shall assign to the record of such person, as of the date of commission for the offense, a number of points for every such conviction in accordance with the following schedule of convictions and points, except that points shall not be assessed for convictions resulting in suspensions or revocations under other provisions of laws: Further, any points heretofore charged for violation of the motor vehicle inspection laws shall not be considered by the Department of Motor Vehicles as a basis for suspension or revocation of operator's or chauffeur's license:

Schedule of Point Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passing stopped school bus</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reckless driving</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hit and run, property damage only</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following too close</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving on wrong side of road</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal passing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running through stop sign</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding in excess of 55 miles per hour</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing to yield right-of-way</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running through red light</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No operator's license or license expired more than one year 3
Failure to stop for siren 3
Driving through safety zone 3
No liability insurance 3
Failure to report accident where such report is required 3
All other moving violations 2

The [above] provisions of this subsection shall only apply to violations and convictions which take place within the State of North Carolina.

No points shall be assessed for conviction of the following offenses:
Over loads
Over length
Over width
Over height
Illegal parking
Carrying concealed weapon
Improper plates
Improper registration
Improper muffler
Public drunk within a vehicle
Possession of liquor
Improper display of license plates or dealers' tags
Unlawful display of emblems and insignia
Failure to display current inspection certificate.

In case of the conviction of a licensee of two or more traffic offenses committed on a single occasion, such licensee shall be assessed points for one offense only and if the offenses involved have a different point value, such licensee shall be assessed for the offense having the greater point value.

Upon the restoration of the license or driving privilege of such person whose license or driving privilege has been suspended or revoked because of conviction for a traffic offense, any points that might previously have been accumulated in the driver's record shall be cancelled.

Whenever a licensee accumulates as many as four points hereunder, the Department shall mail a letter of warning to the licensee at his last known address, but failure to receive such warning letter shall not prevent a suspension under this subsection. Whenever a licensee accumulates as many as seven points, the Department may request the licensee to attend a conference regarding such licensee's driving record. The Department may also afford the licensee who has accumulated as many as seven points an opportunity to attend a driver improvement clinic operated by the Department and, upon the successful completion of the course taught at the clinic, three points shall be deducted from the licensee's conviction record; provided, that only one such deduction of points shall be made on behalf of any licensee.

When a license is suspended under the point system provided for herein, the first such suspension shall be for not more than sixty (60) days; the second such suspension shall not exceed six (6) months, and any subsequent suspension shall not exceed one year.

Whenever the operator's or chauffeur's license of any person is subject to suspension under this subsection and at the same time also subject to suspension or revocation under other provisions of laws, such suspensions or revocations shall run concurrently.

In the discretion of the Department, a period of probation may be substituted for suspension or for any unexpired period of suspension under G.S. 20-16 (a) (5) and this subsection. Such period of probation shall not exceed one year, and any violation of probation during the probation period shall result in a suspension for the period originally provided for under this subsection or for the
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remainder of any unexpired suspension period. Any accumulation of three or more points under this subsection during a period of probation shall constitute a violation of the condition of probation.

(1967, c. 16.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added, at the end of the first paragraph of subsection (c), the provision as to points "heretofore" charged for violation of the motor vehicle inspection laws and added to the list of offenses for which no points shall be assessed "Failure to display current inspection certificate." The amendatory act was ratified March 7, 1967 and became effective after its ratification.

As the rest of the section was not affected by the amendment, only subsection (c) is set out.

§ 20-16.2. Operation of motor vehicle deemed consent to alcohol test; manner of administering; refusal to undergo.

Editor's Note.—For article on tests for intoxication, see 45 N.C.L. Rev. 34 (1966).

§ 20-17. Mandatory revocation of license by Department.

(8) Conviction of using a false or fictitious name or giving a false or fictitious address in any application for an operator's or chauffeur's license, or any renewal or duplicate thereof, or knowingly making a false statement or knowingly concealing a material fact or otherwise committing a fraud in any such application or procuring or knowingly permitting or allowing another to commit any of the foregoing acts. (1935, c. 52, s. 12; 1947, c. 1067, s. 14, 1967, c. 1098; s. 2.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment affected by the amendment, only subdivision (8).

As the rest of the section was not affected subdivision (8). (8) is set out.

§ 20-20. Surrender of licenses.—Whenever any vehicle operator's license issued by the Department is cancelled, revoked or suspended under the terms of this chapter, the licensee shall surrender to the Department all vehicle operator's licenses and duplicates thereof issued to him by the Department which are in his possession. (1935, c. 52, s. 14; 1943, c. 649, s. 4; 1967, c. 280.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 20-28. Unlawful to drive while license suspended or revoked.—

(a) Any person whose operator's or chauffeur's license has been suspended or revoked other than permanently, as provided in this chapter, who shall drive any motor vehicle upon the highways of the State while such license is suspended or revoked shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and his license shall be suspended or revoked, as the case may be, for an additional period of one year for the first offense, two years for the second offense, and permanently for a third or subsequent offense; provided, any person whose license has been permanently suspended or revoked under this section may apply for a new license after three years from the commencement of the permanent suspension or revocation. Upon the filing of such application, the Department may, with or without a hearing, issue a new license upon satisfactory proof that the former licensee has been of good behavior for a minimum of three years from the last date of suspension or revocation and that his conduct and attitude are such as to entitle him to favorable consideration.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this section, in those cases of conviction of the offense provided in this section in which the judge and solicitor of the court wherein a conviction for violation of this section was obtained recommend in writing to the Department that the Department examine into the facts of the case and exercise discretion in suspending or revoking the driver's license for the
additional periods provided by this section, the Department shall conduct a hearing and may impose a lesser period of additional suspension or revocation than that provided in this section or may refrain from imposing any additional period. Any person convicted of violating this section before or after May 14, 1959, shall be entitled to the benefit of the foregoing relief provisions.

Upon conviction, a violator of this section shall be punished by a fine of not less than two hundred dollars ($200.00) or imprisonment in the discretion of the court not to exceed two years, or both; provided, however, the restoree of a suspended or revoked operator's or chauffeur's license who operates a motor vehicle upon the streets or highways of the State without maintaining financial responsibility as provided by law shall be punished as for operating without an operator's license.

(b) Any person whose license has been permanently revoked, as provided in this article, who shall drive any motor vehicle upon the highways of the State while such license is permanently revoked shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be imprisoned for not less than one year. (1935, c. 52, s. 22; 1945, c. 635; 1947, c. 1067, s. 16; 1955, c. 1020, s. 1; c. 1152, s. 18; c. 1187, s. 20; 1957, c. 1406; 1959, c. 515; 1967, c. 447.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted "not to exceed two years" near the middle of the third paragraph of subsection (a).

Operation Must Have Occurred, etc.—One violates this section if he operates a motor vehicle on a public highway while his operator's license is in a state of suspension. State v. Blacknell, 270 N.C. 103, 153 S.E.2d 789 (1967).

Warrant Need Not Specifically Refer to Section.—A warrant charging that the named defendant did unlawfully and willfully operate a motor vehicle on public streets or highways while his license was suspended, sufficiently charges defendant's violation of this section without specific reference to the statute. State v. Blacknell, 270 N.C. 103, 153 S.E.2d 789 (1967).

§ 20-30. Violations of license provisions.

(5) To use a false or fictitious name or give a false or fictitious address in any application for an operator's or chauffeur's license, or any renewal or duplicate thereof, or knowingly to make a false statement or knowingly conceal a material fact or otherwise commit a fraud in any such application, or for any person to procure, or knowingly permit or allow another to commit any of the foregoing acts. Any license procured as aforesaid shall be void from the issuance thereof, and any moneys paid therefor shall be forfeited to the State.

(1967, c. 1098, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment added "or for any person to procure, or knowingly permit or allow another to commit any of the foregoing acts" at the end of the first sentence in subdivision (5).

As the rest of the section was not affected by the amendment, only subdivision (5) is set out.
§ 20-37.2. Handicapped drivers—display of distinctive flags.—
Handicapped or paraplegic drivers of motor vehicles are authorized when getting into and out of such vehicles, or when in distress, to display a white flag of approximately seven and one-half inches in width and thirteen inches in length, with the letter "H" thereon in red color with an irregular one-half inch red border. Said flag shall be of reflective material so as to be readily discernible under darkened conditions and shall be issued under § 20-37.3. (1967, c. 296, s. 2.)

§ 20-37.3. Handicapped drivers — issuance of flags and cards.—
The Commissioner of Motor Vehicles may, upon application and payment of a fee of two dollars ($2.00), issue to any handicapped person a distress flag as described in § 20-37.2, and a card which shall be applicant's authority to use such flag. This card shall set forth the applicant's name, address, date of birth, physical apparatus, if any, needed to operate a motor vehicle, and other pertinent facts which the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles deems desirable. The card and flag issued to an applicant shall bear corresponding numbers. In the event of loss or destruction of such flag a replacement may be issued upon the payment of the sum of one dollar ($1.00) by the applicant. The Commissioner of Motor Vehicles shall maintain a list of those persons to whom distress flags and cards have been issued. (1967, c. 296, s. 3.)

§ 20-37.4. Handicapped drivers—unauthorized use of flag; violation of §§ 20-37.2 to 20-37.5.—Any person who is not a handicapped or paraplegic person who uses the above-mentioned flag or facsimile thereof as a distress signal or for any other purpose or any other person who violates any provision of §§ 20-37.2 to 20-37.5 shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1967, c. 296, s. 4.)

§ 20-37.5. Handicapped drivers—definition.—As used herein handicapped or paraplegic drivers shall mean:

(1) Any person who has impairments that, regardless of cause or manifestation, for all practicable purposes, confines such person to a wheelchair.

(2) Any person who has impairments that cause such person to walk with difficulty or insecurity and includes but is not limited to those persons using braces or crutches, amputees, arthritics, spastics and those with pulmonary or cardiac ills who may be semiambulatory. (1967, c. 296, s. 5.)

Article 3.


§ 20-38. Definitions of words and phrases.


Passenger vehicles kept in use for the purpose of transporting persons on sight-seeing or travel tours.

b. For hire passenger vehicles.

Passenger motor vehicles transporting passengers for compensation; but this classification shall not include motor vehicles of nine-passenger capacity or less operated as ambulances or operated by the owner where the cost of operation is shared by neighbor fellow workmen between their homes and the place of regular daily employment, when operated for not more than two trips each way per day, nor shall this classification include
automobiles operated by the owner where the cost of operation is shared by the passengers on a "share the expense" plan, nor shall this classification include motor vehicles transporting students for the public school system when said motor vehicles are so transporting under contract with the State Board of Education, nor shall this classification include motor vehicles leased to the United States of America or any of its agencies when such lease agreement is on a nonprofit basis.

c. Common carriers of passengers.

Passenger motor vehicles operated under a franchise certificate issued by the Utilities Commission under §§ 62-121.5 through 62-121.79, for operation on the public highways of this State between fixed termini or over a regular route for the transportation of persons or property for compensation.

d. Motorcycle.

Every motor vehicle having a saddle for the use of the rider and designed to travel on not more than three wheels in contact with the ground, including motor scooters and motor-driven bicycles, but excluding tractors and utility vehicles equipped with an additional form of device designed to transport property, and three-wheeled vehicles while being used by law-enforcement agencies.

e. U-drive-it passenger vehicles.

Passenger motor vehicles used for the purpose of rent or lease to be operated by the lessee; provided, this shall not include passenger motor vehicles of nine-passenger capacity or less which are leased for a term of one year or more to the same person, firm, or corporation. Provided, further that passenger vehicles leased or rented to public school authorities for the purpose of driver-training instruction shall not be included in this designation.

f. Ambulance.

A motor vehicle equipped for transporting wounded, injured or sick persons.

g. Private passenger vehicles.

All other passenger vehicles not included in the above definitions.


All motor vehicles used for the transportation of property for hire but not licensed as common carriers or contract carriers of property under franchise certificates or permits issued by the Utilities Commission pursuant to G.S. 62-262 and other provisions of chapter 62 of the General Statutes, or by the Interstate Commerce Commission; provided, that the term "for hire" as used herein shall include every arrangement by which the owner of a motor vehicle uses, or permits such vehicle to be used, for the transportation of the property of another for compensation, subject to the following exemptions:

1. The transportation of farm crops or products, including logs, bark, pulp and tannic acid wood delivered from farms and forest to the first or primary market, and the transportation of wood chips from the place where wood has been converted into chips to their first or primary market.

2. The transportation of perishable foods which are still
owned by the grower while being delivered to the first or primary market by an operator who has not more than one truck, truck-tractor or trailer in a for hire operation.

3. The transportation of merchandise hauled for neighborhood farmers incidentally and not as regular business in going to and from farms and primary markets.

4. The transportation of T.V.A. or A.A.A. phosphate and/or agricultural limestone in bulk which is furnished as a grant of aid under the United States Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

5. The transportation of fuel for the exclusive use of the public schools of the State.

6. Motor vehicles whose sole operation in carrying the property of others is limited to the transportation of the United States mail pursuant to a contract made with the United States or the extension or renewal of such contract.

7. Vehicles which are leased for a term of one year or more to the same person, firm or corporation when used exclusively by such person, firm or corporation in transporting its own property.

b. Common carrier of property vehicles.

Every motor vehicle used for the transportation of property which is certified a common carrier by the Utilities Commission or the Interstate Commerce Commission.

c. Private hauler vehicles.

All motor vehicles used for the transportation of property not falling within one of the above defined classifications; provided, self-propelled vehicles equipped with permanent living and sleeping facilities used exclusively for camping activities shall be classified as private passenger vehicles.

d. Semitrailer.

Every vehicle without motive power designed for carrying property or persons and for being drawn by a motor vehicle, and so constructed that part of its weight and/or its load rests upon or is carried by the pulling vehicle.

e. Trailers.

Every vehicle without motive power designed for carrying property or persons wholly on its own structure and to be drawn by a motor vehicle. This shall include so-called pole trailers or a pair of wheels used primarily to balance a load, rather than for purposes of transportation.


Every motor vehicle used for the transportation of property under a franchise permit of a regulated contract carrier issued by the North Carolina Utilities Commission under G.S. 62-262 or the Interstate Commerce Commission.

(1967, cc. 201, 399; c. 1095, ss. 3, 4.)

Editor's Note.—
The first 1967 amendment rewrote paragraph d of subdivision (20).

The second 1967 amendment inserted "operated as ambulances or" near the beginning of paragraph b of subdivision (20), inserted present paragraph f in subdivision (20) and redesignated former paragraph f of subdivision (20) as paragraph g.

The third 1967 amendment, effective Feb. 15, 1968, rewrote that portion of subdivision (24) a preceding the words "provided, that the term 'for hire' as used herein" and added paragraph f thereto.
As only subdivisions (20) and (24) were affected by the amendments, the rest of the section is not set out.

Bicycle.—
The operation of a bicycle upon a public highway is governed by the rules governing motor vehicles insofar as the nature of the vehicle permits. Webb v. Felton, 266 N.C. 707, 147 S.E.2d 219 (1966).

Part 2. Authority and Duties of Commissioner and Department.

§ 20-42. Authority to administer oaths and certify copies of records.
(b) The Commissioner and such officers of the Department as he may designate are hereby authorized to prepare under the seal of the Department and deliver upon request a certified copy of any record of the Department, charging a fee of fifty cents (50¢) for each document so certified, and every such certified copy shall be admissible in any proceeding in any court in like manner as the original thereof, without further certification. Provided that any copy of any record of the Department furnished to State, county, municipal and court officials of this State for official use shall be furnished without charge. (1937, c. 407, s. 7; 1955, c. 480; 1961, c. 861, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 41; c. 1172.)

Editor's Note. — Session Laws 1967, c. 1172, added the proviso at the end of subsection (b).

Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 41, effective July 1, 1967, had added a last sentence to subsection (b) reading: "The Department shall furnish certified copies of any record required to be kept by the Department to State, county, municipal and court officials of the State for official use only, without charge."

As subsection (a) was not affected by the amendments, it is not set out.


§ 20-47. Department may summon witnesses and take testimony.

Cross References.— See as to penalties for persons convicted of misdemeanors for violations of this article, see § 20-176.

Part 3. Registration and Certificates of Titles of Motor Vehicles.

§ 20-52.1. Manufacturer's certificate of transfer of new motor vehicle.
(c) Upon sale of a new vehicle by a dealer to a consumer-purchaser, the dealer shall execute in the presence of a person authorized to administer oaths an assignment of the manufacturer's certificate of origin for the vehicle, including in such assignment the name and address of the transferee and no title to a new motor vehicle acquired by a dealer under the provisions of subsection (a) and (b) of this section shall pass or vest until such assignment is executed and the motor vehicle delivered to the transferee.

Any dealer transferring title to, or an interest in, a new vehicle shall deliver the manufacturer's certificate of origin duty assigned in accordance with the foregoing provision to the transferee at the time of delivering the vehicle, except that where a security interest is obtained in the motor vehicle from the transferee in payment of the purchase price or otherwise, the transferor shall deliver the manufacturer's certificate of origin to the lienholder and the lienholder shall forthwith forward the manufacturer's certificate of origin together with the transferee's application for certificate of title and necessary fees to the Department. Any person who delivers or accepts a manufacturer's certificate of origin assigned in blank shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1961, c. 835, s. 4; 1967, c. 863.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote subsection (c).
As subsections (a) and (b) were not changed by the amendment, they are not set out.
Editor's Note.—
For case law survey as to credit transactions, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 956 (1966).

§ 20-63.1. Department may cause plates to be reflectorized. — The Department of Motor Vehicles is hereby authorized to cause vehicle license plates for 1968 and future years to be completely treated with reflectorized materials designed to increase visibility and legibility of license plates at night. (1967, c. 8.)

§ 20-64. Transfer of registration plates to another vehicle.
(f) Whenever the owner of a registered vehicle transfers or assigns his interest to another, such transferor may, by surrendering the registration plate to the Department, secure a refund of the unexpired portion of such plate on a monthly basis, beginning the first day of the month following surrender of the plate to the Department, provided, that the annual license fee for such surrendered plate is sixty dollars ($60.00) or more.
(1967, c. 995.)
Editor's Note.— As the other subsections were not affected by the amendment, they are not set out.

§ 20-71. Altering or forging certificate of title, registration card or application, a felony.
Cross Reference.— As to penalty for a violation of this article declared to constitute a felony, see § 20-177.

§ 20-71.1. Registration evidence of ownership; ownership evidence of defendant's responsibility for conduct of operation.
Proof of Ownership Alone Takes Case, etc.—
Admission of ownership of the vehicle involved in the collision requires the submission to the jury of the question of liability under the doctrine of respondent superior. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 289 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

Upon a showing of ownership, the artificial force of the prima facie rule under this section seems to permit a finding of agency. Torres v. Smith, 269 N.C. 546, 153 S.E.2d 129 (1967).

But Defendant May Be Entitled to Instruction.—
Where plaintiff relied solely on this section to take the issue of agency to the jury and defendant’s evidence tended to show that the driver was on a purely personal mission at the time of the accident, defendant, without request therefore, was entitled to a peremptory instruction, related directly to the particular facts shown by defendant’s positive evidence, to answer the issue of agency in the negative. A general instruction to so answer the issue if the jury believed the facts to be as defendant’s evidence tended to show, without relating the instruction directly to defendant’s evidence in the particular case, was insufficient. Belmany v. Overton, 270 N.C. 400, 154 S.E.2d 538 (1967).

It Merely Creates, etc.—

This section was designed and intended to, and does, establish a rule of evidence which facilitates proof of ownership and agency in automobile collision cases where one of the vehicles is operated by a person other than the owner. It was not enacted and designed to render proof unnecessary, nor does proof of registration or ownership make out a prima facie case for the jury on the issue of negligence. Neither is it sufficient to send the case to the jury, or support a finding favorable to plaintiff under the negligence issue, or to support a finding against a defendant on the issue of negligence. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

And Does Not Change, etc.—
This section does not abrogate the well-settled rule of law that mere ownership of an automobile does not impose liability upon the owner for injury to another by
the negligent operation of the vehicle on the part of a driver, who was not, at the time of the injury, the employee or agent of the owner or who was not, at such time, acting in the course of his employment or agency. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 268 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).

Nor Compel a Verdict against Owner.—Proof of ownership of the automobile by one not the driver makes out a prima facie case of agency of the driver for the owner at the time of the driver's negligent act or omission, but it does not compel a verdict against the owner upon the principle of respondeat superior. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 268 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).

Effect of Establishing Facts with Respect to Agency. —Whenever the facts with respect to agency are established, without contradiction, it is the duty of the court to disregard this section, even to the point of setting aside a verdict which this section permits. Manning v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 243 F. Supp. 619 (W.D.N.C. 1965).

Beginning and Termination of Presumption as to Agency.—This section creates no presumption and gives rise to no inference as to the existence of any agency relation before the operation of the vehicle begins or after it stops. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

In the absence of evidence of agency, apart from the mere act of driving a motor vehicle registered in the name of another, the agency must be deemed to have terminated when the driver has brought the vehicle to a final stop and has left it. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

Presumption Is Not One, etc.—The burden of proof continues to rest upon the plaintiff to prove an agency relationship between the driver and the owner at the time of the driver's negligence which caused the injury. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 265 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).

This section is simply a rule of evidence to shift the burden of going forward with the proof to those persons better able to establish the true facts than are plaintiffs. Manning v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 243 F. Supp. 619 (W.D.N.C. 1965).

Both Negligence and Agency Must Be, etc.—In accord with 5th paragraph in original. See Belmany v. Overton, 270 N.C. 400, 154 S.E.2d 538 (1967).

Non constat the statute, it is still necessary for the party aggrieved to allege both negligence and agency in his pleading and to prove both at the trial. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965); Belmany v. Overton, 270 N.C. 400, 154 S.E.2d 538 (1967).

No Authority for Vicarious Admissions of Negligence.—Sections 20-166 and 20-166.1 do not give blanket authority to whomsoever may drive a vehicle registered in the name of another to make statements as to the manner of his driving so as to cause such statements to be competent in evidence against the registered owner as vicarious admissions of negligence for which owner is legally liable. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

This section makes no reference to any authority of the driver to affect the owner's liability to other persons otherwise than by the driver's conduct in the operation and control of the vehicle. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

Admission by defendant truck owner that his truck was being operated by codefendant is sufficient, as against such owner, to permit a finding that codefendant was driving the truck and, therefore, to bring into operation this section making such fact prima facie proof that codefendant was the agent of the truck owner and was driving the truck in the course of his employment as such agent. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

Departure from Course of Employment.—It is elementary that a principal or employee is not liable for injury due to a negligent act or omission of his agent or employee when such agent or employee has departed from the course of his employment and embarked upon a mission or frolic of his own. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 268 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).

The test is whether the employee or agent was, at the time of the negligent act or omission, about his master's business. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 268 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).

If there has been a total departure from the course of the master's business, the employer or principal is not liable for the negligent act or omission of the employee during such departure from the employment relation. Duckworth v. Metcalf, 268 N.C. 340, 150 S.E.2d 485 (1966).
§ 20-72. Transfer by owner.

§ 20-74. Transfer by operation of law; sale under mechanic's or storage lien; unclaimed vehicles.

(1967, c. 562, s. 8.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective at midnight June 30, 1967, rewrote subsection (d), eliminating therefrom provisions as to storage charges and the stor-

But it is not sufficient to take the servant out of the course of his employment, and and thus to relieve the employer from responsibility for the negligent act or omission of the servant, that the servant at the time of such act or omission was violating an instruction or rule of the em-

§ 20-80. National guard plates.—The Commissioner shall cause to be made each year a sufficient number of automobile license plates to furnish each member of the North Carolina national guard with one thereof, said license plates to be in the same form and character as other license plates now or hereafter authorized by law to be used upon private passenger vehicles registered in this State, except that such license plates shall bear on the face thereof the following words “National Guard.” The said license plates shall be issued only to members of the North Carolina national guard, and for which license plates the Commissioner shall collect fees in an amount equal to the fees collected for the licensing and registering of private vehicles. The Adjutant General of North Carolina shall furnish the Commissioner annually with an estimate of the number of such distinctive plates required. In addition, the Adjutant General of North Carolina shall furnish to the Commissioner each year, prior to the date that licenses are issued, a list of the officers of the North Carolina national guard, which said list shall contain the rank of each officer listed in the order of his seniority in the North Carolina national guard, and the said license plates to be set aside for officer personnel shall be numbered beginning with the number two hundred and one and one in numerical sequence thereafter up to and including the number sixteen hundred, according to seniority, the senior officer being issued the license bearing the numerals two hundred and one. Enlisted personnel applying for such distinctive plates shall present to the Department of Motor Vehicles proof of membership in the North Carolina national guard by means of certificate signed by the commanding officer of applicant on forms as may be agreed upon by the Adjutant General of North Carolina and the Department of Motor Vehicles. If a holder of such distinctive license plate shall be discharged from the North Carolina national guard under other than honorable conditions, he shall within thirty days exchange such distinctive plate for a standard plate. (1937, c. 407, s. 44; 1941, c. 36; 1949, c. 1130, s. 7; 1955, c. 490; 1961, c. 360, s. 16; 1967, c. 700.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan 1, 1968, rewrote this section so as to provide for the issuance of special license plates to all members of the North Carolina national guard, rather than to officers only, and so as to provide for the issuance of one plate, rather than “a set” of plates.

§ 20-81.3. Special personalized registration plates.—(a) The Commissioner may issue under such regulation as he shall deem appropriate a special personalized registration plate to the owner of a private passenger motor vehicle in lieu of another number plate. Such personalized registration plate shall be of such design and shall bear such letter or letters and numerals as the Commissioner shall prescribe, but there shall be no duplication of a registration plate. The Commissioner shall in his discretion refuse the issue of such letter combinations which might carry connotations offensive to good taste and decency.

(b) An owner who desires personalized registration plates shall make application for such plates on forms which shall be provided by the Department of Motor Vehicles and pay the sum of ten dollars ($10.00) annually, which shall be in addition to the regular motor vehicle registration fee. Once an owner has obtained personalized plates, he, where possible, will have first priority on those plates for the following years provided he makes timely and appropriate application; provided, however, that the Commissioner shall not issue a personalized license plate pursuant to this section except upon written application therefor on a form furnished by the Commissioner in which the applicant certifies that his operator's
or chauffeur’s license has not been revoked or suspended under article 2 of chapter 20 of the General Statutes within two years prior to the date of the application; and provided, further, that any personalized license plate issued pursuant to this section shall be cancelled and recalled by the Commissioner and the application fee forfeited in the event that the Commissioner determines that a false application has been submitted.

(c) The revenue derived from the additional fee for such plates shall be placed in a separate fund designated the “Personalized Registration Plate Fund.” After deducting the cost of the plates, plus budgetary requirements for handling and issuance to be determined by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, any remaining moneys derived from the additional fee for such plates shall be periodically transferred as follows:

1. One half to the account of the Department of Conservation and Development to aid in financing out-of-state advertising under the North Carolina program for the promotion of travel and industrial development in North Carolina.

2. One half to the State Highway Commission to be used solely for the purpose of beautification of highways other than those designated as interstate. Such funds shall be administered by the State Highway Commission for beautification purposes not inconsistent with good landscaping and engineering principles.

(d) The Governor’s Advisory Committee on Beautification shall act in an advisory capacity to the State Highway Commission and shall, from time to time, make such recommendations to the State Highway Commission concerning beautification of highways as it shall deem appropriate.

(e) Special personalized registration plate shall mean any registration plate bearing any combination of letters or numerals, or both, other than that which the Department determines would normally be issued sequentially to an applicant for original or renewal vehicle registration.

(f) In the event a personalized registration plate is lost, stolen or mutilated, the owner may not obtain another such plate bearing the same letter, letters or numerals until the next registration year. He may, upon proper application and payment of a fee of one dollar ($1.00), obtain a plate of the regular series. Provided, further, that a special personalized registration plate revoked for violation of the motor vehicle laws shall not be reissued, but in lieu thereof a plate of the regular series will be issued upon payment of the appropriate fee for the new registration plate. (1967, c. 413.)

Part 6. Vehicles of Nonresidents of State, etc.

§ 20-83. Registration by nonresidents.

(b) Motor vehicles duly registered in a state or territory which are not allowed exemptions by the Commissioner, as provided for in the preceding paragraph, desiring to make occasional trips into or through the State of North Carolina, or operate in this State for a period not exceeding thirty days, may be permitted the same use and privileges of the highways of this State as provided for similar vehicles regularly licensed in this State, by procuring from the Commissioner trip licenses upon forms and under rules and regulations to be adopted by the Commissioner, good for use for a period of thirty days upon the payment of a fee in compensation for said privilege equivalent to one tenth of the annual fee which would be chargeable against said vehicle if regularly licensed in this State: Provided that only one such permit allowed by this section shall be issued for the use of the same vehicle within the same registration year. Provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall prevent the extension of the privileges of the use of the roads of this State to vehicles of other states under the reciprocity provisions provided by law: Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the
owners of vehicles from other states from licensing such vehicles in the State of North Carolina under the same terms and the same fees as like vehicles are licensed by owners resident in this State.

(1967, c. 1090.)

Editor's Note.—As subsections (a) and (c) were not affected by the amendment, they are not set out.

§ 20-84. Vehicles owned by State, municipalities or orphanages, etc.; certain vehicles operated by local chapters of American National Red Cross.—The Department upon proper proof being filed with it that any motor vehicle for which registration is herein required is owned by the State or any department thereof, or by any county, township, city or town, or by any board of education, or by any orphanage or civil air patrol, or incorporated emergency rescue squad, shall collect one dollar for the registration of such motor vehicles, but shall not collect any fee for application for certificate of title in the name of the State or any department thereof, or by any county, township, city or town, or by any board of education or orphanage: Provided, that the term "owned" shall be construed to mean that such motor vehicle is the actual property of the State or some department thereof or of the county, township, city or town, or of the board of education, and no motor vehicle which is the property of any officer or employee of any department named herein shall be construed as being "owned" by such department. Provided, that the above exemptions from registration fees shall also apply to any church owned bus used exclusively for transporting children and parents to Sunday School and church services and for no other purpose.

In lieu of the annual one dollar ($1.00) registration provided for in this section, the Department may for the license year 1950 and thereafter provide for a permanent registration of the vehicles described in this section and issue permanent registration plates for such vehicles. The permanent registration plates issued pursuant to this paragraph shall be of a distinctive color and shall bear the word "permanent." Such plates shall not be subject to renewal and shall be valid only on the vehicle for which issued. For the permanent registration and issuance of permanent registration plates provided for in this paragraph, the Department shall collect a fee of one dollar ($1.00) for each vehicle so registered and licensed.

The provisions of this section are hereby made applicable to vehicles owned by a rural fire department, agency or association.

The Department of Motor Vehicles shall issue to the North Carolina Tuberculosis Association, Incorporated, or any local chapter or association of said corporation, for a fee of one dollar ($1.00) for each plate a permanent registration plate which need not be thereafter renewed for each motor vehicle in the form of a mobile X-ray unit which is owned by said North Carolina Tuberculosis Association, Incorporated, or any local chapter or local association thereof and operated exclusively in this State for the purpose of diagnosis, treatment and discovery of tuberculosis. The initial one dollar ($1.00) fee required by this section and for this purpose shall be in full payment of the permanent registration plates issued for such vehicle operated as a mobile X-ray unit, and such plates need not thereafter be renewed, and such plates should be valid only on the vehicle for which issued and then only so long as the vehicle shall be operated for the purposes above described and for which the plates were originally issued.

The Department of Motor Vehicles shall issue to the American National Red Cross, upon application of any local chapter thereof and payment of a fee of one dollar ($1.00) for each plate, a permanent registration plate, which need not be thereafter renewed, for all disaster vans, bloodmobiles, handivans, and such sedans and station wagons as are used for emergency or disaster work, and operated by a local chapter in this State in the business of, the American National Red Cross. Such registration plate shall be valid only for the vehicle for which issued and
then only so long as the vehicle shall be operated as above described. In the event of transfer of ownership to any other person, firm or corporation, or transfer or reassignment of any vehicle bearing such registration plate to any chapter or association of the American National Red Cross in any other state, territory or country, the registration plate assigned to such vehicle shall be surrendered to the Department of Motor Vehicles. (1937, c. 407, s. 48; 1939, c. 275; 1949, c. 583, s. 1; 1951, c. 388; 1953, c. 1264; 1955, cc. 368, 382; 1967, c. 284.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Dec. 31, 1967, added the last paragraph.

Part 7. Title and Registration Fees.

§ 20-87. Passenger vehicle registration fees.

(3) Contract Carrier and Exempt for Hire Passenger Carrier Vehicles.—For hire passenger vehicles shall be taxed at the rate of $60.00 per year for each vehicle of nine-passenger capacity or less and vehicles of over nine-passenger capacity shall be classified as busses and shall be taxed at a rate of $1.90 per hundred pounds of empty weight per year for each vehicle; provided, however, no license shall issue for the operation of any taxicab until the governing body of the city or town in which such taxicab is principally operated, if the principal operation is in a city or town, has issued a certificate showing

a. That the operator of such taxicab has provided liability insurance or other form of indemnity for injury to persons or damage to property resulting from the operation of such taxicab, in such amount as required by the city or town, and

b. That the convenience and necessity of the public requires the operation of such taxicab.

All persons operating taxicabs on January first, one thousand nine hundred and forty-five shall be entitled to a certificate of necessity and convenience for the number of taxicabs operated by them on such date, unless since said date the license of such person or persons to operate a taxicab or taxicabs has been revoked or their right to operate has been withdrawn or revoked; provided that all persons operating taxicabs in Edgecombe, Lee, Nash and Union counties on January first, one thousand nine hundred and forty-five shall be entitled to certificates of necessity and convenience only with the approval of the governing authority of the town or city involved.

A taxicab shall be defined as any motor vehicle, seating nine or fewer passengers, operated upon any street or highway on call or demand, accepting or soliciting passengers indiscriminately for hire between such points along streets or highways as may be directed by the passenger or passengers so being transported, and shall not include motor vehicles or motor vehicle carriers as defined in §§ 62-121.5 through 62-121.79. Such taxicab shall not be construed to be a common carrier nor its operator a public service corporation.

(4) Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 1136.

(1967, c. 1136.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment changed the catch-line or caption for subdivision (3) from “For Hire Passenger Vehicles” to “Contract Carrier and Exempt for Hire Passenger Carrier Vehicles” and struck out subdivision (4).

As only subdivisions (3) and (4) were affected by the amendment, the rest of the section is not set out.

§ 20-88. Property hauling vehicles.

(b) There shall be paid to the Department annually, as of the first day of
January, for the registration and licensing of self-propelled property-carrying vehicles, fees according to the following classification and schedule and upon the following conditions:

**Schedule of Weights and Rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEDULE OF WEIGHTS AND RATES</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
<th>Private Hauler</th>
<th>Contract Carriers, Flat Rate</th>
<th>Common Carriers and Exempt for Hire Carriers</th>
<th>Common Carrier of Property (Deposit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rates Per Hundred Pounds Gross Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not over 4,500 pounds</td>
<td>$0.15</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>$0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,501 to 8,500 pounds inclusive</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,501 to 12,500 pounds inclusive</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,501 to 16,500 pounds inclusive</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 16,500 pounds</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The minimum fee for a vehicle licensed under this subsection shall be ten dollars ($10.00) at the farmer rate and twelve dollars ($12.00) at the private hauler, contract carrier and common carrier rates.

(2) The term "farmer" as used in this subsection means any person engaged in the raising and growing of farm products on a farm in North Carolina not less than ten acres in area, and who does not engage in the business of buying products for resale.

(3) License plates issued at the farmer rate shall be placed upon trucks and truck-tractors that are operated exclusively in the carrying or transportation of applicant's farm products, raised or produced on his farm, and farm supplies and not operated in hauling for hire.

(4) Farm products means any food crop, cattle, hogs, poultry, dairy products, flower bulbs (but does not mean nursery products) and other agricultural products designed to be used for food purposes, including in the term farm products also cotton, tobacco, logs, bark, pulpwood, tannic acid wood and other forest products.

(5) The Department shall issue necessary rules and regulations providing for the recall, transfer, exchange or cancellation of "farmer" plates, when vehicle bearing such plates shall be sold or transferred.

(6) There shall be paid to the Department annually as of the first of January, the following fees for "wreckers" as defined under § 20-38 (39): A wrecker fully equipped weighing seven thousand pounds or less, fifty dollars ($50.00); wreckers weighing in excess of seven thousand pounds shall pay one hundred dollars ($100.00). Fees to be prorated quarterly. Provided, further, that nothing herein shall prohibit a licensed dealer from using a dealer's license plate to tow a vehicle for a customer.

(g) Contract carriers who receive and operate under a permit or other authority from the Utilities Commission under the provisions of G.S. 62-262 and other provisions of chapter 62 of the General Statutes relating thereto, shall, in addition to the rate of tax for contract carriers provided above, be subject to the gross six percent tax to the extent that it exceeds the rate for contract carriers to be levied and collected in the same manner provided for common carriers of property, and the tax in the schedule provided for contract carriers shall be deemed a deposit only.

**Editor's Note.**—1968, substituted "Contract Carriers, Flat Rate Common Carriers and Exempt for..."
§ 20-90. Due date of franchise tax.—The additional tax on common carriers of passengers and common carriers of property shall become due and payable on or before the thirtieth day of the month following the month in which it accrues.

Whenever a contract carrier or a flat rate common carrier of property becomes a regular common carrier of property subject to the six percent (6%) gross revenue tax under this chapter during the license renewal period, January 1 to February 15, said carrier’s gross revenue for the six percent (6%) tax purpose shall be all the revenue earned from operations on and after the January 1 preceding the carrier’s change to a regular common carrier during the renewal period January 1 to February 15.

Whenever a regular common carrier of property subject to the six percent (6%) gross revenue tax under this chapter becomes a flat rate common carrier of property or a contract carrier during the license renewal period, January 1 to February 15, said carrier’s gross revenue for the six percent (6%) tax purposes shall be all the revenue earned from operations up to and including operations on the December 31 preceding the carrier’s change to a flat rate common carrier of property or a contract carrier if such change is made during the renewal period January 1 to February 15.

(1937, c. 407, s. 54; 1951, c. 729; c. 819, s. 1; 1955, c. 1313, s. 2; 1967, c. 1079, s. 1.)

Editor’s Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote the second and third paragraphs.

§ 20-91. Records and reports required of franchise carriers.

(b) All common carriers of passengers and common carriers of property shall, on or before the thirtieth day of each month, make a report to the Department of gross revenue earned and gross mileage operated during the month previous, in such manner as the Department may require and on such forms as the Department shall furnish. If reports are not filed by the thirtieth day of the month following the month for which the report is made, a penalty of five percent (5%) of gross receipts tax reported will be due. This five percent (5%) penalty must be paid in addition to the gross receipts tax and may not be claimed as a credit against the tag deposit. Provided that the Commissioner may, in his discretion, waive the five percent (5%) penalty upon proof by the carrier that late filing of report was due to extenuating circumstances beyond the control of the carrier.

(1967, c. 1079, s. 2.)

Editor’s Note. — The 1967 amendment added the second, third and fourth sentences in subsection (b).

As the other subsections were not affected by the amendment, they are not set out.

§ 20-94. Partial payments.—In the purchase of licenses, where the gross amount of the license to any one owner amounts to more than four hundred dollars ($400.00), half of such payment may, if the Commissioner is satisfied of the financial responsibility of such owner, be deferred until June first in any calendar year upon the execution to the Commissioner of a draft upon any bank or trust company upon forms to be provided by the Commissioner in an amount equivalent to one half of such tax, plus a carrying charge of one half of one percent (½ of 1%): Provided, that any person using any tag so purchased after the first day of June in any such year without having first provided for the payment of such draft, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. No further license plates shall be issued to any person executing such a draft after the due date of any such
§ 20-101. For hire vehicles to be marked.—All motor vehicles licensed as common carriers or contract carriers of passengers or property and all exempt for hire motor carriers shall have printed on each side of the vehicle in letters not less than three inches in height the name and home address of the owner, the certificate number, permit number, or exemption number under which said vehicle is operated, and such other identification as may be required and approved by the Utilities Commission. (1937, c. 407, s. 65; 1951, c. 819, s. 1; 1967, c. B32.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Nov. 15, 1967, rewrote the section.

§ 20-105. Unlawful taking of a vehicle.

Inference Arising from Unlawful Possession of Vehicle.—It is more accurate to refer to the unlawful and unexplained possession of an automobile, recently and unlawfully taken from the actual or constructive possession of the owner thereof, as giving rise to an inference, an evidential circumstance, that the person having such possession thereof had unlawfully taken it into his possession with intent to deprive the owner of the (temporary) use thereof. State v. Frazier, 268 N.C. 249, 150 S.E.2d 431 (1966).

Possession of One Participant Is the Possession of All.—Possession may be personal and exclusive, although it is the joint possession of two or more persons, if they are shown to have acted in concert, or to have been particeps criminis, the possession of one participant being the possession of all. State v. Frazier, 268 N.C. 249, 150 S.E.2d 431 (1966).

Immediate flight of both defendants, without explanation, at mere approach of officers may be considered more than slight corroborative evidence of relation between their then unlawful possession and the unlawful removal of automobile from parking lot. State v. Frazier, 268 N.C. 249, 150 S.E.2d 431 (1966).


Punishment Prior to 1965 Amendment.—For punishment under this section prior to 1965 amendment, see State v. Massey, 265 N.C. 579, 144 S.E.2d 649 (1965).

§ 20-106. Receiving or transferring stolen vehicles.

Cross Reference.—As to penalty for a violation of this article declared to constitute a felony, see § 20-177.

§ 20-109. Altering or changing engine or other numbers.—No person shall willfully deface, destroy, or alter the manufacturer's serial or engine number or other distinguishing number or identification mark of a motor vehicle and neither shall any owner permit the defacing, destroying or alteration of such numbers or marks. No person shall place or stamp any serial, engine or other
number or marking upon a vehicle, except one assigned thereto by the Department, and neither shall any owner permit the placing or stamping of any number or mark upon a motor vehicle except one assigned thereto by the Department. It shall be unlawful and constitute a misdemeanor for any person to violate any of the provisions of this section, and upon conviction said person shall be punished by a fine or imprisonment not to exceed two years, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1937, 407, s. 73; 1943, c. 726; 1953, c. 216; 1965, c. 621, s. 3; 1967, c. 449.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted “not to exceed two years” near the end of the last sentence.

§ 20-111. Violation of registration provisions.


§ 20-114. Duty of officer; manner of enforcement.

(c) It shall also be the duty of every sheriff of every county of the State and of every police or peace officer of the State to make immediate report to the Commissioner of all motor vehicles reported to him as abandoned or that are seized by him for being used for illegal transportation of intoxicating liquors or other unlawful purposes, and no motor vehicle shall be sold by any sheriff, police or peace officer, or by any person, firm or corporation claiming a mechanic’s or storage lien, or under judicial proceedings, until notice on a form approved by the Commissioner shall have been given the Commissioner at least twenty days before the date of such sale. (1937, c. 407, s. 78; 1943, c. 726; 1967, c. 862.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, as subsections (a) and (b) were not effective July 1, 1967, inserted “on a form approved by the Commissioner” near the end of subsection (c).


(j) Self-propelled grain combines or other farm equipment self-propelled or otherwise, not exceeding fifteen and one-half feet in width may be operated on any highway, except a highway or section of highway that is a part of the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways; and provided, that such combines or equipment may be operated on numbered federal or State highways exclusive of the Interstate System, only by special permit as provided in G.S. 20-119; permits issued in compliance with G.S. 20-119 for equipment covered under this section may be on an annual basis and shall expire on January 1 of the year next following the year of issuance: Provided, further, that all such combines or equipment which exceed ten feet in width may be so operated only under the following conditions:

(1) Said equipment may only be so operated during daylight hours; and

(2) Said equipment must display a red flag on front and rear, said flags shall not be smaller than three feet wide and four feet long and be attached to a stick, pole, staff, etc., not less than four feet long and shall be so attached to said equipment as to be visible for not less than 300 feet; and said equipment shall travel only on routes designated by the special permit required under this section and for distances not to exceed ten miles; and

(3) Equipment covered by this section requiring special permit to be operated on permissible or designated highways, which by necessity must travel more than ten miles, must be preceded at a distance of 300 feet and followed at a distance of 300 feet by a flagman either on foot or in a vehicle. Each flagman must carry and display, by hand or mounted on his vehicle, a red flag, not smaller than three feet wide and
four feet long. Said flag shall be attached to a stick, pole, staff, etc., not less than three feet long and every such piece of equipment so operated shall carry and display at least one red flag not less than three feet wide and four feet long. Equipment to be operated for a distance in excess of ten miles may not be so operated on Sundays, or holidays; and

(4) Every such piece of equipment so operated shall operate to the right of the center line when possible and practical. (1937, c. 246; c. 407, s. 80; 1943, c. 213, s. 1; 1945, c. 242, s. 1; 1947, c. 844; 1951, c. 495, s. 1; c. 733; 1953, cc. 682, 1107; 1955, c. 296, s. 2; c. 729; 1957, c. 65, s. 11; cc. 493, 1183, 1190; 1959, c. 559; 1963, c. 356, s. 1; c. 610, ss. 1, 2; c. 702, s. 4; c. 1027, s. 1; 1965, c. 471; 1967, c. 24, s. 4; c. 710.)


Chapter 710, Session Laws 1967, effective Jan. 1, 1968, substituted, in the opening paragraph of subsection (j), "an annual basis and shall expire on January 1 of the year next following the year of issuance" for "a seasonal basis," substituted "ten" for "four" near the end of subdivision (2) and in the first and last sentences of subdivision (3) of subsection (j), and deleted "Saturdays" preceding "Sundays" near the end of subdivision (3) of subsection (j).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendments, only subsection (j) is set out.

Transporting Pole in Daytime without Special Permit Is Not Negligence Per Se.—Vehicles transporting poles in the daytime are exempt from the requirements of subsection (e) of this section, and therefore during the daytime it is not negligence per se to transport without a special permit a 40-foot pole on a trailer. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

§ 20-117. Flag or light at end of load.

Purpose of Section.—The obvious purpose of this section is to promote the safety of one following a loaded vehicle upon the highway. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

And Meaning.—The clear meaning of this section is that during daylight hours a red flag shall be displayed from the end of the projecting load so that there shall be visible to a user of the highway following the vehicle at least twelve inches of the flag's length and twelve inches of the flag's width. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

Draping Flag Over Load.—The requirement of this section is not met by draping over the top of the load a red flag of the required dimensions so that only a fringe of it is visible to one following the vehicle upon the highway. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

§ 20-119. Special permits for vehicles of excessive size or weight.

Violation as Negligence Per Se.—The failure to obtain a permit to operate oversize or overweight vehicles in violation of this section is negligence per se. Byers v. Standard Concrete Prods. Co., 268 N.C. 518, 151 S.E.2d 38 (1966).

Transporting Pole in Daytime without Special Permit Is Not Negligence Per Se.

§ 20-123. Trailers and towed vehicles.

One using a vehicle trailer on the public highways is required to exercise reasonable care, both as to the equipment of the trailer and as to the operation of the
vehicle to which it is attached. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).

In the case of a trailer not controlled in its movements by any person thereon, the operator of the vehicle to which the trailer is attached must exercise reasonable care to see that it is properly attached and that the progress of the two vehicles does not cause danger or injury. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).

And Violation of Section Is Negligence Per Se.—A violation of this section intended and designed to prevent injury to persons or property on the highways is negligence per se. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).

Liability for Defect in Trailer Hitch.—The owner of a motor vehicle to which a trailer is attached is generally held liable for loss or injury proximately by reason of a defect in the trailer fastening or hitch, resulting in the trailer breaking loose and becoming detached from the motor vehicle. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).

The owner of a motor vehicle with a trailer attached is generally held not liable for loss or injury inflicted by reason of a defect in the trailer fastening or hitch resulting in the trailer breaking loose, where he did not have knowledge of such defect, and would not have discovered it by reasonable inspection. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).


(c) Every motor vehicle when operated on a highway shall be equipped with brakes adequate to control the movement of and to stop and hold such vehicle, and shall have all originally equipped brakes in good working order, including two separate means of applying the brakes. If these two separate means of applying the brakes are connected in any way, they shall be so constructed that failure of any one part of the operating mechanism shall not leave the motor vehicle without brakes.

(1967, c. 1188.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote the first sentence in subsection (c) and eliminated "on at least two wheels" at the end of the second sentence therein.

As the other subsections were not affected by the amendment, they are not set out.

Legislative Purpose.—The purpose of this section is to protect from injury all persons using the highway, both occupants of the vehicle in question and others. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

But Section Must Be Given, etc.—In accord with original. See Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

The duty imposed by this section rests both upon the owner and upon the driver of the vehicle, though knowledge of a defect, or negligence in failing to discover it, on the part of the one would not necessarily be imputed to the other. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

The legislature did not intend, etc.—In accord with original. See Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

Liability of Bailor.—When a prospective purchaser of an automobile is permitted by the dealer to take the car and drive it for the purpose of trying it out to determine whether he wishes to buy it, no representative of the dealer accompanying him, the relationship between the dealer and the prospective purchaser is that of bailor and bailee. The bailment is one for the mutual benefit of the parties. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

The bailor, even though a dealer in secondhand automobiles and engaged in the repair of automobiles, is not an insurer of the brakes upon a vehicle held by him for sale and delivered by him to a prospective customer for a trial drive upon the highway. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

A bailor who knows, or by a reasonable inspection of his vehicle should know, that its brakes are defective and unsafe, is negligent in permitting that vehicle to be taken from his premises and driven upon the highway by a bailee and may be held liable in damages to a third person injured by the operation of such vehicle, if such defect in its brakes is the proximate cause of such injury. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967).

The burden is upon the plaintiff to prove that the bailor, at the time he allowed the vehicle to leave his possession for such purpose, knew, or in the exercise of rea-
§ 20-126. Mirrors.—(a) No person shall drive a motor vehicle on the streets or highways of this State unless equipped with an inside rear view mirror of a type approved by the Commissioner, which provides the driver with a clear, undistorted, and reasonably unobstructed view of the highway to the rear of such vehicle; provided, a vehicle so constructed or loaded as to make such inside rear view mirror ineffective, may be operated if equipped with a mirror of a type to be approved by the Commissioner located so as to reflect to the driver a view of the highway to the rear of such vehicle. A violation of this subsection shall not constitute negligence per se in civil actions. Farm tractors, self-propelled implements of husbandry and construction equipment and all self-propelled vehicles not subject to registration under this chapter are exempt from the provisions of this section. Provided that pickup trucks equipped with an outside rear view mirror approved by the Commissioner shall be exempt from the inside rear view mirror provision of this section.

(c) No person shall operate a motorcycle upon the streets or highways of this State unless such motorcycle is equipped with a rear view mirror so mounted as to provide the operator with a clear, undistorted and unobstructed view of at least 200 feet to the rear of the motorcycle. No motorcycle shall be registered in this State after January 1, 1968 unless such motorcycle is equipped with a rear view mirror as described in this section. Violation of the provisions of this subsection shall not be considered negligence per se or contributory negligence per se in any civil action. (1937, c. 407, s. 89; 1965, c. 368; 1967, c. 282, s. 1; c. 674, s. 2; c. 1139.)


As subsection (b) was not changed by the amendments, it is not set out.

§ 20-127. Windshields must be unobstructed.

(b) No motor vehicle which is equipped with a permanent windshield shall be operated upon the highways unless said windshield is equipped with a device for cleaning snow, rain, moisture, or other matters from the windshield directly in front of the operator, which device shall be in good working order and so constructed as to be controlled or operated by the operator of the vehicle. Provided, on any vehicle equipped by its manufacturer with such devices on both the right and left sides of windshield, both such devices shall be in working order. The device required by this subsection shall be of a type approved by the Commissioner.

(1967, c. 1077.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the proviso in subsection (b).

As subsections (a) and (c) were not changed by the amendment, they are not set out.

§ 20-129. Required lighting equipment of vehicles.—(a) When Vehicles Must Be Equipped.—Every vehicle upon a highway within this State during the period from a half hour after sunset to a half hour before sunrise, and at any other time when there is not sufficient light to render clearly discernible any person on the highway at a distance of two hundred feet ahead, shall be equipped and many miles after delivery of the car to the bailee. Wilcox v. Glover Motors, Inc., 269 N.C. 473, 153 S.E.2d 76 (1967). Cited in Vann v. Hayes, 266 N.C. 147 S.E.2d 186 (1966).
with lighted head lamps and rear lamps as in this section respectively required for different classes of vehicles, and subject to exemption with reference to lights on parked vehicles as declared in § 20-134.

(d) Rear Lamps. — Every motor vehicle, and every trailer or semitrailer attached to a motor vehicle and every vehicle which is being drawn at the end of a combination of vehicles, shall have all originally equipped rear lamps or the equivalent in good working order, which lamps shall exhibit a red light plainly visible under normal atmospheric conditions from a distance of 500 feet to the rear of such vehicle. One rear lamp or a separate lamp shall be so constructed and placed that the number plate carried on the rear of such vehicle shall under like conditions be illuminated by a white light as to be read from a distance of 50 feet to the rear of such vehicle. Every trailer or semitrailer shall carry at the rear, in addition to the originally equipped lamps, a red reflector of the type which has been approved by the Commissioner and which is so located as to height and is so maintained as to be visible for at least 500 feet when opposed by a motor vehicle displaying lawful undimmed lights at night on an unlighted highway.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the first paragraph of this subsection, it shall not be necessary for a trailer, licensed for not more than 2500 pounds, to carry or be equipped with a rear lamp, provided such vehicle is equipped with and carries at the rear two red reflectors of a diameter of not less than four inches, such reflectors to be approved by the Commissioner, and which are so designed and located as to height and are maintained so that each reflector is visible for at least 500 feet when approached by a motor vehicle displaying lawful undimmed head-lights at night on an unlighted highway.

(1967, cc. 1076, 1213.)

Editor's Note. — The first 1967 amendment rewrote subsection (d).

The second 1967 amendment substituted "head lamps" for "front" in subsection (a).

As the other subsections were not changed by the amendments, they are not set out.

Purpose of Section.—

In accord with 3rd paragraph in original. See White v. Mote, 270 N.C. 544, 155 S.E.2d 75 (1967).

Section Applies to State Highway System Only.—The provisions of this section are not applicable to defendants' truck parked or stopped on a street in the city when plaintiff has neither allegation nor proof to show that the street forms a part of the State highway system. Coleman v. Burris, 265 N.C. 404, 144 S.E.2d 241 (1965).

§ 20-129.1. Additional lighting equipment required on certain vehicles.

This section was enacted in the interest, etc.—

In accord with original. See White v. Mote, 270 N.C. 544, 155 S.E.2d 75 (1967).

§ 20-130.1. Use of red lights on front of vehicles prohibited; exceptions.—It shall be unlawful for any person to drive upon the highways of this State any vehicle displaying red lights visible from the front of said vehicle. The provisions of this section shall not apply to police cars, highway patrol cars, vehicles owned by the Wildlife Resources Commission and operated exclusively
for law-enforcement purposes, ambulances, fire-fighting vehicles, school buses, a vehicle operated in the performance of his duties or services by any member of a municipal or rural fire department, paid or voluntary, or vehicles of a voluntary life-saving organization that have been officially approved by the local police authorities and manned or operated by members of such organization while on official call or to such lights as may be prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission. The provisions of this section shall not apply to motor vehicles used in law enforcement by the sheriff or any salaried deputy sheriff or salaried rural policeman of any county, regardless of whether or not the vehicle is owned by the county. (1943, c. 726; 1947, c. 1032; 1953, c. 354; 1955, c. 528; 1957, c. 65, s. 11; 1959, c. 166, s. 2; c. 1170, s. 2; 1967, c. 651, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, deleted “wreckers” and “maintenance or construction vehicles or equipment of the State Highway Com-

§ 20-130.2. Use of amber lights on certain vehicles.—All wreckers operated on the highways of the State shall be equipped with an amber colored flashing light which shall be so mounted and located as to be clearly visible in all directions from a distance of 500 feet. It shall be lawful to equip any other vehicle with a similar warning light including, but not by way of limitation, maintenance or construction vehicles or equipment of the State Highway Commission engaged in performing maintenance or construction work on the roads, maintenance or construction vehicles of any person, firm or corporation, and any other vehicles required to contain a warning light. (1967, c. 651, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—Section 3, c. 651, Session Laws 1967, provides that the act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968.

§ 20-131. Requirements as to head lamps and auxiliary driving lamps.

The function of a front light or headlight, defined by § 20-129 and this section, is to produce a driving light sufficient, under normal atmospheric conditions, to enable the operator to see a person 200 feet ahead. O'Berry v. Perry, 266 N.C. 77, 145 S.E.2d 321 (1965).

The function of a parking light is to enable a vehicle parked or stopped upon the highway to be seen under similar conditions from a distance of 500 feet to the front of such vehicle. O'Berry v. Perry, 266 N.C. 77, 145 S.E.2d 321 (1965).

This section is inapplicable, etc.—The provisions of this section are not applicable to defendants' truck parked or stopped on a street in the city when plain-tiff has neither allegation nor proof to show that the street forms a part of the State highway system. Coleman v. Burris, 265 N.C. 404, 144 S.E.2d 341 (1965).


§ 20-138. Persons under the influence of intoxicating liquor or narcotic drugs.

Narcotic Drugs, Not Drugs, Are within Prohibition of Section.—This section prohibits the operation of an automobile on a highway within the State while under the influence of narcotic drugs, not under the influence of drugs. State v. Best, 265 N.C. 477, 144 S.E.2d 416 (1965).

Duplicity.—As to the duplicity of charg-

And Waiver Thereof.—In a prosecution under this section, by going to trial without making a motion to quash, defendant waives any duplicity which might exist in the bill. State v. Strouth, 266 N.C. 340, 145 S.E.2d 852 (1966).

In a prosecution under this section, by going to trial without making a motion to quash, defendant waives any duplicity in the warrant. State v. Strouth, 266 N.C. 340, 145 S.E.2d 852 (1966).

Circumstantial Evidence May Suffice.—Where the State relied upon circumstantial evidence, from which there could be little doubt that the defendant's car collided with another; although the defendant said he had been hit from the rear, he admitted a collision; his radiator was leaking; the officer had followed a trail of water from the scene of collision to the point where he found the defendant and his car, and the car was hot, stopped, and wouldn't run, and with a bluish paint on it that resembled the bluish paint of the other car, the jury was fully justified in finding that the defendant, when seen by the officer, and later tested by the breathalyzer, was, if anything, less intoxicated than at the time of the collision. State v. Cummings, 267 N.C. 300, 148 S.E.2d 97 (1966).

Testimony as to Results, etc.—A qualified expert may testify as to the effect of certain percentages of alcohol in the bloodstream of human beings, provided the blood sample analyzed was timely taken, properly traced, and identified. State v. Webb, 265 N.C. 546, 144 S.E.2d 619 (1965).

Result of Breathalyzer Test Is Competent Evidence.—The result of a breathalyzer test, when the qualifications of the person making the test and the manner of making it meet the requirements of § 20-139.1, is competent evidence in a criminal prosecution under this section. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

Policeman May Arrest without Warrant.—A highway patrolman apprehending a person driving a motor vehicle on the public highway while under the influence of intoxicating liquor is authorized, by virtue of the provisions of § 20-188 and subdivision (1) of § 15-41, to arrest such person without a warrant, and such arrest is legal. State v. Broome, 269 N.C. 661, 153 S.E.2d 354 (1967).

In a prosecution for drunken driving, etc.—In a prosecution under this section, two highway patrolmen who investigated the accident in which defendant was involved just before his arrest were properly allowed to testify that in their opinion defendant was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. State v. Mills, 268 N.C. 142, 150 S.E.2d 13 (1966).

Evidence Not Directly Showing that Defendant Drove While Intoxicated. —Where the State's evidence impressively shows that the defendant operated a motor vehicle upon the streets of a city and that he was intoxicated, but the defendant complains that it doesn't directly show that he drove while he was intoxicated, his position is well taken unless the evidence will reasonably and logically sustain such a finding. State v. Cummings, 267 N.C. 300, 148 S.E.2d 97 (1966).

Evidence Sufficient for Jury.—The State's evidence was amply sufficient to carry the case to the jury on the charge of driving while intoxicated. State v. Mills, 268 N.C. 142, 150 S.E.2d 13 (1966).


§ 20-139.1
1967 SUPPLEMENT

person acquiring ethyl alcohol or beverages containing ethyl alcohol under such regulations shall keep records accounting for the disposition of all ethyl alcohol and beverages containing ethyl alcohol so acquired, and such records shall at all reasonable times be available for inspection upon the request of any federal or State law enforcement officer with jurisdiction over the laws relating to alcohol or intoxicating liquor. All acts done pursuant to such regulations reasonably in furtherance of bona fide objectives of the chemical testing program within this State shall be lawful notwithstanding the provisions of any other general, special, or local statute or any ordinance or regulation of the State or of any agency or subdivision of the State. Regulations of the State Board of Health adopted pursuant to this section shall be filed and published in accordance with the provisions of §§ 143-195 to 143-198.1. (1963, c. 966, s. 2; 1967, c. 123.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment added subsection (e).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subsection (e) is set out.

For article on tests for intoxication, see 45 N.C.L. Rev. 34 (1966).

Meaning of "Presumption."—In this section, the General Assembly used the word "presumption" in the sense of a permissive inference or "prima facie" evidence. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967); State v. Jent, 270 N.C. 652, 155 S.E.2d 171 (1967).

And the trial judge should so instruct the jury. State v. Jent, 270 N.C. 652, 155 S.E.2d 171 (1967).

The words "it shall be presumed" are equivalent to "prima facie" proof. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

In this section, the General Assembly did not intend to create a so-called conclusive presumption since it specifically provided that "any other competent evidence, including other types of chemical analyses," bearing upon the issue of defendant's intoxication may be introduced. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

Nor to Shift the Burden of Proof.—The legislature did not intend to shift the burden of proof to a defendant whose breathalyzer tests show a blood alcohol level of 0.10 percent or more to prove that he was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor at the time charged. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

Result of Breathalyzer Test Is Competent Evidence. — The result of a breathalyzer test, when the qualifications of the person making the test and the manner of making it meet the requirements of this section, is competent evidence in a criminal prosecution under § 20-138. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

Defendant's objections to the results of a breathalyzer test are not sustained where, before being permitted to testify, the officer who had administered the test was questioned preliminarily and his answers tended to show that the tests were made in compliance with this section and the regulations of the State Board of Health as set forth in this section. State v. Cummings, 267 N.C. 300, 148 S.E.2d 97 (1966).

And May Carry State's Case to Jury.—A breathalyzer test (otherwise relevant and competent) which shows 0.10 percent or more by weight of alcohol in a defendant's blood will carry the State's case to the jury for its determination of whether defendant was under the influence of intoxicating liquor at the time charged. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

But Jury Is Still at Liberty to Acquit.—Despite the results of the breathalyzer test, the jury is still at liberty to acquit defendant if they find that his guilt is not proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and the court should explain this to the jury. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

Test Must Have Been Timely Made.—For the test to cast any light on a defendant's condition at the time of the alleged crime, the test must have been timely made. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

The breathalyzer can measure only the amount of alcohol which is in a person's blood at the time the test is given. Therefore, the presumption or inference which this section raises when the test shows 0.10 percent or more of blood alcohol relates only to the time of the test. Since it is the degree of intoxication at the time of the occurrence in question which is relevant, it is undoubtedly true that the sooner after the event the test is made, the more accurate will be the estimate of blood alcohol concentration at the time of the act in issue. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

The purpose of the limitation in subsection (b) of this section is to assure that the test will be fairly and impartially made.

"Arresting Officer".—An officer, who is present at the scene of the arrest for the purpose of assisting in it, if necessary, is an "arresting officer" within the meaning of this section, even though a different officer actually places his hand upon the defendant and informs him that he is under arrest. State v. Stauffer, 266 N.C. 358, 145 S.E.2d 917 (1966).

§ 20-140. Reckless driving.
Every operator of a motor vehicle is required, etc.—
This section requires every operator of a motor vehicle to exercise reasonable care to avoid injury to persons or property of another and a failure to so operate proximately causing injury to another gives rise to a cause of action. Miller v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 1, 147 S.E.2d 537 (1966).

Entering Intersection Closely in Front of Plainly Visible Automobile.—The act of a driver in entering an intersection so closely in front of an automobile plainly visible to him approaching along an intersecting four-lane highway, that the driver of the car does not have sufficient time in the exercise of reasonable care to avoid a collision, constitutes a violation of subsections (a) and (b) of this section, and is negligence per se. Snell v. Caudle Sand & Rock Co., 267 N.C. 613, 148 S.E.2d 608 (1966).

Evidence Not Disclosing Careless and Reckless Driving.—Evidence, while sufficient to present the question of negligence, did not disclose careless and reckless driving within the purview of this section. Williams v. Boulerice, 269 N.C. 499, 153 S.E.2d 93 (1967).


§ 20-140.2. Overloaded or overcrowded vehicle; persons riding on motorcycles to wear safety helmets.

(b) No motorcycle shall be operated upon the streets and highways of this State unless the operator and all passengers thereon wear safety helmets of a type approved by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles. No person shall operate a motorcycle upon the streets and highways of this State when the number of persons upon such motorcycle, including the operator, shall exceed the number of persons for which it was designed to carry. Violation of any provision of this subsection shall not be considered negligence per se or contributory negligence per se in any civil action.

(1967, c. 674, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, rewrote subsection (b).

As subsections (a) and (c) were not changed by the amendment, they are not set out.

§ 20-141. Speed restrictions.—(a) No person shall drive a vehicle on a highway or on any parking lot, drive, driveway, road, roadway, street or alley upon the grounds and premises of any public or private hospital, college, university, benevolent institution, school, orphanage, church, or any of the institutions maintained and supported by the State of North Carolina or any of its subdivisions, or upon the grounds and premises of any service station, drive-in theater, supermarket, store, restaurant or office building, or any other business or municipal establishment, providing parking space for customers, patrons or the public at a speed greater than is reasonable and prudent under the conditions then existing.

(1967, c. 106.)
Editor's Note.—
The 1967 amendment inserted the language beginning "or on any parking lot" and ending "or patrons or the public" in subsection (a).
As the rest of the section was not affected by the amendment, only subsection (a) is set out.

Violation as Constituting Negligence.—
A violation of subsection (b) (3) of this section is negligence per se. Smart v. Fox, 268 N.C. 284, 150 S.E.2d 403 (1966).
Where defendant was driving in excess of the maximum speed which would have been reasonable and prudent under the conditions then prevailing, and failed to reduce his speed in approaching and entering the intersection, he was driving in violation of this section, and was guilty of negligence. Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

Violation Must Proximately Cause Injury.—
The violation of subsection (c) constitutes negligence per se. However, in order for there to be actionable negligence such violation must be a proximate cause of the injury in suit, including the essential element of foreseeability. Day v. Davis, 268 N.C. 643, 151 S.E.2d 556 (1966).

This section prescribes a standard, etc.—
The duty of a driver to decrease his speed is governed by the duty of all persons to use "due care," and is tested by the usual legal requirements and standards such as proximate cause. Day v. Davis, 268 N.C. 643, 151 S.E.2d 556 (1966).

Colliding with Vehicle Parked on Highway, etc.—
In accord with 5th paragraph in original. See Sharpe v. Hanline, 265 N.C. 502, 144 S.E.2d 574 (1965).
A motorist is not required to anticipate that an automobile will be stopped on the highway ahead of him at night, without lights or warning signals required by statute, but this does not relieve him of the duty of exercising reasonable care for his own safety, of keeping a proper lookout, and proceeding as a reasonably prudent person would under the circumstances to avoid a collision with the rear of a vehicle stopped or standing on the road. Bass v. McLamb, 268 N.C. 395, 150 S.E.2d 856 (1966).

The operator of a standing or parked vehicle which constitutes a source of danger to other users of the highway is generally bound to exercise ordinary or reasonable care to give adequate warning or notice to approaching traffic of the presence of the vehicle, and such duty exists irrespective of the reason for stopping the vehicle on the highway. So the driver of the stopped vehicle must take such precautions as would reasonably be calculated to prevent injury, whether by the use of lights, flags, guards, or other practical means and failing to give such warning may constitute negligence. Bass v. McLamb, 268 N.C. 395, 150 S.E.2d 856 (1966).

Inability to Stop within Radius, etc.—
Prior to April 29, 1953, the effective date of subsection (e) of this section, the failure of a nocturnal motorist to drive in such a manner and at such a speed that he could stop his vehicle within the radius of his headlights or range of his vision was negligence, or contributory negligence, per se. Subsection (e), which modified this rule, by its terms does not apply, however, when a motorist is operating his vehicle in excess of the maximum speed limits fixed by subsection (b). Griffin v. Watkins, 269 N.C. 650, 153 S.E.2d 356 (1967).

The proviso in subsection (e) does not apply if it is admitted, or if all the evidence discloses, that the motor vehicle was being operated in excess of the maximum speed limit under the existing circumstances as prescribed under subsection (b). Bass v. McLamb, 268 N.C. 395, 150 S.E.2d 856 (1966).

Driving on Snow or Ice. — One is not negligent per se in driving an automobile on a highway covered with snow or ice. Bass v. McLamb, 268 N.C. 395, 150 S.E.2d 856 (1966).

Instruction Held Sufficient.—Instruction charging duty of motorist operating a vehicle with worn, slick tires on a wet and slippery highway held sufficient. First Union Nat'l Bank v. Hackney, 270 N.C. 437, 154 S.E.2d 512 (1967).

§ 20-141.1. Restrictions in speed zones near rural public schools.—
Whenever the State Highway Commission shall determine that the proximity of a public school to a public highway, coupled with the number of pupils in ordinary regular attendance at such school, results in a situation that renders the applicable speed set out in G.S. 20-141 greater than is reasonable or safe, under the conditions found to exist with respect to any public highway near such school, said Commission shall establish a speed zone on such portion of said public highway near such school as it deems necessary, and determine and declare a reasonable and safe speed limit for such speed zone, which shall be effective when appropriate signs giving notice thereof are erected at each end of said zone so as to give notice to any one entering the zone. This section does not apply with respect to any portion of any street or highway within the corporate limits of any incorporated city or town. Operation of a motor vehicle in any such zone at a rate of speed in excess of that fixed pursuant to the powers granted in this section is a misdemeanor punishable by fine or imprisonment not to exceed two years, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1951, c. 782; 1957, c. 65, s. 11; 1967, c. 448.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted "not to exceed two years" near the end of the last sentence.

§ 20-141.3. Unlawful racing on streets and highways.
(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to authorize or knowingly permit a motor vehicle owned by him or under his control to be operated on a public street, highway, or thoroughfare in prearranged speed competition with another motor vehicle, or to place or receive any bet, wager, or other thing of value from the outcome of any prearranged speed competition on any public street, highway, or thoroughfare. Any person violating the provisions of this subsection shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be punished by a fine or imprisonment not to exceed two years, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1967, c. 446.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted "not to exceed two years" in the second sentence of subsection (c).

§ 20-146. Drive on right side of roadway; exceptions.


Evidence Sufficient, etc.— When a plaintiff suing to recover damages for injuries sustained in a collision offers evidence tending to show that the collision occurred when the defendant was driving to his left of the center of the highway, such evidence makes out a prima facie case of actionable negligence. The defendant, of course, may rebut the inference arising from such evidence by showing that he was on the wrong side of the road from a cause other than his own negligence. Anderson v. Webb, 267 N.C. 745, 148 S.E.2d 846 (1966).


§ 20-147. Keep to the right in crossing intersections or railroads.

Violation Must Be Proximate Cause, etc.—

Evidence held sufficient, etc.—
When a plaintiff suing to recover damages for injuries sustained in a collision offers evidence tending to show that the

§ 20-149. Overtaking a vehicle.

Failure to Blow Horn Held Evidence of Negligence.—The failure of a bus driver to blow his horn in apt time before attempting to pass a boy on a bicycle, who was obviously unaware of the overtaking vehicle, is evidence of negligence. Webb v. Felton, 266 N.C. 707, 147 S.E.2d 219 (1966).

The fact that the engine of the overtaking vehicle is noisy, or even that it is carrying a rattling load, will not relieve a driver of his duty to give in apt time the warning required by statute. Webb v. Felton, 266 N.C. 707, 147 S.E.2d 219 (1966).

The two-foot clearance requirement is a minimum requirement by the express terms of the statute. Murchison v. Powell, 269 N.C. 656, 153 S.E.2d 352 (1967).

It Applies to Overtaking and Passing Another Vehicle.—The two-foot clearance required by this section applies to the overtaking and passing of another vehicle, not a horse subject to fright by a sudden noise. Murchison v. Powell, 269 N.C. 656, 153 S.E.2d 352 (1967).


§ 20-150. Limitations on privilege of overtaking and passing.


§ 20-152. Following too closely.

This section fixes no specific distance at which one automobile may lawfully follow another. Beanblossom v. Thomas, 266 N.C. 181, 146 S.E.2d 36 (1966).

Determining Proper Space to Be Maintained between Vehicles.—In determining the proper space to be maintained between his vehicle and the one preceding him, a motorist must take into consideration such variables as the locality, road and weather conditions, other traffic on the highway, the characteristics of the vehicle he is driving, as well as that of the one ahead, the relative speeds of the two, and his ability to control and stop his vehicle should an emergency require it. Thus, the space is determined according to the standard of reasonable care and should be sufficient to enable the operator of the car behind to avoid danger in case of a sudden stop or decrease in speed by the vehicle ahead under circumstances which should reasonably be anticipated by the following driver. Beanblossom v. Thomas, 266 N.C. 181, 146 S.E.2d 36 (1966).

Negligence Per Se.—
In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See Beanblossom v. Thomas, 266 N.C. 181, 146 S.E.2d 36 (1966).


Inferences from Fact of Collision.—
Unless the driver of the leading vehicle is himself guilty of negligence, or unless an emergency is created by some third person or other highway hazard, the mere fact of a collision with the vehicle ahead furnishes some evidence that the motorist in the rear was not keeping a proper lookout or that he was following too closely. Beanblossom v. Thomas, 266 N.C. 181, 146 S.E.2d 36 (1966).

The mere fact of a collision with a vehicle ahead furnishes some evidence that the following motorist was negligent as to speed or was following too closely. Griffin v. Ward, 267 N.C. 296, 143 S.E.2d 133 (1966).

Though the mere fact of a collision with a vehicle furnishes some evidence of violation of this section, or of failure to keep a proper lookout, the mere proof of a collision with a preceding vehicle does not compel either of these conclusions. It merely raises a question for the jury to determine. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).
Drivers Charged with Notice That Operation of Each Car in Line Is Affected by Car in Front of It.—Where the plaintiff and defendant had been driving their cars behind a line of cars for a substantial distance, the drivers, in the exercise of reasonable care, were charged with notice that the operation of each car was affected by the one in front of it. They had to maintain such distance, keep such a lookout, and operate at such speed, under these conditions, that they could control their cars under ordinarily foreseeable developments. The defendant did so and was able to stop when it became necessary because the car leading the procession stopped to make a left turn. No less responsibility was cast upon the plaintiff, and therefore a motion to nonsuit the plaintiff's cause of action should have been allowed. Griffin v. Ward, 267 N.C. 296, 148 S.E.2d 133 (1966).

The following driver is not an insurer against rear-end collisions, for, even when he follows at a distance reasonable under the existing conditions, the space may be too short to permit a stop under any and all eventualities. White v. Mote, 270 N.C. 544, 155 S.E.2d 75 (1967).

§ 20-153. Turning at intersection.

Charge to Jury.—
The reference to "subdivision (5) of § 20-38" in the paragraph under this catchline in the replacement volume should be to "subdivision (12) of § 20-38."

Evidence Insufficient to Show Violation.—The evidence, as distinguished from defendant's allegations, was insufficient to constitute a basis for the contention that plaintiff violated this section. Kidd v. Burton, 269 N.C. 267, 152 S.E.2d 162 (1967).


§ 20-154. Signals on starting, stopping or turning.


The care which is reasonable in making a left turn at an intersection depends, in part, upon the nature and dimensions of the vehicle, or combination of vehicles, to be turned and of the load, if any, projecting from the rear thereof. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

It Is Not Necessarily Enough to Look and Give Signal.—In making a left turn, it is not necessarily enough to absolve a driver from negligence that he looked and gave the statutory signal. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

Hence, when the turning vehicle is drawing behind it a 40-foot pole, it is obvious that a left turn at a right angle will involve some swinging of the end of the pole in an arc through part of the intersection. Evidence of such a turn with such a load is sufficient to permit, though not to require, the jury to find that reasonable care for the safety of other users of the highway demands the stationing of some person at the intersection to stop traffic which may otherwise be imperiled by the turn. Ratliff v. Duke Power Co., 268 N.C. 605, 151 S.E.2d 641 (1966).

Effect of Traffic Signals, etc.—
Where the intersection of streets in a municipality has authorized electric traffic signals, requirements in regard to stopping are controlled by the traffic lights and not by subsection (b) of this section. Jones v. Holt, 268 N.C. 381, 150 S.E.2d 759 (1966).

When a motorist approaches an electrically controlled signal at an intersection of streets or highways, he is under the legal duty to maintain a proper lookout and to keep his motor vehicle under reasonable control in order that he may stop before entering the intersection if the green light changes to yellow or red before he actually enters the intersection. Likewise, another motorist, following immediately behind the first motorist, is not relieved of the legal duty to keep his motor vehicle under reasonable control in order that he might stop before entering the intersection if the green light changing to yellow or red before he actually enters the intersection. Likewise, another motorist, following immediately behind the first motorist, is not relieved of the legal duty to keep his motor vehicle under reasonable control in order that he might not collide with the motor vehicle in front of him in the event the driver of the first car is required to stop before entering the intersection by reason of the signal light changing from green to yellow or red. Jones v. Holt, 268 N.C. 381, 150 S.E.2d 759 (1966).

Section Not Applicable Where Driver Has No Choice.—This section, which provides that the driver of a motor vehicle shall not stop without first seeing that he can do so in safety and that he must give a signal of his intention where the operation of other cars might be affected, is not applicable where the driver has no choice, such as where the driver is confronted with a situation which demands that he stop because the line of cars in front of him has done so, he cannot turn left because of oncoming traffic, and it has been

(b) The driver of a vehicle approaching but not having entered an intersection and/or junction, shall yield the right-of-way to a vehicle already within such intersection and/or junction whether the vehicle in the junction is proceeding straight ahead or turning in either direction: Provided, that this subsection shall not be interpreted as giving the right-of-way to a vehicle already in an intersection and/or junction when said vehicle is turning either to the right or left unless the driver of said vehicle has given a plainly visible signal of intention to turn as required in § 20-154. Notwithstanding the provisions of this section and § 20-154, a vehicle making a left turn in front of an approaching vehicle does not have the right-of-way unless such movement can be completed with safety prior to the arrival of the approaching vehicle, and when the movement cannot be completed with safety, the driver of the vehicle making the left turn shall yield the right-of-way.

(1967, c. 1053.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the last sentence in subsection (b).

As the other subsections were not affected by the amendment, they are not set out.

Duty of Driver Approaching, etc.—Where two drivers approach an uncontrolled intersection at the same time, it is the duty of the driver on the left to yield the right-of-way to the vehicle on his right. Wilder v. Harris, 266 N.C. 82, 145 S.E.2d 393 (1965).

Right to Assume That Driver, etc.—

In accord with 1st paragraph in origin-


When two drivers approach an uncontrolled intersection at the same time, the driver on the right has the right to assume and act on the assumption until given notice to the contrary that the operator of any vehicle approaching the intersection to the left would obey the law and yield the right-of-way. Wilder v. Harris, 266 N.C. 82, 145 S.E.2d 393 (1965).


§ 20-156. Exceptions to the right-of-way rule.

Subsection (a) Applies to Person Riding Animal.—The requirement that a person entering a public highway from a private road or drive must yield the right-of-way to vehicles on the public highway applies to a person riding an animal as well as...
§ 20-158. Vehicles must stop through highways.

The right of one starting from, etc.—
In accord with original. See Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

Duty of Driver, etc.—
In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

Proximate Cause, etc.—
It is not enough for the plaintiff to show that defendant was negligent in driving at an excessive speed, in failing to reduce his speed as he approached and entered the intersection, or in failing to maintain a reasonable and proper lookout. The burden is also upon the plaintiff to prove that such negligence by the defendant was one of the proximate causes of the collision and of his intestate's death. Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

Right to Assume That Automobile, etc.—

It is reasonable for the operator of an automobile, traveling upon a designated main traveled or through highway and approaching an intersecting highway, to assume until the last moment that a motorist on the servient highway who has actually stopped in obedience to the stop sign will yield the right-of-way to him and will not enter the intersection until he has passed through it. Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

Evidence of Negligence and Proximate Cause.—Where plaintiff's intestate brought his automobile to a stop at a point where he had an unobstructed view of the defendants' automobile approaching on the dominant highway, and he resumed his progress into the intersection at a very slow rate of speed when the defendants' automobile was so near to the intersection and moving at such a speed that in the exercise of reasonable prudence he should have seen that he could not cross in safety, his entry into the intersection in this manner and under these conditions was negligence and was one of the proximate causes of the collision and of his death, if not the sole proximate cause thereof. Raper v. Byrum, 265 N.C. 269, 144 S.E.2d 38 (1965).

The operator of a standing or parked vehicle which constitutes a source of danger to other users of the highway is generally bound to exercise ordinary or reasonable care to give adequate warning or notice to approaching traffic of the presence of the standing vehicle, and such duty exists irrespective of the reason for stopping the vehicle on the highway. So the driver of the stopped vehicle must take such precautions as would reasonably be calculated to prevent injury, whether by the use of lights, flags, guards, or other practical means, and failing to give such warning may constitute negligence. Saunders v. Warren, 267 N.C. 735, 149 S.E.2d 19 (1966).

A motorist stopping on a pronounced curve should anticipate that a following motorist will have an obstructed view of the highway ahead. Saunders v. Warren, 267 N.C. 735, 149 S.E.2d 19 (1966).

But Obligation to Light Vehicle, etc.—
Whether defendants violated this section has no bearing upon their obligations in respect of lighting equipment and lights.

The parking of a car on the hard surface, etc.—
In accord with original. See Sharpe v. Hanline, 265 N.C. 502, 144 S.E.2d 574 (1965).

Evidence Making Out Prima Facie Case of Actionable Negligence.—Evidence that defendants left a wrecker standing on the highway in such manner that the wrecker, and the cable attached, blocked the entire highway, that the existing circumstances affected visibility of the cable, that no meaningful warning was given that the highway was completely obstructed, and that traffic, to avoid collision, would have to come to a complete stop, makes out a prima facie case of actionable negligence on the part of defendants. Montford v. Gibbhaar, 265 N.C. 389, 144 S.E.2d 31 (1965).


§ 20-166. Duty to stop in event of accident or collision; furnishing information or assistance to injured person, etc.; persons assisting exempt from civil liability.

(b) The driver of any vehicle involved in an accident or collision resulting in damage to property and in which there is not involved injury or death of any person shall immediately stop his vehicle at the scene of the accident or collision and shall give his name, address, operator’s or chauffeur’s license number and the registration number of his vehicle to the driver or occupants of any other vehicle involved in the accident or collision or to any person whose property is damaged in the accident or collision; provided, if the driver or other occupants of the other vehicle or vehicles involved in the accident or collision or the person or persons whose property is damaged in the accident or collision are not known, the driver shall furnish the information required by this subsection to the nearest available peace officer. Any person violating the provisions of this subsection shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and fined or imprisoned for a period of not more than two years, or both, in the discretion of the court.

(1967, c. 445.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted “for a period of not more than two years” in the last sentence of subsection (b).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subsection (b) is set out.

For note on North Carolina’s “Good Samaritan” statute, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 508 (1966).

Driver Must Stop at Scene, etc.—
This section requires the driver of a vehicle, involved in an accident or collision resulting in injury or death to any person, to stop, render reasonable assistance and give certain specified information to the occupant or driver of the vehicle collided with. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

Knowledge of Accident, etc.—
Knowledge by a motorist that he had struck a pedestrian is an essential element of the offense of failing to stop and give such pedestrian aid. State v. Glover, 270 N.C. 310, 154 S.E.2d 305 (1967).

Section does not require statement by driver as to how he was driving or what caused the collision. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).


§ 20-166.1. Reports and investigations required in event of collision.

Section Imposes Duties on Driver, Not Owner.—The duties imposed by this section are duties which the law imposes upon the driver, not upon the owner. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

Requirements of Section.—This section requires the driver of any vehicle involved in a collision, resulting in injury or death of any person, to give notice of the collision to police officers and within twenty-four hours to make a written report to the Department of Motor Vehicles upon a form supplied by it. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

No Statement Required.—This section contains no provision requiring a driver involved in a collision which must be reported to make any statement to the officer. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).
§ 20-171 Duty Imposed by Subsection (e).—Subsection (e) of this section makes it the duty of the State Highway Patrol to investigate all collisions required to be reported to it by this section, and requires the investigating officer to make his report in writing to the Motor Vehicle Department, which report is open to inspection by the public. Branch v. Dempsey, 265 N.C. 733, 145 S.E.2d 395 (1965).

§ 20-174. Crossing at other than crosswalks.

A driver must make certain that pedestrians in front of him are aware of his approach. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

Duty of Pedestrian, etc.—Where intestate was crossing the street diagonally within the block, at a point which was neither at an intersection nor within a marked crosswalk, and the evidence disclosed no traffic control signals at the adjacent intersections, under the provisions of subsection (a) it was intestate’s duty to “yield the right-of-way to all vehicles upon the roadway.” Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

The failure of a pedestrian, etc.—The mere fact that a pedestrian attempts to cross a street at a point other than a crosswalk is not sufficient, standing alone, to support a finding of contributory negligence as a matter of law. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

Evidence tending to show that intestate failed to yield the right-of-way as required by subsection (a) may not be treated as amounting to contributory negligence as a matter of law, particularly so in view of testimony to the effect that intestate at the time he was struck had reached a point about ten feet from the west curb of the street. Failure so to yield the right-of-way is not contributory negligence per se, but rather it is evidence of negligence to be considered with other evidence in the case in determining whether the actor is chargeable with negligence which proximately caused or contributed to his injury. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

Duty to Avoid Striking Pedestrian, etc.—In accord with 2nd paragraph in original. See Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

Warning Should Be Given Pedestrians.—While a driver of a motor vehicle is not required to anticipate that a pedestrian seen in a place of safety will leave it and get in the danger zone until some demonstration or movement on his part reasonably indicates that fact, he must give warning to one on the highway or in close proximity to it, and not on a sidewalk, who is apparently oblivious of the approach of the car or one whom the driver in the exercise of ordinary care may reasonably anticipate will come into his way. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

It is a driver’s duty to sound his horn in order that a pedestrian unaware of his approach may have timely warning. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

If it appears that the pedestrian is oblivious of the movement or the nearness of the car and of the speed at which it is approaching, ordinary care requires the driver to blow his horn, slow down, and, if necessary, stop to avoid inflicting injury. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

The doctrine of last clear chance is the humane rule of law that imposes upon a person the duty to exercise ordinary or due care to avoid injury to another who has negligently placed himself in a situation of danger, and who he can reasonably apprehend is unconscious thereof or is unable to avoid the danger. Wanner v. Alsup, 265 N.C. 308, 144 S.E.2d 18 (1965).

Contributory negligence of plaintiff does not preclude recovery where it is made to appear that the defendant, by exercising reasonable care and prudence, might have avoided the injurious consequences to the plaintiff, notwithstanding plaintiff’s negli-
§ 20-174.1. Sitting or lying upon highways or streets prohibited.

Editor's Note.—For article dealing with the legal problems in southern desegregation, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 689 (1965).


§ 20-176. Penalty for misdemeanor.

(b) Unless another penalty is in this article or by the laws of this State provided, every person convicted of a misdemeanor for the violation of any provision of this article shall be punished by a fine of not more than one hundred dollars ($100.00) or by imprisonment in the county or municipal jail for not more than sixty days, or by both such fine and imprisonment: Provided, that upon conviction for the following offenses—operating motor vehicles without displaying registration number plates issued therefor; permitting or making any unlawful use of registration number plates, or permitting the use of registration by a person not entitled thereto, and violation of §§ 20-116, 20-117, 20-122, 20-123, 20-124, 20-125, 20-126, 20-127, 20-128, 20-129, 20-130, 20-131, 20-132, 20-133, 20-134, 20-140.2, 20-142, 20-143, 20-144, 20-146, 20-147, 20-148, 20-150, 20-151, 20-152, 20-153, 20-154, 20-155, 20-156, 20-157, 20-159, 20-160, 20-161, 20-162, 20-163, 20-165—the punishment therefor shall be a fine not to exceed fifty dollars ($50.00), or imprisonment not to exceed thirty days for each offense. (1737, C402, 8.91375 1951, ch 1013;6.27 3.1957, c. 1255:°1967, c: 674; s, 3:)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, inserted the reference to § 20-140.2 in the proviso to subsection (b).

As subsection (a) was not changed by the amendment, it is not set out.


§ 20-179. Penalty for driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or narcotic drugs.—Every person who is convicted of violating § 20-138, relating to habitual users of narcotic drugs or driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or narcotic drugs, shall, for the first offense, be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars ($100.00) or imprisonment for not less than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court. For a second conviction of the same offense, the defendant shall be punished by a fine of not less than two hundred dollars ($200.00) or imprisonment for not less than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court. For a third or subsequent conviction of the same offense, the defendant shall be punished by a fine of not less than five hundred dollars ($500.00) or by both such fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court not to exceed two years. (1937, c. 407, s. 137; 1951, c. 1013, s. 7; 1957, c. 1255; 1967, c. 674, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added "not to exceed two years" at the end of the section.

Amendment of Warrant. — The trial court has discretionary power to permit the amendment of a warrant charging defendant with operating a motor vehicle upon a public highway while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, so as to charge that the offense was a third offense, since the amendment does not change the nature of the offense but relates solely to punishment. State v. Broome, 269 N.C. 661, 153 S.E.2d 384 (1967).
§ 20-183.2. Safety equipment inspection required; inspection certificate.—(a) Every motor vehicle, trailer, semitrailer, and pole trailer not including trailers of a gross weight of 2500 pounds or less and house trailers, registered or required to be registered in North Carolina when operated on the streets and highways of this State must display a current approved inspection certificate at such place on the vehicle as may be designated by the Commissioner, indicating that it has been inspected in accordance with this part. Such motor vehicle shall thereafter be inspected and display a current inspection certificate as is required by subsection (b) hereof.

(b) Every inspection certificate issued under this part shall be valid for not less than 12 months and shall expire at midnight on the last day of the month designated on said inspection certificate. It shall be unlawful to operate any motor vehicle on the highway until there is displayed thereon a current inspection certificate as provided by this part, indicating that the vehicle has been inspected within the previous 12 months and has been found to comply with the standard for safety equipment prescribed by this chapter subject to the following provisions:

(1) Vehicles of a type required to be inspected under subsection (a), which are owned by a resident of this State, that have been outside of North Carolina continuously for a period of 30 days, or more, immediately preceding the expiration of the then current inspection certificate shall within 10 days of reentry to the State be inspected and have an approved certificate attached thereto if vehicle is to continue operation on the streets and highways.

(2) Any vehicle owned or possessed by a dealer, manufacturer or transporter within this State and operated over the public streets and highways displaying thereon a dealer demonstration, manufacturer or transporter plate must have affixed to the windshield thereof a valid certificate of inspection and approval, except a dealer, manufacturer or transporter or his agent may operate a motor vehicle displaying dealer demonstration, manufacturer or transporter plates from source of purchase to his place of business or to an inspection station, provided it is within 10 days of purchase, foreclosure or repossession.

(3) Vehicles acquired by residents of this State from dealers or owners located outside of the State must, upon entry to this State, be inspected and approved, certificate attached, within 10 days after the vehicle becomes subject to registration.

(4) Vehicles acquired by residents within this State, not displaying current North Carolina inspection certificates, must be inspected and have approved inspection certificate attached within 10 days from date registration plate issued or if registration plate is to be transferred, within 10 days of the date of purchase.

(5) Owners of motor vehicles moving their residence to North Carolina from other states must within 10 days from the date the vehicles are subject to registration have same inspected and have an approved certificate attached thereto.

(c) On and after February 16, 1966 all motor vehicle dealers in North Carolina shall, prior to retail sale of any new or used motor vehicle, have such motor vehicle inspected by an approved inspection station as required by this part.
(d) When a motor vehicle required to be inspected under this part shall, upon inspection, fail to meet the safety requirements of this part, the safety equipment inspection station making such inspection, shall issue an authorized receipt for such vehicle indicating that it has been inspected and shall enumerate the defects found. The owner or operator may have such defects corrected at such place as he or she chooses. The vehicle may be reinspected at the safety equipment inspection station, first making the inspection, without additional charge, or the owner or operator may have same inspected at another safety equipment station upon payment of a new inspection fee. (1965, c. 734, s. 1; 1967, c. 692, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 20-183.4. Licensing of safety equipment inspection stations. — Every person, firm or agency with employees meeting the following qualifications shall, upon application, be issued a license designating the person, firm or agency as a safety equipment inspection station:

(1) Be of good character and have a good reputation for honesty.
(2) Have adequate knowledge of the equipment requirements of the Motor Vehicle Laws of North Carolina.
(3) Be able to satisfactorily conduct the mechanical inspection required by this part.
(4) Have adequate facilities as to space and equipment in order to check each of the items of safety equipment listed herein.
(5) Have a general knowledge of motor vehicles sufficient to recognize a mechanical condition which is not safe.

Any person, firm or agency meeting the above requirements and desiring to be licensed as a motor vehicle inspection station may apply to the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles on forms provided by the Commissioner. The Commissioner shall cause an investigation to be made as to the applicant's qualifications, and if, in the opinion of the Commissioner, the applicant fulfills such qualifications, he shall issue a certificate of appointment to such person, firm or agency as a safety equipment inspection station. Such appointment shall be issued without charge and shall be effective until cancelled by request of licensee or until revoked or suspended by the Commissioner. Any licensee whose license has been revoked or suspended or any applicant whose application has been refused, may, within 10 days from the notice of such revocation, suspension or refusal, request a hearing before the Commissioner and, in such cases, the hearing shall be conducted within 10 days of receipt of request for such hearing. The Commissioner, following such hearing, may rescind the order of suspension, revocation or the refusal to issue license, or he may affirm the previous order of revocation, suspension or refusal. Any applicant or licensee aggrieved by the decision of the Commissioner may, following such decision, file a petition in the Superior Court of Wake County or in the county wherein applicant or licensee resides. Such petition shall recite the fact that the administrative remedy, as provided above, has been exhausted. Provided, that no restraining order shall issue against the Department of Motor Vehicles under this section until and unless the Department shall have had at least five days' notice of the petitioner's intention to seek such restraining order.

The Commissioner may designate the State or any political subdivision thereof or any person, firm or corporation as self inspectors for the sole purpose of inspecting vehicles owned or operated by such agencies, persons, firms, or corporations so designated. (1965, c. 734, s. 1; 1967, c. 692, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote the portion of the second paragraph that follows the second sentence.
§ 20-183.8. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles to issue regulations subject to approval of Governor; penalties for violation; fictitious or unlawful safety inspection certificate.

(c) No person shall display or cause to be displayed or permit to be displayed upon any motor vehicle any safety inspection certificate, knowing the same to be fictitious or to be issued for another motor vehicle or to be issued without inspection and approval having been made. The Department is hereby authorized to take immediate possession of any safety inspection certificate which is fictitious or which has been otherwise unlawfully or erroneously issued or which has been unlawfully used. Any person violating the provisions of this subsection shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by fine not to exceed fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisonment not to exceed 30 days. (1965, c. 734, s. 1; 1967, c. 692, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment changed by the amendment, they are not added subsection (c).

As subsections (a) and (b) were not

ARTICLE 4.

State Highway Patrol.

§ 20-187.1. Awards.—(a) The patrol commander shall appoint an awards committee consisting of one troop commander, one troop executive officer, one district sergeant, one corporal, two troopers and one member of patrol headquarters staff. All committee members shall serve for a term of one year. The member from patrol headquarters staff shall serve as secretary to the committee and shall vote only in case of ties. The committee shall meet at such times and places designated by the patrol commander.

(b) Awards to be granted under the provisions of this section shall consist of the following:

(1) North Carolina State Highway Patrol Award of Honor. The North Carolina State Highway Patrol award of honor is awarded in the name of the people of North Carolina and by the Governor to a person who, while a member of the North Carolina State Highway Patrol, distinguishes himself conspicuously by gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of personal safety and beyond the call of duty while engaged in the preservation of life and property. The deed performed must have been one of personal bravery and self-sacrifice so conspicuous as to clearly distinguish the individual above his colleagues and must have involved risk of life. Incontestable proof of the performance of the service will be required and each recommendation for the award of this decoration will be considered on the standard of extraordinary merit.

(2) North Carolina State Highway Patrol Award for Valor. The North Carolina State Highway Patrol award for valor is awarded in the name of the people of North Carolina and by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles to a person who, while a member of the North Carolina State Highway Patrol, distinguishes himself by heroic and laudable achievement or service reflecting professional skill, personal valor, and steadfast devotion to duty in keeping with the highest ideals and traditions of the North Carolina State Highway Patrol.

(3) North Carolina State Highway Patrol Award of Merit. The North Carolina State Highway Patrol award of merit is awarded in the name of the people of North Carolina and by the commanding officer of the Highway Patrol to a person in recognition of and as a reward for exceptionally meritorious service and outstanding ability displayed while performing the duties of the Highway Patrol as defined by this chapter.

(4) North Carolina State Highway Patrol Award for Distinguished Service. The North Carolina State Highway Patrol award for distin-
guished service is awarded in the name of the people of North Carolina and by the commanding officer of the Highway Patrol to a person in recognition of and as a reward for extraordinary and outstanding meritorious acts, achievements or services, or for honorable and above satisfactory service for a period of not less than two years, while a member of the North Carolina State Highway Patrol.

(c) Recipients of the awards hereinabove provided for will be entitled to receive a framed certificate of the award and an insignia designed to be worn as a part of the State Highway Patrol uniform.

(d) The awards committee shall review and investigate all reports of outstanding service and shall make recommendations to the patrol commander with respect thereto. The committee shall consider members of the Patrol for the awards created by this section when properly recommended by any individual having personal knowledge of an act, achievement or service believed to warrant the award of a decoration. No recommendation shall be made except by majority vote of all members of the committee. All recommendations of the committee shall be in writing and shall be forwarded to the patrol commander.

(e) Upon receipt of a recommendation of the committee, the patrol commander shall inquire into the facts of the matter and shall reduce his recommendation to writing. The patrol commander shall forward his recommendation, together with the recommendation of the committee, to the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles. The Commissioner shall have final authority to approve or disapprove recommendations affecting the issuance of all awards except the award of honor. All recommendations for the award of honor shall be forwarded to the Governor for final approval or disapproval.

(f) The patrol commander shall, with the approval of the Commissioner, establish all necessary rules and regulations to fully implement the provisions of this section and such rules and regulations shall include, but shall not be limited to, the following:

1. Announcement of awards
2. Presentation of awards
3. Recording of awards
4. Replacement of awards
5. Authority to wear award insignias. (1967, c. 1179.)

§ 20-188. Duties of Highway Patrol.

Arrest without Warrant. — A highway patrolman apprehending a person driving a motor vehicle on the public highway while under the influence of intoxicating liquor is authorized, by virtue of the provisions of this section and subdivision (1) of § 15-41, to arrest such person without a warrant, and such arrest is legal. State v. Broome, 269 N.C. 661, 153 S.E.2d 384 (1967).

§ 20-196.2. Use of airplanes to discover violations of §§ 20-138 to 20-171; testimony of pilots and observers; declaration of policy.—The State Highway Patrol is hereby permitted the use of airplanes to discover violations of part 10 of article 3 of chapter 20 of the General Statutes relating to operation of motor vehicles and rules of the road; provided, however, neither the observer nor the pilot shall be competent to testify in any court of law in a criminal action charging violations of G.S. 20-141, 20-141.1, and 20-144. It is hereby declared the public policy of North Carolina that the airplanes should be used primarily for accident prevention and should also be used incident to the issuance of warning citations in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 20-183. (1967, c. 513.)

ARTICLE 7.


§ 20-219.1. Parked or abandoned vehicles removed from public highways.—Any motor vehicle left parked and unattended, or abandoned, on
any public highway or right-of-way thereof, for a period of forty-eight hours shall, at the direction of any full-time law-enforcement officer, be towed to a place of safety and storage. (1967, c. 1158.)

ARTICLE 9A.


§ 20-279.1. Definitions.

(11) "Proof of financial responsibility": Proof of ability to respond in damages for liability, on account of accidents occurring subsequent to the effective date of said proof, arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of a motor vehicle, in the amount of $10,000 because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident, and, subject to said limit for one person, in the amount of $20,000 because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident, and in the amount of $5,000 because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident.

(1967, c. 277, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—

The 1967 amendment substituted "$10,000" for "$5,000" and "$20,000" for "$10,000" in subdivision (11).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subdivision (11) is set out.

Section 10, c. 277, Session Laws 1967, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."

For case law survey as to automobile liability insurance, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 1023 (1966).

The object, etc.—


§ 20-279.5. Security required unless evidence of insurance; when security determined; suspension; exceptions.

(c) This section shall not apply under the conditions stated in § 20-279.6 nor:

(1) To such operator or owner if such owner had in effect at the time of such accident an automobile liability policy with respect to the motor vehicle involved in such accident;

(2) To such operator, if not the owner of such motor vehicle, if there was in effect at the time of such accident a motor vehicle liability policy or bond with respect to his operation of motor vehicles not owned by him;

(3) To such operator or owner if the liability of such operator or owner for damages resulting from such accident is, in the judgment of the Commissioner, covered by any other form of liability insurance policy or bond or sinking fund or group assumption of liability;
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(4) To any person qualifying as a self-insurer, nor to any operator for a self-insurer if, in the opinion of the Commissioner from the information furnished him, the operator at the time of the accident was probably operating the vehicle in the course of the operator's employment as an employee or officer of the self-insurer; nor

(5) To any employee of the United States government while operating a vehicle in its service and while acting within the scope of his employment, such operations being fully protected by the Federal Tort Claims Act of 1946, which affords ample security to all persons sustaining personal injuries or property damage through the negligence of such federal employee.

No such policy or bond shall be effective under this section unless issued by an insurance company or surety company authorized to do business in this State, except that if such motor vehicle was not registered in this State, or was a motor vehicle which was registered elsewhere than in this State at the effective date of the policy or bond, or the most recent renewal thereof, or if such operator not an owner was a nonresident of this State, such policy or bond shall not be effective under this section unless the insurance company or surety company if not authorized to do business in this State shall execute a power of attorney authorizing the Commissioner to accept service on its behalf of notice or process in any action upon such policy, or bond arising out of such accident, and unless said insurance company or surety company, if not authorized to do business in this State, is authorized to do business in the state or other jurisdiction where the motor vehicle is registered or, if such policy or bond is filed on behalf of an operator not an owner who was a nonresident of this State, unless said insurance company or surety company, if not authorized to do business in this State, is authorized to do business in the state or other jurisdiction of residence of such operator; provided, however, every such policy or bond is subject, if the accident has resulted in bodily injury or death, to a limit, exclusive of interest and cost, of not less than ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident and, subject to said limit for one person, to a limit of not less than twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident, and, if the accident has resulted in injury to or destruction of property, to a limit of not less than five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident. (1953, c. 1300, s. 5: 1955, c. 138, S.115'c; c. 1355; 1967, c. 277, s. 2.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment substituted "ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" for "five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)" and "twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00)" for "ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" near the end of subsection (c).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subsection (c) is set out.

Section 10, c. 277, Session Laws 1967, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."


§ 20-279.10. Custody, disposition and return of security; escheat.

(a) Security deposited in compliance with the requirements of this article shall be placed by the Commissioner in the custody of the State Treasurer and shall be applicable only to the payment of a judgment or judgments rendered against the person or persons on whose behalf the deposit was made, for damages arising out of the accident in question in an action at law, begun not later than one year after the date of such accident, or within one year after the date of deposit of any security under subdivision (3) of § 20-279.7, or to the payment in settlement, agreed to by the depositor, of a claim or claims arising out of such accident. Such
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§ 20-279.15. Payment sufficient to satisfy requirements.—In addition to other methods of satisfaction provided by law, judgments herein referred to shall, for the purpose of this article, be deemed satisfied:

(1) When ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) has been credited upon any judgment or judgments rendered in excess of that amount because of bodily injury to or death of one person as the result of any one accident; or

(2) When, subject to such limit of ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person, the sum of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) has been credited upon any judgment or judgments rendered in excess of that amount because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons as the result of any one accident; or

(3) When five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) has been credited upon any judgment or judgments rendered in excess of that amount because of injury to or destruction of property of others as a result of any one accident;

Provided, however, payments made in settlement of any claims because of bodily injury, death or property damage arising from a motor vehicle accident shall be credited in reduction of the amounts provided for in this section. (1953, c. 1300, s. 15; 1963, c. 1238; 1967, c. 277, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment substituted “ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)” for “five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)” in subdivisions (1) and (2) and “twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00)” for “ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)” in subdivision (2).

Section 10, c. 277, Session Laws 1967, provides: “This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giv-
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Applying proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."


§ 20-279.21. 'Motor vehicle liability policy' defined.

(b) Such owner's policy of liability insurance:

(1) Shall designate by explicit description or by appropriate reference all motor vehicles with respect to which coverage is thereby to be granted;

(2) Shall insure the person named therein and any other person, as insured, using any such motor vehicle or motor vehicles with the express or implied permission of such named insured, or any other persons in lawful possession, against loss from the liability imposed by law for damages arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of such motor vehicle or motor vehicles within the United States of America or the Dominion of Canada, subject to limits exclusive of interest and costs, with respect to each such motor vehicle, as follows: Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident and, subject to said limit for one person, twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident, and five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident; and

(3) No policy of bodily injury liability insurance, covering liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of any motor vehicle, shall be delivered or issued for delivery in this State with respect to any motor vehicle registered or principally garaged in this State unless coverage is provided therein or supplemental thereto, in limits for bodily injury or death set forth in subsection (c) of § 20-279.5, under provisions filed with and approved by the Commissioner of Insurance, for the protection of persons insured thereunder who are legally entitled to recover damages from owners or operators of uninsured motor vehicles and hit-and-run motor vehicles because of bodily injury, sickness or disease, including death, resulting therefrom. Such provisions shall include coverage for the protection of persons insured thereunder who are legally entitled to recover damages from owners or operators of uninsured motor vehicles because of injury to or destruction of the property of such insured, with a limit in the aggregate for all insureds in any one accident of five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) and subject, for each insured, to an exclusion of the first one hundred dollars ($100.00) of such damages. Such provision shall further provide that a written statement by the liability insurer, whose name appears on the certification of financial responsibility made by the owner of any vehicle involved in an accident with the insured, that such other motor vehicle was not covered by insurance at the time of the accident with the insured shall operate as a prima facie presumption that the operator of such other motor vehicle was uninsured at the time of the accident with the insured, for the purposes of recovery under this provision of the insured's liability insurance policy. The coverage required under this section shall not be applicable where any insured named in the policy shall reject the coverage.

In addition to the above requirements relating to uninsured motorist insurance, every policy of bodily injury liability insurance covering liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of any motor vehicle, which policy is delivered or issued for delivery in this
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State, shall be subject to the following provisions which need not be contained therein.

a. A provision that the insurer shall be bound by a final judgment taken by the insured against an uninsured motorist if the insurer has been served with copy of summons, complaint or other process in the action against the uninsured motorist in any manner provided by law; provided however, that the determination of whether a motorist is uninsured may be decided only by an action against the insurer alone. The insurer upon being served as herein provided, shall be a party to the action between the insured and the uninsured motorist though not named in the caption of the pleadings and may defend the suit in the name of the uninsured motorist or in its own name. The insurer upon being served with copy of summons, complaint or other pleading, shall have the time allowed by statute in which to answer, demur or otherwise plead (whether such pleading is verified or not) to the summons, complaint or other process served upon it. The consent of the insurer shall not be required for the initiation of suit by the insured against the uninsured motorist: Provided, however, no action shall be initiated by the insured until 60 days following the posting of notice to the insurer at the address shown on the policy or after personal delivery of such notice to the insurer or its agent setting forth the belief of the insured that the prospective defendant or defendants are uninsured motorists. No default judgment shall be entered when the insurer has timely filed an answer or other pleading as required by law.

b. Where the insured, under the uninsured motorist coverage, claims that he has sustained bodily injury as the result of collision between motor vehicles and asserts that the identity of the operator or owner of a vehicle (other than a vehicle in which the insured is a passenger) cannot be ascertained, the insured may institute an action directly against the insurer: Provided, in such event, the insured or someone in his behalf, shall report the accident within 24 hours or as soon thereafter as may be practicable, to a police officer, peace officer, other judicial officer, or to the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles. The insured shall also within a reasonable time give notice to the insurer of his injury, the extent thereof, and shall set forth in such notice the time, date and place of such injury. Thereafter, on forms to be mailed by the insurer within 15 days following receipt of the notice of the accident to the insurer, the insured shall furnish to insurer such further reasonable information concerning the accident and the injury as the insurer shall request. If such forms are not so furnished within 15 days, the insured shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements for furnishing information to the insurer. Suit may not be instituted against the insurer in less than 60 days from the posting of the first notice of such injury or accident to the insurer at the address shown on the policy or after personal delivery of such notice to the insurer or its agent.

No insurer may cancel, refuse to renew or reduce the coverage under any automobile liability insurance policy because an insured under such policy has made a claim in good faith under the uninsured motorist endorsement of such policy.
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Where an insured’s policy has been cancelled, or the insurer has failed to renew following a claim made by the insured under the uninsured motorist’s endorsement, the insurer upon the written request of the insured shall furnish such insured with the reason or reasons why it has cancelled or failed to renew such policy. Such information furnished by the insurer to the insured shall be privileged, and shall not subject the insurer to liability for libel, slander or other defamation.

Provided under this section the term “uninsured motor vehicle” shall include, but not be limited to, an insured motor vehicle where the liability insurer thereof is unable to make payment with respect to the legal liability within the limits specified therein because of insolvency.

An insurer’s insolvency protection shall be applicable only to accidents occurring during a policy period in which its insured’s uninsured motorist coverage is in effect where the liability insurer of the tort-feasor becomes insolvent within three years after such an accident. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent any insurer from affording insolvency protection under terms and conditions more favorable to the insured than is provided herein.

In the event of payment to any person under the coverage required by this section and subject to the terms and conditions of such coverage, the insurer making such payment shall, to the extent thereof, be entitled to the proceeds of any settlement for judgment resulting from the exercise of any limits of recovery of such person against any person or organization legally responsible for the bodily injury for which such payment is made, including the proceeds recoverable from the assets of the insolvent insurer.

For the purpose of this section, an “uninsured motor vehicle” shall be a motor vehicle as to which there is no bodily injury liability insurance and property damage liability insurance in at least the amounts specified in subsection (c) of G.S. 20-279.5, or there is such insurance but the insurance company writing the same denies coverage thereunder, or has become bankrupt, or there is no bond or deposit of money or securities as provided in G.S. 20-279.24 or G.S. 20-279.25 in lieu of such bodily injury and property damage liability insurance, or the owner of such motor vehicle has not qualified as a self-insurer under the provisions of G.S. 20-279.33, or a vehicle that is not subject to the provisions of the Motor Vehicle Safety and Financial Responsibility Act; but the term “uninsured motor vehicle” shall not include:

a. A motor vehicle owned by the named insured;

b. A motor vehicle which is owned or operated by a self-insurer within the meaning of any motor vehicle financial responsibility law, motor carrier law or any similar law;

c. A motor vehicle which is owned by the United States of America, Canada, a state, or any agency of any of the foregoing (excluding, however, political subdivisions thereof);

d. A land motor vehicle or trailer, if operated on rails or crawler-treads or while located for use as a residence or premises and not as a vehicle; or

e. A farm type tractor or equipment designed for use principally off public roads, except while actually upon public roads.

(e) Such motor vehicle liability policy need not insure against loss from any liability for which benefits are in whole or in part either payable or required to
be provided under any workmen's compensation law nor any liability for damage to property owned by, rented to, in charge of or transported by the insured.

(f) Every motor vehicle liability policy shall be subject to the following provisions which need not be contained therein:

(1) Except as hereinafter provided, and with respect to policies of motor vehicle liability insurance written under the North Carolina assigned risk plan, the liability of the insurance carrier with respect to the insurance required by this article shall become absolute whenever injury or damage covered by said motor vehicle liability policy occurs; said policy may not be cancelled or annulled as to such liability by any agreement between the insurance carrier and the insured after the occurrence of the injury or damage; no statement made by the insured or on his behalf and no violation of said policy shall defeat or void said policy. As to policies issued to insureds in this State under the assigned risk plan, a default judgment taken against an assigned risk insured shall not be used as a basis for obtaining judgment against the insurer unless counsel for the plaintiff has forwarded to the insurer, or to one of its agents, by registered mail with return receipt requested, a copy of summons, complaint, or other pleading, filed in the action. The return receipt shall, upon its return to plaintiff's counsel, be filed with the clerk of court wherein the action is pending against the insured and shall be admissible in evidence as proof of notice to the insurer. The refusal of insurer or its agent to accept delivery of the registered mail, as provided in this section, shall not affect the validity of such notice and any insurer or agent of an insurer refusing to accept such registered mail shall be charged with the knowledge of the contents of such notice. When notice has been sent to an agent of the insurer such notice shall be notice to the insurer. The word "agent" as used in this subsection shall include, but shall not be limited to, any person designated by the insurer as its agent for the service of process, any person duly licensed by the insurer in the State as insurance agent, any general agent of the company in the State of North Carolina, and any employee of the company in a managerial or other responsible position, or the North Carolina Commissioner of Insurance; provided, where the return receipt is signed by an employee of the insurer or an employee of an agent for the insurer, shall be deemed for the purposes of this subsection to have been received. The term "agent" as used in this subsection shall not include a producer of record or broker, who forwards an application for insurance to the assigned risk bureau. The Commissioner of Motor Vehicles and the North Carolina assigned risk bureau, shall, upon request made, furnish to the plaintiff or his counsel the identity and address of the insurance carrier as shown upon the records of the Department or the bureau, and whether the policy is an assigned risk policy. Neither the Department of Motor Vehicles nor the assigned risk bureau shall be subject to suit by reason of a mistake made as to the identity of the carrier and its address in response to a request made for such information.

The insurer upon receipt of summons, complaint or other process, shall be entitled, upon its motion, to intervene in the suit against its insured as a party defendant and to defend the same in the name of its insured. In the event of such intervention by an insurer it shall become a named party defendant. The insurer shall have 30 days from the signing of the return receipt acknowledging receipt of the summons, complaint or other pleading, in which to file a motion to intervene, along with any responsive pleading, whether verified or not,
which it may deem necessary to protect its interest: Provided, the court having jurisdiction over the matter may, upon motion duly made, extend the time for the filing of responsive pleading or continue the trial of the matter for the purpose of affording the insurer a reasonable time in which to file responsive pleading or defend the action. If, after receiving copy of the summons, complaint or other pleading, the insurer elects not to defend the action, if coverage is in fact provided by the policy, the insurer shall be bound to the extent of its policy limits to the judgment taken by default against the insured, and noncooperation of the insured shall not be a defense.

If the plaintiff initiating an action against the insured has complied with the provisions of this subsection, then, in such event, the insurer may not cancel or annul the policy as to such liability and the defense of noncooperation shall not be available to the insurer: Provided, however, nothing in this section shall be construed as depriving an insurer of its defenses that the policy was not in force at the time in question, that the operator was not an "insured" under policy provisions, or that the policy had been lawfully cancelled at the time of the accident giving rise to the cause of action.

Provided further that the provisions of this subdivision shall not apply when the assigned risk insured has delivered a copy of the summons, complaint or other pleadings served on him to his insurance carrier within the time provided by law for filing answer, demurrer or other pleadings.

(2) The satisfaction by the insured of a judgment for such injury or damage shall not be a condition precedent to the right or duty of the insurance carrier to make payment on account of such injury or damage;

(3) The insurance carrier shall have the right to settle any claim covered by the policy, and if such settlement is made in good faith, the amount thereof shall be deductible from the limits of liability specified in subdivision (2) of subsection (b) of this section;

(4) The policy, the written application therefor, if any, and any rider or endorsement which does not conflict with the provisions of the article shall constitute the entire contract between the parties.

(1967, c. 277, s. 4; c. 854; c. 1159, s. 1; c. 1162, s. 1; c. 1186, s. 1; c. 1246, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—Chapter 277, Session Laws 1967, substituted "Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" for "Five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)" and "twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00)" for "ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" in subdivision (2) of subsection (b) and deleted, at the end of the first sentence of subdivision (3) of subsection (b) a proviso relating to increased limits coverage. Section 10, c. 277, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."

The proviso which had been deleted by c. 277 was amended by c. 1159, Session Laws 1967, so as to read "and provided that an insured shall be entitled to secure increased limits coverage of fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident and, subject to said limit for one person, thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident if the policy of such insured carries liability limits of equal or greater amounts for the protection of third persons." Section 3, c. 1159, provides: "This act shall apply only to new and renewal automobile liability insurance policies issued on and after January 1, 1968."


Chapter 1162, Session Laws 1967, inserted "or any other persons in lawful possession" in subdivision (2) of subsection (b). Section 2, c. 1162, provides: "It shall be a defense to any action that the oper-
ator of a motor vehicle was not in lawful possession on the occasion complained of."

Section 4, c. 1162, provides: "This act shall be in full force and effect from and after its ratification, but shall not affect any claims or causes of action arising before ratification." The act was ratified July 6, 1967.

Chapter 1186, Session Laws 1967, added the second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth paragraphs (including paragraphs a and b) in subdivision (3) of subsection (b). Section 3, c. 1186, provides that the act shall become effective from and after ratification, shall not apply to existing policies of insurance, but shall apply to renewals and to new policies issued after its effective date. The act was ratified July 6, 1967.

Chapter 1246, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote subdivision (1) of subsection (f). Section 3, c. 1246, provides that the act shall become effective on and after July 1, 1967, and shall apply to any action or actions initiated thereafter.

By virtue of Session Laws 1943, c. 170, "Commissioner of Insurance" has been substituted for "Insurance Commissioner" in subsection (b) (3).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendments, only subsections (b), (e) and (f) are set out.

For a note on the statutory definition of an "uninsured motor vehicle" when the liability insurer is insolvent or denies coverage, see 45 N.C.L. Rev. 551 (1967).

The manifest purpose of this article, etc.—


Policy Violations.—


Liability under Assigned Risk Policy Becomes Absolute When Injury or Damage Occurs. — As provided in subsection (f) (1) of this section liability becomes absolute when a plaintiff's injury and damage occurs notwithstanding subsequent violations by the insured under an assigned risk policy of his obligations to the insurance company under the policy provisions. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

And Insurer Is Deprived of Defenses Otherwise Available under Standard Policy Provisions.—Subsection (f) (1) of this section, as interpreted and applied by the Supreme Court, deprives the insurer under an assigned risk policy of the defenses otherwise available under its standard policy provisions. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

And This Provision Does Not Violate State or Federal Constitution. — Subsection (f) (1) of this section, when applied to an assigned risk policy issued in compliance with the plan set forth in § 20-279.34 and regulations pursuant thereto, does not deprive an insurance company of its property without due process of law and otherwise than by the law of the land in contravention of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and N.C. Const., Art. I, §§ 1 and 17. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

Exclusionary Provisions.—


Policies Are Mandatory.—

In North Carolina today all insurance policies covering loss from liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle are, to the extent required by this section, mandatory. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

As Is Coverage of Owner's, etc.—


Policies Are Mandatory.—


Liability under Assigned Risk Policy Becomes Absolute When Injury or Damage Occurs. — As provided in subsection (f) (1) of this section liability becomes absolute when a plaintiff's injury and damage occurs notwithstanding subsequent violations by the insured under an assigned risk policy of his obligations to the insurance company under the policy provisions. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

And Insurer Is Deprived of Defenses Otherwise Available under Standard Policy Provisions.—Subsection (f) (1) of this section, as interpreted and applied by the Supreme Court, deprives the insurer under an assigned risk policy of the defenses otherwise available under its standard policy provisions. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

And This Provision Does Not Violate State or Federal Constitution. — Subsection (f) (1) of this section, when applied to an assigned risk policy issued in compliance with the plan set forth in § 20-279.34 and regulations pursuant thereto, does not deprive an insurance company of its property without due process of law and otherwise than by the law of the land in contravention of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and N.C. Const., Art. I, §§ 1 and 17. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967), decided under this section as it stood before the 1967 amendments thereto.

Exclusionary Provisions.—

A provision in a liability policy excluding


Compliance with Voluntary Policy Restrictions Is a Condition Precedent to Recovery.—Where coverage in a policy is in addition to the coverage required by the Motor Vehicle Safety and Financial Responsibility Act, provisions requiring that an insured give notice of an accident, and requiring the insured’s cooperation in defense of any action against him are binding and enforceable. Moreover, compliance with such policy provisions is a condition precedent to recovery, with the burden of proof on the insured to show compliance, where the policy provides, “No action shall lie against the Company unless, as a condition precedent thereto, the Insured shall have fully complied with all the terms of this policy,” or words of like import. Clemmons v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 267 N.C. 495, 148 S.E.2d 640 (1966).

Hence, Failure to Forward Suit Papers Relieves Insurer of Liability.—While no decision of the Supreme Court involving a policy provision, “If claim is made or suit is brought against the Insured, he shall immediately forward to the Company every demand, notice, summons or other process received by him or his representative,” has come to the court’s attention, decisions in other jurisdictions hold this is an unambiguous, reasonable and valid stipulation, and that, unless the insured or his judgment creditor can show compliance by the insured with this policy requirement, the insurer is relieved of liability. Clemmons v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 267 N.C. 495, 148 S.E.2d 640 (1966).

Unless Insurer Loses Right to Defeat Recovery by Waiver or Estoppel.—An automobile liability insurer may, by waiver or estoppel, lose its right to defeat a recovery under a liability policy because of the insured’s failure to comply with the policy provision as to the forwarding of suit papers. Clemmons v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 267 N.C. 495, 148 S.E.2d 640 (1966).

The essential elements of a waiver are: (1) The existence, at the time of the alleged waiver, of a right, advantage or benefit; (2) the knowledge, actual or constructive, of the existence thereof; and (3) an intention to relinquish such right, advantage or benefit. Clemmons v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 267 N.C. 495, 148 S.E.2d 640 (1966).

Rights of Injured Party in Action Based on Voluntary Policy.—With reference to an owner’s policy of insurance, unless the action be based on policy provisions required by this section, an injured party who obtains a judgment against the insured has no greater rights against the insurer than those of the insured. Clemmons v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 267 N.C. 495, 148 S.E.2d 640 (1966).

Construction of Provision Requiring “Omnibus Clause”.—The omnibus clause has been interpreted by the Supreme Court of North Carolina according to the “moderate” rule rather than the “hell and high water” rule, as recommended in 41 N.C.L. Rev. 232 (1963) et seq. Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

Permission May Be Expressed or Inferred. — The owner’s permission for the use of the insured vehicle may be expressed or, under certain circumstances, it may be inferred. Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

Express Permission.—In accord with original. See Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

Implied permission, etc.—In accord with original. See Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

The relationship between the owner and the user, such as kinship, social ties, and the purpose of the use, all have bearing on the critical question of the owner’s implied permission for the actual use. Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

Who May Grant Permission.—Ordinarily, one permittee within the coverage of a liability policy does not have authority to select another permittee without specific authority from the named insured. Bailey v. General Ins. Co. of America, Inc., 265 N.C. 675, 144 S.E.2d 898 (1965).

Purpose of Uninsured Motorist Provisions.—Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of this section was enacted so as to include protection against uninsured motorists. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967); Wright v. Fidelity & Cas. Co., 270 N.C. 577, 155 S.E.2d 100 (1967).
The purpose of the uninsured motorist statute was to provide, within fixed limits, some financial recompense to innocent persons who receive bodily injury or property damage, and to the dependents of those who lose their lives through the wrongful conduct of an uninsured motorist who cannot be made to respond in damages. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Uninsured motorist's coverage is intended, within fixed limits, to provide financial recompense to innocent persons who receive injuries and the dependents of those who are killed, through the wrongful conduct of motorists who, because they are uninsured and not financially responsible, cannot be made to respond in damages. Wright v. Fidelity & Cas. Co., 270 N.C. 577, 155 S.E.2d 100 (1967).

Uninsured motorists coverage is designed to close the gaps inherent in motor vehicle financial responsibility and compulsory insurance legislation. Wright v. Fidelity & Cas. Co., 270 N.C. 577, 155 S.E.2d 100 (1967).

The uninsured motorist statute was enacted by the General Assembly as a result of public concern over the increasingly important problem arising from property damage, personal injury, and death inflicted by motorists who are uninsured and financially irresponsible. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of this section provides for a limited type of compulsory automobile liability coverage against uninsured motorists. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Construction of Uninsured Motorists Coverage. — In determining whether the injury arose out of the “ownership, maintenance, or use” of the motor vehicle, the same rules of construction apply in construing uninsured motorists coverage as apply in construing a standard liability insurance policy. Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 269 N.C. 235, 152 S.E.2d 102 (1967).

The term “uninsured vehicle,” when used in an uninsured motorists endorsement, must be interpreted in the light of the fact that such endorsement is designed to protect the insured, and any operator of the insured’s car with the insured’s consent, against injury caused by the negligence of uninsured or unknown motorists. Buck v. United States Fid. & Guar. Co., 265 N.C. 285, 144 S.E.2d 34 (1965).

The terms “ownership, maintenance and use” should not be treated as mere surplusage. They were placed in the policy in order to cover situations distinct and separate from any other term. Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 269 N.C. 235, 152 S.E.2d 102 (1967).

Hence, in an action on the uninsured motorists clause of an automobile insurance policy, where the allegations were to the effect that plaintiff, while underneath the uninsured vehicle, raised on blocks, making repairs, was injured when the owner removed a front wheel and the car fell or rolled upon plaintiff, it was held that repairs are a necessary incident to maintenance, and the allegations brought plaintiff within the coverage of the policy. Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 269 N.C. 235, 152 S.E.2d 102 (1967).

Vehicle “Uninsured” Unless Policy Covers Liability of Person Using It.—An automobile on which an automobile liability insurance policy has been issued is uninsured within the meaning of an uninsured motorists endorsement, unless such policy covers the liability of the person using it and inflicting injury on the occasion of the collision or mishap. Buck v. United States Fid. & Guar. Co., 265 N.C. 285, 144 S.E.2d 34 (1965).

Vehicle Insured in Another State.—In an action on the uninsured motorist clause in a collision policy, evidence that the vehicle causing the loss was insured in another state, where it was registered and licensed, by a company authorized to do business in that state but not in North Carolina, was insufficient to carry the burden of proving the allegation that the vehicle was an uninsured automobile. Rice v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co., 267 N.C. 421, 148 S.E.2d 223 (1966).

Insolvency of Insurer of Vehicle Causing Loss.—In an action on the uninsured vehicle clause in a collision policy, evidence that the vehicle causing the loss was insured in another state, where it was registered and licensed, and that subsequent to the collision the insurer was placed in receivership because of its insolvency, and that a claim was filed with the insurer’s receiver was insufficient to carry the burden of proving that the vehicle causing the injury was an uninsured motor vehicle. Rice v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co., 267 N.C. 421, 148 S.E.2d 223 (1966), decided under this section as it stood before the 1965 and 1967 amendments thereto.

What Must Be Shown under Uninsured Motorists Endorsement.—The insured, in order to be entitled to the benefits of the uninsured motorists endorsement, must
§ 20-279.25. Money or securities as proof.—(a) Proof of financial responsibility may be evidenced by the certificate of the State Treasurer that the person named therein has deposited with him twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000.00) in cash, or securities such as may legally be purchased by savings banks or for trust funds of a market value of twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000.00). The State Treasurer shall not accept any such deposit and issue a certificate therefor and the Commissioner shall not accept such certificate unless accompanied by evidence that there are no unsatisfied judgments of any character against the depositor in the county where the depositor resides.

§ 20-279.34. Assigned risk plans.—The Commissioner of Insurance, after consultation with representatives of the insurance carriers licensed to write

§ 20-279.25

1967 Supplement

show (1) he is legally entitled to recover damages, (2) from the owner or operator of an uninsured automobile, (3) because of bodily injury, (4) caused by accident, and (5) arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of the uninsured automobile. Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 269 N.C. 235, 152 S.E.2d 102 (1967).

Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of this section is designed to protect the insured as to his actual loss within the statutory limit of $5,000 for one person but it was not intended by the General Assembly that an insured shall receive more from such coverage than his actual loss, although he is the beneficiary under multiple policies issued pursuant to the statute. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

"Other Insurance" Clauses Contrary to Statutory Amount of Coverage Not Permitted.—Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of this section does not permit "other insurance" clauses in the policy which are contrary to the statutory limited amount of coverage. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Provision That Uninsured Motorist Clause Shall Constitute Only Excess Coverage Violates Statute.—A policy provision that its uninsured motorist clause should constitute only excess insurance over any other similar insurance available to the insured person, is contrary to the statutory provisions of subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of this section. Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Insured Is Not Limited to One $5000 Recovery Where He Is Beneficiary of More Than One Policy.—This section does not limit an insured only to one $5000 recovery under uninsured motorist coverage where his loss for bodily injury or death is greater than $5000 and he is the beneficiary of more than one policy issued under subdivision (3) of subsection (b). Moore v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 532, 155 S.E.2d 128 (1967).

Provision for Compulsory Arbitration Conflicts with Statute.—A provision in an insurance policy, in effect, ousting the jurisdiction of the court to judicially determine liability and damages and providing for compulsory arbitration between the insured and the company, if they do not agree, conflicts with the beneficent purposes of our uninsured motorist statute favorable to the insured, and the provision of the statute controls. Wright v. Fidelity & Cas. Co., 270 N.C. 577, 155 S.E.2d 100 (1967).

Institution of Action against Hit-and-Run Driver May Not Be Made Condition Precedent to Recovery under Policy.—In many cases it is impossible to determine the identity of a hit-and-run driver. To hold that the institution of an action by the insured against a hit-and-run driver, and to recover damages from him for his tort, is a condition precedent to the insurer's liability under uninsured motorist coverage, would in most such cases defeat insurer's liability against uninsured motorist coverage. Wright v. Fidelity & Cas. Co., 270 N.C. 577, 155 S.E.2d 100 (1967).

motor vehicle liability insurance in this State, shall consider such reasonable plans and procedures as such insurance carriers may submit to him for the equitable apportionment among such insurance carriers of those applicants for motor vehicle liability policies who are required to file proof of financial responsibility under this article but who are unable to secure such insurance through ordinary methods.

Upon the approval by the Commissioner of Insurance of any such plans and procedures thus submitted, all insurance carriers licensed to write motor vehicle liability insurance in this State, as a prerequisite to further engaging in writing such insurance in this State, shall formally subscribe to, and participate in, such plans and procedures so submitted.

In the event the Commissioner of Insurance, in the exercise of his discretion, does not approve any plan so submitted, or should no such plan be submitted, then the Commissioner of Insurance shall formulate and put into effect reasonable plans and procedures for the apportionment among such insurance carriers of all such applications for motor vehicle liability insurance submitted to him in accordance with the provisions of this article by persons entitled to coverage under this article but unable to obtain such coverage through ordinary methods.

Should no such plan be submitted by the insurance carriers and approved by the Commissioner of Insurance, then as a prerequisite to further engaging in the selling of motor vehicle liability insurance in this State, every insurance carrier licensed to write motor vehicle liability in this State shall formally subscribe to and participate in the plans and procedures formulated by the Commissioner of Insurance as provided in this section, and every such insurance carrier shall accept any and all risks assigned to it by the Commissioner of Insurance under such plan and shall upon payment of a proper premium issue a policy covering the same, such policy to meet at least the minimum requirements for establishing financial responsibility as provided in this article.

Every person required to file proof of financial responsibility under the provisions of this article who has been unable to obtain a motor vehicle liability insurance policy through ordinary methods shall have the right to apply to the Commissioner of Insurance to have his risk assigned to an insurance carrier licensed to write, and writing motor vehicle liability insurance in this State, and the insurance carrier shall issue a motor vehicle liability policy which will meet at least the minimum requirements for establishing financial responsibility, as provided for in this article. In each instance where application is made to the Commissioner of Insurance to have a risk assigned to an insurance carrier, it shall be deemed that the applicant has been denied the issuance of a liability insurance policy, and the Commissioner of Insurance shall, upon receipt of such application, which shall have attached thereto a statement from the Motor Vehicle Department that the suspension of the applicant's license will be no longer in effect after the date noted therein, immediately assign the risk to an insurance carrier, which carrier shall be required, as a prerequisite to the further engaging in selling motor vehicle liability insurance in this State, to issue a motor vehicle liability policy which will meet at least the minimum requirements for establishing financial responsibility, as provided for in this article.

The Commissioner of Insurance shall have the authority to make reasonable rules and regulations for the assignment of risks to insurance carriers.

The Commissioner of Insurance shall establish, or cause to be established, such rate classifications, rating schedules, rates, rules and regulations to be used by insurance carriers issuing assigned risk motor vehicle liability policies in accordance with this article as appear to him to be proper; provided the Commissioner of Insurance is authorized but not required to establish rates for assigned risk liability policies which are higher than approved manual rates; and in the case of assigned risk policies issued in excess of the minimum limits the Commissioner may establish higher rates or a surcharge adequate to cover the costs of underwriting such excess limits.
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In the establishment of rate classification, rating schedules, rates, rules and regulations, the Commissioner of Insurance shall be guided by such principles and practices as have been established under his statutory authority to regulate motor vehicle liability insurance rates, and he may act in conformity with his statutory discretionary authority in such matters, and may in his discretion assign to the North Carolina automobile rate administrative office, or other State bureau or agency any of the administrative duties imposed upon him by this article.

The Commissioner of Insurance is empowered, if in his judgment he deems such action to be justified after reviewing all information pertaining to the applicant or policyholder available from his records, the records of the Department of Motor Vehicles, or from other sources:

1. To refuse to assign an application.
2. To approve the rejection of an application by an insurance carrier.
3. To approve the cancellation of a motor vehicle liability policy by an insurance carrier; or
4. To refuse to approve the renewal or the reassignment of an expiring policy.

The power granted the Commissioner of Insurance under the provisions of this article to deny, directly or indirectly, insurance to any person applying for insurance hereunder, shall be restricted to persons whose licenses have been suspended and continue to be suspended by the Department of Motor Vehicles under authority of § 20-16 of the General Statutes or otherwise and the power of the Commissioner of Insurance to approve the revocation or cancellation of insurance under the provisions of this article shall be exercised only in the event of nonpayment of premium or when the Department of Motor Vehicles suspends the license of the insured under the authority granted to it under the Motor Vehicles Act.

The Commissioner of Insurance shall not be held liable for any act, or omission, in connection with the administration of the duties imposed upon him by the provisions of this article, except upon proof of actual malfeasance.

The provisions of this article relevant to assignment of risks shall be available to nonresidents who are unable to obtain a motor vehicle liability insurance policy with respect only to motor vehicles registered and used in this State.

Cross Reference.—See § 20-279.21 and the note thereto.

Editor's Note.—See § 20-279.21 and the note thereto.

Chapter 277, Session Laws 1967, deleted the proviso and last sentence added to the fifth paragraph by the 1963 amendment. Section 10, c. 277, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."

The former last sentence in the fifth paragraph, which had been deleted by c. 277, was amended by c. 1155, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, so as to read "Upon receipt of such application, from a person entitled to coverage under this article, the Commissioner of Insurance shall assign the applicant to an insurance carrier as provided in this article, and such carrier shall be required to issue the policy in an amount not to exceed fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00) because of bodily injury or death of one person in any one accident, and, subject to said limit for one person, in an amount not to exceed thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident and in an amount not to exceed five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident."

Insurance Carriers Required to Subscribe to and Participate in Assigned Risk Plan.—All insurance carriers, as a prerequisite to engaging and writing motor vehicle insurance in this State, must subscribe to, and participate in, the plans and procedures constituting the assigned risk plan. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967).

And This Requirement Does Not Constitute Denial of Due Process.—The fact

Purpose of Assigned Risk Plan. — The assigned risk plan authorized by this section is for the equitable apportionment among insurance carriers licensed to write motor vehicle insurance in this State of those applicants for motor vehicle liability policies who are required to file proof of financial responsibility under this article but who are unable to secure such insurance through ordinary methods. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967).

Article 10.
Financial Responsibility of Taxicab Operators.

§ 20-280. Filing proof of financial responsibility with governing board of municipality or county.

(b) As used in this section proof of financial responsibility shall mean a certificate of any insurance carrier duly authorized to do business in the State of North Carolina certifying that there is in effect a policy of liability insurance insuring the owner and operator of the taxicab business, his agents and employees while in the performance of their duties against loss from any liability imposed by law for damages including damages for care and loss of services because of bodily injury to or death of any person and injury to or destruction of property caused by accident and arising out of the ownership, use or operation of such taxicab or taxicabs, subject to limits (exclusive of interests and costs) with respect to each such motor vehicle as follows: Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident and, subject to said limit for one person, twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident, and five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident.

(1967, c. 277, s. 7.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment substituted "Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" for "Five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)" and "twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00)" for "ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" in subsection (b).

As subsections (a) and (c) were not changed by the amendment, they are not set out.

Section 10, c. 277, Session Laws 1967, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."

Article 11.
Liability Insurance Required of Persons Engaged in Renting Motor Vehicles.

§ 20-281. Liability insurance prerequisite to engaging in business; coverage of policy.—From and after July 1, 1953, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business of renting or leasing motor vehicles to the public for operation by the rentee or lessee unless such person, firm or corporation has secured insurance for his own liability and that of his rentee or lessee, in such an amount as is hereinafter provided, from an insurance company duly licensed to sell motor vehicle liability insurance in this State. Each such motor vehicle leased or rented must be covered by a policy of liability insurance insuring the owner and rentee or lessee and their agents and employees while in the performance of their duties against loss from any
liability imposed by law for damages including damages for care and loss of services because of bodily injury to or death of any person and injury to or destruction of property caused by accident arising out of the operation of such motor vehicle, subject to the following minimum limits: Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of one person in any one accident, and twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) because of bodily injury to or death of two or more persons in any one accident, and five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) because of injury to or destruction of property of others in any one accident. Provided, however, that nothing in this article shall prevent such operators from qualifying as self-insurers under terms and conditions to be prepared and prescribed by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles or by giving bond with personal or corporate surety, as now provided by G.S. 20-279.24, in lieu of securing the insurance policy hereinbefore provided for. (1953, c. 1017, s. 1; 1955, c. 1296; 1965, c. 349, s. 1; 1967, c. 277, s. 8.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment substituted "Ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)" for "Five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)" and "twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00)" for "ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)."

Section 10, c. 277, Session Laws 1967, provides: "This act shall become effective Jan. 1, 1968, and where the manner of giving proof of financial responsibility is by automobile liability policy, the same shall apply only to policies written or renewed on or after said effective date."

Article 12.

Motor Vehicle Dealers and Manufacturers Licensing Law.

§ 20-286. Definitions.

(6) "Established place of business" means a salesroom containing at least 64 square feet of floor space in a permanent enclosed building or structure, said salesroom shall have displayed thereon or immediately adjacent thereto a sign clearly and distinctly designating the trade name of the business at which a permanent business of bartering, trading and selling of motor vehicles will be carried on as such in good faith and at which place of business shall be kept and maintained the books, records and files necessary to conduct the business at such place, and shall not mean tents, temporary stands, or other temporary quarters, nor permanent quarters occupied pursuant to any temporary arrangement, devoted principally to the business of a motor vehicle dealer, as herein defined.

(11) "Motor vehicle dealer" and "dealer" mean any person, firm, association, or corporation engaged in the business of selling, soliciting, or advertising the sale of motor vehicles.

The term "motor vehicle dealer" or "dealer" does not include:

a. Receivers, trustees, administrators, executors, guardians, or other persons appointed by or acting under the judgment or order of any court; or

b. Public officers while performing their official duties; or

c. Persons disposing of motor vehicles acquired for their own use and actually so used, when the same shall have been so acquired and used in good faith and not for the purpose of avoiding the provisions of this article; or

d. Persons, firms or corporations who shall sell motor vehicles as an incident to their principal business but who are not engaged primarily in the selling of motor vehicles. This category includes finance companies who shall sell repossessed motor vehicles and insurance companies who sell motor vehicles to which they have taken title as an incident of payments made under policies
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§ 20-294. Grounds for denying, suspending or revoking licenses.

(2) Willful and intentional failure to comply with any provision of this article or the willful and intentional violation of G.S. 20-52.1, G.S. 20-75, G.S. 20-82, G.S. 20-108, G.S. 20-109 or recision and cancellation of dealer's license and dealer's plates under G.S. 20-110 (e) or G.S. 20-110 (f) or any lawful rule or regulation promulgated by the Department under this article.

(1967 c. 1126, s. 1; c. 1173.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1967 amendment inserted "containing at least 64 square feet of floor space" near the beginning of subdivision (6) and inserted "said salesroom shall have displayed thereon or immediately adjacent thereto a sign clearly and distinctly designating the trade name of the business" in that subdivision.

The second 1967 amendment added paragraph e at the end of subdivision (11).

As only subdivisions (6) and (11) were changed by the amendments, the rest of the section is not set out.

§ 20-294. Grounds for denying, suspending or revoking licenses.

(2) Willful and intentional failure to comply with any provision of this article or the willful and intentional violation of G.S. 20-52.1, G.S. 20-75, G.S. 20-82, G.S. 20-108, G.S. 20-109 or recision and cancellation of dealer's license and dealer's plates under G.S. 20-110 (e) or G.S. 20-110 (f) or any lawful rule or regulation promulgated by the Department under this article.

(1967 c. 1126, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—

The amendment inserted "or the willful and intentional violation of G.S. 20-52.1, G.S. 20-75, G.S. 20-82, G.S. 20-108, G.S. 20-109 or recision and cancellation of dealer's license and dealer's plates under G.S. 20-110 (e) or G.S. 20-110 (f)" in subdivision (2).

As only subdivision (2) was changed by the amendment, the rest of the section is not set out.

ARTICLE 13.


§ 20-309. Financial responsibility prerequisite to registration; must be maintained throughout registration period.

(c) When it is certified that financial responsibility is a liability insurance policy, the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles may require that the owner produce records to prove the fact of such insurance, and failure to produce such records shall be prima facie evidence that no financial responsibility exists with regard to the vehicle concerned and the Department of Motor Vehicles shall revoke the owner's registration plate for 60 days. In no case shall any vehicle, the registration of which has been revoked for failure to have financial responsibility, be re-registered in the name of the registered owner, his spouse, or any child of the spouse or any child of such owner, within less than 60 days after the date of receipt of the registration plate by the Department. As a condition precedent to the reregistration of the vehicle, the owner shall pay the appropriate fee for a new registration plate. It shall be the duty of insurance companies, upon request of the Department, to verify the accuracy of any owner's certification. Failure by an insurance company to deny coverage within twenty (20) days may be considered by the Commissioner as acknowledgment that the information as submitted is correct.

(e) No insurance policy provided in subsection (d) may be terminated by cancellation or otherwise by the insurer without having given the North Carolina Motor Vehicles Department notice of such cancellation fifteen (15) days prior to effective date of cancellation. Where the insurance policy is terminated by the insured the insurer shall immediately notify the Department of Motor Vehicles that such insurance policy has been terminated. The Department of Motor Vehicles upon receiving notice of cancellation or termination of an owner's financial
responsibility as required by this article, shall notify such owner of such cancellation or termination, and such owner shall, to retain the registration plate for the vehicle registered or required to be registered, within 15 days from date of notice given by the Department, certify to the Department that he has financial responsibility effective on or prior to the date of such cancellation or termination. Failure by the owner to certify that he has financial responsibility as herein required shall be prima facie evidence that no financial responsibility exists with regard to the vehicle concerned and, unless the owner's registration plate has been surrendered to the Department of Motor Vehicles by surrender to an agent or representative of the Department of Motor Vehicles and so designated by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles or depositing the same in the United States mail, addressed to the Department of Motor Vehicles, Raleigh, North Carolina, the Department of Motor Vehicles shall revoke the owner's registration plate for 60 days. In no case shall any vehicle, the registration of which has been revoked for failure to have financial responsibility, be reregistered in the name of the registered owner, his spouse, or any child of the spouse or any child of such owner, within less than 60 days after the date of receipt of the registration plate by the Department. As a condition precedent to the reregistration of the vehicle, the owner shall pay the appropriate fee for a new registration plate. (1957, c. 1393, s. 1; 1959, c. 1277, s. 1; 1963, c. 964, s. 1; 1965, c. 272; c. 1136, ss. 1, 2; 1967, c. 822; ss. 1, 2.)

Editor's Note.—The second 1967 amendment deleted, in subsection (c), provisions as to suspension of an operator's license and increased the period of revocation of registration from thirty to sixty days. The amendment also rewrote the fourth sentence of subsection (e) and substituted "60" for "30" and deleted "and operator's license" in the next to the last sentence of that subsection.

The first 1967 amendment had substituted "surrendered to an employee or agent of the Department of Motor Vehicles who has been designated by the Commissioner for this purpose, or it has been deposited in the United States mail addressed to the Department of Motor Vehicles, Raleigh, North Carolina" for "forwarded to the Department of Motor Vehicles" in the fourth sentence in subsection (e) and had inserted "issued for the vehicle at the time liability insurance was terminated or the current registration plate for the vehicle if the year registration has changed" in that sentence. The sentence is set out above as last amended by c. 857.

As the other subsections were not affected by the amendments, only subsections (c) and (e) are set out.

This article is a remedial statute and will be liberally construed to carry out its beneficent purpose of providing compensation to those who have been injured by automobiles. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967).

The manifest purpose of this article, etc.—

The manifest purpose of this article was to provide protection, within the required limits, to persons injured or damaged by the negligent operation of a motor vehicle; and, in respect of a "motor vehicle liability policy," to provide such protection notwithstanding violations of policy provisions by the owner subsequent to accidents on which such injured parties base their claims. Jones v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 270 N.C. 454, 155 S.E.2d 118 (1967).

The primary purpose of the law requiring compulsory insurance is to furnish at lease partial compensation to innocent victims who have suffered injury and damage as a result of the negligent operation of a motor vehicle upon the public highway. Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hale, 270 N.C. 195, 154 S.E.2d 79 (1967).

This Article and Article 9A, etc.—


The requirements of this section with respect to cancellation must be observed or the attempt at cancellation fails. Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hale, 270 N.C. 195, 154 S.E.2d 79 (1967).


Time Gaps in Coverage Permitted.—The
§ 20-309.1. Purchase of automobile insurance by minors.—Any minor 18 years of age or over shall be competent to contract for automobile insurance of any kind, to enter into an agreement to finance such insurance, to execute a power of attorney in connection with such financing, and also to execute a power of attorney in connection with an application for insurance with the assigned risk plan, to the same extent and with the same effect as though he had attained the age of 21 years. (1967, c. 934.)

§ 20-310. Termination of insurance.—(a) No contract of insurance or renewal thereof shall be terminated by cancellation or failure to renew by the insurer until at least fifteen (15) days after mailing a notice of termination by certificate of mailing to the named insured at the latest address filed with the insurer by or on behalf of the policyholder. The face of the envelope containing such notice shall be prominently marked with the words “Important Insurance Notice.” Time of the effective date and hour of termination stated in the notice shall become the end of the policy period. Every such notice of termination for any cause whatsoever sent to the insured shall include on the face of the notice a statement that financial responsibility is required to be maintained continuously throughout the registration period and that operation of a motor vehicle without maintaining such financial responsibility is a misdemeanor, the penalties for which are loss of registration plate for 60 days; and a fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court. (1967, c. 857, s. 3.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment substituted “registration plate” for “license plate and suspension of driver’s license” and “60” for “thirty (30)” near the end of subsection (a).

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, only subsection (a) is set out.


§ 20-310.2. Motor vehicle liability insurance; companies may not fail to renew solely by reason of age; penalties provided.—No insurance company licensed in this State to do a business of insurance, which is engaged in the writing of motor vehicle liability insurance, as the same is defined in G.S. 20-279.21, shall fail to renew any such existing policy of insurance solely because the insured has attained the age of 65 years or older.

Whenever the Commissioner of Insurance shall have reason to believe that any insurance company which is licensed to do a business of insurance in this State and is engaged in writing motor vehicle liability insurance has refused to renew policies of motor vehicle liability insurance solely because the applicant has reached the age of 65 years or older, he shall notify such company that it may be in violation of this section, and, in his discretion he may require a hearing to determine whether or not such company has actually been engaged in the practice as aforesaid. Any hearing held under this section shall in all respects comply with the hearing procedure provided in G.S. 58-54.6.

If after such hearing the Commissioner shall determine that the company has engaged in the practice of systematically failing to renew policies of motor vehicle liability insurance because of the advanced age of the insureds, he shall reduce his findings to writing and shall issue and cause to be served upon the company charged with the violation an order requiring the company to cease and desist from engaging in such practices. After the issuance of such cease and desist order, if the Commissioner finds that the company has continued to engage in
such practices, he shall impose upon such company a fine not to exceed the amount of one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) for each separate violation.

Any company aggrieved by any order or decision of the North Carolina Commissioner of Insurance may appeal such order and decision to the Superior Court of Wake County in the same manner and under the same rules and provisions set forth in G.S. 58-9.3. (1967, c. 1072.)

Editor's Note.—The act adding this section became effective July 1, 1967.

By virtue of Session Laws 1943, c. 170, “Commissioner of Insurance” has been substituted for “Insurance Commissioner” in the second paragraph.

§ 20-311. Revocation of registration when financial responsibility not in effect.—The Department of Motor Vehicles, upon receipt of evidence that financial responsibility for the operation of any motor vehicle registered or required to be registered in this State is not or was not in effect at the time of operation or certification that insurance was in effect, shall revoke the owner's registration plate issued for the vehicle at the time of operation or certification that insurance was in effect or the current registration plate for the vehicle if the year registration has changed for 60 days. In no case shall any vehicle, the registration of which has been revoked for failure to have financial responsibility, be reregistered in the name of such owner, his spouse or any child or spouse of any child of the owner within less than 60 days after the registration plates have been surrendered to the Department. As a condition precedent to the reregistration of the vehicle the owner shall pay the appropriate fee for a new registration plate.

Editor's Note.—The first 1967 amendment substituted “the owner's registration plate issued for the registration of such vehicle” in the first sentence. The second 1967 amendment deleted provisions as to suspension and reinstatement of an operator's or chauffeur's license and increased the period of revocation of registration from thirty to sixty days.

§ 20-313. Operation of motor vehicle without financial responsibility as misdemeanor.


§ 20-314. Applicability of article 9A; its provisions continued.


§ 20-315. Commissioner to administer article; rules and regulations.


ARTICLE 13A.

Certification of Automobile Insurance Coverage by Insurance Companies.

§ 20-319.1. Company to forward certification within seven days after receipt of request.—Upon the receipt by an insurance company at its home office of a registered letter from an insured requesting that it certify to the North Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles whether or not a previously issued
§ 20-319.2 Penalty for failure to forward certification.—If any insurance company shall without good cause fail to forward said certification within seven days after its receipt of such registered letter, the North Carolina Commissioner of Insurance shall be authorized in his discretion to impose a civil penalty upon said company in the amount of two hundred dollars ($200.00) for such violation. (1967, c. 908, s. 2.)
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