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Preface

This Cumulative Supplement to Recompiled Volume 1B contains the general laws of a permanent nature enacted at the 1953, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1959, 1961, 1963, 1965, 1966 and 1967 Sessions of the General Assembly, which are within the scope of such volume, and brings to date the annotations included therein.

Amendments of former laws are inserted under the same section numbers appearing in the General Statutes, and new laws appear under the proper chapter headings. Editors' notes point out many of the changes effected by the amendatory acts.

Chapter analyses show new sections and also old sections with changed captions. An index to all statutes codified herein prior to 1961 appears in Replacement Volumes 4B and 4C. The Cumulative Supplements to such volumes contain an index to statutes codified as a result of the 1961, 1963, 1965, 1966 and 1967 legislative sessions.

A majority of the Session Laws are made effective upon ratification but a few provide for stated effective dates. If the Session Law makes no provision for an effective date, the law becomes effective under G.S. 120-20 "from and after thirty days after the adjournment of the session" in which passed. All legislation appearing herein became effective upon ratification, unless noted to the contrary in an editor's note or an effective date note.

The members of the North Carolina Bar are requested to communicate any defects they may find in the General Statutes or in this Supplement, and any suggestions they may have for improving the General Statutes to the Division of Legislative Drafting and Codification of Statutes of the Department of Justice, or to The Michie Company, Law Publishers, Charlottesville, Virginia.
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VOLUME 1B

Chapter 2.
Clerk of Superior Court.

Article 3.
Deputies.

Sec.

Article 4.
Powers and Duties.

Sec.
2-42. To keep books or microfilm; enumeration.

ARTICLE 1.
The Office.

§ 2-3. Clerk's bond.—At the first meeting of the board of commissioners of each county after the election or appointment of any clerk of a superior court it is the duty of the clerk to deliver to such commissioners a bond with sufficient sureties, to be approved by them, in a penalty of not less than ten thousand dollars, and not more than twenty-five thousand dollars, payable to the State of North Carolina, and with a condition to be void if he shall account for and pay over, according to law, all moneys and effects which have come or may come into his hands, by virtue or color of his office, or under an order or decree of a judge, even though such order or decree be void for want of jurisdiction or other irregularities, and shall diligently preserve and take care of all books, records, papers and property which have come or may come into his possession, by virtue or color of his office, and shall in all things faithfully perform the duties of his office as they are or thereafter shall be prescribed by law: Provided that in counties having a population in excess of fifty thousand inhabitants, the penalty of the clerk's bond shall be not less than ten thousand dollars, and not more than fifty thousand dollars. This section is inapplicable in any county in which a district court has been established. (C. C. P., s. 137; Code, s. 72; 1889, c. 7; 1891, c. 385; 1895, c. 270, 271; 1899, c. 54, s. 52; 1901, c. 32; 1903, c. 747; Rev., s. 295; C. S., s. 927; 1931, c. 170; 1943, c. 713; 1967, c. 691, s. 38.)

Local Modification.—By virtue of Session Laws 1957, c. 1196, the reference to Washington County should be deleted from the recompiled volume.

Editor's Note.—
The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added the present last sentence in the section.

§ 2-4. Clerk's bond; approval, acknowledgments and custody.—The approval of said bond by the board of commissioners, or a majority of them, shall be recorded by their clerk. The said bond shall be acknowledged by the parties thereto, or proved by a subscribing witness, before the clerk of said board of commissioners, or their presiding officer, registered in the register's office in a separate book to be kept by him for the registration of official bonds; and the original, with the approval thereof endorsed, deposited with the register for safe-
§ 2-8. Office and equipment furnished.

Editor's Note.—The word "stationary" in line one of this section in the replacement volume should read "stationery."

ARTICLE 2.

Assistant Clerks.

§ 2-10. Appointment; oath; powers and jurisdiction; responsibility of clerks.—Each clerk of the superior court, by and with the written consent and approval of the superior court judge resident in his district, may appoint one or more assistant clerks of the superior court, who before entering upon their duties shall take and subscribe the oath prescribed for clerks: Provided, that in counties having a population of less than fifty thousand (50,000), not more than two such assistant clerks may hold office at the same time; that in counties having a population of fifty thousand (50,000) to eighty thousand (80,000), not more than four such assistant clerks may hold office at the same time; that in counties having a population of more than eighty thousand (80,000), not more than ten such assistant clerks may hold office at the same time. Upon compliance with the provisions of this article such assistant clerk or clerks shall be as fully authorized and empowered to perform all the duties and functions of the office of clerk of the superior court as the clerk himself, and all the acts, orders, and judgments of such assistant clerk shall be entitled to the same faith and credit as those of such clerk. Such assistant clerks shall be subject in all respects to all laws which apply to the clerks. The several clerks of the superior court shall be held responsible for the acts of their assistant clerks, and the official bonds of such clerks as now provided by law shall be written to and shall cover the acts of their assistant clerks. (1921, c. 32, s. 1; C. S., s. 934(a); 1951, c. 159, ss. 1, 2; 1959, c. 1297; 1965, c. 264.)

Local Modification.—

By virtue of Session Laws 1953, c. 346, the reference to Guilford County in the recompiled volume should be deleted. Orange: 1963, c. 249.

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment rewrote the first sentence. Session Laws 1953, c. 404, provided that from and after March 20, 1953, the provisions of this section shall apply to Wake County.

The 1965 amendment increased the maximum number of assistant clerks in counties having a population of more than 80,000 from six to ten.

An assistant clerk of the superior court has plenary authority to probate an instrument in common form. In re Marks' Will, 259 N. C. 326, 130 S. E. (2d) 673 (1963).

ARTICLE 3.

Deputies.

§ 2-13. Appointment; powers. — Clerks of the superior court may appoint deputies, who shall take and subscribe the oaths prescribed for clerks, and who shall be as fully authorized and empowered as the clerk to certify the existence and correctness of any records in such clerks' offices and to do and perform any other ministerial acts which the clerks may be authorized and empowered to do, in their own names and without reciting the names of their prin-
§ 2-14. Record of appointment and discharge; copies.


ARTICLE 4.

Powers and Duties.


17. To audit the accounts of executors, administrators, collectors, receivers, commissioners, guardians, and attorneys in fact when required by G. S. 47-115.1 (h).

(1961, c. 341, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment added to subsection 17 the reference to attorneys in fact. As only this subsection was affected by the amendment the rest of the section is not set out.

Customary Use of Subpoena Duces Tecum.—Attorneys have customarily used the subpoena duces tecum only for the purpose for which it was intended, i.e., to require the production of a specific document or items patently material to the inquiry, or as a notice to produce the original of a document. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum.—It is the long-established practice of clerks of court to issue subpoenas duces tecum as a matter of course upon the oral request of counsel. The issuance of the subpoena is treated merely as a ministerial act which initiates proceedings to have the documents or other items described in the subpoena brought before the court. At the trial, the court will pass upon the competency of the evidence unless the subpoena has been quashed prior thereto. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

The law will not permit a fishing or ransacking expedition either by subpoena duces tecum or a bill of discovery. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

Where discovery is counsel's objective, he must, before trial, avail himself of the remedies provided by §§ 8-89 and 8-90. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).


Probate of Wills.—In accord with original. See Morris v. Morris, 245 N. C. 30, 95 S. E. (2d) 110 (1956).

The power of a court upon a proper showing to correct its records and supply an inadvertent omission cannot be doubted. Philbrick v. Young, 255 N. C. 737, 122 S. E. (2d) 725 (1961).

§ 2-24. Location of and attendance at office.

Local Modification.—

Closing Office on Easter Monday.—
When §§ 1-593, 103-4, 103-5 and this section are construed together, the closing of a county clerk's office on Easter Monday, pursuant to resolution by the board of county commissioners in which Easter Monday was designated a holiday, a plaintiff, if otherwise entitled to commence an action on Easter Monday is entitled to commence the action on the next day the courthouse is open for business. Hard barger v. Deal, 258 N. C. 31, 127 S. E. (2d) 771 (1962).

§ 2-26. Fees of clerk of superior court.—The fees of the clerk of the superior court shall be the following, and no other, namely:

Advertising and selling under mortgage in lieu of bond, two dollars for sales of real estate and one dollar for sales of personal property.
Affidavit, including jurat and certificate, twenty-five cents.
Appeal from justice of the peace, fifty cents.
Appeal from the clerk to the judge, fifty cents.
Appeal to the Supreme Court, including certificate and seal, two dollars.
Appointing and qualifying justices of the peace, to be paid by the justice, twenty-five cents. 
Apprenticing infant, including indenture, one dollar.
Attachment, order in, fifty cents.
Auditing account of receiver, executor, administrator, guardian or other trustee, required to render accounts, if not over three hundred dollars, fifty cents; if over three hundred dollars and not exceeding one thousand dollars, eighty cents; if over one thousand dollars, one dollar.
Auditing final settlement of receiver, executor, administrator, guardian or other trustee, required to render accounts, one half of one percent of the amount on which commissions are allowed to such trustee, for all sums not exceeding one thousand dollars, and for all sums over one thousand dollars; one tenth of one percent on such excess; but such fees shall not exceed fifteen dollars, unless there be a contest, when the clerk shall have one percent on the said excess over one thousand dollars; but in no instance shall his fees exceed twenty-five dollars.
Auditing and recording the final account of commissioners appointed to sell real estate, one half of the fees allowed for auditing and recording final accounts of executors.
Bill of costs, preparing same, twenty-five cents.
Bond or undertaking, including justification, sixty cents.
Canceling notice of lis pendens, twenty-five cents.
Capias, each defendant, one dollar.
Capias, when the defendant is not arrested thereunder, shall be such sum as the commissioners of his county may allow.
Caveat to a will, entering and docketing same for trial, one dollar.
Certificate, except where it is a charge against the county, twenty-five cents; and where it is a charge against the county, the fee shall be such sum not exceeding twenty-five cents as the board of commissioners shall allow.
Commission, issuing, seventy-five cents.
Continuance, thirty cents.
Docketing ex parte proceedings, fifty cents.
Docketing indictment, twenty-five cents.
Docketing liens, twenty-five cents.
Docketing judgment, twenty-five cents.
Docketing summons, twenty-five cents.
Execution and return thereon, including docketing, fifty cents; and certifying return to clerk of any county where judgment is docketed, twenty-five cents.
Filing all papers, ten cents for each case.
Guardian, appointment of, including taking bond and justification, one dollar.
Impaneling jury, ten cents.

Indexing judgment on cross-index book, ten cents for the judgment, regardless of number of parties.

Indexing notice of lis pendens, twenty-five cents.

Indexing liens on lien book, ten cents.

Indictment, each defendant in the bill, sixty cents.

Injunction, order for, including taking bond or undertaking and justification, one dollar.

Judgment, final, in termtime, civil action, one dollar.

Judgment, final, against each defendant, in criminal actions, one dollar.

Judgment, final, before the clerk, fifty cents.

Judgment by confession, without notice, all services, three dollars.

Judgment in favor of widow for year’s support, fifty cents.

Judgment nisi, entering against a defaulting witness or juror, on bail bond or recognizance, twenty-five cents.

Juror ticket, including jurat, ten cents.

Justification of sureties on any bond or undertaking, except as otherwise provided, fifty cents.

Letters of administration, including bond and justification of sureties, one dollar.

Motions, entry and record of, twenty-five cents.

Notices, twenty-five cents, and for each name over one in same paper, ten cents additional.

Notifying solicitors of removal of guardian, one dollar.

Order enlarging time for pleading, and all interlocutory orders, in special proceedings and civil actions, twenty-five cents.

Order of arrest, one dollar.

Order for appearance of apprentice, on complaint of master, one dollar; for appearance of master on complaint of apprentice, one dollar.

Order for the registration of a deed or other writing, which has been proved or acknowledged in another county, or before a judge, justice, notary or other officer, except a chattel mortgage, twenty-five cents.

Postage, actual amount necessarily expended.

Presentment, each person presented, ten cents.

Probate of a deed or other writing, proved by a witness, including the certificate, twenty-five cents.

Probate of a deed or other writing, acknowledged by the signers or makers, including all except married women, who acknowledged at the same time, with the certificate thereof, twenty-five cents.

Probate of a deed, or other writing, executed by a married woman, for her acknowledgment and private examination, with the certificate thereof, twenty-five cents.

Probate of limited partnership, fifty cents.

Probate of will in common form and letters testamentary, one dollar.

Qualifying justice of the peace, to be paid by the justice, twenty-five cents.

Qualifying members of the board of commissioners, to be paid by the commissioners, twenty-five cents.

Recognizance, each party where no bond is taken, twenty-five cents.

Recording and copying papers, per copy sheet, ten cents.

Recording appointment of process agent for nonresident, fifty cents.

Recording names, qualification, and expiration of term of office of justices of the peace, five cents for each name.

Registering trained nurses, including certificate of registration, fifty cents.

Recording names of jurors as required by law, five cents for each name.

Resignation of guardian, relinquishment of right to administer, or to qualify as executor, receiving, filing and noting same, twenty-five cents.
Seal of office, when necessary, twenty-five cents.

Subpoena, each name, fifteen cents.

Summons, in civil actions or special proceedings, including all the names therein, one dollar, and for every copy thereof, twenty-five cents.

Transcript of judgment, twenty-five cents.

Transcript of any matter of record or papers on file, per copy sheet, ten cents. Trial of any cause, or stating an account, as referee, pursuant to order of the judge, such allowance as the judge may make.

Witness ticket, including jurat, ten cents.

Five percent commission shall be allowed the clerk on all fines, penalties, amercements and taxes paid the clerk by virtue of his office; and three percent on all sums of money not exceeding five hundred dollars placed in his hands by virtue of his office, except on judgments, decrees, executions, and deposits under article three of chapter forty-five; and upon the excess over five hundred dollars of such sums, one percent.

Provided, that in such counties of the State where the clerk of superior court is now or may hereafter be paid a salary in lieu of fees, that such clerk of superior court shall not charge and collect a fee for juror ticket, including jurat, or witness ticket, including jurat, as herein prescribed.

Provided, that when any services of the clerk of the superior court shall be for any court or person of any county other than his own county, the clerk of the superior court fees shall be as hereinafter set out:

Transcripts of judgments, including the certificate of filing and docketing . . . $1.50 first page, 75¢ for each additional page thereafter.

Issuing certified copies of or recording certified copy of any other matter of record or papers on file in the office of the clerk of the superior court . . . $1.50 first page, 75¢ for each additional page thereafter.

Issuing executions including docketing returns thereon and issuing certificates of satisfaction . . . $2.00. (Code, ss. 229, 1789, 3109, 3739; 1885, c. 199; 1893, c. 52, s. 4; 1897, c. 68; 1899, c. 17, s. 2; c. 247, s. 3; cc. 261, 578; 1901, c. 121; c. 614, s. 3; 1903, c. 359, s. 6; 1905, c. 360, s. 3; Revs., c. 2773; 1917, c. 198, s. 6; 1919, c. 329; C. S., c. 3903; 1927, c. 247; 1929, cc. 45, 214; 1933, c. 91; 1945, c. 635; 1955, c. 879; 1959, c. 1163, s. 4; 1967, c. 823, s. 1.)

Local Modification.—

Cross References.—See Editor’s note to § 53-5.

Editor’s Note. — The 1955 amendment, which added the above proviso to the end of this section, defined a page as being “a regular legal size sheet of paper not greater than fourteen inches in length.” The 1959 amendment inserted the sentence reading “Indexing notice of lis pendens, twenty-five cents.” The 1967 amendment, effective Jan. 1, 1968, deleted provisions as to fees for recording certificates of incorporation of corporations and recording corporation or amendment to corporate certificates.

§ 2-27. Local modifications as to clerk’s fees.—For the probate of a short-form lien bond, or lien bond and chattel mortgage combined, the clerk shall receive ten cents in the following counties: Alamance, Alleghany, Ashe, Beaufort, Bladen, Brunswick, Buncombe, Burke, Carteret, Caswell, Catawba, Chatham, Chowan, Cleveland, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Davie, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Gaston, Gates, Granville, Greene, Harnett, Iredell, Johnston, Jones, Lenoir, Lincoln, Martin, McDowell, Mecklenburg, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Onslow, Pamlico, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Pitt, Polk, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Randolph, Sampson, Scotland, Union, Vance, Warren, 12
Washington, Watauga, Wayne, Wilson. (Rev., s. 2773; 1907, c. 717; 1909, c. 502; P. L. 1917, c. 182; C. S., s. 3904; 1933, c. 84; 1947, c. 235, s. 11; 1961, c. 401.)

In Anson, this fee is twenty cents. (P. L. 1913, c. 49; C. S., s. 3904.)

In Bertie County the clerk of the superior court shall collect the sum of fifteen cents for each crop lien or lien bond probated by him for registration in Bertie County, including all services connected therewith. (P. L. 1915, c. 163; C. S., s. 3904.)

In Jackson County, in addition to the fees now allowed by law, the clerk shall receive the sum of five dollars for writing up the minutes of each day's session of the superior court of the county, to be paid by the county. (P. L. 1913, c. 182; C. S., c. 3904.)

In Mitchell County the clerk of the superior court shall receive double the amount of fees and commissions as provided in § 2-26 of this chapter. (1931, c. 53, s. 1.)

In Robeson County the board of county commissioners may make an allowance to the clerk of the superior court for keeping the records of the court and transcribing the minutes, to be paid out of the general county fund. (Rev., s. 2773, C. S., s. 3904.)

From and after February 27, 1923, it shall be unlawful for the clerks of the superior courts of Bertie, Northampton, Vance, Warren and Wayne counties to charge fees for witness and juror tickets issued by them. (C. S., s. 3904; 1923, c. 92.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, deleted Forsyth from the first paragraph relating to Forsyth County.

§ 2.28. Fees for probating and recording federal crop liens and chattel mortgages.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2.29. Advance court costs.

§ 2.30. Advance costs on appeal from justice of the peace.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2.31. Fee for cross-indexing names of parties.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2.32. Fee for docketing judgment.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2.33. Fee for auditing annual accounts of receivers, executors, etc.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2.34. Fee for auditing final accounts of receivers, executors, etc.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.
§ 2-35. Fee for auditing final accounts of trustees, etc., selling real estate under foreclosure proceedings.

Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2-36. Certain counties not subject to §§ 2-29 to 2-35.—Sections 2-29 to 2-35 shall not apply to the counties of: Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Bladen, Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Caswell, Catawba, Chowan, Cleveland, Columbus, Cumberland, Davidson, Davie, Duplin, Edgecombe, Franklin, Guilford, Haywood, Iredell, Jackson, Jones, Lenoir, Lincoln, Martin, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Onslow, Orange, Pamlico, Pitt, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Stokes, Swain, Tyrrell, Union, Washington, Wayne and Wilson: Provided, that § 2-29 shall apply to Iredell County. Provided, further, that §§ 2-33 and 2-34 shall apply to Bladen and Robeson counties. Provided, also that § 2-29 shall apply to Ashe County. (1935, c. 379, s. 8; c. 494; 1937, cc. 148, 149, 290; 1945, c. 296; 1947, c. 368; 1949, c. 386; 1953, c. 268; 1955 c. 759; 1959 c. 581; 1961, c. 72; 1965, c. 177.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment added Robeson from the list of counties. The 1953 amendment deleted "Vance" from the list of counties.

The 1959 amendment deleted "Person" from the list of counties.

§ 2-37. To keep fee bill posted.

Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1961, c. 401.

§ 2-42. To keep books or microfilm; enumeration.—Each clerk shall keep the following books, which shall be open to the inspection of the public during regular office hours; provided, however, where the board of county commissioners has consented to the microfilming of records, it shall not be necessary to keep books of the records that are so microfilmed, but the microfilm of the records shall be kept and shall be open to inspection of the public during regular office hours:

4. Cross-index to judgments, which shall contain a direct and reverse alphabetical index of all final judgments in civil actions rendered in the court, with the dates and number thereof, and also of all final judgments in civil actions rendered in other courts and authorized by law to be entered on his judgment docket. Pending the docketing of judgments in the judgment docket and cross-indexing the same as herein provided for, the clerk shall keep a temporary index to all judgments entered in his said court or received in his court from any court for docketing; and he shall immediately index all judgments rendered in his court or received in his court for docketing, and index the names of all parties against whom judgments have been rendered or entered alphabetically in said temporary index, and which temporary index shall be preserved and open to the public until said judgments shall have been docketed in the judgment docket and cross-indexed in the permanent cross-index to judgments, as herein provided for.

5. Cross-index of Parties to Actions.—The clerk shall keep an alphabetical index and cross-index of all parties to all civil actions and special proceedings. Upon the issuance of summons or commencement of an ex parte proceeding he shall forthwith index and cross-index the names of all parties to such action or proceeding. When an order is made that any new or additional party be brought into an action or proceeding his name shall forthwith be indexed and cross-indexed by the clerk. The index shall be so arranged that beside each name shall appear a reference to the book and page whereon the action or proceeding will be found upon the summons docket, civil issue docket, special proceeding docket, and judgment docket, or such of said dockets as carry reference to said
§ 2-42 1967 Cumulative Supplement § 2-42

action or proceeding; and immediately upon said action or proceeding being entered upon any of said docket the clerk shall cause said index to carry reference thereto upon the index and cross-index as to every party.

6. Record of lis pendens, which shall be cross-indexed and shall contain the name of the court in which the action has been commenced or is pending, the names of the parties to the action, the nature and purpose of the action, sufficient description of the real property to be affected to enable any person to identify and locate the same, the day and hour of entry on the cross-index, and a description of the place where such notice is filed.

7. Criminal docket, which shall contain a note of every proceeding in each criminal action. Judgments in criminal cases shall be indexed in the names of the defendants, but no cross-index in the name of the State shall be required.

12. Record of appointments, which shall contain a record of appointments of executors, administrators, guardians, collectors, and attorneys in fact appointed pursuant to G. S. 47-115.1, with revocations of all such appointments; and on which shall be noted all subsequent proceedings relating thereto.

14. Record of accounts, which shall contain a record of accounts, in which must be recorded inventories and annual accounts of executors, administrators, collectors, trustees under assignments for creditors, guardians, and attorneys in fact when required by G. S. 47-115.1 (h), as audited by him from time to time.

25: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 823, s. 2.
27: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 39.
28: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 39.
29: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 39.
30: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 39.
33: Repealed by G. S. 29-10 (f) which shall contain:

(1) The name of the renouncer; or
(2) The name of the person who is waiving his right to renounce;
(3) The name of the estate affected by the renunciation or waiver;
(4) The date of the death of the intestate and the date of the renunciation.

35: Repealed.

35: Repealed.

Cross Reference.—
See Editor's note to § 53-5.

Editor's Note.—This section was affected by two chapters of the 1953 Session Laws. Chapter 259 inserted the words "in civil actions" in line three of subsection 4, and inserted "civil" in line two of subsection 5. It also added the second sentence of subsection 7. Chapter 973 repealed former subsection 33.


The first 1961 amendment inserted in subsection 18 the reference to attorneys in fact and added such reference to subsection 14.
The second 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, added a new subsection 33.
The 1965 amendment added the proviso to the opening paragraph.

Chapter 470, Session Laws 1967, amends s. 4 of c. 1073, Session Laws 1959, by deleting Harnett and Lee from the list of counties to which the 1959 act shall not apply, but adds at the end of s. 4 the following: “The provisions of this act shall not apply to Lee and Harnett counties, except section 2 which shall be applicable in said counties.”

Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 39, effective July 1, 1967, struck out subsections 27, 28, 29 and 30.


As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendments, it is not set out.

Record of Permits to Purchase Weapons.—The 1959 act repealing subsection 35, which required the clerk to keep a record of permits to purchase weapons, did not apply to certain counties. See the 2nd paragraph of the Editor’s Note above. In other counties the sherif is required to keep such a record. See § 14-405.

Section 102-30.1 to Be Construed in Pari Materia with This Section.—The recording and indexing requirements of § 108-30.1 are less specific than those relating to deeds and judgments. They should be construed in pari materia with the recording and indexing provisions of § 161-22 and this section. Cuthrell v. Camden County, 254 N. C. 181, 118 S. E. (2d) 601 (1961).

This section does not require cross-indexing of liens filed in the clerk’s office. The section is not to be confused with the requirements for registering liens, deeds, etc., in the office of the register of deeds as provided by G. S. 161-22, which does require cross-indexing. Saunders v. Woodhouse, 243 N. C. 608, 91 S. E. (2d) 701 (1956).

A lien for material and labor was properly filed where the clerk after delivery attached it in its original form to specified page in a book labeled “Lien Docket” where the book without question was the book intended as the lien docket contemplated by this section, though the book was also used for the filing of liens for old age assistance, since § 108-30.1 provides that such liens shall be filed in the regular lien docket. Saunders v. Woodhouse, 243 N. C. 608, 91 S. E. (2d) 701 (1956).

The failure of the clerk to comply with the statute by neglecting to record all or a part of the proceeding does not render the proceeding void. Any interested party may, by motion, require the proceeding to be recorded and when a part of the papers has been lost without being recorded, the proceeding does not, because of that fact, lose its vitality or cease to give the protection which the complete record would afford. State Trust Co. v. Toms, 244 N. C. 645, 94 S. E. (2d) 806 (1956).

Stated in McMillan v. Robeson County, 262 N.C. 413, 137 S.E.2d 105 (1964).


Article 6.

Money in Hand; Investments.

§ 2-46. Public funds to be reported to county commissioners.

Cited in McMillan v. Robeson County, 262 N.C. 413, 137 S.E.2d 105 (1964).

§ 2-50. Unclaimed fees of jurors and witnesses paid to school fund.

Cross Reference.—

For section providing for like disposition of such unclaimed fees after one year, see § 115-99.

§ 2-52. Payment of insurance to persons under disability.—Where a minor, incompetent or insane person is named beneficiary in a policy or policies of insurance, and the insured dies prior to the majority of such minor, or prior to the restoration of competency or sanity of such incompetent or insane person, and the total proceeds of such policy or policies do not exceed one thousand dollars ($1,000.00), such proceeds may be paid to and, if paid, shall be received by the public guardian or clerk of the superior court of the county where such beneficiary resides, to be administered by the public guardian or clerk for the benefit of such beneficiary, and the receipt of the public guardian or clerk shall be a full and complete discharge of the insurer issuing the policy or policies to
§ 2-53. Payment of money for indigent children and persons non compos mentis.—When any moneys in the amount of one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or less are paid into court for any minor, indigent or needy child or children for whom there is no guardian, upon satisfactory proof of the necessities of such minor, child or children, the clerk may upon his own motion or order pay out of the same in such sum or sums at such time or times as in his judgment is for the best interest of said child or children, or to some discreet and solvent neighbor of said minor, to be used and faithfully applied for the sole benefit and maintenance of such minor indigent and needy child or children. The clerk shall take a receipt from the person to whom any such sum is paid and shall require such person to render an account of the expenditure of the sum or sums so paid, and shall record the receipt and the accounts, if any are rendered by order of the clerk, in a book entitled, Record of Amounts Paid for Indigent Children, and such receipt shall be a valid acquittance for the clerk. This section shall also apply to incompetent or insane persons, and it shall be the duty of any person or corporation having in its possession one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or less for any minor child or indigent child, or incompetent or insane person to pay same in the office of the clerk of the superior court, and the clerk of the superior court is hereby authorized and empowered to disburse the sum thus paid into his office, upon his own motion or order, without the appointment of a guardian. (1899, c. 82; Rev., s. 924; 1911, c. 29, s. 1; 1919, c. 91; C. S., s. 962; Ex. Sess., 1924, c. 1, s. 1; 1927, c. 76; 1929, c. 15; 1933, c. 363; 1945, c. 160, s. 2; 1949, c. 188; 1959, c. 794, ss. 1, 2.)

Local Modification.—Cumberland: 1957, c. 1143; Wake: 1961 c. 613.

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment increased the amounts named in lines one and fifteen from five hundred to one thousand dollars.

Satisfaction of Judgment in Favor of Infant.—Under the statutes of this State, only the clerk or the legal guardian of an infant has authority to receive payment and satisfy a judgment rendered in favor of an infant, and the defendant pays the judgment to the clerk of the superior court, who holds the funds until the minor becomes twenty-one or until a general guardian is appointed for him, unless the sum is $1,000.00 or less, when he may disburse it himself under the terms of this section. Teel v. Kerr, 261 N.C. 148, 131 S.E.2d 126 (1964).

Stated in McMillan v. Robeson County, 262 N.C. 413, 137 S.E.2d 105 (1964).

Chapter 3.

Commissioners of Affidavits and Deeds.

§ 3-8. Clerks and notaries to take affidavits.

Cross Reference.—As to attorney probating papers to be used in proceedings in which he appears as attorney, see § 47-8.


Chapter 4.  
Common Law.  

§ 4-1. Common law declared to be in force.  

Historical Background.—See Resort Development Co. v. Parmele, 235 N. C. 689, 71 S. E. (2d) 474 (1952).  

Extent of Common Law.—  
In accord with 2nd paragraph in original. See Cooperative Warehouse v. Lum-  
berton Tobacco Board of Trade, 242 N. C. 129, 87 S. E. (2d) 25 (1958).  

A common-law rule which has not been abrogated or repealed by statute in North Carolina, is still in effect under the terms of this section. Elliott v. Elliott, 235 N. C. 153, 69 S. E. (2d) 224 (1952); Redding v. Redding, 235 N. C. 638, 70 S. E. (2d) 676 (1952); McMichael v. Proctor, 243 N. C. 479, 91 S. E. (2d) 231 (1956). See note in 30 N. C. Law Rev. 417.  


Effect of Legislation with Respect to Subject Matter of Common-Law Rule.—  
Where the North Carolina General Assembly has legislated with respect to the subject matter of a common-law rule, the statute supplants the common law with respect to the particular rule, but so much of the common law as has not been abrogated or repealed by statute is in full force and effect. Allen v. Standard Crankshaft & Hydraulic Co., Inc., 210 F. Supp. 844 (1962).  

Suicide.—The North Carolina Constitution and statutes have repealed and abrogated the common law as to suicide only as to punishment and possibly the quality of the offense. State v. Willis, 255 N. C. 473, 121 S. E. (2d) 854 (1961).  

At common law suicide was a felony. Attempted suicide was a misdemeanor, punishable by fine and imprisonment. State v. Willis, 255 N. C. 473, 121 S. E. (2d) 854 (1961).  

Suicide may not be punished in North Carolina. But this fact does not change the criminal character of the act, and an attempt to commit suicide is an indictable misdemeanor in this State. State v. Willis, 255 N. C. 473, 121 S. E. (2d) 854 (1961).  


Trademarks.—State statutes providing for registration of trademarks are in affir-  

The remedies given by statutes providing for registration of trademarks are either declaratory or are cumulative and additional to those recognized by the common law. Allen v. Standard Crankshaft & Hydraulic Co., Inc., 210 F. Supp. 844 (1962).  

The common-law definition of arson is still in force in this State. State v. Long, 243 N. C. 393, 90 S. E. (2d) 739 (1956).  

Tort Action by Child against Parent.—  
The common-law rule that an unemanci-  
pated, minor child, living in the household of its parents, cannot maintain an action in tort against its parents or either of them, still prevails in North Carolina. Redding v. Redding, 235 N. C. 638, 70 S. E. (2d) 676 (1952).  

The common-law rule that future inter-  
estes in personal property may be created by will but not by deed prevails in this State, since it has not been abrogated or repealed by statute or become obsolete, and is not destructive of, or repugnant to, or inconsistent with, the freedom and independence of this State. Woodard v. Clark, 266 N. C. 190, 75 S. E. (2d) 433 (1953).  

§ 5-1. Contempts enumerated; common law repealed.

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATION.

Editor's Note.—
For note on criminal and civil contempt proceedings, see 34 N. C. Law Rev. 221.

Construed Strictly.—

Nature and Purpose of Proceedings.—
Resort to civil contempt proceeding is common to enforce orders in the equity jurisdiction of the court, orders for the payment of alimony, and in like matters. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

A contempt proceeding is sui generis. It is criminal in its nature in that the party is charged with doing something forbidden, and if found guilty, is punished. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Contempt proceedings may be resorted to in civil or criminal actions. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Proceedings for contempt are of two classes, criminal and civil. Criminal proceedings are those brought to preserve the power and to vindicate the dignity of the court and to punish for disobedience of its processes or orders. Civil proceedings are those instituted to preserve and enforce the rights of the parties to actions and to compel obedience to orders and decrees made for the benefit of the suitors. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Contempt proceedings are of two classes; those brought to vindicate the dignity and authority of the court; and those brought to enforce the rights of private parties. The former are as a rule held criminal in their nature and are generally governed by the rules applicable to criminal cases. North Carolina v. Carr, 264 F. Supp. 75 (W.D.N.C. 1967).

Criminal contempt or punishment for contempt is applied where the judgment is in punishment of an act already accomplished, tending to interfere with the administration of justice. Civil contempt is a term applied where the proceeding is had to preserve and enforce the rights of private parties to suits and to compel obedience to orders and decrees made for the benefit of such parties. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Criminal proceedings, involving as they do offenses against the courts and organized society, are punitive in their nature, and the government, the courts, and the people are interested in their prosecution. Whereas civil proceedings, having as their underlying purpose the preservation of private rights, are primarily remedial and coercive in their nature, and are usually prosecuted at the instance of an aggrieved suitor. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

The acts and omissions enumerated in this section correspond to criminal contempt and involve offenses against the court and organized society, punishable for contempt for the purpose of preserving the power and vindicating the dignity of the court. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

The distinction between a proceeding under this section and a proceeding as for contempt under § 5-8 should be recognized and enforced. The importance of the distinction lies in the differences in the procedure, the punishment, and the right of review established by law for the two proceedings. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1931); Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966); Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 206, 154 S.E.2d 320 (1967).

Nature of Offense.—
A person guilty of any of the acts or omissions enumerated in this section may be punished for contempt because such acts or omissions have a direct tendency to interrupt the proceedings of the court or to impair the respect due to its authority. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951); Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 206, 154 S.E.2d 320 (1967).


The court must specify the particulars of the offense on the record by stating the words, acts or gestures amounting to direct contempt, and when the record contains only conclusions that contemnor was contemptuous, contemnor is entitled to his discharge. Rose’s Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 315 (1967).

Facts Must Be Found, etc.—In accord with original. See Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

The right of review in proceedings for contempt is regulated by § 5-2, which denies to persons adjudged guilty of contempt in the superior court the right of appeal to the Supreme Court in all cases arising under subdivisions one, two, three, and six of this section, and also in those cases arising under subdivisions four and five of this section where the “contempt is committed in the presence of the court.” Luther v. Luther, 234 N.C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).

In proceedings for contempt the facts found by the trial judge are not reviewable by the Supreme Court except for the purpose of passing upon their sufficiency to warrant the judgment. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

The right of review in proceedings for contempt is regulated by § 5-2, which denies to persons adjudged guilty of contempt in the superior court the right of appeal to the Supreme Court in all cases arising under subdivisions one, two, three, and six of this section. See, also, in those cases arising under subdivisions four and five of this section where the “contempt is committed in the presence of the court.” Luther v. Luther, 234 N.C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).


The right of review in proceedings for contempt is regulated by § 5-2, which denies to persons adjudged guilty of contempt in the superior court the right of appeal to the Supreme Court in all cases arising under subdivisions one, two, three, and six of this section, and also in those cases arising under subdivisions four and five of this section where the “contempt is committed in the presence of the court.” Luther v. Luther, 234 N.C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).


One does not act wilfully in failing to comply with a judgment if it has not been within his power to do so since the judgment was rendered. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Noncompliance with Order to Produce Records of Business.—Where, in response to an order to produce records of his business for a designated period, defendant appears and testifies that the only business records kept by him were the cash register tapes, that these had been destroyed by rats, and therefore, he had no records or documents with which to comply with the order, and there is no evidence to the contrary, it is error for the court to find and conclude defendant was in contempt within the purview of this section for noncompliance with the order. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N.C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1934).

In contempt proceedings it is necessary for the court to find the facts supporting the judgment and especially the facts as to the purpose and object of the contemner, since nothing short of “willful disobedience” will justify punishment. Smith v. Smith, 247 N.C. 223, 100 S.E. (2d) 370 (1957).

Conclusions of Law Not So Denominated.—Where the judgment in contempt fully states the facts found and the condefendants in contempt for a willful disobedience of an order lawfully issued by clusions of law based thereon, adjudging the superior court having jurisdiction, exception on the ground that the court did not specifically denominate his conclusions of laws as such cannot be sustained. Glendale Mfg. Co. v. Bonano, 248 N.C. 587, 89 S. E. (2d) 116 (1955).

Cases Involving Violations of Order Restraining Strikers.—For a series of cases involving violations of a restraining order which sought to prohibit violence and mass picketing on the part of strikers, see Harriet Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 578, 251 N.C. 218, 111 S.E. (2d) 457 (1959); Harriet Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 578, 251 N.C. 231, 111 S.E. (2d) 465 (1959); Henderson Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 584, 251 N.C. 234, 111 S.E. (2d) 476 (1959); Henderson Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 584, 251 N.C. 240, 111 S.E. (2d) 471 (1959); Harriet Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 578, 251 N.C. 248, 111 S.E. (2d) 467 (1959); Henderson Cotton Mills v. Local Union No. 584, 251 N.C. 254, 111 S.E. (2d) 480 (1959).

VI. SUBDIVISION VI.

Obviously False or Evasive Testimony Is Equivalent to Refusal to Testify.—The power of the court to require a witness to give proper responses is inherent and necessary for the furtherance of justice, and therefore, testimony which is obviously false or evasive is equivalent to a refusal to testify. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N.C. 120, 84 S.E. (2d) 822 (1934).

No Distinction between Refusing to Be Sworn and Refusing to Answer.—This section makes no distinction between one
§ 5-2. Appeal from judgment of guilty.

Cross Reference.—
As to inapplicability of this section to proceedings under § 5-8, see note to § 5-8.

The right of review in proceedings for contempt is regulated by this section, which denies to persons adjudged guilty of contempt in the superior court the right of appeal to the Supreme Court except in cases arising under subdivisions four and five of § 5-1, where the contempt is not committed in the presence of the court. Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 313 (1967).

This section has no application to proceedings as for contempt under § 5-8, and as a result, a person who is penalized as for contempt may obtain a review of the judgment entered against him by a direct appeal to the Supreme Court. Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 313 (1967).

§ 5-3. Solicitor or Attorney General to appear for the court.

Applied in In re Palmer, 265 N.C. 485, 144 S.E.2d 413 (1965).
§ 5-4. Punishment.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 5-8.

Editor's Note. — For note on criminal and civil contempt proceedings, see 34 N. C. Law Rev. 221.

The provisions of this section are not applicable to civil contempt proceedings under § 5-8. Smith v. Smith, 248 N. C. 298, 103 S. E. (2d) 400 (1958).

Punishment as for contempt is not limited by the terms of this section. Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 313 (1967).

A sentence of ten days in jail, imposed by the superior court for contempt by refusal to be sworn as a witness, was well within the statutory maximum. In the Matter of Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 152 S.E.2d 317 (1967).

Illegal Punishment.—A judgment entered is erroneous in directing that the defendant be committed to jail for an indefinite period rather than for thirty days as prescribed by this section. Basnight v. Basnight, 242 N. C. 645, 89 S. E. (2d) 259 (1955).


§ 5-5. Summary punishment for direct contempt.

Constitutionality.—Summary punishment for direct contempt committed in the presence of the court does not contemplate a trial at which the person charged with contempt must be represented by counsel, and therefore sentence for contempt does not deprive the contemner of his liberty without due process of law. In the Matter of Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 132 S.E.2d 217 (1967).

Direct contempt of court is punishable summarily. In re Palmer, 265 N.C. 485, 144 S.E.2d 413 (1965).

And the offended court is only requested to "cause the particulars of the offense to be specified on the record." In re Palmer, 265 N.C. 485, 144 S.E.2d 413 (1965).

Contempt committed in the view and presence of the court may be punished summarily, but the court shall cause the particulars of the offense to be specified on the record. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).

But Wilful Disobedience of Void Order Is Not Punishable.—Wilful disobedience to an order, void ab initio for want of jurisdiction, may not be made the basis for contempt proceedings. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).

What Is Direct Contempt.—A direct contempt consists of words spoken or acts committed in the actual or constructive presence of the court while it is in session or during recess, which tend to subvert or prevent justice. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N.C. 130, 84 S.E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Contempt of De Facto Court.—Particular conduct, which would amount to contempt in the presence of a duly constituted court of proper jurisdiction, would not necessarily be contemptuous in a de facto court. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).

A lawyer, or any person for that matter, whose conduct is disrespectful in the view and presence of a judge, sitting judicially under the mistaken but bona fide belief that he has jurisdiction to act as a court, is liable to punishment for direct contempt. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).

Contumacious and Unlawful Refusal to Be Sworn.—The contumacious and unlawful refusal, in the presence of the court, by one duly subpoenaed, to be sworn as a witness is direct contempt and may be punished summarily. In the Matter of Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 152 S.E.2d 317 (1967).


§ 5-6. Courts and officers empowered to punish.

Contempt of Subordinate Officer Regarded as Contempt of Appointing Court.—A contempt against a subordinate officer appointed by a court, such as a commissioner, ordinarily is regarded as contempt of the authority of the appointing court, and the appointing court has power to punish such contempt. This is true even where such subordinate officer, as with us under this section, is vested with the power to punish. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Procedural Requirements in Proceedings to Punish Contempt of Subordinate Officer.—When the conduct complained of was before a commissioner or other subordinate officer of the court and the court has no direct knowledge of the facts constituting the alleged contempt, in order for the court to take original cognizance thereof and determine the question of contempt, the proceedings must follow the procedural requirements as prescribed for indirect contempt, § 5-7, or "as for contempt," §
§ 5-7. Indirect contempt; order to show cause.

Indirect Contempt Defined. — An indirect contempt is one committed outside the presence of the court, usually at a distance from it, which tends to degrade the court or interrupt, prevent, or impede the administration of justice. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Practice.—

The procedure to punish for indirect contempt is by order to show cause. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Whether the movant uses a petition or other document to obtain an order to show cause in a proceeding under this section, it is the affidavit or verification that imports the verity of the charge of violating the judgment or order of the court, which is required as the basis of the order to show cause. Erwin Mills, Inc. v. Textile Workers Union, 234 N. C. 321, 67 S. E. (2d) 372 (1951); Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 206, 154 S.E.2d 320 (1967).

The issuance of a show-cause order is necessary both in proceedings to punish for indirect contempt under § 5-7 and in proceedings to punish for contempt under § 5-9. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).


§ 5-8. Acts punishable as for contempt.

Cross Reference.—As to distinctions between proceedings under this section and under § 5-1, see note to § 5-1.

Editor's Note.—

For note on criminal and civil contempt proceedings, see 34 N. C. Law Rev. 221.

Contempt proceedings may be resorted to in civil or criminal actions. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

A contempt proceeding is sui generis. It is criminal in its nature in that the party is charged with doing something forbidden, and if found guilty, is punished. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Criminal and Civil Contempt Distinguished.—Criminal contempt is a term applied where the judgment is in punishment of an act already accomplished, tending to interfere with the administration of justice. Civil contempt is a term applied where the proceeding is had to preserve and enforce the rights of private parties to suits and to compel obedience to orders and decrees made for the benefit of such parties. Mauney v. Mauney, 268 N.C. 254, 150 S.E.2d 391 (1966).

Civil contempt or punishment as for contempt as for contempt under clause 1 of this section is punishable as for contempt because such acts or neglects tend to defeat, impair, impede, or prejudice the rights or remedies of a party to an action pending in court. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951); Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 206, 154 S.E.2d 320 (1967).

The acts and omissions enumerated in this section correspond to civil contempt and involve matters tending to defeat, impair, impede, or prejudice the rights or remedies of a party to an action pending in court, and are punishable as for contempt with the underlying purpose of preserving private rights by coercion. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Essential Elements under Clause 1.—An act or default is not punishable by a court of record as for contempt under clause 1 of this section unless these three essential elements concur: (1) The alleged contemnor must be a clerk, sheriff, register, solicitor, attorney, counselor, coroner, constable, referee, or other person appointed or selected to perform a ministerial or judicial service; (2) he must be guilty of neglect or violation of duty, or of misconduct in the performance of such service; and (3) his neglect or violation of duty or his misconduct in such respect must
have a tendency to defeat, impair, delay, or prejudice the rights or remedies of a party to a cause or matter pending in the court. Corey v. Hardison, 236 N. C. 147, 72 S. E. (2d) 416 (1952).

The refusal of a witness to testify at all, or his refusal to answer any legal or proper question is punishable for contempt under § 5-1 (6), or as for contempt under § 5-8 (4), depending upon the facts of the particular case. Galvyn v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Obviously False or Evasive Testimony Is Equivalent to Refusal to Testify.—The power of the court to require a witness to give proper responses is inherent and necessary for the furtherance of justice, and therefore, testimony which is obviously false or evasive is equivalent to a refusal to testify. Galvyn v. Stutts, 241 N. C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Wilful failure and refusal of a party to make payments for the support of his child in accordance with decree of court is a civil contempt under this section and the court may order him into custody until he shows compliance or is otherwise discharged according to law. Section 5-4, limiting sentence of confinement for a period not exceeding thirty days, is not applicable. Smith v. Smith, 248 N. C. 298, 103 S. E. (2d) 400 (1958).

Civil contempt proceedings to enforce orders for payment of support to children pursuant to consent judgment are authorized by this section. Smith v. Smith, 248 N. C. 298, 103 S. E. (2d) 400 (1958).

A breach of contract is not punishable as for contempt under this section. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951); In re Smith's Will, 249 N. C. 563, 107 S. E. (2d) 89 (1959).

Where the proceeding as for contempt is set in motion to compel a person to substitute a binding agreement for an invalid one, an order penalizing the plaintiff runs counter to the sound rule that the court will not entertain contempt proceedings where the mover's purpose is to coerce his adversary into making a contract. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).

Refusal to Effectuate an Agreement to Sign a Consent Judgment.— Where the plaintiff and the defendant made an oral contract to settle their lawsuit on agreed terms to be incorporated in a subsequent consent judgment, and the plaintiff breached the oral contract by withholding her consent when the proposed judgment embodying the agreed terms was drafted and presented to her for signing, she was not a person "selected or appointed to perform * * * ministerial or judicial service," and consequently, clause 1 of this section did not apply to her. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).

Violation of Consent Judgment.— In an action by husband for divorce a mensa in which no divorce was granted but in which the parties entered into a consent judgment in 1954 prior to the 1955 amendment to § 50-16 permitting permanent alimony in actions for divorce a mensa, the violation of the judgment for support payments by the husband did not make him liable for contempt under this section, since the judgment was only a contract. Holden v. Holden, 245 N. C. 1, 95 S. E. (2d) 118 (1956).

The violation of a provision of a judgment which is void cannot be made the basis for contempt. Corey v. Hardison, 236 N. C. 147, 79 S. E. (2d) 416 (1952).

Refusal of municipal officers to surrender their offices in accordance with the results of an election held pursuant to the provisions of a decree of court cannot be made the basis for contempt proceedings, since upon the hearing of the order to show cause the court must first adjudicate the rights of the parties to the offices and such adjudication can be made only in a direct proceeding for that purpose under Article 41, Chapter 1, of the General Statutes Corey v. Hardison, 236 N. C. 147, 72 S. E. (2d) 416 (1952).

Section 5-2 has no application to proceedings as for contempt under this section. As a consequence, no legal impediment bars a person, who is penalized as for contempt, from obtaining a review of the judgment entered against him in the superior court by a direct appeal to the Supreme Court. Such right of appeal has been exercised in proceedings as for contempt without question for upwards of a hundred years. Luther v. Luther, 234 N. C. 429, 67 S. E. (2d) 345 (1951).

Section 5-2 has no application to proceedings as for contempt under this section, and as a result a person who is penalized as for contempt may obtain a review of the judgment entered against him by a direct appeal to the Supreme Court. Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 313 (1967).

Nor Does § 5-4 Limit Punishment.—The punishment as to matters punishable for contempt is limited by § 5-4 to a fine not to exceed $250 or imprisonment not to exceed thirty days, or both, in the discretion of the court. However, punishment as for
§ 5-9. Trial of proceedings in contempt.

The procedure to punish as for contempt is by order to show cause based upon a petition, affidavit, or other proper verification charging a wilful violation of an order of court. Rose's Stores, Inc. v. Tarrytown Center, Inc., 270 N.C. 201, 154 S.E.2d 313 (1967).

The issuance of a show-cause order is necessary both in proceedings to punish for indirect contempt under § 5-7 and in proceedings to punish as for contempt under § 5-9. Galyon v. Stutts, 241 N.C. 120, 84 S. E. (2d) 822 (1954).

Precedent declares that a judge should recuse himself in contempt proceedings involving his personal feelings which do not make for an impartial and calm judicial consideration and conclusion in the matter. Ponder v. Davis, 233 N.C. 699, 65 S. E. (2d) 356 (1951).

And this section declares a sound public policy that no judge should sit in his own case, or participate in a matter in which he has a personal interest, or has taken sides therein. Ponder v. Davis, 233 N.C. 699, 65 S. E. (2d) 356 (1951).

The last sentence of this section was not intended to cover an order entered in the same cause by the same judge when the propriety of his acting in the premises, and issuing the very order alleged to have been violated, is called in question. Ponder v. Davis, 233 N.C. 699, 65 S. E. (2d) 356 (1951), wherein judge had taken active part in election out of which proceedings arose.

Chapter 6.

Costs.

Article 1.

Generally.

Sec. 6-8. Clerk to itemize bills of criminal costs.

Article 2.

When State Liable for Costs.

6-17.1. Costs and expenses of State in connection with federal litigation arising out of State cases.

Article 3.

Civil Actions and Proceedings.

Sec. 6-21.1. Allowance of counsel fees as part of costs in certain cases.

6-21.2. Attorneys' fees in notes, etc., in addition to interest.

ARTICLE 1.

Generally.

§ 6-1. Items allowed as costs.—To either party for whom judgment is given there shall be allowed as costs his actual disbursements for fees to the officers, witnesses, and other persons entitled to receive the same.

Where a party to a civil action gives a prosecution bond as required by G. S. 1-109 or a bond for costs as required by G. S. 1-111 with a surety company in-
stead of a personal surety, the premiums on all such surety bonds shall be taxed as a part of the costs. (Code, s. 528; Rev., s. 1249; C. S., s. 1225; 1955. c. 922.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment added the second paragraph.

Nominal Damages Entitling Plaintiff to Costs Not Allowed in Action for Wrongful Death. — Where, in an action for wrongful death the sole issue is that of damages and there is no pecuniary loss on which recovery could be based, nominal damages, which would entitle plaintiff to costs, would not be allowed. Armentrout v. Hughes, 247 N. C. 631, 101 S. E. (2d) 793 (1958).


§ 6-5. Jurors' tax fees.

Local Modification.—Alamance: 1957, c. 1016.

§ 6-8. Clerk to itemize bills of criminal costs.—It is the duty of the clerks of the several courts of record, at each term of the court, to make up an itemized statement of the bill of costs in every criminal action tried or otherwise disposed of at said term, which shall be signed by the clerk. (1873-4, c. 116; 1879, c. 264. Code: 733. Rev. s. 1256; C. S., s. 1232; 1953. c. 58.)

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment struck out the words “and approved by the solicitor” formerly appearing at the end of this section.

ARTICLE 2.

When State Liable for Costs.

§ 6-17.1. Costs and expenses of State in connection with federal litigation arising out of State cases.—In all cases of litigation in any court of the United States arising out of or by reason of any cases pending or tried in any court of the State of North Carolina, or in any action originally instituted in any court of the United States, the expenses for State court costs, securing of court records and transcripts, and other necessary expenses in representing the State of North Carolina or any of its departments, officials or agencies shall be allocated from and paid out of the State Contingency and Emergency Fund. (1963, c. 844.)

ARTICLE 3.

Civil Actions and Proceedings.

§ 6-19. When costs allowed as of course to defendant.

Where plaintiff fails to recover in an action involving title to real property in which a court survey is ordered, the clerk is without authority to tax the surveyor's fees in the bill of costs, but on appeal from the clerk's order, the superior court, while properly affirming the clerk's order, should pass upon the motion for taxing such fees as a part of the costs as a matter of right. Ipock v. Miller, 245 N. C. 585, 96 S. E. (2d) 729 (1957). See § 38-4 and note.

§ 6-20. Costs allowed or not, in discretion of court.


In equity, etc.—If an action is equitable in nature the taxing of the costs is within the discretion of the court, and the court may allow costs in favor of one party or the other, or require the parties to share the costs. Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).

Apportionment of Costs.—Where a jury found that the allegations of the complaint with respect to the maintenance of the nuisance were true, the trial court, when it ordered the personal property sold, had discretionary power with respect to the apportionment of the costs. State ex rel. Morris v. Shinn, 262 N.C. 88, 136 S.E.2d 244 (1964).
§ 6-21. Costs allowed either party or apportioned in discretion of court.—Costs in the following matters shall be taxed against either party, or apportioned among the parties, in the discretion of the court:

1. Application for year’s support, for widow or children.
2. Caveats to wills and any action or proceeding which may require the construction of any will or trust agreement, or fix the rights and duties of parties thereunder; provided, however, that in any caveat proceeding under this subdivision, if the court finds that the proceeding is without substantial merit, the court may disallow attorneys’ fees for the attorneys for the caveators.
3. Habeas corpus; and the court shall direct what officer shall tax the costs thereof.
4. In actions for divorce or alimony; and the court may both before and after judgment make such order respecting the payment of such costs as may be incurred by the wife, either by the husband or by her from her separate estate, as may be just.
5. Application for the establishment, alteration or discontinuance of a public road, cartway or ferry. The board of county commissioners may order the costs incurred before them paid in their discretion.
6. The compensation of referees and commissioners to take depositions.
7. All costs and expenses incurred in special proceedings for the division or sale of either real estate or personal property under the chapter entitled Partition.
8. In all proceedings under the chapter entitled Drainage, except as therein otherwise provided.
9. In proceedings for reallocation of homestead for increase in value, as provided in the chapter, Civil Procedure.
10. In proceedings regarding illegitimate children under article 3, chapter 49 of the General Statutes.

The words “costs” as the same appears and is used in this section shall be construed to include reasonable attorneys’ fees in such amounts as the court shall in its discretion determine and allow; provided that attorneys’ fees in actions for alimony shall not be included in the costs as provided herein, but shall be determined and provided for in accordance with G.S. 50-16.4. (Code, ss. 533, 1294, 1323, 1422, 1660, 2039, 2056, 2134, 2161; 1889, c. 37; 1893, c. 149, s. 6; Rev., s. 1268; C. S., s. 1244; 1937, c. 143; 1955, c. 1364; 1965, c. 633; 1967, c. 993, s. 2; c. 1152, s. 5.)


Editor’s Note.—

The 1955 amendment added the provisions as to actions or proceedings requiring construction of wills or trusts or to fix the rights and duties of parties thereunder in subdivision (2).

The 1965 amendment added the proviso at the end of subdivision (2).

The first 1967 amendment, effective Oct. 1, 1967, added subdivision (10).

The second 1967 amendment, effective Oct. 1, 1967, added the proviso at the end of the section.

Section 9 of c. 1152, Session Laws 1967, provides that the act shall not apply to pending litigation.

For discussion as to attorneys’ fees being awarded a successful litigant, see 38 N. C. Law Rev. 156.

Attorney Fees. — Ordinarily attorney fees are taxable as costs only when expressly authorized by statute. Horner v. Chamber of Commerce, 236 N. C. 96, 72 S. E. (2d) 21 (1952). For note commenting on case, see 31 N. C. Law Rev. 115.

Except as otherwise provided by this section, attorney fees are not now regarded as part of the court costs in North Carolina. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. v. Schneider, 235 N. C. 446, 70 S. E. (2d) 578 (1952); Rider v. Lenoir County, 238 N. C. 633, 78 S. E. (2d) 745 (1953); Horner v. Chamber of Commerce of Burlington, 236 N. C. 96, 72 S. E. (2d) 21 (1952); Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).

This section, by implication, authorizes
attorney fees in certain enumerated actions to be taxed as a part of the costs, to be paid out of the fund which is the subject matter of the action. Such a case as a civil action to enjoin the issuance of county bonds and to restrain the disbursement of county funds is not included. Rider v. Lenoir County, 238 N. C. 632, 78 S. E. (2d) 745 (1953).

But in the types of cases enumerated in this section, attorneys' fees may be included as a part of the costs in such amounts as the court in its discretion determines and allows. Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).

A reasonable allowance for attorney's fees may be made as a part of the costs in habeas corpus proceedings, but not until there is a proper hearing or an opportunity for defendant to be heard. Murphy v. Murphy, 261 N.C. 95, 131 S.E.2d 148 (1964).

Caveats to Wills.—

Subdivision (2) of this section leaves the taxing of court costs and the apportionment thereof to be made in the discretion of the court. Moreover, the fixing of reasonable attorney fees in applicable cases is likewise a matter within the sound discretion of the trial court. Godwin v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co., 259 N. C. 520, 131 S. E. (2d) 456 (1963).

Fees for services rendered by attorneys to the parties in a caveat to a will do not automatically become costs of the proceeding merely because they are incurred and paid. This section commits the allowance and apportionment of the fees and the determination of the amounts thereof to the discretion of the court. Where the court had made no determination of the matter, but the amounts were fixed by contingent agreement between attorneys and clients prior to suit, and the allowance of the fees as part of the costs of the proceeding was intentionally excluded from the judgment of the court, the amounts paid to the attorneys did not and could not become part of the taxable costs of the suit under this section Commercial Nat. Bank v. United States, 196 F. (2d) 182 (1952).

Where appellant did not contend that the fees allowed counsel were unreasonable and nothing to the contrary appeared in the record, it was taken that the court taxed the costs and attorneys' fees in the exercise of its discretion and that there was no abuse of this discretion. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. v. Dodson, 290 N. C. 22, 131 S. E. (2d) 875 (1963).

Allowance to Referee.—

The apportionment of the compensation for a referee and the court reporter employed by him is within the discretion given the court by this section. Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).


Construction of Wills.—In an action pursuant to the Uniform Declaratory Judgment Act for construction of certain trust provisions of a will the taxing of costs, the inclusion therein of attorneys' fees, and the fixing of reasonable counsel fees, are matters within the sound discretion of the trial court. Little v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co., 252 N. C. 229, 113 S. E. (2d) 689 (1960).

Specific Performance.—In an action between husband and wife seeking specific performance of an agreement between them to "pool" their property and assets, to declare a resulting trust, and for an accounting, the court has discretionary authority to apportion the costs, the action being equitable in nature, but the attorneys' fees of the respective parties in such instance do not come within the statutory or equitable exceptions to the general rule and may not be taxed as a part of the costs. Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).


§ 6-21.1. Allowance of counsel fees as part of costs in certain cases.

In any personal injury or property damage suit, or suit against an insurance company under a policy issued by the defendant insurance company and in which the insured or beneficiary is the plaintiff, upon a finding by the court that there was an unwarranted refusal by the defendant insurance company to pay the claim which constitutes the basis of such suit, instituted in a court of record, where the judgment for recovery of damages is one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or less, the presiding judge may, in his discretion, allow a reasonable attorney fee to the
§ 6-21.2. Attorneys’ fees in notes, etc., in addition to interest.—Obligations to pay attorneys’ fees upon any note, conditional sale contract or other evidence of indebtedness, in addition to the legal rate of interest or finance charges specified therein, shall be valid and enforceable, and collectible as part of such debt, if such note, contract or other evidence of indebtedness be collected by or through an attorney at law after maturity, subject to the following provisions:

1. If such note, conditional sale contract or other evidence of indebtedness provides for attorneys’ fees in some specific percentage of the “outstanding balance” as herein defined, such provision and obligation shall be valid and enforceable up to but not in excess of fifteen percent (15%) of said “outstanding balance” owing on said note, contract or other evidence of indebtedness.

2. If such note, conditional sale contract or other evidence of indebtedness provides for the payment of reasonable attorneys’ fees by the debtor, without specifying any specific percentage, such provision shall be construed to mean fifteen percent (15%) of the “outstanding balance” owing on said note, contract or other evidence of indebtedness.

3. As to notes and other writing(s) evidencing an indebtedness arising out of a loan of money to the debtor, the “outstanding balance” shall mean the principal and interest owing at the time suit is instituted to enforce any security agreement securing payment of the debt and/or to collect said debt.

4. As to conditional sale contracts and other such security agreements which evidence both a monetary obligation and a security interest in or a lease of specific goods, the “outstanding balance” shall mean the “time price balance” owing as of the time suit is instituted by the secured party to enforce the said security agreement and/or to collect said debt.

5. The holder of an unsecured note or other writing(s) evidencing an unsecured debt, and/or the holder of a note and chattel mortgage or other security agreement and/or the holder of a conditional sale contract or any other such security agreement which evidences both a monetary obligation and a security interest in or a lease of specific goods, or his attorney at law, shall, after maturity of the obligation by default or otherwise, notify the maker, debtor, account debtor, endorser or party sought to be held on said obligation that the provisions relative to payment of attorneys’ fees in addition to the “outstanding balance” shall be enforced and that such maker, debtor, account debtor, endorser or party sought to be held on said obligation has five days from the mailing of such notice to pay the “outstanding balance” without the attorneys’ fees. If such party shall pay the “outstanding balance” in full before the expiration of such time, then the obligation to pay the attorneys’ fees shall be void, and no court shall enforce such provisions.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, if debtor has defaulted or violated the terms of the security agreement and has refused, on de-
mand, to surrender possession of the collateral to the secured party as authorized by § 25-9-503, with the result that said secured party is required to institute an ancillary claim and delivery proceeding to secure possession of said collateral; no such written notice shall be required before enforcement of the provisions relative to payment of attorneys' fees in addition to the "outstanding balance." (1967, c. 562, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—Section 10, c. 562, Session Laws 1967, makes the act effective at midnight June 30, 1967. See Editor's note to § 25-1-201.

Local Modification.—Pitt: 1953, c. 1878.

ARTICLE 4.

Costs on Appeal.

§ 6-33. Costs on appeal generally.
Motion in Superior Court to Recover Costs of Transcript.—The cost of preparing the transcription of the record is a part of the costs in the Supreme Court, and the judge of the superior court upon the subsequent trial is without jurisdiction to entertain motion for the recovery of such costs. Ward v. Cruse, 236 N. C. 400, 72 S. E. (2d) 835 (1952).

Modification and Affirmance.—Where the judgment of the court below is modified and affirmed, the Supreme Court may apportion the costs on appeal between the parties in the exercise of its discretion. Hoskins v. Hoskins, 259 N. C. 704, 131 S. E. (2d) 326 (1963).

§ 6-34. Costs of transcript on appeal taxed in Supreme Court.
Transcript of Testimony.—"The costs of making up the transcript on appeal" has reference to and includes only the cost of transcribing the judgment roll and case on appeal, as finally agreed or settled, which the clerk of the superior court is required to certify to the Supreme Court. The amount expended for a transcript of the testimony preliminary to preparing and serving appellant's proposed case on appeal constitutes no part of this cost. Ward v. Cruse, 236 N. C. 400, 72 S. E. (2d) 835 (1952). As to motion in superior court to recover such costs, see note to § 6-33.

ARTICLE 5.

Liability of Counties in Criminal Actions.

§ 6-36. County to pay costs in certain cases; if approved, audited and adjudged.

ARTICLE 6.

Liability of Defendant in Criminal Actions.

§ 6-45. Costs against defendant convicted, confessing, or submitting.
§ 6-46. Defendant imprisoned not discharged until costs paid.


§ 6-47. Judgment confessed; bond given to secure fine and costs.


§ 6-48. Arrest for nonpayment of fine and costs.

Section Inapplicable to Judgment Not in Compliance with § 6-46.—Where judgment upon conviction of a defendant imposes a prison sentence and also directs that defendant pay a fine in a stipulated sum and the costs, but the judgment does not direct that defendant be imprisoned until the fine and costs are paid or until defendant is discharged according to law, such judgment is not in compliance with § 6-46 and this section is not applicable. Therefore, after defendant has served the sentence and been discharged, the superior court has no authority at a later term to order that the defendant be imprisoned until the fines and costs should be paid. State v. Bryant, 251 N. C. 423, 111 S. E. (2d) 591 (1959).

Article 7.

Liability of Prosecutor for Costs.

§ 6-49. Prosecutor liable for costs in certain cases; court determines prosecutor.—In all criminal actions in any court, if the defendant is acquitted, nolle prosequi entered, or judgment against him is arrested, or if the defendant is discharged from arrest for want of probable cause, the costs, including the fees of all witnesses whom the judge, court or justice of the peace before whom the trial took place shall certify to have been proper for the defense and prosecution, shall be paid by the prosecutor, whether marked on the bill or warrant or not, whenever the judge, court or justice is of the opinion that there was not reasonable ground for the prosecution, or that it was not required by the public interest.

(1953, c. 675, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment substituted "or" for "of" immediately preceding the word "justice" in line seven of the first sentence. As only this sentence was affected by the amendment the rest of the section is not set out.

Article 8.

Fees of Witnesses.

§ 6-52. Fees and mileage of witnesses.—The fees of witnesses, whether attending at a term of court or before the clerk, or a referee, or commissioner, or arbitrator, shall be such amount per day as the board of commissioners of the respective counties may fix, to be not less than one dollar per day and not more than three dollars per day, except in the counties of Alexander, Alleghany, Anson, Ashe, Brunswick, Burke, Clay, Cleveland, Dare, Franklin, Graham, Greene, Harnett, Haywood, Henderson, Johnston, Mitchell, Nash, Polk, Stanly, Swain and Union, in which counties the fees shall be one dollar per day. They shall also receive mileage, to be fixed by the county commissioners of their respective counties, at a rate not to exceed five cents per mile for every mile necessarily traveled from their respective homes in going to and returning from the place of examination by the ordinary route, and ferriage and toll paid in going and returning. If attending out of their counties, they shall receive one dollar per day and five cents...
§ 6-55. Fees of witnesses before jury of view, commissioner, etc. Cross Reference.—See § 1-553.

Chapter 7.

Courts.

SUBCHAPTER I. SUPREME COURT.

Article 1. Organization and Terms. Sec. 7-1 to 7-7. [Repealed.]

Article 2. Jurisdiction. 7-8 to 7-21. [Repealed.]

Article 3. Officers of Court. 7-22 to 7-29.1. [Repealed.]

Article 4. Supreme Court Library.

Sec. 7-30 to 7-33. [Repealed.]

Article 5. Supreme Court Reports. 7-34, 7-35. [Repealed.]

Article 6. Salaries of Supreme Court Employees. 7-36 to 7-39. [Repealed.]
Article 6A.
Retirement of Justices; Recall to Serve as Emergency Justices.
Sec. 7-39.1 to 7-39.15. [Repealed.]

SUBCHAPTER II. SUPERIOR COURTS.

Article 7.
Organization.
7-42. Salary and expenses of superior court judge.
7-43.1 to 7-43.3. [Repealed.]
7-44. Solicitors; compensation.
7-45. Travel and office expenses of solicitors.
7-50 to 7-51.2. [Repealed.]
7-54. Special judges.
7-55. [Repealed.]

Article 9.
Judicial and Solicitorial Districts and Terms of Court.
7-68. Number of judicial and solicitorial districts.
7-68.1. Offices of additional resident judge and resident judge for certain judicial districts created.
7-68.2. Resident judges of judicial districts designated.
7-68.3. Jurisdiction, authority and status of additional resident judges.
7-68.4. Senior resident judges designated for certain districts.
7-68.5. Additional resident judgeships for twelfth, nineteenth and twenty-eighth judicial districts created.
7-68.6. Second additional resident judgeships for eighteenth and twenty-sixth judicial districts created.
7-68.7. Appointment and terms of additional resident judges of twelfth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth and twenty-eighth judicial districts.
7-68.8. Senior resident judges of twelfth, nineteenth and twenty-eighth judicial districts.
7-68.9. Jurisdiction, authority and status of additional resident judges of twelfth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth and twenty-eighth judicial districts.
7-69. Number of judicial divisions.
7-70, 7-70.1. [Repealed.]
7-70.2. Terms of superior court in cities other than county seats.
7-71 to 7-71.2. [Repealed.]
7-75. [Repealed.]

Article 10.
Special Terms of Court.
Sec. 7-79. [Repealed.]

Article 11.
Special Regulations.
7-90 to 7-99. [Repealed.]
7-92.1. Official court reporter for eleventh judicial district.
7-92.2. Official court reporter for fourth judicial district.
7-92.3. Official court reporter for twenty-fourth judicial district.
7-92.4. [Repealed.]

SUBCHAPTER IV. DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURTS.

Article 13.
Domestic Relations Courts.
7-108.1. Docketing judgments forfeiting bonds.

SUBCHAPTER V. JUSTICES OF THE PEACE.

Article 14.
Selection and Qualification.
7-114.1. Bond required.
7-115. Appointment and removal by resident judge.

Article 14A.
Appointment by Judge and Abolition of Fee System.
7-120.1 to 7-120.11. [Repealed.]

Article 17A.
Warrants and Receipts.
7-134.1. Clerk of superior court to furnish printed forms; requirements for warrants and receipts.
7-134.2. Use of forms by justices; contents of warrants-issued register; reports to clerk of superior court; records open to inspection.
7-134.3. Auditing of justices' records.
7-134.4. Enforcement officers to submit list of warrants for auditing; lists to be made available to accountant.
7-134.5. Penalty.
7-134.6. Counties to which article applies.

Article 21.
Judgment and Execution.
7-160. Justice's judgment docketed; lien and execution; transcript.
§ 7-1
SUBCHAPTER VI. RECORDERS' COURTS.
Article 24.
Municipal Recorders' Courts.
Sec.
7-200.1. Deputy or assistant clerks of court.

Article 28.
Civil Jurisdiction of Recorders' Courts.
7-247. Extent of jurisdiction; cross action or counterclaim in excess of jurisdiction.

SUBCHAPTER VII. GENERAL COUNTY COURTS.
Article 30.
Establishment, Organization and Jurisdiction.
7-255. Application of article.

Article 31.
Practice and Procedure.
7-296. Enforcement of judgments; stay of execution, etc.; retention of jurisdiction in divorce, alimony, custody and support cases.

Article 31A.
With Civil Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $3,000.00; with Criminal Jurisdiction of Offenses below the Grade of Felony.
7-296.1. Establishment upon resolution of county commissioners.
7-296.2. Judge; election, qualification, term of office, etc.
7-296.3. Solicitor; election, duties, term of office, etc.
7-296.4. Superior court clerk as clerk ex officio.
7-296.5. Stenographer.

Sec.
7-296.6. Term of court; calendar.
7-296.7. Civil jurisdiction, extent.
7-296.9. Jury trial.
7-296.10. Practice and procedure.
7-296.11. Criminal appeals to superior court; cases bound over to superior court.
7-296.12. Appeals to superior court in civil actions; time; record; judgment; appeal to Supreme Court.
7-296.13. Enforcement of judgments; stay of execution, etc.
7-296.14. Pending cases; transfer and trial.
7-296.15. Costs and fees.
7-296.16. Abolishing court.
7-296.17. Not construed to repeal provisions of chapter 7.
7-296.18. Statement to be printed on process.

Article 32.
District County Courts.
7-297 to 7-307. [Repealed.]

SUBCHAPTER VIII. CIVIL COUNTY COURTS.
Article 33.
With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $3000.
7-308 to 7-331. [Repealed.]

Article 34.
With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $5000.
7-332 to 7-350. [Repealed.]

Article 35.
With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $1500.
7-351 to 7-383. [Repealed.]

Article 35A.
Additional Method of Establishing County Court.
7-383.1 to 7-383.33. [Repealed.]

SUBCHAPTER I. SUPREME COURT.

ARTICLE 1.
Organization and Terms.

§§ 7-1 to 7-7: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Cross References.—As to Judicial Department of State government, see chapter 7A. As to appellate division of General Court of Justice, consisting of the Supreme Court, see § 7A-5.

Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: “G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act.”
ARTICLE 2.

Jurisdiction.

§§ 7-8 to 7-21: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

ARTICLE 3.

Officers of Court.


Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

§ 7-29.1: Repealed by Session Laws 1965, c. 310, s. 4, effective July 1, 1965.

ARTICLE 4.

Supreme Court Library.

§§ 7-30 to 7-33: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

ARTICLE 5.

Supreme Court Reports.

§§ 7-34, 7-35: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

ARTICLE 6.

Salaries of Supreme Court Employees.


Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."
§ 7-39.1 GENERAL STATUTES OF NORTH CAROLINA § 7-42

ARTICLE 6A.

Retirement of Justices; Recall to Serve as Emergency Justices.


Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

SUBCHAPTER II. SUPERIOR COURTS.

ARTICLE 7.

Organization.

§ 7-40. Number of judges and solicitors.—The State shall be divided into thirty superior court judicial districts and twenty-four solicitorial districts as set out in G. S. 7-68. (Const., art. 4, s. 10; 1913, c. 9, 63; C. S., s. 1429; 1943, c. 134, s. 3; 1955, c. 129, s. 1; 1961, c. 730, s. 5.)

Cross References.—As to superior court division of General Court of Justice, see §§ 7A-39.1 to 7A-106. As to application of articles 7 to 11 of this chapter to superior court division, see § 7A-39.1.

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment, effective July 1, 1955, rewrote this section which formerly provided for twenty-one judicial districts as well as for twenty-one solicitorial districts.

The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, increased the solicitorial districts from twenty-one to twenty-four.

§ 7-42. Salary and expenses of superior court judge.—A judge of the superior court shall receive the annual salary set forth in the Budget Appropriations Act, and in addition shall be allowed thirty-five hundred dollars ($3,500.00) per year, payable monthly, in lieu of necessary travel and subsistence expenses while attending court or transacting official business at a place other than in the county of his residence and in lieu of other professional expenses incurred in the discharge of his official duties. (Code, ss. 918, 3734; 1891, c. 193; 1901, c. 167; 1905; c. 208; Revs., s. 2765; 1907, c. 988; 1909, c. 85; 1911, c. 82; 1919, c. 51; C. S., s. 3884; 1921, c. 25, s. 3; 1925, c. 227; 1927, c. 69, s. 2; 1949, c. 157, s. 1; 1953, c. 1080, s. 1; 1957, c. 1416; 1961, c. 957, s. 2; 1963, c. 839, s. 2; 1965, c. 921, s. 2; 1967, c. 691, s. 40.)

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment increased the salary from $10,000.00 to $11,000.00.

The 1957 amendment rewrote this section and increased the salary from $11,000.00 to $12,000.00 and the expense allowance from $2,500.00 to $3,500.00.

The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, increased the salary from $12,000 to $14,500.

The 1963 amendment, effective July 1, 1963, increased the salary from $14,500.00 to $17,000.00.

The 1965 amendment, effective July 1, 1965, increased the salary from $17,000 to $18,500.00.

The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the first portion of the section, which formerly specified the amount of the salary.
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§ 7-43. Election and term of office of solicitors.

Quoted in National Ass'n for Advancement of Colored People v. Eure, 245 N. C. 331, 93 S. E. (2d) 893 (1957).

§§ 7-43.1 to 7-43.3: Repealed by Session Laws 1965, c. 310, s. 4, effective first Monday in December, 1966.

§ 7-44. Solicitors; compensation.—Effective July 1, 1967, solicitors shall receive, as full compensation for their services as solicitors, thirteen thousand dollars ($13,000.00) per year, except that solicitors who qualify July 1, 1968 as full-time solicitors under G.S. 7-45 (b), shall receive fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00) per year. The salaries set forth in this section shall be in lieu of fees or other compensation, except the expenses allowed in G.S. 7-45. (1879, c. 240, s. 12; Code, s. 3736; Rev., s. 2767; C. S., s. 3890; 1923, c. 157, s. 1; 1933, c. 78, s. 1; 1935, c. 278; 1943, c. 134, s. 4; 1949, c. 189, s. 1; 1953, c. 1079, s. 1; 1957, c. 1389, s. 1; 1961, c. 984; 1963, c. 839, s. 3; 1965, c. 1009, s. 1; 1967, c. 1049, s. 2.)

Cross Reference.—As to amount of solicitors’ fees, see § 6-12.

Editor’s Note.—

The 1953 amendment increased the salary from $6,500.00 to $7,150.00.

The 1957 amendment increased the salary from $7,150.00 to $7,936.00.

The 1961 amendment increased the salary from $7,936.00 to $9,000.00.

The 1963 amendment increased the salary from $9,000.00 to $11,500.00.

§ 7-45. Travel and office expenses of solicitors.—(a) In addition to the salary set forth in G.S. 7-44, each solicitor shall receive the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) per year, as reimbursement for all of his travel and subsistence expenses while engaged in duties connected with his office. This sum shall be paid in equal monthly installments out of the State treasury upon warrants duly drawn thereon.

(b) Solicitors in the following districts may elect to become full-time State employees on July 1, 1968, provided they discontinue the private practice of law and so certify to the Administrative Officer of the Courts by that date: The second, the third, the fourth, the fifth, the sixth, the seventh, the eighth, the ninth, the tenth, ten-A, the eleventh, the twelfth, the thirteenth, fourteen-A, the fifteenth, the sixteenth, the eighteenth, and the nineteenth. Solicitors who qualify under this subsection are entitled to a State allowance of not to exceed four hundred dollars ($400.00) per month per solicitor, to be used to reimburse the solicitor for actual expenditures for office rent, secretarial service, telephone bills, postage, and similar expenses of his office. Reimbursement shall be in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrative Office of the Courts. (1923, c. 157, s. 2; C. S., s. 3890(a); 1933, c. 78, s. 2; 1937, c. 348; 1949, c. 189, s. 2; 1953, ch. 1079, s. 2; 1957, ch. 1389, s. 2; 1965, c. 1009, s. 2; 1967, c. 1049, s. 3.)

Editor’s Note.—

The 1953 amendment substituted “one thousand five hundred dollars” for “fifteen hundred dollars.” The 1957 amendment increased the amount for expenses from $1,500.00 to $2,000.00.

The 1965 amendment, effective July 1, 1965, increased the amount for expenses from $2,000.00 to $3,000.00.

The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote this section.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.
§ 7-46. Judicial districts; resident judge; rotation; special superior court judges; assignment of superior court judges by Chief Justice.

Judicial Notice of Assignment of Judges. —The Supreme Court will take judicial notice of the minute book showing the assignment of judges by the Chief Justice, and will take notice that the superior court judge holding the particular term of court in question had been assigned to hold said term. Staton v. Blanton, 259 N. C. 383, 130 S. E. (2d) 686 (1963).

§§ 7-50 to 7-51.2: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-50, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and classes of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

§ 7-52. Jurisdiction of emergency judges.

Limitations on Jurisdiction.—The power and authority given to emergency judges are to be exercised only "in the courts in which they are assigned to hold." The jurisdiction of an emergency judge "in chambers" terminates with the adjournment or termination of the term of court which he is assigned to hold. Lewis v. Harris, 238 N. C. 614, 78 S. E. (2d) 715 (1953). But the statute places no such limitation on the "in term" jurisdiction of an emergency judge. Strickland v. Kornegay, 240 N. C. 758, 83 S. E. (2d) 903 (1954).


§ 7-54. Special judges.—The Governor of North Carolina may appoint eight persons to be special judges of the superior court of the State of North Carolina. The special judges shall take the same oath of office and otherwise be subject to the same requirements and disabilities as are or may be prescribed by law for judges of the superior court, save the requirement of residence in a particular district. The initial appointments made under this section shall be to terms of office beginning July 1, 1963. These terms of office shall expire June 30, 1967. As the terms of office of the special judges expire, the Governor may appoint successors for terms of four (4) years each. (1927, c. 206, s. 1; 1929, c. 137, s. 1; 1931, c. 29, s. 1; 1933, c. 217, s. 1; 1935, c. 97, s. 1; 1937, c. 72, s. 1; 1939, c. 31, s. 1; 1941, c. 51, s. 1; 1943, c. 58, s. 1; 1945, c. 153, s. 1; 1947, c. 24, s. 1; 1949, c. 681, s. 1; 1951, c. 1119, s. 1; 1953, c. 1322, s. 1; 1955, c. 1016, s. 1; 1959, c. 465; 1961, c. 34; 1963, c. 1170.)

Editor's Note.— Present §§ 7-54 through 7-61 were codified from Session Laws 1983, c. 129, which was practically a re-enactment of the former sections without change except as to dates.

§ 7-55. Removal of special judges; filling vacancies.—Each special judge so appointed by the Governor shall be subject to removal from office for the same causes and in the same manner as regular judges of the superior court; and vacancies occurring in the offices created by §§ 7-54 to 7-61 shall be filled by the Governor in like manner for the unexpired term thereof. (1927, c. 206, s. 2; 1929, c. 137, s. 2; 1931, c. 29, s. 2; 1933, c. 217, s. 2; 1935, c. 97, s. 2; 1937, c. 72, s. 2; 1939, c. 31, s. 2; 1941, c. 51, s. 2; 1943, c. 58, s. 2; 1945, c. 153, s. 2; 1947, c. 24, s. 2; 1949, c. 681, s. 2; 1951, c. 1119, s. 2; 1953, c. 1322, s. 2.)

§ 7-56: Repealed by Session Laws 1955, c. 1016, s. 2.

§ 7-57. Extent of authority.—The authority herein conferred upon the Governor, pursuant to article four, section eleven, of the Constitution of North
§ 7-58. Same power and authority as regular judges.—To the end that such special judges shall have the fullest power and authority sanctioned by article four, section eleven, of the Constitution of North Carolina, such judges are hereby vested, in the courts which they are duly appointed to hold, with the same power and authority in all matters whatsoever that regular judges holding the same courts would have. A special judge duly assigned to hold the court of a particular county shall have during said term of court, in open court and in chambers, the same power and authority of a regular judge in all matters whatsoever arising in that judicial district that could properly be heard or determined by a regular judge holding the same term of court. (1927, c. 206, s. 5; 1929, c. 137, s. 3, 1931, c. 29, s. 5; 1933, c. 217, s. 5; 1935, c. 97, s. 5; 1937, c. 72, s. 5; 1939, c. 31, s. 5; 1941, c. 51, s. 5; 1943, c. 58, s. 5; 1945, c. 153, s. 5; 1947, c. 24, s. 5; 1949, c. 681, s. 5; 1951, c. 78, s. 1; 1951, c. 1119, s. 5; 1953, c. 1322, s. 5.)

Editor's Note.— Charlotte, 239 N. C. 149, 79 S. E. (2d) 748

For consideration of the scope and effect of the 1951 amendments, see Spaugh v.

§ 7-59. Salary and expenses; terms; practice of law.—The special judges so appointed shall receive the same salary and traveling expenses as now are, or may be, paid or allowed to judges of the superior court for holding their regularly assigned courts, and they shall hold all such regular and special terms of court as they may be directed and assigned by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to hold without additional compensation: Provided, that no person appointed under §§ 7-54 to 7-61 shall engage in the practice of law. (1927, c. 206, s. 6; 1929, c. 137, s. 6; 1931, c. 29, s. 6; 1933, c. 217, s. 6; 1935, c. 97, s. 6; 1937, c. 72, s. 6; 1939, c. 31, s. 6; 1941, c. 51, s. 6; 1943, c. 58, s. 6; 1945, c. 153, s. 6; 1947, c. 24, s. 6; 1949, c. 681, s. 6; 1951, c. 491, s. 1; 1951, c. 1119, s. 6; 1953, c. 1322, s. 6.)

§ 7-60. Powers after commission expires.—The special judges herein provided for are hereby fully authorized and empowered to settle cases on appeal and to make all proper orders in regard thereto after the time for which they were commissioned has expired. (1927, c. 206, s. 7; 1929, c. 137, s. 7; 1931, c. 29, s. 7; 1933, c. 217, s. 7; 1935, c. 97, s. 7; 1937, c. 72, s. 7; 1939, c. 31, s. 7; 1941, c. 51, s. 7; 1943, c. 58, s. 7; 1945, c. 153, s. 7; 1947, c. 24, s. 7; 1949, c. 681, s. 7; 1951, c. 1119, s. 7; 1953, c. 1322, s. 7.)

§ 7-61. Effect on sections 7-50 and 7-51.—Nothing in §§ 7-54 to 7-60 shall in any manner affect §§ 7-50 and 7-51. (1927, c. 206, s. 8; 1929, c. 137, s. 8; 1931, c. 29, s. 8; 1933, c. 217, s. 8; 1935, c. 97, s. 8; 1937, c. 72, s. 8; 1939, c. 31, s. 8; 1941, c. 51, s. 8; 1943, c. 58, s. 8; 1945, c. 153, s. 8; 1947, c. 24, s. 8; 1949, c. 681, s. 8; 1951, c. 1119, s. 8; 1953, c. 1322, s. 8.)

§ 7-62. Disposition of motions where judge disqualified.—Whenever the judge before whom any motion is made, either at term time or at chambers, shall disqualify himself from determining it, he may in his discretion refer the same for disposition to the resident judge of any adjoining district or to the resident judge or any judge regularly holding the courts of the same or any adjoining district, who shall have full power and authority to hear and determine the
cause in the same manner as if he were the presiding judge of the district in which the cause arose. (1939, c. 48; 1961, c. 50.)

Editor's Note. — The 1961 amendment inserted immediately after the word "district" in line four the words "or to the resident judge or any judge regularly holding the courts of the same or any adjoining district."

ARTICLE 8.

Jurisdiction.

§ 7-63. Original jurisdiction.

Cross References.

As to original civil jurisdiction of superior and district courts generally, see §§ 7A-240 to 7A-252. As to criminal jurisdiction of superior and district courts, see §§ 7A-270 to 7A-275. As to jurisdiction in juvenile matters, see § 7A-277.

I. IN GENERAL.

General Jurisdiction of Superior Court.—
The superior court is a court of general, State-wide jurisdiction, and has final jurisdiction of all felonies committed within the territorial limits of the State. State v. Jernigan, 255 N. C. 732, 122 S. E. (2d) 711 (1961).


II. ACTIONS EX CONTRACTU.

B. Essentials.

1. The Amount.

a. In General.

Jurisdiction of Justice.—A justice of the peace has exclusive original jurisdiction of causes of action arising ex contractu when the sum demanded is not in excess of $200, and the superior court has no original jurisdiction of such actions. Jenkins v. Winecoff, 267 N.C. 639, 148 S.E.2d 577 (1966).

V. EQUITABLE JURISDICTION.

Generally.—
The superior courts of this State are courts of general jurisdiction, exercising equitable powers. Cocke v. Duke University, 260 N. C. 1, 131 S. E. (2d) 909 (1963).

Trusts.—A superior court may, when all necessary parties are before it, determine questions relating to the administration of trusts operating in this State. Cocke v. Duke University, 260 N. C. 1, 131 S. E. (2d) 909 (1963).

Same—Conflict of Laws.—In determining the right to modify a trust executed in another state, the laws of the other state had to be applied. Cocke v. Duke University, 260 N. C. 1, 131 S. E. (2d) 909 (1963).

§ 7-64. Concurrent jurisdiction.—In all cases in which by statute original jurisdiction of criminal action has been, or may hereafter be, taken from the superior court and vested exclusively in courts of inferior jurisdiction, such exclusive jurisdiction is hereby divested, and jurisdiction of such actions shall be concurrent and exercised by the court first taking cognizance thereof. The provisions of this section shall remain in full force and effect, unless expressly repealed by some subsequent act of the General Assembly, and shall not be repealed by implication or by general repealing clauses in any act of the General Assembly conferring exclusive jurisdiction on inferior courts in misdemeanor cases which may be hereafter enacted. Appeal shall be, as heretofore, to the superior court from all judgments of such inferior courts: Provided that this section shall not apply to the counties of Alleghany, Caswell, Cherokee, Clay, Craven, Davidson, Edgecombe, Gaston, Graham, Granville, Guilford, Harnett, Henderson, Hertford, Iredell, Jones, Lenoir, New Hanover, Pamlico, Rockingham, Scotland, Union and Warren. (1919, c. 299; C. S., s. 1437; 1923, c. 98; 1941, c. 265; 1945, c. 164; c. 628, s. 1; 1953, c. 231; c. 1241, s. 2; 1957, c. 357; 1959, c. 873; 1963, c. 408; 1967, c. 620.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1953 amendment struck out "Gaston" from the list of counties in the proviso. And the second 1953 amendment
§ 7-64

struck out "Surry" from such list, it being the purpose of the amendment to vest concurrent jurisdiction in the Superior Court of Surry County over all actions heretofore vested exclusively in courts of inferior jurisdiction therein. The 1957 amendment reinserted Gaston in the list of counties in the proviso. The 1959 amendment struck out "Currituck," "Dare," "Gates," "Hyde" and "Perquimans" from the list of counties.
The 1963 amendment struck out Cabarrus from the list of counties.
The 1967 amendment struck out Rutherford from the list of counties.

Section Modifies § 7-393.—The exclusive original jurisdiction given criminal county courts by § 7-593 must now be considered as modified by this section, except as to those counties excluded from its provisions. State v. Robbins, 253 N. C. 47, 116 S. E. (2d) 192 (1960).

Court First Taking Cognizance Excludes Other Court.—In accord with original. See State v. Parker, 234 N. C. 236, 66 S. E. (2d) 907 (1951); State v. Rose, 251 N. C. 281, 111 S. E. (2d) 311 (1959).

In criminal actions where two courts have concurrent jurisdiction, the court first acquiring jurisdiction of a case, its power being adequate to the administration of complete justice, retains its jurisdiction of the case and may dispose of the whole case, subject to appellate review, and no court of coordinate authority is at liberty to interfere with its action. State v. Fisher, 270 N.C. 315, 154 S.E.2d 333 (1967).

But Jurisdiction Is Lost by Entering of Nolle Prosequi.—A court which first acquires jurisdiction when a prosecution is commenced therein, loses such jurisdiction by the entering of a nolle prosequi, and thereafter another prosecution may be carried on in another court of co-ordinate jurisdiction. State v. Clayton, 251 N. C. 261, 111 S. E. (2d) 299 (1959).

Different Offenses.—Where the warrant in the case pending in the county court contains a single count, to wit, unlawful possession of non-taxpaid whiskey for the purpose of sale, a violation of § 18-50, and the bill of indictment on which appellant was tried in the superior court contains a single count, to wit, unlawful possession of non-taxpaid whiskey, a violation of § 18-48, a plea in abatement in superior court was properly overruled. State v. Daniels, 244 N. C. 671, 94 S. E. (2d) 799 (1956).

Jurisdiction of Superior Court in Misdemeanor Cases in Excepted Counties.—Since Craven County is one of the counties in which, by this section, exclusive original jurisdiction of general misdemeanors is vested in its inferior courts, any jurisdiction the Superior Court of Craven County obtains in such cases is derivative. State v. White, 246 N. C. 587, 99 S. E. (2d) 772 (1957).


The legislature, in the exercise of its discretion, has denied to the superior court sitting in the counties named in the proviso to this section the right to exercise concurrent jurisdiction with inferior courts in the trial of misdemeanors. Because of the limitations so imposed, the Superior Court of Guilford County could not exercise original jurisdiction of the crime charged and if defendants were to be prosecuted, the prosecution had to originate in a court inferior to the superior court. State v. Cooke, 248 N. C. 485, 103 S. E. (2d) 846 (1958); State v. Covington, 267 N.C. 292, 148 S.E.2d 138 (1966).

The jurisdiction of the superior court over defendant and the subject-matter of the action, on appeal from an inferior court having exclusive original jurisdiction, is wholly derivative. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

An appeal from an inferior court having exclusive original jurisdiction vests the jurisdiction in the superior court; thereafter, all questions of procedure and pleadings, including the form in which the charge is to be stated, come within the purview of the presiding judge. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

At the trial in superior court, on an appeal from an inferior court having exclusive original jurisdiction, the solicitor may amend the warrant, or he may put the defendant on trial under a bill of indictment, charging the same offense, returned in the case. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

Concurrent Jurisdiction. — The Recorder's Court of Columbus County and the Superior Court of Columbus County have concurrent jurisdiction over all misdemeanor cases arising in Columbus County. State v. Fisher, 270 N.C. 315, 154 S.E.2d 333 (1967).

§ 7-65. Jurisdiction in vacation

Editor's Note.—
Neither c. 1119, Session Laws of 1951, nor c. 1322, Session Laws of 1953, repeals this section as amended by c. 78, Session Laws of 1951, giving special judges jurisdiction of chambers matters in the districts of their residences, the later acts being supplemental and not repugnant to the former in regard to the jurisdiction of special judges. Spaugh v. Charlotte, 239 N. C. 149, 79 S. E. (2d) 748 (1954).

“Vacation” or “in Chambers” Jurisdiction.—“It may be said that a regular judge holding the courts of the district has general jurisdiction of all ‘in chambers’ matters arising in the district. The general ‘vacation’ or ‘in chambers’ jurisdiction of a regular judge arises out of his general authority. Usually it may be exercised anywhere in the district and it is never dependent upon and does not arise out of the fact that he is at the time presiding over a designated term of court or in a particular county. As to him, it is limited, ordinarily, to the district to which he is assigned by statute. It may not be exercised even within the district of his residence except when specially authorized by statute.” Baker v. Varser, 239 N. C. 180, 79 S. E. (2d) 757 (1954), quoting Shepard v. Leonard, 223 N. C. 110, 25 S. E. (2d) 445 (1943).

Jurisdiction in Vacation Generally.—
Matters and proceedings not requiring the intervention of a jury, or in which trial by jury has been waived, may be heard in vacation. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).

Concurrent Jurisdiction of Judges.—The resident judge of a judicial district and the judge regularly presiding over the courts of the district and any special judge residing in the district have concurrent jurisdiction in all matters and proceedings wherein the superior court has jurisdiction out of term. In re Burton, 257 N. C. 534, 126 S. E. (2d) 581 (1962).


And an Action Involving Title to a Bank Account.—Since the 1945 and 1951 amendments to this section, the Supreme Court has held that a regular judge has jurisdiction to hear and determine in chambers an action involving title to a bank account in which the answer raised no issues of fact; that a special judge in the county of his residence has jurisdiction to hear and determine a demurrer in chambers, and to hear and determine a controversy without action. Scott v. Scott, 259 N. C. 642, 131 S. E. (2d) 478 (1963).


Or Controversies without Action.—A special judge in the county of his residence has jurisdiction to hear and determine in chambers a controversy without action. Scott v. Scott, 259 N. C. 642, 131 S. E. (2d) 478 (1963).

Mandamus Proceedings.—A regular judge of the superior court while assigned by rotation to hold the courts of the judicial district of his residence has no jurisdiction to hear a petition for mandamus in chambers in another judicial district to which he is not assigned to hold court. Baker v. Varser, 239 N. C. 180, 79 S. E. (2d) 757 (1954).

ARTICLE 9.

Judicial and Solicitorial Districts and Terms of Court.

§ 7-68. Number of judicial and solicitorial districts. — (a) Judicial districts.—The State shall be divided into thirty superior court judicial districts.

The first district shall be composed of the following counties: Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Pasquotank, Perquimans.

The second district shall be composed of the following counties: Beaufort, Hyde, Martin, Tyrrell, Washington.

The third district shall be composed of the following counties: Carteret, Craven, Pamlico, Pitt.

The fourth district shall be composed of the following counties: Duplin, Jones, Onslow, Sampson.

The fifth district shall be composed of the following counties: New Hanover, Pender.

The sixth district shall be composed of the following counties: Bertie, Halifax, Hertford, Northampton.

The seventh district shall be composed of the following counties: Edgecombe, Nash, Wilson.

The eighth district shall be composed of the following counties: Greene, Lenoir, Wayne.

The ninth district shall be composed of the following counties: Franklin, Granville, Person, Vance, Warren.

The tenth district shall be composed of the following county: Wake.

The eleventh district shall be composed of the following counties: Harnett, Johnston, Lee.

The twelfth district shall be composed of the following counties: Cumberland, Hoke.

The thirteenth district shall be composed of the following counties: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus.

The fourteenth district shall be composed of the following county: Durham.

The fifteenth district shall be composed of the following counties: Alamance, Chatham, Orange.

The sixteenth district shall be composed of the following counties: Robeson, Scotland.

The seventeenth district shall be composed of the following counties: Caswell, Rockingham, Stokes, Surry.

The eighteenth district shall be composed of the following county: Guilford.

The nineteenth district shall be composed of the following counties: Cabarrus, Montgomery, Randolph, Rowan.

The twentieth district shall be composed of the following counties: Anson, Moore, Richmond, Stanly, Union.

The twenty-first district shall be composed of the following county: Forsyth.

The twenty-second district shall be composed of the following counties: Alexander, Davidson, Davie, Iredell.

The twenty-third district shall be composed of the following counties: Alleghany, Ashe, Wilkes, Yadkin.

The twenty-fourth district shall be composed of the following counties: Avery, Madison, Mitchell, Watauga, Yancey.

The twenty-fifth district shall be composed of the following counties: Burke, Caldwell, Catawba.

The twenty-sixth district shall be composed of the following county: Mecklenburg.

The twenty-seventh district shall be composed of the following counties: Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln.

The twenty-eighth district shall be composed of the following county: Buncombe.
The twenty-ninth district shall be composed of the following counties: Henderson, McDowell, Polk, Rutherford, Transylvania.

The thirtieth district shall be composed of the following counties: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain.

(b) Solicitorial districts.—In conformity with the Constitution, article IV, section 23, the solicitorial districts shall be constituted and numbered as follows:

The first solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Beaufort, Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Hyde, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Tyrrell.

The second solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Edgecombe, Martin, Nash, Washington, Wilson.

The third solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Bertie, Granville, Halifax, Hertford, Northampton, Vance, Warren.

The fourth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Harnett, Johnston, Lee, Wayne.

The fifth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Pamlico, Pitt.

The sixth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Duplin, Lenoir, Onslow, Sampson.

The seventh solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Franklin, Wake.

The eighth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Brunswick, Columbus, New Hanover, Pender.

The ninth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Cumberland and Hoke.

The ninth-A solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Bladen and Robeson.

The tenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the county of Durham.

The tenth-A solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Alamance, Chatham, Orange, Person.

The eleventh solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Alleghany, Ashe, Forsyth.

The twelfth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Davidson, Guilford.

The thirteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Anson, Moore, Richmond, Scotland, Stanly, Union.

The fourteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Gaston.

The fourteenth-A solicitorial district shall be composed of the county of Mecklenburg.

The fifteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Alexander, Cabarrus, Iredell, Montgomery, Randolph, Rowan.

The sixteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Cleveland, Lincoln, Watauga.

The seventeenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Avery, Davie, Mitchell, Wilkes, Yadkin.

The eighteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Henderson, McDowell, Polk, Rutherford, Transylvania, Yancey.

The nineteenth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Buncombe, Madison.

The twentieth solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain.

The twenty-first solicitorial district shall be composed of the following counties: Caswell, Rockingham, Stokes, Surry. (1913, cc. 63, 196; C. S., s. 1441; 1937, c. 413, s. 1; 1943, c. 134, s. 2; 1955, c. 129, ss. 2, 6; c. 708; 1959, c. 1168, s. 1; c. 1175, s. 1; 1961, c. 730, ss. 1-4½.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1955 amendment, effective July 1, 1955, rewrote this section, which formerly provided for twenty-one judicial dis-
tricts and twenty-one solicitorial districts. Section 6 of the amendatory act has been codified as part of § 7-68, and sections 3, 4 and 5 have been codified as §§ 7-68.1, 7-68.2, and 7-68.3, respectively.

The second 1955 amendment transferred Caswell County from the fifteenth to the seventeenth judicial district.

The first 1959 amendment rewrote the paragraph relating to the ninth solicitorial district and inserted the paragraph relating to the ninth-A solicitorial district. The second 1959 amendment rewrote the paragraph relating to the fourteenth solicitorial district and inserted the paragraph relating to the fourteenth-A solicitorial district.

Session Laws 1959, c. 1168, s. 2, and c. 1175, s. 2, provide that the Governor of North Carolina shall appoint solicitors for solicitorial districts 9A and 14A to serve until the general election of 1960. The solicitors of the present ninth and fourteenth solicitorial districts shall continue to serve as the new solicitors of such districts. In the primary and general elections to be held in the year 1960, candidates shall be nominated and elected to the office of solicitor of solicitorial districts 9A and 14A for the term ending on December 31, 1962. Thereafter the solicitor of said districts shall be nominated and elected at the same time as are the solicitors for the other solicitorial districts of North Carolina for the term of four years.

The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, rewrote the first paragraph under subsection (b) and the paragraph relating to the tenth solicitorial district. It inserted the paragraph covering the tenth-A solicitorial district and transferred Chat- ham County thereto from the fourth solicitorial district. It also transferred Granville County from the tenth to the third solicitorial district. Section 6 of the 1961 amendatory act provides that the Governor shall appoint the solicitor for solicitorial district No. 10-A to serve until the general election of 1962.

Repeal of Subsection (b).—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that subsection (b) and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.


§ 7-68.1. Offices of additional resident judge and resident judge for certain judicial districts created.—There is hereby created the office of additional resident judge of each of the judicial districts herein designated as eighteenth and twenty-sixth, and the office of resident judge of each of the districts herein designated as second, third, ninth, thirteenth, fourteenth, sixteenth, twenty-second, twenty-fourth, and twenty-seventh, effective as of January 1, 1955. The Governor shall appoint an additional resident judge for each of the districts herein designated as eighteenth and twenty-sixth, and a resident judge for each of the districts herein designated as second, third, ninth, thirteenth, fourteenth, sixteenth, twenty-second, twenty-fourth and twenty-seventh, to take office beginning July 1, 1955, and the successors of the Governor’s appointees shall be chosen in the manner prescribed by law for other resident superior court judges in the general election of 1956 to serve for the unexpired portion of the term of eight years which began as of January 1, 1955, and their successors shall be chosen thereafter in the manner and serve for terms as prescribed for other resident superior court judges.

There is hereby created the office of additional resident judge of each of the judicial districts designated as the tenth, twenty-first, and twenty-seventh, effective as of January 1, 1965. The Governor shall appoint an additional resident judge for each of the tenth, twenty-first, and twenty-seventh judicial districts, to take office July 1, 1965. The successors of the Governor’s appointees shall be chosen in the manner prescribed by law for other resident superior court judges in the general election of 1966 to serve for the unexpired portion of the term of eight years which began as of January 1, 1965, and their successors shall be chosen thereafter in the manner and serve for terms as prescribed for other resident superior court judges. (1955, c. 129, s. 3; 1965, c. 654, s. 1.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7-68.
Editor’s Note.—The second paragraph derives from Session Laws 1965, c. 654, which did not expressly amend this section.

§ 7-68.2. Resident judges of judicial districts designated. — The present resident judge of the present first judicial district shall be the resident
§ 7-68.3  **General Statutes of North Carolina**  § 7-68.3

judges of the first judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present second judicial district shall be the resident judge of the seventh judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present third judicial district shall be the resident judge of the sixth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present fourth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the eleventh judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present fifth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the eighth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present sixth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the fourth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present seventh judicial district shall be the resident judge of the tenth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present eighth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the fifth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present ninth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twelfth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present tenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the fifteenth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present eleventh judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twenty-first judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present twelfth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the eighteenth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present thirteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twentieth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present fourteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the nineteenth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present fifteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twenty-fifth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present sixteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twenty-third judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present seventeenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twenty-ninth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present eighteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the twenty-eighth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present nineteenth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the thirtieth judicial district as herein designated; the present resident judge of the present twentieth judicial district shall be the resident judge of the seventeenth judicial district as herein designated. (1955, c. 129,'6) 4.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7-68.

§ 7-68.3. Jurisdiction, authority and status of additional resident judges.—The additional resident judge in each of the districts herein designated as eighteenth and twenty-sixth shall, in respect to the exercise of judicial power have equal jurisdiction, authority and status with the senior resident judge of such district; but all duties placed by the Constitution or statutes on the resident judge of a judicial district, including the appointment to and removal from office, which are not related to a case, controversy, or judicial proceeding and which do not involve the exercise of judicial power, shall be discharged by the resident judge of the judicial district senior in point of continuous service on the superior court; and if two judges be of equal seniority, then by the judge who is senior in point of age.

The additional resident judges of the tenth, twenty-first, and twenty-seventh judicial districts shall, in respect to the exercise of judicial power, have equal jurisdiction, authority and status with the senior resident judges of such districts; but all duties placed by the Constitution or statutes on the resident judge of a
§ 7-68.4 1967 Cumulative Supplement  § 7-69

judicial district, including the appointment to and removal from office, which are
not related to a case, controversy, or judicial proceeding and which do not involve
the exercise of judicial power, shall be discharged by the resident judge of the
judicial district senior in point of continuous service on the superior court; and
if two judges be of equal seniority, then by the judge who is senior in point of
age. (1955, c. 129, s. 5; 1965, c. 654, s. 3.)

Cross reference.—See note to § 7-68. which did not expressly amend this sec-

Editor's Note.—The second paragraph derives from Session Laws 1965, c. 654.

§ 7-68.4. Senior resident judges designated for certain districts.—
The present resident judges of the tenth, twenty-first, and twenty-seventh judicial
districts shall be the senior resident judges of their respective districts. (1965, c.
654, s. 2.)

§ 7-68.5. Additional resident judgeships for twelfth, nineteenth and
twenty-eighth judicial districts created.—There is hereby created the of-

fice of additional resident judge of each of the judicial districts designated as the
twelfth, nineteenth and twenty-eighth, effective as of January 1, 1967. (1967, c.
997, s. 1.)

§ 7-68.6. Second additional resident judgeships for eighteenth and
twenty-sixth judicial districts created.—There is hereby created a second
additional resident judgeship of the eighteenth and twenty-sixth judicial dis-

tricts, effective as of January 1, 1967. (1967, c. 997, s. 2.)

§ 7-68.7. Appointment and terms of additional resident judges of
twelfth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth and twenty-eighth judicial
districts.—The Governor shall appoint an additional resident judge for each of
the twelfth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth, and twenty-eighth judicial dis-

tricts, to take office July 1, 1967. The successors of the Governor's appointees
shall be chosen in the manner prescribed by law for other resident superior court
judges in the general election of 1968 to serve for the unexpired portion of the
term of eight years which began as of January 1, 1967, and their successors shall
be chosen thereafter in the manner and serve for terms as prescribed for other resi-
dent superior court judges. (1967, c. 997, s. 3.)

§ 7-68.8. Senior resident judges of twelfth, nineteenth and twenty-
eighth judicial districts.—The present resident judges of the twelfth, nine-
teenth and twenty-eighth judicial districts shall be the senior resident judges of
their respective districts. (1967, c. 997, s. 4.)

§ 7-68.9. Jurisdiction, authority and status of additional resident
judges of twelfth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth and twenty-
eighth judicial districts.—The additional resident judges of the twelfth, eight-
teenth, nineteenth, twenty-sixth, and twenty-eighth judicial districts shall, in re-
spect to the exercise of judicial power, have equal jurisdiction, authority and
status with the senior resident judges of such districts; but all duties placed by
the Constitution or statutes on the resident judge of a judicial district, including
the appointment to and removal from office, which are not related to a case, contro-
versy, or judicial proceeding and which do not involve the exercise of judicial
power, shall be discharged by the resident judge of the judicial district senior in
point of continuous service on the superior court; and if two judges be of equal
seniority, then by the judge who is senior in point of age. (1967, c. 997, s. 5.)

§ 7-69. Number of judicial divisions.—The State shall be divided into
four judicial divisions. The counties included in judicial districts from one to
eight, both inclusive, shall constitute the first division, the counties included in
judicial districts from nine to sixteen, both inclusive, shall constitute the second
§ 7-70.2 Terms of superior court in cities other than county seats.—

(1) Cities of 35,000 Inhabitants or More. — Terms of the superior court shall be held in each city in the State which is not a county seat and having at any time as many as thirty-five thousand inhabitants, according to the last federal census.

(2) Court Dockets; Summons and Process to Designate Place of Trial.—For the purpose of segregating the cases to be tried in any said city, and to designate the place of trial, the clerk of the superior court of any county having one or more such cities shall set up a criminal docket and a civil docket, which shall contain the cases and proceedings to be tried in each such city in his county. Such dockets, or identification, shall bear on the outside the name of the city in which such terms of court are to be held followed by the word “Division.” Summons in actions to be tried in any such city shall be similarly designated in print, and all other process in connection with proceedings and matters to be heard in said city shall clearly designate the place of trial.

(3) County Divided into Territorial Divisions; Venue.—For the purpose of determining the proper place of trial of any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, the county in which each said city is located shall be divided into territorial divisions, and the territory embraced in the division in which each said city is located shall be the township in which each said city lies and all other townships within such county, each of which have one or more common boundary lines with the township in which each such city is located, such division of the superior court to be known by the name of said city followed by the word “Division,” and all other townships of any such county shall constitute the territorial limits of a division of the superior court to be known by the name of the county seat followed by the word “Division,” and all laws, rules and regulations now or hereafter in force and effect in determining the proper venue as between the superior courts of the several counties of North Carolina shall apply for the purpose of determining the proper place of trial as between said divisions within such county and as between each of said divisions within said county and all other counties or other divisions of the superior court within North Carolina. Actions properly instituted in any municipal or county court cannot be removed to any such division of the superior court except in the following cases: (a) Upon the written consent of all parties litigant, or of their attorneys, or (b) by the judge of such municipal or county court, when in the exercise of his discretion he finds that such removal will promote the ends of justice and the convenience of witnesses.

The clerk of any such superior court may, but shall not be required to, hear matters in any place other than at his office at the county seat.

(4) Grand Jury; Arrangement of Criminal Terms; Petit Jurors.—The grand jury for the several divisions of court of any county in which any said city is located shall be drawn from the whole county, and may hold hearings and meetings...
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at either the county seat or elsewhere within the county as it may elect, or as it may be directed by the judge holding any term of superior court within such county: Provided, however, that in arranging the terms of the court for the trial of criminal cases for any county in which any said city is located a term of one week or more to be held at the county seat shall precede any term of one week or more to be held in any such city, so as to facilitate the work of the grand jury, and so as to confine the holding of its hearings and meetings to the county seat as fully as may be practicable.

All petit jurors for all terms of court in the several divisions of said county shall be drawn, as now or hereafter provided by law, from the whole of the county in which any said city is located for all terms of courts in the several divisions of said county.

(5) Special Terms.—Special terms of court for the trial of either civil or criminal cases in any said city may be arranged as by law now or hereafter provided for special terms of the superior court.

(6) Records; Judgment Not Lien until Docketed; Section 1-233 Not Affected.—All court records of all such divisions of the superior court of any such county shall be kept in the office of the clerk of the superior court at the county seat, but they may be temporarily removed under the direction and supervision of the clerk to any said division or divisions. No judgment or order rendered at any term held in any such city shall become a lien upon or otherwise affect the title to any real estate within such county until same has been docketed in the office of the clerk of the superior court at the county seat as now or may hereafter be provided by law: Provided, that nothing herein shall affect the provisions of §1-233 and the equities therein provided for shall be preserved as to all judgments and orders rendered at any term of the superior court in any such city.

(7) Providing Place for Holding Court; Payment of Certain Expenses.—It shall be the duty of the board of county commissioners of the county in which any such city is located to provide a suitable place for holding such terms of court, and to provide for the payment of the extra expense, if any, of the clerk and his deputies and the sheriff and his deputies in attending the terms of court of any such division, and the expense of keeping, housing and feeding prisoners while awaiting trial. (1943, c. 121.)

§§ 7-71 to 7-71.2: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 12.

Cross Reference.—See Editor's note to § 7-70.

§ 7-73. No criminal business at civil terms.

Motion for New Trial in Criminal Case May Not Be Determined at Civil Term.—When this section and § 7-70 are construed in pari materia, the legislative intent is clear that a motion which, if allowed, would set aside a verdict and judgment in a case on the criminal docket, specifically, a motion for a new trial on the ground of newly discovered evidence, may not be determined at a term expressly restricted by statute as a term "for the trial of civil cases only." Such a motion is for determination at a term of the court (in which the verdict and judgment to which the motion is addressed were rendered) provided for the trial of criminal cases. State v. Renfrow, 247 N. C. 55, 100 S. E. (2d) 315 (1957).

This Section and Former § 7-70 Con- strued in Pari Materia.—See State v. Renfrow, 247 N. C. 55, 100 S. E. (2d) 315 (1957).

§ 7-74. Rotation of judges.—Each regular judge of the superior court shall reside in the judicial district for which he is elected. For the fall term of superior court of the year one thousand nine hundred fifty-five, each judge shall hold the courts of the district in which he resides. Thereafter, each judge shall preside in the several districts successively within the judicial division in which the district wherein he resides is situated. The judge presiding in a district for the spring term shall hold the courts which are scheduled between January and
June, both inclusive, and the judge presiding in a district for the fall term shall hold the courts which are scheduled between July and December, both inclusive. Provided, that two judges shall preside over the courts of the eighteenth and twenty-sixth judicial districts, in the following manner: For the fall term, one thousand nine hundred fifty-five, the senior resident judges of the eighteenth and twenty-sixth districts shall hold Schedule "B" courts and the junior resident judges of said districts shall hold schedule "A" courts in their respective districts. For the spring term, one thousand nine hundred fifty-six, the senior resident judges of the eighteenth and twenty-sixth districts shall hold the courts of the nineteenth and twenty-seventh districts, respectively, and the junior resident judges of the eighteenth and twenty-sixth districts shall hold schedule "B" courts in said districts. Thereafter, in the same manner, the judges presiding in the eighteenth and twenty-sixth districts shall hold the courts of schedule "A" and schedule "B" successively and shall rotate to the next succeeding district after holding the courts of schedule "B". (Const. art. 4, s. 11; R. C., c. 31, s. 20; 1876-7, c. 27; 1879, c. 11; Code, s. 1911; 1885, c. 180; 1901, c. 28, ss. 4, 9; Rev., s. 1509; 1913, c. 196, ss. 4, 5, 6, 9; 1915, c. 15, ss. 3, 4; C. S., s. 1446; 1937, c. 413, s. 1; 1955, c. 1193, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment, effective July 1, 1955, rewrote this section.

Jurisdiction of "in Chambers" Matters.—
A regular superior court judge assigned to a district has jurisdiction of all "in chambers" matters arising in the district, but such jurisdiction is limited to such matters. Baker v. Varser, 239 N. C. 180, 79 S. E. (2d) 757 (1954).

Cross Reference.—See Editor's note to § 7-70.

Article 10.

Special Terms of Court.


Editor's Note.—Section 12, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, provides: "G.S. 7-1 through 7-39.15 (chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6A), 7-50, 7-51, 7-51.1, 7-51.2, 7-70, 7-70.1, 7-71, 7-71.1, 7-71.2, 7-75, 7-79, and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act, are hereby repealed, except to the extent temporarily necessary to effectuate the transitional provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."

§ 7-80. Notice of special terms.—Whenever the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall call a special term of the superior court for any county, he shall notify the chairman of the board of commissioners of the county of such call, and such chairman shall take immediate steps to cause competent persons to be drawn and summoned as jurors for said term; and also to advertise the term at the courthouse and at one public place in every township of his county, or by publication of at least one week in some newspaper published in his county in lieu of such township advertisement. (1868-9, c. 273; Code, s. 915; Rev., s. 1513; C. S., s. 1452; 1951, c. 491, s. 1; 1959, c. 360.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1959 amendment substituted "one week" for "two weeks."
§ 7-86. Reading the minutes.
Cited in Stamey v. Seaboard Airline R.R.,

§ 7-89. Court reporters.—The resident judge of each judicial district is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint an official court reporter for one or more or all of the counties in his district who shall serve at the will of the resident judge, and whose appointment may be terminated by 30 days' written notice thereof.

The appointment of such reporter or reporters shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the superior court of each county in said district in which said reporter is to officiate, and the same, or a certified copy thereof, shall be recorded by said clerk on the minute docket of his court.

Before entering upon the discharge of the duties of said office, said reporter shall take and subscribe an oath in words substantially as follows: "I, ........

., do solemnly swear that I will, to the best of my ability, discharge the duties of the office of court reporter in and for the county of ............... in the .......... judicial district, and will faithfully transcribe the testimony offered in said courts as the presiding judge may direct, or as I may be required to do under the law, so help me, God." Said oath shall be filed in the office of each of the clerks of the superior courts of the counties in which said reporter is to officiate, and recorded and indexed on the minute dockets of said courts.

If on account of sickness, or for other cause, said reporter is unable to attend upon any of the regular courts of said districts, and for conflict and special terms, the resident judge may appoint a reporter pro tem for said court or courts, and said appointment shall appear upon the minutes of said term, and said reporter shall take and subscribe the oath referred to above, which oath shall be filed with the clerk. In lieu of appointing a reporter pro tem for each of said courts, the resident judge may, in his discretion, appoint a reporter pro tem for a stated period whose duty it shall be to report any and all courts in the county or counties designated in the appointment, which the regular court reporter is for any cause unable to report.

The board of county commissioners of each county shall fix the compensation which such reporter and such reporter pro tem shall receive while engaged in the performance of his duties in said county.

The duties of the office of court reporter or reporter pro tem in each district shall be prescribed by the resident judge of said district.

The testimony taken and transcribed by said court reporter or said court reporter pro tem, as the case may be, and duly certified, either by said reporter or the presiding judge at the trial of the cause, may be offered in evidence in any of the courts of this State as the deposition of the witness whose testimony is taken and transcribed, in the same manner, and under the same rule governing the introduction of depositions in civil actions.

This section shall not apply to any county for which provision for the appointment of a court reporter is made by law elsewhere; provided however, that in the following named counties the county commissioners shall have the authority to appoint, terminate the appointment and reappoint a court reporter and a reporter pro tem, and fix the compensation therefor: Anson, Ashe, Bladen, Buncombe, Caldwell, Carteret, Cleveland, Craven, Davidson, Franklin, Gaston, Greene, Halifax, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Jackson, Lenoir, Lincoln, Mitchell, Moore, Nash, Northampton, Orange, Pender, Person, Rockingham, Sampson, Surry, Union, Vance, Warren, Yadkin. (Ex. Sess. 1913, c. 69; C. S., s. 1461; Ex.
§ 7-90 to 7-92: Repealed by Session Laws 1955, c. 1317, s. 1.

Editor's Note.—The repealing act became effective July 1, 1955. Repealed § 7-92 had been amended by c. 742 of the 1955 Session Laws.

§ 7-92.1. Official court reporter for eleventh judicial district.—The resident judge of the eleventh judicial district is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint an official court reporter for one or more or all of the counties in said district who shall serve at the will of the resident judge, and whose appointment may be terminated by 30 days' written notice thereof.

The appointment of such reporter or reporters shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the superior court of each county in said district in which said reporter is to officiate, and the same, or a certified copy thereof, shall be recorded by said clerk on the minute docket of his court.

Before entering upon the discharge of the duties of said office, said reporter shall take and subscribe an oath in words substantially as follows: "I, ........ , do solemnly swear that I will, to the best of my ability, discharge the duties of the office of court reporter in and for the county of ............ in the eleventh judicial district, and will faithfully transcribe the testimony offered in said courts as the presiding judge may direct, or as I may be required to do under the law, so help me, God." Said oath shall be filed in the office of each of the clerks of the superior courts of the counties in which said reporter is to officiate, and recorded and indexed on the minute dockets of said courts.

If on account of sickness, or for other cause, said reporter is unable to attend upon any of the regular courts of said district, and for conflict and special terms, the resident judge may appoint a reporter pro tem for said court or courts, and said appointment shall appear upon the minutes of said term, and said reporter shall take and subscribe the oath referred to above, which oath shall be filed with the clerk. In lieu of appointing a reporter pro tem for each of said courts, the resident judge may, in his discretion, appoint a reporter pro tem for a stated period whose duty it shall be to report any and all courts in the county or counties designated in the appointment, which the regular court reporter is for any cause unable to report.

The resident judge shall likewise fix the compensation to be received by such reporter and such reporter pro tem: Provided, however, such compensation shall not exceed one hundred fifty dollars ($150.00) per week and actual expenses upon a weekly basis, to be paid by the county for which the court is calendared.

The testimony taken and transcribed by said court reporter or said court reporter pro tem, as the case may be, and duly certified, either by said reporter or the presiding judge at the trial of the cause, may be offered in evidence in any of the courts of this State as the deposition of the witness whose testimony is so taken and transcribed, in the same manner, and under the same rule governing the introduction of depositions in civil actions. (1955, c. 1034; 1967, c. 626.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this section became effective July 1, 1955.

The 1967 amendment substituted "one hundred fifty dollars ($150.00) per week" for "twenty-five dollars ($25.00) per day" in the fifth paragraph.
§ 7-92.2. Official court reporter for fourth judicial district.—The resident judge of the fourth judicial district, which is composed of the counties of Duplin, Jones, Onslow and Sampson, is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint an official court reporter for one or more or all of the counties in his district who shall serve at the will of the resident judge, and whose appointment may be terminated by thirty days' written notice thereof.

The appointment of such reporter or reporters shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the superior court of each county in said district in which said reporter is to officiate, and the same, or a certified copy thereof, shall be recorded by said clerk on the minute docket of his court.

Before entering upon the discharge of the duties of said office, said reporter shall take and subscribe an oath in words substantially as follows: "I, ........., do solemnly swear that I will, to the best of my ability, discharge the duties of the office of court reporter in and for the county of ................. in the fourth judicial district, and will faithfully transcribe the testimony offered in said courts as the presiding judge may direct, or as I may be required to do under the law, so help me God." Said oath shall be filed in the office of each of the clerks of the superior courts of the counties in which said reporter is to officiate, and recorded and indexed on the minute dockets of said courts.

If on account of sickness, or for any other cause, said reporter is unable to attend upon any of the regular courts of said district, and for conflict and special terms, the resident judge may appoint a reporter pro tem for said court or courts, and said appointment shall appear upon the minutes of said term, and said reporter shall take and subscribe the oath referred to above, which oath shall be filed with the clerk. In lieu of appointing a reporter pro tem for each of said courts, the resident judge may, in his discretion, appoint a reporter pro tem for a stated period whose duty it shall be to report any and all courts in the county or counties designated in the appointment, which the regular court reporter is for any cause unable to report.

The compensation for such reporter and reporter pro tem shall be fixed by the resident judge of the said district at a sum not to exceed one hundred fifty dollars ($150.00) per week, and in addition to the said compensation the reporter or reporter pro tem, when engaged in duties prescribed by the resident judge, shall receive a per diem allowance of a sum up to twelve dollars ($12.00) per diem for his expenses incurred away from his county of residence, such per diem allowance to be also fixed by the resident judge. Such reporter and reporter pro tem shall be allowed a mileage allowance of eight cents (8¢) per mile to and from the residence of such reporter or reporter pro tem to the courts in said district.

The duties of the office of court reporter or reporter pro tem in said district, in addition to reporting cases tried, shall be prescribed by the resident judge of said district.

The testimony taken and transcribed by said court reporter or said court reporter pro tem, as the case may be, and duly certified, either by said reporter or the presiding judge at the trial of the cause, may be offered in evidence in any of the courts of this State as the deposition of the witness whose testimony is taken and transcribed, in the same manner, and under the same rule governing the introduction of depositions in civil actions. (1961, cc. 13, 595; 1965, c. 73; 1967, c. 635.)

Editor's Note.—Sessions Laws 1961, c. 595, deleted the words "one way" near the end of the fifth paragraph and inserted in lieu thereof the words "to and."

The 1965 amendment increased the per diem in the fifth paragraph from $9 to $10 and the mileage allowance in such paragraph from 7¢ to 10¢.

The 1967 amendment increased the maximum compensation of the reporter and reporter pro tem from $125 per week to $150 per week and the maximum per diem from $10 to $12 and reduced the mileage allowance from 10¢ per mile to 8¢ per mile.
§ 7-92.3. Official court reporter for twenty-fourth judicial district.  
—The resident judge of the twenty-fourth judicial district, which is composed of the counties of Avery, Madison, Mitchell, Watauga and Yancey, is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint an official court reporter for each, or one or more, or all of the counties in his district who shall serve at the will of the resident judge, and whose appointment may be terminated by thirty (30) days' written notice thereof.  

The notice of appointment of such reporter or reporters shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the superior court of each county in said district in which said reporter is to officiate, and the same, or a certified copy thereof, shall be recorded by said clerk on the minute docket of his court.  

Before entering upon the discharge of the duties of said office, said reporter shall take and subscribe an oath in words substantially as follows: "I, .................. do solemnly swear that I will, to the best of my ability, discharge the duties of the office of court reporter in and for the county of ............., in the twenty-fourth judicial district, and will faithfully transcribe the testimony offered in said courts as the presiding judge may direct, or as I may be required to do under the law, so help me God." Said oath shall be filed in the office of each of the clerks of the superior courts of the counties in which said reporter is to officiate, and recorded and indexed on the minute dockets of said courts.  

If on account of sickness, or for any other cause, said reporter is unable to attend upon any of the regular courts of said district, and for conflict and special terms, the resident judge may appoint a reporter pro tem for said court or courts, and said appointment shall appear upon the minutes of said term, and said reporter shall take and subscribe the oath referred to above, which oath shall be filed with the clerk. In lieu of appointing a reporter pro tem for each of said courts, the resident judge may, in his discretion, appoint a reporter pro tem for a stated period whose duty it shall be to report any and all courts in the county or counties designated in the appointment, which the regular court reporter is for any cause unable to report.  

The compensation for such reporter and reporter pro tem shall be fixed by the resident judge of the said district at a sum not to exceed one hundred twenty-five dollars ($125.00) per week upon a weekly basis and actual expenses incurred away from his county of residence while engaged in his official duties. Such reporter or reporter pro tem shall receive a mileage allowance of seven cents (7¢) per mile to and from the residence of such reporter or reporter pro tem to the courts in said district. The bill for such actual expenses and mileage shall be inspected and approved for payment by the presiding judge.  

Each county in which a reporter serves shall be responsible for the salary, expenses and mileage of such reporter with respect to services performed in that county. Each week in which a court sits shall be deemed a whole week for purposes of computing and paying the compensation of a reporter.  

The duties of the office of court reporter or reporter pro tem in said district, in addition to reporting cases tried, shall be prescribed by the resident judge of said district.  

The testimony taken and transcribed by said court reporter or said court reporter pro tem, as the case may be, and duly certified, either by said reporter or the presiding judge at the trial of the cause, may be offered in evidence in any of the courts of this State as the deposition of the witness whose testimony is taken and transcribed, in the same manner and under the same rule governing the introduction of depositions in civil actions. (1963, c. 128.)  

Editor's Note.—The act adding this section became effective July 1, 1963.  

§ 7-92.4: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.
§ 7-101  Establishment by county or city or both. — The board of county commissioners of any county or the governing body of any incorporated city shall have authority to establish a "domestic relations court", which court may be a joint county and city court, as provided in § 7-102 or a court for the county or city as may be determined by the governing authorities. In counties with two or more cities, any city may join any other city or cities in such county in establishing a domestic relations court, or any number of cities may join the county in which they are situate in establishing a domestic relations court.

The board of county commissioners of any of a group of counties, not exceeding five, with abutting boundaries, or the governing body of any incorporated city within the boundaries of the cooperating counties, shall have authority to establish a joint domestic relations court as provided in § 7-102 or a court for the counties cooperating in the establishment of such court, or city or cities within such counties as may be determined by the governing bodies. Any number of cities may join the counties in which they are situate in establishing a domestic relations court.

As used in this section, "city" means any incorporated city or town with a population of at least five thousand as shown by the latest decennial census. (1929, c. 343, s. 1; 1949, cc. 420, 957; 1951, c. 1111, s. 2; 1955, c. 1018, s. 1.)

Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1959, c. 1290, s. 1.

Cross References.—As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior courts, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3. As to family court services of district courts, see § 7A-134. As to domestic relations jurisdiction of district courts, see § 7A-244. As to jurisdiction of district courts over juveniles, see § 7A-277.

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment inserted the second paragraph.

§ 7-102. Vote on establishment of court; any other city in county with required population may have such court. — In case the board of county commissioners and governing authorities of a particular city decide to establish a joint city and county domestic relations court, they, voting as separate bodies, shall determine whether or not such domestic relations court shall be established. If both bodies shall vote for its establishment, each of them shall record the resolutions in their minutes and upon such consent by both boards, the court shall be established. In counties in which the said joint court is thus established by the board of county commissioners and the governing authorities of the county and city such establishment of the court shall not prevent any other city within the territorial limits of the county and having more than twenty-five thousand inhabitants, establishing its own court under § 7-101.

If two or more counties, not exceeding five, cooperate in the joint establishment of such court, the boards of commissioners of such cooperating counties and the governing authorities of cities and towns therein shall follow the same procedure for the establishment of such court as is provided in the preceding paragraph. (1929, c. 343, s. 2; 1955, c. 1018, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment added the second paragraph.

§ 7-103. Jurisdiction.

(c) All cases involving the custody of juveniles, including the authority to make orders concerning tuition and maintenance of said juveniles, except where the case is tried in superior court as a part of any divorce proceeding.
§ 7-104. Election of judge and term of office; vacancy appointments; judge to select clerk; juvenile court officers may be declared officers of new court.—It shall be the duty of the board of commissioners of any county and the governing board of any city, in which a joint court of domestic relations is established, as provided in this article, or of the governing authorities of any city or county in which an independent domestic relations court shall be established, as provided in this article, acting jointly, in the first instance, or independently, in the second instance, to elect a judge of the domestic relations court and to fix his salary and provide for the payment of same, his term of office to run from the time of his election to the second Monday in July in each odd-numbered year and until his successor shall have been elected and qualified. The regular term of office shall be for a term of two years and until his successor is elected and qualified. If any vacancy should occur in said office during the two years’ term, for any cause, it shall be filled for the
unexpired term in the same manner and by the same bodies as provided for the election of said judge.

When two or more counties cooperate in the establishment of such court, it shall be the duty of the boards of commissioners of such counties and the governing authorities of cities and towns within such counties, acting jointly, to elect a judge of such court and to fix his salary and provide for the payment of same, and his term of office shall be as provided in the preceding paragraph. The boards of commissioners of the said counties and the governing bodies of cities and towns shall determine the proportionate share of the salary of such judge and the other expenses of such court to be paid by the governmental units cooperating. The judge of such court shall select a location for the court headquarters at a county seat where all the court records shall be kept and maintained, and such judge shall schedule hearings at the county seats of the cooperating counties as he shall determine the need to be, and file such schedule with the welfare department of each cooperating county.

It shall be the duty of the judge of the domestic relations court to appoint a clerk and such number of deputy clerks as are needed for said court, the salary of said clerk and deputy clerks to be fixed, provided for, and paid by the board of county commissioners of any of such counties and the governing board of any of such cities, acting jointly, or independently when a joint county and city court is not established.

And the officers of the juvenile court of any of such cities and of any such counties, as now constituted by law may be declared to be officers of the domestic relations court.

The probation officers of domestic relations court and their method of appointment shall be the same as now provided for in § 110-31, for probation officers of the juvenile court. The salaries of said probation officers, and the necessary equipment for the proper maintenance and functioning of said court, shall be a charge upon such county and such city jointly, or upon the county or city, if it is an independent court.

Wherever a domestic relations court is established a substitute judge of said court may be appointed in the same manner as the regular judge of said court. Such substitute judge shall serve during the absence, illness or other temporary disability of the regular judge, and while serving shall have the same power and authority as the regular judge. Such substitute judge shall receive such compensation, on a per diem basis, as shall be determined and provided by the governing body or bodies appointing him. (1929, c. 343, s. 4; 1931, c. 221, s. 1; 1943, c. 470, s. 2; 1955, c. 1018, s. 3; 1967, c. 962, ss. 1, 2.)


Editor’s Note. — The 1955 amendment directed that the second paragraph be inserted to follow the first paragraph of this section. The 1967 amendment inserted “and such number of deputy clerks as are needed” preceding “for said court” in the present third paragraph and inserted “and deputy clerks” preceding “to be fixed” in that paragraph.

§ 7-106. Procedure, practice and punishments.—The procedure, practice, and punishments imposed in the domestic relations court as established in this article shall be the same as now provided by law in courts now having original jurisdiction of the various offenses or causes enumerated in this article, and the judge of the said domestic relations court is hereby granted the power to prescribe such rules and fix such modes of procedure, as, in his discretion, will best effect the purposes for which said court is created.

Such court, when established, shall adopt an official seal, shall keep and preserve adequate dockets and other records of its proceedings, and shall be a court of record. The judge, and clerk and deputy clerks of said court shall have power
to administer oaths and to issue warrants and other process in said court. (1929, c. 343, s. 6; 1943, c. 470, s. 3; 1967, c. 962, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1967 amendment included deputy clerks in the last sentence.

§ 7-108. Offenses before court to be petty misdemeanors; demand for jury trial; appearance bonds.
Local Modification.—Forsyth: 1959, c. 1290, s. 2.

§ 7-108.1. Docketing judgments forfeiting bonds.—A transcript of any judgment of a domestic relations court rendering absolute a bond forfeiture may be docketed in the office of the clerk of superior court of the county in which said judgment was rendered, and, when so docketed, said judgments shall have the full force and effect of all judgments docketed in the superior court. (1965, c. 989.)

§ 7-111. Discontinuance of court.
Local Modification.—Guilford: 1959, c. 1071; Wake: 1953, c. 469.

SUBCHAPTER V. JUSTICES OF THE PEACE.

Article 14.

Election and Qualification.

§ 7-112. Constitution, article seven, abrogated; exceptions.
Cross References.—As to abolition of office of justice of the peace, see § 7A-176.
As to magistrates, see §§ 7A-170 to 7A-175.

§ 7-113. Election and number of justices.

§ 7-114. Oath of office; vacancies filled.

§ 7-114.1. Bond required.—(a) Amount and Conditions; Premiums.—Every justice of the peace shall, before exercising any of the functions of his office, furnish a bond, either corporate or personal, with good and sufficient surety, approved by the clerk of the superior court, in the amount of one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) payable to the State of North Carolina and conditioned upon the faithful performance of his duties and upon a correct and proper accounting for all funds coming into his hands by virtue or color of his office. Premiums on such bonds shall be paid by the justice of the peace concerned.

(b) Penalty for Violation.—Any person exercising any of the Official functions of a justice of the peace without having first complied with the provisions of this section shall be subject to a penalty of one hundred dollars ($100.00) for every such violation, such penalty to be recoverable in a civil action by any taxpayer of the county in which such violation occurs.

(c) Counties Exempted.—This section shall not apply to Alleghany, Ashe, Bertie, Bladen, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Caswell, Chatham, Clay, Columbus, Dare, Davie, Duplin, Franklin, Granville, Guilford, Harnett, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Jackson, Johnston, Lee, Lincoln, McDowell, Mitchell, Montgomery, Northampton, Onslow, Pamlico, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Randolph, Robeson, Rowan, Scotland, Transylvania, Tyrrell, Vance, Yadkin and Yancey counties.
§ 7-115. Appointment and removal by resident judge.—In addition to other methods provided by law for appointment or election of a justice of the peace, the resident judge of the superior court of the district in which a county is situated may, from time to time at his discretion, appoint one or more fit persons as justice of the peace in said county who shall hold office for two years from and after the date of appointment: Provided, that the appointing judge shall find to his satisfaction that there is then existing a need for such additional justice or justices of the peace. The appointing judge shall issue to each justice of the peace so appointed a certification in writing of such appointment, a copy of which shall be filed with the clerk of the court, before whom shall be taken and subscribed the oath of office, and the clerk shall note on his minutes the qualification of the justice of the peace. For such qualification the clerk shall collect a fee of seven dollars and fifty cents ($7.50) which shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue at the time required for remitting the taxes collected pursuant to G. S. 105-93 for the use of the General Fund.

Any justice of the peace so appointed may, after due notice and hearing, be removed from office by the resident judge of the superior court of the district in which the county is situated, for misfeasance, malfeasance, nonfeasance or other good cause.

Any person holding himself out to the public as a justice of the peace, or any person attempting to act in such capacity after his appointment shall have been revoked, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction be punishable in the discretion of the court, as provided for in other misdemeanors. (1917, c. 40; C. S., s. 1468; 1927, c. 116; 1955, c. 910, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment, effective July 1, 1955, rewrote this section which formerly authorized the Governor to appoint justices of the peace.

Section Cumulative.—This section does not purport to repeal and abrogate the other general methods of electing and appointing justices of the peace. It specifically provides that it is in addition to all other methods of appointment. McIntyre v. Clarkson, 254 N. C. 510, 119 S. E. (2d) 888 (1961).

The failure of a justice of the peace to collect fees for the service of civil process upon the issuance of the process at the instance of certain business firms, and his action in waiting until the end of the month to collect such fees, is insufficient to support a finding of malfeasance or bad faith on the part of such justice of the peace which would justify his removal from office, any monetary loss from such practice being recoverable by action against such justice of the peace personally and on his official bond. Swain v. Creasman, 255 N. C. 546, 122 S. E. (2d) 358 (1961).

This section and §§ 128-16 through 128-20 are not in pari materia. State ex rel. Swain v. Creasman, 260 N. C. 163, 132 S. E. 2d 304 (1963). This section, relating to the removal of a justice of the peace by the resident judge appointing him, is restricted in its scope and provides a procedure different from that specified in §§ 128-16 through 128-20. State ex rel. Swain v. Creasman, 260 N. C. 163, 132 S. E. 2d 304 (1963).

Where a petition for removal from office of a justice of the peace was heard by the resident judge who appointed him, and the judgment recites that the petition was heard under the provisions of this section and the judge heard the proceeding in chambers after notice to the justice of the peace, instead of fixing the hearing at the next term after the petition was filed, it was held that the proceeding was under this section and not under §§ 128-16 through 128-20. State ex rel. Swain v. Creasman, 260 N. C. 163, 132 S. E. 2d 304 (1963).

Justice of the peace is not entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees upon final judgment in his favor in a proceeding under this section to remove him from office, since this section, unlike § 128-20, makes
§ 7-120.1 General Statutes of North Carolina § 7-129


The provisions of § 128-20, relating to the recovery of costs and attorney's fees, are not applicable to a proceeding under this section. State ex rel. Swain v. Creasman, 260 N.C. 163, 132 S.E.2d 304 (1963).


Article 14A.
Appointment by Judge and Abolition of Fee System.

§§ 7-120.1 to 7-120.11: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.

Article 15.

Jurisdiction.

§ 7-121. Jurisdiction in actions on contract.

I. ACTIONS EX CONTRACTU.

Jurisdiction of Superior Court.—

In accord with 2nd paragraph in original. See Coble v. Reap, 269 N.C. 229, 152 S.E.2d 219 (1967).

A justice of the peace has exclusive original jurisdiction of causes of action arising ex contractu when the sum demanded is not in excess of $200, and the superior court has no original jurisdiction of such actions. Jenkins v. Winecoff, 267 N.C. 639, 148 S.E.2d 577 (1966).

Whether Action in Tort or on Contract.—

Where plaintiff's allegations were to the effect that he purchased specified items of personalty from defendant and made a partial payment under agreement that he would pay the balance when he picked up the articles, and that defendant thereafter sold the personalty to a third party, to plaintiff's actual damage in the amount of $70, the complaint was sufficient to allege a cause of action in tort for conversion, and defendant's demurrer to the jurisdiction on the ground that the action was ex contractu and within the exclusive original jurisdiction of a justice of the peace, should have been overruled. Coble v. Reap, 269 N.C. 229, 152 S.E.2d 219 (1967).

§ 7-122. Jurisdiction in actions not on contract. — Justices of the peace shall have concurrent jurisdiction of civil actions not founded on contract, wherein the value of the property in controversy does not exceed fifty dollars: Provided, however, that justices of the peace shall have concurrent jurisdiction in claim and delivery proceedings wherein the value of the property in controversy does not exceed two hundred dollars ($200.00) and provided, further, that the plaintiff or petitioner in such action has a vendor-vendee relationship with the defendant with respect to the property in question. (Const., art. 4, s. 27; Code, s. 887; Rev., s. 1420; C. S., s. 1474; 1963, c. 383.)

Cross Reference.—Jurisdictional Amount for Counterclaims.—For note on problem arising from counterclaim exceeding jurisdictional limit of court, see 32 N. C. Law Rev. 231.


§ 7-124. Title to real estate in controversy as a defense.


§ 7-127. Justice may act anywhere in county.

Local Modification.—Harnett: 1959, c. 567; Sampson: 1957, c. 1354.

§ 7-129. Jurisdiction in criminal actions. — Justices of the peace have exclusive original jurisdiction of all assaults, assaults and batteries, and affrays, where no deadly weapon is used and no serious damage is done, and of all crimi-
nal matters arising within their counties, where the punishment prescribed by law does not exceed a fine of fifty dollars or imprisonment for thirty days: Provided, that justices of the peace shall have no jurisdiction over assaults with intent to kill, or assaults with intent to commit rape, except as committing magistrates: Provided further, that nothing in this section shall prevent the superior or criminal courts from finally hearing and determining such affrays as shall be committed within one mile of the place where and during the time such court is being held; nor shall this section be construed to prevent said courts from assuming jurisdiction of all offenses whereof exclusive original jurisdiction is given to justices of the peace if some justice of the peace, within twelve months after the commission of the offense, shall not have proceeded to take official cognizance of the same. (Const., art. 4, s. 27; Code, s. 892; 1889, c. 504, s. 2; Rev., s. 1427; C. S., s. 1481; 1955, c. 1345, s. 3.)

Editor's Note. — The 1955 amendment Cited in State v. Wilkes, 233 N. C. 645, inserted the word "not" in line five. 65 S. E. (2d) 129 (1951).


ARTICLE 17.

Fees.

§ 7-134. Fees of justices of the peace.—Justices of the peace shall receive the following fees, and none other: For attachment with one defendant, twenty-five cents, and if more than one defendant, ten cents for each additional defendant; transcript of judgment, ten cents; summons, twenty cents; if more than one defendant in the same case, for each additional defendant, ten cents; subpoena for each witness, ten cents; trial when issues are joined, seventy-five cents, and if no issues are joined, then a fee of forty cents for trial and judgment; taking an affidavit, bond or undertaking, or for an order of publication, or an order to seize property, twenty-five cents; for jury trial and entering verdict, seventy-five cents; execution, twenty-five cents; renewal of execution, ten cents; return to an appeal, thirty cents; order of arrest in civil actions, twenty-five cents; warrant of arrest in criminal and bastardy cases, including affidavit or complaint, fifty cents; warrant of commitment, twenty-five cents; taking depositions on order or commission, per one hundred words, ten cents; garnishment for taxes, and making necessary return and certificate of same, twenty-five cents; for hearing petition for widow's year's allowance, issuing notice to commissioners and allotting the same, one dollar; for filing and docketing laborers' liens, fifty cents; probate of a deed or other writing proved by a witness, including the certificate, twenty-five cents; probate of a deed or other writing executed by a married woman, proper acknowledgment and private examination, with the certificate thereof, twenty-five cents; probate of a deed or other writing acknowledged by the signers or makers, including all except married women who acknowledge at the same time, with the certificate thereof, twenty-five cents; probating chattel mortgage, including the certificate, ten cents; for issuing all papers and copies thereof in an action for claim and delivery, and the trial of the same, if issues are joined, when there is one defendant, one dollar and fifty cents, and if more than one defendant in action, fifty cents for each additional defendant, and ten cents for each subpoena issued in said cause, and twenty-five cents for taking the replevy bond, when one is given: Provided, that when the trial of such a cause shall have been removed from before the justice of the peace issuing the said papers, the justice of the peace sitting in trial of such cause shall receive fifty cents of the above costs for such trial and judgment.

Justices of the peace in the counties of Alamance, Alexander, Anson, Bertie, Bladen, Brunswick, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Chatham, Cherokee, Chowan, Clay, Columbus, Davidson, Duplin, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gates.
Granville, Greene, Halifax, Haywood, Henderson, Hertford, Jackson, Johnston, Jones, Lee, Lenoir, Macon, Madison, Mitchell, Montgomery, Nash, Northampton, Onslow, Orange, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Polk, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Stanly, Stokes, Swain, Transylvania, Tyrrell, Vance, Wake, Washington, Watauga, Wayne, Wilkes and Yadkin shall receive the following fees, and none other: For attachment with one defendant, thirty-five cents, and if more than one defendant, fifteen cents for each additional defendant; transcript of judgment, fifteen cents; summons, thirty cents; if more than one defendant in the same case, for each additional defendant, fifteen cents; subpoena for each witness, fifteen cents; trial when issues are joined, one dollar; and if no issues are joined, then a fee of fifty cents for trial and judgment; taking an affidavit, bond, or undertaking, or for an order of publication, or an order to seize property, thirty-five cents; for jury trial and entering verdict, one dollar; execution, thirty-five cents; renewal of execution, fifteen cents; return to an appeal, forty cents; order of arrest in civil actions, thirty cents; warrant of arrest in criminal and bastardy cases, including affidavit or complaint, seventy-five cents; warrant of commitment, fifty cents; taking depositions on order of commission, per one hundred words, fifteen cents; garnishment for taxes and making necessary return and certificate of same, thirty-five cents. (1870-1, c. 130, s. 9; 1883, c. 368; Code, ss. 2135, 3748; 1885, c. 86; 1903, c. 225; Rev., s. 2788; 1907, c. 967; 1917, c. 260; C. S., s. 3923; 1921, c. 113; Ex. Sess. 1921, cc. 38, 64, 67; 1923, cc. 28, 114, 238; 1929, cc. 13, 59; 1931, cc. 51, 303; 1945, c. 159; 1947, c. 337; 1953, c. 1173; 1955, c. 522, s. 3; 1957, c. 776; 933, s. 2; 1958; 1959, c. 691, s. 1; 1963, c. 1073, s. 1.)

Local Modification.—Alleghany: 1959, c. 1116; Avery: 1957, c. 928; Beaufort: 1957, c. 641; Caldwell: 1959, c. 691, s. 2; Chowan: 1959, c. 978; Currituck: 1957, c. 1116; Harnett: 1963, c. 1073, s. 2; Hyde: 1953, c. 872; 1957, c. 933, s. 1; McDowell: 1957, c. 776; 1959, c. 694; Washington: 1955, c. 522, s. 1; 1961, c. 774.

Editor’s Note.—The 1953 and 1955 amendments made the second paragraph applicable to Washington County. The 1957 amendments deleted “Cumberland,” “Hyde” and “McDowell” from the list of counties in the second paragraph. The 1959 amendment deleted “Caldwell” from the list of counties.

The 1963 amendment deleted “Harnett” from the list of counties.

ARTICLE 17A.

Warrants and Receipts.

§ 7-134.1. Clerk of superior court to furnish printed forms; requirements for warrants and receipts.—The clerk of superior court of every county in the State shall have printed, at the expense of the county, warrants, warrants-issued register pages, and receipt books for the use of justices of the peace as hereinafter provided. The warrants shall be pre-numbered consecutively in duplicate and bound together in sets of twenty-five (25) or more. The receipt books shall contain receipts in triplicate, and the receipts shall be pre-numbered consecutively and bound together in sets of twenty-five (25) or more. The clerk shall distribute to each justice of the peace in his county one or more sets of pre-numbered warrants, one or more receipt books containing pre-numbered receipts, and a sufficient supply of warrants-issued register pages. The clerk shall from time to time issue other pre-numbered warrants and receipts and other warrants-issued register pages as demand is made for them. (1957, c. 1109, s. 1.)

Local Modification. — Union: 1959, c. 1195.

§ 7-134.2. Use of forms by justices; contents of warrants-issued register; reports to clerk of superior court; records open to inspection.—Each justice of the peace shall in all criminal cases use the said pre-numbered
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§ 7-134.3 Auditing of justices' records.—Each board of county commissioners shall cause the records of each justice of the peace to be audited annually and at such other time as the board may direct. The audit shall cover all criminal records, including the warrants, warrants-issued register, and receipts herein provided for, whether in the possession of the justice of the peace or in the possession of the clerk of superior court, and the audit may cover such other records as the board of county commissioners may direct. The cost of any such audit shall be borne by the county and may be performed either by the county accountant or by a certified public accountant, as the board may in its discretion determine. (1957, c. 1109, s. 3.)

Local Modification.—Swain: 1959, c. 236.

§ 7-134.4 Enforcement officers to submit list of warrants for auditing; lists to be made available to accountant.—Every law enforcement officer serving criminal process shall submit to the clerk of the superior court for auditing purposes a list of warrants in his possession as of June 30 of each year, or at such other time as the board of county commissioners may direct. The clerk in turn shall make such lists available to the accountant selected by the board of county commissioners to perform audits of justices of the peace. (1957, c. 1109, s. 4.)

§ 7-134.5 Penalty.—Any person violating any of the provisions of this article shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by fine or imprisonment or both in the discretion of the court. (1957, c. 1109, s. 5.)
§ 7-134.6. Counties to which article applies.—The provisions of this article shall apply to the following counties only: Anson, Ashe, Avery, Cabarrus, Cherokee, Chowan, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Davidson, Guilford, Harnett, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Johnston, Macon, McDowell, Montgomery, Nash, Onslow, Richmond, Rowan, Rutherford, Swain, Union, Wayne and Wilkes. (1957, c. 1109, s. 5-1; 1959, c. 184, 237, 300, 335, 345, 762, 958; 1961, c. 389, 499, 578, 736.)

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendments inserted Anson, Columbus, Craven, Harnett, Hertford and Wayne in the list of counties, and deleted therefrom Burke and Pitt.

The first 1961 amendment inserted Avery in the list of counties, and the second 1961 amendment inserted Macon in the list. The third 1961 amendment deleted Polk from the list of counties. The fourth 1961 amendment added Wilkes to the list of counties.

ARTICLE 18.

Process.

§ 7-138. Process issued to another county.

Cited in Waters v. McBee, 244 N. C. 540, 94 S. E. (2d) 640 (1956).

ARTICLE 19.

Pleading and Practice.

§ 7-149. Rules of practice.

Rule 3, Answer.

Jurisdictional Amount for Counterclaims.—For note on problem arising from 

Rule 12, No process quashed for want of form.

Editor's Note.—For note as to power of superior court to amend warrant, see 36 N. C. Law Rev. 80.

Amendment of Warrants.—


As a general proposition the superior court, on an appeal from a recorder's court or other inferior court upon a conviction of a misdemeanor, has power to allow an amendment to the warrant, provided the charge as amended does not change the offense with which defendant was originally charged. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

Notwithstanding these broad powers with respect to amendments, a warrant as well as the amendments thereto must relate to the charge and the facts supporting it as they existed at the time it was formally laid in the court. Therefore, a conviction upon an amended warrant, unsupported by the facts as they existed at the time the warrant was issued, will not be upheld. Neither will a conviction for the willful failure to support an illegitimate child be upheld on such warrant, where the State, in order to sustain the conviction, must rely altogether on evidence of willful failure to support the child subsequent to the time the charge was laid in court. State v. Thompson, 233 N. C. 345, 64 S. E. (2d) 157 (1951).

ARTICLE 20.

Jury Trial.

§ 7-150. Parties entitled to a jury trial.

This Section and N. C. Const., art. 4, § 27, Determinative of Number of Jury in Criminal Prosecution in Municipal Recorder's Court.—See note to § 7-204.
§ 7-153. Jury list furnished.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-154. Names kept in jury box.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-156. Jury drawn and trial postponed.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-157. Summ势ing the jury.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-158. Selection of jury.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-160. Names returned to the jury box.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-161. Names of jurors serving.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-162. Tales jurors summoned.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

§ 7-163. No juror to serve out of township.
Local Modification.—Mecklenburg: 1959,
c. 341, s. 2.

ARTICLE 21.

Judgment and Execution.

§ 7-166. Justice's judgment docketed; lien and execution; transcript.—A justice of the peace on the demand of a party in whose favor he has rendered a judgment, shall give a transcript thereof which may be filed and docketed in the office of the superior court clerk of the county where the judgment was rendered. And in such case he shall also deliver to the party against whom such judgment was rendered, or his attorney, a transcript of any stay of execution issued, or which may thereafter be issued, by him on such judgment, which may be in like manner filed and docketed in the office of the clerk of such court. The time of the receipt of the transcript by the clerk shall be noted thereon and entered on the docket; and from that time the judgment shall be a judgment of the superior court in all respects for the purposes of lien and execution. The execution thereon shall be issued by the clerk of the superior court to the sheriff of the county, and shall have the same effect, and be executed in the same manner, as other executions of the superior court; but in case a stay of execution upon such judgment shall be granted, as provided by law, execution shall not be issued thereon by the clerk of the superior court until the expiration of such stay. A certified transcript of such judgment may be filed and docketed in the superior court clerk’s office of any other county, and with like effect, in every respect, as in the county where the judgment was rendered, except that it shall be a lien only from the time of filing and docketing such transcript.
A justice of the peace may issue a transcript of a judgment under the provisions of this section which was rendered by said justice of the peace during his prior term of office, provided said judgment was rendered within one year of the issuance of said transcript. If, within one year after rendering a judgment, any justice of the peace dies, vacates his office, fails to re-qualify or becomes insane or otherwise becomes incapable of performing the duties of his office, without issuing a transcript of a judgment rendered by him during his term, any other justice of the peace in the same county may issue a transcript of said judgment from the docket or a judgment found among the papers of the justice of the peace who rendered said judgment upon request of a party in whose favor said judgment was rendered and the payment of the necessary fees. (Code, s. 839; Rev., s. 1479; C. S., s. 1517; 1953, c. 846.)

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment added the last two sentences.

Generally.— When a transcript of a judgment of a justice of the peace is filed and docketed in accordance with this section, this section expressly provides that such judgment shall be a judgment of the superior court in all respects for the purposes of lien and execution. Bryant v. Poole, 261 N.C. 553, 135 S.E.2d 629 (1964).

Cited in Clements v. Booth, 244 N. C. 474, 94 S. E. (2d) 365 (1956).

§ 7-170. Issue and return of execution.

Execution, etc.— Under prescribed circumstances, execution may be issued by a justice of the peace on a judgment rendered in his court. Bryant v. Poole, 261 N.C. 553, 135 S.E.2d 629 (1964).

ARTICLE 22.

Appeal.

§ 7-178. Appeal does not stay execution.


Motion to Dismiss Appeal Where Record Not Filed in Superior Court.— Where appeal from a judgment of a justice of the peace is not filed in the superior court within ten days as required by this section, but is filed during the term at which the appeal would have stood regularly for trial had the record been timely filed, appellee’s motion at the next succeeding term to dismiss the appeal presents, in like manner as a petition for recordari under Superior Court Rule 14, the question of fact whether the failure of the justice of the peace to comply with this section was caused by defendant’s default, and when there is no evidence or finding in regard thereto, judgment denying the motion is not supported by the record, and the cause must be remanded. Freeman v. Bennett, 249 N. C. 180, 105 S. E. (2d) 809 (1958).

Appeal from Order of Superior Court Granting Writ of Recordari.— For a review and discussion of the decisions relative to the right of an immediate appeal to the Supreme Court from an order of the superior court granting a motion for a writ of recordari to a justice’s court where the justice has failed to comply with this section, see Freeman v. Bennett, 249 N. C. 180, 105 S. E. (2d) 809 (1958).

SUBCHAPTER VI. RECORDERS’ COURTS.

ARTICLE 24.

Municipal Recorders’ Courts.

§ 7-185. In what cities and towns established; court of record.

Cross References.—
As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.

Editor’s Note.—Session Laws 1953, c.
§ 7-186. Recorder's election and qualification; term of office and salary.

Local Modification. — Johnston: 1957, c. 619, s. 2; city of Belmont: 1957, c. 385, s. 1; 1965, c. 55; town of Graham: 1959, c. 960; town of Kernersville: 1955, c. 282, s. 1; town of Liberty: 1965, c. 478, amending § 7-190. Criminal jurisdiction.

Local Modification. — Johnston: 1957, c. 619, s. 1; city of Belmont: 1957, c. 385, s. 2.

Jurisdiction Given over Crimes below Grade of Felony.— By virtue of this section a municipality is vested with power and authority to create a recorder's court with jurisdiction to try cases which involve criminal acts below the grade of felony, committed within a radius of five miles outside its corporate limits. State v. Ballenger, 247 N. C. 216, 100 S. E. (2d) 351 (1957).

Such Offenses Designated Petty Misdemeanors.—The legislature has declared in this section that criminal offenses below the grade of felony committed within the corporate limits of the municipality or within five miles thereof are petty misdemeanors, and for such offenses N. C. Const., art. 2, § 29, but was tantamount to a re-enactment of the general law relating to establishment of recorders' courts, making it applicable to Johnston County. State v. Ballenger, 247 N. C. 216, 100 S. E. (2d) 351 (1957).


§ 7-191. Jurisdiction to recover penalties.

Local Modification.—City of Belmont: 1957, c. 385, s. 3.

§ 7-195. Appeal to superior court.

Local Modification.—Town of Southern Pines: 1959, c. 74, s. 2.

§ 7-196. Costs paid to the municipality.

Local Modification. — Johnston: 1957, c. 619, s. 3.

§ 7-197. Seal of court.

Local Modification. — Town of Siler City: 1953, c. 607, s. 3.

§ 7-198. Issuance and service of process.

This section does not confer upon police sergeants the power to issue warrants. State v. Blackwell, 246 N. C. 642, 99 S. E. (2d) 867 (1957).

Search Warrant for Illegal Liquor. —

Under this section in conjunction with § 18-13, the deputy clerk of a municipal court had authority to issue a search warrant for illegal liquor. State v. Mock, 259 N. C. 501, 130 S. E. (2d) 863 (1963).
§ 7-200. Clerk of court; election and duties; removal; fees.

Local Modification. — City of Wilson: 1955, c. 529; town of Southern Pines: 1959, c. 74, s. 3.

§ 7-200.1. Deputy or assistant clerks of court.—The governing body of the municipality may, at any time it deems necessary and in the same manner as is provided in this article for the election of the clerk of court, elect a deputy or assistant clerk of court, who before entering upon his duties shall enter into a bond, in the same manner and amount as is now required for the clerk of court. Upon compliance with the provisions of this article, such assistant or deputy clerk shall be as fully authorized and empowered to perform all the duties and functions of the office of clerk of municipal recorder's court as the clerk himself and shall be fully empowered to issue all process of the court, administer oaths, receive moneys and do all other things necessary to the operation of his office. The compensation of such office shall be fixed by the governing body of the municipality, shall consist of a salary only, which salary shall not be subject to be diminished during such deputy's or assistant's term of office. Provided, the clerk of the municipal recorder's court shall be held responsible for the official acts of such deputy or assistant clerk. Provided, further, that the election of a deputy or assistant clerk under this article shall be in the discretion of the governing body of the municipality subject to their finding that a deputy or assistant clerk is necessary to the operation of the court. (1959, c. 858.)

§ 7-201. Clerk to keep records.

Failure of judge to sign the minutes of

§ 7-203. Prosecuting attorney; duties and salary.

Local Modification.—Town of Kernersville: 1955, c. 282, s. 2; town of Siler City: 1953, c. 607, s. 4; town of Southern Pines: 1959, c. 74, s. 4.

§ 7-204. Jury trial, as in justice's court.


The number of which the jury shall consist under this section is determined by a reference to N. C. Const., art. 4, § 27 and § 7-150, with as much certainty as if actually set out in this section. Roebuck v. New Bern, 249 N. C. 41, 105 S. E. (2d) 194 (1958).


§ 7-206. Officers' fees; fines and penalties paid.

Where a municipal recorder's court is established or may be established in a municipality wherein the territorial jurisdiction of said municipal recorder's court is composed of portions of two or more counties, the fines and forfeitures collected by or paid into said municipal recorder's court shall be paid to the county treasurer for distribution according to law of the county in which the crime was committed which resulted in the indictment and conviction and because of which said fines or penalties were collected and paid; except that the provisions of this sentence shall not apply to the following counties: Alamance, Cabarrus, Catawba, Edgecombe, Guilford and Nash. (1919, c. 277, s. 14; C. S., s. 1557; 1955, c. 707.)

Editor's Note. — The 1955 amendment added the above sentence at the end of this section. As the rest of the section was not changed it is not set out.
§ 7-218. Established by county commissioners.

Cross Reference.—As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.

The County Recorder's Court in Pamlico County is a duly constituted court under this section. State v. Mercer, 249 N. C. 371, 106 S. E. (2d) 866 (1959).

§ 7-219. Recorder's election, qualification, and term of office.

Local Modification.—Caldwell: 1965, c. 481; Hertford: 1957, c. 660; Randolph: 1953, c. 444.

§ 7-220. Time and place for holding court.

The Recorder's Court of Pamlico County has jurisdiction to try a defendant on a charge of operating a motor vehicle on a public highway while his license was revoked, and when the judge of that court testified that he held a session of court on a certain day, such court was a court of competent jurisdiction to try the defendant for such offense on that day. State v. Mercer, 249 N. C. 371, 106 S. E. (2d) 866 (1959).

§ 7-222. Criminal jurisdiction.

Concurrent Jurisdiction of Municipal Recorder's Court. — County recorder's court and a municipal recorder's court in the county were held to possess concurrent jurisdiction of general misdemeanors committed within the territorial limits of municipal recorder's court. State v. Sloan, 238 N. C. 547, 78 S. E. (2d) 312 (1953).

Jurisdiction of Municipal-County Courts. — Municipal-county courts created pursuant to § 7-240 have exclusive jurisdiction of all misdemeanors except minor misdemeanors, with respect to which they have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace under this section. State v. Davis, 253 N. C. 224, 116 S. E. (2d) 381 (1960).


§ 7-223. Jurisdiction and powers as in municipal court.


§ 7-228. Jury trial as in municipal court.

Local Modification. — Chowan: 1957, c. 701; Randolph: 1959, c. 1077, repealing Session Laws 1951, c. 414, and providing for election as to jury trials.

Effect of Demand for Jury Trial in Craven County Recorder's Court.—Where the defendant demanded a jury trial in the Craven County recorder's court, the jurisdiction of the recorder's court was ousted and the Superior Court of Craven County was vested with exclusive original jurisdiction of the charges laid in the warrants, and the jurisdiction of the superior court was not derivative but original, and it was necessary for defendant to be tried on bills of indictment and not upon the original warrants. State v. Peede, 256 N. C. 460, 124 S. E. (2d) 134 (1962).


§ 7-231. Clerk of superior court ex officio clerk of county recorder's court.—The clerk of the superior court of any county in which a county recorder's court shall be established shall be ex officio clerk of such court. He shall keep separate criminal dockets in his office for such court in the same manner as he keeps criminal dockets in the superior court; he shall otherwise possess all the powers and functions conferred upon, and discharge all the duties...
required of, clerks of the superior court under the general law; and he shall be liable upon his official bond as clerk of the superior court for all of his official acts and conduct in reference thereto. Whenever the clerk of the superior court acts ex officio as clerk of the recorder’s court or general county court, any assistant clerk or deputy clerk of the superior court in his office shall have power and authority to take affidavits, issue warrants and other process, administer oaths to witnesses and to perform any other duty in connection with said court under the direction of the clerk of the superior court, and for the acts of said assistant or deputy clerk, the clerk of the superior court shall be liable on his official bond to the same extent that he would have been liable if he had done the act himself. The preceding sentence shall not apply to recorder’s courts in Brunswick, Camden, Forsyth, Gates, Halifax, Martin, Moore, Perquimans and Vance counties. (1919, c. 277 s. 36; C. S., s. 1576; 1935, c. 346; 1947, c. 214, s. 5; 1957, c. 305.)

Editor’s Note.—from the list of counties in the last sentence.

§ 7-235. Prosecuting attorney may be elected.
Local Modification. — Franklin: 1955, c. 4.

§ 7-238. Fees taxed when county officer on salary; recorder’s court fund.
Local Modification.—Cherokee: 1955, c. 105.

ARTICLE 26.
Municipal-County Courts.

§ 7-240. Established for entire county.
Cross Reference.—As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.

Jurisdiction. — The municipal-County courts created pursuant to this section have exclusive jurisdiction of all misdemeanors except minor misdemeanors, with respect to which they have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace under § 7-222. State v. Davis, 253 N. C. 224, 116 S. E. (2d) 381 (1960).

ARTICLE 28.
Civil Jurisdiction of Recorders’ Courts.

§ 7-247. Extent of jurisdiction; cross action or counterclaim in excess of jurisdiction.—The jurisdiction of such municipal and county recorders’ courts in civil actions shall be as follows:

(1) Jurisdiction concurrent with that of the justices of the peace within the county;
(2) Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in all actions founded on contract, wherein the amount involved exclusive of interest and costs does not exceed one thousand dollars;
(3) Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in actions not founded upon contract wherein the amount involved exclusive of interest and cost does not exceed the sum of five hundred dollars.

When any action either on contract or in tort has been or hereafter is instituted in any court inferior to the superior court having jurisdiction of civil actions, and a cross action or counterclaim is filed for an amount in excess of the jurisdiction of the court in which the action was instituted, both the original action and the cross action or counterclaim may, upon motion of either plaintiff or defendant, in the discretion of the court, be transferred for trial, on all issues pre-
§ 7-248 1967 CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENT § 7-264

seated, to the superior court of the county where the action originated; provided, however, that if the court in which the action is pending fails to transfer such action to the superior court upon motion of either plaintiff or defendant, the defendant may elect to take voluntary nonsuit as to the cross action or counterclaim, and in such event, the determination of the issues on the plaintiff’s action in the inferior court, shall not constitute res judicata as to defendant’s counterclaim or cross action in a subsequent action, instituted in the superior court of any county by the defendant, nor shall the pendency of such action in the inferior court be ground for abatement of a subsequent action instituted by the defendant in the superior court of any county; provided further, however, that the defendant may elect to prosecute his cross action or counterclaim in the inferior court in which the action was commenced but, in that event, the recovery shall be limited to the jurisdiction of such court, and the determination of the issues raised by the pleadings, shall constitute res judicata in any subsequent action. (1919, c. 277, s. 48; C. S., s. 1590; 1921, c. 110. s. 8; 1963, c. 487.)

Local Modification.—Franklin: 1953, c. 218, s. 1.

Editor’s Note. — The 1963 amendment added the last paragraph.

§ 7-248. Procedure in civil actions.

Local Modification.—Franklin: 1953, c. 218, s. 3.

§ 7-250. Jurors drawn and summoned.

This Section and § 7-252 Inapplicable to Criminal Prosecution Contemplated by § 7-204.—Statutory provisions for a jury of twelve under this section and § 7-252, applicable solely to civil actions in a municipal recorder’s court, cannot be invoked by a defendant in a criminal prosecution in such court as the basis for demand under § 7-204, for a jury of twelve, in the face of statutes establishing a jury of six in criminal prosecution in such court. Roe buck v. New Bern, 249 N. C. 41, 105 S. E. (2d) 194 (1958).

§ 7-252. Jury as in superior court.

Section Inapplicable to Criminal Prosecution Contemplated by § 7-204. — See note to § 7-250.

§ 7-253. Appeals to superior court.

The reason for a jury of twelve in a civil action before a municipal recorder’s court is made apparent by examination of this section, which provides for appeals in civil cases from recorder’s court to the superior court in term. Upon such appeal the superior court may either affirm or modify the judgment of the recorder’s court, or may remand the cause for a new trial. A jury trial is not available in the superior court in a civil case. Therefore, a jury trial in the constitutional or common-law sense (in a civil case) must be provided in the municipal recorder’s court. Roe buck v. New Bern, 249 N. C. 41, 105 S. E. (2d) 194 (1958).

ARTICLE 29.

Elections to Establish Recorders’ Courts.

§ 7-264. Certain districts and counties not included.—This subchapter shall not apply to the following judicial districts: the tenth, except as to Alamance, Granville and Orange counties; the eleventh; the seventeenth, the eighteenth, except as to Rutherford and Transylvania counties; the nineteenth; and the twentieth, except as to Cherokee, Haywood, Jackson and Swain counties; nor shall it apply to the counties of Chatham, New Hanover and Robeson. (1919, c. 277, s. 64; C. S., s. 1608; 1921, c. 110, s. 16; Ex. Sess. 1921, c. 59, 80; 1923, cc. 19, 40; 1925, c. 162; Pub. Loc. 1927, cc. 214, 545; 1929, cc. 17, 111, 71
Section 7-264.1. Establishment of municipal recorders' courts without election.  


Subchapter VII. General County Courts.

Article 30.

Establishment, Organization and Jurisdiction.

Section 7-265. Establishment authorized; official entitlement; jurisdiction. — In each county of this State, there may be established a court of civil and criminal jurisdiction, which shall be a court of record and which shall be maintained pursuant to this subchapter and which court shall be called the general county court and shall have jurisdiction over the entire county in which said court may be established. In any county in the State in which there is situated a city which has or may have in the future a population, according to any enumeration by the United States census bureau, of more than fifteen thousand inhabitants, the commissioners of such county or counties are authorized hereby to establish general county courts as hereinafter provided without first submitting the question of establishing such court to a vote of the people: Provided, that the said enumeration need not be made at a regular decennial census. In the event that the second sentence of this section is acted upon by the commissioners of any county in establishing a general county court, as is herein provided, the said commissioners may make such provisions for holding such courts in such city. (1923, c. 216, s. 1; C. S., s. 1608 (f); 1925, c. 242; 1927, c. 74; 1955, c. 1081.)

Local Modification. — Beaufort: 1959, c. 848, s. 1.

By virtue of Session Laws 1963, c. 102, Transylvania should be stricken from the recompiled volume.

Cross Reference. — As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.

Editor's Note. — The 1955 amendment substituted "fifteen thousand" for "twenty thousand" in line seven.

History. — For history of this section, see Waters v. McBee, 244 N. C. 540, 94 S. E. (2d) 640 (1958).

The phrase "shall have jurisdiction over the entire county in which said court may be established" does not have reference to the kind or character of action of which the general county court may take jurisdiction nor of the parties who may be subject to its jurisdiction. It merely fixes the territorial limits within which the court may act. The quoted words give such court jurisdiction within the boundaries of its county notwithstanding that other courts may have been created with jurisdiction covering the same matters in other parts of the county, and do not limit such court to causes of action arising within the county. Waters v. McBee, 244 N. C. 540, 94 S. E. (2d) 640 (1958).

Cited in In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952).
§ 7-266. Creation by board of commissioners without election.
Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1959, c. 848, s. 1.

§ 7-268. Transfer of criminal cases.
Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1959, c. 848, s. 3.

§ 7-270. Costs.
Local Modification.—Buncombe: 1953, c. 1021.

§ 7-271. Judge; election, term of office, vacancy in office, qualification, salary, office.
Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1953, c. 848, s. 3.

§ 7-272. Terms of court.
Local Modification.—Duplin: 1959, c. 650.

§ 7-273. Prosecuting officer; duties, election, salary, etc.
Local Modification.—Henderson: 1957, c. 362, s. 7.

§ 7-274. Superior court clerk as clerk ex officio; salary, bond, etc.
The clerk of the superior court of the county shall be ex officio clerk of the general county court, herein provided for, and in addition to the salary and fees paid him as clerk of the superior court, he shall be paid such additional compensation as the county commissioners of the county may fix, to be paid monthly out of the county funds. The said clerk shall be liable upon his official bond for the discharge of his duties and caring for funds paid to him to the same extent as he is bound as clerk of the superior court. The clerk of said court or any deputy thereof, upon application and the making of proper affidavit, as provided by law, shall have power and authority to issue any criminal warrant or warrants in said court and make the same returnable before the judge thereof, at any time or times designated for the trial of criminal cases. The last sentence shall not apply to the following counties: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Caldwell, Camden, Clay, Dare, Davidson, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Jackson, Johnston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Person, Pitt, Robeson, Rockingham, Scotland, Tyrrell, Union, Vance, Wake, Watauga, Wayne, Wilkes, Yadkin and Yancey. (1923, c. 216, s. 4; 1931, c. 233; 1955, c. 8; c. 1080, s. 1; 1957, c. 362, s. 3; 811.)

Local Modification.—Buncombe: 1967, c. 517.

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendments deleted “Halifax” and “Craven” from the list of counties, and the 1957 amendments deleted “Alamance” and “Henderson” therefrom.

Cited in In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952).

§ 7-278. Criminal jurisdiction, extent.
Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1959, c. 848, s. 3.

§ 7-279. Civil jurisdiction, extent.
Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1953, c. 1247, s. 4; 1959, c. 848, s. 3.

Jurisdiction Not Limited to Causes of Action Arising in the County. — Had it been the intention of the legislature to limit the jurisdiction of the general county court to causes of action arising in the county, it would have been simple and appropriate for it to have inserted such a provision in this section. No such limita-
§ 7-280. Election, requirement of.  

Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1959, c. 848, s. 2.

§ 7-284. Count and return of votes; canvass of returns; effect; expense.  

Cited in In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952).

§ 7-285. Application of article.—This article shall not apply to any county in which there has been established a court, inferior to the superior court, by whatever name called, by a special act, nor shall this article apply to the following counties: Granville, Iredell, New Hanover, Pasquotank and Wake; nor shall it apply to the counties in the seventeenth and nineteenth judicial districts, except Buncombe County: Provided, the provisions of this article shall apply to Surry County, notwithstanding that there has been established a court inferior to the superior court. (Ex. Sess. 1924, c. 85, s. 2; 1925, c. 9; 1927, c. 103, ss. 1, 2; 1929, c. 159, s. 1; 1931, c. 19; 1937, c. 439; 1949, c. 896; 1953, c. 845; 1953, c. 1241, s. 1; 1957, c. 362, s. 4.)  

Local Modification.—Watauga: 1937, c. 439.  

Editor’s Note.—The 1925 amendment added Randolph to the list of counties in this section, and the 1927 amendment added Henderson to the list. The 1931 amendment struck out the former exemption of the counties in the sixteenth judicial district. The first 1953 amendment deleted Randolph from the list of counties, and the second 1953 amendment added the proviso to this section. The 1957 amendment deleted “Henderson” from the list of counties. Section 2 of the amendatory act provided that this article is applicable to Henderson County, except as otherwise provided in the act. See Local Modification under G. S. 7-271 and 7-273.

Repealed Only as to Surry County.—Chapter 896 of the 1949 Session Laws is held to repeal this section only insofar as it relates to Surry County. When the act is considered in its entirety, it seems clear that the purpose of the legislature was to take Surry County out of those counties to which the general county court act did not apply, and place it under the provisions of the act, and to make special provisions in respect of the general county court of the county. In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952).  

And Wilkes County is still excluded from the general county court act. Therefore, its board of commissioners is without authority to establish a general county court. In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952).

ARTICLE 31.

Practice and Procedure.

§ 7-286. Procedure; issuance and return of process.  

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7-279.

§ 7-287. Trial by jury; waiver; deposit for jury fee.  

Local Modification.—Beaufort: 1953, c. 480, s. 1.  

Cited in Gasperson v. Rice, 240 N. C. 1247, s. 3; Henderson: 1965, c. 1247, s. 3; 1965, c. 480, s. 1.  

§ 7-288. Continuance if jury demanded; drawing of jury; list.—If a jury trial is demanded, the judge shall continue the case until a day to be set, and the judge, together with the attorneys for all parties, shall proceed to the office of the register of deeds of the county and cause to be drawn a jury of eighteen men, observing as nearly as may be the rule for drawing a jury for the superior
court. The judge shall issue the proper writ to the sheriff of the county commanding him to summon the jurors so drawn to appear at the court on the day set for the trial of the action. It shall be the duty of the register of deeds to prepare a list of jurors for this the general county court identical with the list prepared for the superior court, and the jury shall be drawn out of the box containing such list. Provided, that the judge of said court may in his discretion, if and when a sufficient number of cases are at issue in which jury trial has been demanded to warrant such action, cause a jury of not less than eighteen, not more than twenty-four men to be drawn for a certain week of a term, setting such cases for trial during such time, and in such cases the juries shall be drawn in the same manner as now provided for the drawing of juries for the superior court. The proviso shall not apply to the following counties: Alamance, Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Caldwell, Camden, Clay, Dare, Davidson, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Halifax, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Jackson, Johnston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Person, Pitt, Robeson, Rockingham, Scotland, Tyrrell, Union, Vance, Wake, Watauga, Wayne, Wilkes, Yadkin and Yancey. (1923, c. 216, s. 9; C. S., s. 1608(v); 1931, c. 233, s. 2; 1955, c. 1080, s. 2; 1957, c. 362, s. 5.)

Local Modification. — Henderson: 1963, c. 660; 1965, c. 480, s. 2.

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment deleted “Craven” from the list of counties in the last sentence and the 1957 amendment deleted “Henderson” therefrom.

§ 7-290. Process; authentication; service; return.


§ 7-291. Pleadings; time for filing.


§ 7-295. Appeals to superior court in civil actions; time; record; judgment; appeal to Supreme Court.

Superior Court Sits as Appellate Court.— In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See Pelaez v. Carland, 286 N.C. 192, 150 S.E.2d 201 (1966).

Upon the entering of an appeal the trial court is functus officio and has no further jurisdiction except to enter orders affecting the judgment during the term when the judgment is in fieri, to adjudge an appeal abandoned after notice and on a proper showing, and to settle the case on appeal, which the court may do only in the event of timely service of exceptions or counterclaim to appellant's statement of case on appeal. Pelaez v. Carland, 268 N.C. 192, 150 S.E.2d 201 (1966).

Extensions of Time. — In this case the defendants' attorneys, following a series of other extensions, consented to an order extending the time to serve the case on appeal through August 19, 1965, a time of approximately eight months. Plaintiff then obtained an additional order from the judge of the general county court which purported to grant a further extension of time to August 30, 1965. The court, however, was without authority to grant this additional extension. Pelaez v. Carland, 268 N.C. 192, 150 S.E.2d 201 (1966).

After appeal and the fixing of time for service of case on appeal from a general county court to the superior court, the trial court granted successive extensions of time, one with the consent of appellee, and then granted further extension of time without appellee's consent. It was held that no case on appeal having been served within the time fixed or within the extension agreed upon by counsel, the superior court could review only the record proper, and, no error appearing on the face thereof, should have dismissed the purported appeal, and objection that the motion to dismiss was broadside is untenable, the matter being a question of jurisdiction. Pelaez v. Carland, 268 N.C. 192, 150 S.E.2d 201 (1966).


§ 7-296. Enforcement of judgments; stay of execution, etc.; retention of jurisdiction in divorce, alimony, custody and support cases.—Orders to stay execution on judgments entered in the general county court shall be the same as in appeals from the superior court to the Supreme Court. Judgments of the general county court may be enforced by execution issued by the clerk thereof, returnable within twenty days. Transcripts of such judgments may be docketed in the superior court as now provided for judgments of justices of the peace, and the judgment when docketed shall in all respects be a judgment of the superior court in the same manner and to same extent as if rendered by the superior court, and shall be subject to the same statutes of limitations and the statutes relating to the revival of judgments in the superior court and issuing executions thereon. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the general county court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all motions with respect to divorce, divorce a mensa et thoro, alimony without divorce, child custody and support in all cases wherein the said general county court had rendered the initial order or judgment. (1923, c. 216, s. 19; C. S., s. 1608(dd); 1965, c. 1198.)

Editor's Note. — The 1965 amendment added the last sentence.

ARTICLE 31A.

With Civil Jurisdiction Not to Exceed §3,000.00; with Criminal Jurisdiction of Offenses below the Grade of Felony.

§ 7-296.1. Establishment upon resolution of county commissioners.—In addition to the plans now provided for the establishment of courts inferior to the superior court, there may be established by resolution of all of the members of the board of county commissioners of any county in the State a court of criminal and civil jurisdiction, which shall be a court of record and shall be called the county court, and shall have criminal and civil jurisdiction as herein provided. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.2. Judge; election, qualification, term of office, etc.—The court shall be presided over by a judge, who may be a licensed attorney at law and at the time of his election he shall be a qualified elector in the county. The first judge upon the establishment of said court shall be elected by the board of county commissioners within thirty days after the establishment of said court, and he shall hold office until the next regular election wherein county officers are elected, or until the next regular election wherein electors for president and vice-president are elected, as may be provided by said board at the time of said election and shall hold office until his successor shall be duly elected and qualified; and should a vacancy occur in said office at any time, the same shall be filled by the election of a successor for the unexpired term by the board of county commissioners at a regular or special meeting called for that purpose. The successor of the first judge herein provided for and each succeeding judge shall be nominated and elected in the county in the same manner as is now provided by law for the nomination and election of the elective officers of the county, and shall hold his office for a term of four years, and until his successor is elected and qualified. Before entering upon the duties of his office the judge shall take and subscribe an oath of office, as is now provided by law for justices of the peace, and he shall file the same with the clerk of the superior court of the county. The salary of said judge shall be fixed in advance by the board of county commissioners, and paid monthly out of the county funds, and shall not be decreased during his term. The judge shall be provided by the county board of commissioners with a suitable and convenient place for holding court at the county seat. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)
§ 7-296.3. Solicitor; election, duties, term of office, etc.—There shall be a prosecuting attorney for the county court, to be known officially as solicitor, who shall appear for the State and prosecute in all criminal actions being tried in said court, and for his services he shall be paid such salary as may be fixed by the board of county commissioners to be paid monthly out of the funds of the county. He shall be elected by the board of county commissioners for the first term as herein provided for the election of the judge, and thereafter by the qualified electors of the county in the same manner as is provided herein for the election of the judge; and vacancies in the office of the solicitor shall be filled by the board of county commissioners as they are herein authorized to fill vacancies in the office of judge. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.4. Superior court clerk as clerk ex officio.—The clerk of the superior court of the county shall be ex officio clerk of the county court created pursuant to the provisions of this article, or said clerk of the superior court with the approval of the board of county commissioners may appoint the assistant or a deputy clerk of the superior court to serve as clerk of the county court. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.5. Stenographer.—The judge of the county court shall appoint an official stenographer of the court, whose duties shall be the same as those of the official stenographer of the superior court, and the compensation shall be fixed and paid by the board of county commissioners. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.6. Term of court; calendar.—The judge and clerk of said county court are hereby authorized to fix the terms of said court and to prepare a calendar of cases for trial upon consulting with the bar association of said county. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.7. Civil jurisdiction, extent.—The jurisdiction of the county court created pursuant to the provisions of this article shall be as follows:

1. Jurisdiction concurrent with that of the justices of the peace of the county;
2. Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in all actions founded on contract wherein the amount demanded or the value of the property in controversy shall not exceed the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), exclusive of interest and cost;
3. Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in all actions not founded upon contract, wherein the amount demanded or the value of the property in controversy shall not exceed the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), exclusive of interest and cost;
4. Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in all actions to try title to lands and to prevent trespass thereon and to restrain waste thereof: Provided, the amount demanded or the value of the property in controversy shall not exceed three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), exclusive of interest and cost;
5. Jurisdiction concurrent with the superior court in all actions pending in said court to issue and grant temporary and permanent restraining orders and injunctions: Provided, the amount demanded or the value of the property in controversy shall not exceed three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), exclusive of interest and cost. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.8. Criminal jurisdiction, extent.—The criminal jurisdiction of the county court created pursuant to the provisions of this article shall be as provided by G. S. 7-222. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.9. Jury trial.—The provisions of G. S. 7-363 to 7-370, inclusive, shall be applicable to a court created pursuant to the provisions of this article.
§ 7-296.10. Practice and procedure.—The procedure of a court created pursuant to the provisions of this article, except as otherwise provided in this article, shall conform as nearly as may be to the practice in the superior courts. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.11. Criminal appeals to superior court; cases bound over to superior court.—Any person convicted of any offense of which the county court has final jurisdiction may appeal to the superior court of the county from any judgment or sentence of the court in the same manner as is now provided for appeals from justices of the peace; and any person tried before the county court for any offense of which said court has not final jurisdiction shall, if probable cause be found, be bound over to the superior court in the same manner as is provided by law in similar cases before a justice of the peace. The judge may, upon proper affidavit, issue criminal warrants returnable before him in or out of term. All persons convicted in said court may be sentenced to the roads, or county farms, or jail, as the judge may determine. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.12. Appeals to superior court in civil actions; time; record; judgment; appeal to Supreme Court.—Appeals in civil actions may be taken from the county court to the superior court of the county in term time for errors assigned in matters of law in the same manner as is now provided for appeals from the superior court to the Supreme Court except that appellant shall file in duplicate statement of case on appeal, as settled, containing the exceptions and assignments of error, which, together with the original record, shall be transmitted by the clerk of the county court to the superior court, as the complete record on appeal in said court; that briefs shall not be required to be filed on said appeal, by either party, unless requested by the judge of the superior court; the record on appeal to the superior court shall be docketed before the next term of the superior court ensuing after the case on appeal shall have been settled by the agreement of the parties or by order of the court, and the case shall stand for argument at the next term of the superior court ensuing after the record on appeal shall have been docketed ten days, unless otherwise ordered by the court. The time for taking and perfecting appeals shall be counted from the end of the term of the county court at which such trial is had. Upon such appeal the superior court may either affirm or modify the judgment of the county court, or remand the cause for a new trial. From the judgment of the superior court an appeal may be taken to the Supreme Court as is now provided by law. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.13. Enforcement of judgments; stay of execution, etc.—Orders to stay execution on judgments entered in the county court shall be the same as in appeals from the superior court to the Supreme Court. Judgments of the county court may be enforced by execution issued by the clerk thereof returnable within twenty days. Transcripts of such judgments may be docketed in the superior court as now provided for judgments of justices of the peace, and the judgment when docketed shall in all respects be a judgment of the superior court in the same manner and to same extent as if rendered by the superior court, and shall be subject to the same statutes of limitations. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)
§ 7-296.14. Pending cases; transfer and trial.—Upon the establishment of a county court, as in this article authorized, the clerk of the superior court shall immediately transfer from the superior court to such county court all criminal actions pending in the superior court of which the county court has jurisdiction, as in this article conferred, and the county court shall immediately proceed to try and dispose of such criminal actions. By written consent of plaintiff and defendant filed with the clerk of the superior court, any civil case within the jurisdiction of the county court, now or hereafter pending in the superior court, may be transferred to the docket of the county court and there tried; if a jury trial is desired, it shall be expressed in the agreement to transfer the case; otherwise, the right to trial by jury shall be conclusively presumed to have been waived. When, in any action in the county court, the defendant sets forth in his answer a cross action or counterclaim in excess of three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) the judge shall transfer the action to the superior court for trial. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.15. Costs and fees.—There shall be taxed in the county court the same costs and fees for services of the officers thereof as provided for the court having concurrent jurisdiction; such costs and fees shall be taxed and collected by the clerk and paid by said clerk monthly into the treasury of said county as county funds to be dealt with by the commissioners. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.16. Abolishing court.—This court may be abolished by resolution of a majority of the board of county commissioners of any county for such county by giving written notice of such intention six months prior to the end of the term of any presiding judge thereof, to become effective at the end of such term of office; and in case of the abolition of the court, cases then pending shall be transferred to the superior court and there tried. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.17. Not construed to repeal provisions of chapter 7.—The provisions of this article shall not be construed so as to repeal any provisions of chapter 7 of the General Statutes. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1.)

§ 7-296.18. Statement to be printed on process.—There shall be printed on the face of all process issued by a court created pursuant to this article a statement in substantially the following words: “The county court of (here insert name of county) county was created under the provisions of Article 31A of Chapter 7 of the General Statutes of North Carolina (Chapter 1441 of the Session Laws of 1957)”. (1957, c. 1441, s. 1-A.)

Article 32.
District County Courts.

§§ 7-297 to 7-307: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.

SUBCHAPTER VIII. CIVIL COUNTY COURTS.

Article 33.

With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $3000.

§§ 7-308 to 7-331: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.

Article 34.

With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $5000.

§§ 7-332 to 7-350: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.
§ 7-351. General Statutes of North Carolina

ARTICLE 35.

With Jurisdiction Not to Exceed $1500.

§§ 7-351 to 7-383: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.

ARTICLE 35A.

Additional Method of Establishing County Court.

§§ 7-383.1 to 7-383.33: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 59, effective July 1, 1967.

SUBCHAPTER IX. COUNTY CRIMINAL COURTS.

ARTICLE 36.

County Criminal Courts.

§ 7-384. Counties authorized to establish county criminal courts.

Cross Reference.—As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.


§ 7-388. Appointment of judge; associate judge.

Local Modification.—McDowell: 1957, c. 486, s. 1.

§ 7-389. Appointment of prosecuting attorney.

Local Modification. — Gates: 1957, c. 1166; McDowell: 1957, c. 486, s. 2.

§ 7-390. Clerk of court; term of office; fees; bond; sheriff.

Local Modification. — Burke: 1957, c. 284.

§ 7-393. Jurisdiction; appeal; judgment docket.

Local Modification. — Anson: 1959, c. 933, s. 1; Burke, as to subsection (d): 1955, c. 637; Yadkin, as to subsection (a): 1959, c. 411.

Section Modified by § 7-64.—The exclusive original jurisdiction given county criminal courts by this section must now be considered as modified by § 7-64, except as to those counties excluded from its provisions. State v. Robbins, 253 N. C. 47, 116 S. E. (2d) 192 (1960).

§ 7-394. Jury trials.

Local Modification. — Anson: 1959, c. 933, s. 2; Davie: 1961, c. 797; 1963, c. 407; McDowell: 1959, c. 530; Person: 1955, c. 118; Yadkin: 1957, c. 378, s. 1.


Cross Reference.—See note to § 7-393.


§ 7-396. Duties of judge; bond on appeal or on being bound over.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7-393.
§ 7-399. Warrants returnable to court.
Local Modification. — Yadkin: 1957, c. 378, s. 2.

§ 7-400. Service fees to officers except where they are on salary.

§ 7-401. Regular and special terms; place of sessions.
Local Modification. — Yadkin: 1957, c. 378, s. 3.

SUBCHAPTER X. SPECIAL COUNTY COURTS.

ARTICLE 37.

Special County Courts.

§ 7-405. Establishment upon resolution of county commissioners.
Cross Reference. — As to continued existence and ultimate abolition of courts inferior to the superior court, and their replacement by district courts, see § 7A-3.

§ 7-410. Compensation of judge and solicitor.

SUBCHAPTER XI. JUDICIAL COUNCIL.

ARTICLE 38.

Judicial Council.

§ 7-448. Establishment and membership. — A Judicial Council is hereby created which shall consist of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court or some other member of that court designated by him, two judges of the superior court designated by the Chief Justice, the Attorney General or some member of his staff designated by him, two solicitors of the superior court designated by the Chief Justice, and eight additional members, two of whom shall be appointed by the Governor, one by the President of the Senate, one by the speaker of the House of Representatives, and four by the council of the North Carolina State bar. All appointive members of the Judicial Council shall be selected on the basis of their interest in and competency for the study of law reform. The four members to be appointed by the council of the North Carolina State bar shall be active practitioners in the trial and appellate courts. (1949, c. 1052, s. 1; 1953, c. 74, s. 1.)

Editor's Note — The 1953 amendment inserted the words "or some member of his staff designated by him, two solicitors of the superior court designated by the Chief Justice" in the first sentence of this section.

§ 7-449. Terms of office. — Members of the Council shall hold office for the following terms:
1. If he designates no other member of the Supreme Court, the Chief Justice during his term of office.
2. If he designates no member of his staff, the Attorney General during his term of office.
3. All other members shall hold office from the time of their designation or appointment until June 30th of the next odd numbered year. Those authorized to designate or appoint members to the council shall make such designation or appointment to take effect on July 1st of each odd numbered year or as soon thereafter as practicable. Any member is eligible for redesignation or reappointment.
§ 7-456. Executive secretary; stenographer or clerical assistant. — The Council and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, by and with the advice, consent and approval of the Governor and Council of State, may employ an executive secretary who shall be a licensed attorney and fix his salary and also may employ a stenographer or clerical assistant and fix his or her salary. Said salaries shall be paid out of the contingency and emergency fund. The executive secretary shall perform such duties as the Council may assign to him. When not actively engaged in the discharge of duties assigned to him by said Council, he shall perform such duties as the Chief Justice may assign to him.

(1949, c. 1052, s. 2; 1953, c. 74, ss. 2, 3.)

Editor's Note. — The 1953 amendment added the words "If he designates no member of his staff" at the beginning of subsection 2, and rewrote subsection 3.

§ 7-456. EXECUTIVE SECRETARY; STEGONOGRAPIER OR CLERICAL ASSISTANT. — The Council and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, by and with the advice, consent and approval of the Governor and Council of State, may employ an executive secretary who shall be a licensed attorney and fix his salary and also may employ a stenographer or clerical assistant and fix his or her salary. Said salaries shall be paid out of the contingency and emergency fund. The executive secretary shall perform such duties as the Council may assign to him. When not actively engaged in the discharge of duties assigned to him by said Council, he shall perform such duties as the Chief Justice may assign to him. (1949, c. 1052, s. 9; 1953, c. 1111, ss. 1, 2; 1957, c. 1417.)

Editor's Note. — The 1953 amendment, effective July 1, 1953, rewrote this section. The 1957 amendment deleted the former limitation on the salary of the executive secretary and the former requirement that he act as law clerk and research assistant to the Chief Justice.

Chapter 7A.
Judicial Department.

Sec. 7A-1. Short title.
7A-2. Purpose of chapter.

SUBCHAPTER I. GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 1.
Judicial Power and Organization.
7A-4. Composition and organization.

SUBCHAPTER II. APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 2.
Appellate Division Organization.
7A-5. Organization.
7A-6. Appellate division reporter; reports.
7A-7. Law clerks; secretaries and stenographers.

Article 3.
The Supreme Court.
7A-11. Clerk of the Supreme Court; salary; bond; fees; oath.
7A-12. Supreme Court marshal.
7A-13. Supreme Court library; functions; librarian; library committee; seal of office.

Article 4.
Court of Appeals.
Sec. 7A-16. Creation and organization.
7A-17. Notice of candidacy for Court of Appeals judge to indicate vacancy.
7A-20. Clerk; oath; bond; salary; assistants; fees.

Article 5.
Jurisdiction.
7A-25. Original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.
7A-26. Appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals.
7A-27. Appeals of right from the courts of the trial divisions.
7A-29. Appeals of right from certain administrative agencies.
7A-30. Appeals of right from certain decisions of the Court of Appeals.
7A-31. Discretionary review by the Supreme Court.
7A-32. Power of Supreme Court and Court of Appeals to issue remedial writs.
7A-33. Supreme Court to prescribe appellate division rules of practice and procedure.
Sec. 7A-34. Rules of practice and procedure in trial courts.
7A-35. Disposition of appeals during transitional period.
7A-36. Effective date.

Article 6.
Retirement of Justices and Judges of the Appellate Division; Retirement Compensation; Recall to Emergency Service; Disability Retirement.
7A-39.2. Age and service requirements for retirement of justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Court of Appeals.
7A-39.3. Retired justices and judges constituted emergency justices and judges subject to recall to active service; compensation.
7A-39.5. Recall of emergency justice or emergency judge upon temporary incapacity of a justice or judge.
7A-39.6. Notice to Governor of intention to retire; commission as emergency justice or emergency judge.
7A-39.9. Chief Justice and Chief Judge may recall and terminate recall of justices and judges; procedure when Chief Justice or Chief Judge incapacitated.
7A-39.10. Article applicable to previously retired justices.
7A-39.11. Retirement on account of total and permanent disability.

SUBCHAPTER III. SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 7.
Organization.
7A-40. Composition; judicial powers of clerk; statutes applicable.
7A-43.1. Temporary incapacity of solicitor; acting solicitor.
7A-43.2. Assistant solicitors.
7A-43.3. County may authorize appointment of additional assistant solicitors.

Article 8.
Retirement of Judges of the Superior Court; Retirement Compensation; Recall to Emergency Service; Disability Retirement.
Sec.
7A-51. Age and service requirements for retirement of judges of the superior court and of the Administrative Officer of the Courts.
7A-52. Retired judges constituted emergency judges subject to recall to active service; compensation for emergency judges on recall.
7A-53. Notice to Governor of intention to retire; commission as emergency judge.
7A-54. Article applicable to judges retired under prior law.
7A-55. Retirement on account of total and permanent disability.

Article 9.
Solicitors and Solicitorial Districts.
7A-60 to 7A-67.

Article 11.
Special Regulations.
7A-95. Reporting of trials.

Article 12.
Clerk of Superior Court.
7A-102. Number, salaries, appointment, etc., of assistants, deputies and employees.
7A-102.1. Transfer of sick leave earned as county or municipal employees by certain employees in offices of clerks of superior court.
7A-103. Accounting for fees and other receipts; annual audit.
7A-104. Suspension, removal and reinstatement of clerk.
7A-105. Bonds of clerks, assistant and deputy clerks, and employees of office.
7A-106. Application of article.

SUBCHAPTER IV. DISTRICT COURT DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 13.
Creation and Organization of the District Court Division.
7A-130. Creation of district court division and district court districts; seats of court.
Establishment of district courts.
Judges, prosecutors, full-time assistant prosecutors and magistrates for district court districts.
Numbers of judges and full-time assistant prosecutors, by districts; numbers of magistrates and additional seats of court, by counties.
Family court services.
Transfer of pending cases when present inferior courts replaced by district courts.

Article 14.
District Judges.
Number; election; term; qualification; oath.
Designation of chief judge; assignment of judge to another district for temporary or specialized duty.
Vacancies in office.
Suspension; removal; reinstatement.
Compensation.
Holdover judges; judges taking office after ratification of chapter.
Administrative authority and duties of chief district judge.
Specialized judgeships.
Annual conference of chief district judges.

Article 15.
District Prosecutors.
Appointment; term; duties; oath; practice of law forbidden.
Compensation; expenses.
Suspension; removal; reinstatement.
Vacancies in office; temporary incapacity; acting prosecutor.
Assistant prosecutors; appointment; compensation; duties; oath; practice of law forbidden.
Attorneys appointed to assist in prosecution.

Article 16.
Magistrates.
Nature of office; oath; office and court hours.
Numbers; fixing of salaries; appointment and terms; vacancies.
Minimum and maximum salaries.
Suspension; removal; reinstatement.
Bonds.
Records to be kept.

Article 17.
Clerical Functions in the District Court.
Functions of clerk of superior court in district court matters.
Functions of assistant and deputy clerks of superior court in district court matters.
Clerical functions at additional seats of court.

Article 18.
District Court Practice and Procedure Generally.
District courts always open.
 Trials; hearings and orders in chambers.
By whom power of district court to enter interlocutory orders exercised.
Civil procedure generally.
Criminal procedure generally.
Special procedures in juvenile cases.
Jury trials.
Petit jurors.
Reporting of civil trials.
Special venue rule when district court sits without jury in seat of court lying in more than one county; where judgments recorded.

Article 19.
Small Claim Actions in District Court.
Small claim action defined.
Small claim actions assignable to magistrates.
Judgment of magistrate in civil action improperly assigned or not assigned.
Procedure for commencement of action; request for and notice of assignment.
Time within which trial is set.
Procedure upon nonassignment of small claim action.
Form of complaint.
Methods of subjecting person of defendant to jurisdiction.
Answer of defendant.
Certain counterclaims; cross-claims; third party claims not permissible.
No pleadings other than complaint and answer.
Objections to venue and jurisdiction over person.
General trial practice and procedure.
Sec. 7A-223. Practice and procedure in small claim actions for summary ejectment.
7A-224. Rendition and entry of judgment.
7A-225. Lien and execution of judgment.
7A-228. No new trial before magistrate; appeal for trial de novo; how appeal perfected; oral notice.
7A-229. Trial de novo on appeal.
7A-231. Provisional and incidental remedies.

SUBCHAPTER V. JURISDICTION AND POWERS OF THE TRIAL DIVISIONS OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 20.
Original Civil Jurisdiction of the Trial Divisions.
7A-240. Original civil jurisdiction generally.
7A-241. Original jurisdiction in probate and administration of decedents' estates.
7A-242. Concurrently held original jurisdiction allocated between trial divisions.
7A-243. Proper division for trial of civil actions generally determined by amount in controversy.
7A-244. Domestic relations.
7A-245. Injunctive and declaratory relief to enforce or invalidate statutes; constitutional rights.
7A-246. Special proceedings; guardianship and trust administration.
7A-248. Condemnation actions and proceedings.
7A-249. Corporate receiverships.
7A-251. Appeal from clerk to judge.
7A-252. Application of article.

Article 21.
Institution, Docketing, and Transferring Civil Causes in the Trial Divisions.
7A-253. Clerk of superior court processes all actions and proceedings.
7A-255. Causes docketed and retained in originally designated trial division until transferred.
7A-256. Waiver of proper division.

Sec. 7A-258. Motion to transfer.
7A-259. Transfer on judge's own motion.
7A-261. Application of article.

Article 22.
Jurisdiction of the Trial Divisions in Criminal Actions.
7A-270. Generally.
7A-274. Power of mayors, law enforcement officers, etc., to issue warrants and set bail restricted.
7A-275. Application of article.

Article 23.
Jurisdiction in Juvenile Matters.
7A-277. Jurisdiction of district court over juveniles.

Article 24.
Jurisdiction and Procedure in Civil Appeals from District Courts.
7A-280 to 7A-287. [Repealed.]

Article 25.
Jurisdiction and Procedure in Criminal Appeals from District Courts.
7A-288. Appeals from district court in criminal cases; notice; appeal bond.

Article 26.
Additional Powers of District Court Judges and Magistrates.
7A-291. Additional powers of district court judges.
7A-292. Additional powers of magistrates.
7A-293. Special authority of a magistrate assigned to a municipality located in more than one county of a district court district.

SUBCHAPTER VI. REVENUES AND EXPENSES OF THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Article 27.
Expenses of the Judicial Department.
7A-300. Expenses paid from State funds.
7A-301. Disbursement of expenses.
7A-302. Counties and municipalities responsible for physical facilities.
7A-303. Equipment and supplies in clerk's office.
Article 28.
Uniform Costs and Fees in the Trial Divisions.

Sec.
7A-305. Costs in civil actions.
7A-308. Miscellaneous fees and commissions.
7A-309. Magistrate's special fees.
7A-310. Fees of commissioners and assessors appointed by magistrate.
7A-311. Uniform civil process fees.
7A-312. Uniform fees for jurors; meals.
7A-313. Uniform jail fees.
7A-314. Uniform fees for witnesses; experts; limit on number.
7A-315. Liability of State for witness fees in criminal cases when defendant not liable.
7A-316. Payment of witness fees in criminal actions.
7A-317. Counties and municipalities not required to advance certain fees.
7A-318. Determination and disbursement of costs on and after date district court established.
7A-319. Application of article.

§ 7A-1. Short title.—This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the "Judicial Department Act of 1965." (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The subchapter and article numbers and location and the section numbers appear herein exactly as they do in c. 310, Session Laws 1965.

§ 7A-2. Purpose of chapter.—This chapter is intended to implement Article IV of the Constitution of North Carolina and promote the just and prompt disposition of litigation by:

1. Providing a new chapter in the General Statutes into which, at a time not later than January 1, 1971, when the General Court of Justice is fully operational in all counties of the State, all statutes concerning the organization, jurisdiction and administration of each division of the General Court of Justice may be placed;
2. Amending certain laws with respect to the superior court division to conform them to the laws set forth in this chapter, to the end that each trial division may be a harmonious part of the General Court of Justice;
3. Creating the district court division of the General Court of Justice, and the Administrative Office of the Courts;
4. Establishing in accordance with a fixed schedule the various district courts of the district court division;
5. Providing for the organization, jurisdiction and procedures necessary for the operation of the district court division;
6. Providing for the financial support of the judicial department, and for uniform costs and fees in the trial divisions of the General Court of Justice.
§ 7A-3  1967 Cumulative Supplement § 7A-6

(7) Providing for an orderly transition from the present system of courts to a uniform system completely operational in all counties of the State not later than January 1, 1971;

(8) Repealing certain laws inconsistent with the foregoing purposes; and

(9) Effectuating other purposes incidental and supplemental to the foregoing enumerated purposes. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER I. GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 1.

Judicial Power and Organization.

§ 7A-3. Judicial power; transition provisions.—Except for the judicial power vested in the court for the trial of impeachments, and except for such judicial power as may from time to time be vested by the General Assembly in administrative agencies, the judicial power of the State is vested exclusively in the General Court of Justice. Provided, that all existing courts of the State inferior to the superior courts, including justice of the peace courts and mayor's courts, shall continue to exist and to exercise the judicial powers vested in them by law until specifically abolished by law, or until the establishment within the county of their situs of a district court, or until January 1, 1971, whichever event shall first occur. Judgments of inferior courts which cease to exist under the provisions of this section continue in force and effect as though the issuing court continued to exist, and the General Court of Justice is hereby vested with jurisdiction to enforce such judgments. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-4. Composition and organization.—The General Court of Justice constitutes a unified judicial system for purposes of jurisdiction, operation and administration, and consists of an appellate division, a superior court division, and a district court division. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER II. APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 2.

Appellate Division Organization.

§ 7A-5. Organization.—The appellate division of the General Court of Justice consists of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to c. 108, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, this article was designated “Article 1A. Appellate Division Organization and Terms,” and consisted of former § 7A-5, which read, “The appellate division of the General Court of Justice consists of the Supreme Court of North Carolina. (Chapter 7, subchapter I, articles 1-6, of the General Statutes, is applicable.)” The former section derived from c. 310, s. 1, Session Laws 1965.

§ 7A-6. Appellate division reporter; reports.—(a) The Supreme Court shall appoint a reporter for the appellate division, to serve at its pleasure. It shall be the duty of the reporter to prepare for publication the opinions of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals. The salary of the reporter shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court. The reporter may employ assistant reporters in the numbers and at the salaries fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts.

(b) The Administrative Officer of the Courts shall contract for the printing of the reports of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals, and for the advance sheets of each court. He shall select a printer for the reports and prescribe such
contract terms as will insure issuance of the reports as soon as practicable after a sufficient number of opinions are filed. He shall make such contract after consultation with the Division of Purchase and Contract and comparison of prices for similar work in other states to such an extent as may be practicable. He shall also sell the reports and advance sheets of the appellate division, to the general public, at a price not less than cost nor more than cost plus ten percent (10%), to be fixed by him in his discretion. Proceeds of such sales shall be remitted to the State treasury.

(c) The Administrative Officer of the Courts shall furnish, without charge, one copy of the advance sheets of the appellate division to each justice and judge of the General Court of Justice, to each superior court solicitor, to each superior court clerk, and, in such numbers as may be reasonably necessary, to the Supreme Court library. (1967, c. 108, s. 1; c. 691, s. 57.)

Editor's Note.—Section 57, c. 691, Session Laws 1967, added the present second and third sentences in subsection (b).

§ 7A-7. Law clerks; secretaries and stenographers.—(a) Each justice and judge of the appellate division is entitled to the services of one research assistant, who must be a graduate of an accredited law school. The salaries of research assistants shall be set by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court.

(b) The Administrative Officer of the Courts shall determine the number and salaries of all secretaries and stenographers in the appellate division. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Article 3.

The Supreme Court.

§ 7A-10. Organization; compensation of justices.—(a) The Supreme Court shall consist of a Chief Justice and six associate justices, elected by the qualified voters of the State for terms of eight years. Before entering upon the duties of his office, each justice shall take an oath of office. Four justices shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of the business of the court. Sessions of the court shall be held in the city of Raleigh, and scheduled by rule of court so as to discharge expeditiously the court's business.

(b) The Chief Justice and each of the associate justices shall receive the annual salary provided in the budget appropriations act. Each justice is entitled to reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses at the rate allowed State employees generally. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this article is effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-11. Clerk of the Supreme Court; salary; bond; fees; oath.—(a) The clerk of the Supreme Court shall be appointed by the Supreme Court to serve for a term of eight years. The annual salary of the clerk shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court. The clerk may appoint assistants in the number and at the salaries fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts. The clerk shall perform such duties as the Supreme Court may assign, and shall be bonded to the State, for faithful performance of duty, in the same manner as the clerk of superior court, and in such amount as the Administrative Officer of the Courts shall determine. He shall adopt a seal of office, to be approved by the Supreme Court. A fee bill for services rendered by the clerk shall be fixed by rule of the Supreme Court, and all such fees shall be remitted to the State treasury, except that charges to litigants for the reproduction of appellate records and briefs shall be fixed and administered as provided by rule of the Supreme Court. The State Auditor shall audit the financial accounts of the clerk at least once a year.
§ 7A-12. Supreme Court marshal.—The Supreme Court may appoint a marshal to serve at its pleasure, and to perform such duties as it may assign. The marshal shall have the criminal and civil powers of a sheriff, and any additional powers necessary to execute the orders of the appellate division in any county of the State. His salary shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court. The marshal may appoint such assistants, and at such salaries, as may be authorized by the Administrative Officer of the Courts. The Supreme Court, in its discretion, may appoint the Supreme Court librarian, or some other suitable employee of the court, to serve in the additional capacity of marshal. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-13. Supreme Court library; functions; librarian; library committee; seal of office.—(a) The Supreme Court shall appoint a librarian of the Supreme Court library, to serve at the pleasure of the court. The annual salary of the librarian shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court. The librarian may appoint assistants in numbers and at salaries to be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts.

(b) The primary function of the Supreme Court library is to serve the appellate division of the General Court of Justice, but it may render service to the trial divisions of the General Court of Justice, to State agencies, and to the general public, under such regulations as the librarian, subject to the approval of the library committee, may promulgate.

(c) The library shall be maintained in the city of Raleigh, except that if the Court of Appeals sits regularly in locations other than the city of Raleigh, branch libraries may be established at such locations for the use of the Court of Appeals.

(d) The librarian shall promulgate rules and regulations for the use of the library, subject to the approval of a library committee, to be composed of two justices of the Supreme Court appointed by the Chief Justice, and one judge of the Court of Appeals appointed by the Chief Judge.

(e) The librarian may adopt a seal of office.

(f) The librarian may operate a copying service by means of which he may furnish certified or uncertified copies of all or portions of any document, paper, book, or other writing in the library that legally may be copied. When a certificate is made under his hand and attested by his official seal, it shall be received as prima facie evidence of the correctness of the matter therein contained, and as such shall receive full faith and credit. The fees for copies shall be approved by the library committee, and the fees so collected shall be administered in the same manner as the charges to litigants for the reproduction of appellate records and briefs. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Article 4.

Court of Appeals.

§ 7A-16. Creation and organization.—The Court of Appeals is created effective January 1, 1967. It shall consist initially of six judges, elected by the qualified voters of the State for terms of eight years. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall designate one of the judges as Chief Judge, to serve in such capacity at the pleasure of the Chief Justice. Before entering upon the duties of his office, a judge of the Court of Appeals shall take the oath of office prescribed for a justice of the Supreme Court, conformed to the office of judge of the Court of Appeals.
The Governor on or after July 1, 1967, shall make temporary appointments to the six initial judgeships. The appointees shall serve until January 1, 1969. Their successors shall be elected at the general election for members of the General Assembly in November, 1968, and shall take office on January 1, 1969, to serve for the remainder of the unexpired term which began on January 1, 1967.

Upon the appointment of at least five judges, and the designation of a Chief Judge, the court is authorized to convene, organize, and promulgate, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, such supplementary rules as it deems necessary and appropriate for the discharge of the judicial business lawfully assigned to it.

Effective January 1, 1969, the number of judges is increased to nine, and the Governor, on or after March 1, 1969, shall make temporary appointments to the additional judgeships thus created. The appointees shall serve until January 1, 1971. Their successors shall be elected at the general election for members of the General Assembly in November, 1970, and shall take office on January 1, 1971, to serve for the remainder of the unexpired term which began on January 1, 1969.

The Court of Appeals shall sit in panels of three judges each. The Chief Judge insofar as practicable shall assign the members to panels in such fashion that each member sits a substantially equal number of times with each other member. He shall preside over the panel of which he is a member, and shall designate the presiding judge of the other panel or panels.

Three judges shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of the business of the court, except as may be provided in § 7A-32. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this article is effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-17. Notice of candidacy for Court of Appeals judge to indicate vacancy.—In any primary in which there are two or more vacancies for judge of the Court of Appeals to be filled by nominations, each candidate shall, at the time of filing notice of candidacy, file with the State Board of Elections a written statement designating the vacancy to which he seeks nomination. Votes cast for a candidate shall be effective only for his nomination to the vacancy for which he has given notice of candidacy as provided in this section. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-18. Compensation of judges.—The Chief Judge and each associate judge of the Court of Appeals shall receive the annual salary provided in the budget appropriations act. Each judge is entitled to reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses at the rate allowed State employees generally. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-19. Seats and sessions of court.—(a) The Court of Appeals shall sit in Raleigh, and at such other locations within the State as the Supreme Court may designate.

(b) The Department of Administration shall provide adequate quarters for the Court of Appeals.

(c) The Chief Judge shall schedule sessions of the court as required to discharge expeditiously the court's business. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-20. Clerk; oath; bond; salary; assistants; fees.—(a) The Court of Appeals shall appoint a clerk to serve at its pleasure. Before entering upon his duties, the clerk shall take the oath of office prescribed for the clerk of the Supreme Court, conforming to the office of clerk of the Court of Appeals, and shall be bonded, in the same manner as the clerk of superior court, in an amount prescribed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, payable to the State, for the faithful performance of his duties. The salary of the clerk shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, subject to the approval of the Court of Appeals. The number and salaries of his assistants, and their bonds, if required, shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts. The clerk shall adopt a seal of office, to be approved by the Court of Appeals.
§ 7A-25. Original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. — The Supreme Court has original jurisdiction to hear claims against the State, but its decisions shall be merely recommendatory; no process in the nature of execution shall issue thereon; the decisions shall be reported to the next session of the General Assembly for its action. The court shall by rule prescribe the procedures to be followed in the proper exercise of the jurisdiction conferred by this section. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Cross Reference.—As to effective date of article, see § 7A-36.

§ 7A-26. Appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals.—The Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals respectively have jurisdiction to review upon appeal decisions of the several courts of the General Court of Justice and of administrative agencies, upon matters of law or legal inference, in accordance with the system of appeals provided in this article. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-27. Appeals of right from the courts of the trial divisions.—(a) From any judgment of a superior court which includes a sentence of death or imprisonment for life, appeal lies of right directly to the Supreme Court.

(b) From any final judgment of a superior court, other than one described in subsection (a) of this section or one entered in a post-conviction hearing under article 22 of chapter 15, including any final judgment entered upon review of a decision of an administrative agency, appeal lies of right to the Court of Appeals.

(c) From any final judgment of a district court in a civil action appeal lies of right directly to the Court of Appeals.

(d) From any interlocutory order or judgment of a superior court or district court in a civil action or proceeding which

1. Affects a substantial right, or
2. In effect determines the action and prevents a judgment from which appeal might be taken, or
3. Discontinues the action, or
4. Grants or refuses a new trial, appeal lies of right directly to the Court of Appeals. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-28. Decisions of Court of Appeals in post-conviction proceedings final.—Decisions of the Court of Appeals rendered upon review of post-conviction proceedings conducted under article 22 of chapter 15 are final and not subject to further review in the General Court of Justice by appeal, certification, writ, or otherwise. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-29. Appeals of right from certain administrative agencies.—From any final order or decision of the North Carolina Utilities Commission or of the North Carolina Industrial Commission, appeal lies of right directly to the Court of Appeals. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)
§ 7A-30. Appeals of right from certain decisions of the Court of Appeals.—Except as provided in § 7A-28, from any decision of the Court of Appeals rendered in a case

(1) Which directly involves a substantial question arising under the Constitution of the United States or of this State, or
(2) In which there is a dissent, or
(3) Which involves review of a decision of the North Carolina Utilities Commission in a general rate-making case, an appeal lies of right to the Supreme Court. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-31. Discretionary review by the Supreme Court. — (a) In any cause in which appeal has been taken to the Court of Appeals, except a cause appealed from the North Carolina Utilities Commission or the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and except a cause involving review of a post-conviction proceeding under article 22, chapter 15, the Supreme Court may in its discretion, on motion of any party to the cause or on its own motion, certify the cause for review by the Supreme Court, either before or after it has been determined by the Court of Appeals. A cause appealed to the Court of Appeals from the Utilities Commission or the Industrial Commission may be certified in similar fashion but only after determination of the cause in the Court of Appeals. The effect of such certification is to transfer the cause from the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court for review by the Supreme Court. If the cause is certified for transfer to the Supreme Court before its determination in the Court of Appeals, review is not had in the Court of Appeals but the cause is forthwith transferred for review in the first instance by the Supreme Court. If the cause is certified for transfer to the Supreme Court after its determination by the Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court reviews the decision of the Court of Appeals.

(b) In causes subject to certification under subsection (a) of this section, certification may be made by the Supreme Court before determination of the cause by the Court of Appeals when in the opinion of the Supreme Court

(1) The subject matter of the appeal has significant public interest, or
(2) The cause involves legal principles of major significance to the jurisprudence of the State, or
(3) Delay in final adjudication is likely to result from failure to certify and thereby cause substantial harm, or
(4) The work load of the courts of the appellate division is such that the expeditious administration of justice requires certification.

(c) In causes subject to certification under subsection (a) of this section, certification may be made by the Supreme Court after determination of the cause by the Court of Appeals when in the opinion of the Supreme Court

(1) The subject matter of the appeal has significant public interest, or
(2) The cause involves legal principles of major significance to the jurisprudence of the State, or
(3) The decision of the Court of Appeals appears likely to be in conflict with a decision of the Supreme Court.

Interlocutory determinations by the Court of Appeals, including orders remanding the cause for a new trial or for other proceedings, shall be certified for review by the Supreme Court only upon a determination by the Supreme Court that failure to certify would cause a delay in final adjudication which would probably result in substantial harm.

(d) The procedure for certification by the Supreme Court on its own motion, or upon petition of a party, shall be prescribed by rule of the Supreme Court. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-32. Power of Supreme Court and Court of Appeals to issue remedial writs. — (a) The Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals have
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jurisdiction, exercisable by any one of the justices or judges of the respective courts, to issue the writ of habeas corpus upon the application of any person described in G.S. 17-3, according to the practice and procedure provided therefor in chapter 17 of the General Statutes, and to rule of the Supreme Court.

(b) The Supreme Court has jurisdiction, exercisable by one justice or by such number of justices as the court may by rule provide, to issue the prerogative writs, including mandamus, prohibition, certiorari, and supersedeas, in aid of its own jurisdiction or in exercise of its general power to supervise and control the proceedings of any of the other courts of the General Court of Justice. The practice and procedure shall be as provided by statute or rule of the Supreme Court, or, in the absence of statute or rule, according to the practice and procedure of the common law.

(c) The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction, exercisable by one judge or by such number of judges as the Supreme Court may by rule provide, to issue the prerogative writs, including mandamus, prohibition, certiorari, and supersedeas, in aid of its own jurisdiction, or to supervise and control the proceedings of any of the trial courts of the General Court of Justice, and of the Utilities Commission and the Industrial Commission. The practice and procedure shall be as provided by statute or rule of the Supreme Court, or, in the absence of statute or rule, according to the practice and procedure of the common law.

§ 7A-33. Supreme Court to prescribe appellate division rules of practice and procedure.—The Supreme Court shall prescribe rules of practice and procedure designed to procure the expeditious and inexpensive disposition of all litigation in the appellate division. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-34. Rules of practice and procedure in trial courts.—The Supreme Court is hereby authorized to prescribe rules of practice and procedure for the superior and district courts supplementary to, and not inconsistent with, acts of the General Assembly. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-35. Disposition of appeals during transitional period. — (a) Civil cases tried in the district court in which notice of appeal to the superior court has been given on or before September 30, 1967, and which have not been finally determined in the superior court on that date, shall be disposed of as provided by rule of the Supreme Court, and the jurisdiction of the superior court over civil appeals from the district court continues to the extent necessary for this purpose.

(b) All cases in which notice of appeal from the superior court to the Supreme Court has been given on or before September 30, 1967, and which have not been finally determined on that date, shall be disposed of in accordance with the laws and rules governing such appeals which were applicable immediately prior to September 30, 1967.

(c) On and after October 1, 1967, all causes appealed to the appellate division from the Utilities Commission, the Industrial Commission, the district court in civil cases, or the superior court, other than criminal cases which impose a sentence of death or life imprisonment, shall be filed with the clerk of the Court of Appeals.

(d) The Supreme Court by rule shall implement this section to the end that all causes appealed from the trial divisions to the appellate division during the period of transition from the existing judicial structure to a fully operational General Court of Justice are processed efficiently and without prejudice or inconvenience to any litigant. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-36. Effective date.—This article shall become effective on September 30, 1967. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)
§ 7A-39.1. Justice, emergency justice, judge and emergency judge defined.—(a) As herein used “justice of the Supreme Court” includes the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and “judge of the Court of Appeals” includes the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals, unless the context clearly indicates a contrary intent.  
(b) As used herein, “emergency justice” or “emergency judge” means any justice of the Supreme Court or any judge of the Court of Appeals, respectively, who has retired subject to recall for temporary service in the place of any active member of the court from which he retired. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this article is effective July 1, 1967. Former § 7A-39.1, which was enacted by Session Laws 1965, c. 310, s. 1, was transferred and renumbered § 7A-42 by s. 1, c. 691, Session Laws 1967.

§ 7A-39.2. Age and service requirement for retirement of justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Court of Appeals.—(a) Any justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals who has attained the age of sixty-five years, and who has served for a total of fifteen years, whether consecutive or not, on the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, or the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or in any combination of these offices, may retire from his present office and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant or occupants of the office from which he retired.  
(b) Any justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals who has attained the age of sixty-five years, and who has served as justice or judge, or both, in the appellate division for twelve consecutive years may retire and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant or occupants of the office from which he retired.  
(c) Any justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals who has served for eight consecutive years as justice or judge in the appellate division may, at age seventy-five, retire and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant or occupants of the office from which he retired.  
(d) Any justice or judge of the appellate division, who has served for a total of twenty-four years, whether continuously or not, as justice of the Supreme Court, judge of the Court of Appeals, judge of the superior court, or Administrative Officer of the Courts, or in any combination of these offices, may retire, regardless of age, and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant or occupants of the office from which he retired. In determining eligibility for retirement under this subsection, time served as a district solicitor of the superior court prior to January 1, 1971, may be included, provided the person has served at least eight years as a justice, judge, or Administrative Officer of the Courts, or in any combination of these offices. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.3. Retired justices and judges constituted emergency justices and judges subject to recall to active service; compensation.—(a) The justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Court of Appeals who retire under the provisions of § 7A-39.2 are hereby constituted emergency justices of the Supreme Court and emergency judges of the Court of Appeals, respectively, for life, and shall be subject to temporary recall to active service in the place of any justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals, respectively, who is temporarily incapacitated to the extent that he cannot perform efficiently and promptly all the duties of his office.

(b) In addition to the compensation provided in § 7A-39.2, each emergency justice or emergency judge recalled for temporary active service shall be paid by the State his actual expenses, plus one hundred dollars ($100.00) for each week of active service rendered under recall. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.4. Retirement creates vacancy.—The retirement of any justice of the Supreme Court or any judge of the Court of Appeals under the provisions of this article shall create a vacancy in his office to be filled as provided by law. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.5. Recall of emergency justice or emergency judge upon temporary incapacity of a justice or judge.—(a) Upon the request of any justice of the Supreme Court who has been advised in writing by a reputable and competent physician that he is temporarily incapable of performing efficiently and promptly all the duties of his office, the Chief Justice may recall any emergency justice who, in his opinion, is competent to perform the duties of an associate justice, to serve temporarily in the place of the justice in whose behalf he is recalled; provided, that when the incapacity of a justice of the Supreme Court is such that he cannot request the recall of an emergency justice to serve in his place, an order of recall may be issued by the Chief Justice upon satisfactory medical proof of the facts upon which the order of recall must be based. Orders of recall shall be in writing and entered upon the minutes of the court.

(b) Upon the request of any judge of the Court of Appeals who has been advised in writing by a reputable and competent physician that he is temporarily incapable of performing efficiently and promptly all the duties of his office, the Chief Judge may recall any emergency judge who, in his opinion, is competent to perform the duties of a judge of the Court of Appeals, to serve temporarily in the place of the judge in whose behalf he is recalled; provided, that when the incapacity of a judge of the Court of Appeals is such that he cannot request the recall of an emergency judge to serve in his place, an order of recall may be issued by the Chief Judge upon satisfactory medical proof of the facts upon which the order of recall must be based. Orders of recall shall be in writing and entered upon the minutes of the court. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.6. Notice to Governor of intention to retire; commission as emergency justice or emergency judge.—Any justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals who is qualified and who desires to retire under the provisions of § 7A-39.2 shall notify the Governor in writing of his intention to do so, including in the notice the facts which entitle him to retire. Upon receipt of such notice, the Governor shall issue a commission as an emergency justice or judge, as appropriate, to the applicant, effective upon the date of his retirement. The commission shall be effective for life. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.7. Jurisdiction and authority of emergency justices and emergency judges.—An emergency justice or emergency judge shall not have or possess any jurisdiction or authority to hear arguments or participate in the consideration and decision of any cause or perform any other duty or function of a justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals, respectively, except while serving under an order of recall and in respect to appeals, motions, and other matters heard, considered, and decided by the court during the period of his temporary service under such order; and the justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals in whose behalf an emergency justice or emergency judge is recalled to active service shall be disqualified to participate in the consideration and decision of any question presented to the court by appeal, motion or otherwise in which any emergency justice or emergency judge recalled in his behalf participated. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.8. Court authorized to adopt rules.—The Supreme Court shall prescribe rules respecting the filing of opinions prepared by an emergency justice.
§ 7A-39.9. Chief Justice and Chief Judge may recall and terminate recall of justices and judges; procedure when Chief Justice or Chief Judge incapacitated. — (a) The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals are vested with authority to issue orders of recall to emergency justices and judges, respectively, and to perform any and all other acts deemed necessary to effectuate the purposes of this article, and their decisions, when not in conflict herewith, shall be final.

(b) The Chief Justice or Chief Judge, may, at any time, in his discretion, cancel any order of recall issued by him or fix the termination date thereof.

(c) Whenever the Chief Justice is the justice in whose behalf an emergency justice is recalled to temporary service, the powers vested in him as Chief Justice by this article shall be exercised by the associate justice senior in point of time served on the Supreme Court. Whenever the Chief Judge is the judge in whose behalf an emergency judge is recalled to temporary service the powers vested in him as Chief Judge by this article shall be exercised by the associate judge senior in point of time served on the Court of Appeals. If two or more judges have served the same length of time on the Court of Appeals, the eldest shall be deemed the senior judge. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.10. Article applicable to previously retired justices. — All provisions of this article shall apply to every justice of the Supreme Court who has heretofore retired and is receiving compensation as an emergency justice. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

§ 7A-39.11. Retirement on account of total and permanent disability. — Every justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals who has served for eight years or more on the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, or the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or in any combination of these offices, and who while in active service becomes totally and permanently disabled so as to be unable to perform efficiently the duties of his office, and who retires by reason of such disability, shall receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant or occupants of the office from which he retired. In determining whether a judge is eligible for retirement under this section, time served as district solicitor of the superior court prior to January 1, 1971, may be included. Whenever any justice or judge claims retirement benefits under this section on account of total and permanent disability, the Governor and Council of State, acting together, shall, after notice and an opportunity to be heard is given the applicant, by a majority vote of said body, make findings of fact from the evidence offered. Such findings of fact shall be reduced to writing and entered upon the minutes of the Council of State. The findings so made shall be conclusive as to such matters and determine the right of the applicant to retirement benefits under this section. Justices and judges retired under the provisions of this section are not subject to recall as emergency justices or judges. (1967, c. 108, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER III. SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

ARTICLE 7.

Organization.

§ 7A-42. Composition; judicial powers of clerk; statutes applicable. — The superior court division of the General Court of Justice consists of the
several superior courts of the State. The clerk of superior court in the exercise of the judicial power conferred upon him as ex officio judge of probate, and in the exercise of other judicial powers conferred upon him by law in respect of special proceedings and the administration of guardianships and trusts, is a judicial officer of the superior court division, and not a separate court. (Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, chapter 7, subchapter II, articles 7-11 of the General Statutes is applicable.) (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—This section was formerly § 7A-39.1. It was transferred and renumbered by s. 1, c. 691, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-43.1. Temporary incapacity of solicitor; acting solicitor.—When a superior court solicitor becomes for any reason unable to perform his duties, the Attorney General shall appoint an acting solicitor to serve during the period of disability. An acting solicitor has all the power, authority and duties of the regular solicitor. He shall take the oath of office prescribed for the regular solicitor, and receive forty-five dollars ($45.00) per diem for each day in which he performs the duties of solicitor. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, struck out the former last sentence, making the section effective on the first Monday in December, 1966.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-43.2. Assistant solicitors.—(a) With the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts, the solicitor may appoint one or more full-time assistant solicitors, each to serve at the pleasure of the solicitor. The salary for a full-time assistant solicitor shall be fixed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, but shall not exceed that of a district court prosecutor.

(b) With the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts, a solicitor may appoint for part-time service one or more qualified attorneys to assist in the prosecution of the criminal dockets of his district when:

(1) Criminal cases accumulate on the dockets of the district beyond the capacity of the solicitor and his full-time assistants, if any, to keep the dockets reasonably current; or

(2) The prosecution of criminal cases in a specific location in the district would be better served.

Attorneys appointed under the authority of this subsection shall receive thirty-five dollars ($35.00) per diem for each day, not in excess of five days per week, they serve as assistant prosecutors, and they shall serve for such time as may be authorized by the Administrative Officer of the Courts.

(c) An assistant solicitor appointed under this section is entitled to reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses when engaged on official business outside his county of residence at the rate applicable to State employees generally. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the section.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-43.3. County may authorize appointment of additional assistant solicitors.—Notwithstanding the provisions of G.S. 7A-43.2, the board of commissioners of any county may, in its discretion, authorize the solicitor to appoint a competent attorney to assist him in the prosecution of the criminal docket of the superior court of the county. The assistant solicitor so appointed serves at the pleasure of the solicitor, who assigns his duties. The compensation of the assistant solicitor shall be fixed by the board of commissioners after consultation with the solicitor, and it shall be paid from the general fund of the county. The
§ 7A-50. Emergency judge defined.—As used in this article "emergency judge" means any judge of the superior court who has retired subject to recall to active service for temporary duty. (1967, c. 108, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this article is effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-51. Age and service requirements for retirement of judges of the superior court and of the Administrative Officer of the Courts.—(a) Any judge of the superior court, or Administrative Officer of the Courts, who has attained the age of sixty-five years, and who has served for a total of fifteen years, whether consecutive or not, as a judge of the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or as judge of the superior court and as Administrative Officer of the Courts combined, may retire and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant of the office from which he retired.

(b) Any judge of the superior court, or Administrative Officer of the Courts, who has served for twelve years, whether consecutive or not, as a judge of the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or as judge of the superior court and as Administrative Officer of the Courts combined may, at age sixty-eight, retire and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant of the office from which he retired.

(c) Any person who has served for a total of twenty-four years, whether continuously or not, as a judge of the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or as judge of the superior court and as Administrative Officer of the Courts combined, may retire, regardless of age, and receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant of the office from which he retired. In determining whether a person meets the requirements of this subsection, time served as district solicitor of the superior court prior to January 1, 1971, may be included, so long as the person has served at least eight years as a judge of the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or as judge of the superior court and Administrative Officer of the Courts combined.

(d) Any judge of the superior court who has attained the age of seventy years must retire on the first day of the month following his seventieth birthday, and upon retirement such person is entitled to the benefits of this section, if he is otherwise qualified under subsections (a), (b), or (c). This subsection shall not require any judge of the superior court who reaches the age of seventy to retire until the expiration of the term of office during which he is or becomes qualified for retirement under the provisions of this article. (1967, c. 108, s. 2.)

§ 7A-52. Retired judges constituted emergency judges subject to recall to active service; compensation for emergency judges on recall.—(a) Judges of the superior court who retire under the provisions of § 7A-51 are
§ 7A-53. Notice to Governor of intention to retire; commission as emergency judge.—Any judge of the superior court who is qualified and who desires to retire under the provisions of § 7A-51 shall notify the Governor in writing of his intention to do so, including in the notice the facts which entitle him to retire. Upon receipt of such notice, the Governor shall issue a commission as emergency judge to the applicant, effective upon the date of his retirement. The commission shall be effective for life. (1967, c. 108, s. 2.)

§ 7A-54. Article applicable to judges retired under prior law.—All judges of the superior court who have heretofore retired and who are receiving retirement compensation under the provisions of any judicial retirement law previously enacted shall be entitled to the benefits of this article. All such judges shall be subject to assignment as emergency judges by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, except judges retired for total disability. (1967, c. 108, s. 2.)

§ 7A-55. Retirement on account of total and permanent disability.—Every judge of the superior court or Administrative Officer of the Courts who has served for eight years or more on the superior court, or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, or on the superior court and as Administrative Officer of the Courts combined, and who while in active service becomes totally and permanently disabled so as to be unable to perform efficiently the duties of his office, and who retires by reason of such disability, shall receive for life compensation equal to two thirds of the annual salary from time to time received by the occupant of the office from which he retired. In determining whether a person meets the requirements for retirement under this section, time served as district solicitor of the superior court prior to January 1, 1971, may be included. Whenever any judge claims retirement benefits under this section on account of total and permanent disability, the Governor and Council of State, acting together, shall, after notice and an opportunity to be heard is given the applicant, by a majority vote of said body, make findings of fact from the evidence offered. Such findings of fact shall be reduced to writing and entered upon the minutes of the Council of State. The findings so made shall be conclusive as to such matters and determine the right of the applicant to retirement benefits under this section. Judges retired under the provisions of this section are not subject to recall as emergency judges. (1967, c. 108, s. 2.)

ARTICLE 9.
Solicitors and Solicitorial Districts.

§§ 7A-60 to 7A-67.

ARTICLE 11.
Special Regulations.

§ 7A-95. Reporting of trials. — (a) Court reporting personnel shall be utilized, if available, for the reporting of trials in the superior court. If court re-
porters are not available in any county, electronic or other mechanical devices shall be provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts upon the request of the senior regular resident superior court judge.

(b) The Administrative Office of the Courts shall from time to time investigate the state of the art and techniques of recording testimony, and shall provide such electronic or mechanical devices as are found to be most efficient for this purpose.

(c) If an electronic or other mechanical device is utilized, it shall be the duty of the clerk of the superior court or some person designated by the clerk to operate the device while a trial is in progress, and the clerk shall thereafter preserve the record thus produced, and transcribe the record as required.

(d) Reporting of any trial may be waived by consent of the parties.

(e) Appointment of a reporter or reporters for superior court proceedings in each district shall be made by the senior regular resident superior court judge. The compensation and allowances of reporters in each district shall be fixed by the senior regular resident superior court judge, within limits determined by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, and paid by the State.

(f) This section applies only to those districts wherein a district court is established. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

**ARTICLE 12.**

**Clerk of Superior Court.**

§ 7A-101. Compensation.—(a) The clerk of superior court is a full-time employee of the State and shall receive an annual salary, payable in equal monthly installments, based on the population of the county, as determined by the 1960 federal decennial census, according to the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10,000</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 to 19,999</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 to 49,999</td>
<td>9,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 to 99,999</td>
<td>10,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 to 149,999</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150,000 to 199,999</td>
<td>14,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,000 to 249,999</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250,000 and above</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a county changes from one population group to another as a result of any future federal decennial census, the salary of the clerk shall be changed to the salary appropriate for the new population group on July 1 of the first full biennium subsequent to the taking of the census (July 1, 1971; July 1, 1981; etc.), except that the salary of an incumbent clerk shall not be decreased by any change in population group during his term.

The salary set forth in this section shall constitute the clerk’s sole compensation, and he shall receive no fees, commissions, or other compensation by virtue of his office, except as provided in subsection (b) of this section.

(b) For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1967, and annually thereafter, the Administrative Officer of the Courts may, in his discretion, authorize an increase in the annual salary of any clerk of the superior court in an amount not to exceed ten percent (10%) of the salary set forth in subsection (a). In no event, however, shall the increase or increases cause the salary of any clerk to exceed the salary set out in subsection (a) for the next higher population group. Salary increases for any clerk in the population group of 250,000 and above shall not exceed ten percent (10%) of the salary set out in subsection (a) for that group.

An increase in the salary of the clerk shall be based on a finding by the Administrative Officer of the Courts of one or more of the following:
(1) The records and reports of the clerk meet high standards of completeness, accuracy, and timeliness, and the operations of the clerk's office are discharged with exceptional efficiency and economy; or

(2) The responsibilities of the clerk, due to rapid population growth or rapid increase in judicial business, have increased above the average for clerks in his salary grouping.

The decision of the Administrative Officer of the Courts under this subsection shall be final. This subsection shall not apply to a clerk who has served less than one year in office. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 5.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, designated the former provisions of the section as subsection (a), added subsection (b) and rewrote the exception at the end of what is now subsection (a).

§ 7A-102. Number, salaries, appointment, etc., of assistants, deputies and employees.—The numbers and salaries of assistant clerks, deputy clerks, and other employees in the office of each clerk of superior court shall be determined by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, after consultation with the clerk of superior court and with the board of county commissioners or its designated representative in each county, and the salaries shall be fixed with due regard to the salary levels and the economic situation in the county. All personnel in the clerk's office are employees of the State. The clerk of superior court appoints the assistants, deputies, and other employees in his office, to serve at his pleasure. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-102.1. Transfer of sick leave earned as county or municipal employees by certain employees in offices of clerks of superior court.—

(a) All assistant clerks, deputy clerks and other employees of the clerks of the superior court of this State and court reporters of the superior courts, who have heretofore been, or shall hereafter be, changed in status from county employees to State employees by reason of the enactment of chapter 7A of the General Statutes, shall be entitled to transfer sick leave accumulated as a county employee pursuant to any county system and standing to the credit of such employee at the time of such change of status to State employee, not exceeding earned sick leave in an amount totaling 30 work days. Such earned sick leave credit shall be certified to the Administrative Office of the Courts by the official or employee responsible for keeping sick leave records for the county, and the Administrative Office of the Courts shall accord such transferred sick leave credit the same status as if it had been earned as a State employee.

(b) All clerks, assistant clerks, deputy clerks and other employees of any court inferior to the superior court which has been or may be abolished by reason of the enactment of chapter 7A of the General Statutes, shall be entitled to transfer sick leave earned as a municipal or county employee pursuant to any municipal or county system in effect on the date said court was abolished, not exceeding earned sick leave in an amount totaling 30 work days. Such earned sick leave credit shall be certified to the Administrative Office of the Courts by the official or employee responsible for keeping sick leave records for the county, and the Administrative Office of the Courts shall accord such transferred sick leave credit the same status as if it had been earned as a State employee. (1967, c. 1187, ss. 1, 2.)

Editor's Note.—Section 4, c. 1187, Session Laws 1967, provides: "This act shall become effective upon its ratification and shall be effective retroactively to December 5, 1966, with respect to employees whose status has already been changed by operation of law."

§ 7A 103. Accounting for fees and other receipts; annual audit.—The Administrative Office of the Courts and the Department of Administration, subject to the approval of the State Auditor, shall establish procedures for the re-
receipt, deposit, protection, investment, and disbursement of all funds coming into the hands of the clerk of superior court. The fees to be remitted to counties and municipalities shall be paid to them monthly by the clerk of superior court.

The State Auditor shall conduct an annual post audit of the receipts, disbursements, and fiscal transactions of each clerk of superior court, and furnish a copy to the Administrative Office of the Courts. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-104. Suspension, removal, and reinstatement of clerk.—A clerk of superior court may be suspended or removed from office, and reinstated, for the same causes and under the same procedures as are applicable to a district court judge, except that the procedure shall be initiated by the filing of a sworn affidavit with the chief district judge of the district in which the clerk resides. If suspension is ordered, the senior regular resident superior court judge shall appoint some qualified person to act as clerk during the period of the suspension. (1967, c. 691, s. 6.)

Editor's Note.—Section 6, c. 691, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, repealed former § 7A-104 and enacted a new section in lieu thereof. The former section derived from s. 1, c. 310, Session Laws 1965, and related to the bond of the clerk.

§ 7A-105. Bonds of clerks, assistant and deputy clerks, and employees of office.—The Administrative Officer of the Courts may require, or purchase, in such amounts as he deems proper, individual or blanket bonds for any and all clerks of superior court, assistant clerks, deputy clerks, and other persons employed in the offices of the various clerks of superior court, or one blanket bond covering all such clerks and other persons, such bond or bonds to be conditioned upon faithful performance of duty, and made payable to the State. The premiums shall be paid by the State. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 7.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the section.

§ 7A-106. Application of article—The provisions of this article apply in each county of the State on and after the date that a district court is established therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER IV. DISTRICT COURT DIVISION OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

Article 13.

Creation and Organization of the District Court Division.

§ 7A-130. Creation of district court division and district court districts; seats of court.—The district court division of the General Court of Justice is hereby created. It consists of various district courts organized in territorial districts. The numbers and boundaries of the districts are identical to those of the superior court judicial districts. The district court shall sit in the county seat of each county, and at such additional places in each county as the General Assembly may authorize, except that sessions of court are not required at an additional seat of court unless the chief district judge and the Administrative Officer of the Courts concur in a finding that the facilities are adequate. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-131. Establishment of district courts.—District courts are established, within districts, in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) On the first Monday in December, 1966, the first, the twelfth, the fourteenth, the sixteenth, the twenty-fifth, and the thirtieth districts;

(2) On the first Monday in December, 1968, the second, the third, the fourth, the fifth, the sixth, the seventh, the eighth, the ninth, the tenth, the eleventh, the thirteenth, the fifteenth, the eighteenth, the twentieth, the
§ 7A-132. Judges, prosecutors, full-time assistant prosecutors and magistrates for district court districts.—Each district court district shall have one or more judges and one prosecutor. Each county within each district shall have at least one magistrate.

For each district the General Assembly shall prescribe the numbers of district judges and the numbers of full-time assistant prosecutors. For each county within each district the General Assembly shall prescribe a minimum and a maximum number of magistrates. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Amendment Effective January 1, 1971.—Session Laws 1967, c. 1049, s. 5, effective Jan. 1, 1971, will substitute “solicitor” for “prosecutor” at the end of the third sentence, and will substitute “solicitors” for “prosecutors” at the end of the first sentence.

§ 7A-133. Numbers of judges and full-time assistant prosecutors, by districts; numbers of magistrates and additional seats of court, by counties.—Each district court district shall have the numbers of judges and full-time assistant prosecutors, and each county within the district shall have the numbers of magistrates and additional seats of court, as set forth in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Judges</th>
<th>Full-Time Pros.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Magistrates</th>
<th>Additional Seats of Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chowan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Currituck</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dare</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gates</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pasquotank</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perquimans</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beaufort</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tyrrell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyde</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Craven</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pitt</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sampson</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Duplin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onslow</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pender</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Judges</th>
<th>Full-Time Asst. Pros.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Magistrates Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Additional Seats of Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Roanoke Rapids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Halifax</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bertie</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hertford</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nash</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rocky Mount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edgecombe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rocky Mount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mount Olive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lenoir</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Granville</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wake</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Harnett</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnston</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wendell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fuquay-Varina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoke</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Benson and Selma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bladen</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Shallotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brunswick</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tabor City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alamance</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Siler City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chapel Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Robeson</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fairmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Maxton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Red Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stanly</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>St. Pauls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>High Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anson</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Southern Pines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Judges</th>
<th>Full-Time Asst. Pros.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Magistrates Min. - Max.</th>
<th>Additional Seats of Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Forsyth</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>Kernersville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Avery</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Watauga</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yancey</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Burke</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td>Hickory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catawba</td>
<td>6 - 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>15 - 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>5 - 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gaston</td>
<td>10 - 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McDowell</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rutherford</td>
<td>6 - 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transylvania</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cherokee</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>Canton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Graham</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macon</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Swain</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 8.)

Editor's Note.—Session Laws 1967, c. 691, s. 8, effective July 1, 1967, struck out the former table and inserted the present table in lieu thereof.

Amendment Effective January 1, 1971.
—Session Laws 1967, c. 1049, s. 5, effective

§ 7A-134. Family court services.—In any district court district having a county with a population of 85,000 or more, according to the latest federal decennial census, the chief district judge and the Administrative Officer of the Courts may determine that special counselor services should be made available in the district to the district judge or judges hearing domestic relations and juvenile cases. In this event, the chief district judge may appoint a chief counselor and such assistant counselors as the Administrative Officer may authorize, to provide investigative, supervisory, and other related services. The salaries of the chief counselor and the assistant counselors shall be determined by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, with due regard to the salary levels and the economic situation in the district, and all counselors shall be employees of the State. The chief counselor and his assistants shall serve at the pleasure of the chief district judge. Counselors shall have the same powers and authority as is conferred upon juvenile
§ 7A-135. Transfer of pending cases when present inferior courts replaced by district courts.—On the date that the district court is established in any county, cases pending in the inferior court or courts of that county shall be transferred to the appropriate division of the General Court of Justice, and all records of these courts shall be transferred to the office of clerk of superior court in that county pursuant to rule of Supreme Court. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Article 14.
District Judges.

§ 7A-140. Number; election; term; qualification; oath.—There shall be at least one district judge for each district. Each district judge shall be elected by the qualified voters of the district court district in which he is to serve at the time of the election for members of the General Assembly. The number of judges for each district shall be determined by the General Assembly. Each judge shall be a resident of the district for which elected, and shall serve a term of four years, beginning on the first Monday in December following his election.

Each district judge shall devote his full time to the duties of his office. He shall not practice law during his term, nor shall he during such term be the partner or associate of any person engaged in the practice of law.

Before entering upon his duties, each district judge, in addition to other oaths prescribed by law, shall take the following oath of office: "I............., do solemnly swear (affirm) that I will administer justice without favoritism to anyone; that I will do equal law and right to all persons; that I will not knowingly or willingly take, by myself or any other person, any fee, gift, gratuity or reward whatsoever, for any matter or thing by me done or to be done by virtue of my office, except the salary and allowances by law provided; and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of district judge to the best of my ability and understanding, so help me, God." (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-141. Designation of chief judge; assignment of judge to another district for temporary or specialized duty.—When more than one judge is authorized in a district, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall designate one of the judges as chief district judge to serve in such capacity at the pleasure of the Chief Justice. In a single judge district, the judge is the chief district judge.

The Chief Justice may transfer a district judge from one district to another for temporary or specialized duty. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-142. Vacancies in office.—A vacancy in the office of district judge shall be filled for the unexpired term by appointment of the Governor from nominations submitted by the bar of the judicial district. If the district bar fails to submit nominations within two weeks from the date the vacancy occurs, the Governor may appoint to fill the vacancy without waiting for nominations. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-143. Suspension; removal; reinstatement.—The following shall be grounds for suspension of a district judge or for his removal from office:

(1) Willful or habitual neglect or refusal to perform the duties of his office;
(2) Willful misconduct or maladministration in office;
(3) Corruption;
A proceeding to suspend or remove a district judge is commenced by filing with the clerk of superior court of the county where the judge resides a sworn affidavit charging the judge with one or more grounds for removal. The clerk shall immediately bring the matter to the attention of the senior regular resident superior court judge for the district, who shall within 15 days either review and act on the charges or refer them for review and action within 15 days to another superior court judge residing in or regularly holding the courts of the district. If the superior court judge upon review finds that the charges if true constitute grounds for suspension, he may enter an order suspending the district judge from performing the duties of his office until a final determination of the charges on the merits. During suspension the salary of the judge continues.

If suspension is ordered, the suspended judge shall receive immediate written notice of the proceedings and a true copy of the charges, and the matter shall be set for hearing not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days thereafter. The matter shall be set for hearing before the judge who originally examined the charges or before another regular superior court judge resident in or regularly holding the courts of the district. The hearing shall be open to the public. All testimony offered shall be recorded. At the hearing the superior court judge shall hear evidence and make findings of fact and conclusions of law and if he finds that one of the above grounds for removal exists, he shall enter an order permanently removing the district judge from office, and terminating his salary. If he finds that no grounds exist, he shall terminate the suspension.

The district judge may appeal from an order of removal to the Court of Appeals on the basis of error of law by the superior court. Pending decision of the case on appeal, the district judge shall not perform any of the duties of his office. If, upon final determination, he is ordered reinstated either by the appellate division or by the superior court upon remand, his salary shall be restored from the date of the original order of removal. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, substituted “appellate division” for “Supreme Court” in the third sentence of the last paragraph.
§ 7A-146. Administrative authority and duties of chief district judge. —The chief district judge, subject to the general supervision of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, has administrative supervision and authority over the operation of the district courts and magistrates in his district. These powers and duties include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Arranging schedules and assigning district judges for sessions of district courts;
2. Arranging or supervising the calendaring of matters for trial or hearing;
3. Supervising the clerk of superior court in the discharge of the clerical functions of the district court;
4. Assigning matters to magistrates, and prescribing times and places at which magistrates shall be available for the performance of their duties;
5. Making arrangements with proper authorities for the drawing of civil court jury panels and determining which sessions of district court shall be jury sessions;
6. Arranging for the reporting of civil cases by court reporters or other authorized means;
7. Arranging sessions, to the extent practicable for the trial of specialized cases, including traffic, domestic relations, and other types of cases, and assigning district judges to preside over these sessions so as to permit maximum practicable specialization by individual judges;
8. Promulgating a schedule of traffic offenses for which magistrates and clerks of court may accept written appearances, waivers of trial, and pleas of guilty, and establishing a schedule of fines therefor;
9. Assigning magistrates, in an emergency, to temporary duty outside the county of their residence, but within the district; and
10. Designating another district judge of his district as acting chief district judge, to act during the absence or disability of the chief district judge.

§ 7A-147. Specialized judgeships. — (a) Prior to January 1 of each year in which elections for district court judges are to be held, the Administrative Officer of the Courts may, with the approval of the chief district judge, designate one or more judgeships in districts having three or more judgeships, as specialized judgeships, naming in each case the specialty. Designations shall become effective when filed with the State Board of Elections. Nominees for the position or positions of specialist judge shall be made in the ensuing primary and the position or positions shall be filled at the general election thereafter. The State Board of Elections shall prepare primary and general election ballots to effectuate the purposes of this section.

(b) The designation of a specialized judgeship shall in no way impair the right of the chief district judge to arrange sessions for the trial of specialized cases and to assign any district judge to preside over these sessions. A judge elected to a specialized judgeship has the same powers as a regular district judge.
§ 7A-148. Annual conference of chief district judges. — (a) The chief district judges of the various district court districts shall meet at least once a year upon call of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to discuss mutual problems affecting the courts and the improvement of court operations, to prepare and adopt a uniform schedule of traffic offenses for which magistrates and clerks of court may accept written appearances, waivers of trial and pleas of guilty, and establish a schedule of fines therefor, and to take such further action as may be found practicable and desirable to promote the uniform administration of justice.

(b) The chief district judges shall prescribe a multicopy uniform traffic ticket and complaint for exclusive use in each county of the State not later than December 31, 1970. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 11.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, designated the former provisions of the section as subsection (a) and added subsection (b).

ARTICLE 15.

District Prosecutors.

§ 7A-160. Appointment; term; duties; oath; practice of law forbidden. — The senior regular resident superior court judge shall appoint, for a term of four years, a district court prosecutor for his district, except that the term of office of a prosecutor appointed in a district activated in December, 1968, or December, 1970, is terminated December 31, 1970. The prosecutor shall be a resident of the district. The prosecutor’s term of office shall commence on the same day as that of the district judges in his district. It shall be the duty of the prosecutor to prosecute on behalf of the State all criminal actions in the district courts of his district, to advise the officers of justice in his district, and to cooperate with the superior court solicitor in criminal actions arising in the district court. The district prosecutor shall take the oath of office prescribed for the superior court solicitor.

The office of district prosecutor is full time, and he shall not practice law during his term of office, nor shall he during such term be the partner or associate of any person engaged in the practice of law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 1049, s. 4.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment added the exception at the end of the first sentence.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-161. Compensation; expenses. — Each district court prosecutor shall receive the annual salary provided in the Budget Appropriations Act, and reimbursement, on the same basis as State employees generally, for his necessary travel and subsistence expenses. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 12.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the section, which formerly consisted of two sentences and specified the amount of compensation.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-162. Suspension; removal; reinstatement. — A district prosecutor may be suspended or removed from office, and reinstated, for the same causes and under the same procedures as are applicable to a district court judge. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Cross Reference.—As to suspension, removal and reinstatement of district judge, see § 7A-143.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.
§ 7A-163. Vacancies in office; temporary incapacity; acting prosecutor.—A vacancy in the office of district prosecutor shall be filled for the unexpired term in the same manner as the original appointment.

If the prosecutor in a district which has no full-time assistant prosecutor becomes for any reason unable to perform his duties, the senior regular resident superior court judge for that district may appoint an acting prosecutor to serve during the period of disability. An acting prosecutor has all the power, authority and duties of the regular prosecutor. He shall take the oath of office prescribed for the regular prosecutor, and receive from the State forty-five dollars ($45.00) per diem for each day in which he performs the duties of prosecutor. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-164. Assistant prosecutors; appointment; compensation; duties; oath; practice of law forbidden.—A district prosecutor may appoint full-time assistant prosecutors in the number authorized by the General Assembly. The number of full-time assistant prosecutors for each district shall be determined with due regard to the population, geography and criminal case load of each district. An assistant prosecutor serves at the pleasure of the prosecutor. He shall receive the annual salary provided in the Budget Appropriations Act, and reimbursement, on the same basis as State employees generally, for his necessary travel and subsistence expenses. The duties of an assistant prosecutor are assigned by the district prosecutor, and he takes the same oath of office as the prosecutor.

An assistant prosecutor shall not practice law during his term of office, nor shall he during such term be the partner or associate of any person engaged in the practice of law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 13.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the fourth sentence.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.

§ 7A-165. Attorneys appointed to assist in prosecution.—A district prosecutor, with the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts, may designate one or more qualified attorneys to assist in the prosecution of the criminal dockets of the district when:

(1) The criminal cases accumulate on the dockets of the district court beyond the capacity of the prosecutor and his assistants to keep the dockets reasonably current; or

(2) A full-time assistant prosecutor becomes for any reason unable to perform his duties; or

(3) The prosecution of criminal cases in a specific location would be better served.

Attorneys designated under the authority of this section shall receive thirty-five dollars ($35.00) per diem for each day, not in excess of five days per week, they serve as assistant prosecutors, and they shall serve for such time as may be authorized by the Administrative Officer of the Courts. Assistant prosecutors shall also receive reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses on the same basis as State employees generally. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 14.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, substituted “not in excess of five days per week, they serve as assistant prosecutors, and they” for “they prosecute in court and” in the first sentence in the last paragraph and added the second sentence in that paragraph.

Repeal of Section.—Section 6, c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with c. 1049, Session Laws 1967, are repealed effective Jan. 1, 1971.
§ 7A-170. Nature of office; oath; office and court hours.—A magistrate is an officer of the district court. Before entering upon the duties of his office, a magistrate shall take the oath of office provided for a district judge, conformed to his office. The times and places at which each magistrate is required to maintain regular office and court hours and to be otherwise available for the performance of his duties is prescribed by the chief district judge of the district in which he is resident, but a magistrate possesses all the powers of his office at all times during his term. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-171. Numbers; fixing of salaries; appointment and terms; vacancies.—(a) The General Assembly shall establish a minimum and a maximum quota of magistrates for each county. In no county shall the minimum quota be less than one. A magistrate shall be a resident of the county for which appointed.

(b) Not later than the first Monday in September of each even-numbered year, the Administrative Officer of the courts, after consultation with the chief district judge (or the senior regular resident superior court judge, if there is no chief district judge) shall prescribe and notify the clerk of superior court of the salaries to be paid to the various magistrates to be appointed to fill the minimum quota established for the county. A salary shall be prescribed for each office within the minimum quota upon consideration of the time which the particular magistrate will be required by the chief district judge to devote to the performance of the duties of his office. Not later than the first Monday in October of each even-numbered year, the clerk of superior court shall submit to the senior regular resident superior court judge of his district the names of two (or more, if requested by the judge) nominees for each magisterial office in the minimum quota established for the county, specifying as to each nominee the salary level for which nominated. Not later than the first Monday in November, the senior regular superior court judge shall, from the nominations submitted by the clerk of superior court, appoint magistrates to fill the minimum quota established for each county of his district, such appointments to be at the various salary levels prescribed by the Administrative Officer of the Courts. The term of a magistrate so appointed shall be two years, commencing on the first Monday in December of each even-numbered year.

(c) After the biennial appointment of the minimum quota of magistrates, additional magistrates in a number not to exceed, in total, the maximum quota established for each county may be appointed in the following manner. The chief district judge, with the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts, may certify to the clerk of superior court that the minimum quota is insufficient for the efficient administration of justice and that a specified additional number, not to exceed the maximum quota established for the county, is required at salary levels specified by the Administrative Officer for each additional office. Within 15 days after the receipt of this certification the clerk of superior court shall submit to the senior regular resident superior court judge of his district the names of two (or more, if requested by the judge) nominees for each additional magisterial office, specifying as to each nominee the salary level for which nominated. Within 15 days after receipt of the nominations the senior regular resident superior court judge shall from the nominations submitted appoint magistrates in the number and at the salary levels specified in the certification. A magistrate so appointed shall serve a term commencing immediately and expiring on the same day as the terms of office of magistrates appointed to fill the minimum quota for the county.

(d) A vacancy in the office of magistrate is filled in the following manner. Whether the magistrate in whose office a vacancy occurs was appointed to fill the minimum quota or as an additional appointment, the clerk of the superior court shall within 30 days after such vacancy occurs submit to the senior regular resident superior court judge the names of two (or more, if requested by the judge) nomi-
§ 7A-172. Minimum and maximum salaries.—Magistrates shall receive not less than one thousand two hundred dollars ($1,200.00) and not more than six thousand dollars ($6,000.00) per year. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-173. Suspension; removal; reinstatement.—(a) A magistrate may be suspended from performing the duties of his office by the chief district judge, or removed from office by the senior regular resident superior court judge or any regular superior court judge holding court in the district. Grounds for suspension or removal are the same as for a district judge.

(b) Suspension from performing the duties of the office may be ordered upon filing of sworn written charges in the office of clerk of superior court for the county in which the magistrate resides. If the chief district judge, upon examination of the sworn charges, finds that the charges, if true, constitute grounds for removal, he may enter an order suspending the magistrate from performing the duties of his office until a final determination of the charges on the merits. During suspension the salary of the magistrate continues.

(c) If suspension is ordered, the magistrate against whom the charges have been made shall be given immediate written notice of the proceedings and a true copy of the charges, and the matter shall be set by the chief district judge for hearing before the senior regular resident superior court judge or a regular superior court judge holding court in the district. The hearing shall be held within the district not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days after the magistrate has received a copy of the charges. The hearing shall be open to the public. All testimony offered shall be recorded. At the hearing the superior court judge shall receive evidence, and make findings of fact and conclusions of law. If he finds that grounds for removal exist, he shall enter an order permanently removing the magistrate from office, and terminating his salary. If he finds that no such grounds exist, he shall terminate the suspension.

(d) A magistrate may appeal from an order of removal to the Court of Appeals on the basis of error of law by the superior court judge. Pending decision of the case on appeal, the magistrate shall not perform any of the duties of his office. If, upon final determination, he is ordered reinstated, either by the appellate division or by the superior court on remand, his salary shall be restored from the date of the original order of removal. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective Oct. 1, 1967, substituted “appellate division” for “Supreme Court” in the first sentence of subsection (d), and substituted “Court of Appeals” for “Supreme Court” in the first sentence of subsection (d).

§ 7A-174. Bonds.—Prior to taking office, magistrates shall be bonded, individually or collectively, in such amount or amounts as the Administrative Officer of the Courts shall determine. The bond or bonds shall be conditioned upon the faithful performance of the duties of the office of magistrate. The Administrative Officer shall procure such bond or bonds from any indemnity or guarantee company authorized to do business in North Carolina, and the premium or premiums shall be paid by the State. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-175. Records to be kept.—A magistrate shall keep such dockets, accounts, and other records, under the general supervision of the clerk of superior court, as may be prescribed by the Administrative Office of the Courts. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-176. Office of justice of the peace abolished. — The office of justice of the peace is abolished in each county upon the establishment of a district court therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

ARTICLE 17.

Clerical Functions in the District Court.

§ 7A-180. Functions of clerk of superior court in district court matters.—In any county wherein a district court is established, the clerk of superior court thereupon:

(1) Has and exercises all of the judicial powers and duties in respect of actions and proceedings pending from time to time in the district court of his county which are now or hereafter conferred or imposed upon him by law in respect of actions and proceedings pending in the superior court of his county;

(2) Performs all of the clerical, administrative and fiscal functions required in the operation of the district court of his county in the same manner as he is required to perform such functions in the operation of the superior court of his county;

(3) Immediately sets up and thereafter maintains, under the supervision of the Administrative Office of the Courts, an office of consolidated records of all judicial proceedings in the superior court division and the district court division of the General Court of Justice in his county. Such records shall include all those books, records and indexes required to be maintained by law, adapted in a form and style prescribed by the Administrative Office of the Courts, for the purpose of maintaining uniform consolidated records of both trial divisions of the General Court of Justice;

(4) Continues to maintain all books, indexes, registers and records required by law to be maintained by the clerk of superior court;

(5) Has the power to accept written appearances, waivers of trial and pleas of guilty to certain traffic offenses in accordance with a schedule of offenses and fines promulgated by the chief district judge, and, in such cases, to collect the fines and costs;

(6) Has the power to issue warrants of arrest valid throughout the State, and search warrants valid throughout the county of the issuing clerk;

(7) Has the power, in traffic cases, upon waiver of a preliminary examination, to set bail, in accordance with a bail schedule furnished by the chief district judge; and

(8) Continues to exercise all powers, duties and authority theretofore vested in or imposed upon clerks of superior court by general law, with the exception of jurisdiction in juvenile matters. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 16.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, substituted “law” for “G.S. 2-42” in the second sentence of subdivision (3), added present subdivision (7) and renumbered former subdivision (7) as subdivision (8).

§ 7A-181. Functions of assistant and deputy clerks of superior court in district court matters.—In any county wherein a district court is established, assistant and deputy clerks of superior court thereupon:

(1) Have the same powers and duties with respect to matters in the district court division as they have in the superior court division;
§ 7A-182. Clerical functions at additional seats of court.—(a) In any county in which the General Assembly has authorized the district court to hold sessions at a place or places in addition to the county seat, the clerk of superior court shall furnish assistant and deputy clerks to the extent necessary to process efficiently the judicial business at such additional seat or seats of court. Only such records as are necessary for the expeditious processing of current judicial business shall be kept at the additional seat or seats of court. The office of the clerk of superior court at the county seat shall remain the permanent depository of official records.

(b) If an additional seat of a district court is designated for any municipality located in more than one county of a district, the clerical functions for that seat of court shall be provided by the clerks of superior court of the contiguous counties, in accordance with standing rules issued by the chief district judge, after consultation with the clerks concerned and a committee of the district bar appointed for this purpose. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 18.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added subsection (b).

§ 7A-183. Civil procedure generally.—Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the civil procedure provided in chapter 1 of the General Statutes applies in the district court division of the General Court of Justice. Where there is...
§ 7A-194. Criminal procedure generally.—Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the criminal procedure provided in chapter 15 of the General Statutes applies in the district court division of the General Court of Justice. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-195. Special procedures in juvenile cases.—Practices, procedures and punishments applicable in the district court division in cases involving juveniles shall be as set forth in chapter 110, article 2, of the General Statutes, except that under G.S. 110-40, when notice of an appeal is given, the district court judge shall summarize the evidence and make findings of fact. Appeals shall be on the record, on questions of law or legal inference, to the Court of Appeals, in all cases. This section is effective October 1, 1967. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 5.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to the 1967 amendment, this section read, "Practices, procedures (including procedures relating to appeals), and punishment applicable in the district court division in cases involving juveniles shall be as set forth in chapter 110, article 2, of the General Statutes."

§ 7A-196. Jury trials.—(a) In civil cases in the district court there shall be a right to trial by a jury of twelve.

(b) Any party may demand a trial by jury of any issue triable of right by a jury by filing in the office of the clerk of superior court a demand therefor in writing at any time after the commencement of the action and not later than 10 days after the filing of the last pleading directed to the issue, or after the entry of an order transferring the cause to the district court division, whichever occurs first. The demand may be endorsed upon a pleading of the party.

(c) In his demand a party may specify the issues which he wishes so tried; otherwise he shall be deemed to have demanded trial by jury for all the issues so triable. If he demands trial by jury for less than all of the issues, any other party within 10 days after notice of the demand, or such other time as the court may order, may file a demand for trial by jury of any other or all of the issues of fact in the action.

(d) The failure of a party to file a demand as required by this section constitutes a waiver by him of trial by jury. A demand for trial by jury may not be withdrawn without the consent of the parties. Notwithstanding the failure of a party to demand a jury in an action in which demand might have been made of right, the court in its discretion, upon motion of a party, may order a trial by jury of any or all issues.

(e) In criminal cases there shall be no jury trials in the district court. Upon appeal to superior court trial shall be de novo, with jury trial as provided by law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Amendment Effective July 1, 1969.—Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 3, effective July 1, 1969, changes this section to read as follows:

"(a) In civil cases in the district court there shall be a right to trial by a jury of 12 in conformity with Rules 38 and 39 of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

"(b) In criminal cases there shall be no jury trials in the district court. Upon appeal to superior court trial shall be de novo, with jury trial as provided by law."

Rules 38 and 39 of the Rules of Civil Procedure (§ 1A-1), which apply in both the superior court and the district courts, closely follow former subsections (b), (c), and (d) of this section. Accordingly, those subsections have been replaced with a reference to the appropriate rules.

§ 7A-197. Petit jurors.—Unless otherwise provided in this chapter, the provisions of chapter 9 of the General Statutes with respect to petit jurors for the trial of civil actions in the superior court are applicable to the trial of civil actions in the district court. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-198. Reporting of civil trials.—(a) Court-reporting personnel shall be utilized, if available, for the reporting of civil trials in the district court. If court reporters are not available in any county, electronic or other mechanical devices shall be provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts upon request of the chief district judge.

(b) The Administrative Office of the Courts shall from time to time investigate the state of the art and techniques of recording testimony, and shall provide such electronic or mechanical devices as are found to be most efficient for this purpose.

(c) If an electronic or other mechanical device is utilized, it shall be the duty of the clerk of the superior court or some other person designated by him to operate the device while a trial is in progress, and the clerk shall thereafter preserve the record thus produced, and transcribe the record as required.

(d) Reporting of any trial may be waived by consent of the parties.

(e) Reporting will not be provided in trials before magistrates.

(f) Appointment of a reporter or reporters for district court proceedings in each district shall be made by the chief district judge. The compensation and allowances of reporters in each district shall be fixed by the chief district judge, within limits determined by the Administrative Officer of the Courts, and paid by the State. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-199. Special venue rule when district court sits without jury in seat of court lying in more than one county; where judgments recorded.—(a) In any nonjury civil action or juvenile matter properly pending in the district court division, regularly assigned for a hearing or trial before a district judge at a seat of the district court in a municipality the corporate limits of which extend into two or more contiguous counties, venue is properly laid for such trial or hearing if by statute or common law it is properly laid in any of the contiguous counties.

(b) In any jury civil action regularly assigned for a hearing or trial before a district judge at a seat of the district court in a municipality the corporate limits of which extend into two or more contiguous counties, venue is properly laid for such jury trial if by statute or common law it is properly laid in any of the contiguous counties; provided, however, any such action shall be instituted in the county of proper venue, and the jurors summoned shall be from the county where such action was instituted. Notwithstanding the fact that the place of trial within such municipality is in a different county from the county where such action was commenced, the sheriff of the county where such action was commenced is authorized to summon the jurors to appear at such place of trial. Such jurors shall be subject to the same challenge as other jurors, except challenges for nonresidence in the county of trial.

(c) A district court judge sitting at a seat of court described in this section may, in criminal cases, conduct preliminary hearings and try misdemeanors arising within the corporate limits of the municipality plus the territory embraced within a distance of one mile in all directions therefrom.

(d) The judgment or order rendered in any civil action or juvenile matter heard or tried under the authority of this section shall be recorded in the county where the action was commenced. The judgment or finding of probable cause or other determination in any criminal action heard or tried under the authority of this section shall be recorded in the county where the offense was committed. (1967, c. 691, s. 19.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this section is effective July 1, 1967.
§ 7A-210. Small claim action defined.—For purposes of this article a small claim action is a civil action wherein:

1. The amount in controversy, computed in accordance with § 7A-243, does not exceed three hundred dollars ($300.00); and

2. The only principal relief prayed is monetary, or the recovery of specific personal property, or summary ejectment, or any combination of the foregoing in properly joined claims; and

3. The plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate in the manner provided in this article.

The seeking of the ancillary remedy of claim and delivery does not prevent an action otherwise qualifying as a small claim action under this article from so qualifying. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-211. Small claim actions assignable to magistrates.—In the interest of speedy and convenient determination, the chief district judge may, in his discretion, by specific order or general rule, assign to any magistrate of his district any small claim action pending in his district if the defendant is a resident of the county in which the magistrate resides. If there is more than one defendant, at least one of them must be a bona fide resident of the county in which the magistrate resides. (1965, c. 310, s. 1, 1967, c. 1165.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment end of the present first sentence and added substituted "the defendant is a resident" for the second sentence in the section.

§ 7A-212. Judgment of magistrate in civil action improperly assigned or not assigned.—No judgment of the district court rendered by a magistrate in a civil action assigned to him by the chief district judge is void, voidable, or irregular for the reason that the action is not one properly assignable to the magistrate under this article. The sole remedy for improper assignment is appeal for trial de novo before a district judge in the manner provided in this article. No judgment rendered by a magistrate in a civil action is valid when the action was not assigned to him by the chief district judge. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-213. Procedure for commencement of action; request for and notice of assignment.—The plaintiff files his complaint in a small claim action in the office of the clerk of superior court of the county wherein he desires to commence the action. The designation "Small Claim" on the face of the complaint is a request for assignment. If, pursuant to order or rule, the action is assigned to a magistrate, the clerk issues a magistrate summons substantially in the form prescribed in this article as soon as practicable after the assignment is made. The issuance of a magistrate summons commences the action. In the magistrate summons directed to the defendant, and by separate written notice to the plaintiff, the clerk gives notice of the assignment. The notice of assignment identifies the action, designates the magistrate to whom assignment is made, and specifies the time, date and place of trial. By any convenient means the clerk notifies the magistrate of the assignment and the setting. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-214. Time within which trial is set.—The time for trial of a small claim action is set not later than 30 days after the action is commenced. By consent of all parties the time for trial may be changed from the time set. For good cause shown, the magistrate to whom the action is assigned may grant continuances from time to time. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-215. Procedure upon nonassignment of small claim action.—Failure of the chief district judge to assign a claim within five days after the filing
of a complaint requesting its assignment constitutes nonassignment. The chief district judge may sooner order nonassignment. Upon nonassignment, the clerk immediately issues summons in the manner and form provided for commencement of civil actions generally, whereupon process is served, return made, and pleadings are required to be filed in the manner provided for civil actions generally. Upon issuing civil summons, the clerk gives written notice of nonassignment to the plaintiff. The plaintiff within five days after notice of nonassignment, and the defendant before or with the filing of his answer, may request a jury trial. Failure within the times so limited to request a jury trial constitutes a waiver of the right thereto. Upon the joining of issue, the clerk places the action upon the civil issue docket for trial in the district court division. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-216. Form of complaint.—The complaint in a small claim action shall be in writing, signed by the party or his attorney, and verified. It need be in no particular form, but is sufficient if in a form which enables a person of common understanding to know what is meant. In any event, the forms prescribed in this article are sufficient under this requirement, and are intended to indicate the simplicity and brevity of statement contemplated. Demurrers and motions to challenge the legal and formal sufficiency of a complaint in an assigned small claim action shall not be used. But at any time after its filing, the clerk, the chief district judge, or the magistrate to whom such an action is assigned may, on oral or written ex parte motion of the defendant, or on his own motion, order the plaintiff to perfect the statement of his claim before proceeding to its determination, and shall grant extensions of time to plead and continuances of trial pending any perfecting of statement ordered. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-217. Methods of subjecting person of defendant to jurisdiction. —When by order or rule a small claim action is assigned to a magistrate, the defendant may be subjected to the jurisdiction of the court over his person by the following methods:

1. The defendant may be subjected to the jurisdiction of the court over his person in any small claim action by personal service of process. When the defendant is under any legal disability, he may only be subjected to personal jurisdiction by personal service of process in the manner provided by law.

2. When the defendant is not under any legal disability and when request is made therefor by the plaintiff, service of process may be made upon the defendant by mail, as herein provided. The plaintiff requests service upon defendant by mail by endorsement in writing upon his complaint, which request shall include the address to be used in mailing. The clerk mails to the defendant at the address given in the endorsement a copy of the complaint and a magistrate summons substantially in the form provided in this article. Service of process by mail is made by certified mail, return receipt requested, and is complete upon return to the office of the clerk of the receipt signed by the defendant. Service by mail is proved prima facie by the signature of defendant upon the return receipt. The plaintiff bears the cost of service of process by mail.

3. When the defendant is under no legal disability, he may be subjected to the jurisdiction of the court over his person by his written acceptance of service, or by his voluntary appearance. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-218. Answer of defendant.—At any time prior to the time set for trial, the defendant may file a written answer admitting or denying all or any of the allegations in the complaint, or pleading new matter in avoidance. No particular form is required, but it is sufficient if in a form to enable a person of common understanding to know the nature of the defense intended. A general denial of all the allegations of the complaint is permissible.
Failure of defendant to file a written answer after being subjected to the jurisdiction of the court over his person constitutes a general denial. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 20.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, the last sentence, relating to default judgments, effective July 1, 1967, struck out the former section.

§ 7A-219. Certain counterclalm; cross-claims; third party claims not permissible.—No counterclaim, cross-claim, or third party claim which would make the amount in controversy exceed three hundred dollars ($300.00) is permissible in a small claim action assigned to a magistrate. No determination of fact or law in an assigned small claim action estops a party thereto in any subsequent action which, except for this section, might have been asserted under the Code of Civil Procedure as a counterclaim in the small claim action. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-220. No pleadings other than complaint and answer.—There are no pleadings in assigned small claim actions other than the complaint and answer. Any new matter pleaded in avoidance in the answer is deemed denied or avoided. But on appeal from judgment of the magistrate for trial de novo before a district judge, the judge shall allow appropriate counterclaims, cross-claims, third party claims, replies, and answers to cross-claims. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-221. Objections to venue and jurisdiction over person.—By motion prior to filing answer, or in the answer, the defendant may object that the venue is improper, or move for change of venue, or object to the jurisdiction of the court over his person. These motions or objections are heard on notice by the chief district judge or a district judge designated by order or rule of the chief district judge. Assignment to the magistrate is suspended pending determination of the objection, and the clerk gives notice of the suspension by any convenient means to the magistrate to whom the action has been assigned. All these objections are waived if not made prior to the date set for trial. If venue is determined to be improper, or is ordered changed, the action is transferred to the district court of the new venue, and is not thereafter assigned to a magistrate, but proceeds as in the case of civil actions generally. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-222. General trial practice and procedure.—Trial of a small claim action before a magistrate is without a jury. The rules of evidence applicable in the trial of civil actions generally are observed. At the conclusion of plaintiff's evidence the magistrate may render judgment of nonsuit if plaintiff has failed to establish a prima facie case. If a judgment of nonsuit is not rendered the defendant may introduce evidence. At the conclusion of all the evidence the magistrate may render judgment or may in his discretion reserve judgment for a period not in excess of 10 days. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-223. Practice and procedure in small claim actions for summary ejectment.—If a small claim action demanding summary ejectment is assigned to a magistrate, the practice and procedure prescribed for commencement, form and service of process, assignment, pleadings, and trial in small claim actions generally are observed, except that if the defendant by written answer denies the title of the plaintiff, the action is placed on the civil issue docket of the district court division for trial before a district judge. In such event, the clerk withdraws assignment of the action from the magistrate and immediately gives written notice of withdrawal, by any convenient means, to the plaintiff and the magistrate to whom the action has been assigned. The plaintiff, within five days after receipt of the notice, and the defendant, in his answer, may request trial by jury. Failure to request jury trial within the time limited is a waiver of the right to trial by jury. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 21.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the second sentence.
§ 7A-224. Rendition and entry of judgment.—Judgment in a small claim action is rendered in writing and signed by the magistrate. The judgment so rendered is a judgment of the district court. Entry thereof is made by the clerk of superior court on the consolidated civil judgment docket, and the judgment is recorded and indexed as are judgments of the district courts and superior court generally. Entry is made as soon as practicable after rendition. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-225. Lien and execution of judgment.—From the time of docketing, the judgment rendered by a magistrate in a small claim action constitutes a lien and is subject to execution in the manner provided in chapter 1, article 28, of the General Statutes. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-226. Priority of judgment when appeal taken.—When appeal is taken from a judgment in a small claim action, the lien acquired by docketing merges into any judgment rendered after trial de novo on appeal, continues as a lien from the first docketing, and has priority over any judgment docketed subsequent to the first docketing. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-227. Stay of execution on appeal.—Appeal from judgment of a magistrate does not stay execution. Execution may be stayed by order of the clerk of superior court upon petition by the appellant accompanied by undertaking in writing, executed by one or more sufficient sureties approved by the clerk, to the effect that if judgment be rendered against appellant the sureties will pay the amount thereof with costs awarded against the appellant. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 24, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, Laws 1967, c. 1078, amends the 1967 amendatory act so as to make it effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-228. No new trial before magistrate; appeal for trial de novo; how appeal perfected; oral notice.—No new trial is allowed before the magistrate. The sole remedy for a party aggrieved is by appeal for trial de novo before a district judge. Appeal is perfected by serving written notice thereof on all other parties and by filing written notice with the clerk of superior court within 10 days after entry and indexing of the judgment on the civil judgment docket. Notice of appeal may also be given orally in open court upon announcement of or rendition of the judgment, and shall thereupon be noted in writing by the magistrate upon the judgment. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-229. Trial de novo on appeal.—Upon appeal noted, the clerk of superior court places the action upon the civil issue docket of the district court division. The district judge before whom the action is tried may order repleading or further pleading by some or all of the parties; may try the action on stipulation as to the issue; or may try it on the pleadings as filed. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-230. Jury trial on appeal.—The appellant in his notice of appeal, and any appellee by written notice served on all other parties and on the clerk of superior court within five days after notice of appeal, may demand a jury on the trial de novo. Failure to demand a jury is a waiver of the right thereto. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-231. Provisional and incidental remedies.—The provisional and incidental remedies of claim and delivery, subpoena duces tecum, and production of documents are obtainable in small claim actions. The practice and procedure provided therefor in respect of civil actions generally is observed, conformed as may be required. No other provisional or incidental remedies are obtainable while the action is pending before the magistrate. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-232. Forms. — The following forms are sufficient for the purposes indicated under this article. Substantial conformity is sufficient.

FORM 1.

MAGISTRATE SUMMONS

NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY

A. B., Plaintiff

v.

C. D., Defendant

To the above-named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear before His Honor ............., Magistrate of the District Court, at ............ (time) ..........., on .... (date) ...., at the ........ (address) ........ in the ........ (city) ........, then and there to defend against proof of the claim stated in the complaint filed in this action, copy of which is served herewith. You may file written answer making defense to the claim in the office of the Clerk of Superior Court of ........ County in ............, N. C., not later than the time set for trial. If you do not file answer, plaintiff must nevertheless prove his claim before the Magistrate. But if you fail to appear and defend against the proof offered, judgment for the relief demanded in the complaint may be rendered against you.

This ........ day of ........ (month) ........, 19 .......

Clerk of Superior Court

County

FORM 2.

NOTICE OF NON-ASSIGNMENT OF ACTION

NORTH CAROLINA

County

A.B., Plaintiff

v.

C.D., Defendant

To the above-named Plaintiff:

Take notice that the civil action styled as above which you requested be assigned for trial before a Magistrate will not be assigned. Thirty-day summons to answer is being issued for service upon defendant, and upon the joining of issue this action will be placed on the civil issue docket for trial before a district judge.

This ........ day of ............, 19 .......

Clerk of Superior Court

County
FORM 3.
NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT OF ACTION

NORTH CAROLINA

A. B., Plaintiff v. C. D., Defendant

To the above-named Plaintiff:
Take notice that the civil action styled as above, commenced by you as plaintiff, has been assigned for trial before His Honor ............-- , Magistrate of the District Court, at ...... (time) ....... on ...... (date) ......., at ...... (address) ....... in ...... (city) ........, N. C.

Clerk of Superior Court

FORM 4.
COMPLAINT ON A PROMISSORY NOTE

NORTH CAROLINA

A. B., Plaintiff v. C. D., Defendant

1. Plaintiff is a resident of ......... County; defendant is a resident of ......... County.
2. Defendant on or about January 1, 1964, executed and delivered to plaintiff a promissory note (in the following words and figures: (here set out the note verbatim)); (a copy of which is annexed as Exhibit ......); (whereby defendant promised to pay to plaintiff or order on June 1, 1964, the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum).
3. Defendant owes the plaintiff the amount of said note and interest. Wherefore (etc., as in form 4),

This ....... day of .........., 19......

(signed) A. B., Plaintiff
(or E. F., Attorney for Plaintiff)

(Verification)

Service by mail is, is not, requested.

FORM 5.
COMPLAINT ON AN ACCOUNT

NORTH CAROLINA

A. B., Plaintiff v. C. D., Defendant

1. Defendant owes plaintiff two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) according to the account annexed as Exhibit A. Wherefore (etc., as in form 4).
FORM 6.
COMPLAINT FOR GOODS SOLD AND DELIVERED

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. Defendant owes plaintiff two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) for goods sold and delivered to defendant between June 1, 1965, and December 1, 1965.

Wherefore (etc., as in form 4).

FORM 7.
COMPLAINT FOR MONEY LENT

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. Defendant owes plaintiff two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) for money lent by plaintiff to defendant on or about June 1, 1965.

Wherefore (etc., as in form 4).

FORM 8.
COMPLAINT FOR CONVERSION

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. On or about June 1, 1965, defendant converted to his own use a set of plumbing tools of the value of two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00), the property of plaintiff.

Wherefore (etc., as in form 4).

FORM 9.
COMPLAINT FOR INJURY TO PERSON OR PROPERTY

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. On or about June 1, 1965, at the intersection of Main and Church Streets in the Town of Ashley, N. C., defendant (intentionally struck plaintiff a blow in the face) (negligently drove a bicycle into plaintiff) (intentionally tore plaintiff's clothing) (negligently drove a motorcycle into the side of plaintiff's automobile).

3. As a result (plaintiff suffered great pain of body and mind, and incurred expenses for medical attention and hospitalization in the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars ($150.00) (plaintiff suffered damage to his property above described in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00).

Wherefore (etc., as in form 4).

FORM 10.
COMPLAINT TO RECOVER POSSESSION OF CHATTEL

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. Defendant has in his possession a set of plumber's tools of the value of two hundred dollars ($200.00), the property of plaintiff. Plaintiff is entitled to immediate possession of the same but defendant refuses on demand to deliver the same to plaintiff.

3. Defendant has unlawfully kept possession of the property above described since on or about June 1, 1965, and has thereby deprived plaintiff of its use, to his damage in the sum of fifty dollars ($50.00).
§ 7A-240 General Statutes of North Carolina § 7A-242

Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment against defendant for the recovery of possession of the property above described and for the sum of fifty dollars ($50.00), interest and costs. (etc., as in form 4).

FORM 11.
COMPLAINT IN SUMMARY EJECTMENT

(Caption as in form 4)
1. (Allegation of residence of parties)
2. Defendant entered into possession of a tract of land (briefly described) as a lessee of plaintiff (or as lessee of E. F. who, after making the lease, assigned his estate to the plaintiff); the term of defendant expired on the 1st day of June, 1965 (or his term has ceased by nonpayment of rent, or otherwise, as the fact may be); the plaintiff has demanded possession of the premises of the defendant, who refused to surrender it, but holds over; the estate of plaintiff is still subsisting, and the plaintiff is entitled to immediate possession.
3. Defendant owes plaintiff the sum of fifty dollars ($50.00) for rent of the premises from the 1st of May, 1965, to the 1st day of June, 1965, and one hundred dollars ($100.00) for the occupation of the premises since the 1st day of June, 1965 to the present.
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant that he be put in immediate possession of the premises, and that he recover the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars ($150.00), interest and costs. (etc., as in form 4).

(1965 c. 310, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER V. JURISDICTION AND POWERS OF THE TRIAL DIVISIONS OF THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

ARTICLE 20.
Original Civil Jurisdiction of the Trial Divisions.

§ 7A-240. Original civil jurisdiction generally.—Except for the original jurisdiction in respect of claims against the State which is vested in the Supreme Court, original general jurisdiction of all justiciable matters of a civil nature cognizable in the General Court of Justice is vested in the aggregate in the superior court division and the district court division as the trial divisions of the General Court of Justice. Except in respect of proceedings in probate and the administration of decedents’ estates, the original civil jurisdiction so vested in the trial divisions is vested concurrently in each division. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-241. Original jurisdiction in probate and administration of decedents’ estates.—Exclusive original jurisdiction for the probate of wills and the administration of decedents’ estates is vested in the superior court division, and is exercised by the superior courts and by the clerks of superior court as ex officio judges of probate according to the practice and procedure provided by law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-242. Concurrently held original jurisdiction allocated between trial divisions.—For the efficient administration of justice in respect of civil matters as to which the trial divisions have concurrent original jurisdiction, the respective divisions are constituted proper or improper for the trial and determination of specific actions and proceedings in accordance with the allocations provided in this article. But no judgment rendered by any court of the trial divisions in any civil action or proceeding as to which the trial divisions have concurrent original jurisdiction is void or voidable for the sole reason that it was rendered by the court of a trial division which by such allocation is improper for the trial and determination of the civil action or proceeding. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-243. Proper division for trial of civil actions generally determined by amount in controversy.—Except as otherwise provided in this article, the district court division is the proper division for the trial of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy is five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) or less; and the superior court division is the proper division for the trial of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy exceeds five thousand dollars ($5,000.00).

For purposes of determining the amount in controversy, the following rules apply whether the relief prayed is monetary or nonmonetary, or both, and with respect to claims asserted by complaint, counterclaim, cross-complaint or third party complaint:

1. The amount in controversy is computed without regard to interest and costs.

2. Where monetary relief is prayed, the amount prayed for is in controversy unless the pleading in question shows to a legal certainty that the amount claimed cannot be recovered under the applicable measure of damages. The value of any property seized in attachment, claim and delivery, or other ancillary proceeding, is not in controversy and is not considered in determining the amount in controversy.

3. Where no monetary relief is sought, but the relief sought would establish, enforce, or avoid an obligation, right or title, the value of the obligation, right, or title is in controversy. The judge may required by rule or order that parties make a good faith estimate of the value of any nonmonetary relief sought.

4. a. Except as provided in subparagraph c of this subdivision, where a single party asserts two or more properly joined claims, the claims are aggregated in computing the amount in controversy.

b. Except as provided in subparagraph c, where there are two or more parties properly joined in an action and their interests are aligned, their claims are aggregated in computing the amount in controversy.

c. No claims are aggregated which are mutually exclusive and in the alternative, or which are successive, in the sense that satisfaction of one claim will bar recovery upon the other.

d. Where there are two or more claims not subject to aggregation the highest claim is the amount in controversy.

5. Where the value of the relief to a claimant differs from the cost thereof to an opposing party, the higher amount is used in determining the amount in controversy. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-244. Domestic relations.—The district court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for the trial of civil actions and proceedings for annulment, divorce, alimony, child support, and child custody. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-245. Injunctive and declaratory relief to enforce or invalidate statutes; constitutional rights.—(a) The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for the trial of civil actions where the principal relief prayed is

1. Injunctive relief against the enforcement of any statute, ordinance, or regulation;

2. Injunctive relief to compel enforcement of any statute, ordinance, or regulation;

3. Declaratory relief to establish or disestablish the validity of any statute, ordinance, or regulation; or

4. The enforcement or declaration of any claim of constitutional right.
§ 7A-246. Special proceedings; guardianship and trust administration. — The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for the hearing and trial of all special proceedings and of all proceedings involving the appointment of guardians and the administration by legal guardians and trustees of express trusts of the estates of their wards and beneficiaries, according to the practice and procedure provided by law for the particular proceeding. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-247. Mandamus; quo warranto. — The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for the trial of all civil actions seeking as principal relief the remedies of mandamus and quo warranto, according to the practice and procedure provided for obtaining each remedy. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-248. Condemnation actions and proceedings. — The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for the trial of all actions and proceedings wherein property is being taken by condemnation in exercise of the power of eminent domain, according to the practice and procedure provided by law for the particular action or proceeding. Nothing in this section is in derogation of the validity of such administrative or quasi-judicial procedures for value appraisal as may be provided for the particular action or proceeding prior to the raising of justiciable issues of fact or law requiring determination in the superior court. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-249. Corporate receiverships. — The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for actions for corporate receiverships under chapter 1, article 38, of the General Statutes. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-250. Review of decisions of administrative agencies. — The superior court division is the proper division, without regard to the amount in controversy, for review by original action or proceeding, or by appeal, of the decisions of administrative agencies, according to the practice and procedure provided for the particular action, or proceeding, or appeal, except that the Court of Appeals shall have jurisdiction to review final orders or decisions of the North Carolina Utilities Commission and the North Carolina Industrial Commission, as provided in article 5 of this chapter. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 6.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, added the exception at the end of this section.

§ 7A-251. Appeal from clerk to judge. — In all matters properly cognizable in the superior court division which are heard originally before the clerk of superior court, appeals lie to the judge of superior court having jurisdiction from all orders and judgments of the clerk for review in all matters of law or legal interence, in accordance with the procedure provided in chapter 1 of the General Statutes. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-252. Application of article. — The provisions of this article apply in each county of the State on and after the date that a district court is established therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-255. Clerk of superior court processes all actions and proceedings.—All civil actions and proceedings in the General Court of Justice are instituted in, and the original records thereof are maintained in, the office of the clerk of superior court, without regard to the trial divisions in which the cause is pending from time to time. When the commencement of an action or proceeding requires issuance of summons, the clerk of superior court issues the summons, and such summons runs and is valid as general process of the State without regard to the trial division in which the action or proceeding may be pending from time to time. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 22.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, "as general process of the State" for "throughout the State" in the second sentence.

§ 7A-256. Causes docketed and retained in originally designated trial division until transferred.—Upon the institution of any action or proceeding in the General Court of Justice the party instituting it designates upon the face of the originating pleading or other originating paper when filed, which trial division of the General Court of Justice he deems proper for disposition of the cause. The clerk docket the cause for the trial division so designated and the cause is retained for complete disposition in that division unless thereafter transferred in accordance with the provisions of this article. If no designation is made the clerk docket the cause for the superior court division, and the cause is retained for complete disposition in that division unless thereafter transferred in accordance with the provisions of this article. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-257. Waiver of proper division.—Any party may move for transfer between the trial divisions as provided in this article. Failure of a party to move for transfer within the time prescribed is a waiver of any objection to the division, except that there shall be no waiver of the jurisdiction of the superior court division in probate of wills and administration of decedents’ estates. Where more than one party is aligned in interest, any party may move for transfer of the entire case, notwithstanding waiver by other parties or coparties. A waiver of objection to the division does not prevent the judge from ordering a transfer on his own motion as provided in this article. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-258. Motion to transfer.—(a) Any party, including the plaintiff, may move on notice to all parties to transfer the civil action or special proceeding to the proper division when the division in which the case is pending is improper under the rules stated in this article.

(b) A motion to transfer is filed in the action or proceeding sought to be transferred, but it is heard and determined by a judge of the superior court division whether the case is pending in that division or not. A regular resident superior court judge of the district in which the action or proceeding is pending, any special superior court judge residing in the district, or any superior court judge presiding over any courts of the district may hear and determine such motion. The motion is heard and determined within the district, except by consent of the parties.

(c) A motion to transfer by any party other than the plaintiff must be filed within 30 days after the moving party is served with a copy of the pleading which justifies transfer. A motion to transfer by the plaintiff, if based upon the pleading of any other party, must be filed within 20 days after the pleading has been filed. A motion to transfer by any party, based upon an amendment to his own pleading must be made not later than 10 days after such amendment is filed. In no event is a motion to transfer made or determined after the case has been called for trial. Failure to move for transfer within the required time is a waiver of any objection to the division in which the case is pending, except in matters of probate of wills or administration of decedents’ estates.
§ 7A-258

A motion to transfer is in writing and contains:

(1) A short and direct statement of the grounds for transfer with specific reference to the provision of this chapter which determines the proper division; and

(2) A statement by an attorney for the moving party, or if the party is not represented by counsel, a statement by the party that the motion is made in the good faith belief that it may be properly granted and that he intends no amendment which would affect propriety of transfer.

(e) A motion to transfer is made on notice to all parties.

(f) Objection to the jurisdiction of the court over person or property is waived when a motion to transfer is filed unless such objection is raised at the time of filing or before. In no other case does the filing of a motion to transfer waive any rights under other motions or pleadings, nor does it prevent the filing of other motions or pleadings. The filing of a motion to transfer does not stay further proceedings in the case except that:

(1) Involuntary dismissal is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending;

(2) Assignment to a magistrate is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending; and

(3) A change of venue is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending, except by consent.

When a change of venue is ordered by consent while a motion to transfer is pending, the motion to transfer is determined in the new venue. The filing of a motion to transfer does not enlarge the time for filing responsive pleadings, nor does the filing of any other motion or pleading waive any rights under the motion to transfer.

(g) The motion for transfer provided herein is the sole method for seeking a transfer, and no transfer is effected by the use of mandamus, injunction, prohibition, certiorari, or other extraordinary writs.

(h) Transfer is effected when an order of transfer is filed. When transfer is ordered, the clerk makes appropriate entries on the dockets of each division and transfers the file of the case to the new division. No further proceedings are taken in the division from which the case is transferred. Papers filed after a transfer are properly filed notwithstanding any erroneous reference to the division from which the case is transferred. All orders made prior to transfer, including restraining orders, remain effective after transfer, as if no transfer had been made, until modified or set aside in the division to which the case is transferred.

(i) A claim of new or different relief asserted after transfer has been effected does not authorize a second transfer. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Amendment Effective July 1, 1969.—Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 3, effective July 1, 1969, changes subsections (f) and (g) of this section to read as follows:

“(f) Objection to the jurisdiction of the court over person or property is waived when a motion to transfer is filed unless such objection is raised at the time of filing or before. In no other case does the filing of a motion to transfer waive any rights under other motions or pleadings, nor does it prevent the filing of other motions or pleading, except as provided in Rule 12 of the Rules of Civil Procedure. The filing of a motion to transfer does not stay further proceedings in the case except that:

(1) Involuntary dismissal is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending;

(2) Assignment to a magistrate is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending; and

(3) A change of venue is not ordered while a motion to transfer is pending, except by consent.

When a change of venue is ordered by consent while a motion to transfer is pending, the motion to transfer is determined in the new venue. The filing of a motion to transfer does not enlarge the time for filing responsive pleadings, nor does the filing of any other motion or pleading waive any rights under the motion to transfer.

“(g) The motion for transfer provided herein is the sole method for seeking a transfer, and no transfer is effected by the use of mandamus, injunction, prohibition, certiorari, or other extraordinary writs;
§ 7A-259. Transfer on judge's own motion.—(a) If no party has moved for transfer within the time allowed to parties, any superior court judge who may hear and determine motions to transfer may order a transfer upon his own motion for the purpose of efficient administration of the trial divisions at any time before the case is calendared for trial. Transfer is not made on the judge's own motion unless the pleadings clearly show that the case is pending in an improper division. No hearing is held on such transfers, but the parties are given prompt notice when transfer is effected. Nothing in this section affects the power of the clerk to transfer matters and proceedings pending before him when an issue of fact is raised.

(b) When a district court is established in a district, any superior court judge authorized to hear and determine motions to transfer may, on his own motion, subject to the requirements of subsection (a), transfer to the district court cases pending in the superior court. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 23.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, mer provisions of the section as subsection (a) and added subsection (b).

§ 7A-260. Review of transfer matters.—Orders transferring or refusing to transfer are not immediately appealable, even for abuse of discretion. Such orders are reviewable only by the appellate division on appeal from a final judgment. If on review, such an order is found erroneous, reversal or remand is not granted unless prejudice is shown. If, on review, a new trial or partial new trial is ordered for other reasons, the appellate division may specify the proper division for new trial and order a transfer thereto. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 7.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, substituted “appellate division” for “Supreme Court” in the second and fourth sentences.

§ 7A-261. Application of article.—The provisions of this article apply in each county of the State on and after the date that a district court is established therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Article 22.

Jurisdiction of the Trial Divisions in Criminal Actions.

§ 7A-270. Generally.—General jurisdiction for the trial of criminal actions is vested in the superior court and the district court divisions of the General Court of Justice. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-271. Jurisdiction of superior court.—(a) The superior court has exclusive, original jurisdiction over all criminal actions not assigned to the district court division by this article, except that the superior court has jurisdiction to try a misdemeanor:

1. Which is a lesser included offense of a felony on which an indictment has been returned, or a felony information as to which an indictment has been properly waived; or
2. When the charge is initiated by presentment; or
3. Which may be properly consolidated for trial with a felony under G.S. 15-152; or
4. To which a plea of guilty or nolo contendere is tendered in lieu of a felony charge.

(b) When a district court is established in a district, any superior court judge presiding over a criminal session of court shall order transferred to the district court any pending misdemeanor which does not fall within the provisions of sub-
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§ 7A-272. Jurisdiction of district court. — (a) Except as provided in this article, the district court has exclusive, original jurisdiction for the trial of criminal actions, including municipal ordinance violations, below the grade of felony, and the same are hereby declared to be petty misdemeanors.

(b) The district court has jurisdiction to conduct preliminary examinations and to bind the accused over for trial upon waiver of preliminary examination or upon a finding of probable cause, making appropriate orders as to bail or commitment. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-273. Powers of magistrates in criminal actions. — In criminal actions, any magistrate has power:

1. In misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment which can be adjudged cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of fifty dollars ($50.00), exclusive of costs, to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment;

2. In misdemeanor cases involving traffic offenses, to accept written appearances, waivers of trial and pleas of guilty, in accordance with a schedule of offenses and fines promulgated by the chief district judge;

3. In any misdemeanor case, to conduct a preliminary examination and bind the accused over to the district court for trial upon a waiver of examination or upon a finding of probable cause, making appropriate orders as to bail or commitment;

4. To issue arrest warrants valid throughout the State;

5. To issue peace and search warrants valid throughout the county; and

6. To grant bail before trial for any noncapital offense. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-274. Power of mayors, law enforcement officers, etc., to issue warrants and set bail restricted.—The power of mayors, law enforcement officers, and other persons not officers of the General Court of Justice to issue arrest, search, or peace warrants, or to set bail, is terminated in any district court district upon the establishment of a district court therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-275. Application of article. — The provisions of this article apply in each county of the State on and after the date a district court has been established therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Article 23.

Jurisdiction in Juvenile Matters.

§ 7A-277. Jurisdiction of district court over juveniles. — The district court division shall have exclusive, original jurisdiction of cases involving juveniles, as such jurisdiction is set forth in chapter 110, article 2, of the General Statutes. This jurisdiction shall be exercised solely by the district judge. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Article 24.

Jurisdiction and Procedure in Civil Appeals from District Courts.


Editor's Note.—Section 8, c. 108, Session Laws 1967, provides: "G.S. 7A-280 through G.S. 7A-287, the same being article 24, 'Jurisdiction and Procedure in Civil Appeals from District Courts' is repealed effective Sept. 30, 1967, except for cases appealed under article 24 and not finally determined by that date, which cases shall be governed by the provisions of § 7A-35 of s. 1 of this act."
ARTICLE 25.

Jurisdiction and Procedure in Criminal Appeals from District Courts.

§ 7A-288. Appeals from district court in criminal cases; notice; appeal bond.—Any defendant convicted in district court before the judge may appeal to the superior court for trial de novo. Notice of appeal may be given orally in open court, or to the clerk within 10 days of entry of judgment. Upon receiving notice of appeal, the clerk shall transfer the case to the superior court criminal docket. An appeal may be withdrawn within 20 days after notice of appeal is given, or 10 days before the next criminal session of superior court convenes, whichever is later. Appeal bond may be set by the judge in his discretion. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 601, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted the fourth sentence.

ARTICLE 26.

Additional Powers of District Court Judges and Magistrates.

§ 7A-291. Additional powers of district court judges.—In addition to the jurisdiction and powers assigned in this chapter, a district court judge has the following powers:

1. To administer oaths;
2. To punish for contempt;
3. To compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of evidence;
4. To set bail;
5. To issue arrest warrants valid throughout the State, and search warrants valid throughout the district of issue; and
6. To issue all process and orders necessary or proper in the exercise of his powers and authority, and to effectuate his lawful judgments and decrees. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-292. Additional powers of magistrates.—In addition to the jurisdiction and powers assigned in this chapter to the magistrate in civil and criminal actions, each magistrate has the following additional powers:

1. To administer oaths;
2. To punish for contempt;
3. When authorized by the chief district judge, to take depositions and examinations before trial;
4. To issue subpoenas and capiases valid throughout the county;
5. To take affidavits for the verification of pleadings;
6. To appoint assessors to allot property for homestead and personal property exemptions, as provided in G.S. 1-386;
7. To issue writs of habeas corpus ad testificandum, as provided in G.S. 17-41;
8. To assign a year's allowance to the surviving spouse and a child's allowance to the children as provided in chapter 30, article 4, of the General Statutes;
9. To take acknowledgments of instruments, as provided in G.S. 47-1;
10. To perform the marriage ceremony, as provided in G.S. 51-1;
11. To take acknowledgment of a written contract or separation agreement between husband and wife, and to make a private examination of the wife, as provided in G.S. 52-6;
12. To conduct proceedings for the valuation of a division fence, as provided in G.S. 68-10;
13. To assess contribution for damages or for work done on a dam, canal, or ditch, as provided in G.S. 156-15; and
To perform any civil, quasi-judicial or ministerial function assigned by general law to the office of justice of the peace. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 25.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, inserted present subdivisions (5) to (13) and renumbered former subdivision (5) as subdivision (14).

§ 7A-293. Special authority of a magistrate assigned to a municipality located in more than one county of a district court district. — A magistrate assigned to an incorporated municipality, the boundaries of which lie in more than one county of a district court district, may, in criminal matters, exercise the powers granted by G.S. 7A-273 as if the corporate limits plus the territory embraced within a distance of one mile in all directions therefrom were located wholly within the magistrate's county of residence. Appeals from a magistrate exercising the authority granted by this section shall be taken in the district court in the county in which the offense was committed. A magistrate exercising the special authority granted by this section shall transmit all records, reports, and monies collected to the clerk of the superior court of the county in which the offense was committed. (1967, c. 691, s. 26.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this section is effective July 1, 1967.

SUBCHAPTER VI. REVENUES AND EXPENSES OF THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

ARTICLE 27.

§ 7A-300. Expenses paid from State funds.—(a) The operating expenses of the Judicial Department shall be paid from State funds, out of appropriations for this purpose made by the General Assembly. The Administrative Office of the Courts shall prepare budget estimates to cover these expenses, including therein the following items and such other items as are deemed necessary for the proper functioning of the Judicial Department:

(1) Salaries, departmental expense, printing and other costs of the appellate division;
(2) Salaries and expenses of superior court judges, solicitors, and assistant solicitors;
(3) Salaries, travel expenses, departmental expense, printing and other costs of the Administrative Office of the Courts;
(4) Salaries and travel expenses of district judges (including holdover judges), prosecutors, assistant prosecutors, acting prosecutors, magistrates, and family court counselors;
(5) Salaries and travel expenses of clerks of superior court, their assistants, deputies, and other employees, and the expenses of their offices, including supplies and materials, postage, telephone and telegraph, bonds and insurance, equipment, and other necessary items;
(6) Fees and travel expenses of jurors, and of witnesses required to be paid by the State;
(7) Compensation and allowances of court reporters;
(8) All other expenses arising out of the operations of the Judicial Department which by law are made the responsibility of the State.

(b) The expense items enumerated in (4) through (7) of subsection (a) shall not be paid from State funds in any judicial district until the district court has been established in the district. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 9.)

Editor's Note. — Session Laws 1967, c. 108, s. 9, effective July 1, 1967, substituted "appellate division" for "Supreme Court" in subdivision (1) of subsection (a).
§ 7A-301. Disbursement of expenses.—The salaries and expenses of all personnel in the Judicial Department and other operating expenses shall be paid out of the State Treasury upon warrants duly drawn thereon, except that the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Department of Administration, with the approval of the State Auditor, may establish alternative procedures for the prompt payment of juror fees, witness fees, and other small expense items. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-302. Counties and municipalities responsible for physical facilities.—In each county in which a district court has been established, courtrooms and related judicial facilities (including furniture), as defined in this subchapter, shall be provided by the county, except that courtrooms and related judicial facilities may, with the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts, after consultation with county and municipal authorities, be provided by a municipality in the county. To assist a county or municipality in meeting the expense of providing courtrooms and related judicial facilities, a part of the costs of court, known as the “facilities fee,” collected for the State by the clerk of superior court, shall be remitted to the county or municipality providing the facilities. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-303. Equipment and supplies in clerk’s office.—Upon the establishment of the district court in any county, supplies and all equipment in the office of the clerk of superior court shall become the property of the State. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Article 28.

Uniform Costs and Fees in the Trial Divisions.

§ 7A-304. Costs in criminal actions.—(a) In every criminal case in the superior or district court, wherein the defendant is convicted, or enters a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, or when costs are assessed against the prosecuting witness, the following costs shall be assessed, except that when the judgment imposes an active prison sentence, costs shall be assessed only when the judgment specifically so provides:

(1) For each arrest or personal service of criminal process, including citations, the sum of two dollars ($2.00), to be remitted to the county wherein the arrest was made or process was served, except that in those cases in which the arrest was made or process served by a law enforcement officer employed by a municipality, the fee shall be paid to the municipality employing the officer.

(2) For the use of the courtroom and related judicial facilities, the sum of two dollars ($2.00) in the district court, including cases before a magistrate, and the sum of fifteen dollars ($15.00) in superior court, to be remitted to the county in which the judgment is rendered. In all cases where the judgment is rendered in facilities provided by a municipality, the facilities fee shall be paid to the municipality. Funds derived from the facilities fees shall be used exclusively by the county or municipality for providing, maintaining, and constructing adequate courtroom and related judicial facilities, including: Adequate space and furniture for judges, solicitors, prosecutors, magistrates, juries, and other court related personnel; office space, furniture and vaults for the clerk; jail and juvenile detention facilities; and a law library (including books) if one has heretofore been established or if the governing body hereafter decides to establish one. In the event the funds derived from
the facilities fees exceed what is needed for these purposes, the county or municipality may, with the approval of the Administrative Officer of the Courts as to the amount, use any or all of the excess to retire outstanding indebtedness incurred in the construction of the facilities, or to reimburse the county or municipality for funds expended in constructing or renovating the facilities (without incurring any indebtedness) within a period of two years before or after the date a district court is established in such county, or to supplement the operations of the General Court of Justice in the county.

(3) For the Law Enforcement Officers’ Benefit and Retirement Fund, the sum of three dollars ($3.00), to be remitted to the State Treasurer and administered as provided in chapter 143, article 12, of the General Statutes.

(4) For support of the General Court of Justice, the sum of eight dollars ($8.00) in the district court, including cases before a magistrate, and the sum of twenty dollars ($20.00) in the superior court, to be remitted to the State Treasurer.

(b) On appeal, costs are cumulative, and costs assessed before a magistrate shall be added to costs assessed in the district court, and costs assessed in the district court shall be added to costs assessed in the superior court, except that if an appeal from the district court to the superior court is withdrawn within 20 days after notice of appeal is given, or 10 days before the next criminal session of superior court convenes, whichever is later, only the district court costs shall be assessed, and further, the fee for the Law Enforcement Officers’ Benefit and Retirement Fund shall be assessed only once in each case.

(c) The costs set forth in this section are complete and exclusive, and in lieu of any and all other costs and fees, except that witness fees and jail fees shall be assessed as provided by law in addition thereto. Nothing in this section shall limit the power or discretion of the judge in imposing fines or forfeitures or ordering restitution.

(d) In any criminal case in which the liability for costs, fines, restitution, or any other lawful charge has been finally determined, the partial payment of the same has been made to the clerk of superior court, and no additional payments have been made for a period of 12 months, and, in the opinion of the clerk, further payments are unlikely, the clerk shall disburse the partial payment in accordance with the following priorities:

1. Costs due the State, with the Law Enforcement Officers’ Benefit and Relief Fund last;
2. The facilities fee;
3. The arrest fee;
4. Any other charge due the county or city, with the county first;
5. Fines to the county school fund;
6. Sums in restitution, prorated among the persons entitled thereto.

Partial payments made pursuant to court order for the purchase of saving bonds or for deposit in savings accounts are excepted from the provisions of this subsection. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 601, s. 2; c. 691, ss. 27-29.)

Editor’s Note. — Session Laws 1967, c. 601, s. 2, inserted, in subsection (b), the provisions as to costs where an appeal from the district court to the superior court is withdrawn.

Session Laws 1967, c. 691, ss. 27-29, effective July 1, 1967, inserted the exception at the end of the introductory paragraph in subsection (a), inserted in the last sentence of subdivision (2) of subsection (a) the provision as to reimbursing the county or municipality for funds expended in constructing or renovating the facilities and added subsection (d).

Amendment Effective January 1, 1971.—Session Laws 1967, c. 1049, s. 5, effective Jan. 1, 1971, will delete “prosecutors” preceding “magistrates” near the middle of the third sentence in subsection (a) (2).
§ 7A-305. Costs in civil actions.—(a) In every civil action in the superior or district court the following costs shall be assessed:

(1) For the use of courtroom and related judicial facilities, the sum of two dollars ($2.00) in cases heard before a magistrate, and the sum of five dollars ($5.00) in district and superior court, to be remitted to the county in which the judgment is rendered, except that in all cases in which the judgment is rendered in facilities provided by a municipality, the facilities fee shall be paid to the municipality. Funds derived from the facilities fees shall be used in the same manner, for the same purposes, and subject to the same restrictions, as facilities fees assessed in criminal actions.

(2) For support of the General Court of Justice, the sum of twenty dollars ($20.00) in the superior court, and the sum of ten dollars ($10.00) in the district court, except that in the district court if the amount sued for is more than one hundred dollars ($100.00) but does not exceed three hundred dollars ($300.00), excluding interest, the sum shall be six dollars ($6.00), and if the amount sued for is one hundred dollars ($100.00) or less, excluding interest, the sum shall be three dollars ($3.00). Sums collected under this subsection shall be remitted to the State Treasurer.

(b) On appeal, costs are cumulative, and when cases heard before a magistrate are appealed to the district court, the General Court of Justice fee and the facilities fee applicable in the district court shall be added to the fees assessed before the magistrate; and when cases in the district court are appealed to the superior court, the General Court of Justice fee and the facilities fee applicable in the superior court shall be added to the fees assessed in the district court. When an order of the clerk of the superior court is appealed to either the district court or the superior court, no additional General Court of Justice fee or facilities fee shall be assessed.

(c) The clerk of superior court, at the time of the filing of the papers initiating the action or the appeal, shall collect as advance court costs, the facilities fee and General Court of Justice fee, except in suits in forma pauperis.

(d) The uniform costs set forth in this section are complete and exclusive, and in lieu of any and all other costs and fees, except that the following expenses, when incurred, are also assessable or recoverable, as the case may be:

(1) Witness fees, as provided by law.
(2) Jail fees, as provided by law.
(3) Counsel fees, as provided by law.
(4) Expense of service of process by certified mail.
(5) Costs on appeal to the superior court, or to the appellate division, as the case may be, of the original transcript of testimony, if any, insofar as essential to the appeal.
(6) Fees for personal service of civil process and other sheriff’s fees, as provided by law.
(7) Fees of guardians ad litem, next friends, receivers, commissioners, surveyors, arbitrators, appraisers, and other similar court appointees, as provided by law. The fee of such appointees shall include reasonable reimbursement for stenographic assistance, when necessary.
(8) Fees of interpreters, when authorized and approved by the court.

(e) Nothing in this section shall affect the liability of the respective parties for costs as provided by law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 108, s. 10; c. 691, s. 30.)

Editor’s Note.—The first 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, inserted “or to the appellate division, as the case may be” in subdivision (5) of subsection (d).

The second 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, substituted “does not exceed” for “less than” near the middle of the first sentence in subdivision (2) of subsection (a) and added subdivision (8) of subsection (d).
§ 7A-306. Costs in special proceedings.—(a) In every special proceeding in the superior court, the following costs shall be assessed:

(1) For the use of courtroom and related judicial facilities, the sum of two dollars ($2.00), to be remitted to the county. Funds derived from the facilities fees shall be used in the same manner, for the same purposes, and subject to the same restrictions, as facilities fees assessed in criminal actions.

(2) For support of the General Court of Justice the sum of thirteen dollars ($13.00). In addition, in proceedings involving land, except boundary disputes, if the fair market value of the land involved is over one hundred dollars ($100.00), there shall be an additional sum of twenty cents (20¢), per one hundred dollars ($100.00) of value, or major fraction thereof, not to exceed a maximum additional sum of one hundred dollars ($100.00). Fair market value is determined by the sale price if there is a sale, the appraiser’s valuation if there is no sale, or the appraised value from the property tax records if there is neither a sale nor an appraiser’s valuation. Sums collected under this subsection shall be remitted to the State Treasurer.

(b) The facilities fee and thirteen dollars ($13.00) of the General Court of Justice fee are payable at the time the proceeding is initiated.

(c) The uniform costs set forth in this section are complete and exclusive, and in lieu of any and all other costs, fees, and commissions, except that the following additional expenses, when incurred, are assessable or recoverable, as the case may be:

(1) Witness fees, as provided by law.
(2) Counsel fees, as provided by law.
(3) Costs on appeal, of the original transcript of testimony, if any, insofar as essential to the appeal.
(4) Fees for personal service of civil process, and other sheriff’s fees, as provided by law.
(5) Fees of guardians ad litem, next friends, receivers, commissioners, surveyors, arbitrators, appraisers, and other similar court appointees, as provided by law. The fees of such appointees shall include reasonable reimbursement for stenographic assistance, when necessary.
(6) Fees for a special jury, if any, at two dollars ($2.00) per special juror for each proceeding.

(d) Costs assessed before the clerk shall be added to costs assessable on appeal to the judge or upon transfer to the civil issue docket.

(e) Nothing in this section shall affect the liability of the respective parties for costs, as provided by law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 24, s. 2.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, originally effective Oct. 1, 1967, corrected an error by inserting the word “dollars” near the beginning of subsection (b). Session Laws 1967, c. 1078, amends the 1967 amendatory act so as to make it effective July 1, 1967.

§ 7A-307. Costs in administration of estates.—(a) In the administration of the estates of decedents, minors, incompetents, of missing persons, and of trusts under wills and under powers of attorney, the following costs shall be assessed:

(1) For the use of courtroom and related judicial facilities, the sum of two dollars ($2.00), to be remitted to the county. Funds derived from the facilities fees shall be used in the same manner, for the same purposes, and subject to the same restrictions, as facilities fees assessed in criminal actions.

(2) For support of the General Court of Justice the sum of eight dollars ($8.00), plus an additional ten cents (10¢) per one hundred dollars
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(**$100.00**), or major fraction thereof, of the gross estate. Gross estate shall include the fair market value of all personalty when received, and all proceeds from the sale of realty coming into the hands of the fiduciary, but shall not include the value of realty. This fee shall be computed from the information reported in the inventory and shall be paid when the inventory is filed with the clerk. If additional gross estate, including income, comes into the hands of the fiduciary after the filing of the inventory, the fee for such additional value shall be assessed and paid upon the filing of any account or report disclosing such additional value. For each filing the minimum fee shall be one dollar (**$1.00**). In no case shall the cumulative fee exceed one thousand dollars (**$1,000.00**). Sums collected under this subsection shall be remitted to the State Treasurer.

(b) The facilities fee and eight dollars (**$8.00**) of the General Court of Justice fee shall be paid at the time of qualification of the fiduciary.

(c) The uniform costs set forth in this section are complete and exclusive, and in lieu of any and all other costs, fees and commissions, except that the following additional expenses, when incurred, are also assessable or recoverable, as the case may be:

(1) Witness fees, as provided by law.
(2) Counsel fees, as provided by law.
(3) Costs on appeal, of the original transcript of testimony, if any, insofar as essential to the appeal.
(4) Fees for personal service of civil process, and other sheriff’s fees, as provided by law.
(5) Fees of guardians ad litem, next friends, referees, receivers, commissioners, surveyors, arbitrators, appraisers, and other similar court appointees, as provided by law.

(d) Costs assessed before the clerk shall be added to costs assessable on appeal to the judge or upon transfer to the civil issue docket.

(e) Nothing in this section shall affect the liability of the respective parties for costs, as provided by law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 31.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1967 amendment, for “article” near the beginning of subsection (c).

§ 7A-308. Miscellaneous fees and commissions.—(a) The following miscellaneous fees and commissions shall be collected by the clerk of superior court and remitted to the State for the support of the General Court of Justice:

(1) Foreclosure under power of sale in deed of trust or mortgage .... **$10.00**
(2) Inventory of safe deposits of a decedent ............................. 5.00
(3) Proceeding supplemental to execution ................................. 5.00
(4) Confession of judgment ................................................. 4.00
(5) Taking a deposition ...................................................... 3.00
(6) Execution ...................................................................... 2.00
(7) Notice of resumption of maiden name .................................. 2.00
(8) Taking an acknowledgment or administering an oath, or both, with or without seal; each certificate ................................. 1.00
(9) Bond, taking justification or approving ................................ 1.00
(10) Certificate, under seal .................................................... 1.00
(11) Recording or docketing (including indexing) any document, per page or fraction thereof, excluding welfare liens ..................... 1.00
(12) Preparation of copies, including transcripts, per page or fraction thereof ......................................................... 1.00
(13) Substitution of trustee in deed of trust ................................. 1.00
(14) Probate of any instrument ................................................ 0.50
(15) On all funds placed with the clerk by virtue of his office, to be administered by him according to the provisions of G.S. 2-53 or G.S. 28-68,
§ 7A-309. **Magistrate's special fees.**—The following special fees shall be collected by the magistrate and remitted to the clerk of the superior court for the use of the State in support of the General Court of Justice:

1. Performing marriage ceremony .................................................. $4.00
2. Hearing petition for year's allowance to surviving spouse or child, issuing notices to commissioners, allotting the same, and making return .......................................................... 4.00
3. Taking a deposition ................................................................. 3.00
4. Proof of execution or acknowledgment of any instrument .......... .50
5. Performing any other statutory function not incident to a civil or criminal action .......................................................... 1.00

(1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-310. **Fees of commissioners and assessors appointed by magistrate.**—Any person appointed by a magistrate as a commissioner or assessor, and who shall serve, shall be paid the sum of two dollars ($2.00), to be taxed as a part of the bill of costs of the proceeding. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-311. **Uniform civil process fees.**—(a) In a civil action or special proceeding, the following fees and commissions shall be assessed, collected, and remitted to the county:

1. For each item of civil process, including summons, subpoenas, notices, motions, orders, writs and pleadings, served, or attempted to be served, two dollars ($2.00). When two or more items of civil process are served simultaneously on one party, only one two-dollar ($2.00) fee shall be charged. When an item of civil process is served on two or more persons or organizations, a separate service charge shall be made for each person or organization. This subsection shall not apply to service of summons to jurors.

2. For the seizure of personal property and its care after seizure, all necessary expenses, in addition to any fees for service of process.

3. For all sales of property, either real or personal, or for funds collected by the sheriff under any judgment, five percent (5%) on the first five hundred dollars ($500.00), and two and one-half percent (2 1/2%) on all sums over five hundred dollars ($500.00), plus necessary expenses of sale.

4. For execution of a judgment of ejectment, all necessary expenses, in addition to any fees for service of process.

5. For each appraiser or commissioner, a fee of seven dollars ($7.00) per day, or fraction thereof, in addition to any fee for service of process,
§ 7A-312. Uniform fees for jurors; meals. — A juror in the General Court of Justice, except a juror in a special proceeding, shall receive seven dollars ($7.00) per day, and reimbursement for travel expense at the rate currently authorized for State employees, for each mile necessarily traveled from his place of residence to the court and return, each day. A juror required to remain overnight at the site of the trial shall be furnished adequate accommodations and subsistence in lieu of daily mileage. If required by the presiding judge to remain in a body during the trial of a case, meals shall be furnished the jurors during the period of sequestration. A juror in a special proceeding shall receive two dollars ($2.00) for each proceeding. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 1169.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the present third sentence.

§ 7A-313. Uniform jail fees. — Any person lawfully confined in jail awaiting trial shall be liable to the county or municipality maintaining the jail in the sum of two dollars ($2.00) for each day's confinement, or fraction thereof, except that a person so confined shall not be liable for this fee if a nolle prosequi is entered, or if acquitted, or if judgment is arrested, or if probable cause is not found, or if the grand jury fails to return a true bill. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-314. Uniform fees for witnesses; experts; limit on number. — A witness under subpoena, or bound over, or recognized, other than a salaried State, county, or municipal law enforcement officer, whether to testify before the court, grand jury, magistrate, clerk, referee, commissioner or arbitrator, shall receive three dollars ($3.00) per day, or fraction thereof, during his attendance. A witness entitled to this fee shall also receive reimbursement for travel expenses, at the rate currently authorized for State employees, for each mile necessarily traveled from his place of residence to the place of appearance and return, each day. An expert witness shall receive such compensation and allowances as the court, in its discretion, may authorize. If more than two witnesses shall be subpoenaed, bound over, or recognized, to prove a single material fact, the expense of the additional witnesses shall be borne by the party issuing or requesting the subpoena. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-315. Liability of State for witness fees in criminal cases when defendant not liable. — In a criminal action, if no prosecuting witness is designated by the court as liable for the costs, and the defendant is acquitted, or convicted and unable to pay, or a nolle prosequi is entered, or judgment is arrested, or probable cause is not found, or the grand jury fails to return a true bill, the State shall be liable for the witness fees. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
§ 7A-316. Payment of witness fees in criminal actions.—A witness in a criminal action who is entitled to a witness fee and who proves his attendance shall be paid by the clerk from State funds and the amount disbursed shall be assessed in the bill of costs, unless the State is liable for the fee, except that if more than two witnesses shall be subpoenaed, bound over, or recognized, to prove a single material fact, disbursements to such additional witnesses shall be charged against the party issuing or requesting the subpoena. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-317. Counties and municipalities not required to advance certain fees.—Counties and municipalities are not required to advance costs for the facilities fee, the General Court of Justice fee, the miscellaneous fees enumerated in G.S. 7A-308, or the civil process fees enumerated in G.S. 7A-311. (1967, c. 691, s. 35.)

Editor's Note.—Section 35, c. 691, Session Laws 1967, effective July 1, 1967, which inserted this section, renumbered former §§ 7A-317 and 7A-318 as §§ 7A-318 and 7A-319, respectively.

§ 7A-318. Determination and disbursement of costs on and after date district court established.—(a) On and after the date that the district court is established in a judicial district, costs in every action, proceeding or other matter pending in the General Court of Justice in that district, shall be assessed as provided in this article, unless costs have been finally assessed according to prior law. In computing costs as provided in this section, the parties shall be given credit for any fees, costs, and commissions paid in the pending action, proceeding or other matter, before the district court was established in the district, except that no refunds are authorized.

(b) In the administration of estates, costs shall be considered finally assessed according to prior law when they have been assessed at the time of the filing of any inventory, account, or other report. Costs at any filing on or after the date the district court is established in a judicial district shall be assessed as provided in this article.

(c) When the General Court of Justice fee and the facilities fee are assessed as provided in this article and credit is given for fees, costs, and commissions paid before the district court was established in the district, the actual amount thereafter received by the clerk shall be remitted to the State for the support of the General Court of Justice.

(d) When costs have been finally assessed according to prior law, but come into the hands of the clerk after the district court is established in the district, funds so received shall be disbursed according to prior law.

(e) Cost funds in the hands of the clerk at the time the district court is established shall be disbursed according to prior law. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 35.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7A-317.

§ 7A-319. Application of article.—The provisions of this article apply in each county of the State on and after the date that a district court is established therein. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 35.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 7A-317.

SUBCHAPTER VII. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS.

Article 29.

Administrative Office of the Courts.

§ 7A-340. Administrative Office of the Courts; establishment; officers.—There is hereby established a State office to be known as the Adminis-
trative Office of the Courts. It shall be supervised by a Director, assisted by an assistant director. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-341. Appointment and compensation of Director.—The Director shall be appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, to serve at his pleasure. He shall receive the annual salary provided in the Budget Appropriations Act, payable monthly, and reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses at the same rate as State employees generally. Service as Director shall be equivalent to service as a superior court judge for the purposes of entitlement to retirement pay or to retirement for disability. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 36.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the second sentence.

§ 7A-342. Appointment and compensation of assistant director and other employees.—The assistant director shall also be appointed by the Chief Justice, to serve at his pleasure. The assistant director shall receive the annual salary provided in the Budget Appropriations Act, payable monthly, and reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses at the same rate as State employees generally.

The Director may appoint such other assistants and employees as are necessary to enable him to perform the duties of his office. (1965, c. 310, s. 1; 1967, c. 691, s. 37.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote the second sentence of the first paragraph and deleted subject to the provisions of the State Personnel Act following “employees” in the second paragraph.

§ 7A-343. Duties of Director.—The Director is the Administrative Officer of the Courts, and his duties include the following:

1. Collect and compile statistical data and other information on the judicial and financial operation of the courts and on the operation of other offices directly related to and serving the courts;
2. Determine the state of the dockets and evaluate the practices and procedures of the courts, and make recommendations concerning the number of judges, solicitors, prosecutors and magistrates required for the efficient administration of justice;
3. Prescribe uniform administrative and business methods, systems, forms and records to be used in the offices of the clerks of superior court;
4. Prepare and submit budget estimates of State appropriations necessary for the maintenance and operation of the Judicial Department, and authorize expenditures from funds appropriated for these purposes;
5. Investigate, make recommendations concerning, and assist in the securing of adequate physical accommodations for the General Court of Justice;
6. Procure, distribute, exchange, transfer, and assign such equipment, books, forms and supplies as are to be acquired with State funds for the General Court of Justice;
7. Make recommendations for the improvement of the operations of the Judicial Department;
8. Prepare and submit an annual report on the work of the Judicial Department to the Chief Justice, and transmit a copy to each member of the General Assembly;
9. Assist the Chief Justice in performing his duties relating to the transfer of district court judges for temporary or specialized duty; and
10. Perform such additional duties and exercise such additional powers as
may be prescribed by statute or assigned by the Chief Justice. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Amendment Effective January 1, 1971.— Jan. 1, 1971, will delete “prosecutors” following “solicitors” in subdivision (2).

§ 7A-344. Duties of assistant director. — The assistant director is the administrative assistant to the Chief Justice, and his duties include the following:

1. Assist the Chief Justice in performing his duties relating to the assignment of superior court judges;
2. Assist the Supreme Court in preparing calendars of superior court trial sessions; and
3. Performing such additional functions as may be assigned by the Chief Justice or the Director of the Administrative Office. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-345. Information to be furnished to Administrative Officer.— All judges, solicitors, prosecutors, magistrates, clerks of superior court and other officers or employees of the courts and of offices directly related to and serving the courts shall on request furnish to the Administrative Officer information and statistical data relative to the work of the courts and of such offices and relative to the receipt and expenditure of public moneys for the operation thereof. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

Amendment Effective January 1, 1971. preceding “magistrates” near the beginning of this section.

§ 7A-400. Venue transfers into counties having no district court.— When a civil or criminal action is for any reason of venue transferred from a county wherein a district court has been established to a county wherein a district court has not been established, the action shall be placed on the criminal docket or the civil issue docket of the superior court of the county to which transfer is made. The superior court of the county to which transfer is made is hereby given jurisdiction to determine the action without regard to any other provisions of law pertaining to jurisdiction. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)

§ 7A-401. Venue transfers into counties having district court.— When a civil or criminal action is for any reason of venue transferred from a county wherein a district court has not been established to a county wherein a district court has been established, the action shall be docketed in the superior court division of the county to which transfer is made. The superior court division of the county to which transfer is made is hereby constituted the proper division for, and is hereby given jurisdiction to, determine the action without regard to any other provision of law pertaining to jurisdiction or proper forum. (1965, c. 310, s. 1.)
Chapter 8.
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Article 10.
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8-71. Manner of taking depositions in civil actions; copy furnished to adverse party without cost.

ARTICLE 1.
Statutes.

§ 8-1. Printed statutes and certified copies evidence.


§ 8-3. Laws of other states or foreign countries.—(a) A printed copy of a statute, or other written law, of another state, or of a territory, or of a foreign country, or a printed copy of a proclamation, edict, decree or ordinance, by the executive thereof, contained in a book or publication purporting or proved to have been published by the authority thereof, or proved to be commonly admitted as evidence of the existing law, in the judicial tribunals thereof, shall be evidence of the statute law, proclamation, edict, decree, or ordinance. The unwritten or common law of another state, or of a territory, or of a foreign country, may be proved as a fact by oral evidence. The books of the reports of cases, adjudged in the courts thereof, shall also be admitted as evidence of the unwritten or common law thereof.

(b) Any party may exhibit a copy of the law of another state, territory, or foreign country copied from a printed volume of the laws of such state, territory, or country on file in
(1) The offices of the Governor or the Secretary of State, and duly certified by the Secretary of State, or
(2) The State Library and certified as provided in G.S. 125-6, or
(3) The Supreme Court Library and certified as provided in G.S. 125-6, or

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, designated the first three sentences of this section as subsection (a), deleted the former fourth sentence of the section, and added subsection (b).

§ 8-4. Judicial notice of laws of United States, other states and foreign countries.

Negligent Injury Occurring in Another State.—In an action instituted in this State to recover for negligent injury occurring in another state, liability must be deter-

§ 8-5. Town ordinances certified.


§ 8-6. Copies certified by Secretary of State or State Archivist.—Copies of the plats and certificates of survey, or their accompanying warrants, and all abstracts of grants, which may be filed in the office of the Secretary of State, or in the Department of Archives and History, which copies, upon certification by the Secretary of State as to those records in his office, or the State Archivist as to those records in the Department of Archives and History, as true copies, shall be as good evidence, in any court, as the original. (1822, c. 1154, s. 4; Code 1901, c. 740, s. 2.)

Editor's Note. — The 1961 amendment made this section applicable to the Department of Archives and History and to the State Archivist.

§ 8-7. Certified copies of grants and abstracts.—For the purpose of showing title from the State of North Carolina to the grantee or grantees therein named and for the lands therein described, duly certified copies of all grants and of all memoranda and abstracts of grants on record in the office of the Secretary of State, or in the Department of Archives and History, given in abstract or in full, and with or without the signature of the Governor and the great seal of the State appearing upon such record, shall be competent evidence in the courts of this State or of the United States or of any territory of the United States, and in the absence of the production of the original grant shall be conclusive evidence of a grant from the State to the grantee or grantees named and for the lands described therein. (1915, c. 249, s. 1; C.S., s. 1752; 1961, c. 740, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — The 1961 amendment inserted in line five the words "or in the Department of Archives and History."

§ 8-18. Certified copies of registered instruments evidence.

This section is not applicable when the original instrument is offered in evidence with the certificate of the register of deeds appearing thereon with respect to the time filed for registration and the book and page where it has been registered and the date of such registration. State v. Dunn, 264 N.C. 391, 141 S.E.2d 650 (1965).

ARTICLE 3.

Public Records.

§ 8-34. Copies of official writings.—Copies of all official bonds, writings, papers, or documents, recorded or filed as records in any court, or public office, or lodged in the office of the Governor, Treasurer, Auditor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, Adjutant General, or the State Department of Archives and History, shall be as competent evidence as the originals, when certified by the keeper of such records or writings under the seal of his office when there is
such seal, or under his hand when there is no such seal, unless the court shall order the production of the original. Copies of the records of the board of county commissioners shall be evidence when certified by the clerk of the board under his hand and seal of the county. (1792, c. 368, s. 11, P. R.; R. C., c. 44, s. 8; 1869-90, c. 20, s. 21; 1871-2, c. 91; Code, ss. 715, 1342; Rev., s. 1616; C. S., s. 1779; 1961, c. 739.)

Editor's Note. — The 1961 amendment inserted after "Adjutant General" in line four the words "or the State Department of Archives and History."

§ 8-35. Authenticated copies of public records.

Authentication Essential. — In order for this section to apply it must affirmatively appear that the evidence was offered as a properly authenticated copy of a public record in accordance with the section. State v. Bovender, 233 N. C. 683, 65 S. E. (2d) 323 (1951)

This section has no application to an uncertified copy of a coroner’s report but only to a duly certified copy. Robinson v. Life & Cas. Ins. Co. of Tenn., 255 N. C. 669, 122 S. E. (2d) 801 (1961).

A record of the Department of Motor Vehicles, disclosing that defendant’s license was in a state of revocation under official Department action during the period defendant was charged with driving on a highway of this State, is competent under this section when the record is certified under seal of the Department. State v. Mercer, 249 N. C. 371, 106 S. E. (2d) 866 (1959)


§ 8-37. Certificate of Commissioner of Motor Vehicles as to ownership of automobile.


Article 4.

Other Writings in Evidence.

§ 8-39. Parol evidence to identify land described.

In General. — The statute applies only when there is a description which can be aided by parol, and cannot be held to validate a deed where the description is too vague and indefinite to identify the land claimed and to fit it to the description. At all events, the description as it may be explained by oral testimony must identify and make certain the land intended to be conveyed. Failing in this, the deed is void. Holloman v. Davis, 238 N. C. 386, 78 S. E. (2d) 143 (1953).

The statutory rule permitting the use of parol testimony to fit the description in a deed to the land intended to be conveyed does not relieve the invalidity due to vagueness, indefiniteness and uncertainty unless there be elements of description which are either certain in themselves or are capable of being reduced to certainty by reference to something extrinsic to the deed. The liberal rule of construction does not permit the passing of title to land by parol. Such evidence cannot be used to enlarge the scope of the descriptive words. The deed itself must point to the source from which evidence aliumede to make the description complete is to be sought. Holloman v. Davis, 238 N. C. 386, 78 S. E. (2d) 143 (1953).

The purpose of parol evidence is to fit the description to the property, not to create a description. McDaris v. Breit Bar “T” Corp., 265 N. C. 298, 144 S. E. 2d 59 (1965).

Evidence dehors the deed is admissible to "fit the description to the thing" only when it tends to explain, locate, or make certain some call or descriptive term used in the deed. It is the deed that must speak. The oral evidence must only interpret what has been said therein. McDaris v. Breit Bar “T” Corp., 265 N. C. 298, 144 S. E. 2d 59 (1965).

Scope of Descriptive Words May Not Be Enlarged. — Parol evidence is admissible to fit the description in a deed showing color of title to the land. Such evidence cannot, however, be used to enlarge the scope of the descriptive words. McDaris v. Breit Bar “T” Corp., 265 N. C. 298, 144 S. E. 2d 59 (1965).

Fitting Description in Deeds to Earth’s...
§ 8-40. Proof of handwriting by comparison.

Rule under Prior Law. —
In accord with original. See In re McGowan's Will, 235 N. C. 404, 70 S. E. (2d) 189 (1952).

Genuine Writing Not Required to Be Introduced in Evidence to Permit Comparison.—Prior to the enactment of this section, in those cases where the comparison of handwriting was permissible under the law, a paper containing the admitted genuine signature was not required to be introduced in evidence to authorize its comparison by a qualified witness with a signature the genuineness of which was in issue. This section did not change the rule in this respect. However, it did change the rule of evidence so as to permit the comparison of a disputed writing with any writing proved to the satisfaction of the judge to be genuine, and to permit such writing and the evidence of witnesses respecting the same to be submitted to the court and jury as evidence of the genuineness or otherwise of the writing in dispute. But the section does not prevent a comparison of a disputed writing with any writing proved to the satisfaction of the judge to be genuine, unless such genuine writing is introduced in evidence. In re McGowan's Will, 235 N. C. 404, 70 S. E. (2d) 189 (1952).

§ 8-45. Itemized and verified accounts.


Article 4A.

Photographic Copies of Business and Public Records.

§ 8-45.1. Photographic reproductions admissible; destruction of originals.

Reproductions Are Primary Evidence.—Reproductions are made and kept among the records of many banks in due course of business. Their accuracy is not questioned. As proof of payment they constitute not secondary but primary evidence. State v. Shumaker, 251 N. C. 678, 111 S. E. (2d) 878 (1960).
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Article 4B.

Evidence of Fraud, Duress, Undue Influence.

§ 8-45.5. Statements, releases, etc., obtained from persons in shock or under the influence of drugs; fraud presumed.—Any oral or written statement, waiver, release, receipt, or other representation of any kind by any person made or executed while a patient in any hospital and taken by any person in connection with any type of insurance coverage on or for the benefit of said patient which shall have been taken while such patient was in shock or appreciably under the influence of any drug, including drugs given primarily for sedation, shall be deemed to have been obtained by means of fraud, duress or undue influence on the part of the person or persons taking same, and the same shall be incompetent and inadmissible in evidence to prove or disprove any fact or circumstance relating to any claim for which any insurance company may be liable under any policy of insurance issued to, or which may indemnify or provide coverage or protection for the person making or executing any such statement or other instrument while a patient in a hospital, nor may any such person making or executing the same be examined or cross-examined in regard thereto. (1967, c. 928.)

Article 5.

Life Tables.

§ 8-46. Mortuary tables as evidence.—Whenever it is necessary to establish the expectancy of continued life of any person from any period of such person’s life, whether he be living at the time or not, the table hereto appended shall be received in all courts and by all persons having power to determine litigation, as evidence, with other evidence as to the health, constitution and habits of such person, of such expectancy represented by the figures in the columns headed by the words “completed age” and “expectation” respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Age</th>
<th>Expectation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>64.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>62.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>61.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>57.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>56.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>55.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>55.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>54.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>52.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>51.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>50.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>49.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>48.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>47.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>45.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Age</td>
<td>Expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>44.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>42.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>41.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>39.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>38.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>37.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>36.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>35.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>35.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>34.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>33.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>31.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>29.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>28.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>28.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>27.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>26.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>25.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>24.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>24.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>22.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>21.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>20.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>19.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>18.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>18.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>16.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>15.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>14.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>13.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>11.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>11.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>8.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Age</td>
<td>Expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1883, c. 225; Code, s. 1352; Rev., s. 1626; C. S., s. 1790; 1955, c. 870.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment rewrote this section to provide a modern mortuary table. In Cronenberg v. United States, 123 F. Supp. 693 (1954), the former table was referred to as "antiquated."

Need Not Be, etc.—The mortuary table is statutory and need not be introduced in evidence, but may receive judicial notice when facts are in evidence requiring or permitting its application. Chandler v. Moreland Chem. Co., 270 N.C. 395, 154 S.E.2d 502 (1967).


The expectancy of life is only material when the injury is shown to be one which will continue through life. Gillikin v. Burbage, 263 N.C. 317, 139 S.E.2d 753 (1965).

Without evidence of permanent injury, the admission of the mortuary table to show the probable expectancy of life would be misleading and prejudicial. Gillikin v. Burbage, 263 N.C. 317, 139 S.E.2d 753 (1965).

Table Not Conclusive.—This section does not, like § 8-47, give a mathematical result which the court can apply. The table given is merely evidentiary. Waggoner v. Waggoner, 246 N.C. 210, 97 S.E.2d 887 (1957).

Value of Dower.—Because the mortuary table is only evidentiary, it has been decided that the cash value of dower inchoate depends on the ages of husband and wife, and on their health, habits and all other circumstances tending to show the probabilities as to the length of life. And there is no reason for differing rules for determining life expectancy as between married women entitled to dower inchoate and widows entitled to dower consummate. Waggoner v. Waggoner, 246 N.C. 210, 97 S.E.2d 887 (1957).

Where testimony tended to show that plaintiff's injuries were permanent in character, it was proper for the presiding judge to permit plaintiff to introduce and the jury to consider the mortuary tables formerly embodied in this section. Hunt v. Wooten, 238 N.C. 42, 76 S.E.2d 326 (1953).
The mortuary tables were properly introduced into evidence on the issue of damages over defendant's objection where plaintiff introduced evidence that he received permanently disfiguring scars from sulphuric acid burns as a result of defendant's negligence. Chandler v. Moreland Chem. Co., 270 N.C. 395, 154 S.E.2d 502 (1967).

Failure to Instruct Jury as to Life Expectancy of Plaintiff.—In the absence of a request, the judge did not commit reversible error in failing to instruct the jury in an action for personal injury that the plaintiff had a life expectancy of 15.27 years according to the mortuary table, which he had introduced in evidence, where, although the charge did not contain a direct reference to the plaintiff's life expectancy, the court did instruct the jury to take into consideration all the evidence bearing on the issue, including the plaintiff's age. Derby v. Owens, 245 N.C. 591, 96 S. E. (2d) 851 (1957).

Erroneous Instruction.—Where the element of future damages figures largely in consideration of the issue, an instruction to the effect that the jury might take into consideration the mortuary tables as to the life expectancy of plaintiff, without reference to the evidence as to plaintiff's health prior and subsequent to the accident and without charging that the mortuary tables should be considered only as evidence together with other evidence as to the health, constitution and habits of plaintiff, is incomplete and erroneous. Harris v. Atlantic Greyhound Corp., 243 N.C. 346, 90 S. E. (2d) 710 (1956).


§ 8-47. Present worth of annuities.—Whenever it is necessary to establish the present worth or cash value of an annuity to a person, payable annually during his life, such present worth or cash value may be ascertained by the use of the following table in connection with the mortuary tables established by law, the first column representing the number of years the annuity is to run and the second column representing the present cash value of an annuity of one dollar for such number of years, respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Years Annuity is to Run</th>
<th>Cash Value of the Annuity of $1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>11.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Years Annuity is to Run</td>
<td>Cash Value of the Annuity of $1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>13.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>14.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>14.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>14.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>14.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>14.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>15.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>15.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>15.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>15.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>15.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>15.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>15.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>15.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>15.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>15.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>15.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>15.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>15.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>15.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>16.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>16.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>16.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>16.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>16.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>16.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>16.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>16.331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The present cash value of the annuity for a fraction of a year may be ascertained as follows: Multiply the difference between the cash value of the annuities for the preceding and succeeding full years by the fraction of the year in decimals and add the sum to the present cash value for the preceding full year. When a person is entitled to the use of a sum of money for life, or for a given time, the interest thereon for one year, computed at four and one half per cent, may be considered as an annuity and the present cash value be ascertained as herein provided: Provided, the interest rate in computing the present cash value of a life interest in land shall be six per cent (6%).
Whenever the mortuary tables set out in G.S. 8-46 are admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding to establish the expectancy of continued life of any person from any period of such person’s life, whether he be living at the time or not, the annuity tables herein set forth shall be evidence, but not conclusive, of the loss of income during the period of life expectancy of such person. (1905, c. 347, Rev., s. 1627; C. S., s. 1791; 1927, c. 215; 1943, c. 543; 1957, c. 497; 1959, c. 879, s. 3; 1965, c. 991.)

Editor’s Note.—
The 1957 amendment revised and extended the table. The 1959 amendment, effective July 1, 1960, struck out the word “dower” in the proviso at the end of the second paragraph and inserted in lieu thereof “a life interest in lieu of an intestate share taken under the provisions of G.S. 29-30,” which provision was subsequently changed by the 1965 amendment. The 1965 amendment substituted “land” for “dower” in the proviso to the last sentence of the second paragraph, and added the last paragraph. The proviso in this section is not applicable to causes arising prior to the date of its ratification, March 6, 1943. Brenkworth v. Lanier, 260 N.C. 279, 132 S.E.2d 623 (1963).

By the specific language of the proviso in this section a widow is entitled to have her annuity computed at 6% when her dower (now life interest in lieu of an intestate share) is sold. Brenkworth v. Lanier, 260 N.C. 279, 132 S.E.2d 623 (1963).


ARTICLE 7.

Competency of Witnesses.

§ 8-49. Witness not excluded by interest or crime.

Burden on Challenger to Show Disqualification. — The general rule established by this section and § 8-50 is that no person offered as a witness shall be excluded on account of interest or because a party to the action, except as otherwise provided. Hence, it is incumbent upon one who challenges the competency of the witness to show disqualification. Sanderson v. Paul, 225 N. C. 56, 69 S. E. (2d) 156 (1952).

§ 8-50. Parties competent as witnesses. — (a) On the trial of any issue, or of any matter or question, or on any inquiry arising in any action, suit or other proceeding in court, or before any judge, justice, jury or other person having by law, authority to hear and examine evidence, the parties themselves and the person in whose behalf any suit or other proceeding may be brought or defended, shall, except as otherwise provided, be competent and compellable to give evidence, either viva voce or by deposition, according to the practice of the court in behalf of either or any of the parties to said action, suit or other proceeding. Nothing in this section shall be construed to apply to any action or other proceeding in any court instituted in consequence of adultery, or to any action for criminal conversation.

(b) A party who calls an adverse party as a witness shall be allowed to cross-examine him in the same manner as any other witness and may contradict him but may not impeach his credibility except by the showing of prior inconsistent statements upon proper foundation laid.

(c) When a corporation is a party to the action, this section shall apply to any of its officers or agents. (1866, c. 43, ss. 2, 3; Code, s. 1351; Rev., s. 1630; C. S., s. 1793; 1953, c. 885, s. 1.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1953 amendment inserted “(a)” at the beginning of the section, and added subsections (b) and (c). For comment on amendment, see 31 N. C. Law Rev 411.

Repeal of Subsections (b) and (c).—Subsections (b) and (c) of this section are repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-50.1. Competency of evidence of blood tests.—In the trial of any criminal action or proceeding in any court in which the question of paternity arises, regardless of any presumptions with respect to paternity, the court before whom the matter may be brought, upon motion of the defendant, shall direct and order that the defendant, the mother and the child shall submit to a blood grouping test; provided, that the court, in its discretion, may require the person requesting the blood grouping test to pay the cost thereof. The results of such blood grouping tests shall be admitted in evidence when offered by a duly licensed practicing physician or other qualified person. Such evidence shall be competent to rebut any presumptions of paternity.

In the trial of any civil action, the court before whom the matter may be brought, upon motion of either party, shall direct and order that the defendant, the plaintiff, the mother and the child shall submit to a blood grouping test; provided, that the court, in its discretion, may require the person requesting the blood grouping test to pay the cost thereof. The results of such blood grouping tests shall be admitted in evidence when offered by a duly licensed practicing physician or other duly qualified person. (1949, c. 51; 1965, c. 618.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment added "regardless of any presumptions with respect to paternity" near the beginning of the first paragraph and added the last sentence in that paragraph.

§ 8-51. A party to a transaction excluded, when the other party is dead.—Upon the trial of an action, or the hearing upon the merits of a special proceeding, a party or a person interested in the event, or a person from, through or under whom such a party or interested person derives his interest or title by assignment or otherwise, shall not be examined as a witness in his own behalf or interest, or in behalf of the party succeeding to his title or interest, against the executor, administrator or survivor of a deceased person, or the committee of a lunatic, or a person deriving his title or interest from, through or under a deceased person or lunatic, by assignment or otherwise, concerning a personal transaction or communication between the witness and the deceased person or lunatic; except where the executor, administrator, survivor, committee or person so deriving title or interest is examined in his own behalf, or the testimony of the lunatic or deceased person is given in evidence concerning the same transaction or communication. Nothing in this section shall preclude testimony as to the identity of the deceased operator of a motor vehicle in any case brought against the deceased's estate arising out of the operation of a motor vehicle in which the deceased is alleged to have been the operator or one of the operators involved. (C. C. P., s. 343; Code, s. 590; Rev., s. 1631; C. S., s. 1795; 1967, c. 896, s. 1.)

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATION.

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added the last sentence. Section 2, c. 896, Session Laws 1967, provides that the act shall not apply to pending litigation.

For note on personal transactions under this section, see 34 N. C. Law Rev. 362.

For case law survey on dead man's statute, see 41 N. C. Law Rev. 477.

Purpose of Section.—The reasoning behind this section is succinctly stated: Death having closed the mouth of one of the parties (with respect to a personal transaction or communication), it is but meet that the law should not permit the other to speak of those matters which are forbidden by the statute. Men quite often understand and interpret personal transactions and communications differently, at best; and the legislature, in its wisdom, has declared that an ex parte statement of such matters shall not be received in evidence. Carswell v. Greene, 253 N. C. 266, 116 S. E. (2d) 801 (1960).

The law that an interested survivor to a personal transaction or communication cannot testify with respect thereto against the dead man's estate is intended as a shield to protect against fraudulent and unfounded claims. It is not intended as a sword with which the estate may attack the survivor. Pearce v. Barham, 267 N.C. 707, 149 S.E.2d 22 (1966).

When Testimony Is Incompetent under
This Section.—The testimony of a witness is incompetent under the provisions of this statute when it appears (1) that such witness is a party, or interested in the event, (2) that his testimony relates to a personal transaction or communication with the deceased person, (3) that the action is against the personal representative of the deceased or a person deriving title or interest from, through or under the deceased, and (4) that the witness is testifying in his own behalf or interest. Collins v. Covert, 246 N. C. 303, 98 S. E. (2d) 26 (1957); Godwin v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co., 250 N. C. 520, 131 S. E. (2d) 456 (1963).

Testimony Competent as to Only One of Two Defendants Is Admissible.—When there is more than one defendant, testimony which is competent as to one party should not be excluded by virtue of this section because it is not competent against another party in the suit. Lamm v. Gardncr, 250 N. C. 540, 108 S. E. (2d) 847 (1959).

Courts are not disposed to extend the disqualification of a witness under this section to those not included in its express terms. Sanderson v. Paul, 235 N. C. 56, 69 S. E. (2d) 156 (1952).

This Section Applies to Actions in Tort, etc.—


This section prohibits the surviving party from testifying in his own behalf with respect to personal transactions and communications between him and a deceased person in an action in which the survivor seeks to establish a claim, either in contract or in tort, against the estate of the deceased. Carswell v. Greene, 253 N. C. 266, 116 S. E. (2d) 801 (1960).


Testimony as to Independent Facts.—The disqualification of a party to the action to testify against the personal representative of a deceased person as to a transaction or communication with the deceased does not prohibit such interested party from testifying as to the acts and conduct of the deceased where the interested party is merely an observer and is testifying as to facts based upon independent knowledge not derived from any personal transaction or communication with the deceased. Hardison v. Gregory, 242 N. C. 324, 88 S. E. (2d) 96 (1955); Carswell v. Greene, 253 N. C. 266, 116 S. E. (2d) 801 (1960).

In this action for alienation of affections and criminal conversation against the administrators of the alleged tort feasor, plaintiff's testimony that when he returned to his home at night he found the deceased standing in the living room of the unlighted house, and that on two other occasions he saw his wife and the deceased alone at farm cabins, is held competent as testimony of independent facts. Hardison v. Gregory, 242 N. C. 324, 88 S. E. (2d) 96 (1955).

Testimony Admissible to Prove Time When Act Was Done.—Where the act of the widow's execution of dissent to the will and the delivery of such dissent by her to the court is established by evidence, an interested party may testify, after the death of the widow, as to the time she saw the widow file the dissent in the clerk's office, the testimony being offered not for the purpose of proving the widow's execution of the dissent but only to establish that the act was done within the time allowed. Philbrick v. Young, 255 N. C. 737, 122 S. E. (2d) 725 (1961).

Provisions of This Section May Be Waived, etc.—

If the plaintiffs at a former trial called the defendant as an adverse witness, examined her in detail about her relations with deceased, such examination would seem to be a waiver of this section and would open the door for the defendant to testify in another trial in respect to the matters about which the plaintiffs examined her. Hayes v. Ricard, 244 N. C. 313, 93 S. E. (2d) 540 (1956).

Where a party claiming under a deceased person examines the attorney for the deceased in respect to the execution and delivery of deeds to the land in controversy and the consideration therefor, such examination constitutes a waiver of this section in respect to communications or transactions with decedent, and the other party is entitled to cross-examine the attorney as to such transactions. However, the waiver does not apply to other and independent transactions. Hayes v. Ricard, 244 N. C. 313, 93 S. E. (2d) 540 (1956).

Where the plaintiffs adversely examined the defendant for the purpose of obtaining evidence for use in the trial as provided in §§ 1-568.1 to 1-568.16, that examination is a waiver of the protection afforded.
by this section to the extent that either party may use it upon the trial. Hayes v. Ricard, 244 N. C. 313, 93 S. E. (2d) 540 (1956).

But adverse examinations of defendant in regard to transactions with decedent, which examinations were taken in prior actions nonsuited, do not operate as a waiver of this section so as to render competent defendant's testimony in subsequent trials in regard to such transactions. McCurdy v. Ashley, 259 N. C. 619, 131 S. E. (2d) 321 (1963).

Where an action to recover for injuries to one passenger is consolidated with two actions for wrongful deaths of two other passengers against the same defendant, the admission of testimony of plaintiff passenger in regard to transactions between defendant and one of the deceased passengers does not constitute a waiver of this section in regard to the two actions for wrongful death. McCurdy v. Ashley, 259 N. C. 619, 131 S. E. (2d) 321 (1963).


II. THE SECTION DISQUALIFIES WHOM.

A. Parties to the Action.

Surviving Stockholders. — In an action by a corporation and the surviving principal stockholders against the widow of a deceased principal stockholder, involving the liability of the corporation under its contract for the purchase of the stock of the deceased stockholder, the surviving stockholders are incompetent to testify as to conversations between the stockholders modifying the stock purchase agreement in favor of the corporation or the surviving stockholders. Collins v. Covert, 246 N. C. 303, 98 S. E. (2d) 26 (1957).

Surviving Occupant of Car.—Testimony of a surviving occupant in a car to the effect that he was not driving but that one of the other occupants killed in the accident was driving at the time of the accident, comes within the provisions of this section in actions against the surviving occupant for wrongful death. McCurdy v. Ashley, 259 N. C. 619, 131 S. E. (2d) 321 (1963).

Original Beneficiary of Life Insurance Policy.—In an action by the person substituted as beneficiary in a policy of life insurance to recover the policy and proceeds as against the original beneficiary after the death of the insured, the original beneficiary is precluded by this section from testifying to the effect that she had the policy in her possession and was holding same as security for a loan to insured and for premiums paid by her on the policy, since such testimony tends to establish an oral assignment of the policy to her as security, she being a party to the action and having a direct pecuniary interest in the outcome. Harrison v. Winstead, 251 N. C. 113, 110 S. E. (2d) 903 (1959).

Party May Testify as to Transaction with Deceased Agent of Opponent.—This section does not render an interested witness incompetent to testify to a transaction between himself and a deceased agent of his opponent. Bailey v. Westmoreland, 251 N.C. 843, 112 S. E. (2d) 517 (1960); Tharpe v. Newman, 257 N. C. 71, 125 S. E. (2d) 315 (1962).

Hence, where a note is executed to two payees jointly and one of them thereafter acquires the interest of the other and sues the makers of the note, after the death of the other payee, testimony of the maker as to a contemporaneous agreement with the deceased payee, acting for himself and as agent of the other payee, that the note should not become a binding obligation until the happening of a stated contingency, is competent as to plaintiff payee's original share of the note, even though it is incompetent as to the share acquired by him as assignee of the deceased payee. Bailey v. Westmoreland, 251 N. C. 843, 112 S. E. (2d) 517 (1960).

But this rule applies only where Agent Was Not Personally Liable.—The rule that this section does not render an interested witness incompetent to testify to a transaction between himself and a deceased agent of his opponent has been applied only in factual situations where the deceased agent was not personally liable in respect of the alleged cause of action. It has no application where the liability, if any, of the principal, rests solely on the alleged tortious acts of the agent under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Tharpe v. Newman, 257 N. C. 71, 125 S. E. (2d) 315 (1962).

Testimony of the surviving occupant of a car tending to show that the other occupant, killed in the accident, was driving at that time is incompetent in an action by the survivor against the owner of the vehicle sought to be held liable under the
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doctrine of agency, since the owner, after having paid such liability, would have a right of action against the estate of the deceased, and therefore the transaction comes within the spirit if not the letter of this section. Tharpe v. Newman, 257 N. C. 71, 125 S. E. (2d) 315 (1962).

Testimony by Agent of Adverse Party Admissible.—In an action on an insurance policy by the son of the deceased owner, testimony of insurer’s agent that prior to his death the owner directed him to transfer the policy to the owner’s son because the owner was giving the land to his son, is not precluded by this section. King v. National Union Fire Ins. Co., 258 N. C. 432, 128 S. E. (2d) 849 (1963).

B. Persons Interested in the Event of the Action.

1. General Consideration.

Nature of Interest Involved.—


Present Interest —

Witness Having Dual or Alternative Interest.—To determine the competency of a witness who has a dual or alternative interest in the event of the action, the court must decide which of the two interests was the more immediately valuable. Sanderson v. Paul, 235 N. C. 56, 69 S. E. (2d) 156 (1952).

2. Applications.

Husband of Donee of Gift May Testify as to Declarations Made by Donor to Donee.—The husband of the donee of a gift may testify as to directions given and declarations made by the donor to the donee, since the testimony is not in behalf of the husband or in behalf of a party succeeding to his interest, nor as to a transaction or communication between him and the deceased, the testimony being as to a transaction between donor and donee. Scottish Bank v. Atkinson, 245 N. C. 563, 156 S. E. (2d) 837 (1957).

C. Persons Deriving Title or Interest Through Two Preceding Classes.

The exclusion under this section applies to privies as well as parties. Carswell v. Greene, 253 N. C. 266, 116 S. E. (2d) 801 (1960).

III. WHEN THE DISQUALIFICATION EXISTS.

Party Testifying against Interest. —

When the witness is testifying not in his own behalf or interest, but against his interest, he is not disqualified by this section. Sanderson v. Paul, 235 N. C. 56, 69 S. E. (2d) 156 (1952).

Testifying in Favor of Representative.—
Where the witness was testifying for, rather than against, the person deriving title or interest from, through or under a deceased person, such testimony does not come within the inhibitions of this section. Sprinkle v. Ponder, 233 N. C. 312, 64 S. E. (2d) 171 (1951).

IV. SUBJECT MATTER OF THE TRANSACTION.

Not Applicable unless Transaction Is Personal.—
Testimony of a witness as to what he himself did in regard to the transaction does not come within the prohibition of this section when it does not relate to acts or communications with the deceased person in regard to such transaction. Waddell v. Carson, 245 N. C. 669, 97 S. E. (2d) 222 (1957).

Driving of Car Is “Transaction,” etc.—
When it appears that a car occupied by two persons is involved in a wreck, and in their associations preceding the wreck each occupant has operated the car, testimony of the survivor as to what occurred between them, bearing upon the identity of the driver immediately preceding the wreck, involves their relations inter se and constitutes a personal transaction between them within the meaning of this section. Under these circumstances, the surviving occupant, in an action against the estate of the deceased occupant, is an incompetent witness as to the identity of the driver immediately preceding and at the time of the wreck. Tharpe v. Newman, 257 N. C. 71, 125 S. E. (2d) 315 (1962), decided prior to 1967 amendment to this section.

Proof of Handwriting.—
A husband, who has testified that he knows his wife’s handwriting, is competent to testify after her death, that her signature was on the note in question, and while his further testimony that she signed the instruments in question is technically incompetent under this section, such further testimony will not be held
prejudicial when this fact is established by other competent testimony Waddell v. Carson, 245 N. C. 669, 97 S. E. (2d) 222 (1957).

Conversations between Decedent and Third Person.—Testimony by a party as to a conversation between decedent and a third person did not concern a personal transaction or communication between the witness and the decedent, therefore it is not excluded by this section. Hodges v. Hodges, 257 N. C. 774, 127 S. E. (2d) 567 (1962).

Will Cases.—

The second paragraph under this catch-line in the recompiled volume should read:

By the same reasoning it is held that attesting a will is not a "personal transaction," the witness being of the law and not of the party. Vester v. Collins, 101 N. C. 114, 7 S. E. 687 (1888). But a beneficiary may not testify as to the leaving of a holograph will with her for safekeeping. McEwan v. Brown, 176 N. C. 249, 97 S. E. 20 (1918). A beneficiary may, however, testify that when a will was opened it contained certain erasures and that they were not made by him. In re Will of Saunders, 177 N. C. 156, 98 S. E. 378 (1919).

The rule prohibiting an interested party from testifying as to a transaction with a decedent does not preclude a caveator from testifying as to his opinion of the mental condition of testator. In re Will of Saunders, 177 N. C. 156, 98 S. E. 378 (1919).

A challenge to the testimony of a witness on the ground that any knowledge regarding a purported will and where it was located was obtained as the result of a personal transaction or communication with the testatrix was rejected. In re Will of Wilson, 258 N. C. 310, 128 S. E. (2d) 601 (1962).

Loan and Instrument Evidencing Same.

—In an action by the widow against the executor of her husband upon an acknowledgment of indebtedness executed by the husband to her, the widow is incompetent to testify that she had loaned her husband the sum or that she saw him sign the instrument and that he delivered it to her. McGowan v. Beach, 242 N. C. 73, 86 S. E. (2d) 763 (1955).

V. EXCEPTIONS.

Similar Evidence Previously Introduced.—


Where, in an action to recover upon a quantum meruit for personal services rendered deceased, defendant executor first testified as to his version of the services rendered, it did not violate this section for plaintiff to testify in rebuttal as to the services she rendered, since the "door had been swung wide" by defendant's prior testimony. Highfill v. Parrish, 247 N. C. 389, 100 S. E. (2d) 840 (1957).

But this section gives a personal representative no right to "open the door," over the other party's objection, by incompetent evidence. Gurganus v Guaranty Bank & Trust Co., 246 N. C. 655, 100 S. E. (2d) 81 (1957).

Editor's Note.—

For note on the discretion of the trial judge in compelling disclosure of privileged information when in the area of physician-patient privilege, see 41 N. C. Law Rev. 627.

For case law survey on evidence, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 900 (1965).


Only Patient or Presiding Judge of Superior Court May Authorize Disclosure.—

The law protects the patient's secrets and makes it the duty of the doctor to keep them, a duty he cannot waive. The veil of secrecy can be drawn aside only by the patient or by "the presiding judge of a superior court," and by him only when the ends of justice require it. Yow v. Pittman, 241 N. C. 69, 84 S. E. (2d) 297 (1954).

Purpose of Section.—One of the objects of this statute is to encourage full and frank disclosure to the doctor. Yow v. Pittman, 241 N. C. 69, 84 S. E. (2d) 297 (1954).

It is the purpose of statutes such as this section to induce the patient to make full disclosure that proper treatment may be given, to prevent public disclosure of socially stigmatized diseases, and in some instances to protect patients from self-incrimination. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty
§ 8-53


The sole purpose of this section is to create a privileged relationship between physician and patient. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).

Construction. — In the construction of this section, the chief concern of the court is to ascertain the legislative intent. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).


Relationship of, etc.—Where doctor went to the jail to examine defendant to determine if he was drunk or under the influence of intoxicating liquor at the request of defendant's brother, not at the request of defendant, and not to perform any professional services for defendant, the relationship of patient and physician, under such circumstances, did not exist between defendant and the doctor within the purview of this section. State v. Hollingsworth, 263 N.C. 158, 139 S.E.2d 235 (1964).

Effect of Marriage Between Physician and Patient.—If the relation of doctor and patient existed between plaintiff and her former husband, any information which he acquired while attending her in his professional character is protected by this section in the same manner as if they had not been married to each other. Furr v. Simpson, 271 N.C. 221, 155 S.E.2d 746 (1967).


Proviso Refers to Exceptional Situations. —In view of the primary purpose of this section to create a privileged relationship between physician and patient, it is clear the proviso is intended to refer to exceptional, rather than ordinary, factual situations. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).

Information Is No Less Privileged Because It Was Obtained in Hospital.—There is no difference in the application of the statute between examination and treatment of the patient by a physician or surgeon in a hospital and in the home. The information is no less privileged that it was obtained in a hospital. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

This section applies to hospital records offered in evidence in an action to recover death benefits under a policy of insurance, where insurer denies liability on the ground that the application contained false statements with respect to insurer's health, insofar as the records contain entries made by physicians and surgeons, or under their direction, pertaining to communications and information obtained by them in attending the insured professionally, which information was necessary to enable them to prescribe for her. However, any other information contained in the records, if relevant and otherwise competent, is not privileged. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

Application to Nurses, Technicians and Others.—The effect of this section is not extended to include nurses, technicians and others, unless they were assisting, or acting under the direction of, a physician or surgeon. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

Privilege Is That of Patient.—A physician or surgeon may not refuse to testify; the privilege is that of the patient. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).


By Patient's Testimony Describing Nature of Injuries in Detail.—While a patient does not waive his right to assert that a communication between himself and his physician is privileged by merely testifying as to his own physical condition, where the patient voluntarily goes into detail regarding the nature of his injuries, he waives the privilege, and the physician is competent and compellable to testify in regard thereto, since the patient will not be allowed to close the mouth of the only witness in a position to contradict him and fully explain the facts. Capps v. Lynch, 253 N. C. 18, 116 S. E. (2d) 137 (1960).

The legislature intended this section to be a shield and not a sword. Sims v.
§ 8-53.01

1967 CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENT


Trial Judge May Compel Disclosure.—The legislature was careful to make provision to avoid injustice and suppression of truth by putting it in the power of the trial judge to compel disclosure. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

It was intended that disclosure should be compelled only when the examination of the physician was conducted under the supervision of the trial judge. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).


But Only as to Matters Necessary to Proper Administration of Justice. — The trial judge may ascertain from the physician the nature of the evidence involved and may determine what part, if any, should be disclosed as necessary to the proper administration of justice. Obviously, the proper administration of justice might require disclosure as to certain but not as to all matters under the privilege. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).

The proviso in this section does not authorize a superior court judge to strike down the statutory privilege in respect of any and all matters concerning which the physician might be asked at a deposition hearing. Lockwood v. McCaskill, 261 N.C. 754, 136 S.E.2d 67 (1964).

And He Should Not Hesitate to Do So. — Judges should not hesitate to require disclosure where it appears to them to be necessary in order that the truth be known and justice be done. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

But Supreme Court Cannot Exercise Trial Judge’s Authority.—The Supreme Court cannot exercise the authority and discretion vested in the trial judge by the proviso in this section, nor can it repeal or amend the statute by judicial decree. If the spirit and purpose of the law is to be carried out, it must be at the superior court level. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

In the absence of a finding by the trial court that, in its opinion, the admission of hospital records was necessary to a proper administration of justice, the Supreme Court is compelled to hold that their exclusion was not error. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

Judge’s Finding of Record, etc.—In accord with original. See Yow v. Pittman, 241 N. C. 69, 84 S. E. (2d) 297 (1954).

Where the presiding judge compels disclosure, as provided by this section, he shall enter upon the record his finding that the testimony is necessary to a proper administration of justice. Sims v. Charlotte Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 257 N. C. 32, 125 S. E. (2d) 326 (1962).

Judge May Not Enter Order in Chambers for Pretrial Examination of Physician.—The judge of the superior court has no authority to enter an order in chambers for the pretrial examination of a physician in regard to confidential communications of his patient. Yow v. Pittman, 241 N. C. 69, 84 S. E. (2d) 297 (1954).

And defendants cannot take the deposition of plaintiff’s physician because, under this section, he is disqualified to testify as to information he acquired in attending a physician in a professional capacity. Waldron Buick Co. v. General Motors Corp., 231 N. C. 201, 110 S. E. (2d) 870 (1959).

§ 8-53.01. When evidence of physician not privileged notwithstanding § 8-53.—Notwithstanding the provisions of G.S. 8-53, the physician-patient privilege shall not be a ground for excluding evidence regarding the abuse or neglect of a child under the age of sixteen years or regarding an illness of or injuries to such child or the cause thereof, in any judicial proceeding resulting from a report pursuant to §§ 14-318.2 and 14-318.3. (1965, c. 472, s. 2.)

Editor’s Note. — The act from which this section was codified was effective as of July 1, 1965.

§ 8-53.1. Communications between clergymen and communicants.—No priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science practitioner, or a clergymen or
ordained minister of an established church shall be competent to testify in any action, suit or proceeding concerning any information which was communicated to him and entrusted to him in his professional capacity, and necessary to enable him to discharge the functions of his office according to the usual course of his practice or discipline, wherein such person so communicating such information about himself or another is seeking spiritual counsel and advice relative to and growing out of the information so imparted, provided, however, that this section shall not apply where communicant in open court waives the privilege conferred. (1959, c. 646; 1963, c. 200; 1967, c. 794.)

Editor's Note. — The 1963 amendment made this section applicable to an accredited Christian Science practitioner. The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

Statutory Privilege. — Apart from this statute, there is no privilege with reference to communications between a clergyman, or other spiritual advisor, and his communicants or others who seek his advice and comfort. In the Matter of Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 152 S.E.2d 317 (1967), decided prior to the 1967 amendment.

§ 8-53.2. Communications between psychologist and client. — No person, duly authorized as a practicing psychologist or psychological examiner, nor any of his employees or associates, shall be required to disclose any information which he may have acquired in rendering professional psychological services, and which information was necessary to enable him to render professional psychological services: Provided, that the presiding judge of a superior court may compel such disclosure, if in his opinion the same is necessary to a proper administration of justice. (1967, c. 910, s. 18.)

Editor's Note.—Section 23, c. 910, Session Laws 1967, provides that the act shall become effective July 1, 1967.

§ 8-54. Defendant in criminal action competent but not compelable to testify.

Historical Background.—To correctly interpret and apply this section, it should be remembered that at common law, both in England and in this country, parties were not competent witnesses and were not permitted to testify. Nonetheless, an admission of guilt by defendant was competent evidence just as it is competent today. Then as now the law applied and gave effect to the assumption that one charged with crime and wrongful conduct would not remain silent when he had an opportunity to speak. Such silence was evidence of guilt. Thus, when the barrier was removed, preventing the accused from testifying and according him a privilege, it was proper to provide that his failure to utilize the privilege so given should not be regarded as an implied admission. State v. Walker, 251 N. C. 465, 112 S. E. (2d) 61 (1960).

Distinction between This Section and § 15-89.—There is a distinction between the statement made by a prisoner on his preliminary examination before a magistrate under § 15-89 and his testimony given under this section as a witness on the trial of the cause. On the former, he is advised of his rights, and such examination is not to be an oath. On the latter, the defendant, at his own request, but not otherwise, is competent but not compellable to testify, and his testimony thus given is received under the sanction of oath. State v. Sheffield, 251 N. C. 309, 111 S. E. (2d) 195 (1959).

Failure to Take Stand — How Far Subject to Comment. —

In accord with 2nd paragraph in original. See State v Bovender, 233 N. C. 683, 65 S. E. (2d) 323 (1951).

This section is interpreted as denying the right of counsel to comment on the failure of a defendant to testify. The reason for the rule is that extended comment from the court or from counsel for the
State or defendant would tend to nullify the declared policy of the law that the failure of one charged with crime to testify in his own behalf should not create a presumption against him or be regarded as a circumstance indicative of guilt or unduly accentuate the significance of his silence. To permit counsel for a defendant to comment upon or offer explanation of the defendant’s failure to testify would open the door for the prosecution and create a situation that this section was intended to prevent. State v. Bovender, 233 N. C. 683, 65 S. E. (2d) 323 (1951).

Where a defendant’s wife and three other women, and several men testified in his behalf, but he did not testify, to say that the defendant was “hiding behind his wife's coat tail” is tantamount to comment on his failure to testify, which is not permitted by this section. State v. McLamb, 236 N. C. 251, 69 S. E. (2d) 537 (1952).

Statement by solicitor in the presence of the jury that he had not said a word about defendant not going to the witness stand violated this section. State v. Roberts, 243 N. C. 619, 91 S. E. (2d) 589 (1956).

Under the circumstances, it was not improper for the solicitor to say that no one had testified in contradiction of a certain witness. State v. Walker, 251 N. C. 465, 112 S. E. (2d) 61 (1960).

Character Not in Issue unless So Placed. —

Unless a defendant in a criminal prosecution testifies as a witness, thereby subjecting himself to impeachment, or produces evidence of his good character to repel the charge of crime, the State may not show his bad character for any purpose. State v. McLamb, 235 N. C. 251, 69 S. E. (2d) 337 (1952).

Prejudice Removed by Instruction. — If the defendant elects not to testify as a witness in his own defense any comment by the solicitor, calling attention to this failure, is improper; but where the presiding judge carefully instructs the jury that defendant’s failure to testify in his own defense should not be construed in any wise to his prejudice, the presiding judge properly and effectively removes any prejudicial effect that might result from the solicitor’s argument. State v. Lewis, 256 N. C. 430, 124 S. E. (2d) 115 (1962).

Erroneous Instructions. —

An instruction that defendant had the prerogative not to testify and to rely on the weakness of the State's evidence, and by her plea of not guilty challenged the truthfulness and sufficiency of the testimony, is held incomplete and erroneous in failing to charge that her failure to take the stand did not create any presumption against her, but the error was not prejudicial in view of the record. State v. Rainey, 236 N. C. 738, 74 S. E. (2d) 39 (1953).


§ 8-56. Husband and wife as witnesses in civil actions.

Common Law.—North Carolina recognized the common-law privilege attaching to confidential communications between husband and wife before it was written in this section. Hicks v. Hicks, 271 N.C. 204, 155 S.E.2d 799 (1967).

A confidential communication between husband and wife is privileged. Hicks v. Hicks, 271 N.C. 204, 155 S.E.2d 799 (1967).

And neither spouse may be compelled to disclose it when testifying as a witness. Hicks v. Hicks, 271 N.C. 204, 155 S.E.2d 799 (1967).

Whatever is known by reason of that intimacy [marriage] should be regarded as knowledge confidentially acquired, and neither husband nor wife should be allowed to divulge it to the danger or disgrace of the other. Hicks v. Hicks, 271 N.C. 204, 155 S.E.2d 799 (1967).

Section Does Not Render Voluntary Disclosure Incompetent.—

While an act of intercourse between husband and wife is a confidential communication between them within the purview of this section, the statute does not preclude the husband from voluntarily denying the intercourse with the wife, asserted by her as condonation in his action for divorce on the ground of adultery, his testimony being otherwise competent, since the statute does not preclude the voluntary disclosure of confidential communications, but provides merely that neither spouse may be compelled to divulge such communications. Biggs v. Biggs, 253 N. C. 10, 116 S. E. (2d) 178 (1960). But see criticism relating to this holding in Hicks v. Hicks, 271 N.C. 204, 155 S.E.2d 799 (1967), in which the court declined to follow this case.

Communications Not Protected.—Only confidential communications are within the rule; hence a communication made in the known presence of a third person, or one relating to business matters which in their nature might be expected to be divulged, is
§ 8-57. Husband and wife as witnesses in criminal actions. — The husband or wife of the defendant, in all criminal actions or proceedings, shall be a competent witness for the defendant, but the failure of such witness to be examined shall not be used to the prejudice of the defense. Every such person examined as a witness shall be subject to be cross-examined as are other witnesses. No husband or wife shall be compellable to disclose any confidential communication made by one to the other during their marriage. Nothing herein shall render any spouse competent or compellable to give evidence against the other spouse in any criminal action or proceeding, except to prove the fact of marriage and facts tending to show the absence of divorce or annulment in cases of bigamy and in cases of criminal cohabitation in violation of the provisions of G.S. 14-183, and except that in all criminal prosecutions of a spouse for an assault upon the other spouse, or for any criminal offense against a legitimate or illegitimate or adopted or foster minor child of either spouse, or for abandonment, or for neglecting to provide for the spouse's support, or the support of the children of such spouse, it shall be lawful to examine a spouse in behalf of the State against the other spouse: Provided that this section shall not affect pending litigation relating to a criminal offense against a minor child. (1856-7, c. 23; 1866, c. 43; 1868-9, c. 209; 1881, c. 110; Code, ss. 588, 1353, 1354; Rev., ss. 1634, 1635, 1636; C. S., s. 1802; 1933, c. 13, s. 1; c. 361; 1951 c. 296; 1957, c. 1036; 1967, c. 116.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1957 amendment rewrote the fourth sentence.
The 1967 amendment so rewrote the last sentence as to make a detailed comparison impractical.

Effect of Marriage Subsequent to Assault.—The fact that subsequent to an assault the defendant marries the prosecuting witness does not render her an incompetent witness against him at the trial. State v. Price, 265 N.C. 703, 144 S.E.2d 865 (1965).

Same—Bigamy.—
By the express provisions of this section, defendant's legal wife was a competent witness before the grand jury, which was considering an indictment against him charging him with a violation of the provisions of § 14-183, "to prove the fact of marriage ...." State v. Vandiver, 265 N.C. 825, 144 S.E.2d 54 (1965).

Same — Bigamous Cohabitation.—

Declarations of Wife Not Made in Husband's Presence.—Testimony of a State's witness of a declaration of defendant's wife to the effect that if defendant had not been driving so slow "he wouldn't have been caught" entitles defendant to a new trial notwithstanding his failure to move to strike the answer, since testimony of the wife against the husband is forbidden by this section, and a fortiori her declarations against him not made in his presence or by his authority are precluded by the statute. State v. Warren, 236 N.C. 385, 72 S. E. (2d) 763 (1952); State v. Dillahunt, 244 N. C. 529, 94 S. E. (2d) 479 (1956).

Where defendant's wife testifies in his behalf, she is subject to be cross-examined to the same extent as if unrelated to him. State v. Bell, 249 N. C. 379, 106 S. E. (2d) 495 (1959).
§ 8-59. Issue and service of subpoena.—In obtaining the testimony of witnesses in causes depending in the superior, criminal and inferior courts, the following rules shall be observed in practice, to wit:

In suits where witnesses are to appear at any court, the clerk at the instance of a party shall issue a subpoena, directed to the sheriff or other officer of the county where such witnesses reside, naming the time and place for their appearance, the names of the parties to the suit wherein the testimony is to be given, and the party at whose instance they are summoned. Every subpoena made returnable immediately shall be issued only in term time, and shall be personally served on the witness therein named. A copy of every subpoena issued by the clerk in vacation, in case any witness therein named is not to be found, may be left at his usual place of residence; and such copy certified by the sheriff or other officer, and left as aforesaid, shall be deemed a legal summons, and the person therein named shall be bound to appear in the same manner as if personally summoned.

A subpoena may also be served by telephone, telegram, or certified or registered mail as provided in G. S. 1-589. (1777, c. 115, s. 36; P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 59; Code, s. 1355; Rev., s. 1639; C. S., s. 1803; 1959, c. 522, s. 2.)

Local Modification. — Cumberland: 1957, c. 1324, s. 2.

Editor’s Note. — The 1959 amendment added the last paragraph.

Amendment Effective July 1, 1969.—Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 3, effective July 1, 1969, changes this section to read as follows:

“In obtaining the testimony of witnesses in causes depending in the superior, criminal and inferior courts, subpoenas shall be issued and served in the manner provided in Rule 45 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for civil actions.”

Rule 45 of the Rules of Civil Procedure (§ 1A-1) spells out in detail the rules for issuance and service of subpoenas. The 1967 amendment to this section makes the procedure the same in criminal cases.

§ 8-60. Attendance before referee or commissioners.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-61. Subpoena duces tecum issued.—Any court, board or other body empowered to compel the attendance of witnesses may issue the process of subpoena duces tecum for the purpose of requiring the production of any public record not declared privileged or confidential under any statute of this State in like manner as witnesses are required in cases of subpoena to testify. No public official shall be compelled by such process to personally attend and produce such record but may, in lieu of personal attendance, cause to be delivered to the authority issuing such process prior to or on the date specified therein the original or a certified copy of the paper, papers or record required, or if no such paper or record is lodged in his office, an affidavit to that effect. Any original or certified copy or affidavit delivered under the provisions of this section unless otherwise objectionable shall be admissible in any action or proceeding without further certification or authentication. (1797, c. 476, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 81; Code, s. 1372; Rev., s. 1641; C. S., s. 1805; 1967, c. 1168.)

Editor’s Note. — Session Laws 1967, c. 1168, rewrote this section.

Amendment Effective July 1, 1969.—Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 3, effective July 1, 1969, changes this section to read as follows:

“§ 8-61. Subpoena for the production of documentary evidence.—Subpoenas for the production of records, books, papers, documents, or tangible things may be issued in criminal actions in the same manner as provided for civil actions in Rule 45 of the Rules of Civil Procedure.”

This section, as rewritten, will replace
§ 8-62. Subpoenas and depositions upon removal of cause.

Cross Reference.—For provisions similar to those of the repealed section, see § 1-87.
Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-63. Witnesses attend until discharged; effect of nonattendance.

Every witness, being summoned to appear in any of the said courts, in manner before directed, shall appear accordingly, and, subject to the provisions of G.S. 6-51, continue to attend from term to term until discharged, when summoned in a civil action or special proceeding, by the court or the party at whose instance such witness shall be summoned, or, when summoned in a criminal prosecution, until discharged by the court, the prosecuting officer, or the party at whose instance he was summoned; and in default thereof shall forfeit and pay, in civil actions or special proceedings, to the party at whose instance the subpoena issued, the sum of forty dollars, to be recovered by motion in the cause, and shall be further liable to his action for the full damages which may be sustained for the want of such witness’s testimony; or if summoned in a criminal prosecution shall forfeit and pay eighty dollars for the use of the State, or the party summoning him. If the civil action or special proceeding shall, in the vacation, be compromised and settled between the parties, and the party at whose instance such witness was summoned should omit to discharge him from further attendance, and for want of such discharge he shall attend the next term, in that case the witness, upon oath made of the facts, shall be entitled to a ticket from the clerk in the same manner as other witnesses, and shall recover from the party at whose instance he was summoned the allowance which is given to witnesses for their attendance, with costs.

No execution shall issue against any defaulting witness for the forfeiture aforesaid but after notice made known to him to show cause against the issuing thereof; and if sufficient cause be shown of his incapacity to attend, execution shall not issue, and the witness shall be discharged of the forfeiture without costs; but otherwise the court shall, on motion, award execution for the forfeiture against the defaulting witness. (1777, c. 115, ss. 37, 38, 43, P. R.; 1799, c. 528, P. R.; 1801, c. 591, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, ss. 60, 61, 62; Code, s. 1356; Rev., s. 1643; C. S., s. 1807; 1965, c. 284.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1965 amendment added “subject to the provisions of G.S. 6-51” near the beginning of the section.

Article 9.

Attendance of Witnesses from without State.

§ 8-68. Exemption from arrest and service of process.

Exemption from Service Is Personal Privilege.—The privilege of claiming an exemption from service of civil process granted by this section is personal. The service is not void. It is merely voidable, and, until the defendant elects to exercise his privilege by claiming his exemption and establishing his nonresidence, the service is binding. Thrush v. Thrush, 246 N. C. 114, 97 S. E. (2d) 472 (1957).

Article 10.

Depositions.

§ 8-71. Manner of taking depositions in civil actions; copy furnished to adverse party without cost.—Any party in a civil action or special pro-
ceeding, upon giving notice to the adverse party or his attorney as provided by law, may take the depositions of persons whose evidence he may desire to use, without any special order therefor, unless the witness shall be beyond the limits of the United States.

Depositions shall be taken on commission, issuing from the court and under the seal thereof, by one or more commissioners, who shall be of kin to neither party, and shall be appointed by the clerk; or depositions may be taken by a notary public of this State or of any other state or foreign country, or by any commissioner of oaths or commissioner of deeds of any foreign country, or by any officer of the army of the United States or marine corps having the rank of captain or higher, by any officer of the United States navy or United States coast guard having the rank of lieutenant, senior grade, or higher, or by any officer of the United States merchant marine having the rank of lieutenant, senior grade, or higher, without a commission issuing from the court. No official seal shall be required of said military or naval officials, but they shall sign their name, designate rank, name of ship or military division, and date, without a commission issuing from the court.

Depositions shall be subscribed and sealed up by the commissioners or notary public, and returned to the court, the clerk whereof or the judge holding the court, if the clerk is a party to the action, shall open and pass upon the same, after having first given the parties or their attorneys not less than one day's notice: and all such depositions, when passed upon and allowed by the clerk, without appeal, or by the judge upon appeal from the clerk's order, or by the judge holding the court, when the clerk is a party to the action, shall be deemed legal evidence, if the witness be competent, subject, however, to such objections as subsequently might be made according to law.

Any party in a civil action or special proceeding pending in the courts of this State, may take the depositions of any person in the armed forces of the United States or any person in the service of the United States government in a civilian capacity while serving outside of continental United States, by filing in the office of the clerk of the court where such action or proceeding is pending, a statement showing the name and post office or fleet post-office address of such person, together with the written interrogatories which are desired to be propounded to such person, and serve a copy thereof on the adverse party or parties to such action, or their attorneys, whereupon, within ten days after the service of said copy, said adverse party or parties may file in said clerk's office such written cross-interrogatories as desired to be propounded to such person, and after the expiration of said ten days, and as promptly as may be, the clerk of said court shall issue a commission to any commissioned officer of any of the armed forces of the United States, without otherwise naming him, with which the person to be so examined is connected, and mail the same, together with said interrogatories and cross-interrogatories, if any, to the person so to be examined, at the address stated, authorizing any such officer upon presentation of such papers to him to propound the interrogatories and cross-interrogatories to said person, under oath, and record his answers thereto, and the deposition so taken shall be signed by such person and sworn to before, and subscribed by, his said officer, and returned to the said clerk in a sealed envelope.

Any deposition taken in the manner herein provided and transmitted to the clerk of the court where such action or special proceeding is pending, shall be deemed legal evidence, if the witness be competent, subject to opening such deposition and passing upon the same as provided by this section.

A copy of any deposition taken pursuant to this article shall be furnished to the adverse party, or his counsel, without cost. (R. C., c. 34, s. 63: 1881, c. 279: Code, s. 1357: 1893, c. 360; Rev., s. 1652; 1911, c. 158; C. S., s. 1809; 1943, c. 160, s. 1; 1945, c. 22; 1947, c. 781; 1949, c. 864; 1965, c. 183.)

Editor's Note.—For case law survey on evidence, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 900 (1965).

Repeal of Section.—This section is re-
§ 8-72. Notice required for taking depositions.—(a) In taking depositions in civil actions or special proceedings, written notice of the time and place of taking the deposition, specifying the name of the witness, must be served by the party at whose instance it is to be taken upon the adverse party or his attorney.

(b) The notice provided for in this section shall be served at least ten days prior to the taking of the deposition, computed by excluding the day on which the notice is served and including the day of the taking of the deposition, when the party served resides within the State; and shall be served at least fifteen days prior to the taking of the deposition, computed by excluding the day on which the notice is served and including the day of the taking of the deposition, when the party notified resides without the State; provided that for good cause shown the clerk or judge of superior court may on motion of any party to the civil action or special proceeding order that more notice than herein provided be given. (1881, c. 279; Code, s. 1357; Rev., s. 1652; C. S., s. 1810; 1959, c. 468.)

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment, effective Oct. 1, 1959, rewrote this section.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-73. Publication of notice in case of nonresident.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-81. Objection to deposition before trial.

Purpose of Section.—The purpose of this section is to give the party in whose behalf a deposition has been taken notice of any objection to the deposition and of the grounds for same before the trial. Pratt v. Bishop, 257 N. C. 486, 126 S. E. (2d) 597 (1962).

Time and Manner of Objection.—Objection to the incompetency of testimony and motion to reject the evidence must be made in writing before trial unless the parties shall consent to a waiver of this provision. Pratt v. Bishop, 257 N. C. 486, 126 S. E. (2d) 597 (1962).

When Trial Begins.—Once the case is reached on the calendar and the jury called into the box, “the hurry of a trial” has begun and the time for deliberation and scrutiny of a deposition has passed. Pratt v. Bishop, 257 N. C. 486, 126 S. E. (2d) 597 (1962).

The purpose of this section would not be served by a holding that the trial did not begin until after the jury was impaneled. Pratt v. Bishop, 257 N. C. 486, 126 S. E. (2d) 597 (1962).
§ 8-82. Deposition not quashed after trial begun.

Opportunity to Object before Trial.—Where deposition of a witness is duly taken with full opportunity of cross-examination by the adverse party, with no objection before trial, and the witness is cut out of the State at the time of trial, exception to the deposition at the trial is without merit. Fleming v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co., 236 N. C. 568, 73 S. E. (2d) 544 (1952).

§ 8-83. When deposition may be read on the trial.

11. If the witness is a physician duly licensed to practice medicine in the State of North Carolina, and resides or maintains his office outside the county in which the action is pending. (1777, c. 115, ss. 39, 40, 41, P. R.; 1803, c. 633, P. R.; 1828, c. 24, ss. 1, 2; 1836, c. 30; R. C., c. 31, s. 63; 1869-70, c. 227, s. 11; 1881, c. 279, ss. 1, 3; Code, s. 1358; 1905, c. 366; Rev., s. 1645; 1919, c. 324; C. S., s. 1821; 1965, c. 675.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment added subdivision 11.

As the rest of the section was not changed by the amendment, it is not set out.


ARTICLE 11.
Perpetuation of Testimony.

§ 8-85. Relief afforded by superior courts.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-86. How to obtain relief.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-87. Rules of procedure; admissibility of testimony taken.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

§ 8-88. Taxing costs.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

ARTICLE 12.
Inspection and Production of Writings.

§ 8-89. Inspection of writings.

Repeal of Section.—This section is repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 954, s. 4, effective July 1, 1969.

Librally Construed.—In accord with original. See H. L. Coble Constr. Co. v. Housing Authority, 244 N. C. 261, 93 S. E. (2d) 98 (1956); Diocese of Western North Carolina v. Sale, 254 N. C. 218, 118 S. E. (2d) 399 (1961).

Section Provides Remedy Where Discovery Is Counsel's Objective. — Where discovery is counsel's objective, he must, before trial, avail himself of the remedies provided by this section and § 8-90. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

Prerequisite to Order for Discovery and Inspection. — As a prerequisite to an order for pretrial discovery and inspection of documents under this section and § 8-90, the courts, following their own procedure for discovery in aid of a bill of equity, have required the applicant to show by affidavit the necessity for the inspection and the
materiality to the issue of the documents sought to be inspected. If the affidavit is insufficient, any order based upon it is invalid. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

The law will not permit a “fishing or ransacking expedition” either by subpoena duces tecum or a bill of discovery. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

This section and § 8-90 did not supersede the subpoena duces tecum. Although the two are in some respects analogous, a subpoena duces tecum may not be used as a bill of discovery. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

Plaintiffs are not entitled to discover defendants’ dealing with other persons under this section and § 8-90. An order of examination is only in respect to those matters which relate to the action. Vaughan v. Broadfoot, 267 N.C. 691, 149 S.E.2d 37 (1966).

Discretion of Court.—

In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See Tillis v. Calvine Cotton Mills, Inc., 244 N.C. 587, 94 S.E. (2d) 600 (1956).

Where the motion is for inspection of writings in the possession of both the corporate and individual defendant, but both defendants are represented by the same counsel and it appears that the individual defendant was the president of the corporate defendant and that the writings referred to in the order all relate to business of the corporate defendant, abuse of discretion in granting the order is not shown. Tillis v. Calvine Cotton Mills, Inc., 244 N. C. 587, 94 S. E. (2d) 600 (1956).

The affidavit supporting an order, etc.—

In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See H. L. Coble Constr. Co. v. Housing Authority, 244 N. C. 261, 93 S. E. (2d) 98 (1956); Tillis v. Calvine Cotton Mills, Inc., 244 N. C. 587, 94 S. E. (2d) 600 (1956).

Section Not Applicable.—In re Gamble, 244 N. C. 149, 93 S. E. (2d) 66 (1956).


Chapter 9.

Jury Commissions, Preparation of Jury Lists, and Drawing of Panels.

Article 1.

Jury Commissions, Preparation of Jury Lists, and Drawing of Panels.

Sec. 9-1. Jury commission in each county; membership; selection; oath; terms.—Not later than October 1, 1967, there shall be appointed in each county a jury commission of three members. One member of the commission shall be appointed by the senior regular resident superior court judge, one member by the clerk of superior court, and one member by the board of county commissioners. The appointees shall be qualified voters of the county, and shall serve for terms of names.

9-2. Preparation of jury list; sources of names.


9-4. Preparation and custody of list.

9-5. Procedure for drawing panel of jurors; numbers drawn.

9-6. Jury service a public duty; excuses to be allowed in exceptional cases; procedure.

9-7. Removal of names of jurors who have served from jury list; retention.


Article 2.

Petit Jurors.

9-10. Summons to jurors.

9-11. Supplemental jurors; special venire.

9-12. Supplemental jurors from other counties.


Revision of Chapter. — Session Laws 1967, c. 218, s. 1, rewrote all the provisions of this chapter of the General Statutes as contained in Recompiled Volume 1B and the 1965 Supplement thereto, replacing the former chapter, consisting of §§ 9-1 to 9-31, with a new chapter, comprising §§ 9-1 to 9-26.

Where the provisions of former sections are similar to new sections in the revised chapter, the historical citations of the former sections have been added to the new sections.

Former § 9-4 was amended by Session Laws 1967, cc. 118, 120 and 717, and former § 9-25 by Session Laws 1967, cc. 27 and 212.

Cases construing former sections are cited in the notes to present sections where it is believed that such citations will be helpful to the practitioner.

Article 3.

Peremptory Challenges.

9-19. Peremptory challenges in civil cases.

9-20. Civil cases having several defendants; challenges apportioned; discretion of judge.


Article 4.

Grand Jurors.


9-23. Exceptions to qualifications of grand jurors.

9-24. Judge to appoint foreman; acting foreman.

9-25. Foreman may administer oaths to witnesses.

9-26. Grand jury to visit county home and jail.

9-27 to 9-31. [Repealed.]
§ 9-2. Preparation of jury list; sources of names.—It shall be the duty of the jury commission at least 30 days prior to January 1, 1968, and each biennium thereafter, to prepare a list of prospective jurors to serve in the ensuing biennium. In preparing the list, the jury commission shall use the tax lists of the county and voter registration records, and, in addition, may use any other source of names deemed by it to be reliable, but it shall exercise reasonable care to avoid duplication of names. The commission may use less than all of the names from any one source if it uses a systematic selection procedure (e.g., every second name), and provided the list contains approximately three times as many names as were drawn for jury duty in all courts in the county during the previous biennium.

(1806, c. 694; P. R.; Code, ss. 1722, 1723; 1889, c. 559; 1897, cc. 117, 539; 1899, c. 729; Rev., s. 1957; C. S., s. 2312; 1947, c. 1007, s. 1; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)


Special Statute Allowing Other Method.—Where a statute creating a special criminal court for certain counties allows every facility to the accused for getting a fair and impartial jury, it is not unconstitutional because it does not follow the same methods of drawing the jury which are provided for by the superior courts. State v. Jones, 97 N.C. 469, 1 S.E. 680 (1887).

Jury List Not Discriminatory Because Made from Tax List.—A jury list is not discriminatory merely because it is made from the tax list. The tax list is perhaps the most comprehensive list available for the names of male citizens. State v. Wilson, 262 N.C. 419, 137 S.E.2d 109 (1964), decided under former § 9-1.

But commissioners are not limited to use of tax list, and the use of other lists might result in the selection of more women jurors. State v. Wilson, 262 N.C. 419, 137 S.E.2d 109 (1964), decided under former § 9-1.


As to discrimination against negroes in selection of jury, see 26 N.C.L. Rev. 185.

Where commissioners laid aside names of several persons, otherwise qualified, because they did not know whether they were residents of the county, and the jury list was completed by the names of other duly qualified persons, if there was any irregularity it did not affect the action of the jurors so drawn and summoned. State v. Wilcox, 104 N.C. 847, 10 S.E. 453 (1889), decided under former § 9-1.

Rejection of prospective jurors for want of good moral character and sufficient intelligence was available to the county commissioners as a general objection only when the jury list was being prepared, and not after the names were in the box. State v. Speller, 229 N.C. 67, 47 S.E.2d 537 (1948); State v. Wilson, 262 N.C. 419, 137 S.E.2d 109 (1964), decided under former § 9-1.

Merely Purging Jury List.—Merely purging the jury list of the names of those who had not paid their taxes, without adding any new names thereto, does not vitiate the venire in the absence of bad faith or corruption on the part of the county commissioners. State v. Dixon, 131 N.C. 808, 42 S.E. 944 (1908), decided under former § 9-1.

§ 9-3. Qualifications of prospective jurors.—All persons are qualified to serve as jurors and to be included on the jury list who are citizens of the State and residents of the county, who have not served as jurors during the preceding two
years, who are twenty-one years of age or over, who are physically and mentally competent, who have not been convicted of a felony or pleaded nolo contendere to an indictment charging a felony, and who have not been adjudged non compos mentis. Persons not qualified under this section are subject to challenge for cause.

(1806, c. 694, P. R.; Code, ss. 1722, 1723; 1889, c. 559; 1897, cc. 117, 539; 1899, c. 729; Rev., s. 1957; C. S., s. 2312; 1947, c. 1007, s. 1; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

The law guarantees the right of trial by a proper jury; that is to say, a jury possessing the qualifications contemplated by law. It was the manifest purpose of the legislature that all those and only those citizens who possess the proper qualifications of character and intelligence should be selected to serve on juries. State v. Ingram, 237 N.C. 197, 74 S.E.2d 532 (1953).


That a juror has forfeited his citizenship by reason of conviction of a criminal offense was ground for challenge of the juror for cause under former § 9-1. Young v. Southern Mica Co., 237 N.C. 644, 75 S.E.2d 795 (1953).

Challenges in Particular Actions, for Bias, etc.—Former § 9-1, providing that good and lawful men, required by the Constitution to serve on juries, should be men found by the county commissioners to have paid taxes for the preceding year, and of good moral character and of sufficient intelligence, did not abolish challenges to jurors, in particular actions, for bias, interest, kinship, etc. State v. Vick, 132 N.C. 995, 43 S.E. 626 (1903).

§ 9-4. Preparation and custody of list.—As the jury list is prepared, the name and address of each person selected for the list shall be written on a separate card. The cards shall then be alphabetized and permanently numbered, the numbers running consecutively with a different number on each card. These cards shall constitute the jury list for the county. They shall be filed with the register of deeds of the county, together with a statement of the sources used and procedures followed in preparing the list. The list shall be kept under lock and key, but shall be available for public inspection during regular office hours. (1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

§ 9-5. Procedure for drawing panel of jurors; numbers drawn.—The board of county commissioners in each county shall provide the clerk of superior court with a jury box, the construction and dimensions of which shall be prescribed by the administrative officer of the courts. At least 30 days prior to January 1 of any year for which a list of prospective jurors has been prepared, a number of discs, squares, counters or markers equal to the number of names on the jury list shall be placed in the jury box. The discs, squares, counters, or markers shall be uniform in size, weight, and appearance, and may be made of any suitable material. They shall be numbered consecutively to correspond with the numbers on the jury list. The jury box shall be of sufficient size to hold the discs, squares, counters or markers so that they may be easily shaken and mixed, and the box shall have a hinged lid through which the discs, squares, counters or markers can be drawn. The lid shall have a lock, the key to which shall be kept by the clerk of superior court.

At least 30 days prior to any session or sessions of superior or district court requiring a jury, the clerk of superior court or his assistant or deputy shall, in public, after thoroughly shaking the box, draw therefrom the number of discs, squares, counters, or markers equal to the number of jurors required for the session or sessions scheduled. For each week of a superior court session, the senior regular resident superior court judge shall specify the number of jurors to be drawn. For each week of a district court jury session, the chief district judge shall specify the number of jurors to be drawn. Pooling of jurors between or among concurrent sessions of various courts is authorized, and when utilized, the senior regular resident superior court judge, after consultation with the chief district judge when a district court jury is required, shall specify the total number of jurors to be drawn for such concurrent sessions. When grand jurors are needed, nine additional numbers shall be drawn.
As the discs, squares, counters, or markers are drawn, they shall be separately stored by the clerk until a new jury list is prepared.

The clerk of superior court shall deliver the list of numbers drawn from the jury box to the register of deeds, who shall match the numbers received with the numbers on the jury list. The register of deeds shall within three days thereafter notify the sheriff to summon for jury duty the persons on the jury list whose numbers are thus matched. The persons so summoned may serve as jurors in either the superior or the district court, or both, for the week for which summoned. Jurors who serve each week shall be discharged at the close of the weekly session or sessions, unless actually engaged in a trial of a case, and then they shall not be discharged until their service in that case is completed. (1806, c. 694, P. R.; 1868-9, c. 9, ss. 5, 6; c. 175; Code, ss. 1726, 1727, 1731; 1889, c. 559; 1897, c. 117; 1901, c. 28, s. 3; c. 636; 1903, c. 11; 1905, c. 38; c. 76, s. 4; c. 285; Rev., ss. 1958, 1959; C. S., ss. 2313, 2314; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Former § 9-3 Partly Mandatory and _ S.E. 384 (1898); State v. Banner, 149 N.C. 180 (1902); State v. Perry, 122 N.C. 1018, 29 Guano Co., 130 N.C. 229, 41 S.E. 293 (1902); State v. Perry, 122 N.C. 1018, 29

§ 9-6. Jury service a public duty; excuses to be allowed in exceptional cases; procedure.—(a) The General Assembly hereby declares the public policy of this State to be that jury service is the solemn obligation of all qualified citizens, and that excuses from the discharge of this responsibility should be granted only for reasons of compelling personal hardship or because requiring service would be contrary to the public welfare, health, or safety.

(b) Pursuant to the foregoing policy, the chief district judge of each district shall promulgate procedures whereby he or any district judge designated by him, prior to the date that a jury session (or sessions) of superior or district court convenes, shall receive, hear, and pass on applications for excuses from jury duty. Until the district court has been established in a county, the senior regular resident superior court judge of the district shall promulgate the procedures to carry out the policy set forth in this section, and shall designate himself or another superior court judge or judges to hear and pass on applications. The procedure shall provide for the time and place, publicly announced, at which applications for excuses will be heard, and prospective jurors who have been summoned for service shall be so informed.

(c) A prospective juror excused by a judge in the exercise of the discretion conferred by subsection (b) may be required by the judge to serve as a juror in a subsequent session of court.

(d) A judge hearing applications for excuses from jury duty shall excuse any person disqualified under § 9-3.

(e) The judge shall inform the clerk of superior court of persons excused under this section, and the clerk shall so notify the register of deeds, who shall note the excuse on the juror's card and file it separately from the jury list.

(f) The discretionary authority of a presiding judge to excuse a juror at the beginning of or during a session of court is not affected by this section. (1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

§ 9-7. Removal of names of jurors who have served from jury list; retention.—As persons are summoned for jury service, the cards upon which their names appear shall be withdrawn from the jury list and filed separately. The dates for which each juror serves shall be noted on his card.

All cards removed from the jury list because of service, or having been excused from service, or because of disqualification, shall be retained for reference in compiling the next jury list. When the succeeding list has been prepared, the list of persons who have served shall be retained for a period of two years. (1967, c. 218, s. 1.)
§ 9-8. Fees of jurors; provisions in effect until January 1, 1971.—All jurors in the superior court shall receive such compensation as the board of county commissioners shall fix, not less than three dollars ($3.00) and not more than eight dollars ($8.00) per day; provided, that the board of county commissioners may establish different rates of compensation for different classes of superior court jurors within the limitations set out above. A board of county commissioners may fix the compensation of jurors to pass upon the competency of any person, under the provisions of chapter 35, article 2, of the General Statutes, at not less than one dollar ($1.00) per day and not more than six dollars ($6.00) per day.

In addition to the compensation provided for above, all jurors shall receive a travel allowance of five cents (5¢) per mile for travel to the seat of court and return home, the distance to be computed by the usual route of public travel; provided, that this allowance shall be paid once per calendar week for each calendar week in which attendance is required.

This section shall cease to be effective in each county on the date that a district court is established therein, and thereupon G.S. 7A-312 shall govern the compensation of jurors. Until that time all local modifications of the general law as to jury fees shall remain in effect. This section is repealed effective January 1, 1971. (Rev., s. 2798; 1919, c. 85, ss. 1, 2; C. S., s. 3892; Ex. Sess. 1920, c. 61, ss. 1, 3; 1921, c. 62, s. 1; 1947, c. 1015; 1949, c. 915; 1951, c. 98; 1955, c. 1360; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)


§ 9-9. Jury lists during period July 1, 1967 to December 31, 1967.—During the period July 1, 1967, to December 31, 1967, a county may, if practicable, continue to use the jury list prepared for the biennium ending June 30, 1967. If it is not practicable to use such jury list, a jury list for this six-months period shall be prepared and used in accordance with the laws in effect prior to April 21, 1967. This section is repealed effective January 1, 1968. (1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Article 2.

Petit Jurors.

§ 9-10. Summons to jurors.—The register of deeds shall, within three days after the receipt of numbers drawn, deliver the list of prospective jurors to the sheriff of the county, who shall summon the persons named therein. The summons shall be served personally, or by leaving a copy thereof at the place of residence of the juror, or by telephone or first-class mail, at least 15 days before the session of court for which the juror is summoned. Service by telephone, or by first-class mail if mailed to the correct current address of the juror on or before the fifteenth day before the day the court convenes, shall be valid and binding on the person served, and he shall be bound to appear in the same manner as if personally served. The summons shall contain information as to the time, place, and authority before whom applications for excuses from jury service may be heard. (1779, c. 157, ss. 4, 6, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 29; 1868-9, c. 9, s. 12; Code, s. 1733; Rev., s. 1976; C. S., s. 2320; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Cross Reference.—As to penalty for disobeying summons, see § 9-13.
§ 9-11. Supplemental jurors; special venire.—(a) If necessary, the court may, without using the jury list, order the sheriff to summon from day to day additional jurors to supplement the original venire. Jurors so summoned shall have the same qualifications and be subject to the same challenges as jurors selected for the regular jury list. If the presiding judge finds that service of summons by the sheriff is not suitable because of his direct or indirect interest in the action to be tried, the judge may appoint some suitable person in place of the sheriff to summon supplemental jurors.

(b) The presiding judge may, in his discretion, at any time before or during a session direct that supplemental jurors or a special venire be selected from the jury list in the same manner as is provided for the selection of regular jurors. Jurors summoned under this subsection may be discharged by the court at any time during the session and are subject to the same challenges as regular jurors, and to no other challenges. (1779, c. 156, s. 69; P. R.; 1830, c. 27; R. C., c. 31, s. 29; c. 35, ss. 30, 31; Code, ss. 1733, 1738, 1739, 1740; 1887, c. 53; 1889, c. 441; 1897, c. 364; Rev., ss. 1967, 1968, 1973, 1974, 1975, 3265, 3602; 1911, c. 15; 1913, ss. 1, 2; 1915, c. 210; C. S., ss. 2321, 2322, 2338, 2339, 2340, 4635; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Cross Reference.—As to qualification of jurors, see § 9-3.


Special Venire Selected without Partiality.—A challenge to the array on the ground that the sheriff and his deputies, under instructions by the sheriff, selected for the special venire freeholders of good character, who had not served on the jury within the past two years and who lived in townships in the county other than the township in which the crime was committed and townships contiguous thereto, was properly refused, the action of the sheriff and the deputies showing no partiality, misconduct and irregularity in making out the list. State v. Dixon, 215 N.C. 438, 2 S.E.2d 371 (1939).

The failure of the trial judge to sign the order for a special venire does not alone invalidate the special venire, it having been ordered and summoned in all other respects in conformity with statute. State v. Anderson, 228 N.C. 720, 47 S.E.2d 1 (1948).

Order Substantially a Special Writ of Venire Facias.—A written order entitled as of the action, commanding the sheriff to summon a special venire of twenty-five freeholders from the body of the county to appear on a specified date to act as jurors in the case, is in substance a special writ of venire facias. State v. Anderson, 228 N.C. 720, 47 S.E.2d 1 (1948).

Accessory May Be Tried by Special Venire.—Where two persons are indicted for murder, one as principal and the other as accessory before the fact, the latter may be tried by a jury selected from a special venire ordered in the case. State v. Register, 138 N.C. 746, 46 S.E. 21 (1903).

Challenge for Cause.—Under this section where a special venire has been ordered by the court for the trial of a capital felony, the veniremen, being selected by the sheriff in his discretion, not from the jury box, are subject to the same challenges for cause as tales jurors. State v. Avant, 202 N.C. 680, 163 S.E. 806 (1932).

Special Venire Exhausted.—When a special venire is exhausted without completing the jury, the court may order a further venire to be summoned at once from the bystanders. State v. Stanton, 118 N.C. 1182, 24 S.E. 536 (1896).

§ 9-12. Supplemental jurors from other counties.—(a) On motion of any party or the State, or on his own motion, any judge of the superior court, if he is of the opinion that it is necessary in order to provide a fair trial in any case, and regardless of whether he will preside over the trial of that case, may order as many jurors as he deems necessary to be summoned from any county or counties in the same judicial district as the county of trial or in any adjoining judicial district. These jurors shall be selected and shall serve in the manner provided for selection and service of supplemental jurors selected from the jury list. These jurors shall be subject to the same challenges as other jurors, except challenges for nonresidence in the county of trial.
(b) Transportation may be furnished in lieu of mileage.

(c) The county of trial shall pay jurors summoned under this section at the rate provided by law for the county from which they are summoned. When a district court is established in the county of trial, the jurors shall be compensated by the State as provided in G.S. 7A-312. (1913, c. 4, ss. 1, 2; C. S., s. 473; 1931, c. 308; 1933, c. 248; 1961, c. 110; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Order Tantamount to Denial of Motion to Remove.—When the judge entered an order directing that venire of jurors be drawn from another county to serve as jurors, in the trial, it was tantamount to a denial of a motion to remove the cases to another county for trial. State v. Moore, 228 N.C. 300, 128 S.E.2d 563 (1962), decided under former § 1-86.

Discretion of Court.—The granting of a solicitor's motion that the jury be drawn from the body of another county is within the court's discretion. State v. Shipman, 202 N.C. 518, 163 S.F. 657 (1932).

A motion for change of venue or, in the alternative, that a jury be summoned from another county, on the ground that defendant could not obtain a fair trial because of widespread and unfavorable publicity, is addressed to the discretion of the trial court, and where the record discloses that the trial judge conducted a hearing, read all the affidavits, and examined the press releases, that each juror selected stated that he could render a verdict uninfluenced by the publicity, and that defendant did not exhaust his peremptory challenges, abuse of discretion in denying the motion is not disclosed. State v. Porth, 269 N.C. 329, 153 S.E.2d 10 (1967), decided under former § 1-86.

Review.—A judge's order, entered by virtue of authority vested in him by this section, is not reviewable, unless there has been a manifest abuse of his discretion. State v. Childs, 269 N.C. 307, 152 S.E.2d 453 (1967), decided under former § 1-86 and holding that no abuse of discretion appeared.

§ 9-13. Penalty for disobeying summons.—Every person summoned to appear as a juror who has not been excused, and who fails to appear and attend until duly discharged, shall be subject to a fine of not more than fifty dollars ($50.00), to be imposed by the court, unless he renders an excuse deemed sufficient. The forfeiture so imposed if not paid forthwith shall be entered as a judgment against the defaulting juror, and the clerk of superior court shall issue an execution against his estate. (1779, c. 157, ss. 4, 10; 1783, c. 189, 1806, c. 694, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 30; Code, ss. 405, 1734; Rev., s. 1977; C. S., c. 2323; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

§ 9-14. Jury sworn; judge decides competency.—The clerk shall, at the beginning of court, swear all jurors who have not been selected as grand jurors. Each juror shall swear or affirm that he will truthfully and without prejudice or partiality try all issues in criminal or civil actions that come before him and render true verdicts according to the evidence. Nothing herein shall be construed to disallow the usual challenges in law to the whole jury so sworn or to any juror; and if by reason of such challenge any juror is withdrawn from a jury being selected to try a case, his place on that jury shall be taken by another qualified juror. The presiding judge shall decide all questions as to the competency of jurors. (1790, c. 321, P. R.; 1822, c. 1133, s. 1, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 34; Code, s. 405; Rev., s. 1966; C. S., c. 2324; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Challenges for Cause. — The causes of challenge to the juror are so numerous as to be described by Lord Coke as "infinite." It has been held in many cases that the right is given to afford a litigant fair opportunity to remove objectionable jurors, and was not intended to enable him to select a jury of his own choosing. See Blevins v. Mills, 150 N.C. 493, 64 S.E. 428 (1909). A few of the most common grounds for challenge will be set out. Chief of these, perhaps, is expression of opinion. This is sometimes ground for challenge, but is not if the juror states that the opinion could be eliminated and a fair and impartial verdict rendered. State v. Bailey, 179 N.C. 724, 182 S.E. 406 (1920); State v. Winder, 183 N.C. 776, 111 S.E. 530 (1922). The challenge for this cause can be made only by that party against whom the opinion was formed and expressed. State v. Benton, 19 N.C. 196 (1836).
A juror may be examined as to opinions honestly formed, and honestly expressed, manifesting a bias of judgment, not referable to personal partiality, or malevolence; but if the opinion has been made up and expressed under circumstances which involve dishonor and guilt, and where such expression may be visited with punishment, he ought not to be required to testify so as to criminate himself. State v. Benton, 19 N.C. 196 (1836); State v. Mills, 91 N.C. 581 (1884).

Other grounds for challenge, briefly enumerated, are relation within the ninth degree of affinity (State v. Potts, 100 N.C. 437, 6 S.E. 657 (1888)); opposition to capital punishment (State v. Vick, 132 N.C. 945, 43 S.E. 626 (1903)); nonresidence (State v. Bullock, 63 N.C. 570 (1869); State v. Upton, 170 N.C. 769, 87 S.E. 328 (1915)); employment by party (Oliphant v. Atlantic Coast Line R.R., 171 N.C. 303, 88 S.E. 425 (1916)). But in an indictment for illegal sale of liquor, challenges for cause, in that the jurors belonged to the Anti-Saloon League, were properly disallowed, where the jurors had taken no part in prosecuting or aiding in the prosecution of the defendant. State v. Sultan, 142 N.C. 569, 54 S.E. 84 (1906).

Time of Challenge.—The court may, in its discretion, permit a juror to be challenged by the State for cause, after he has been tendered to the defendant and before the jury is impaneled. State v. Green, 95 N.C. 611 (1886).

Excusing Unchallenged Juror.—The trial judge may excuse a juror, before the jury is impaneled, although the solicitor has passed him to the prisoner and has not challenged him for cause. State v. Vick, 132 N.C. 995, 43 S.E. 626 (1903).

Method of Taking Advantage of Error.—The action of a trial judge in determining the qualifications of a jurymen, if erroneous, is ground for a challenge to the array by a motion to quash and set aside the entire panel, and in the absence of such challenge a defendant cannot be allowed to take advantage of the alleged error after trial and judgment. State v. Moore, 120 N.C. 570, 26 S.E. 697 (1897).

Review. — The rulings of the judge on questions as to the competency of jurors are not subject to review on appeal unless accompanied by some imputed error of law. State v. DeGraffenreid, 224 N.C. 517, 31 S.E.2d 523 (1944); State v. Davenport, 227 N.C. 475, 42 S.E.2d 686 (1947); State v. Suddreth, 230 N.C. 239, 52 S.E.2d 924 (1949).

A juror during homicide trial had sister of deceased as one of his passengers in a four-mile automobile trip. Defendant moved to set aside the verdict. The juror stated upon oath that he did not know his passenger was the sister of the deceased, and the court found upon investigation that the case was not discussed during the ride. It was held that exception to refusal of motion was not reviewable. State v. Suddreth, 230 N.C. 239, 52 S.E.2d 924 (1949).

The trial court's findings, upon supporting evidence, that persons of defendant's race were not excluded from the petit jury on account of race or color, are conclusive on appeal, and defendant's exception to the overruling of his challenge to the array on that ground presents no reviewable question of law. State v. Reid, 230 N.C. 561, 53 S.E.2d 849 (1949).

Defendant moved for a new trial on the ground that during the trial he discussed the case with one of the jurors before recognizing him as a juror. The court found that the defendant had not shown that he was in anywise prejudiced by the occurrence, and denied defendant's motion for a new trial. The ruling of the court was not reviewable. State v. Scott, 242 N.C. 595, 89 S.E.2d 153 (1955).

§ 9-15. Questioning jurors without challenge; challenges for cause.

(a) The court, or any party to an action, civil or criminal, shall be allowed, in selecting the jury, to make inquiry as to the fitness and competency of any person to serve as a juror, without having such inquiry treated as a challenge of such person, and it shall not be considered by the court that any person is challenged as a juror until the party shall formally state that such person is so challenged.

(b) It shall not be a valid cause for challenge that any juror, regular or supplemental, is not a freeholder or has not paid the taxes assessed against him.

(c) If any juror has a suit pending and at issue in the court in which he is serving, he may be challenged for cause, and he shall be withdrawn from the trial panel, and may be withdrawn from the venire in the discretion of the presiding
§ 9-16. Exemption from civil arrest. — No sheriff or other officer shall arrest under civil process any juror during his attendance at or going to and returning from any session of the superior or district court. Any such arrest shall be invalid, and the defendant on motion shall be discharged. (1779, c. 157, s. 10, P. R.; Code, s. 1753; Rev., s. 2057; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Section Does Not Repeal Common-Law Exemption.—This section does not by implication repeal the common-law exemption of nonresidents from service of process while in the State in attendance in court either as witnesses or as suitors. Cooper v. Wyman, 122 N.C. 784, 29 S.E. 947 (1898). See also Greenlief v. Peoples Bank, 133 N.C. 292, 45 S.E. 638 (1903).

§ 9-17. Jurors impaneled to try case furnished with accommodations; separation of jurors. — A jury, impaneled to try any cause, shall be put in charge of an officer of the court and shall be furnished with such accommodations as the court may order, and the accommodations shall be paid for by the parties or by the State, as ordered by the presiding judge.

The presiding judge, in his discretion, may direct any jury to be sequestered while it has a case or issue under consideration. (1876-7, c. 173; Code, s. 1736; 1889, c. 44; Rev., s. 1978; C. S., s. 2327; 1947, c. 1007, s. 2; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Effect on Verdict of Refusal to Furnish Refreshments.—Where a jury retired at 11 A.M. to consider their verdict, which was returned at 3 P.M. such verdict cannot be impeached because the sheriff declined to give them refreshments, except water, until they agreed on a verdict, or until the judge should tell him to take them to dinner. Gaither v. Hascall-Richards Steam Generator Co., 121 N.C. 384, 28 S.E. 546 (1897).

§ 9-18. Alternate jurors. — Whenever the presiding judge deems it appropriate, one or more alternate jurors may be selected in the same manner as the regular trial panel of jurors in the case, but after the regular jury has been duly impaneled. Each party shall be entitled to two peremptory challenges as to each such alternate juror, in addition to any unexpended challenges the party may have left after the selection of the regular trial panel. Alternate jurors shall be sworn and seated near the jury with equal opportunity to see and hear the proceedings and shall attend the trial at all times with the jury and shall obey all orders and admonitions of the court to the jury. When the jurors are ordered kept together in any case, the alternate jurors shall be kept with them. An alternate juror shall receive the same compensation as other jurors and, except as hereinafter provided, shall be discharged upon the final submission of the case to the jury. If before that time any juror dies, becomes incapacitated or disqualified, or is discharged for any reason, an alternate juror shall become a part of the jury and serve in all respects as those selected on the regular trial panel. If more than one alternate juror has been selected, they shall be available to become a part of the jury in the order in which they were selected. (1931, c. 103; 1939, c. 35; 1951, cc. 82, 1043; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—In 9 N.C.L. Rev. 378, former § 9-21 (similar to this section) and its background are discussed.

Constitutional.—The essential attributes of trial by jury guaranteed by N.C. Const., Art. I, § 13, are the number of jurors, their impartiality and a unanimous verdict. and this section does not infringe upon same.
§ 9-19. Peremptory challenges in civil cases.—The clerk, before a jury is impaneled to try the issues in any civil suit, shall read over the names of the prospective jurors in the presence and hearing of the parties or their counsel; and the parties, or their counsel for them, may challenge peremptorily eight jurors without showing any cause therefor, and the challenges shall be allowed by the court. State v. Dalton, 206 N.C. 507, 174 S.E. 422 (1934).

Article 3.
Peremptory Challenges.

§ 9-20. Civil cases having several defendants; challenges apportioned; discretion of judge.—When there are two or more defendants in a civil action, the presiding judge, if it appears that there are antagonistic interests between the defendants, may in his discretion apportion among the defendants the challenges now allowed by law, or he may increase the number of challenges to not exceeding six for each defendant or class of defendants representing the same interest. In either event, the same number of challenges shall be allowed each defendant or class of defendants representing the same interest. The decision of the judge as to the nature of the interests and number of challenges shall be final. State v. Ponder, 234 N.C. 294, 67 S.E.2d 292 (1951).

Decision of Trial Judge Is Final.—This section, which creates the exception to the general rule laid down by § 9-19 regarding peremptory challenges, clothes with finality the decision of the trial judge as to how many challenges the several defendants will be allowed. State v. Ponder, 234 N.C. 294, 67 S.E.2d 292 (1951).

§ 9-21. Peremptory challenges in criminal cases. — (a) In all capital cases each defendant may challenge peremptorily without cause 14 jurors and no more. In all other criminal cases each defendant may challenge peremptorily six
jurors without cause and no more. To enable defendants to exercise this right, the clerk shall read over the names of the jurors on the panel, in the presence and hearing of the defendants and their counsel, before the jury is impaneled.

(b) In all capital cases the State may challenge peremptorily without cause six jurors and no more. In all other criminal cases the State may challenge peremptorily without cause four jurors and no more. The State's challenge, peremptory or for cause, must be made before the juror is tendered to the defendant. The State does not have the right to stand any jurors at the foot of the panel. (22 Hen. VIII, c. 14, s. 6; 33 Edw. I, c. 4; 1777, c. 115, s. 85, P. R.; 1801, c. 592, s. 1, P. R.; 1812, c. 833, P. R.; 1826, c. 9; 1827, c. 10; R. S., c. 35, ss. 19, 21; R. C., c. 35, ss. 32, 33; 1871-2, c. 39: Code, ss. 1199, 1200; 1887, c. 53; Rev., ss. 3263, 3264; 1907, c. 415; 1913, c. 31, ss. 3, 4; C. S., ss. 4633, 4634; 1935, c. 475, ss. 2, 3; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—See 11 N.C.L. Rev. 219.

In General. — Every criminal, charged with a crime affecting his life, has a right to challenge a certain number of jurors, without assigning any cause, and as many more as he can assign a good cause for. State v. Patrick, 48 N.C. 443 (1856).

Purpose. — The legislative intent in the enactment of former § 15-163 was to secure a reasonable and impartial verdict. State v. Ashburn, 187 N.C. 717, 122 S.E. 893 (1924).

Section 9-15 (a) Not Affected.—Former § 15-164, relating to peremptory challenges by the State in criminal cases, did not affect the application of former § 9-15 (now subsection (a) of § 9-15) to the trial of capital felonies. State v. Ashburn, 187 N.C. 717, 122 S.E. 893 (1924).

Judge Determines Competency of Jurors. —Triers are now dispensed with, and the judge determines the facts as well as the legal sufficiency of the challenge based upon them. State v. Kilgore, 93 N.C. 533 (1885).

The right of peremptory challenge is not a right to select but to exclude. State v. Smith, 24 N.C. 402 (1843); State v. Banner, 149 N.C. 519, 63 S.E. 84 (1908).

When Challenge Should Be Made.—The time for a prisoner to make his challenge, is when the juror is tendered, and before the juror is sworn, or the oath is commenced. State v. Patrick, 48 N.C. 443 (1856).

When to Make Peremptory Challenge. — A person charged with crime may, when called upon to plead to the bill of indictment, challenge the array; or he may, after his plea, challenge individual jurors for cause or peremptorily. State v. Rorie, 258 N.C. 162, 128 S.E.2d 229 (1962). A defendant cannot wait until the jury has returned a verdict of guilty to challenge the competency of the jury to determine the question. State v. Rorie, 258 N.C. 162, 128 S.E.2d 229 (1962).

Judge Cannot Extend Time. — The discretionary power of the trial judge in respect to challenges is confined to challenges for cause, and he has no more authority to extend the time for making peremptory challenges beyond the limit fixed by this section than he has to allow more than four [now six] of such challenges. State v. Fuller, 114 N.C. 885, 19 S.E. 797 (1894).

Peremptory Challenges Limited in Number.—A defendant, in an indictment for an offense other than capital, having only four peremptory challenges to jurors, could not challenge a fifth juror peremptorily although he had first challenged one of the four for cause, which was properly disallowed. State v. Hargrave, 100 N.C. 484, 6 S.E. 185 (1888). A defendant is now allowed six peremptory challenges. — Ed. Note.

Where several defendants are tried together for a crime other than a capital felony each is entitled to four [now six] peremptory challenges to the jury, and where the court has ruled that the defense was a joint defense and has allowed but four [now six] peremptory challenges for all the defendants, a new trial will be granted upon appeal. State v. Burleson, 203 N.C. 779, 166 S.E. 905 (1932).

In a prosecution of two defendants jointly for offenses less than capital, the State is entitled to challenge peremptorily four [now six] jurors for each defendant. State v. Knight, 261 N.C. 17, 134 S.E.2d 101 (1964).

Where Bills of Indictment Are Consolidated.—Where several bills of indictment against a defendant are consolidated for trial, the defendant is entitled to but four [now six] peremptory challenges to the jury as provided by this section and not to four [now six] peremptory challenges for each bill, the consolidated bills being treated as separate counts of the same bill. State v. Alridge, 206 N.C. 850, 175 S.E. 191 (1934).

Number of Challenges When Verdict of Manslaughter Asked. — Where, upon the
trial of an indictment for murder, the solicitor states that he will ask only for a verdict of manslaughter, no special venire was necessary, and the defendant is not entitled to more than four [now six] peremptory challenges. State v. Hunt, 128 N.C. 584, 38 S.E. 473 (1901); State v. Caldwell, 129 N.C. 682, 40 S.E. 85 (1901).

Waiver of Objection to Rejection of Juror.—If a juror is rejected upon an improper ground of challenge, made by the State, the prisoner cannot assign it for error, if a jury is obtained before he has exhausted his peremptory challenges. State v. Potts, 100 N.C. 457, 6 S.E. 657 (1888); State v. Sultan, 142 N.C. 569, 54 S.E. 841 (1906).

ARTICLE 4.
Grand Jurors.

§ 9-22. How grand jury drawn.—(a) At the first jury session of superior court for the trial of criminal cases in each county after January 1, 1968, the presiding judge shall direct the names of all persons returned as jurors to be written on scrolls of paper and put into a box or hat. The clerk of court or his assistant or deputy shall draw out the names of 18 persons who shall serve as grand jurors. Of these 18, the first nine drawn shall serve for a period of six months and until their replacements are selected and sworn, and the next nine for a period of 12 months and until their replacements are selected and sworn. Thereafter, beginning with the first criminal session of superior court after July 1, 1968, and continuing with the first criminal session of superior court after January 1 and July 1 of each year, nine new grand jurors shall be selected in the manner provided above to replace the jurors whose terms have expired. All new grand jurors so selected shall serve for a period of 12 months, and until their replacements are selected and sworn. In the event of a vacancy occurring in the membership of the grand jury, the superior court judge holding the next criminal session in the county shall order a new juror drawn in the manner provided above to fill the vacancy.

(b) The presiding judge at any criminal session of superior court may at any time order the grand jury to be assembled for the purpose of hearing his charge. The presiding judge at any criminal session of superior court may at any time discharge the grand jury and order a new grand jury to be selected and qualified, as provided in this section. The first nine new grand jurors selected shall serve out the terms of the former grand jurors with six months or less to serve, and the next nine selected shall serve out the terms of those with more than six months to serve. (1779, c. 157, s. 11, P. R.; R. C., c. 31, s. 33; Code, s. 404; Rev., s. 1969; C. S., s. 2333; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)


§ 9-23. Exceptions to qualifications of grand jurors.—All exceptions to grand jurors on account of their disqualifications shall be taken before the petit jury is sworn and impaneled to try the issue, by motion to quash the indictment, and if not taken at that time shall be deemed to be waived. But no indictment shall be quashed, nor shall judgment thereon be arrested, because any member of the grand jury finding such bills of indictment had not paid his taxes or was a party to any suit pending and at issue. (Code, s. 1741; Rev., s. 1970; 1907, c. 36, s. 1; C. S., s. 2335; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

A party litigant does not have the right to select jurors, but only to challenge or reject them. State v. Peacock, 220 N.C. 63, 16 S.E.2d 452 (1941).

Qualifications Judged at Time of Service.—The fact that a grand juror was a minor when his name was put on the jury list is immaterial if he was of age at the time he served. State v. Perry, 122 N.C. 1018, 29 S.E. 384 (1888).

Grand Juror also Member of Petit Jury. —The fact that a member of the grand
jury which returned a true bill for perjury was one of the petit jury that tried the issues in an action wherein it was charged the perjury was committed, is not good ground for abating or quashing the indictment. He was bound by his oath as a grand juror to communicate to his fellows the information he had acquired as a petit juror. State v. Wilcox, 104 N.C. 847, 10 S.E. 453 (1889).

Son of Prosecutor Member of Grand Jury.—The fact that the son of the prosecutor, in an indictment for larceny, was a member of the grand jury, and actively participated in finding the bill, did not vitiate the indictment, and it was error to quash it on that ground. State v. Sharp, 110 N.C. 604, 14 S.E. 504 (1892).

Failure to Pay Taxes.—Formerly, it was discretionairy with the trial judge to allow or refuse a motion to quash because a grand jurymen had not paid his taxes after entry of plea until the petit jury was sworn and impaneled, and a motion to quash after entry of plea was made too late as a matter of right. This is changed by the amendment of 1907 adding the last sentence of this section. State v. Banner, 149 N.C. 519, 63 S.E. 84 (1909).

The passage of the amendment immediately following the decision in the case of Breese v. United States, 143 Fed. 250 (4th Cir. 1906), was evidently for the purpose of removing the disqualification of grand jurors, based upon failure to pay taxes for the preceding year, in cases where they actually serve upon the grand jury and pass upon bills of indictment; and there is no reason why it should not be given this interpretation. Davis v. United States, 49 F.2d 269 (4th Cir. 1931).

Complete Exclusion of Class from Eligibility.—Even the complete exclusion, by State law, of a group or class of persons from eligibility for jury service will not make invalid an indictment by a grand jury, selected in accordance with such State law, so long as there is no reasonable basis for the conclusion that the ineligible group or class would bring to the deliberations of the jury a point of view not otherwise represented upon it, at least where the defendant is not a member of the excluded group. State v. Knight, 269 N.C. 160, 152 S.E.2d 179 (1967).

Absence of Negroes from Grand Jury.—More than sixty years ago the Supreme Court of North Carolina stated clearly that N.C. Const., Art. I, §§ 13 and 17, are to be so interpreted and that such systematic exclusion from the grand jury of persons, otherwise qualified, because of their race, requires, upon motion duly made, the quashing of an indictment returned against a member of that race by such grand jury, irrespective of the fact that all members of the grand jury were, themselves, qualified jurors. State v. Knight, 269 N.C. 100, 152 S.E.2d 179 (1967). See State v. Speller, 229 N.C. 67, 47 S.E.2d 537 (1948); State v. Covington, 235 N.C. 501, 128 S.E.2d 827 (1963); Miller v. State, 237 N.C. 29, 74 S.E.2d 513 (1953); State v. Inman, 260 N.C. 311, 132 S.E.2d 613 (1963).

Member of Grand Jury Summoned by Mistake.—While, generally, the provisions of the statute for drawing and summoning jurors are directory, the grand jury is illegially constituted when one whose name was not drawn from the boxes was summoned by mistake, and served by mistake. State v. Paramore, 146 N.C. 604, 60 S.E. 502 (1908).

Objection Must Be Taken by Motion to Quash. — An objection to an indictment based on defects or irregularities in the drawing or organization of the grand jury must be taken by a motion to quash the indictment. It cannot be urged in arrest of judgment. Miller v. State, 237 N.C. 29, 74 S.E.2d 513 (1953); State v. Gales, 240 N.C. 319, 82 S.E.2d 80 (1954).

And the motion to quash must be seasonably made. These rules regulate the time for the motion: (1) An accused may make the motion to quash the indictment as a matter of right up to the time when he is arraigned and enters his plea; (2) the presiding judge has the discretionary power to permit the accused to make the motion to quash the indictment as a matter of grace after his plea is entered and until the petit jury is sworn and impaneled to try the case on its merits; and (3) the presiding judge has no power to entertain a motion to quash the indictment at all after the petit jury is sworn and impaneled to try the case on its merits. Miller v. State, 237 N.C. 29, 74 S.E.2d 513 (1953); State v. Gales, 240 N.C. 319, 82 S.E.2d 80 (1954).
upon the arraignment, when the defendant is first called upon to answer. State v. Griffice, 74 N.C. 316 (1876).

A motion to quash an indictment, made upon arraignment and before pleading, for that the grand jury was improperly constituted, is in apt time. State v. Paramore, 146 N.C. 604, 60 S.E. 502 (1908).

Waiver.—A failure to assert disqualifications of grand jurors is waived if not taken before the petit jury is sworn and impaneled. State v. Rorie, 258 N.C. 162, 128 S.E.2d 229 (1962).

An accused waives any objection to the grand jury which indict him on the ground of defects or irregularities in its drawing or organization unless he takes the objection by a motion to quash the indictment before entering a plea to the merits. State v. Gales, 240 N.C. 319, 82 S.E.2d 80 (1954); State v. Rorie, 258 N.C. 162, 128 S.E.2d 229 (1962).

Where a defendant aptly moves to quash indictments on the ground that they were returned by a grand jury from which members of his race were intentionally excluded, the defendant has not by his subsequent pleas of guilty, waived his objection. State v. Covington, 258 N.C. 501, 128 S.E.2d 827 (1963).

The right of a negro defendant to object to a grand jury upon the ground of discrimination against members of his race in the selection of such jury is waived by failing to pursue the proper remedy. Miller v. State, 237 N.C. 29, 74 S.E.2d 513 (1953).

§ 9-24. Judge to appoint foreman; acting foreman.—The foreman of the grand jury shall be appointed by the presiding judge of a superior court session in which grand jurors are chosen. The foreman shall serve for a term of six months, and until his successor has been appointed and qualified, and he may be reappointed for a second term. He shall be sworn according to law. In the absence of the foreman, or if the foreman is unable to serve, the presiding judge shall appoint an acting foreman, who shall have all the powers of the foreman. (1879, c. 12; Code, s. 1742; Rev., s. 1971; C. S., s. 2336; 1929, c. 228; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

§ 9-25. Foreman may administer oaths to witnesses. — The foreman of every grand jury duly sworn and impaneled in any of the courts has power to administer oaths and affirmations to persons to be examined before it as witnesses. The foreman shall mark on the bill the names of the witnesses sworn and examined before the jury. (1879, c. 12; Code, s. 1742; Rev., s. 1971; C. S., s. 2336; 1929, c. 228; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

Section Directory Merely.—The provision of the section, providing that the foreman of the grand jury shall mark on the indictment the names of the witnesses sworn and examined before the jury, is directory merely, and the omission of the foreman to comply therewith is no ground for quashing the bill, where the proof is that the witnesses were sworn. State v. Hines, 84 N.C. 810 (1881). See State v. Avant, 202 N.C. 680, 163 S.E. 806 (1932); State v. Lancaster, 210 N.C. 584, 187 S.E. 802 (1936); State v. Mitchell, 260 N.C. 235, 132 S.E.2d 481 (1963).

This section requiring the foreman of the grand jury, when the oath is administered by him, to mark on the bill the names of the witnesses sworn and examined before the jury is directory, and the fact that it does not appear by indorsement on a bill that the witness had been sworn and examined is no ground for quashing the indictment or arresting the judgment. State v. Hollingsworth, 100 N.C. 535, 6 S.E. 417 (1888).

This section, authorizing the foreman of the grand jury to swear witnesses to be examined before the jury, is directory merely. The fact that witnesses are sworn by the clerk of court rather than by the foreman is not grounds for arresting judgment or quashing an indictment. State v. Allen, 83 N.C. 680 (1880); State v. White, 88 N.C. 698 (1883).

No Indorsement Necessary. — No indorsement on a bill of indictment by the grand jury is necessary. The record that it was presented by the grand jury is sufficient in the absence of evidence to impeach it. State v. Sultan, 142 N.C. 569, 54 S.E. 841 (1906), overruling State v. McBroom, 127 N.C. 528, 37 S.E. 193 (1900).

The mere absence of an indorsement on a bill of indictment is not sufficient to overcome the presumption of the validity of the indictment arising from its return by the grand jury as "a true bill." State v. Mitchell, 260 N.C. 235, 132 S.E.2d 481 (1963).

Return of New Bill as "True Bill" without Reexamination of Witnesses.—Where an indictment upon which witnesses had
§ 9-26. Grand jury to visit county home and jail.—Every grand jury, while the court is in session, shall inspect the county home for the aged and infirm, the workhouse, if there is one, and the jail, and report to the court the condition of the facilities and of the inmates and prisoners confined therein, and also the manner in which the jailer or superintendent has discharged his duties.

It is not necessary for any grand jury in any county to make any inspections or submit any reports with respect to any county offices or agencies other than those required by this section, nor for any judge of the superior court to charge the grand jury with respect thereto. (1816, c. 911, s. 3; P. R.; R. C., c. 30, s. 3; Code, s. 785; Rev., s. 1972; C. S., s. 2337; 1949, c. 208; 1967, c. 218, s. 1.)

§§ 9-27 to 9-31: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 218, s. 1.

Revision of Chapter.—See same catch-line in note following analysis to chapter 9.

Chapter 10.

Notaries.

Sec. 10-1. Appointment and commission; term of office; revocation of commission.—The Governor may, from time to time, at his discretion, appoint one or more fit persons in every county to act as notaries public and shall issue to each a commission. The commission shall show that it is for a term of two years and shall show the effective date and date of expiration. The term of the commission shall be computed by including the effective date and shall end at midnight of the day preceding the anniversary of the effective date, two years thereafter. The commission shall be sent to the clerk of the superior court of the county in which the appointee lives and a copy of the letter of transmittal to the clerk shall be sent to the appointee concerned. The commission shall be retained by the clerk until the appointee has qualified in the manner provided by G. S. 10-2.

Any commission so issued by the Governor or his predecessor, shall be revocable by him in his discretion upon complaint being made against such notary public and when he shall be satisfied that the interest of the public will be best served by the revocation of said commission.

Whenever the Governor shall have revoked the commission of any notary public appointed by him, or his predecessor in office, it shall be his duty to file with the clerk of the court in the county of such notary public a copy of said order and mail a copy of same to said notary public.

Any person holding himself out to the public as a notary public, or any person attempting to act in such capacity after his commission shall have been revoked by the Governor, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction be punishable in the discretion of the court, as provided for in other misdemeanors. (Code,
§ 10-4. Powers of notaries public.—(a) Subject to the exception stated in subsection (c), a notary public commissioned under the laws of this State acting anywhere in this State may—

(1) Take and certify the acknowledgment or proof of the execution or signing of any instrument or writing except a contract between a husband and wife governed by the provisions of G.S. 52-6;

(2) Take affidavits and depositions;

(3) Administer oaths and affirmations, including oaths of office, except when such power is expressly limited to some other public officer;

(4) Protest for nonacceptance, or nonpayment, notes, bills of exchange and other negotiable instruments; and

(5) Perform such acts as the law of any other jurisdiction may require of a notary public for the purposes of that jurisdiction.

(1967, c. 24, s. 22.)

Cross Reference.—As to attorney probating papers to be used in proceedings in which he appears as attorney, see § 47-8.

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment rewrote the first paragraph.

§ 10-9. Official acts of notaries public; signatures; appearance of names; notarial seals or stamps.—Official acts of notaries public shall be attested:

(1) By their proper signatures;

(2) The readable appearance of their names, either from their signatures or otherwise; and

(3) By the clear and legible impression of their notarial seals or by the clear and legible appearance of their notarial stamps;

Provided, that after an instrument bearing the official act of a notary public has been properly recorded in the office of the register of deeds provision (2) above shall be conclusively presumed to have been complied with. (Rev., s. 2352; C. S., s. 3179; 1953, c. 836; 1961, c. 733.)

Local Modification.—Guilford: 1955, c. 1057.

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment, effective June 30, 1953, rewrote this section.

The 1961 amendment again rewrote this section.

Amendment Effective July 1, 1968.—Session Laws 1967, c. 1078, effective July 1, 1968, will change this section so that it will read as follows:

§ 10-9. Official acts of notaries public; signatures; appearance of names; notarial stamps or seals.—Official acts of notaries public in the State of North Carolina shall be attested:

(1) By their proper signatures,

(2) The readable appearance of their names, either from their signatures or otherwise, and

(3) By the clear and legible appearance of their notarial stamps:

Provided, that after an instrument bearing the official act of a notary public has been properly recorded in the office of the register of deeds subdivision (2) above shall be conclusively presumed to have been complied with and, provided further, that where a clear and legible impression of a notarial seal appears on an instrument, the same shall be deemed as valid as if a notarial stamp were used.
§ 10-10. Acts of minor notaries validated.

Cross Reference.—
For similar provision, see § 47-108.

§ 10-12. Acts of notaries public in certain instances validated.—
(a) The acts of any person heretofore performed after appointment as a notary public and prior to qualification as a notary public:
   (1) In taking any acknowledgment, or
   (2) In notarizing any instrument, or
   (3) In performing any act purportedly in the capacity of a notary public, are hereby declared to be valid and of the same legal effect as if such person had qualified as a notary public prior to performing any such acts.

(b) All instruments with respect to which any such person as is described in subsection (a) of this section has purported to act in the capacity of a notary public shall have the same legal effect as if such person acting as a notary public had in fact qualified as a notary public prior to performing any acts with respect to such instruments. (1947, c. 313; 1949, c. 1; 1965, c. 37.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1965 amendment re-enacted this section without change.

§ 10-13. Validation of acknowledgment wherein expiration of notary's commission erroneously stated.—All deeds, deeds of trust, mortgages, conveyances, affidavits, and all other paper writings similar or dissimilar to those enumerated herein, whether or not permitted or required to be recorded or filed under the laws of this State heretofore or hereafter executed, bearing an official act of a notary public in which the date of the notary's commission is erroneously stated, are, together with all subsequent acts or actions taken thereon, including but not limited to probate and registration, hereby declared in all respects to be valid to the extent as if the correct expiration date had been stated and shall be binding on the parties of such paper writings and their privies; and such paper writings, together with their certificates may, if otherwise competent, be read in evidence as a muniment of title for all intents and purposes in any of the courts of this State: Provided, that at the date of such official act the notary's commission was actually in force. (1953, c. 702; 1961, c. 734.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment substituted the words “an official act of a notary public in which the date of the notary's commission is erroneously stated” for the former words in lines five and six.

§ 10-14. Validation of instruments which do not contain readable impression of notary's name.—All deeds, deeds of trust, mortgages, conveyances, affidavits and all other paper writings similar or dissimilar to those enumerated herein, whether or not permitted or required to be recorded or filed under the laws of this State heretofore executed, bearing the official act of a notary public as attested by his notarial seal, but which seal does not contain a readable impression of the notary's name are, together with all subsequent acts or actions taken thereon, including but not limited to probate and registration, hereby declared in all respects to be valid to the same extent as if a seal containing a readable impression of the notary's name had been affixed thereto, and shall be binding on the parties of such paper writings and their privies; and such paper writings, together with their certificates, if otherwise competent may be read in evidence as a muniment of title for all intents and purposes in any of the courts of this State. (1961, c. 483.)
Chapter 11.

Oaths.

Article 1.

General Provisions.

Sec. 11-7.1. Who may administer oaths of office.

ARTICLE 1.

General Provisions.

§ 11-7.1. Who may administer oaths of office.—Except as otherwise specifically required by statute, an oath of office may be administered by:

(1) The Chief Justice or any associate justice of the Supreme Court;
(2) The Clerk of the Supreme Court;
(3) The Secretary of State;
(4) A judge of the superior court, including any regular, special or emergency judge, and irrespective of whether such judge is at the time commissioned to hold a term of court;
(5) A clerk, assistant clerk or deputy clerk of the superior court;
(6) A judge of any court inferior to the superior court, including justices of the peace;
(7) A clerk of any court inferior to the superior court;
(8) A notary public. (1953, c. 23.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this section became effective July 1, 1953.

§ 11-8. When deputies may administer.


§ 11-10. When county surveyors may administer oaths.—The county surveyors of the several counties are empowered to administer oaths to all such persons as are required by law to be sworn in making partition of real estate, in establishing boundaries and in surveying vacant lands under warrants. (1881, c. 144; Code, s. 3314; Rev., s. 2361; C. S., s. 3197; 1959, c. 879, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment, peared after the words “real estate” in the effective July 1, 1960, struck out “in laying third line.

ARTICLE 2.

Forms of Official and Other Oaths.

§ 11-11. Oaths of sundry persons; forms.—The oaths of office to be taken by the several persons hereafter named shall be in the words following the names of said persons respectively:

Administrator

You swear (or affirm) that you believe A. B. died without leaving any last will and testament; that you will well and truly administer all and singular the goods and chattels, rights and credits of the said A. B., and a true and perfect inventory
thereof return according to law; and that all other duties appertaining to the charge
reposed in you, you will well and truly perform, according to law, and with your
best skill and ability; so help you, God.

Attorney at Law

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that I will truly and honestly demean myself in
the practice of an attorney, according to the best of my knowledge and ability; so
help me, God.

Attorney General, State Solicitors and County Attorneys

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and truly serve the State
of North Carolina in the office of Attorney General (solicitor for the State or at-
torney for the State in the county of .........................); I will, in the execution
of my office, endeavor to have the criminal laws fairly and impartially administered,
so far as in me lies, according to the best of my knowledge and ability; so help me,
God.

Auditor

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and truly execute the
trust reposed in me as auditor, without favor or partiality, according to law, to
the best of my knowledge and ability; so help me, God.

Book Debt Oath

You swear (or affirm) that the matter in dispute is a book account; that you
have no means to prove the delivery of such articles, as you propose to prove by
your own oath, or any of them, but by yourself; and you further swear that the
account rendered by you is just and true; and that you have given all just credits;
so help you, God.

Book Debt Oath for Administrator

You, as executor or administrator of A. B., swear (or affirm) that you verily
believe this account to be just and true, and that there are no witnesses, to your
knowledge, capable of proving the delivery of the articles therein charged; and
that you found the book or account so stated, and do not know of any other or
further credit to be given than what is therein given; so help you, God.

Clerk of the Supreme Court

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that, by myself or any other person, I neither
have given, nor will give, to any person whatsoever, any gratuity, gift, fee or re-
ward, in consideration of my appointment to the office of clerk of the Supreme
Court of North Carolina; nor have I sold or offered to sell, nor will I sell or offer
to sell, my interest in the said office; I also solemnly swear that I do not, directly or
indirectly, hold any other lucrative office in this State; I also solemnly swear that
I will execute the office of clerk of the Supreme Court without prejudice, favor,
affection or partiality, to the best of my skill and ability; so help me, God.

Clerk of the Superior Court

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that, by myself or any other person, I neither
have given, nor will I give, to any person whatsoever, any gratuity, fee, gift or
reward, in consideration of my election or appointment to the office of clerk of the
superior court for the county of .........................; nor have I sold, or
offered to sell, nor will I sell or offer to sell, my interest in the said office; I also
solemnly swear that I do not, directly or indirectly, hold any other lucrative office in
the State; and I do further swear that I will execute the office of clerk of the
superior court for the county of ......................... without prejudice, favor,
affection or partiality, to the best of my skill and ability; so help me, God.
§ 11-11 General Statutes of North Carolina

Commissioners Allotting a Year’s Provisions

You and each of you swear (or affirm) that you will lay off and allot to the petitioner a year’s provisions for herself and family, according to law, and with your best skill and ability; so help you, God.

Commissioners Dividing and Allotting Real Estate

You and each of you swear (or affirm) that, in the partition of the real estate now about to be made by you, you will do equal and impartial justice among the several claimants, according to their several rights, and agreeably to law; so help you, God.

Commissioner of Wrecks

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will truly and faithfully discharge the duties of a commissioner of wrecks, for the district of ............... in the county of ................., according to law; so help me, God.

Constable

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and truly serve the State of North Carolina in the office of constable; I will see and cause the peace of the State to be well and truly preserved and kept, according to my power; I will arrest all such persons as, in my sight, shall ride or go armed offensively, or shall commit or make any riot, affray or other breach of the peace: I will do my best endeavor, upon complaint to me made, to apprehend all felons and rioters or persons riotously assembled, and if any such offenders shall make resistance with force, I will make hue and cry, and will pursue them according to law, and will faithfully and without delay execute and return all lawful precepts to me directed: I will well and truly, according to my knowledge, power and ability, do and execute all other things belonging to the office of constable, so long as I shall continue in office; so help me, God.

Cotton Weigher for Public

I, .................., public weigher for the city of .................. (or as the case may be), do solemnly swear that I will justly, impartially and without any deduction, except as may be allowed by law, weigh all cotton that may be brought to me for that purpose, and tender a true account thereof to the parties concerned, if required so to do; so help me, God.

Entry-Taker

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and impartially discharge the several duties of the office of entry-taker for the county of ........... ................. according to law; so help me, God.

Executor

You swear (or affirm) that you believe this writing to be and contain the last will and testament of A. B., deceased; and that you will well and truly execute the same by first paying his debts and then his legacies, as far as the said estate shall extend or the law shall charge you; and that you will well and faithfully execute the office of an executor, agreeably to the trust and confidence reposed in you, and according to law; so help you, God.

Grand Jury—Foreman of

You, as foreman of this grand inquest for the body of this county, shall diligently inquire and true presentment make of all such matters and things as shall be given you in charge; the State’s counsel, your fellows’ and your own you shall keep secret; you shall present no one for easy, hatred or malice; neither shall you leave any one unrepresented for fear, favor or affection, reward or the hope of reward; but you shall present all things truly, as they come to your knowledge, according to the best of your understanding: so help you, God.
Grand Jurors

The same oath which your foreman hath taken on his part, you and each of you shall well and truly observe and keep on your part; so help you, God.

Grand Jury—Officer of

You swear (or affirm) that you will faithfully carry all papers sent from the court to the grand jury, or from the grand jury to the court, without alteration or erasure, and without disclosing the contents thereof; so help you, God.

Jury—Officer of

You swear (or affirm) that you will keep every person sworn on this jury in some private and convenient place when in your charge. You shall not suffer any person to speak to them, neither shall you speak to them yourself, unless it be to ask them whether they are agreed in their verdict, but with leave of the court; so help you, God.

Oath for Petit Juror

You do solemnly swear (affirm) that you will truthfully and without prejudice or partiality try all issues in civil or criminal actions that come before you and give true verdicts according to the evidence, so help you, God.

Judge of the Supreme Court

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that in my office of justice of the Supreme Court of North Carolina I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and the rich, to the State and to individuals; and that I will honestly, faithfully, and impartially perform all the duties of the said office according to the best of my abilities, and agreeable to the Constitution and laws of the State; so help me, God.

Judge of the Superior Court

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and truly serve the State of North Carolina in the office of judge of the superior court of the said State; I will do equal law and right to all persons, rich and poor, without having regard to any person. I will not wittingly or willingly take, by myself or by any other person, any fee, gift, gratuity or reward whatsoever, for any matter or thing by me to be done by virtue of my office, except the fees and salary by law appointed; I will not maintain, by myself or by any other person, privately or openly, any plea or quarrel depending in any of the said courts; I will not delay any person of common right by reason of any letter or command from any person or persons in authority to me directed, or for any other cause whatsoever; and in case any letter or orders come to me contrary to law, I will proceed to enforce the law, such letters or order notwithstanding: I will not appoint any person to be clerk of any of the said courts but such of the candidates as appear to me sufficiently qualified for that office; and in all such appointments I will nominate without reward, hope of reward, prejudice, favor or partiality or any other sinister motive whatsoever; and finally, in all things belonging to my office, during my continuance therein, I will faithfully, truly and justly, according to the best of my skill and judgment, do equal and impartial justice to the public and to individuals; so help me, God.

Justice of the Peace

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that as justice of the peace of the county of in all articles in the commission to me directed, I will do equal right to the poor and the rich, to the best of my judgment and according to the laws of the State; I will not, privately or openly, by myself or any other person, be of counsel in any quarrel or suit depending before me; the fines and amercements that shall happen to be made, and the forfeitures that shall be incurred, I will cause to be duly entered without concealment; I will not wittingly or willingly take, by myself or by any other person for me, any fee, gift, gratuity or reward whatsoever for any matter or thing by me to be done by virtue of my office, ex
cept such fees as are or may be directed and limited by statute; but well and truly I will perform my office of justice of the peace; I will not delay any person of common right, by reason of any letter or order from any person in authority to me directed, or for any other cause whatever; and if any letter or order come to me contrary to law I will proceed to enforce the law, such letter or order notwithstanding. I will not direct or cause to be directed to the parties any warrant by me made, but will direct all such warrants to the sheriffs or constables of the county, or the other officers or ministers of the State, or other indifferent persons, to do execution thereof; and finally, in all things belonging to my office, during my continuance therein, I will faithfully, truly and justly, and according to the best of my skill and judgment, do equal and impartial justice to the public and to individuals; so help me, God.

Register of Deeds

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully and truly, according to the best of my skill and ability, execute the duties of the office of register of deeds for the county of ................., in all things according to law; so help me, God.

Secretary of State

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that I will, in all respects, faithfully and honestly execute the office of Secretary of State of the State of North Carolina, during my continuance in office, according to law; so help me, God.

Sheriff

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will execute the office of sheriff of ................. county to the best of my knowledge and ability, agreeably to law; and that I will not take, accept or receive, directly or indirectly, any fee, gift, bribe, gratuity or reward whatsoever, for returning any man to serve as a juror or for making any false return on any process to me directed; so help me, God.

Standard Keeper

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that I will not stamp, seal or give any certificate for any steelyards, weights or measures, but such as shall, as near as possible, agree with the standard in my keeping; and that I will, in all respects, truly and faithfully discharge and execute the power and trust by law reposed in me, to the best of my ability and capacity; so help me, God.

State Treasurer

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that, according to the best of my abilities and judgment, I will execute impartially the office of State Treasurer, in all things according to law, and account for the public taxes; and I will not, directly or indirectly, apply the public money to any other use than by law directed; so help me, God.

Stray Valuers

You swear (or affirm) that you will well and truly view and appraise the stray, now to be valued by you, without favor or partiality, according to your skill and ability; so help you, God.

Surveyor for a County

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will well and impartially discharge the several duties of the office of surveyor for the county of ................., according to law; so help me, God.

Treasurer for a County

I, A. B., do solemnly swear (or affirm) that, according to the best of my skill and ability, I will execute impartially the office of treasurer for the county of ................., in all things according to law; that I will duly and faithfully account for all public moneys that may come into my hands, and will not, directly
or indirectly, apply the same, or any part thereof, to any other use than by law directed; so help me, God.

Witness to Depose before the Grand Jury
You swear (or affirm) that the evidence you shall give to the grand jury, upon this bill of indictment against A. B., shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; so help you, God.

Witness in a Capital Trial
You swear (or affirm) that the evidence you shall give to the court and jury in this trial, between the State and the prisoner at the bar, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; so help you, God.

Witness in a Criminal Action
You swear (or affirm) that the evidence you shall give to the court and jury in this action between the State and A. B. shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; so help you, God.

Witness in Civil Cases
You swear (or affirm) that the evidence you shall give to the court and jury in this cause now on trial, wherein A. B. is plaintiff and C. D. defendant, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; so help you, God.

Witness to Prove a Will
You swear (or affirm) that you saw C. D. execute (or heard him acknowledge the execution of) this writing as his last will and testament; that you attested it in his presence and at his request; and that at the time of its execution (or at the time the execution was acknowledged) he was, in your opinion, of sound mind and disposing memory; so help you, God.

General Oath
Any officer of the State or of any county or township, the term of whose oath is not given above, shall take an oath in the following form:

I, A. B., do swear (or affirm) that I will well and truly execute the duties of according to the best of my skill and ability, according to law; so help me, God. (R. C., c. 76, s. 6; 1874-5, c. 58, s. 2; Code, ss. 3057, 3315; 1903, c. 604; Rev., s. 2360; C. S., s. 3199; 1947, c. 71, s. 879, s. 5; 1967, c. 218, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1959 amendment, effective July 1, 1960, repealed the oath for "Jury, Laying Off Dower." The 1967 amendment substituted the "Oath for Petit Juror" for the oaths for juries in capital cases, in criminal actions not capital and in civil actions.

Jury Need Not Be Resworn for Prosecution of Less than Capital Offense.—Where, upon an indictment charging homicide, the solicitor announces that he is not seeking a higher verdict than murder in the second degree, the prosecution is no longer for a capital offense, and it is not required that the jury be again sworn to try the particular prosecution, but under the provisions of this section it is sufficient that the jurors and all others summoned as jurors for the session of court were administered oath to truly try all issues which shall come before the jury during the term. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 659, 151 S.E.2d 596 (1966), decided prior to the 1967 amendment.

Disclosures Not Prohibited by Grand Jurors' Oath.—The grand jurors' oath of secrecy does not prohibit the disclosure in court of proceedings before the grand jury whenever the ends of justice require it. State v. Colson, 262 N.C. 506, 138 S.E.2d 121 (1964).

Chapter 12.
Statutory Construction.

§ 12-1: Repealed by Session Laws 1957, c. 783, s. 3.

§ 12-3. Rules for construction of statutes.

III. SIMILAR AND RELATED ACTS

A. In General.
Permissible to Look at Other Statutes.—
In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See In re Hickerson, 235 N. C. 716, 71 S. E. (2d) 129 (1952)

VI. DEFINITIONS.
The Words “Twelve Months,” etc.—

Nonconflicting Portions of Original Act Remain in Force.—Where a statute is amended, all portions of the original act which are not in conflict with the provisions of the amendment remain in force with the same meaning and effect that they had before the amendment. Rice v. Rigsby, 259 N. C. 506, 131 S. E. (2d) 469 (1963).

Chapter 13.
Citizenship Restored.

§ 13-1. Petition filed.

Chapter 14.
Criminal Law.
Sec. 14-12.8. Wearing of masks, hoods, etc., on public property.
14-12.9. Entry, etc., upon premises of another while wearing mask, hood or other disguise.
14-12.10. Holding meetings or demonstrations while wearing masks, hoods, etc.
14-12.11. Exemptions from provisions of article.
14-12.12. Placing burning or flaming cross on property of another or on public street or highway.
14-12.13. Placing exhibit with intention of intimidating, etc., another.
14-12.14. Placing exhibit while wearing mask, hood, or other disguise.
14-12.15. Punishment for violation of article.

SUBCHAPTER III. OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON.

Article 8.
Assaults.
14-30.1. Malicious throwing of corrosive acid or alkali.

Article 11.
Abortion and Kindred Offenses.
14-45.1. When abortion not unlawful.

Article 13.
Injuring Others by Use of High Explosives.
14-49.1. Wilful damage of occupied property.

SUBCHAPTER IV. OFFENSES AGAINST THE HABITATION AND OTHER BUILDINGS.

Article 14.
Burglary and Other Housebreakings.
14-56.1. Breaking into or forcibly opening coin-operated machines.
14-56.2. Damaging or destroying coin-operated machines.

Article 15.
Arson and Other Burnings.
14-60. Burning of schoolhouses or buildings of educational institutions.
14-67. Attempting to burn dwelling houses and certain other buildings.
14-69.1. Making a false report concerning destructive device.

Sec. 14-69.2. Perpetrating hoax by use of false bomb or other device.

SUBCHAPTER V. OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY.

Article 16.
Larceny.
14-72. Larceny of property, or the receiving of stolen goods, not exceeding two hundred dollars in value.
14-75.1. Larceny of secret technical processes.
14-84. Larceny of dogs misdemeanor.

Article 17.
Robbery.
14-89.1. Safecracking and safe robbery.

Article 19.
False Pretenses and Cheats.
14-111.1. Obtaining ambulance services without intending to pay therefor—Buncombe, Haywood and Madison counties.
14-111.2. Obtaining ambulance services without intending to pay therefor— Alamance and other named counties.
14-111.3. Making false ambulance request in Buncombe, Haywood and Madison counties.
14-112.1. [Repealed.]

Article 19A.

Obtaining Property or Services by False or Fraudulent Use of Credit Device or Other Means.
14-113.1. Use of false or counterfeit credit device; unauthorized use of another’s credit device; use after notice of revocation.
14-113.2. Notice defined; prima facie evidence of receipt of notice.
14-113.3. Use of credit device as prima facie evidence of knowledge.
14-113.4. Avoiding or attempting to avoid payment for telecommunication services.
14-113.5. Making, possessing or transferring device for theft of telecommunication service; concealment of existence, origin or destination of any telecommunication.
14-113.6. Violation made misdemeanor.
Article 19B.

Credit Card Crime Act.

14-113.9. Credit card theft.
14-113.11. Forgery of credit card.
14-113.13. Credit card fraud.
14-113.15. Criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained.
14-113.16. Presumption of criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained.
14-113.17. Punishment and penalties.

Article 20.

Frauds.

14-118.1. Simulation of court process in connection with collection of claim, demand or account.
14-118.2. Assisting, etc., in obtaining academic credit by fraudulent means.
14-118.3. Acquisition and use of information obtained from patients in hospitals for fraudulent purposes.

Article 21.

Forgery.

14-120. Uttering forged paper or instrument containing a forged endorsement.

SUBCHAPTER VI. CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

Article 22.

Trespasses to Land and Fixtures.

14-127. Wilful and wanton injury to real property.
14-128. Injury to trees, crops, lands, etc., of another.
14-128.1. Unauthorized cutting, digging, removal or transportation of certain ornamental plants and trees.
14-132.1. Demonstrations or assemblies of persons kneeling or lying down in public buildings.
14-134.1. Depositing trash, garbage, etc., on lands of another or in river or stream.

Sec.

14-150.1. Desecration of public and private cemeteries.

Article 24.


14-168.1. Conversion by bailee, lessee, tenant or attorney in fact.
14-168.2. Definitions.
14-168.3. Prima facie evidence of intent to convert property.

SUBCHAPTER VII. OFFENSES AGAINST PUBLIC MORALITY AND DECENCY.

Article 26.

Offenses against Public Morality and Decency.

14-189. Obscene literature; crime comic publications.
14-189.1. Obscene literature and exhibitions.
14-189.2. Transmittal of obscenity into State.
14-196. Using profane, indecent or threatening language to any person over telephone; annoying or harassing by repeated telephoning or making false statements over telephone.
14-196.1, 14-196.2. [Repealed.]
14-202. Secretly peeping into room occupied by female person.

SUBCHAPTER VIII. OFFENSES AGAINST PUBLIC JUSTICE.

Article 28.

Perjury.

14-214. False statement to procure benefit of insurance policy or certificate.

Article 30A.

Secret Listening.

14-227.1. Secret listening to conference between prisoner and his attorney.
14-227.2. Secret listening to deliberations of grand or petit jury.
14-227.3. Violation made misdemeanor.

Article 33.

Prison Breach and Prisoners.

14-256. Prison breach and escape from county or municipal confinement facilities or officers.
SUBCHAPTER IX. OFFENSES AGAINST THE PUBLIC PEACE.

Article 35.
Offenses against the Public Peace.
Sec. 14-269.1. Confiscation and disposition of deadly weapons.

SUBCHAPTER X. OFFENSES AGAINST THE PUBLIC SAFETY.

Article 36.
Offenses against the Public Safety.
14-278. Wilful injury to property of railroads.
14-279. Unlawful injury to property of railroads.
14-284.1. Regulation of sale of explosives; reports; storage.
14-286.1. Making false ambulance request.

SUBCHAPTER XI. GENERAL POLICE REGULATIONS.

Article 37.
Lotteries and Gaming.
14-293. Allowing gambling in houses of public entertainment; penalty.

Article 39.
Protection of Minors.
14-317. Permitting minors to enter barrooms or billiard rooms.
14-318.1. Discarding or abandoning iceboxes, etc.; precautions required.
14-318.2. Immunity of physicians and others who report abuse or neglect of children.
14-318.3. County directors of public welfare to investigate such reports.

Article 40.
Protection of the Family.
14-322.1. Abandonment of child or children for six months.
14-325.1. When offense of failure to support child deemed committed in State.
14-326.1. Parents; failure to support.

Article 41.
Intoxicating Liquors.
14-329. Manufacturing, trafficking in, transporting, or possessing poisonous liquors.

Article 42.
Public Drunkenness.
Sec. 14-335. Public drunkenness.

Article 44.
Regulation of Sales.
14-346.2. Sale of certain articles on Sunday prohibited; counties excepted.

Article 51.
Protection of Athletic Contests.
14-373. Bribery of players, managers, coaches, referees, umpires or officials.
14-374. Acceptance of bribes by players, managers, coaches, referees, umpires or officials.
14-377. Intentional losing of athletic contest or limiting margin of victory or defeat.
14-379. Bonus or extra compensation not forbidden.

Article 51A.
Protection of Horse Shows.
14-380.1 Bribery of horse show judges or officials.
14-380.2. Bribery attempts to be reported.
14-380.3. Bribe defined.
14-380.4. Printing article in horse show schedules.

Article 52.
Miscellaneous Police Regulations.
14-390. Furnishing intoxicants, barbiturates or stimulant drugs to inmates of charitable or penal institutions.
14-390.1. Furnishing poison, narcotics, deadly weapons, cartridges or ammunition to inmates of charitable or penal institutions.
14-399. Placing of trash, refuse, etc., on the right of way of any public road.
14-401.7. Persons, firms, banks and corporations dealing in securities on commission taxed as a private banker.
14-401.8. Refusing to relinquish party telephone line in emergency; false statement of emergency.
14-401.9. Parking vehicle in private parking space without permission.
14-401.10. Soliciting advertisements for official publications of law enforcement officers' associations.
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§ 14-1. Felonies and Misdemeanors.

Article 1.

Felonies and Misdemeanors defined.—A felony is a crime which:

1. Was a felony at common law;
2. Is or may be punishable by death;
3. Is or may be punishable by imprisonment in the State's prison; or
4. Is denominated as a felony by statute.

Any other crime is a misdemeanor. (1891, c. 205, s. 1; Rev., s. 3291; C. S., s. 4171; 1967, c. 1251, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to the 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, the first sentence of this section read: "A felony is a crime which is or may be punishable by either death or imprisonment in the State's prison."

For a brief comparison of criminal law sanctions in two civil rights cases, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 667 (1965).

For case law survey as to criminal law and procedure, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 970 (1966).

Suicide.—At common law suicide was a felony, and attempted suicide was a misdemeanor, punishable by fine and imprisonment. State v. Willis, 255 N. C. 473, 121 S. E. (2d) 854 (1961).

Since, under Const., Art. XI, § 1, suicide may not be punished in North Carolina, it has perhaps been reduced to the grade of misdemeanor by reason of this section. State v. Willis, 255 N. C. 473, 121 S. E. (2d) 854 (1961).


§ 14-2. Punishment of felonies. — Every person who shall be convicted of any felony for which no specific punishment is prescribed by statute shall be punishable by fine, by imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years, or by both, in the discretion of the court. (R. C., c. 34, s. 27; Code, s. 1096; Rev., s. 3292; C. S., s. 4172; 1967, c. 1251, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote that portion of this section following the words "prescribed by statute shall be."

The cases cited in the note below were decided prior to the 1967 amendment.

Section Places Ceiling on Court's Power to Punish. — The maximum provided in this section and § 14-3 places a ceiling on the court's power to punish by imprisonment when a ceiling is not otherwise fixed by law. Jones v. Ross, 257 F. Supp. 798 (E.D.N.C. 1966).

Specific Punishment.—A provision in a statute to the effect that punishment shall be in the discretion...
§ 14-3 1967 Cumulative Supplement § 14-3

of the court and the defendant may be fined or imprisoned, or both, is not equivalent to a "specific punishment" within the meaning of this section, and such punishment is controlled by this section. State v. Blackmon, 260 N.C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963), modifying State v. Richardson, 221 N.C. 299, 19 S.E.2d 863 (1942) and overruling State v. Swindell, 189 N.C. 151, 126 S.E. 417 (1925), and State v. Cain, 209 N.C. 275, 183 S.E. 300 (1936).

Punishment "in the discretion of the court" is not specific punishment and hence is governed by the limits (ten years for felonies and two years for misdemeanors) prescribed in this section and § 14-3. State v. Adams, 266 N.C. 406, 146 S.E.2d 505 (1966).

A statutory penalty of fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court is not a specific punishment, and therefore in the case of felonies the punishment is limited by this section to not more than ten years imprisonment. State v. Grice, 265 N.C. 587, 144 S.E.2d 659 (1965).

Section 14-55 Does Not Prescribe a Specific Punishment. — Section 14-55 prescribing punishment "by fine or imprisonment in the State's prison, or both, in the discretion of the court," does not prescribe "specific punishment" within the meaning of that term as used in this section. State v. Thompson, 268 N.C. 417, 150 S.E.2d 781 (1966).

Nor Does § 14-177. — The punishment of a fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court prescribed by § 14-177, is not a “specific punishment” within the meaning of this section, and the maximum lawful imprisonment is ten years. State v. Thompson, 268 N.C. 447, 150 S.E.2d 781 (1966).

Punishment for carnal knowledge of a female child over twelve and under sixteen years of age by a male person over eighteen years of age cannot exceed ten years imprisonment. State v. Grice, 265 N.C. 587, 144 S.E.2d 659 (1965).

Conspiracy to Murder. — Upon defendant’s plea of guilty to a conspiracy to murder, he is subject to a judgment of imprisonment for a term not to exceed ten years under this section. State v. Alston, 264 N.C. 398, 141 S.E.2d 793 (1965).

Possession of Implements of House-breaking. — The punishment for possession of the implements of housebreaking is limited to a maximum of ten years imprisonment, since punishment by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court, as prescribed by § 14-3, is not a specific punishment and therefore comes within the purview of this section. State v. Blackmon, 260 N.C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963).

Robbery. — Common-law robbery is punishable by imprisonment in the State's prison for a term not to exceed ten years under this section. State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S.E.2d 355 (1961).

The distinction between robbery and highway robbery, as to punishment and otherwise, is no longer recognized in this jurisdiction; the punishment is imprisonment in the State's prison for a term not to exceed ten years. State v. Lawrence, 252 N.C. 153, 126 S.E.2d 595 (1964).

Defendant’s plea of nolo contendere to three felony counts charging felonious breaking and entering, larceny, and larceny of an automobile permitted the judge to impose sentences totaling thirty years. State v. Carter, 269 N.C. 697, 153 S.E.2d 388 (1967).

Excessive Sentence Cannot Be Sustained. — See In re Sellers, 224 N.C. 648, 68 S. E. (2d) 308 (1951).


§ 14-3. Punishment of misdemeanors, infamous offenses, offenses committed in secrecy and malice or with deceit and intent to defraud. —

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b), every person who shall be convicted of any misdemeanor for which no specific punishment is prescribed by statute shall be punishable by fine, by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or by both, in the discretion of the court.

(b) If a misdemeanor offense as to which no specific punishment is prescribed be infamous, done in secrecy and malice, or with deceit and intent to defraud, the offender shall, except where the offense is a conspiracy to commit a misdemeanor, be guilty of a felony and punishable as prescribed in § 14-2. (R. C., c. 34, s. 120; Code, s. 1097; Rev., s. 3293; C. S., s. 4173; 1927, c. 1; 1967, c. 1251, s. 3.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, rewrote this section.
§ 14-4. Violation of town ordinance misdemeanor; punishment.

In General.—


Violation of Invalid Ordinance No Offense.—Acting contrary to the provisions of a municipal ordinance is made a misdemeanor by this section. Notwithstanding the all-inclusive language of the statute, guilt must rest on the violation of a valid ordinance. If the ordinance is not valid, there can be no guilt. State v. McGraw, 249 N. C. 205, 105 S. E. (2d) 659 (1958).

§ 14-5. Accessories before the fact; trial and punishment.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-7.

Editor’s Note.—For note on presence as a factor in aiding and abetting, see 35 N. C. Law Rev. 285.
Elements of Crime.—There are several things that must concur in order to justify the conviction of one as an accessory before the fact: (1) That he advised and agreed, or urged the parties or in some way aided them to commit the offense. (2) That he was not present when the offense was committed. (3) That the principal committed the crime. State v. Bass, 255 N. C. 42, 120 S. E. (2d) 580 (1961).

To render one guilty as an accessory before the fact to a felony, he must counsel, incite, induce, procure or encourage the commission of the crime, so as to participate therein, in some way, by word or act. It is not necessary that he shall be the originator of the design to commit the crime; it is sufficient if, with knowledge that another intends to commit a crime, he encourages and incites him to carry out his design. State v. Bass, 255 N. C. 42, 120 S. E. (2d) 580 (1961).

Who Are Principals.—Without regard to any previous confederation or design, when two or more persons aid and abet each other in the commission of a crime, all being present, all are principals and equally guilty. State v. Peeden, 253 N. C. 562, 117 S. E. (2d) 398 (1960).

A defendant may be tried and convicted as a principal where he either counsels, procures or commands another to commit a felony as an accessory before the fact, or aids and abets in the commission of the crime. State v. Bell, 270 N.C. 25, 153 S.E.2d 741 (1967).

A principal in a crime must be actually or constructively present, aiding and abetting the commission of the offense. It is not necessary that he do some act at the time in order to constitute him a principal, but he must encourage its commission by acts or gestures, either before or at the time of the commission of the offense, with full knowledge of the intent of the persons who commit the offense. He must do some act at the time of the commission of the crime that is in furtherance of the offense. State v. Spears, 268 N.C. 303, 150 S.E.2d 499 (1966).

What Constitutes One a Party to an Offense.—A person is a party to an offense if he either actually commits the offense or does some act which forms a part thereof, or if he assists in the actual commission of the offense or of any act which forms part thereof, or directly or indirectly counsels or procures any person to commit the offense or to do any act forming a part thereof. To constitute one a party to an offense it has been held to be essential that he be concerned in its commission in some affirmative manner, as by actual commission of the crime or by aiding and abetting in its commission and it has been regarded as a general proposition that no one can be properly convicted of a crime to the commission of which he has never expressly or impliedly given his assent. State v. Spears, 268 N.C. 303, 150 S.E.2d 499 (1966).

"Aider and Abettor".—An aider and abettor is one who advises, counsels, procures, or encourages another to commit a crime, whether personally present or not at the time and place of the commission of the offense. State v. Spears, 268 N.C. 303, 150 S.E.2d 499 (1966).

Effect of Aiding Continues Until Common Purpose Is Renounced.—Where the perpetration of a felony has been entered on, one who had aided or encouraged its commission cannot escape criminal responsibility by quietly withdrawing from the scene. The influence and effect of his aiding or encouraging continues until he renounces the common purpose and makes it plain to the others that he has done so and that he does not intend to participate further. State v. Spears, 268 N.C. 303, 150 S.E.2d 499 (1966).

Ceasing to Act in Complicity Essential to Defense.—Where nonliability as aider and abettor is based on the ground that accused had no prior knowledge of any plan to commit a crime and that his assistance after acquiring such knowledge was under duress, it is essential that he cease to act in complicity with others as soon as he acquires knowledge of the criminal character of their actions. State v. Spears, 268 N.C. 303, 150 S.E.2d 499 (1966).

§ 14-7. Accessories after the fact; trial and punishment.

Elements of Crime.—On a charge of accessory after the fact the State must show: (1) robbery, (2) the accused knew of it and (3) possessing that knowledge, he assisted the robber in escaping detection, arrest and punishment. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 653 (1963).

One cannot become, etc.—The crime of accessory after the fact has its beginning after the principal offense has been committed. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

"Accessory after Fact" Is a Substantive
Crime.—A comparison of § 14-5, defining accessory before the fact, and this section, accessory after the fact, clearly indicates the necessity of holding the latter is a substantive crime. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

Armed robbery under § 14-87 differs in fact and in law from accessory after the fact under this section. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).


Hence, Participant in Felony Cannot Be Accessory.—A participant in a felony may no more be an accessory after the fact than one who commits larceny may be guilty of receiving the goods which he himself had stolen. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

Nor Can Acquittal as Accessory Bar Prosecution for Principal Crime.—An acquittal of a charge of accessory after the fact of armed robbery will not support a plea of former jeopardy in a subsequent prosecution of the same defendant for armed robbery. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

Article 2A.
Habitual Felons.

§ 14-7.1. Persons defined as habitual felons.—Any person who has been convicted of or pled guilty to three felony offenses in any federal court or State court in the United States or combination thereof is declared to be an habitual felon. For the purpose of this article, a felony offense is defined as an offense which is a felony under the laws of the State or other sovereign wherein a plea of guilty was entered or a conviction was returned regardless of the sentence actually imposed. Provided, however, that federal offenses relating to the manufacture, possession, sale and kindred offenses involving intoxicating liquors shall not be considered felonies for the purposes of this article. For the purposes of this article, felonies committed before a person attains the age of 21 years shall not constitute more than one felony. The commission of a second felony shall not fall within the purview of this article unless it is committed after the conviction of or plea of guilty to the first felony. The commission of a third felony shall not fall within the purview of this article unless it is committed after the conviction of or plea of guilty to the second felony. Pleas of guilty to or convictions of felony offenses prior to July 6, 1967, shall not be felony offenses within the meaning of this article. Any felony offense to which a pardon has been extended shall not for the purpose of this article constitute a felony. The burden of proving such pardon shall rest with the defendant and the State shall not be required to disprove a pardon. (1967, c. 1241, s. 1.)

§ 14-7.2. Punishment.—When any person is charged by indictment with the commission of a felony under the laws of the State of North Carolina and is also charged with being an habitual felon as defined in § 14-7.1, he must, upon conviction, be sentenced and punished as an habitual felon, as in this chapter provided, except in those cases where the death penalty is imposed. (1967, c. 1241, s. 2.)

§ 14-7.3. Charge of habitual felon.—An indictment which charges a person who is an habitual felon within the meaning of § 14-7.1 with the commission of any felony under the laws of the State of North Carolina must, in order to sustain a conviction of habitual felon, also charge that said person is an habitual felon. The indictment charging the defendant as an habitual felon shall be separate from the indictment charging him with the principal felony. An indictment which charges a person with being an habitual felon must set forth the date that prior felony offenses were committed, the name of the state or other sovereign against whom said felony offenses were committed, the dates that pleas of guilty were entered to or convictions returned in said felony offenses, and the identity of the court wherein said pleas or convictions took place. No defendant charged with being an habitual felon in a bill of indictment shall be required to go to trial on
§ 14-7.4. Evidence of prior convictions of felony offenses.—In all cases where a person is charged under the provisions of this article with being an habitual felon, the record or records of prior convictions of felony offenses shall be admissible in evidence, but only for the purpose of proving that said person has been convicted of former felony offenses. A judgment of a conviction or plea of guilty to a felony offense certified to a superior court of this State from the custodian of records of any state or federal court under the same name as that by which the defendant is charged with habitual felon shall be prima facie evidence that the identity of such person is the same as the defendant so charged and shall be prima facie evidence of the facts so certified. (1967, c. 1241, s. 4.)

§ 14-7.5. Verdict and judgment.—When an indictment charges an habitual felon with a felony as above provided and an indictment also charges that said person is an habitual felon as provided herein, the defendant shall be tried for the principal felony as provided by law. The indictment that the person is an habitual felon shall not be revealed to the jury unless the jury shall find that the defendant is guilty of the principal felony or other felony with which he is charged. If the jury finds the defendant guilty of a felony, the bill of indictment charging the defendant as an habitual felon may be presented to the same jury. Except that the same jury may be used, the proceedings shall be as if the issue of habitual felon were a principal charge. If the jury finds that the defendant is an habitual felon, the trial judge shall enter judgment according to the provisions of this article. If the jury finds that the defendant is not an habitual felon, the trial judge shall pronounce judgment on the principal felony or felonies as provided by law. (1967, c. 1241, s. 5.)

§ 14-7.6. Sentencing of habitual felons.—When an habitual felon as defined in this chapter shall commit any felony under the laws of the State of North Carolina, he must, upon conviction or plea of guilty under indictment in form as herein provided (except where the death penalty is imposed) be sentenced as an habitual felon; and his punishment must be fixed at a term of not less than 20 years in the State prison nor more than life imprisonment; and such offender shall not be eligible for parole until he has actually served seventy-five percent (75%) of the prison sentence so imposed. Said sentence imposed under the terms of this article shall not be reduced for good behavior, for other cause, or by any means below seventy-five percent (75%) of the prison sentence so imposed, nor shall the same be suspended. For the purposes of determining the eligibility for parole for a person sentenced to life imprisonment under the provisions of this article, the life sentence shall be considered as a sentence of 40 years. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed or considered as seeking or tending to impair the pardoning powers of the Governor of the State of North Carolina. (1967, c. 1241, s. 6.)

SUBCHAPTER II. OFFENSES AGAINST THE STATE.

ARTICLE 3.

Rebellion.

§ 14-9. Conspiring to rebel against the State.

Editor's Note.—For comment on criminal conspiracy in North Carolina, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 422.
§ 14-10. Secret political and military organizations forbidden.

Cross reference.—For subsequent statute relating to prohibited secret societies and activities, see §§ 14-12.2 to 14-12.15.

ARTICLE 4.

Subversive Activities.

§ 14-12.1. Certain subversive activities made unlawful.

Whenever two or more persons assemble for the purpose of advocating or teaching the doctrine that the government of the United States or a political subdivision of the United States should be overthrown by force, violence or any unlawful means, such an assembly is unlawful, and every person voluntarily participating therein by his presence, aid or instigation, shall be guilty of a felony and punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both in the discretion of the court.

(1953, c. 675, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—As only such paragraph was affected by the amendment the rest of the section is not set out.

ARTICLE 4A.

Prohibited Secret Societies and Activities.

§ 14-12.2. Definitions. — The terms used in this article are defined as follows: (1) The term “secret society” shall mean any two or more persons organized, associated together, combined or united for any common purpose whatsoever, who shall use among themselves any certain grips, signs or password, or who shall use for the advancement of any of their purposes or as a part of their ritual any disguise of the person, face or voice or any disguise whatsoever, or who shall take any extrajudicial oath or secret solemn pledge or administer such oath or pledge to those associated with them, or who shall transact business and advance their purposes at secret meeting or meetings which are held and guarded against intrusion by persons not associated with them. (2) The term “secret political society” shall mean any secret society, as hereinbefore defined, which shall at any time have for a purpose the hindering or aiding the success of any candidate for public office, or the hindering or aiding the success of any political party or organization, or violating any lawfully declared policy of the government of the State or any of the laws and constitutional provisions of the State. (3) The term “secret military society” shall mean any secret society, as hereinbefore defined, which shall at any time meet, assemble or engage in a venture when members thereof are illegally armed, or which shall at any time have for a purpose the engaging in any venture by members thereof which shall require illegal armed force or in which illegal armed force is to be used, or which shall at any time muster, drill or practice any military evolutions while illegally armed. (1953, c. 1193, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—For comment on this article, see 31 N. C. Law Rev. 401.

§ 14-12.3. Certain secret societies prohibited.—It shall be unlawful for any person to join, unite himself with, become a member of, apply for membership in, form, organize, solicit members for, combine and agree with any person or persons to form or organize, or to encourage, aid or assist in any way any secret political society or any secret military society or any secret society having for a purpose the violating or circumventing the laws of the State. (1953, c. 1193, s. 2.)
§ 14-12.4. Use of signs, grips, passwords or disguises or taking or administering oath for illegal purposes.—It shall be unlawful for any person to use, agree to use, or to encourage, aid or assist in the using of any signs, grips, passwords, disguise of the face, person or voice, or any disguise whatsoever in the furtherance of any illegal secret political purpose, any illegal secret military purpose, or any purpose of violating or circumventing the laws of the State; and it shall be unlawful for any person to take or administer, or agree to take or administer, any extrajudicial oath or secret solemn pledge to further any illegal secret political purpose, any illegal secret military purpose, or any purpose of violating or circumventing the laws of the State. (1953, c. 1193, s. 3.)

§ 14-12.5. Permitting, etc., meetings or demonstrations of prohibited secret societies.—It shall be unlawful for any person to permit or agree to permit any members of a secret political society or a secret military society or a secret society having for a purpose the violating or circumventing the laws of the State to meet or to hold any demonstration in or upon any property owned or controlled by him. (1953, c. 1193, s. 4.)

§ 14-12.6. Meeting places and meetings of secret societies regulated.—Every secret society which has been or is now being formed and organized within the State, and which has members within the State shall forthwith provide or cause to be provided for each unit, lodge, council, group of members, grand lodge or general supervising unit a regular meeting place in some building or structure, and shall forthwith place and thereafter regularly keep a plainly visible sign or placard on the immediate exterior of such building or structure or on the immediate exterior of the meeting room or hall within such building or structure, if the entire building or structure is not controlled by such secret society, bearing upon said sign or placard the name of the secret society, the name of the particular unit, lodge, council, group of members, grand lodge or general supervising unit thereof and the name of the secretary, officer, organizer or member thereof who knows the purposes of the secret society and who knows or has a list of the names and addresses of the members thereof, and as such secretary, officer, organizer or member dies, removes, resigns or is replaced, his or her successor's name shall be placed upon such sign or placard; any person or persons who shall hereafter undertake to form and organize any secret society or solicit membership for a secret society within the State shall fully comply with the foregoing provisions of this section before forming and organizing such secret society and before soliciting memberships therein; all units, lodges, councils, groups of members, grand lodge and general supervising units of all secret societies within the state shall hold all of their secret meetings at the regular meeting place of their respective units, lodges, councils, group of members, grand lodge or general supervising units or at the regular meeting place of some other unit, lodge, council, group of members, grand lodge or general supervising unit of the same secret society, and at no other place unless notice is given of the time and place of the meeting and the name of the secret society holding the meeting in some newspaper having circulation in the locality where the meeting is to be held at least two days before the meeting. (1953, c. 1193, s. 5.)

§ 14-12.7. Wearing of masks, hoods, etc., on public ways.—No person or persons over sixteen years of age shall, while wearing any mask, hood or device whereby the person, face or voice is disguised so as to conceal the identity of the wearer, enter, be or appear upon any lane, walkway, alley, street, road, highway or other public way in this State. (1953, c. 1193, s. 6.)

§ 14-12.8. Wearing of masks, hoods, etc., on public property.—No person or persons shall in this State, while wearing any mask, hood or device whereby the person, face or voice is disguised so as to conceal the identity of
§ 14-12.9. Entry, etc., upon premises of another while wearing mask, hood or other disguise.—No person or persons over sixteen years of age shall, while wearing a mask, hood or device whereby the person, face or voice is disguised so as to conceal the identity of the wearer, demand entrance or admission, enter or come upon or into, or be upon or in the premises, enclosure or house of any other person in any municipality or county of this State. (1953, c. 1193, s. 8.)

§ 14-12.10. Holding meetings or demonstrations while wearing masks, hoods, etc.—No person or persons over sixteen years of age shall while wearing a mask, hood or device whereby the person, face or voice is disguised so as to conceal the identity of the wearer, hold any manner of meeting, or make any demonstration upon the private property of another unless such person or persons shall first obtain from the owner or occupier of the property his or her written permission to do so, which said written permission shall be recorded in the office of the register of deeds of the county in which said property is located before the beginning of such meeting or demonstration. (1953, c. 1193, s. 9.)

§ 14-12.11. Exemptions from provisions of article.—The following are exempted from the provisions of §§ 14-12.7, 14-12.8, 14-12.9, 14-12.10 and 14-12.14: (a) any person or persons wearing traditional holiday costumes in season; (b) any person or persons engaged in trades and employment where a mask is worn for the purpose of ensuring the physical safety of the wearer, or because of the nature of the occupation, trade or profession; (c) any person or persons using masks in theatrical productions including use in Mardi Gras celebrations and masquerade balls; (d) persons wearing gas masks prescribed in civil defense drills and exercises or emergencies; and (e) any person or persons, as members or members elect of a society, order or organization, engaged in any parade, ritual, initiation, ceremony, celebration or requirement of such society, order or organization, and wearing or using any manner of costume, paraphernalia, disguise, facial make-up, hood, implement or device, whether the identity of such person or persons is concealed or not, on any public or private street, road, way or property, or in any public or private building, provided permission shall have been first obtained therefor by a representative of such society, order or organization from the governing body of the municipality in which the same takes place, or, if not in a municipality, from the board of county commissioners of the county in which the same takes place.

Provided, that the provisions of this article shall not apply to any preliminary meetings held in good faith for the purpose of organizing, promoting or forming a labor union or a local organization or subdivision of any labor union nor shall the provisions of this article apply to any meetings held by a labor union or organization already organized, operating and functioning and holding meetings for the purpose of transacting and carrying out functions, pursuits and affairs expressly pertaining to such labor union. (1953, c. 1193, s. 10.)

§ 14-12.12. Placing burning or flaming cross on property of another or on public street or highway.—(a) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to place or cause to be placed on the property of another in this State a burning or flaming cross or any manner of exhibit in which a burning or flaming cross, real or simulated, is a whole or a part, without first obtaining written permission of the owner or occupier of the premises so to do.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to place or cause to be placed on the property of another in this State or on a public street or highway,
§ 14-12.13 1967 Cumulative Supplement § 14-17

a burning or flaming cross or any manner of exhibit in which a burning or flaming cross real or simulated, is a whole or a part, with the intention of intimidating any person or persons or of preventing them from doing any act which is lawful, or causing them to do any act which is unlawful. (1953, c. 1193, s. 11; 1967, c. 522, ss. 1, 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment designated the former provisions of this section as subsection (a) and added subsection (b).

§ 14-12.13. Placing exhibit with intention of intimidating, etc., another.—It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to place or cause to be placed anywhere in this State any exhibit of any kind whatsoever, while masked or unmasked, with the intention of intimidating any person or persons, or of preventing them from doing any act which is lawful, or of causing them to do any act which is unlawful. (1953, c. 1193, s. 12.)

§ 14-12.14. Placing exhibit while wearing mask, hood, or other disguise.—It shall be unlawful for any person or persons, while wearing a mask, hood or device whereby the person, face or voice is disguised so as to conceal the identity of the wearer, to place or cause to be placed at or in any place in the State any exhibit of any kind whatsoever, with the intention of intimidating any person or persons, or of preventing them from doing any act which is lawful, or of causing them to do any act which is unlawful. (1953, c. 1193, s. 13; 1967, c. 522, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment added at the end of the section “with the intention of intimidating any person or persons, or of preventing them from doing any act which is lawful, or of causing them to do any act which is unlawful.”

§ 14-12.15. Punishment for violation of article.—All persons violating any of the provisions of this article, except for §§ 14-12.12 (b), 14-12.13, and 14-12.14, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. All persons violating the provisions of §§ 14-12.12 (b), 14-12.13, and 14-12.14 shall be guilty of a felony and shall be punished by confinement in the State prison for not less than one nor more than five years. (1953, c. 1193, s. 14; 1967, c. 602.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote this section, which formerly made punishable by fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court.

SUBCHAPTER III. OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON.

ARTICLE 6.

Homicide.

§ 14-17. Murder in the first and second degree defined; punishment.

I. IN GENERAL.

Editor's Note.—For comment on homicide by fright, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 844 (1966).

Definitions.—Murder in the first degree is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice and with premeditation and deliberation, murder in the second degree is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice, but without premeditation and deliberation, and manslaughter is the unlawful killing of a human being without malice and without premeditation and delibera-


Self-Defense.—The right to kill in self-defense, or in defense of one's family or habitation, rests upon necessity, real or apparent. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

One may kill in defense of himself, or his family, when necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

One may kill in defense of himself, or his family, when not actually necessary to
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II. MURDER IN GENERAL

Malice—Definition.—Malice is not only hatred, ill-will, or spite, as it is ordinarily understood—to be sure that is malice—but it also means that condition of mind which prompts a person to take the life of another intentionally without just cause, excuse or justification. State v. Foust, 258 N.C. 453, 128 S.E.2d 889 (1963).

Same—Express.—The manner of the killing by defendant, his acts and conduct attending its commission, and his declaration immediately connected therewith, were evidence of express malice. State v. Faust, 251 N.C. 101, 118 S.E.2d 769 (1963), citing State v. Robertson, 166 N.C. 356, 81 S.E. 689 (1914); State v. Cox, 153 N.C. 638, 69 S.E. 419 (1912).

Same—Implied from Use of Deadly Weapon.—Malice is implied in law from the killing with a deadly weapon. State v. Foust, 258 N.C. 453, 128 S.E.2d 889 (1963).

The presumptions that a homicide was unlawful and done with malice do not arise against the slayer in a prosecution for homicide, unless he admits, or the State proves, that he intentionally killed the deceased with a deadly weapon. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Same—Evidence.—Malice may be shown by evidence of hatred, ill-will, or dislike. State v. Foust, 258 N.C. 453, 128 S.E.2d 889 (1963).

Intent—Defenses.—When it is proved that one has killed intentionally with a deadly weapon, the burden of showing justification, excuse, or mitigation is on him. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

The claim that the killing was accidental goes to the very gist of the charge, and denies all criminal intent, and throws on the prosecution the burden of proving such intent beyond a reasonable doubt. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Same—Presumption.—The expression "intentional killing" is not used in the sense that a specific intent to kill must be admitted or established. The sense of the expression is that the presumptions arise when the defendant intentionally assaults another with a deadly weapon and thereby proximately causes the death of the person assaulted. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Same—Burden of Proof.—It is the duty of the State to allege and prove that the killing, though done with a deadly weapon, was intentional or willful. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Same—Jury Question.—The jury alone may determine whether an intentional killing has been established where no judicial admission of the fact is made by the defendant. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

III. MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE


Deliberation and Premeditation.—Among the circumstances to be considered in determining whether a killing was with premeditation and deliberation are: (1) Want of provocation on the part of deceased; (2) the conduct of defendant before and after
the killing; (3) threats and declarations of defendant before and during the course of the occurrence giving rise to the death of deceased; (4) the dealing of lethal blows after deceased has been felled and rendered helpless. State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961).

Same—Premeditation.—

Same—Deliberation.—

Same—Instruction.—The trial judge gave the following instruction: "Premeditation means to think beforehand, and when we say that the killing must be accompanied by deliberation and premeditation, it is meant that there must be a fixed purpose to kill which preceded the act of killing for some length of time, however short. Although the manner and length of time in which the purpose is formed, is not material. If, however, the purpose to kill is formed simultaneously with the killing, then there is no premeditation and deliberation, and in that event the homicide would not be murder in the first degree." This a correct statement of the law. State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961).

Same—What Jury May Consider.—In determining the question of premeditation and deliberation it is proper for the jury to take into consideration the conduct of the defendant, before and after, as well as at the time of, the homicide, and all attending circumstances. State v. Hawkins, 214 N. C. 326, 199 S. E. 284 (1938); State v. Brown, 249 N. C. 271, 106 S. E. (2d) 232 (1958); State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961).

Same—Presumption and Burden of Proof.—

Killing in Perpetration of Robbery.—

In a prosecution for murder in the first degree, the right of the jury to recommend life imprisonment rests in its unbridled discretion and should be determined by the jury on the basis that imprisonment for life means imprisonment for life in the State's prison, without considerations of respective of premeditation or deliberation or malice aforethought. State v. Bailey, 254 N. C. 380, 119 S. E. (2d) 165 (1961).

A homicide committed in the perpetration of a robbery is declared by this section to be murder in the first degree. When a homicide is thus committed, the State is not put to the proof of premeditation and deliberation. In such event the law presumes premeditation and deliberation. State v. Bunton, 247 N. C. 510, 101 S. E. (2d) 454 (1958).

Killing in Perpetration of Rape.—

Death Need Not Be Intended.—

Accident will be no defense to a homicide committed in the perpetration of or in the attempt to perpetrate a felony. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Right of Jury to Recommend Life Imprisonment.—The sole purpose of the provision is to give to the jury in all cases where a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree shall have been reached, the right to recommend that the punishment for the crime shall be imprisonment for life in the State's prison. No conditions are attached to, and no qualifications or limitations are imposed upon, the right of the jury to so recommend. It is an unbridled discretionary right. And it is incumbent upon the court to so instruct the jury. In this, the defendant has a substantive right. Therefore, any instruction, charge or suggestion as to the causes for which the jury could or ought to recommend is error sufficient to set aside a verdict where no recommendation is made. State v. McMillan, 233 N.C. 630, 65 S.E. (2d) 212 (1951); State v. Simmons, 234 N.C. 250, 66 S.E. (2d) 897 (1951). See State v. Simmons, 236 N.C. 340, 72 S.E. (2d) 742 (1952); State v. Dockery, 238 N.C. 225, 77 S.E. (2d) 664 (1953); State v. Manning, 235 N.C. 1, 110 S.E. (2d) 474 (1959).

In a prosecution for murder in the first degree, the right of the jury to recommend life imprisonment rests in its unbridled discretion and should be determined by the jury on the basis that imprisonment for life means imprisonment for life in the State's prison, without considerations of
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parole or eligibility therefor, the power of parole being vested exclusively in the executive branch of the State government. State v. Conner, 241 N. C. 468, 85 S. E. (2d) 584 (1955).

The 1949 amendment to this section does not create a separate crime of “murder in the first degree with recommendation of mercy,” but merely gives the jury, in the event it convicts defendant of murder in the first degree, the unbridled discretion to recommend that the punishment should be life imprisonment rather than death, and therefore a charge, pursuant to statement of the solicitor to the effect that the charge of murder in the first degree was no longer in the case, but that the charge of murder in the first degree with recommendation of mercy was in the case, is prejudicial error. State v. Denny, 249 N. C. 113, 105 S. E. (2d) 446 (1958).

In a prosecution for murder in the first degree the solicitor may not, in the selection of the jury, state to prospective jurors that the sole purpose of the trial is to obtain the death penalty, nor state to such jurors that the State is seeking a verdict of mercy, but merely gives the jury, in the event it convicts defendant of murder in the first degree, the unbridled discretion to recommend that the punishment should be life imprisonment rather than death, and therefore a charge, pursuant to statement of the solicitor to the effect that the charge of murder in the first degree was no longer in the case, but that the charge of murder in the first degree with recommendation of mercy was in the case, is prejudicial error. State v. Denny, 249 N. C. 113, 105 S. E. (2d) 446 (1958).

The jury were erroneously instructed as follows: “And in the event, if you should return a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree, it would be your duty to consider whether or not under the statute, you desire and feel that it is your duty to recommend that the punishment of the defendant shall be imprisonment for life in the State’s prison.” The error in this instruction is that it imposes upon the jury a duty not imposed by this section. State v. Simmons, 234 N. C. 290, 66 S. E. (2d) 897 (1951).

Where the court enumerates the possible verdicts without including the right of the jury to return a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree with recommendation of life imprisonment, and later charges the jury that upon certain facts it would be its duty to “return” a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree, rather than that defendant would be guilty of murder in the first degree, must be held for prejudicial error, and such error is not cured by a later charge that if the jury should find the defendant guilty of murder in the first degree the jury could recommend life imprisonment. State v. Simmons, 236 N. C. 340, 72 S. E. (2d) 743 (1952).

An instruction that in case the jury should return a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree, “You may for any reason and within your discretion add to that the recommendation, if you desire to do so, that he be imprisoned for life, in which event that disposition will be made of the case” was not error where the court had previously instructed the jury that if they should render a verdict of murder in the first degree, then “You may, if you so determine, in your own discretion add to that the verdict a recommendation of life imprisonment.” State v. Marsh, 234 N. C. 101, 66 S. E. (2d) 684 (1951).

When the trial court, after giving correct instructions as to the right of the jury to recommend life imprisonment if they should find defendant guilty of murder in the first degree, instructed the jury that the State contended that the jury should not recommend that the punishment should be imprisonment for life, this was prejudicial error. State v. Oakes, 249 N. C. 282, 106 S. E. (2d) 206 (1958).

In a prosecution for murder in the first degree it is prejudicial error for the court, after giving correct instructions on the discretionary right of the jury to recommend life imprisonment, to charge further on the contentions of the State that in view of the manner in which the offense was committed the jury should not recom-
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Instruction as to Right to Consider Eligibility to Parole.—When, in a prosecution for murder in the first degree, the question of eligibility for parole arises spontaneously during the deliberations of the jury, and is brought to the attention of the court by independent inquiry of the jury and request for information, the court should instruct the jury that the question of eligibility for parole is not a proper matter for the jury to consider and should be eliminated entirely from their deliberations, and the action of the court is merely telling the jury that he cannot answer the inquiry must be held for prejudicial error upon appeal from conviction of the capital felony without recommendation of life imprisonment. State v. Conner, 241 N. C. 468, 85 S. E. (2d) 584 (1955).

Argument of Counsel or Comment of Court as to Possible Parole.—It may be conceded as an established rule of law that where a jury is required to determine a defendant's guilt and also to fix the punishment as between death and life imprisonment, to permit factors concerning the defendant's possible parole to be injected into the jurors' deliberations by argument of counsel or comment of the court is considered erroneous as being calculated to prejudice the jury and influence them against a recommendation of life imprisonment. State v. Conner, 241 N. C. 468, 85 S. E. (2d) 584 (1955).

For brief comment on the argument of counsel as to the death penalty, see 32 N. C. Law Rev. 438. For note as to improper court response to spontaneous jury inquiry as to pardon and parole possibilities, see 33 N. C. Law Rev. 665.

Instruction as to Murder in Commission of Kidnapping Not Justified by Evidence.—Where the evidence is sufficient to be submitted to the jury on the theory of defendant's guilt of murdering his victim in an attempt to commit the crime of rape under this section, but is insufficient to show defendant's guilt of the crime of kidnapping, an instruction that defendant would be guilty of murder in the first degree if the jury should find that the murder was perpetrated in the attempt to commit the crime of rape or in the commission of the felony of kidnapping, must be held prejudicial as permitting the jury to rest its verdict on a theory not supported by the evidence. State v. Knight, 248 N. C. 384, 103 S. E. (2d) 452 (1958).

IV. MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE.


Malice as an essential characteristic of the crime of murder in the second degree may be either express or implied. State v. Foust, 258 N. C. 453, 128 S. E. (2d) 889 (1963).

And an intent to inflict a wound which produces a homicide is an essential element of murder in the second degree. State v. Phillips, 264 N. C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

But Not a Specific Intent to Kill.—A specific intent to kill, while a necessary constituent of the elements of premeditation and deliberation in first degree murder, is not an element of second degree murder or manslaughter. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).


To convict a defendant of murder in the second degree, the State must prove that the defendant intentionally inflicted the wound which caused the death of the deceased. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Burden of Proof.—Murder in the second degree is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice, and the burden is on the State to satisfy the jury from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt of the presence of each essential element of the offense. State v. Adams, 241 N. C. 559, 85 S. E. (2d) 918 (1955).

The law (after the State makes out a prima facie case of murder in the second degree) casts upon the defendant the burden of proving to the satisfaction of the jury—not by the greater weight of the evidence nor beyond a reasonable doubt—but simply to the satisfaction of the jury, the legal provocation that will rob the crime of malice and thus reduce it to manslaughter. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965); State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

Presumption.—When the State satisfies the jury from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant intentionally shot the deceased and thereby
proximately caused his death, there arise the presumptions that the killing was (1) unlawful and (2) with malice. State v. Adams, 241 N. C. 559, 85 S. E. (2d) 918 (1955); State v. Revis, 253 N. C. 50, 116 S. E. (2d) 171 (1960).

When an intentional killing of a person with a deadly weapon is admitted judicially in court by a defendant, or is proven by the State's evidence, the law raises two presumptions against the killer: (1) That the killing was unlawful; and (2) that it was done with malice; and an unlawful killing with malice is murder in the second degree. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).


V. PLEADING AND PRACTICE.

Defendant may rely on more than one defense. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

The defendant's plea of not guilty entitled him to present evidence that he acted in self-defense, that the shooting was accidental, or both; election is not required. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

The plea of accidental homicide, if indeed it can be properly called a plea, is certainly not an affirmative defense, and therefore does not impose the burden of proof upon the defendant, because the State cannot ask for a conviction unless it proves that the killing was done with criminal intent. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Pleading and Proof of Legal Provocation.—The legal provocation that will rob the crime of malice and thus reduce it to manslaughter, and self-defense, are affirmative pleas, with the burden of satisfaction cast upon the defendant. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

Effect of Alleging Offense Committed in Perpetration of Rape.—By specifically alleging the offense is committed in the perpetration of rape the State confines itself to that allegation in order to show murder in the first degree. Without a specific allegation, the State may show murder by any of the means embraced in the statute. State v. Davis, 253 N. C. 86, 116 S. E. (2d) 365 (1960).

Evidence of Premeditation, etc.—It is said in State v. Watson, 222 N. C. 672, 24 S. E. (2d) 540 (1943), that "premeditation and deliberation are not usually susceptible of direct proof, and are, therefore, susceptible of proof by circumstances from which the facts sought to be proven may be inferred. That these essential elements of murder in the first degree may be proven by circumstantial evidence has been repeatedly held by this court." State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961).

Evidence of Accidental Discharge of Weapon.—When it is made to appear that death was caused by a gunshot wound, testimony tending to show that the weapon was fired in a scuffle or by some other accidental means is competent to rebut an intentional shooting. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Evidence of threats is admissible and may be offered as tending to show premeditation and deliberation, and previous express malice, which are necessary to convict of murder in the first degree. State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961), citing State v. Payne, 213 N. C. 719, 197 S. E. 573 (1938).

If Given Individuation.—General threats to kill not shown to have any reference to deceased are not admissible in evidence, but a threat to kill or injure someone not definitely designated is admissible in evidence where other facts adduced give individuation to it. State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961), citing State v. House, 166 N. C. 306, 81 S. E. 333 (1914); State v. Payne, 213 N. C. 719, 197 S. E. 573 (1938).

Beyond Reasonable Doubt.—If upon a consideration of all the testimony, including the testimony of the defendant, the jury is not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant intentionally killed deceased, it should return a verdict of not guilty of murder in the second degree. State v. Phillips, 264 N.C. 508, 142 S.E.2d 337 (1965).

Photographs of Scene of Crime.—In a prosecution under this section, where photographs are identified as accurate representations of the scene of the crime by the witness, the photographs are competent in evidence for the purpose of enabling the witness to explain his testimony, and a general objection to the admission of the photographs in evidence cannot be sustained. State v. Casper, 256 N. C. 99, 123 S. E. (2d) 805 (1961).


Charge—Not Affecting Jury's Discretion.—Where, in the preliminary portion of
the charge, the court instructs the jury that it is the sole province of the jury to find the facts and return its verdict, and to exercise a discretion in regard to the punishment as the court would thereafter instruct the jury, and that the jury should arrive at the facts without sympathy or prejudice toward any person, and the court thereafter, in instructing the jury as to the possible verdicts, fully charges the jury that in the event the jury found defendant guilty of murder in the first degree, the jury had the unbridled discretion to recommend that the punishment should be life imprisonment, the charge is without error, since, construed contextually, the cautionary instruction that the jury should arrive at their verdict without sympathy or prejudice toward any person could not have been misunderstood by the jury as affecting its unbridled discretion to recommend life imprisonment. State v. Crawford, 260 N.C. 548, 133 S.E.2d 232 (1963).

Charge—Self-Defense. — As the defense of self-defense was a substantial and essential feature of the case arising on defendant’s evidence, no special prayers for instructions were required, and the judge’s failure to charge with respect thereto was prejudicial error, and entitled defendant to a new trial. State v. Todd, 264 N.C. 524, 142 S.E.2d 154 (1965).

When Jury May Be Instructed as to Lesser Degree of Homicide.—Although it is rarely the case where the felony-murder statute applies that the jury should be permitted to consider a lesser degree of homicide than murder in the first degree, if, however, there is any evidence or if any inference can be fairly deduced therefrom, tending to show one of the lower grades of murder, it is then the duty of the trial court, under appropriate instructions, to submit that view to the jury. State v. Knight, 248 N.C. 384, 103 S. E. (2d) 452 (1958).

Where any view of the evidence would justify a verdict of guilty of manslaughter, it is error if the court does not submit to the jury an instruction on this lesser degree of the crime. State v. Manning, 251 N. C. 1, 110 S. E. (2d) 474 (1959).

While the evidence in the instant case was sufficient to support the theory of murder committed in the attempted perpetration of the felony of rape and also supported the inference that defendant did not intend to commit rape but sought to have intercourse with his victim on a voluntary basis and that his assault upon her was precipitated when she struck at him while she was trying to drive him from the house, it was the duty of the court upon such evidence to submit the question of defendant’s guilt of murder in the second degree, in addition to the question of defendant’s guilt of murder in the first degree, or not guilty. State v. Knight, 248 N. C. 384, 103 S. E. (2d) 452 (1958).

Where Jury May Be Instructed, etc.—In accord with 2nd paragraph of original. See State v. Scales, 242 N. C. 400, 87 S. E. (2d) 916 (1955).

Instructing Jury as to Their Right to Recommend Life Imprisonment. — In a prosecution for murder in the first degree, it is required that the trial judge instruct the jury not only as to their right to recommend life imprisonment, but he must also instruct the jury as to the effect of such recommendation, namely, that such verdict would require that the court pronounce thereon a judgment of imprisonment. State v. Cook, 245 N. C. 610, 96 S. E. (2d) 842 (1957).

The following instruction concerning the proviso of this section was upheld: “Therefore, the court specifically instructs you, members of the jury, that it is patent that the sole purpose of this act is to give to the jury in all cases where a verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree shall have reached the right to recommend that the punishment for the crime shall be imprisonment for life in the State’s prison. No conditions are attached to and no qualifications or limitations are imposed upon the right of you the jury to so recommend. It is an unbridled discretionary right and it is incumbent upon the court to so instruct the jury and court does so instruct you.” State v. Christopher, 258 N. C. 249, 128 S. E. (2d) 667 (1962).

State’s evidence sufficient to justify overruling motion for judgment of nonsuit and submitting to the jury the question as to whether or not defendant killed the deceased with malice and premeditation and deliberation. See State v. Faust, 254 N. C. 101, 118 S. E. (2d) 769 (1961).

 Sufficiency of Evidence, etc.—The confession of defendant that while he was having sexual intercourse with an eight-year old child, she started to scream and that he put his hand over her mouth; that when he took his hand off her mouth she spoke once and said nothing more: that he believed her to be dead and carried away and hid her body; with corroborating evidence that deceased was last seen with defendant, and that her body was found at the place where defendant said he placed it; with expert medical testimony of the use of force and violence in the
penetration of deceased's vagina; and that death resulted from suffocation from the bursting of air sacs in deceased's lungs, is held sufficient to be submitted to the jury and sustain a conviction of murder in the first degree. State v. Crawford, 260 N.C. 548, 133 S.E.2d 232 (1963).

When all of the evidence tended to show that defendant killed deceased in the perpetration of rape, without evidence of guilt of a less degree of the crime, the court correctly refrained from submitting the question of defendant's guilt of murder in the second degree. State v. Crawford, 260 N.C. 548, 133 S.E.2d 232 (1963).


Constitutionality. — Sentence within the discretionary limits of this section was not cruel or unusual punishment. State v. Brooks, 260 N.C. 186, 132 S.E.2d 354 (1963).


Culpable negligence, from which death proximately ensues, makes the actor guilty of manslaughter, and under some circumstances, guilty of murder. State v. Colson, 262 N.C. 506, 138 S.E.2d 121 (1964).

Wanton or Reckless Use of Firearms. — With few exceptions, it may be said that every unintentional killing of a human being proximately caused by a wanton or reckless use of firearms, in the absence of intent to discharge the weapon, or in the belief that it is not loaded, and under circumstances not evidencing a heart devoid of a sense of social duty, is involuntary manslaughter. State v. Foust, 258 N.C. 453, 128 S. E. (2d) 889 (1963).

Evidence that defendant was handling gun in a culpably negligent manner at the time it fired and killed another was sufficient to support a conviction of involuntary manslaughter. State v. Brooks, 260 N.C. 186, 132 S.E.2d 354 (1963).

Proper Verdict. — A verdict of guilty of murder in the first degree with recommendation of mercy is not in accord with law, the proper verdict being, in such instance, guilty of murder in the first degree with recommendation of imprisonment for life in the State prison. State v. Foye, 254 N.C. 704, 120 S. E. (2d) 169 (1961).


Section Does Not Constitute, etc.— The last proviso of this section did not purport to create a new crime of involuntary manslaughter. This proviso was intended and designed to mitigate the punishment in cases of involuntary manslaughter and to commit such punishment to the sound discretion of the trial judge. State v. Blackmon, 260 N.C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963).

Defendant's contention that involuntary manslaughter is a misdemeanor for which punishment cannot exceed two years was not sustained in State v. Swinney, 271 N.C. 130, 155 S.E.2d 545 (1967).

Purpose of Proviso. — The proviso was intended and designed to mitigate the punishment in cases of involuntary manslaughter. State v. Adams, 266 N.C. 406, 146 S.E.2d 503 (1966).

The proviso, etc.— Punishment by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court, is not a specific punishment and therefore comes within the purview of § 14-2. State v. Blackmon, 260 N.C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963), modifying State v. Richardson, 221 N.C. 209, 19 S.E.2d 863 (1942), cited under this catchline in the original.

Punishment "in the discretion of the court" is not specific punishment and hence is governed by the limits (ten years for felonies and two years for misdemeanors)

Punishment for involuntary manslaughter may be by fine or imprisonment or both in the discretion of the court. The imprisonment, however, may not exceed ten years. State v. Swinney, 271 N.C. 130, 155 S.E.2d 345 (1967).


Notwithstanding evidence that defendant shot in self-defense, a plea of nolo contendere permits the court to impose a sentence of not more than ten years for involuntary manslaughter. State v. Swinney, 271 N.C. 130, 155 S.E.2d 345 (1967).

Evidence Requiring Instruction on Proximate Cause.—In a prosecution of a motorist for manslaughter in the deaths of two small boys who were struck by defendant's car as defendant was attempting to pass another vehicle traveling in the same direction, evidence that the children were walking on the hard surface when they were struck and that the preceding car speeded up as defendant attempted to pass it, requires the court to instruct the jury upon the conduct of the children in walking on the hard surface and the conduct of the other driver in increasing his speed, as bearing upon the question of whether defendant's negligence was a proximate cause of the deaths State v. Harrington, 260 N.C. 663, 133 S.E.2d 452 (1963).

Evidence Sufficient to Sustain Conviction.—Evidence that a nephew badly beat his uncle with a stove-lid lifter and, at the instance of a third person, desisted and left, that the uncle stated that if the nephew came back he was going to shoot him, and that when the nephew returned the uncle shot the unarmed nephew as the nephew stepped in the door, inflicting fatal injury, was sufficient to sustain conviction of manslaughter. State v. Dunlap, 268 N.C. 301, 150 S.E.2d 436 (1966).


§ 14-20. Killing adversary in duel; aiders and abettors declared accessories.—If any person fight a duel in consequence of a challenge sent or received, and either of the parties shall be killed, then the survivor, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by imprisonment for life in the State's prison. All their aiders and abettors shall be considered accessories before the fact.

Any person charged with killing an adversary in a duel may enter a plea of guilty to said charge in the same way and manner and under the conditions and restrictions set forth in G.S. 15-162.1 relating to pleas of guilty for first degree murder, first degree burglary, arson and rape. (1902, c. 608, s. 2, P. R.; R. C., c. 34, s. 3; Code, s. 1013; Rev., s. 3629; C. S., s. 4203; 1955, c. 1198; 1965, c. 649.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment inserted a proviso at the end of the first sentence, authorizing life imprisonment on recommendation of the jury, and added the second paragraph.

The 1965 amendment rewrote the first sentence, eliminating the death penalty formerly provided for therein.

Article 7.

Rape and Kindred Offenses.


Cross References.—As to prosecution for rape not barring subsequent prosecution for carnal knowledge, see note to § 14-26.

Rape Defined. — Rape is the carnal knowledge of a female, forcibly and against her will. State v. Crawford, 280 N.C. 548, 135 S.E.2d 232 (1963); State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 155 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

"By Force".—"By force" is not necessarily meant by actual physical force. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 155 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Fear, fright, or duress, may take the place of force. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 155 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Age of Consent.—The act of "carnally knowing and abusing any female child under the age of twelve years" is rape. Neither force nor

Carnal knowledge of any female child under the age of twelve years, regardless of consent, is rape. State v. Crawford, 260 N.C. 548, 133 S.E.2d 232 (1963).

By virtue of the second clause of this section a child under the age of twelve years is presumed incapable of consenting. State v. Carter, 265 N.C. 626, 144 S.E.2d 826 (1965).

Consent of prosecutrix is no defense in a prosecution for carnal knowledge of a female child under the age of twelve years. State v. Temple, 269 N.C. 57, 152 S.E.2d 206 (1967).

Consent Induced by Fear and Violence Is Void.—Consent of prosecutrix which is induced by fear and violence is void and is no legal consent. State v. Carter, 265 N.C. 626, 144 S.E.2d 826 (1965).

Who May Be Guilty of Rape—Two or More Persons.—

One who is present, aiding and abetting, in a rape actually perpetrated by another, is equally guilty with the actual perpetrator of the crime. Upon this ground even a woman may be convicted of rape, and a husband of the rape of his wife. State v. Overman, 252 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Necessary Allegations—"By Force and against Her Will."—


Contributory negligence by the victim is no bar to prosecution by the State for the crime of rape. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Hence, the fact that a woman goes, without proper escort, to a place where men of low morals might reasonably be expected to congregate does not establish her consent to have sexual relations with them, although it is competent evidence to be considered by the jury on that question. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967).

Five-Year-Old Child as Witness.—Whether a five-year-old child is competent to testify in a rape prosecution under this section is a matter resting in the sound discretion of the trial judge, and where the evidence upon the voir dire as well as the child’s testimony upon the trial negates abuse of discretion the ruling of the trial court that the child was a competent witness will not be disturbed on appeal. State v. Merritt, 236 N. C. 363, 72 S. E. (2d) 754 (1952).

Testimony of Female under 12 as to Prior Acts of Intercourse.—In a prosecution for carnal knowledge of a female under 12 years of age, her testimony to the effect that defendant had repeatedly had intercourse with her during the prior several years is competent in corroboration of the offense charged, and the first such occasions will not be held too remote when the evidence discloses that such acts were repeated with regularity up to the date specified in the indictment. State v. Browder, 232 N.C. 35, 112 S. E. (2d) 728 (1960).

Taking Testimony of Child in Absence of Jury.—In a prosecution for rape of an eight-year-old child, it was error to have the court reporter take the testimony of the child in the absence of the jury and then read to the jury the examination which had been conducted in its absence. State v. Payton, 235 N.C. 420, 121 S. E. (2d) 608 (1961).

Unchastity May Be Shown to Attack Credibility of Prosecutrix.—In a prosecution for rape, the general character of the prosecutrix for unchastity may be shown both to attack the credibility of her testimony and as bearing upon the likelihood of consent. State v. Grundler, 251 N.C. 177, 111 S. E. (2d) 1 (1959).

But testimony of specific acts of unchastity with person other than defendant is properly excluded. State v. Grundler, 251 N.C. 177, 111 S. E. (2d) 1 (1959).


This section attaches no limitation, conditions or qualifications to the jury’s right to recommend life imprisonment, and neither the court nor counsel for the State may argue to the jury that it should not exercise its unbridled discretion in making this recommendation. Case v. North Carolina, 315 F. (2d) 743 (1963).

Conviction of Assault and Assault on Female in Trial for Kidnapping.—The argument that assault and assault on a female are essential elements of rape and since the defendants were convicted of assault and assault on a female, respectively, when tried under the indictment for kidnapping, they have been formerly in jeopardy with reference to the offenses now charged in the indictments for rape, is ingenious but without merit. In the first
§ 14-22. Punishment for assault

Editor's Note.—
In addition to case cited in original, see State v. Green, 246 N. C. 717, 100 S. E. (2d) 52 (1957).

In General.—

What Constitutes Offense.—Upon a charge of assault with intent to commit rape of a female person above the age of twelve years, the State is required to show that the defendant actually committed an assault with intent to force the female to have sexual relations with him, notwithstanding any resistance she might make; however, since a child under the age of twelve years cannot give her consent, the requirement of force is not necessary to constitute the offense. The vast majority of the states subscribe to the doctrine that an assault upon a female under the age of consent with intent to have intercourse, constitutes the crime of assault with intent to commit rape. State v. Lucas, 267 N.C. 304, 148 S.E.2d 130 (1966).

Intent.—It is not necessary to complete the offense of an assault to commit rape that the defendant retain the intent throughout the assault; but if he, at any time during the assault, have any intent to gratify his passion upon the woman, notwithstanding any resistance he part, the defendant would be guilty of the offense. State v. Gammons, 260 N.C. 753, 133 S.E.2d 649 (1963); State v. Shull, 268 N.C. 209, 150 S.E.2d 212 (1966).


Felonies under This Section and § 14-26 Are Distinct and Separate.—The felony set forth in this section is not a lesser degree of the felony set forth in § 14-26, McClure v. State, 267 N.C. 212, 148 S.E.2d 15 (1966).

The felony set forth in § 14-26 (carnal knowledge of female virgins between twelve and sixteen years of age) is a distinct and separate felony from the felony set forth in this section (assault with intent to commit rape). The essential elements of this section and § 14-23 are not identical. In § 14-26 former virginity of the female child is an essential element of the charge, and her consent is not a defense. Punishment for a violation of § 14-26 shall be a fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court, and imprisonment cannot exceed ten years. Punishment for a violation of this section shall be imprisonment in the State's prison for not less than one nor more than fifteen years. In a prosecution for a violation of this section if the female victim is over twelve years of age (see § 14-21), her virginity is not an essential element of the offense, and in order to convict the State must show by evidence beyond a reasonable doubt not only an assault, but that the defendant intended to gratify his passion on the person of the woman, and that he intended to do so, at all events, notwithstanding any resistance on her part. McClure v. State, 237 N.C. 212, 148 S.E.2d 15 (1966).

Nonsuit Does Not Entitle Defendant to Discharge.—In a prosecution of a defendant for assault with intent to commit rape, nonsuit of the felony does not entitle the defendant to his discharge, but the State may put defendant on trial under the same indictment for assault on a female, defendant being a male over the age of 18. State v. Gammons, 260 N.C. 753, 133 S.E.2d 649 (1963).

Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution under this section. State v. Mabry, 269 N.C. 293, 152 S.E.2d 112 (1966).

Evidence of defendants' guilt of assault with intent to commit rape held sufficient to support convictions. State v. Miller, 268 N.C. 532, 151 S.E.2d 47 (1966).

Sentence Vacated.—When the court sentenced petitioner, who had been indicted for a violation of § 14-26 (carnal knowledge of female virgins between twelve and
§ 14-23. Emission not necessary

The terms carnal knowledge and sexual intercourse are synonymous. There is carnal knowledge or sexual intercourse in a legal sense if there is any slightest penetration of the sexual organ of the female by the sexual organ of the male. State v. Jones, 249 N. C. 134, 105 S. E. (2d) 513 (1958); State v. Burell, 252 N. C. 115, 113 S. E. (2d) 396 (1961).

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-22.


Rape and Carnal Knowledge under This Section Are Distinct Offenses.—The offenses of rape of a female over 12 years of age and carnal knowledge of a female over 12 and under 16 years of age are separate and distinct. In the first, the female's chastity is immaterial and her consent is a complete defense; in the second, her former chastity is a material part of the charge and her consent is not a defense. State v. Barefoot, 241 N. C. 650, 86 S. E. (2d) 424 (1955).

And Prosecution for Rape Will Not Bar Subsequent Prosecution for Carnal Knowledge.—A prosecution for rape of a female over 12 years of age will not bar a subsequent prosecution for carnal knowledge of a female over 12 and under 16 years of age. State v. Barefoot, 241 N. C. 650, 86 S. E. (2d) 424 (1955).

Leading Questions.—Because of the delicate nature of the subject of inquiry many courts have recognized and held that rape and carnal abuse cases, and other cases involving inquiry into delicate subjects of a sexual nature, constitute an exception to the general rule against leading questions and that in such cases the permitting of leading questions of the prosecutrix, particularly if she is of tender years, is a matter within the sound discretion of the trial judge. State v. Pearson, 258 N. C. 188, 128 S. E. (2d) 251 (1962).


Punishment.—Punishment by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court, is not a specific punishment and therefore comes within the purview of § 14-2. State v. Blackmon, 260 N. C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963), overruling State v. Swindell, 189 N.C. 151, 126 S.E. 417 (1925); State v. Cain, 209 N.C. 275, 193 S.E. 300 (1937).

Punishment for carnal knowledge of a female child over twelve and under sixteen years of age cannot exceed ten years imprisonment. State v. Grice, 265 N.C. 587, 144 S.E.2d 659 (1965).


§ 14-26. Obtaining carnal knowledge of virtuous girls between twelve and sixteen years old.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-22.

And Prosecution for Rape Will Not Bar Subsequent Prosecution for Carnal Knowledge.—A prosecution for rape of a female over 12 years of age will not bar a subsequent prosecution for carnal knowledge of a female over 12 and under 16 years of age. State v. Barefoot, 241 N. C. 650, 86 S. E. (2d) 424 (1955).

Leading Questions.—Because of the delicate nature of the subject of inquiry many courts have recognized and held that rape and carnal abuse cases, and other cases involving inquiry into delicate subjects of a sexual nature, constitute an exception to the general rule against leading questions and that in such cases the permitting of leading questions of the prosecutrix, particularly if she is of tender years, is a matter within the sound discretion of the trial judge. State v. Pearson, 258 N. C. 188, 128 S. E. (2d) 251 (1962).


Punishment.—Punishment by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court, is not a specific punishment and therefore comes within the purview of § 14-2. State v. Blackmon, 260 N. C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963), overruling State v. Swindell, 189 N.C. 151, 126 S.E. 417 (1925); State v. Cain, 209 N.C. 275, 193 S.E. 300 (1937).

Punishment for carnal knowledge of a female child over twelve and under sixteen years of age cannot exceed ten years imprisonment. State v. Grice, 265 N.C. 587, 144 S.E.2d 659 (1965).

Article 8.

**Assaults.**


§ 14-29. Castration or other maiming without malice aforethought.


The words “without malice aforethought” were included in this section to differentiate it from § 14-30, and make it clear and definite that allegation and proof of premeditation (prepense) are not a requirement in the prosecution of offenses under this section. State v. Bass, 255 N. C. 42, 120 S. E. (2d) 580 (1961).


Indictment — Sufficient Allegations.—An indictment charging the defendant with unlawfully, wilfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought putting out the right eye of named person with her thumbs with intent to maim and disfigure named person charges a violation of this section. State v. Atkins, 242 N. C. 294, 87 S. E. (2d) 507 (1955).

§ 14-31. Maliciously assaulting in a secret manner.


§ 14-32. Assault with deadly weapon with intent to kill resulting in injury.

Section Creates New Offense.—By the passing of this section the legislature intended to create a new offense of higher degree than the common-law crime of assault with intent to kill. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).

Elements of Offense.—To warrant the conviction of an accused of a felonious assault and battery under this section on the theory that he participated in the offense as a principal in the first degree, the State must produce evidence sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that he did these four things: (1) Committed an assault and battery upon another; (2) committed the assault and battery with a deadly weapon; (3) committed the assault and battery with intent to kill the victim of his violence; and (4) thus inflict on the person of his victim serious injury not resulting in death. State v. Birchfield, 235 N. C. 410, 70 S. E. (2d) 5 (1952).

The statutory offense under this section embodies (1) assault, (2) with a deadly weapon, (3) the use of the weapon must be with intent to kill, (4) the result of the use must be the inflicting of serious injury, (5) which falls short of causing death. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).

Effect of Omitting Averment of Serious Injury.—An indictment charging assault with intent to kill, without averment of the

The term "inflicts serious injury" means physical or bodily injury resulting from an assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962); State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

Facts of Particular Case Are Determinative.—Whether serious injury has been inflicted must be determined according to the particular facts of each case. State v. Jones, 258 N.C. 89, 128 S.E.2d 1 (1962); State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

Injury Must Fall Short of Causing Death.—The injury must be serious but it must fall short of causing death. State v. Jones, 258 N.C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962); State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

"Serious Damage" and "Serious Injury" Not Synonymous.—The term "serious damage done" necessary to take an assault case from a justice of the peace is not synonymous with the term "inflicts serious injury not resulting in death," as used in this section. State v. Price, 265 N.C. 703, 144 S.E.2d 865 (1965).

The law will not ordinarily presume a murderous intent where no homicide is committed. This is a matter for the State to prove. State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

The admission or proof of an assault with a deadly weapon, resulting in serious injury, but not in death, cannot be said, as a matter of law, to establish a presumption of felonious intent, or intent to kill. State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

A person might intentionally and without justification or excuse assault another with a deadly weapon and inflict upon him serious injury not resulting in death, but such an assault would not establish a presumption of felonious intent, or the intent to kill. Such intent must be found by the jury as a fact from the evidence. State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

Intent to Kill May Be Inferred from Circumstances.—An intent to kill may be inferred from the nature of the assault, the manner in which it was made, the conduct of the parties, and other relevant circumstances. State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

An intent to kill is a mental attitude, and ordinarily it must be proved, if proven at all, by circumstantial evidence; that is, by proving facts from which the fact sought to be proven may be reasonably inferred. State v. Ferguson, 261 N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).

Included Offense. — Assault with a deadly weapon under § 14-33 is an essential element of the felony created and defined by this section, being an included "less degree of the same crime." State v. Weaver, 264 N.C. 681, 142 S.E.2d 633 (1965).

An indictment sufficiently charging defendant with assault with a deadly weapon, to wit, a pistol, with intent to kill and inflicting serious injury not resulting in death, includes the offense of assault with a deadly weapon. State v. Caldwell, 269 N.C. 521, 133 S.E.2d 34 (1967).

An indictment which follows, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Wiggs, 269 N.C. 507, 153 S.E.2d 84 (1967).

An indictment which does not incorporate the word "feloniously" or charge that the offense is a felony cannot support a conviction of an offense greater than a misdemeanor. State v. Price, 265 N.C. 703, 144 S.E.2d 865 (1965).

Where the solicitor sets out to charge defendant with the crime of felonious assault as defined in this section, yet he fails to incorporate in it the word "feloniously," the indictment does not charge a felony. State v. Price, 265 N.C. 703, 144 S.E.2d 865 (1965).

"A certain knife" is, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Wiggs, 269 N.C. 507, 153 S.E.2d 84 (1967).

Indictment Held Sufficient.—An indictment charging that defendant assaulted a named person with intent to kill and did inflict serious and permanent bodily injuries not resulting in death by setting his victim afire, is sufficient to charge an assault where serious injury was inflicted. State v. Price, 265 N.C. 703, 144 S.E.2d 865 (1965).

Burden of Proof.—In prosecutions for felonious assault and for assault with a deadly weapon, it is not incumbent on a defendant to satisfy the jury he acted in self-defense. On the contrary, the burden of proof rests on the State throughout the trial to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant unlawfully assaulted the alleged victim. State v. Fletcher, 268 N.C. 140, 150 S.E.2d 54 (1966).

Admissibility, etc.—Evidence that defendant said nothing to prosecutrix at the time he shot her, but
that two weeks before he shot her he
told her he was going to kill her, was
competent and properly admitted in evi-
dence in a prosecution under this section.
State v. Heard, 262 N.C. 599, 135 S.E.2d
243 (1964).
A “whiplash” injury may or may not be
a serious injury, depending upon its sever-
ity and the painful effect it may have on
the injured victim. State v. Ferguson, 261
N.C. 558, 135 S.E.2d 626 (1964).
Whether the assault is calculated to cre-
aate a breach of the peace that would out-
rage the sensibilities of the community
does not adequately or correctly describe
the infliction of serious injury contemplated
by this section. State v. Jones, 258 N. C.
89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).
Failure to instruct the jury with refer-
cence to defendant’s right of self-defense in
respect of repelling a nonfelonious assault
is prejudicial error. State v. Fletcher, 268
N.C. 140, 150 S.E.2d 54 (1966).
Evidence Sufficient to Require Instruc-
tion as to Defense of Third Person.—Evidence
was sufficient to require an instruction
as to the right of the defendant, in-
dicted for a felonious assault with a deadly
weapon with intent to kill, as a private citi-
zen to interfere with and prevent the pros-
ecuting witness from committing a felon-
iouss assault on a third person. State v. Hornbuckle, 265 N.C. 312, 144 S.E.2d 12
(1965).
Erroneous Instructions. — Instructions
implying that defendant could not lawfully
use force in self-defense unless he was
threatened with death or great bodily
harm were erroneous. State v. Fletcher,
268 N.C. 140, 150 S.E.2d 54 (1966).
Instructions implying that the burden of
proof was on defendant to satisfy the jury
that he acted in self-defense have no appli-
cation in criminal prosecutions for feloni-
uous assault or assault with a deadly wea-
pon. State v. Fletcher, 268 N.C. 140, 150
S.E.2d 54 (1966).

§ 14-33. Punishment for assault.

Editor’s Note.—
As to credit for time served under a va-
cated judgment upon retrial and second
conviction, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 458 (1966).

Constitutionality.—
When the punishment does not exceed
the limits fixed by this section, it cannot be
considered cruel and unusual punish-
ment in a constitutional sense. State v.
Caldwell, 269 N.C. 521, 153 S.E.2d 34
(1967).

There is no statutory definition of as-
sault in North Carolina, and the crime of
assault is governed by common-law rules.
State v. Roberts, 270 N.C. 635, 135 S.E.2d

This section creates no new offense, etc.—
This section creates no new offense. It
relates only to punishment. State v.
Courtney, 248 N.C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d)
861 (1958).
This section deals with punishment for
various types of assault — all common-law
offenses. State v. Jones, 258 N.C. 89, 128
S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).
The 1911 amendment to this section was not intended to create a separate and distinct offense in law, to be known as an assault and battery by a man, or boy over eighteen years of age, upon a woman, for it was always a crime for a man, or a boy over eighteen years of age, to assault a woman. State v. Smith, 157 N. C. 578, 72 S. E. 853 (1911); State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).

That defendant is over eighteen years of age does not create a separate and distinct offense in a prosecution of such defendant for assault upon a female. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

This section does not create a new offense as to assaults on a female, but only provides for different punishments for various types of assault. State v. Roberts, 270 N. C. 655, 155 S. E. 2d 303 (1967).

Punishment—Extent.—

An assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill is a misdemeanor and sentence of six years in the State's prison is not warranted. State v. Braxton, 265 N. C. 342, 144 S. E. 2d 5 (1965).

Serious Damage or Use of Deadly Weapon Withdraws Jurisdiction from Justice of Peace.—If a deadly weapon is used, or "serious damage done," jurisdiction is withdrawn from the justice of the peace. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).


But May Include Damage Other Than Bodily Injury.—Serious damage may include damage other than bodily injury. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).

An assailant may roll the victim in the mud, ruin his best Sunday suit, break his glasses, and destroy his watch. This "serious damage done" removes jurisdiction of the case from a justice of the peace. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).

And Does Not Necessarily Involve Use of Deadly Weapon.—The term "serious damage done" embraces results other than those arising from the use of a deadly weapon. State v. Jones, 258 N. C. 89, 128 S. E. (2d) 1 (1962).

Indictment Need Not Allege That Accused Was Male Person over Eighteen.—Since it is not an essential element of the criminal offense under this section, it is not required that the indictment allege that the defendant was a male person over 18 years of age at the time of the alleged assault. State v. Smith, 157 N. C. 578, 72 S. E. 853 (1911); State v. Jones, 181 N. C. 546, 106 S. E. 817 (1921); State v. Lefer, 202 N. C. 700, 163 S. E. 873 (1932); State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).

It is not necessary for the defendant's age to be stated in the bill of indictment to convict him for an assault on a female, when the proof clearly shows that he was over eighteen at the time of the alleged assault, and on the trial no question was made as to that fact. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

Assault with a deadly weapon is a general misdemeanor, punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, "at the discretion of the court." State v. Weaver, 264 N. C. 681, 142 S. E. 2d 633 (1965).

And the maximum legal sentence therefore is two years. State v. Weaver, 264 N. C. 681, 142 S. E. 2d 633 (1965).

It Is an Included Offense under § 14-32.—Assault with a deadly weapon is an essential element of the felony created and defined by § 14-32, being an included "less degree of the same crime." State v. Weaver, 264 N. C. 681, 142 S. E. 2d 633 (1965).

Lesser Offense Included in Indictment for Assault with Intent to Rape.—An indictment charging assault with intent to commit rape includes the lesser offense of assault on a female. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

In a prosecution of a defendant for assault with intent to commit rape, nonsuit of the felony does not entitle the defendant to his discharge, but the State may put defendant on trial under the same indictment for assault on a female, defendant being a male over the age of 18. State v. Gammons, 260 N. C. 753, 133 S. E. 2d 649 (1963).

Fact That Accused Is under Eighteen Is Matter of Defense. — The presumption is that the male person charged is over 18 years of age; and the fact, if it be a fact, that he is not over 18 years of age, relevant solely to punishment, is a matter of defense. State v. Smith, 157 N. C. 578, 72 S. E. 853 (1911); State v. Jones, 181 N. C. 546, 106 S. E. 817 (1921); State v. Lefer, 202 N. C. 700, 163 S. E. 873 (1932); State v. Lewis, 224 N. C. 774, 32 S. E. (2d) 334 (1944); State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).

If the defendant charged with an assault with intent to commit rape is under eighteen years of age, such fact is relevant only on the question of punishment and is a matter of defense. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).
§ 14-33
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Plea of Not Guilty as Putting Accused's Age in Issue.—Although not an essential averment, if in fact the indictment charges that the defendant is a male person over the age of 18 years, it may be considered, nothing else appearing, that the defendant's plea of not guilty is a denial of this nonessential averment; but where as in the instant case the indictment does not so charge it cannot be said that the defendant, simply by his plea of not guilty, puts in issue whether he was over 18 years of age at the time of the alleged assault. State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).

Age a Collateral Matter; How Determined.—Whether defendant was over 18 years of age is a collateral matter, wholly independent of defendant's guilt or innocence in respect of the assault charged; and it would seem appropriate that this be determined under a special issue. Unless the necessity therefor is eliminated by defendant's admission, this issue must be resolved by a jury, not by a court. State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).


Presumption That Accused Is over Eighteen.—The presumption that defendant was over eighteen years of age at the time of the alleged assault is evidence for consideration by the jury. State v. Lefler, 202 N. C. 700, 163 S. E. 873 (1932); State v. Lewis, 224 N. C. 774, 32 S. E. (2d) 334 (1944); State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958).

There is a presumption that a male person charged with an assault with intent to commit rape, is over eighteen years of age. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

Burden to Prove Age below Eighteen.—The burden of establishing the defense that he is under the age of eighteen rests on the defendant. State v. Morgan, 225 N. C. 549, 35 S. E. (2d) 621 (1945); State v. Herring, 226 N. C. 213, 37 S. E. (2d) 319 (1946); State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958); State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

Effect of Admission by Accused That He Is over Eighteen.—When a male defendant, during the progress of his trial on an indictment charging an assault on a female or a more serious crime embracing the charge of assault on a female, testifies that he is over eighteen years of age at the time of the alleged assault and there is no evidence or contention to the contrary, the collateral issue as to defendant's age need not be submitted to or answered by the jury. His testimony, under such circumstances, relating to such collateral issue, relevant solely to punishment, must be considered an admission on which the court may rely in the trial of the cause and in pronouncing judgment. State v. Courtney, 248 N. C. 447, 103 S. E. (2d) 861 (1958), modifying in this connection State v. Grimes, 226 N. C. 523, 39 S. E. (2d) 394 (1946).

Amendment of Warrant.—Where defendant enters a plea of guilty to a warrant charging an assault upon a female and nothing more, the trial court is without authority, upon a later amendment of the warrant to charge that defendant was a male person over eighteen years of age, to enter judgment on the amended warrant in the absence of a verdict of a jury or a plea of guilty by defendant to the warrant as amended, and sentence in excess of that permitted by law for the offense originally charged in the warrant will be set aside and cause remanded for trial upon the warrant as amended State v. Terry, 236 N. C. 222, 72 S. E. (2d) 423 (1955).

Evidence of Assault on Female.—Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution for assault on a female. State v. Allen, 245 N. C. 185, 95 S. E. (2d) 526 (1956).

Sentence under Verdict of “Guilty of Simple Assault on a Female.”—In a prosecution for assault to commit rape a verdict of “guilty of simple assault on a female” will support sentence for an assault on a female by a man or boy over eighteen years of age. State v. Beam, 255 N. C. 347, 121 S. E. (2d) 558 (1961).

Verdicts of “guilty of an assault wherein serious injury is inflicted,” is a sufficient finding of serious damage to remove these cases from the limitations under subsection (b) of this section and to permit punishment under subsection (a) of this section; that is, by fine, or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. State v. Troutman, 249 N. C. 395, 106 S. E. (2d) 569 (1959).

§ 14-34. Assaulting by pointing.

Intentional Pointing Pistol without Legal Justification.—The literal provisions of this section are subject to the qualification that the intentional pointing of a pistol is in violation thereof only if done wilfully, that is, without legal justification. Lowe v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 244 N. C. 353, 93 S. E. (2d) 448 (1956).

An officer, in making a lawful arrest, is not justified in pointing a loaded weapon at the person to be arrested except in good faith upon necessity, real or apparent. Lowe v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 244 N. C. 353, 93 S. E. (2d) 448 (1956).

Legal justification must be made to appear, whether it be an individual who intentionally points a pistol at his assailant in the exercise of a perfect right of self-defense or an officer who does so in good faith in the discharge of his official duty and when necessary or apparently necessary either to defend himself or to make a lawful arrest or otherwise to perform his official duty. But the mere fact that he is an officer engaged in the performance of an official duty does not perforce exempt him from the provisions of this section. Lowe v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 244 N. C. 353, 93 S. E. (2d) 448 (1956).

Is Negligence Per Se.—If any person intentionally points a pistol at any person, this action is in violation of this section and constitutes an assault. Moreover, such action, being in violation of the statute is negligence per se; and if the pistol accidentally discharges, the injured person may recover damages for actionable negligence. Lowe v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 244 N. C. 353, 93 S. E. (2d) 448 (1956).

Where there is no evidence that defendant intentionally pointed his pistol at anyone this section does not apply, and an instruction that the violation of the statute, proximately resulting in injury and death, would constitute manslaughter, must be held for error. The State's evidence of a statement by defendant to the effect that he was "dry firing" the pistol does not amount to evidence that defendant intentionally pointed the weapon at deceased, though it is competent upon the question of culpable negligence. State v. Kluehohn, 243 N. C. 308, 90 S. E. (2d) 768 (1956).

Accidental Discharge of Gun—Manslaughter.—Where one engages in an unlawful and dangerous act, such as "fooling with an old gun" i.e., using a loaded pistol in a careless and reckless manner, or pointing it at another, and kills the other by accident, he would be guilty of an unlawful homicide or manslaughter. State v. Hovis, 233 N. C. 359, 64 S. E. (2d) 564 (1951).

With few exceptions, it may be said that every unintentional killing of a human being proximately caused by a wanton or reckless use of firearms, in the absence of intent to discharge the weapon, or in the belief that it is not loaded, and under circumstances not evidencing a heart devoid of a sense of social duty, is involuntary manslaughter. State v. Foust, 258 N. C. 453, 128 S. E. (2d) 889 (1963).

Variance.—Where warrant charged defendant with assaulting prosecutrix with a deadly weapon, to wit, a pistol, by pointing the pistol at her, her testimony that the defendant pointed a "gun" at her was sufficient to carry the case to the jury as tending to show a violation of this section. State v. Barnes, 253 N. C. 711, 117 S. E. (2d) 849 (1961).


Article 10.

Kidnapping and Abduction.


Editor's Note.—For case law survey on kidnapping, see 41 N. C. Law Rev. 445.

History.—A former statute, C.S., s. 4221, provided that any person who forcibly or fraudulently kidnapped any person should be guilty of a felony, and upon conviction might be punished in the discretion of the court, not exceeding twenty years in the State's prison. As a result of the kidnapping and death in the Lindbergh tragedy, the General Assembly of North Carolina repealed C.S., s. 4221 by the enactment of
§ 14-39


The effect of this section, repealing C.S., s. 4221, is to increase within the discretion of the court the maximum punishment for kidnapping from twenty years to life, and not to make a life term mandatory upon conviction, the intent of this section to this effect being shown by the use of the word "punishable" in prescribing the sentence. State v. Bruce, 268 N.C. 174, 150 S.E.2d 216 (1966).

Kidnapping was a misdemeanor at common law. State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965). But Is Made a Felony by Statute.—The statutes of this jurisdiction relating to kidnapping did not originate the offense; they make kidnapping a felony and provide the limit of punishment. State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965).

Definition.—This section does not define "kidnap." State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965).

The word "kidnap" as used in this section means the unlawful taking and carrying away of a person by force and against his will (the common-law definition). State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965); State v. Lowry, 268 N.C. 174, 130 S.E.2d 216 (1966).

The word "kidnap" as used in this section means the unlawful taking and carrying away of a person by force or fraud and against his will, or the unlawful seizure and detention of a person by force or fraud and against his will. State v. Gough, 257 N.C. 348, 126 S. E. (2d) 118 (1962).

Construction.—This section is construed according to the common-law definition of "kidnap." State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965).

Elements of Crime Are Dependent on Wording of Statute.—The elements of the crime of kidnapping are necessarily dependent on the wording of the statute in the particular state, and authority cited from the states must be read in connection with the statute of the particular state. State v. Gough, 257 N. C. 348, 126 S. E. (2d) 118 (1962).

When Person Is Guilty of Kidnapping.—Under this section a person is guilty of kidnapping (1) if he kidnaps or causes to be kidnapped any human being, or (2) if he demands a ransom of any person, firm or corporation, male or female, to be paid on account of kidnapping, or (3) if he holds any human being for ransom. State v. Gough, 257 N. C. 348, 126 S. E. (2d) 118 (1962).

Physical Force or Violence Is Not Always Necessary.—The better view as to the common-law definition of kidnapping is that the use of physical force or violence is not always necessary to the commission of kidnapping, or certainly of child stealing, but that fraud may likewise be sufficient. State v. Gough, 257 N. C. 348, 126 S. E. (2d) 118 (1962).

The use of actual physical force or violence is not always essential to the commission of the offense of kidnapping, as the word "kidnap" is used in this section and as it is defined at common law. State v. Bruce, 268 N.C. 174, 150 S.E.2d 216 (1966).

The crime of kidnapping is frequently committed by threats and intimidation and appears to the fears of the victim which are sufficient to put an ordinarily prudent person in fear for his life or personal safety, and to overcome the will of the victim and secure control of his person without his consent and against his will, and are equivalent to the use of actual force or violence. State v. Bruce, 268 N.C. 174, 150 S.E.2d 216 (1966).

Distance Immaterial.—It is the fact, not the distance, of forcible removal of the victim that constitutes kidnapping. State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965).

Crime May Be Committed by Means of Fraud.—The crime of kidnapping by its very nature cannot ordinarily be committed by an act to which a person, being capable in law of consenting, consents in a legally valid manner. But where false and fraudulent representations or fraud amounting substantially to a coercion of the will of the kidnapped person are used as a substitute for force in effecting kidnapping, there is, in truth and in law, no consent at all on the part of the victim. In brief, under those circumstances the law has long considered fraud and violence as the same in the kidnapping of a person. State v. Gough, 257 N. C. 348, 126 S. E. (2d) 118 (1962).

Punishment Discretionary.—This section leaves the term of imprisonment in the discretion of the court. State v. Lowry, 263 N.C. 536, 139 S.E.2d 870 (1965).

Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to the jury on the charge of kidnapping. State v. Dorsett, 245 N. C. 47, 95 S. E. (2d) 90 (1956).

Former Jeopardy.—The argument that assault and assault on a female are essential elements of rape and since the de-
fendants were convicted of assault and assault on a female, respectively, when tried under the indictment for kidnapping, they have been formerly in jeopardy with reference to the offenses now charged in the indictments for rape, is ingenious but without merit. In the first place, a simple assault is probably not, and an assault on a female is certainly not, an essential element of the crime of kidnapping, since the victim of a kidnapping need not be a female and may be enticed away by fraud rather than forced by violence or threat to accompany the abductor. State v. Overman, 269 N.C. 453, 153 S.E.2d 44 (1967). Applied in State v. Mallory, 266 N.C. 31, 145 S.E.2d 335 (1965).

§ 14-42. Conspiring to abduct children.
Editor's Note.—For comment on criminal conspiracy in North Carolina, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 422.

Evidence.— Evidence that a married woman had retained her innocence and virtue through some 20 years of married life and through more than 15 months of professions of love for her by defendant, and that she did not have intercourse with defendant until some six days prior to the actual elopement, and after he had asked her to marry him, is sufficient upon the question of her innocence and virtue, since the requirement of the statute is fulfilled if her innocence and virtue existed at the beginning of the acts of the defendant which in sequence led to the elopement. State v. Temple, 240 N. C. 738, 83 S. E. (2d) 792 (1954).

Burden of Proof of First Proviso.— The law requires proof of the fact that at the time of the commission of the offense the wife was an innocent and virtuous woman, before a conviction can be had under this section. State v. Temple, 240 N. C. 738, 83 S. E. (2d) 792 (1954).

Instruction.— In a prosecution under this section, an instruction that the married woman must have been innocent and virtuous at the time of the elopement "or at sometime prior to the elopement," must be held for prejudicial error. State v. Temple, 240 N. C. 738, 83 S. E. (2d) 792 (1954).

ARTICLE 11.
Abortion and Kindred Offenses.

§ 14-44. Using drugs or instruments to destroy unborn child.—If any person shall willfully administer to any woman, either pregnant or quick with child, or prescribe for any such woman, or advise or procure any such woman to take any medicine, drug or other substance whatever, or shall use or employ any instrument or other means with intent thereby to destroy such child, he shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be imprisoned in the State's prison for not less than one year nor more than ten years, and be fined at the discretion of the court. (1881, c. 351, s. 1; Code, s. 975; Rev., s. 3618; C. S., s. 4226; 1967, c. 367, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment deleted "unless the same shall be necessary to preserve the life of the mother" following the words "such child" near the middle of the section.

This section and § 14-45 create separate and distinct offenses, etc.—
In accord with original. See State v Hoover, 252 N. C. 133, 113 S. E. (2d) 281 (1960).

Joinder of Offenses. —
In accord with 1st paragraph in original.


Belief of Woman as to Her Pregnancy. —In a prosecution for abortion, belief of victim on the day of alleged operation that she was pregnant is a relevant circumstance, properly proved by her own testimony. State v. Hoover, 252 N. C. 133, 113 S. E. (2d) 281 (1960).

§ 14-45. Using drugs or instruments to produce miscarriage or injure pregnant woman.

Section Is Designed for Protection of Woman.—This section is designed primarily for the protection of the woman. State v. Mitchner, 256 N. C. 620, 124 S. E. (2d) 831 (1962).

This section does not require that the woman be quick with child and for that reason provides for a lesser punishment than § 14-44. Its purpose is the protection of "any pregnant woman." State v. Hoover, 252 N. C. 133, 113 S. E. (2d) 281 (1960).

A woman may be pregnant within the meaning of this section though the foetus has not quickened. State v. Mitchner, 256 N. C. 620, 124 S. E. (2d) 831 (1962).

An actual miscarriage is not a necessary element to prove violation of this section. State v. Hoover, 252 N. C. 133, 113 S. E. (2d) 281 (1960); State v. Mitchner, 256 N. C. 620, 124 S. E. (2d) 831 (1962).


When Death Results from Abortion, It Is Culpable Homicide.—When death results from an abortion or attempted abortion of a pregnant woman, when not necessary to save the life of the woman or that of the unborn child or to protect the health of the woman, it is a culpable homicide, even though done at the woman's request. State v. Mitchner, 256 N. C. 620, 124 S. E. (2d) 831 (1962).


§ 14-45.1. When abortion not unlawful.—Notwithstanding any of the provisions of G.S. 14-44 and 14-45, it shall not be unlawful to advise, procure, or cause the miscarriage of a pregnant woman or an abortion when the same is performed by a doctor of medicine licensed to practice medicine in North Carolina, if he can reasonably establish that:

There is substantial risk that continuance of the pregnancy would threaten the life or gravely impair the health of the said woman, or

There is substantial risk that the child would be born with grave physical or mental defect, or

The pregnancy resulted from rape or incest and the said alleged rape was reported to a law-enforcement agency or court official within seven days after the alleged rape, and

Only after the said woman has given her written consent for said abortion to be performed, and if the said woman shall be a minor or incompetent as adjudicated by any court of competent jurisdiction then only after permission is given in writing by the parents, or if married, her husband, guardian or person or persons standing in loco parentis to said minor or incompetent, and

Only when the said woman shall have resided in the State of North Carolina for a period of at least four months immediately preceding the operation being performed except in the case of emergency where the life of the said woman is in danger, and

Only if the abortion is performed in a hospital licensed by the North Carolina Medical Care Commission, and

Only after three doctors of medicine not engaged jointly in private practice, one of whom shall be the person performing the abortion, shall have examined said woman and certified in writing the circumstances which they believe to justify the abortion, and

Only when such certificate shall have been submitted before the abortion to the hospital where it is to be performed; provided, however, that where an emergency
§ 14-47. Communicating libelous matter to newspapers.


ARTICLE 13.

Inspiring Others by Use of High Explosives.

§ 14-49.1. Wilful damage of occupied property.—Any person who shall wilfully and maliciously damage or attempt to damage any dwelling, building, vehicle, real or personal property of any kind or nature, being at the time occupied by one or more other persons, by the use of nitroglycerine, dynamite, gunpowder or other high explosive, shall be guilty of a felony, and on conviction shall be punished by imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 10 years and not more than life. (1967, c. 342.)

§ 14-50. Conspiracy declared a felony; punishment.

Editor's Note.—For comment on criminal conspiracy in North Carolina, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 422.

A criminal conspiracy is continued and renewed as to all its members wherever and whenever any member of the conspiracy acts in furtherance of the common de-

SUBCHAPTER IV. OFFENSES AGAINST THE HABITATION AND OTHER BUILDINGS.

ARTICLE 14.

Burglary and Other Housebreakings.

§ 14-51. First and second degree burglary.

Editor's Note.—For note on burglary in North Carolina, see 35 N. C. Law Rev. 98.

First and Second Degree Burglary Distinguished.—If the burglary occurred—i.e., the breaking and entry occurred—while the dwelling house was actually occupied, that is, while some person other than the intruder was in the house, the crime is burglary in the first degree. If the house was then unoccupied, however momentarily, and whether known to the intruder or not, the offense is burglary in the second degree. Otherwise, the elements of the two offenses are identical. State v. Tippett, 270 N.C. 588, 155 S.E.2d 269 (1967).

Lesser Offense Set Forth in § 14-54.—The statutory offense set forth in § 14-54 is a less degree of the offense of burglary in the first degree as defined in this section. State v. Perry, 265 N.C. 517, 144 S.E.2d 591 (1965).

A felonious entering into a house otherwise than burglariously with intent to commit larceny, a violation of § 14-54, is a less degree of the felony of burglary in the first degree. State v. Fikes, 270 N.C. 780, 155 S.E.2d 277 (1967).

Instructions.—Where all the evidence is to the effect that the building was actually occupied at the time of the breaking and entry, the court is not authorized to instruct the jury that it may return a verdict of burglary in the second degree. State v. Tippett, 270 N.C. 588, 155 S.E.2d 269 (1967).

Where the evidence showed that the
house was unoccupied for approximately half an hour, there was no error in instructing the jury that if it did not find from the evidence, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the house was occupied at the time of the breaking and entering, it should find the defendant not guilty of burglary in the first degree, but it should return a verdict of burglary in the second degree if it did so find each of the elements thereof. State v. Tippett, 270 N.C. 588, 155 S.E.2d 269 (1967).


§ 14-52. Punishment for burglary.

The discretionary element of the second-degree burglary penalty is that the judge can impose a lesser penalty than that of the specific maximum allowed of life imprisonment. Jones v. Ross, 257 F. Supp. 798 (E.D.N.C. 1966).

Judgment of Death Held Proper. — Where the indictment and the evidence relate to burglary in the first degree and the court instructs the jury that defendant is on trial for the capital crime of first degree burglary, clearly defines burglary in the first degree, and correctly charges the jury as to the permissible verdicts upon the evidence, a verdict of guilty returned by the jury, with no recommendation of mercy, necessarily imports a finding of guilt of burglary in the first degree, and supports judgment of death. State v. Childs, 269 N.C. 307, 152 S.E.2d 453 (1967).

98, 100 S. E. (2d) 249 (1957).


Stated in State v. Perry, 265 N.C. 517, 144 S.E.2d 591 (1965).

§ 14-54. Breaking into or entering houses otherwise than burglariously. — If any person, with intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime therein, shall break or enter either the dwelling house of another otherwise than by a burglarious breaking, or any storehouse, shop, warehouse, banking-house, counting-house or other building where any merchandise, chattel, money, valuable security or other personal property shall be, or any unoccupied house, he shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be imprisoned in the State's prison or county jail not less than four months nor more than ten years. Where such breaking or entering shall be wrongfully done without intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1874-5, c. 166; 1879, c. 323. Code. s. 996; Rev., s. 3333; C. S., s. 4235; 1955, c. 1015.)

Editor's Note. — The 1955 amendment added the last sentence.

For brief comment on the 1955 amendment, see 33 N. C. Law Rev. 538.

For note on burglary in North Carolina, see 35 N. C. Law Rev. 98.

Prior to the 1955 amendment, a nude defendant who entered the sleeping quarters of hospital nurses was not guilty of an offense under this section, where he did not flee when discovered but merely asked for a girl who worked at the hospital and left upon demand without any attempt at larceny State v. Cook, 242 N. C. 700, 89 S. E. (2d) 383 (1955).

Offense Stated. — Under the provisions of this section, if any person breaks and enters or enters any storehouse, shop or other building where any merchandise, chattel, money, valuable security or other personal property shall be, with the intent to commit the felony of larceny, he shall be guilty of a felony. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

What Constitutes Offense. — In respect to a dwelling, it is the entering otherwise than by a burglarious breaking, with intent to commit a felony, that constitutes the offense condemned by this section. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).


Criminal Conduct Not Determined by Success of Venture. — Under this section, if a person breaks or enters one of the buildings described therein with intent to commit the crime of larceny, he does so with intent to commit a felony, without reference to whether he is completely frustrated before he accomplishes his felonious intent or whether, if successful, the goods he succeeds in stealing have a value in excess of $200.00. In short, his criminal conduct is not determinable on the basis of the success of his felonious venture. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297
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Intent Must Be Shown.—

The crime defined in this section is complete, all other elements being present, if there was an entry with felonious intent. State v. Vines, 262 N.C. 747, 138 S.E.2d 630 (1964).

In order to satisfy the felony requirement of this section it must be made to appear that there was a breaking or entering into a designated building or room “with intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime therein.” State v. Andrews, 246 N.C. 561, 99 S. E. (2d) 745 (1957).

To convict of the felony defined in this section, the State must satisfy the jury from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that a building described in this section was broken into or entered “with intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime therein.” State v. Jones, 264 N.C. 134, 141 S.E.2d 27 (1965).

Intent to Commit Felony of Larceny.—
To justify a conviction of breaking and entering with intent to commit the felony of larceny, it was held necessary for the State to prove and for the jury to find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant intended to steal property of sufficient value to make the taking thereof a felony. State v. Andrews, 246 N.C. 561, 99 S. E. (2d) 745 (1957). See now § 14-72, as amended by S. L. 1959, c. 1285.

In order for the larceny of personal property of the value of $200.00, or less, to be a felony, it must be stolen from the person or from a building feloniously broken into or entered, and the indictment should so charge. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

“Unlawful Breaking or Entering” Essential to Both Offenses.—The unlawful breaking or entering of a building described in this section is an essential element of both the felony and misdemeanor offenses. The distinction rests solely on whether the unlawful breaking or entering is done “with intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime therein.” State v. Jones, 264 N.C. 134, 141 S.E.2d 27 (1965).

Entry without Breaking.—
A breaking is not now and has never been a prerequisite of guilt and proof thereof is not required. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

Under this section it is unlawful to break into a dwelling with intent to commit a felony therein. It is likewise unlawful to enter, with like intent, without a breaking. Hence, evidence of a breaking, when available, is always relevant, but absence of such evidence does not constitute a fatal defect of proof. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 143 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

Value of Stolen Property Immaterial.—Larceny by breaking and entering a building is a felony without regard to the value of the stolen property. State v. Stubbs, 266 N.C. 274, 145 S.E.2d 896 (1966).

Lesser Offense than Burglary in the First Degree.—The statutory offense set forth in this section is a less degree of the offense of burglary in the first degree set forth in § 14-51. State v. Perry, 265 N.C. 517, 144 S.E.2d 591 (1965).

A felonious entering into a house otherwise than burglariously with intent to commit larceny, a violation of this section, is a less degree of the felony of burglary in the first degree. State v. Fikes, 270 N.C. 750, 155 S.E.2d 277 (1967).

Included Offense.—The misdemeanor defined in this section must be considered “a less degree of the same crime,” an included offense, within the meaning of § 15-170. State v. Jones, 264 N.C. 134, 141 S.E.2d 27 (1965).

Wrongful breaking or entering without intent to commit a felony or other infamous crime is a lesser degree of felonious breaking or entering within this section. State v. Worthey, 270 N.C. 444, 154 S.E.2d 515 (1967).

Unlocking Door with Key.—There is a sufficient breaking where a person enters a building with a felonious intent by unlocking a door with a key. State v. Knight, 261 N.C. 17, 134 S.E.2d 101 (1964).

The fact that the shaking of a door and its opening was not followed by a physical entrance into the building does not prevent a finding by the jury that defendants broke and entered the building. They had actually opened the door although they had not entered and the crime was complete upon the finding by the jury of the overt act and felonious intent which was amply supported by the evidence. State v. Nichols, 268 N.C. 152, 150 S.E.2d 21 (1966).

Erroneous Instruction.—Where the evidence as to defendant’s intent was circumstantial and did not point unerringly to an intent to commit a felony, it was prejudicial error for the court to fail to charge that the jury could find a verdict of nonfelonious breaking and entering, a misdemeanor, and for the court to fail to
explain the full contents of this section to the jury. State v. Worthey, 270 N.C. 444, 151 S.E.2d 515 (1967).

Evidence held sufficient, etc.—

The evidence was held amply sufficient to support verdict of guilty of feloniously breaking and entering and larceny by means of such felonious breaking and entering. State v. Majors, 268 N.C. 146, 150 S.E.2d 35 (1966).

Punishment.—The punishment for a violation of this section may be a maximum of ten years. State v. Hodge, 267 N.C. 238, 147 S.E.2d 881 (1966).

A sentence of twenty-five years imprisonment, imposed after a plea of guilty to four indictments charging felonious breaking and entering and larceny in violation of this section and § 14-72, did not exceed the statutory maximum and was not cruel and unusual punishment in the constitutional sense. State v. Greer, 270 N.C. 143, 153 S.E.2d 849 (1967).

Where the maximum term of a sentence is set beyond statutory authorization under this section, the sentence imposed is not void in toto. Petitioner is not entitled to be released from custody since he has not served that part of the sentence which is within lawful limits. State v. Clendon, 249 N.C. 44, 105 S.E. (2d) 93 (1958).

Scope of Review.—Each defendant having entered a plea of guilty to a valid information charging the felony of non burglaryous breaking, their appeal brings up for review only the question whether the facts charged constitute an offense punishable under the laws and Constitution.

§ 14-55. Preparation to commit Separate Offenses.—

This section defines three separate offenses. State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 214, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966).

This section defines three separate offenses, and the part of this section relating to possession of implements of housebreaking is a separate offense. State v. Godwin, 269 N.C. 263, 152 S.E.2d 152 (1967).

Sufficiency of Indictment. — If tools enumerated in an indictment are embraced within the general term “other implement of housebreaking,” their possession without lawful excuse is prohibited by this section. State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 214, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966).

An indictment under this section is not fatally defective because of its failure to enumerate any of the articles specified in the statute as implements of housebreaking when it does specify implements common to burglary or other housebreakings, ing within the generic term of “implements of housebreaking.” State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 314, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966).


Likewise, a Combination of Crowbar and Big Screwdriver.—Under the circumstances the possession of a crowbar and a big screwdriver were without lawful excuse, and said crowbar and big screwdriver were other implements of housebreaking within the intent and meaning of this section. State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 214, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966).

And a Combination of Gloves, Tapes, Chisels, Crowbars, Hammers, and Punches. — While gloves, tapes, chisels, crowbars, hammers, and punches all have their honest and legitimate uses, when no explanation is offered for this combination of articles by a man several hundred miles...
§ 14-56.1  

from his home, in the middle of the night, it is ample to sustain a possession of wrongful and unlawful possession of tools used in store breaking. State v. Nichols, 268 N.C. 152, 150 S.E.2d 21 (1966).

But a Pistol Is Not.—A pistol is not an “implement of housebreaking” within the intent and meaning of this section. State v. Godwin, 269 N.C. 263, 152 S.E.2d 152 (1967).

Neither Are Small Screwdrivers, Tire Tool, Gloves, Flashlights, and Socks. — Two small screwdrivers, a tire tool, gloves, flashlights, and socks in defendant’s possession at time store was broken into and entered by defendant were not other implements of housebreaking within the intent and meaning of this section. State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 214, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966).

A tire tool is a part of the repair kit which the manufacturer delivers with each motor vehicle designed to run on pneumatic tires; not only is there lawful excuse for its possession, but there is little or no excuse for a motorist to be on the road without one. State v. Garrett, 263 N.C. 773, 140 S.E.2d 315 (1965).

There is some doubt whether a tire tool, under the ejusdem generis rule, is of the same classification as a pick lock, key, or bit, and hence, condemned by this section. State v. Garrett, 263 N.C. 773, 140 S.E.2d 315 (1965).

State’s Burden of Proof.—In a prosecution under this section for having possession without lawful excuse of a crowbar, hack saw and automatic pistol, the burden is on the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the possession of the implements was “without lawful excuse” within the spirit of the statute, and the possession of a pistol for personal protection, even though unauthorized, cannot be unlawful possession within the meaning of the statute. State v. Davis, 245 N.C. 146, 95 S. E. (2d) 564 (1956).

In a prosecution under the provisions of this section, the burden is on the State to show two things: (1) That the person charged was found having in his possession an implement or implements of housebreaking enumerated in, or which come within the meaning of the statute, and (2) that such possession was without lawful excuse. State v. Morgan, 268 N.C. 214, 150 S.E.2d 377 (1966); State v. Godwin, 269 N.C. 263, 152 S.E.2d 152 (1967).

§ 14-56.1  

Evidence Insufficient for Jury. — Upon an indictment charging possession, without lawful excuse, of a crowbar, hack saw and automatic pistol, in a prosecution under this section, the evidence was held insufficient to be submitted to the jury. State v. Davis, 245 N.C. 146, 95 S. E. (2d) 564 (1956).

Evidence tending to show that defendant was a passenger in a car in which implements of housebreaking were found, without any evidence that defendant had any control whatsoever over either the automobile or the implements of housebreaking found therein, and without evidence showing when, where, or under what circumstances defendant entered the automobile, or disclosing his relationship or association with the driver thereof, is insufficient to be submitted to the jury in prosecution for possession of implements of housebreaking without lawful excuse. State v. Godwin, 269 N.C. 263, 152 S.E.2d 152 (1967).


Maximum Punishment.—The punishment for possession of the implements of housebreaking is limited to a maximum of ten years imprisonment, since punishment by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court, as prescribed by this section, is not a specific punishment and therefore comes within the purview of § 14-2. State v. Blackmon, 260 N.C. 352, 132 S.E.2d 880 (1963), overruling State v. Cain, 209 N.C. 275, 183 S.E. 300 (1936).

This section, prescribing punishment “by fine or imprisonment in the State’s prison, or both, in the discretion of the court,” does not prescribe “specific punishment” within the meaning of that term as used in § 14-2. State v. Thompson, 268 N.C. 447, 150 S.E.2d 781 (1966).


§ 14-56.2. Damaging or destroying coin-operated machines.—Any person who shall willfully and maliciously damage or destroy any coin-operated vending machine, coin-activated machine or device, or coin-operated telephone or telephone coin receptacle shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall, upon conviction, be fined or imprisoned, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1963, c. 814, s. 1.)

§ 14-57. Burglary with explosives.


§ 14-59. Burning of certain public and other corporate buildings.

Editor's Note. — The 1965 amendment added “or any building owned by the State or any of its agencies, institutions, or subdivisions,” near the beginning of the section.

§ 14-60. Burning of schoolhouses or buildings of educational institutions.

Editor's Note. — The 1965 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-62. Setting fire to churches and certain other buildings.—If any person shall wantonly and willfully set fire to or burn or cause to be burned, or aid, counsel or procure the burning of, any uninhabited house, any church, chapel or meetinghouse, or any stable, coach house, outhouse, warehouse, office, shop, mill, barn or granary, or to any building, structure or erection used or intended to be used in carrying on any trade or manufacture, or any branch thereof, whether the same or any of them respectively shall then be in the possession of the offender, or in the possession of any other person, he shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be imprisoned in the State's prison for not less than two nor more than forty years. (1874-5, c. 228; Code, s. 985, subsec. 6;
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1885, c. 66; 1903, c. 665, s. 2; Rev., s. 3338; C. S., s. 4242; 1927, c. 11, s. 1; 1953, c. 815; 1959, c. 1298, s. 1.)

I. IN GENERAL.

Editor's Note.—
The 1953 amendment, effective July 1, 1953, inserted "structure" and "or intended to be used" in line five.
The 1959 amendment inserted "any uninhabited house" in line three.
For comment on the 1953 amendment, see 21 N. C. Law Rev. 403.

This section cannot be extended to cover structures not intended by the legislature.

The word "building" embraces any edifice, structure, or other erection set up by the hand of man, designed to stand more or less permanently, and which is capable of affording shelter for human beings, or usable for some useful purpose. Ordinarily, in the absence of a statute to the contrary, an uncompleted structure, not ready for occupation or use, is not a "building" as that term is generally used in the law of arson. However, by the weight of authority, the word "building" as used in criminal burning statutes, does not necessarily imply a structure so far advanced as to be in every respect finished and perfect for the purpose for which it is designed eventually to be used; and if the structure is so far advanced in construction, although not completed, as to be ready for habitation or use, the burning of it may be violative of this section.

"Used in carrying on any trade."—In this phrase, the crucial words of the statute are "used" and "trade." The verb "used," when referring to a place or thing, has two meanings recognized by all lexicographers and usually differentiated in common speech: (1) In one sense the word means to be the subject of customary occupation, practice, or employment. In this sense the word denotes the idea of habitual use, and implies a certain degree of continuity and permanence, and is sometimes used synonymously with the word "occupied" (2) In another sense the word means to employ for a purpose, to put to its intended purpose, application to an end, the act of using. In this sense a single isolated instance may be sufficient to fulfill the meaning of the word. It is in this latter sense that the word "used" was intended to be employed in this section.

The word "trade" as used in this section means more than traffic in goods, and the like. It is used in its broader sense, and as such is synonymous with "occupation" or "calling." Thus the word "trade" as here used embraces any ordinary occupation or business, whether manual or mercantile.

Duty of Trial Court to Define and Explain Words.—The duty rests upon the trial court to define and explain to the jury the meaning of (1) "building," and (2) "used in carrying on any trade," as used in the section.

Necessity for Proving Nature and Use of Structure.—Under an indictment charging that the defendant wilfully and feloniously procured the burning of a certain building used in carrying on a trade, the burden rests on the State to prove that the defendant unlawfully procured the burning of (1) a structure that answered to the description of a "building" within the meaning of this section, and also (2) that the structure was "used in carrying on a trade," within the purview of the section. Findings by the jury concerning these two elements of the statutory offense charged are quite as essential to a conviction as proof of the fact of procuring the burning of the structure.

II. INDICTMENT.

Indictment in Language of Section Insufficient. Where a bill of indictment merely charges the offense in the language of this section, it fails to meet the minimum requirements as to identity of the offense attempted to be charged and is fatally defective.

Identity of Building Must Be Fixed with Reasonable Particularity.—In a statutory arson case, it is necessary to aver what building was burned by descriptive allegation showing not only that the structure comes within the class designated in the statute, but also fixing its identity with reasonable particularity so as to enable the defendant to prepare his defense and plead his conviction or acquittal as a bar to further prosecution for the same offense.

An allegation of ownership or of possession suffices to meet the requirements of identity under this section.
14. QUESTIONS FOR JURY.

Must Be Sufficient, etc.—
Nature and Use of Structure.—It is for the jury to determine whether a building was used as a dwelling or as a structure in the process of construction, and if so, to make it a building within the meaning of the statute, and if so, (2) whether it was put to use in the occupation or business of the lessee prior to the fire. The action of the trial court in assuming the jury's role in instructing the jury. State v. Stueck, 296 N.C. 564 (1959).

14-60. Encroachment on building or structure in process of construction.

The willful and intentional burning of any building or structure in the process of construction for use as a dwelling house or in encroachment upon or interference with the same or any portion of the property of the owner, or in the possession of any other person, shall be a felony and punished by imprisonment in the county, jail or State prison, or by fine or by both such fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court. (1957, c. 792).

14-61. Fraudulently setting fire to dwelling houses.

Essential Element of Crime.—It is evident on the face of the ordinance and request of the defendant, By the terms of this section, essential element of the crime charged was that it be done willfully and wantonly or for a fraudulent purpose. To convict the defendant something more must be shown than the fact that the house was burned, and that it was done at the instance and request of the defendant. By the terms of this section, essential element of the crime charged was that it be done willfully and wantonly or for a fraudulent purpose. State v. Caan, 254 N.C. 202, 121 S.E. 2d 50 (1961).

14-67. Attempting to burn dwelling houses and certain other buildings.

If any person shall willfully and feloniously attempt to burn any dwelling house, unmanned house, the Statehouse, or any of the public offices of the State, or any courthouse, jail, arsenal, clerk's office, register's office, or any house belonging to any county or incorporated town in the State or to any incorporated company-whatever, in which are kept the archives, documents, or public papers at such county, town, or corporation, any schoolhouse, any church, chapel or meetinghouse, or any stable, coach house, outhouse, warehouse, office, shop, barn, or granary, or any building, structure or erection used or intended to be used in connection with any valuable or valuable and important property of the owner, or in the possession of any other person, shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be punished by imprisonment in the State's house or county jail or by a fine, or both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court. 1976-7, c. 13; Code, s. 985, subsec. 7; Rev., c. 1316; C. S. c. 4740; 1937, c. 250, s. 1; 1959, c. 1298, s. 2.

Case Reference.—See in offense to burn an unmanned house or inadmissible evidence to hear and determine such an offense to be seen at the court. 1959 amendment changed for the words "willfully" to "willfully and feloniously" as in the section 14-60.

14-89.1 Making a false report concerning destructive device.

Any person who, by any means, communicates to any person or group of persons, orally or by written or printed communication, in any manner or by any means, or by any means, makes any false report to or for the use or for the purpose of any building, house or other structure without
ever or any vehicle, aircraft, vessel or boat any device designed to destroy or damage the building, house or structure or vehicle, aircraft, vessel or boat by explosion, blasting or burning, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall, upon conviction, be fined or imprisoned or both in the discretion of the court. (1959, c. 555, s. 1.)


§ 14-69.2. Perpetrating hoax by use of false bomb or other device.—If any person, with intent to perpetrate a hoax, shall secrete, place or display any device, machine, instrument or artifact, so as to cause any person reasonably to believe the same to be a bomb or other device capable of causing injury to persons or property, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall, upon conviction, be fined or imprisoned or both in the discretion of the court. (1959, c. 555, s. 1.)

SUBCHAPTER V. OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY.

ARTICLE 16.

Larceny.

§ 14-70. Distinction between grand and petit larceny abolished.

At common law both grand and petit larceny were felonies. State v. Cooper, 256 N. C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962).

“Larceny.”—Larceny, according to the common-law meaning of the term, may be defined as the felonious taking by trespass and carrying away by any person of the goods or personal property of another, without the latter’s consent and with the felonious intent permanently to deprive the owner of his property and to convert it to the taker’s own use. State v. McCrary, 263 N.C. 490, 139 S.E.2d 739 (1965).

The phrase “felonious intent” originated when both grand and petit larceny were felonies. Now “felonious intent,” in the law of larceny, does not necessarily signify an intent to commit a felony. State v. Cooper, 256 N. C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962).

Intent, etc.—Felonious intent is an essential element of the crime of larceny. State v. McCrary, 263 N.C. 490, 139 S.E.2d 739 (1965).

Proof of Intent.—The intent to convert to one’s own use is met by showing an intent to deprive the owner of his property permanently for the use of the taker, although he might have in mind to benefit another. State v. McCrary, 263 N.C. 490, 139 S.E.2d 739 (1965).

Possession of Fruits of Crime.—The defendant’s possession of the fruits of the crime recently after its commission justifies the inference of guilt on his trial for larceny. State v. Knight, 261 N.C. 17, 134 S.E.2d 101 (1964).

Section Applicable to Larceny from the Person. Section 14-72 clearly points out that if larceny is from the person the limitation in the statute does not apply. Therefore, larceny from the person in any amount is punishable under this section. State v. Stevens, 252 N. C. 331, 113 S. E. (2d) 577 (1960).

Accessories Abolished.—In accord with original. See State v. Bennett, 237 N. C. 749, 76 S. E. (2d) 42 (1953).

Jury Question.—What is meant by felonious intent is a question for the court to explain to the jury, and whether it is present at any particular time is for the jury to say. State v. McCrary, 263 N.C. 490, 139 S.E.2d 739 (1965).

Maximum Sentence.—The punishment for larceny from a person can be imprisonment for ten years. State v. Williams, 261 N.C. 172, 134 S.E.2d 163 (1964).

Sentence in Excess of Statutory Maximum.—A sentence of not less than twelve and not more than fifteen years is in excess of that allowed by this section. State v. Fain, 250 N.C. 117, 108 S. E. (2d) 68 (1959).

Where the maximum term of a sentence is set beyond statutory authorization under this section, the sentence imposed is not void in toto. Petitioner is not entitled to be released from custody, where he has not served that part of the sentence which is within lawful limits. State v. Clendon, 249 N.C. 44, 105 S. E. (2d) 93 (1958).

§ 14-71. Receiving stolen goods.

Included in Indictment for Charge.—A charge of larceny of goods of the value of $3,000 and a charge of receiving the stolen property with knowledge that it had been stolen, may be joined as separate counts in a single bill, each being a felony. State v. Meshaw, 246 N.C. 205, 95 S.E. (2d) 13 (1957).


Larceny Distinguished.—The crimes of larceny and of receiving stolen goods, knowing them to have been stolen, are separate and distinct offenses. However, receiving stolen property is a sort of secondary crime based upon a prior commission of the primary crime of larceny. It presupposes, but does not include, larceny. Therefore the elements of larceny are not elements of the crime of receiving. State v. Brady, 237 N.C. 675, 75 S.E. (2d) 791 (1953); State v. Neill, 244 N.C. 252, 93 S.E. (2d) 155 (1956).

Elements of the Offense.—The essential elements of the crime of receiving stolen goods which must be proven, are stated as follows: (a) The stealing of the goods by some other than the accused; (b) that the accused, knowing them to be stolen, received or aided in concealing the goods, and (c) continued such possession or concealment with a dishonest purpose. State v. Brady, 237 N.C. 675, 75 S.E. (2d) 791 (1953).

If property was not stolen or taken from the owner in violation of this section, as where the original taking was without felonious intent, or was not against the owner's will or consent, the receiver is not guilty of receiving stolen property State v. Collins, 240 N.C. 128, 81 S.E. (2d) 270 (1954).

If there was no theft, the buying of the property is not criminal, even if the buyer believes the property to have been stolen. State v Collins, 240 N.C. 128, 81 S.E. (2d) 270 (1954).

Value of Goods Received Must Exceed $200.00.—That the value of stolen goods received with knowledge by defendant exceeded $100.00 is an essential element of the offense prescribed by this section. State v. Tessnear, 234 N.C. 211, 118 S.E. (2d) 393 (1961) decided prior to the 1961 amendment to § 14-72, which increased the amount to $200.00; State v. Wallace, 270 N.C. 155, 153 S.E.2d 873 (1967).

Time Not of the Essence.—The crime of receiving stolen goods is not one of the offenses in which time is of the essence. State v. Tessnear, 254 N.C. 211, 118 S.E. (2d) 303 (1961).

The Inference or Presumption Arising from the Recent Possession, etc.—In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See State v. Hoskins, 236 N.C. 412, 72 S.E. (2d) 876 (1952); State v. Neill, 244 N.C. 252, 93 S.E. (2d) 155 (1956).

A plea of guilty of receiving stolen property knowing it to have been stolen is insufficient to support a felony sentence, even though the indictment charges defendant with receiving stolen goods having a value of more than $200. State v. Wallace, 270 N.C. 155, 153 S.E.2d 873 (1967).

Burden of Proof.—In order for the defendant to be found guilty of a felony under this section, it is incumbent upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the goods was more than $200. This is an essential element of the crime because § 14-72 specifically provides that "the receiving of stolen goods knowing them to be stolen, of the value of not more than two hundred dollars, is hereby declared a misdemeanor." State v. Wallace, 270 N.C. 155, 153 S.E.2d 873 (1967).


Defective Verdict.—In a prosecution upon an indictment charging in one count larceny and in another count receiving the stolen goods, a verdict of guilty as charged is equivalent to a verdict of guilty as to each count, and is not merely inconsistent, but contradictory, since a defendant may be guilty of larceny or of receiving, but not both. State
The jury returned a verdict of guilty as charged to an indictment charging both larceny and receiving the stolen goods with knowledge that they had been stolen. A single judgment was entered on the verdict. There was error in the court's instruction to the jury on the count of receiving. Since defendant could not be guilty of both larceny and receiving the same goods, and it was impossible to determine to which count the verdict related, it was impossible to determine whether the error was prejudicial or harmless, and therefore a new trial must be awarded. State v. Meshaw, 246 N. C. 205, 98 S. E. (2d) 13 (1957).

Evidence Held Sufficient for Jury.— State v. Chambers, 239 N. C. 114, 79 S. E. (2d) 262 (1953).


Upon appeal from a conviction under an indictment for feloniously receiving property of a value of $502, knowing it to have been feloniously stolen, it was held that, considering the evidence in the light most favorable to the State, it was amply sufficient to carry the State's case to the jury, and to support the verdict, and defendant's motions for judgment of compulsory nonsuit were properly overruled by the trial judge. State v. Matthews, 267 N.C. 244, 148 S.E.2d 38 (1966).


Instructions. — Where the indictment charges the defendant with "feloniously" receiving stolen goods, knowing them to have been stolen, but the charge fails to instruct the jury that it must find that the receiving was with the felonious intent, this is error and entitles the defendant to a new trial. State v. Brady, 237 N. C. 675, 75 S. E. (2d) 791 (1953). Where the judge charged the jury: "Now, the offense charged here has at least four distinct elements that the State must satisfy you beyond a reasonable doubt about," and the court then instructed the jury as to the essential elements of the crime of receiving stolen goods, quoting from 1 Wharton's Criminal Evidence, 10th Ed., § 325b, p. 643, with the exception that Wharton states there are three elements, and the second element is " (b) that the accused, knowing them to be stolen, received or aided in concealing the goods," and the trial judge charged: " . . . second, that the defendant received the goods that were stolen; third, that at the time of receiving the goods the defendant knew that they had been stolen," an assignment of error to the charge was overruled. State v. Matthews, 267 N.C. 244, 148 S.E.2d 38 (1966).

Where the trial judge clearly charged the jury in substance that if it found beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence that defendant was guilty of receiving stolen property (certain guns), knowing it to have been stolen, as he had defined the offense for it, and found beyond a reasonable doubt that the guns were of a value of $600, then it would return a verdict of guilty as charged, but if under those circumstances it found the guns were of a value of $200 or less, then it would return a verdict of guilty of receiving stolen goods, knowing them to have been stolen, of a value of $200 or less, a misdemeanor, this conforms to the decision in State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S.E.2d 91 (1962). State v. Matthews, 267 N.C. 244, 148 S.E.2d 38 (1966).


1967 CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENT § 14-72

Editor's Note.—
The 1959 amendment rewrote the second sentence.
The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, substituted "two" for "one" in the caption and in the first sentence.
The 1965 amendment deleted at the end of the second sentence a proviso that the section should not apply to horse stealing.

This section relates solely to punishment for the separate crime of larceny. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

Purpose of Amendments.—It seems probable the General Assembly, in enacting the amendments to this section, was not motivated by a disposition to protect thieves from the adverse effects of inflation, but to reduce the number of cases (involving felony charges) in the exclusive jurisdiction of the superior court. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S.E. (2d) 91 (1962).

This section divides larceny into two degrees, one a misdemeanor, the other a felony. State v. Andrews, 246 N.C. 561, 99 S. E. (2d) 743 (1957).

Degree of Offense Depends Solely on Value of Property Taken.—Whether a person who commits the crime of larceny is guilty of a felony or guilty of a misdemeanor depends solely upon the value of the property taken. State v. Summers, 263 N.C. 317, 139 S.E.2d 627 (1965).

And money is the standard of value. If the amount is known there can be no disagreement as to value. State v. Summers, 263 N.C. 317, 139 S.E.2d 627 (1965).

It is Inapplicable to Larceny from the Person.—This section clearly points out that if larceny is from the person, the statute does not apply. Therefore, larceny from the person in any amount is punishable under § 14-70. State v. Stevens, 232 N.C. 331, 113 S. E. (2d) 277 (1962).

Larceny from a person is a felony. State v. Williams, 294 N.C. 172, 134 S.E.2d 163 (1964).

In larceny from the person there must be a taking, though the value of the property is immaterial. State v. Parker, 262 N.C. 679, 138 S.E.2d 496 (1964).


Larceny is a felony, as at common law, without regard to the value of the stolen property. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962); State v. Fowler, 265 N.C. 667, 147 S.E.2d 56 (1966).

Thus, larceny of property of a value in excess of $200 is a felony. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962).

As Is Receiving Stolen Property of Such Value.—The criminal offense of receiving stolen property, defined in § 14-71, where the value of the property is in excess of $200, is a felony. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962).

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of a felony under § 14-71, it is incumbent upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the goods was more than $200. This is an essential element of the crime because this section specifically provides that "the receiving of stolen goods knowing them to be stolen, of the value of not more than two hundred dollars is hereby declared a misdemeanor." State v. Wallace, 270 N.C. 155, 153 S.E.2d 873 (1967).

And Larceny by Breaking and Entering.—Under the amendment of this section, larceny by breaking and entering any building referred to therein is a felony without regard to the value of the stolen property. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962); State v. Jones, 264 N.C. 134, 141 S.E.2d 27 (1965); State v. Wilson, 264 N.C. 505, 142 S.E.2d 280 (1965); State v. McKoy, 265 N.C. 380, 144 S.E.2d 45 (1965); State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

But Larceny of Property of a Value of Not More than $200 Is Only a Misdemeanor.—If the value of the stolen property is found to be of the value of not more than $200 or less, such larceny is only a misdemeanor and punishable as such. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

And this section applies where there is no charge of breaking and entering or breaking or entering involved. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

The misdemeanor of larceny is a lesser degree of the felony of larceny within the meaning of § 15-170 State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962); State v. Summers, 263 N.C. 517, 139 S.E.2d 627 (1965).

"Felonious intent" is an essential element of the crime of larceny without re-

The phrase "felonious intent" originated when both grand and petit larceny were felonies. Now "felonious intent," in the law of larceny, does not necessarily signify an intent to commit a felony. State v. Cooper, 256 N. C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 201 (1964).


Indictment.—An indictment charging that defendant at a specified time and place did "with force and arms" feloniously steal, take, and carry away from a person specified a sum of money, charges the crime of larceny and not that of robbery. State v. Acrey, 262 N.C. 90, 136 S.E.2d 201 (1964).

Where the indictment charges the larceny of $200 or less and does not charge that the larceny was from a building by breaking or entering, or by any other means of such nature as to make the larceny a felony, the indictment charges only a misdemeanor, and a sentence on the count in excess of two years must be vacated and the cause remanded for proper judgment. State v. Fowler, 266 N.C. 667, 147 S.E.2d 36 (1966).


When State Must Prove That Value of Property Exceeded $200.—Except in those instances where this section does not apply, to convict of the felony of larceny, it is incumbent upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the stolen property was more than $200; and, this being an essential element of the offense, it is incumbent upon the trial judge to so instruct the jury. State v. Cooper, 256 N. C. 372, 124 S. E. (2d) 91 (1962); State v. Holloway, 265 N.C. 581, 144 S.E.2d 634 (1965).

In cases under this section, it is incumbent upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the property stolen had a value in excess of $200 in order for the punishment to be that provided for a felony. State v. Brown, 266 N.C. 55, 145 S.E.2d 297 (1965).

It is not always necessary that the stolen property should have been actually in the hands or on the person of the accused. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

It is sufficient if such property was under his exclusive personal control. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

The principle of law known as recent possession of stolen property itself indicates the conditions under which it operates, and to bring it into play there must be proof of three things: (1) That the property described in the indictment was stolen, the mere fact of finding one man's property in another man's possession raising no presumption that the latter stole it; (2) that the property shown to have been possessed by accused was the stolen property; and (3) that the possession was recently after the larceny, since mere possession of stolen property raises no presumption of guilt. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

The principle of law known as recent possession of stolen property is usually applied to possession which involves custody about the person, but it is not necessarily so limited. It may be of things elsewhere deposited, but under the control of a party. It may be in a storeroom or barn when the party has the key. In short, it may be in any place where it is manifest it must have been put by the act of the party or his undoubted concurrence. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

The identity of the fruits of the crime must be established before the presumption of recent possession can apply. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

The presumption of recent possession is not in aid of identifying or locating the stolen property, but in tracking down the thief upon its discovery. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

If the circumstances are such as to exclude the intervening agency of others between the theft and the recent possession of stolen goods, then such recent possession may afford presumptive evidence that the person in possession is the thief. The presumption, however, is one of fact only and is to be considered by the jury merely as an evidential fact along with other evidence in determining the defendant's guilt. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 480, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

The applicability of the doctrine of the inference of guilt derived from the recent possession of stolen goods depends upon the circumstance and character of the possession. It applies only when the possession is of a kind which manifests that the
stolen goods came to the possessor by his own act or with his undoubted concurrence, and so recently and under such circumstances as to give reasonable assurance that such possession could not have been obtained unless the holder was himself the thief. State v. Foster, 268 N.C. 490, 151 S.E.2d 62 (1966).

Evidence.—
Where the State's evidence was that $400 was stolen, and defendant testified that she received $420 by gift, and that she stole nothing, there was no evidence from which the jury could have found the defendant guilty of larceny of a value of $200 or less. State v. Summers, 263 N.C. 417, 139 S.E.2d 627 (1965).

Evidence was held amply sufficient to support verdict of guilty of receiving stolen property, tampering and larceny by means of false description and entering in State v. Majors, 268 N.C. 146, 150 S.E.2d 35 (1966).

Instructions. — Where the trial judge charged the jury in substance that if it found beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence that defendant was guilty of receiving stolen property (particular goods), knowing it to have been stolen, as he had defined the offense for it, and found beyond a reasonable doubt that the guns were of a value of $600, then it would return a verdict of guilty as charged, but if it found these circumstances it found the guns were of a value of $200 or less, then it would return a verdict of guilty of receiving stolen goods, knowing them to have been stolen, of a value of $200 or less, a misdemeanor, this conforms to the decision in State v. Cooper, 266 N.C. 372, 143 S.E.2d 91 (1966). Where a defendant is indicted for the larceny of property of the value of more than $50, except in those instances hereinafter set forth, it does not apply, it is incumbent upon the trial judge to instruct the jury, if they find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of larceny but fail to find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the stolen property exceeds $50, then the jury should return a verdict of guilty of larceny of property of a value not exceeding $50. State v. Cooper, 266 N.C. 372, 143 S.E.2d 91 (1966).

And Need Fix Value Only in Case of Doubt.—The portion of this section which states, "In all cases of doubt the jury shall in its verdict fix the value of the property stolen," means exactly what it says, and where all the evidence is to the effect that the stolen property had a value many times in excess of $200, and there is no evidence or contention to the contrary, the trial court is under no legal obligation to require the jury to fix the value of the stolen property. State v. Brown, 267 N.C. 199, 147 S.E.2d 916 (1966).

Where the bill upon which the defendant was tried charged the defendant with the larceny of a 1961 Chevrolet automobile of the value of $1800 and the evidence amply supported the charge, and there was no evidence to the contrary, it was unnecessary upon such a factual situation to require the jury to find that a 1961 Chevrolet automobile of the value of $1800 was worth more than $200. State v. Brown, 267 N.C. 199, 147 S.E.2d 916 (1966).

Jury Need Not Fix Precise Value of Stolen Property.—The final sentence of this section does not require that the jury fix the precise value of the stolen property. The only issue of legal significance is whether the value thereof exceeds $200. When the jury is properly instructed, the verdict necessarily determines whether the value of the stolen property exceeds $200. State v. Cooper, 256 N.C. 372, 124 S.E. (2d) 91 (1962).

A finding that defendant stole property of the value of more than $50 is not a finding that the property had a value of more than $100. State v. Williams, 235 N.C. 429, 70 S.E. (2d) 1 (1952), decided prior to the 1961 amendment increasing the amount to $200.

Sentence. — A sentence of twenty-five years imprisonment, imposed after a plea of guilty to four indictments charging felonious breaking and entering and larceny in violation of § 14-54 and this section, did not exceed the statutory maximum and was not cruel and unusual punishment in the constitutional sense. State v. Greer, 270 N.C. 143, 153 S.E.2d 849 (1967).

A plea of guilty to the larceny of a sum less than $200 does not support a sentence of ten years' imprisonment, and the imposition of such sentence must be vacated. State v. Davis, 267 N.C. 126, 147 S.E.2d 570 (1966).


Quoted in State v. Hill, 237 N.C. 764,
§ 14-72.1 Concealment of merchandise in mercantile establishments.—Whoever, without authority, willfully conceals the goods or merchandise of any store, not theretofore purchased by such person, while still upon the premises of such store, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be punished by a fine of not more than one hundred dollars ($100.00), or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such goods or merchandise found concealed upon or about the person and which have not theretofore been purchased by such person shall be prima facie evidence of a willful concealment.

Any person found guilty of a second or subsequent offense of willful concealment of goods as defined in the first paragraph of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished in the discretion of the court.

Editor's Note.—For case law survey on shoplifting, see 41 N. C. Law Rev. 446.

Purpose of Section.—The sly, stealthy, crafty nature of the crime of shoplifting and the small individual thefts make detection, prosecution and conviction of the shoplifter for larceny a most difficult and perilous matter. When a merchant accosts a shoplifter, and takes out a warrant against him for larceny, and the shoplifter is acquitted when tried, the merchant risks a lawsuit for large damages for malicious prosecution, false imprisonment, false arrest, or similar tort. Faced with such a formidable array of deterrents, many a merchant stands by and watches his property disappear without a fair, legally protected, opportunity to protect it, if his sole remedy is a successful prosecution for larceny, in which offense super-added to the wrongful taking there must be a felonious intent. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).


This section omits no essential provisions which go to impress the inhibited acts committed as being wrongful and criminal. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

And Has a Substantial Relation to the End Sought to Be Accomplished.—It is manifest that this section has a rational, real and substantial relation to the end sought to be accomplished, which is the protection of our merchants from shoplifting, and that such was the manifest purpose and design of the legislation. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

Act May Be Made Criminal Irrespective of Intent.—It is within the power of the legislature to declare an act criminal irrespective of the intent of the doer of the act. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

Elements of Offense. — The statutory offense created by this section is composed of four essential elements: Whoever (1) without authority, (2) willfully conceals the goods or merchandise of any store, (3) not theretofore purchased by such person, (4) while still upon the premises of the store, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

Felonious or Criminal Intent Is Not a Necessary Element. — It is manifest from the language of this section, in view of its manifest purpose and design, that the leg-
is legislature intended that a felonious intent or a criminal intent should not be a necessary element of the statutory crime of shoplifting. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

Wilful Concealment. — "Willfully conceals" as used in this section means that the concealing is done under the circum-
stances set forth in the statute voluntarily, intentionally, purposely and deliberately, indicating a purpose to do it without authority, and in violation of law, and this is an essential element of the statutory offense of shoplifting. State v. Hales, 256 N. C. 27, 122 S. E. (2d) 768 (1961).

§ 14-73. Jurisdiction of the superior courts in cases of larceny and receiving stolen goods.—The superior courts shall have exclusive jurisdiction of the trial of all cases of the larceny of property, or the receiving of stolen goods knowing them to be stolen, of the value of more than two hundred dollars. (1913, c. 118, s. 2; C. S., s. 4252; 1941, c. 178, s. 2; 1949, c. 145, s. 3; 1961, c. 39, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment, effective July 1, 1961, substituted "two hundred dollars" for "one hundred dollars."

§ 14-74. Larceny by servants and other employees.


§ 14-75.1. Larceny of secret technical processes.—Any person who steals property consisting of a sample, culture, microorganism, specimen, record, recording, document, drawing, or any other article, material, device, or substance which constitutes, represents, evidences, reflects, or records a secret scientific or technical process, invention, formula, or any phase or part thereof shall be guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment not exceeding four years or by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars ($5,000.00), or by both. A process, invention, or formula is "secret" when it is not, and is not intended to be, available to anyone other than the owner thereof or selected persons having access thereto for limited purposes with his consent, and when it accrords or may accrue the owner an advantage over competitors or other persons who do not have knowledge or the benefit thereof. (1967. c. 1175.)

§ 14-77. Larceny, concealment or destruction of wills.


§ 14-78.1. Trading for corn without permission of owner of premises.—Any person engaged in traveling from house to house or from place to place, buying or trading for corn, without the permission of the landowner upon whose premises such buying or trading is conducted, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. This section shall apply only to the counties of Bertie, Columbus, Craven, Edgecombe, Greene, Halifax, Harnett, Hertford, Martin, Nash, Northampton, Perquimans, Robeson, Sampson, Wake, Warren, Wayne and Wilson. (1951. c. 30, 1955, c. 684; 1957. c. 356.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment made this section applicable to the following counties: Craven, Greene, Martin, Perquimans, Sampson, Wayne and Wilson.

The 1957 amendment made this section applicable to Robeson County.

§ 14-80. Larceny of wood and other property from land.

Warrant Not Charging Offense. — A warrant charging that defendant unlawfully and willfully authorized and directed his employee to enter upon the lands of another and carry off sand and gravel therefrom, without alleging what, if any-
thing, the employee did pursuant to such authorization, does not charge a criminal offense. State v Everett, 244 N. C. 596, 94 S. E. (2d) 576 (1956).

Evidence Insufficient to Go to Jury.—Testimony that defendant was paid for dogwood delivered to a woodyard, without evidence that defendant actually delivered the wood, with further evidence that dogwood taken from the yard fitted

§ 14-81. Larceny of horses and mules. — If any person shall steal any horse, mare, gelding, or mule he shall be guilty of larceny and punished as provided by this article for the crime of larceny. (1866-7, c. 62; 1868, c. 37, s. 1; 1879, c. 234, s. 2; Code, s. 1060; Rev., s. 3505; 1917, c. 162, s. 2; C. S., s. 4260; 1965, c 621, s. 6.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to the 1965 amendment the section fixed the punishment at imprisonment at hard labor for not less than 1 nor more than 20 years and conse-

§ 14-87. Robbery with firearms or other dangerous weapons. The Primary Purpose and Intent, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Hare, 243 N. C. 262, 90 S. E. (2d) 550 (1955)

Common-Law Offense Not Changed.—This section does not change the offense of common-law robbery or divide it into degrees. State v. Hare, 243 N. C. 262, 90 S. E. (2d) 550 (1955).

This section creates no new offense. It does not add to or subtract from the common-law offense of robbery except to provide that when firearms or other dangerous weapons are used in the commission of the offense, more severe punishment may be imposed. In re Sellers, 234 N. C. 648, 68 S. E. (2d) 308 (1951); State v. Stewart, 255 N. C. 571, 122 S. E. (2d) 355 (1961); State v. Norris, 264 N.C. 470, 141 S.E.2d 714 (1967).

The use, or threatened use, of firearms or other dangerous weapons in perpetrating a robbery does not add to or subtract from the common-law offense of robbery, but this section provides a more severe punishment for a robbery attempted or accom-

stumps on prosecuting witness' land from which the wood had been wrongfully taken, was held insufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution under this section, even though the doctrine of recent possession be invoked, since the evidence does not disclose that defendant had been in possession of the wood. State v. Turner, 238 N. C. 411, 77 S. E. (2d) 782 (1953).

Evidence Insufficient to Go to Jury.—Testimony that defendant was paid for dogwood delivered to a woodyard, without evidence that defendant actually delivered the wood, with further evidence that dogwood taken from the yard fitted

§ 14-84. Larceny of dogs misdemeanor.—The larceny of any dog upon which a license tax has or has not been paid shall be a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of the larceny of any dog shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1919, c. 116, s. 9; C. S., s. 4263; 1955, c. 804.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to the 1955 amendment only dogs upon which tax had been paid were the subject of larceny.
i.e., a taking with the felonious intent on the part of the taker to deprive the owner of his property permanently and to convert it to the use of the taker. State v. Norris, 264 N.C. 479, 141 S.E.2d 369 (1965); State v. Mundy, 265 N.C. 528, 144 S.E.2d 572 (1965).

In robbery, as in larceny, the taking of the property must be with the felonious intent permanently to deprive the owner of his property. Thus, if one disarms another in self-defense with no intent to steal his weapon, he is not guilty of robbery. If he takes another's property for the taker's immediate and temporary use with no intent permanently to deprive the owner of his property, he is not guilty of larceny. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).


Robbery is the taking, with intent to steal, of the personal property of another, from his person or in his presence, without his consent or against his will, by violence or intimidation. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

The taking must be done animo furandi, with a felonious intent to appropriate the goods taken to some use or purpose of the taker. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

Highway robbery is a common-law offense and is frequently denominated "common-law robbery." State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S.E. (2d) 335 (1961).

Punishment for Common-Law Robbery.

-Common-law robbery is punishable by imprisonment in the State's prison for a term not to exceed ten years under § 14-2. State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S.E. (2d) 335 (1961).

The gist of the offense of robbery with firearms is the accomplishment of the robbery by the use of or threatened use of firearms or other dangerous weapon. State v. Williams, 265 N.C. 446, 144 S.E.2d 267 (1965).

A taking with "felonious intent" is an essential element of the offense of armed robbery, of attempt to commit armed robbery, and of common-law robbery, and it is prejudicial error for the court to charge that defendant may be convicted of such offense even though the taking was without felonious intent. State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 524, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

A taking of personal property with felonious intent is an essential element of the offense of armed robbery, of attempt to commit armed robbery, and of common-law robbery. The court must so instruct the jury in every robbery case, and must in some sufficient form explain and define the term "felonious intent." The extent of the definition required depends upon the evidence in the particular case. State v. Mundy, 265 N.C. 528, 144 S.E.2d 572 (1965).

"Intent to Rob" Is a Sufficient Definition of "Felonious Intent"—The word "rob" was known to the common law and the expression "intent to rob" is a sufficient definition of "felonious intent" as applied to this section, in the absence of evidence raising an inference of a different intent or purpose. State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 534, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

In some cases, as where the defense is an alibi or the evidence develops no direct issue or contention that the taking was under a bona fide claim of right or was without any intent to steal, "felonious intent" may be simply defined as an "intent to rob" or "intent to steal." On the other hand, where the evidence raises a direct issue as to the intent and purpose of the taking, a more comprehensive definition is required. State v. Mundy, 265 N.C. 528, 144 S.E.2d 572 (1965).

Since "Rob" Imports an Intent to Steal.

-"Rob" or "robbery" has a well-defined meaning and imports an intent to steal. State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 524, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

The distinction between robbery and forcible trespass is that in the former there is, and in the latter there is not, a felonious intention to take the goods, and appropriate them to the offender's own use. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966); State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 524, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

A defendant is not guilty of robbery if he forcibly takes personal property from the actual possession of another under a bona fide claim of right or title to the property, or for the personal protection and safety of defendant and others, or as a frolic, prank or practical joke, or under color of official authority. State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 524, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

The offense requires the taking, or the attempt to take, in robbery with firearms. State v. Parker, 262 N.C. 679, 138 S.E.2d 496 (1964).

Actual Possession, etc.—

In a prosecution for robbery by use of a knife, an instruction to return a verdict of guilty "as charged," without any reference to a knife or other weapon whereby the
life of the victim was endangered or threatened, is erroneous. State v. Ross, 263 N.C. 252, 150 S.E.2d 421 (1966).

Profit Immaterial.—So great is the offense when life is endangered and threatened by the use of firearms or other dangerous weapons, that it is not of controlling consequence whether the assailant profits much or little, or nothing, from their felonious undertaking. State v. Parker, 262 N.C. 679, 138 S.E.2d 496 (1964).

Force May Be Actual or Constructive.—The element of force in the offense of robbery may be actual or constructive. State v. Norris, 264 N.C. 470, 141 S.E.2d 869 (1965).


"Constructive Force."—Under constructive force are included all demonstrations of force, menaces, and other means by which the person robbed is put in fear sufficient to suspend the free exercise of his will or prevent resistance to the taking. State v. Norris, 264 N.C. 470, 141 S.E.2d 869 (1965).

Pocketknife as Dangerous Weapon.—A pocketknife, considering its use or threatened use by defendant, was a dangerous weapon. State v. Norris, 264 N.C. 470, 141 S.E.2d 869 (1965).

State must show active participation or accessory before the fact in a prosecution for armed robbery. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

Armed robbery differs in fact and in law from accessory after the fact under § 14-7. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

Hence, Prosecution Not Barred by Acquittal as Accessory.—An acquittal of a charge of accessory after the fact of armed robbery will not support a plea of former acquittal as accessory. State v. McIntosh, 260 N.C. 749, 133 S.E.2d 652 (1963).

An Indictment for Robbery with Firearms, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Hare, 243 N.C. 262, 90 S. E. (2d) 550 (1955); State v. Wenrich, 251 N. C. 460, 111 S. E. (2d) 582 (1959).

The court should not submit to the jury an included lesser crime where there is no testimony tending to show that such lesser offense was committed. But where there is evidence tending to show the commission of a lesser offense the court, of its own motion, should submit such offense to the jury for its determination. State v. Wenrich, 251 N. C. 460, 111 S. E. (2d) 582 (1959), citing State v. Holt, 192 N. C. 490, 135 S. E. 324 (1926).

Highway robbery is a lesser offense embraced in the charge of robbery with firearms or other dangerous weapon. State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S. E. (2d) 355 (1961).


In a prosecution for robbery with firearms, an accused may be acquitted of the major charge and convicted of an included or lesser offense, such as common-law robbery, or assault, or larceny from the person, or simple larceny, if a verdict for the included or lesser offense is supported by allegations of the indictment and by evidence on the trial. State v. Parker, 262 N.C. 679, 138 S.E.2d 496 (1964).

Indictment Must Allege Facts Bringing Case within Section.—To support a judgment imposing a prison term for highway robbery in excess of ten years, the bill of indictment must allege facts sufficient to bring the case within the additional requirement and in accord with the tenor and substance of this section. State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S. E. (2d) 355 (1961).

But allegation that the intent to convert the personal property stolen to the defendant's own use is not required to be alleged in the bill of indictment. State v. Williams, 265 N.C. 446, 144 S.E.2d 267 (1965).

Indictment Insufficient to Permit Punishment under Section.—A bill of indictment was sufficient to support a plea or conviction of highway robbery, for the facts alleged were sufficient to charge robbery by intimidation or violence, which is the gist of common-law robbery, but it did not allege that the life of a person was endangered or threatened by the use or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, instrument or means; hence, the indictment did not contain the additional allegations required in order to permit the more severe punishment provided for in this section. State v. Stewart, 255 N.C. 571, 122 S. E. (2d) 355 (1961).

An indictment charging that defendant at a specified time and place did "with force and arms" feloniously steal, take, and carry away from a person specified a sum of money, charges the crime of larceny and not that of robbery. State v. Acrey, 262 N.C. 90, 136 S.E.2d 201 (1964).
Plea of Guilty of Robbery without Firearms.—Where defendant was charged with attempted robbery with firearms, his plea of guilty of robbery without firearms was insufficient to support judgment, and the court erred in accepting such plea. State v. Hare, 243 N. C. 262, 90 S. E. (2d) 550 (1955).

Upon a plea of guilty of highway robbery the court may not change the effect of the plea by finding facts and thereby expose defendant to greater punishment than the plea will support. State v. Stewart, 255 N. C. 571, 122 S. E. (2d) 355 (1961).

Indictments Consolidated.—An indictment charging defendants with rape and an indictment charging defendants with armed robbery may be consolidated for trial when it appears that defendants stopped the car in which husband and wife were riding, forced them into the woods where each raped the wife while the other held a pistol on the husband, and that one of them committed robbery from the person of the husband while he was being held at the point of the pistol, since the crimes are so connected in time and place that the evidence on the trial of the one is competent and admissible on the trial of the other. State v. Morrow, 262 N.C. 592, 138 S.E.2d 215 (1964).

Proof of Intent.—When, in order to serve a temporary purpose of his own, one takes property (1) with the specific intent wholly and permanently to deprive the owner of it, or (2) under circumstances which render it unlikely that the owner will ever recover his property and which disclose the taker's total indifference to his rights, one takes it with the intent to steal (animus furandi). State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

Where the evidence does not permit the inference that defendant ever intended to return the property forcibly taken but requires the conclusion that defendant was totally indifferent as to whether the owner ever recovered the property, there is no justification for indulging the fiction that the taking was for a temporary purpose, without any animus furandi or luceri causa. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

The intent to convert to one's own use is met by showing an intent to deprive the owner of his property permanently for the use of the taker, although he might have in mind to benefit another. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

Evidence.—Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to the jury on the charge of robbery with firearms. State v. Dorsett, 245 N. C. 47, 95 S. E. (2d) 90 (1956).


Evidence tending to show that the victim of a robbery was left unconscious from a blow, inflicting a wound in the back of her head requiring eight stitches to close and causing her to be hospitalized for two weeks, is sufficient to show that the robbery was committed by the use of a dangerous weapon, since the dangerous character of the weapon may be inferred from the wound. State v. Rowland, 263 N.C. 353, 139 S.E.2d 661 (1965).

The evidence tended to show that defendant was apprehended by the owner of a filling station after defendant had broken into the station, and that defendant by the use of a pistol disarmed such owner and took his rifle. Even conceding that defendant took the rifle "for a temporary use" and that he intended thereafter to abandon the rifle at the first opportunity, the evidence conclusively shows that defendant intended to deprive the owner permanently of the rifle or to leave the recovery of the rifle by the owner to mere chance, and therefore the evidence discloses the animus furandi, and does not require the court to submit the question of defendant's guilt of assault as a less degree of the offense of robbery with firearms. State v. Smith, 268 N.C. 167, 150 S.E.2d 194 (1966).

Attempt.—An attempt to take money or other personal property from another under the circumstances delineated by this section constitutes an accomplished offense, and is punishable to the same extent as if there was an actual taking. State v. Spratt, 265 N.C. 524, 144 S.E.2d 569 (1965).

Failure to Instruct on Common-Law Robbery.—Where the State's evidence is to the effect that defendant's companion held a knife to the victim's throat in perpetrating a robbery, and that the victim received a cut on his neck, and that defendant and his companion attacked and beat their victim and took money from his person, but no knife is introduced in evidence or described by any witness, it is error for the court to fail to submit the question of defendant's guilt of the lesser crime of common-law robbery. State v. Ross, 268 N.C. 282, 150 S.E.2d 421 (1966).

Maximum Punishment.—Defendant may be sentenced to imprisonment not to exceed thirty years upon conviction of armed robbery. State v. White, 262 N.C. 52, 136 S.E.2d 205 (1964).
When, on a charge of robbery with firearms or other dangerous weapon, the jury returns a verdict of guilty of robbery, the maximum sentence that may be imposed is ten years. State v. Williams, 265 N.C. 446, 144 S.E.2d 267 (1964).

A sentence of 24 to 30 years for the offense of robbery with firearms does not exceed the maximum prescribed by this section and does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. State v. LePard, 27 N.C. 157, 153 S.E.2d 875 (1967).

If defendant believes that the sentence imposed under this section upon his plea of guilty, understandingly and voluntarily made, is excessive, his sole recourse is to executive clemency, the sentence being within the statutory maximum. State v. Baugh, 268 N.C. 294, 150 S.E.2d 437 (1966).

§ 14-88. Train robbery.


§ 14-89. Attempted train robbery.

Editor's Note.—For comment on criminal conspiracy in North Carolina, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 422.

§ 14-89.1. Safecracking and safe robbery.—Any person who shall by the use of explosives, drills, or other tools unlawfully force open or attempt to force open or “pick” the combination of a safe or vault used for storing money or other valuables, shall, upon conviction thereof, receive a sentence, in the discretion of the trial judge, of from ten years to life imprisonment in the State penitentiary. (1961, c. 653.)

Violation of this section is a felony. State v. Whaley, 262 N.C. 536, 138 S.E.2d 128 (1964).

Indictment.—An indictment for violation of this section which does not contain the word “feloniously” is fatally defective. State v. Whaley, 262 N.C. 536, 138 S.E.2d 128 (1964).


§ 14-90. Embezzlement of property received by virtue of office or employment. If any person exercising a public trust or holding a public office, or any guardian, administrator, executor, trustee, or any receiver, or any other

ARTICLE 18.

Embezzlement.

§ 14-90. Embezzlement of property received by virtue of office or employment. If any person exercising a public trust or holding a public office, or any guardian, administrator, executor, trustee, or any receiver, or any other
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fiduciary, or any officer or agent of a corporation, or any agent, consignee, clerk, bailee or servant, except persons under the age of sixteen years, of any person, shall embezzle or fraudulently or knowingly and willfully misapply or convert to his own use, or shall take, make away with or secrete, with intent to embezzle or fraudulently or knowingly and willfully misapply or convert to his own use any money, goods or other chattels, bank note, check or order for the payment of money issued by or drawn on any bank or other corporation, or any treasury warrant, treasury note, bond or obligation for the payment of money issued by the United States or by any state, or any other valuable security whatsoever belonging to any other person or corporation, unincorporated association or organization which shall have come into his possession or under his care, he shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be punished as in cases of larceny. (21 Hen. VII, c. 7; 1871-2, c. 145, s. 2; Code, s. 1014; 1889, c. 226; 1891, c. 188; 1897, c. 31; Rev., s. 3406; 1919, c. 97, s. 25; C. S., s. 4268; 1931, c. 158; 1939, c. 1; 1941, c. 31; 1967, c. 819.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1967 amendment inserted "unincorporated association or organization" near the end of the section.

Origin and Purpose.—
In accord with original. See State v. Griffin, 239 N. C. 41, 79 S. E. (2d) 230 (1933).

The offense of embezzlement is exclusively statutory, etc.—

Elements of Offense. — This section makes criminal the fraudulent conversion of personal property by one occupying some position of trust or some fiduciary relationship. The person accused must have been entrusted with and received into his possession lawfully the personal property of another, and thereafter with felonious intent must have fraudulently converted the property to his own use. State v. Griffin, 239 N. C. 41, 79 S. E. (2d) 230 (1933).

In order to convict a defendant of embezzlement, four distinct propositions of fact must be established: (1) that the defendant was the agent of the prosecutor, and (2) by the terms of his employment had received property of his principal; (3) that he received it in the course of his employment; and (4) knowing it was not his own, converted it to his own use. State v. Griffin, 239 N. C. 41, 79 S. E. (2d) 230 (1933).

In order to convict a defendant of embezzlement, he did in fact receive such money; (3) that he received this money in the course of his employment and by virtue of his fiduciary relationship; and (4) defendant knowing this money was not his own fraudulently embezzled and converted some of these payments entrusted to him in his fiduciary relationship to his own use. State v. Helsabeck, 258 N. C. 107, 123 S. E. (2d) 205 (1963).

Trespass is not a necessary element. In embezzlement the possession of the property is acquired lawfully by virtue of the fiduciary relationship and thereafter the felonious intent and fraudulent conversion enter in to make the act of appropriation a crime. State v. Griffin, 239 N. C. 41, 79 S. E. (2d) 230 (1933).

To Whom Section Applies.—
Where the relationship between the parties is that of debtor and creditor and not that of employee and employer, the debtor cannot be guilty of embezzlement of any funds due on the account. Gray v. Bennett, 250 N. C. 707, 110 S. E. (2d) 324 (1961).

Allegations and Proof.—
Where the owner of embezzled property is an association, partnership, corporation, or other firm or organization, there must be allegations showing such organization to be a legal entity capable of owning property as such, or the individuals comprising the same and owning the property should be set out as owners. State v. Thornton, 251 N. C. 658, 111 S. E. (2d) 901 (1960).

How Fraudulent Intent Shown.—The fraudulent intent within the meaning of this section may be shown by direct evidence, or by evidence of facts and circumstances from which it may reasonably be inferred. State v. Helsabeck, 258 N. C. 107, 123 S. E. (2d) 205 (1962).
Evidence Sufficient to Go to Jury.— Evidence that defendant was employed on a commission basis to procure construction contracts for his principal, that he procured such contract, collected from the contractee the entire contract price and converted it to his own use, notwithstanding he was entitled to only a small part thereof as commission, was held sufficient to overrule defendant's motion for nonsuit in a prosecution under this section. State v. Block, 245 N. C. 661, 97 S. E. (2d) 243 (1957).

Article 19.

False Pretenses and Cheats.

§ 14-100. Obtaining property by false tokens and other false pretenses.

Cross Reference.— As to obtaining property or services by false or fraudulent use of credit cards or other means, see §§ 14-113.1 to 14-113.6.

Elements of the Crime.— A false pretense or representation, to be indictable, must be an untrue statement of a past or an existing fact. False representations amounting to mere promises or statements of intention have reference to future events and are not criminal within false pretense statutes, even though they induce the party defrauded to part with his property. State v. Hargett, 259 N. C. 496, 130 S. E. (2d) 865 (1963).

Same—Subsisting Fact.— No matter what the form, or however false the promise, to do something in the future, it will not come within the statute. There must be a false allegation of some subsisting fact; but there need not be any token. State v. Hargett, 259 N. C. 496, 130 S. E. (2d) 865 (1963).

The Indictment.— Indictment failing to include the word "feloniously" was held insufficient in State v. Fowler, 266 N.C. 528, 146 S.E.2d 418 (1966).

An indictment charging that defendant, who owned a casket, a box in which it was to be placed, and a cemetery used for burial purposes, promised to bury the son of the prosecuting witness in the casket shown and give the body a decent burial, and that defendant did not bury the child in the casket shown and in a separate grave, held fatally defective, since the averments other than those in regard to existing facts related to promises for future fulfillment, which were insufficient basis for a prosecution for false pretense. State v. Hargett, 259 N. C. 496, 130 S. E. (2d) 865 (1963).


§ 14-106. Obtaining property in return for worthless check, draft or order.


§ 14-107. Worthless checks.— It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, to draw, make, utter or issue and deliver to another, any check or draft on any bank or depository, for the payment of money or its equivalent, knowing at the time of the making, drawing, uttering, issuing and delivering such check or draft as aforesaid, that the maker or drawer thereof has not sufficient funds on deposit in or credit with such bank or depository with which to pay the same upon presentation.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to solicit or to aid and abet any other person, firm or corporation to draw, make, utter or issue and deliver to any person, firm or corporation, any check or draft on any bank or depository for the payment of money or its equivalent, being informed, knowing or having reasonable grounds for believing at the time of the soliciting or the aiding and abetting that the maker or the drawer of the check or draft has not sufficient funds on deposit in, or credit with, such bank or depository with which to pay the same upon presentation.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. [If the amount due on such check is not over fifty dol-
The word "credit" as used herein shall be construed to mean an arrangement or understanding with the bank or depository for the payment of any such check or draft. The part of this section in brackets shall only apply to the counties of Alamance, Alleghany, Anson, Ashe, Avery, Beautort, Bertie, Bladen, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Carteret, Caswell, Catawba, Chatham, Cherokee, Chowan, Clay, Columbus, Cumberland, Currituck, Davidson, Davie, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gaston, Gates, Graham, Granville, Greene, Guilford, Halifax, Harnett, Haywood, Henderson, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Iredell, Jackson, Johnston, Jones, Lee, Lenoir, Lincoln, McDowell, Macon, Madison, Martin, Mecklenburg, Mitchell, Moore, Nash, Northampton, Onslow, Orange, Pamlico, Pender, Person, Pitt, Randolph, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Sampson, Scotland, Stanly, Stokes, Surry, Swain, Transylvania, Vance, Wake, Washington, Watauga, Wayne, Wilkes, Wilson, Yadkin and Yancey. (1925, c. 14; 1927, c. 62; 1929, c. 273, ss. 1, 2; 1931, cc. 63, 138; 1933, cc. 43, 64, 93, 170, 362, 458; 1939, c. 346; 1949, cc. 183, 332; 1951, 6356.7 19619; 1963, c. 199.)

Local Modification.—Craven: 1963, c. 199.

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment inserted "Pender" in the list of counties in the last paragraph. The first 1963 amendment inserted "Wilson," the second 1963 amendment inserted "McDowell" and the third 1963 amendment inserted "Person" in the list of counties.

The first 1967 amendment deleted "Union" from the list of counties. Section 2 of the amendatory act provides: "This act shall apply only to checks or drafts issued and delivered after March 31, 1967."

The second 1967 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, inserted "Durham" in the list of counties in the last paragraph.

This Section Is Constitutional.—See Mathis v. North Carolina, 266 F. Supp. 844 (M.D.N.C. 1967).

The offense condemned by this section is the giving of a worthless check and its consequent disturbance of business integrity. State v. Ivey, 248 N. C. 316, 103 S. E. (2d) 398 (1958).


Representation Constituting False Pretense.—The drawing and delivery of a check to a third person, without more, is a representation that drawer has funds sufficient to insure payment upon presentation, and if known to be untrue, is a false pretense. Nunn v. Smith, 270 N.C. 374, 154 S.E.2d 497 (1967).

It Is Not the Attempted Payment, etc.—In accord with original. See State v. Jackson, 243 N C. 216, 90 S E (2d) 507 (1955).

Regardless of the consent of anyone, the giving of a worthless check in contravention of this section is a crime. State v. Jackson, 243 N. C. 216, 90 S. E. (2d) 507 (1957).

Section Not Applicable to Person Signing Check under Direction as a Clerical Task.—A person authorized to sign his name under the printed name of his employer on the employer's checks, who does so under direction merely as a clerical task to authenticate the checks, cannot be found guilty of violating this section upon the nonpayment of the checks for insufficient funds. State v. Cruse, 253 N. C. 456, 117 S. E. (2d) 49 (1960).

Agreement of Payee Not to Present Check for Collection.—If at the time of delivering a check to the payee the maker knows that he has neither funds nor credit to pay the check upon presentation, the fact that the payee agrees that the check would not be presented for collection, would not constitute a defense. State v. Jackson, 243 N. C. 216, 90 S. E. (2d) 507 (1955).

Use of Wrong Check Form.—Where the evidence disclosed that the check issued by defendant was returned by the bank, not on account of insufficient funds, but because it was written on the wrong kind of check form, the court should enter
§ 14-110. Obtaining entertainment at hotels and boardinghouses without paying therefor.

Prosecution of Guest for Refusing to Pay without Deduction for Unwarranted Charges.—Evidence tending to show that the general manager of a motel in complete charge of its operations had a car towed from its premises under the mistaken belief that the owner of the car was not a guest, and that when the guest refused to pay his bill without deducting the warranted towing charges, instituted a prosecution of the guest under this section, is held sufficient to be submitted to the jury on the issue of respondeat superior in an action against the motel for malicious prosecution, the acts of the manager having been performed in furtherance of the motel's business. Ross v. Dellinger, 262 N.C. 589, 138 S.E.2d 226 (1964).

§ 14-111.1. Obtaining ambulance services without intending to pay therefor—Buncombe, Haywood and Madison counties.—Any person who with the intent to defraud shall obtain ambulance services for himself or other persons without intending at the time of obtaining such services to pay a reasonable charge therefor, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall upon conviction be fined or imprisoned at the discretion of the court. If a person or persons obtaining such services willfully fails to pay for the services within a period of ninety days after request for payment, such failure shall raise a presumption that the services were obtained with the intention to defraud, and with the intention not to pay therefor.

This section shall apply only to the counties of Buncombe, Haywood and Madison. (1965, c. 976, s. 1.)

§ 14-111.2. Obtaining ambulance services without intending to pay therefor—Alamance and other named counties.—Any person who with intent to defraud shall obtain ambulance services without intending at the time of obtaining such services to pay, if financially able, any reasonable charges therefor shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall upon conviction be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. A determination by the court that the recipient of
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such services has willfully failed to pay for the services rendered for a period of 90 days after request for payment, and that the recipient is financially able to do so, shall raise a presumption that the recipient at the time of obtaining the services intended to defraud the provider of the services and did not intend to pay for the services.

This section shall apply to Alamance, Anson, Caswell, Davie, Gaston, Guilford, Orange, Randolph and Surry counties only. (1967, c. 964.)

§ 14-111.3. Making false ambulance request in Buncombe, Haywood and Madison counties.—It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to willfully obtain or attempt to obtain ambulance service that is not needed, or to make a false request or report that an ambulance is needed. Every person convicted of violating this section shall upon conviction be punished by a fine of fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisonment not to exceed thirty days or both such fine and imprisonment.

This section shall apply only to the counties of Buncombe, Haywood and Madison. (1965, c. 976, s. 2.)

§ 14-112.1: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 1088, s. 2.

Editor's Note. — Section 4 of c. 1088, Session Laws 1967, makes the act effective from and after ratification, but provides that it shall not apply to actions or indictments pending in courts in the State. The act was ratified July 3, 1967.

ARTICLE 19A.

Obtaining Property or Services by False or Fraudulent Use of Credit Device or Other Means.

§ 14-113.1. Use of false or counterfeit credit device; unauthorized use of another's credit device; use after notice of revocation.—It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly to obtain or attempt to obtain credit, or to purchase or attempt to purchase any goods, property or service, by the use of any false, fictitious, or counterfeit telephone number, credit number or other credit device, or by the use of any telephone number, credit number or other credit device of another without the authority of the person to whom such number or device was issued, or by the use of any telephone number, credit number or other credit device in any case where such number or device has been revoked and notice of revocation has been given to the person to whom issued. (1961, c. 223, s. 1; 1965, c. 1147; 1967, c. 1244, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — The 1965 amendment, effective July 1, 1967, deleted references to credit cards throughout this section.

The 1967 amendment, effective July 1,

§ 14-113.2. Notice defined; prima facie evidence of receipt of notice.—The word "notice" as used in § 14-113.1 shall be construed to include either notice given in person or notice given in writing to the person to whom the number or device was issued. The sending of a notice in writing by registered or certified mail in the United States mail, duly stamped and addressed to such person at his last address known to the issuer, shall be prima facie evidence that such notice was duly received after five days from the date of deposit in the mail. (1961, c. 223, s. 3; 1965, c. 1147; 1967, c. 1244, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — Prior to the 1965 amendment, this section was designated as § 14-113.3.

§ 14-113.3. Use of credit device as prima facie evidence of knowledge.—The presentation or use of a revoked, false, fictitious or counterfeit tele-
§ 14-113.4. Avoiding or attempting to avoid payment for telecommunication services.—It shall be unlawful for any person to avoid or attempt to avoid, or to cause another to avoid, the lawful charges, in whole or in part, for any telephone or telegraph service or for the transmission of a message, signal or other communication by telephone or telegraph, or over telephone or telegraph facilities by the use of any fraudulent scheme, device, means or method. (1961, c. 223; 1965, c. 1147.)

Editor's Note. — Prior to the 1965 amendment, this section was designated as § 14-113.2 and made it unlawful to obtain telephone or telegraph service with intent to avoid payment.

§ 14-113.5. Making, possessing or transferring device for theft of telecommunication service; concealment of existence, origin or destination of any telecommunication.—It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly to:

(1) Make or possess any apparatus, equipment, or device designed, adapted, or which is used
   a. For commission of a theft of telecommunication service in violation of this article, or
   b. To conceal, or to assist another to conceal, from any supplier of telecommunication service or from any lawful authority the existence or place of origin or of destination of any telecommunication, or

(2) Sell, give, transport, or otherwise transfer to another or offer or advertise for sale, any apparatus, equipment, or device described in (1), above, or plans or instructions for making or assembling the same; under circumstances evincing an intent to use or employ such apparatus, equipment, or device, or to allow the same to be used or employed, for a purpose described in (1) a or (1) b, above, or knowing or having reason to believe that the same is intended to be so used, or that the aforesaid plans or instructions are intended to be used for making or assembling such apparatus, equipment, or device. (1965, c. 1147.)

§ 14-113.6. Violation made misdemeanor.—Any person violating any of the provisions of this article shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall upon conviction be fined or imprisoned, or both, at the discretion of the court. (1961, c. 223, s. 5; 1965, c. 1147.)

Editor's Note. — Prior to the 1965 amendment, this section was designated as § 14-113.5.

§ 14-113.7. Article not construed as repealing § 14-100.—This article shall not be construed as repealing § 14-100. (1961, c. 223, s. 6; 1965, c. 1147.)

Editor's Note. — Prior to the 1965 amendment, this section was designated as § 14-113.6.
§ 14-113.7a. Application of article to credit cards.—This article shall not be construed as being applicable to any credit card as the term is defined in G.S. 14-113.8. (1967, c. 1244, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—Section 4, c. 1244, Session Laws 1967, provides that this section is effective July 1, 1967.

Article 19B.

Credit Card Crime Act.

§ 14-113.8. Definitions.—The following words and phrases as used in this chapter, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

(1) Cardholder.—“Cardholder” means the person or organization named on the face of a credit card to whom or for whose benefit the credit card is issued by an issuer.

(2) Credit Card.—“Credit card” means any instrument or device, whether known as a credit card, credit plate, or by any other name, issued with or without fee by an issuer for the use of the cardholder in obtaining money, goods, services or anything else of value on credit.

(3) Expired Credit Card.—“Expired credit card” means a credit card which is no longer valid because the term shown on it has elapsed.

(4) Issuer.—“Issuer” means the business organization or financial institution which issues a credit card or its duly authorized agent.

(5) Receives.—“Receives” or “receiving” means acquiring possession or control or accepting as security for a loan.

(6) Revoked Credit Card.—“Revoked credit card” means a credit card which is no longer valid because permission to use it has been suspended or terminated by the issuer. (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—Section 4, c. 1244, Session Laws 1967, provides that this article is effective July 1, 1967.

§ 14-113.9. Credit card theft.—(a) A person is guilty of credit card theft when:

(1) He takes, obtains or withholds a credit card from the person, possession, custody or control of another without the cardholder’s consent or who, with knowledge that it has been so taken, obtained or withheld, receives the credit card with intent to use it or to sell it, or to transfer it to a person other than the issuer or the cardholder; or

(2) He receives a credit card that he knows to have been lost, mislaid, or delivered under a mistake as to the identity or address of the cardholder, and who retains possession with intent to use it or to sell it or to transfer it to a person other than the issuer or the cardholder; or

(3) He, not being the issuer, sells a credit card or buys a credit card from a person other than the issuer; or

(4) He, not being the issuer, during any 12-month period, receives credit cards issued in the names of two or more persons which he has reason to know were taken or retained under circumstances which constitute a violation of G.S. 14-113.13 (a) (3) and subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of this section.

(b) Taking, obtaining or withholding a credit card without consent is included in conduct defined in G.S. 14-75 as larceny.

Conviction of credit card theft is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (b). (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)
§ 14-113.10. Prima facie evidence of theft.—When a person has in his possession or under his control credit cards issued in the names of two or more other persons other than members of his immediate family, such possession shall be prima facie evidence that such credit cards have been obtained in violation of subsection (a) of G.S. 14-113.9. (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.11. Forgery of credit card.—(a) A person is guilty of credit card forgery when:

(1) With intent to defraud a purported issuer, a person or organization providing money, goods, services or anything else of value, or any other person, he falsely makes or falsely embosses a purported credit card or utters such a credit card; or

(2) He, not being the cardholder or a person authorized by him, with intent to defraud the issuer, or a person or organization providing money, goods, services or anything else of value, or any other person, signs a credit card.

(b) A person falsely makes a credit card when he makes or draws, in whole or in part, a device or instrument which purports to be the credit card of a named issuer but which is not such a credit card because the issuer did not authorize the making or drawing, or alters a credit card which was validly issued.

(c) A person falsely embosses a credit card when, without the authorization of the named issuer, he completes a credit card by adding any of the matter, other than the signature of the cardholder, which an issuer requires to appear on the credit card before it can be used by a cardholder. Conviction of credit card forgery shall be punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (b). (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.12. Prima facie evidence of forgery.—(a) When a person, other than the purported issuer, possesses two or more credit cards which are falsely made or falsely embossed, such possession shall be prima facie evidence that said cards were obtained in violation of G.S. 14-113.11 (a) (1).

(b) When a person, other than the cardholder or a person authorized by him, possesses two or more credit cards which are signed, such possession shall be prima facie evidence that said cards were obtained in violation of G.S. 14-113.11 (a) (2). (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.13. Credit card fraud.—(a) A person is guilty of credit card fraud when, with intent to defraud the issuer, a person or organization providing money, goods, services or anything else of value, or any other person, he

(1) Uses for the purpose of obtaining money, goods, services or anything else of value a credit card obtained or retained in violation of G.S. 14-113.9 or a credit card which he knows is forged, expired or revoked; or

(2) Obtains money, goods, services or anything else of value by representing without the consent of the cardholder that he is the holder of a specified card or by representing that he is the holder of a card and such card has not in fact been issued; or

(3) Obtains control over a credit card as security for debt.

(b) A person who is authorized by an issuer to furnish money, goods, services or anything else of value upon presentation of a credit card by the cardholder, or any agent or employee of such person, is guilty of a credit card fraud when, with intent to defraud the issuer or the cardholder, he

(1) Furnishes money, goods, services or anything else of value upon presentation of a credit card obtained or retained in violation of G.S. 14-113.9, or a credit card which he knows is forged, expired or revoked; or

(2) Fails to furnish money, goods, services or anything else of value which he represents in writing to the issuer that he has furnished.
§ 14-113.14 1967 Cumulative Supplement § 14-113.17

Conviction of credit card fraud is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (a) if the value of all money, goods, services and other things of value furnished in violation of this section, or if the difference between the value of all money, goods, services and anything else of value actually furnished and the value represented to the issuer to have been furnished in violation of this section, does not exceed five hundred dollars ($500.00) in any six-month period; conviction of credit card fraud is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (b) if such value exceeds five hundred dollars ($500.00) in any six-month period. (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.14. Criminal possession of credit card forgery devices.—(a) A person is guilty of criminal possession of credit card forgery devices when:

(1) He is a person other than the cardholder and possesses two or more incomplete credit cards, with intent to complete them without the consent of the issuer; or

(2) He possesses, with knowledge of its character, machinery, plates or any other contrivance designed to reproduce instruments purporting to be credit cards of an issuer who has not consented to the preparation of such credit cards.

(b) A credit card is incomplete if part of the matter other than the signature of the cardholder, which an issuer requires to appear on the credit card before it can be used by a cardholder, has not yet been stamped, embossed, imprinted or written upon.

Conviction of criminal possession of credit card forgery devices is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (b). (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.15. Criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained.—A person is guilty of criminally receiving goods and services fraudulently obtained when he receives money, goods, services or anything else of value obtained in violation of G.S. 14-113.13 (a) with the knowledge or belief that the same were obtained in violation of G.S. 14-113.13 (a). Conviction of criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (a) if the value of all money, goods, services and anything else of value, obtained in violation of this section, does not exceed five hundred dollars ($500.00) in any six-month period; conviction of criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained is punishable as provided in G.S. 14-113.17 (b) if such value exceeds five hundred dollars ($500.00) in any six-month period. (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.16. Presumption of criminal receipt of goods and services fraudulently obtained.—A person who obtains at a discount price a ticket issued by an airline, railroad, steamship or other transportation company from other than an authorized agent of such company which was acquired in violation of G.S. 14-113.13 (a) without reasonable inquiry to ascertain that the person from whom it was obtained had a legal right to possess it shall be presumed to know that such ticket was acquired under circumstances constituting a violation of G.S. 14-113.13 (a). (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)

§ 14-113.17. Punishment and penalties.—(a) A person who is subject to the punishment and penalties of this subsection shall be fined not more than one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(b) A crime punishable under this subsection is a felony and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) or imprisonment for not more than three years, or both. (1967, c. 1244, s. 2.)
§ 14-114. Fraudulent disposal of mortgaged personal property.

Indictment Must Identify Transaction and Point to Offense Charged. — In a prosecution under this section, the bill of indictment must allege the facts and circumstances so as to identify the transaction and point with reasonable certainty to the offense charged. State v. Helms, 247 N. C. 740, 102 S. E. (2d) 241 (1958).


§ 14-118.1. Simulation of court process in connection with collection of claim, demand or account.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, association, agent or employee to in any manner coerce, intimidate or attempt to coerce or intimidate any person by the issuance, utterance or delivery of any matter, printed, typed or written, which simulates or is intended to simulate a summons, warrant, writ or other court process in connection with any claim, demand or account or any forms of demand or notice or other document drawn to resemble court process, writs, summonses, warrants or pleadings or any simulation of seals or words using the name of the State or county or any likeness thereof, or the words “State of North Carolina” or any of the several counties of the State as a part of such simulation. Any violation of the provisions of this section shall be a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than two hundred dollars ($200.00) or by imprisonment of not more than six months, or both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court. (1961, c. 1188.)

§ 14-118.2. Assisting, etc., in obtaining academic credit by fraudulent means.—(a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association to assist any student, or advertise, offer or attempt to assist any student, in obtaining or in attempting to obtain, by fraudulent means, any academic credit, or any diploma, certificate or other instrument purporting to confer any literary, scientific, professional, technical or other degree in any course of study in any university, college, academy or other educational institution. The activity prohibited by this subsection includes, but is not limited to, preparing or advertising, offering, or attempting to prepare a term paper, thesis, or dissertation for another and impersonating or advertising, offering or attempting to impersonate another in taking or attempting to take an examination.

(b) Any person, firm, corporation or association violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined or imprisoned, or both, in the discretion of the court. Provided, however, the provisions of this section shall not apply to the acts of one student in assisting another student as herein defined if the former is duly registered in an educational institution and is subject to the disciplinary authority thereof. (1963, c. 781.)

§ 14-118.3. Acquisition and use of information obtained from patients in hospitals for fraudulent purposes.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, or any officer, agent or other representative of any person, firm or corporation to obtain or seek to obtain from any person while a patient in any hospital information concerning any illness, injury or disease of such patient, other than information concerning the illness, injury or disease for which such patient is then hospitalized and being treated, for a fraudulent purpose, or to use any information so obtained in regard to such other illness, injury or disease for a fraudulent purpose.

Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned, in the discretion of the court. (1967, c. 974.)
Forgery.

§ 14-119. Forgery of bank notes, checks and other securities.

Elements of Offense.—

Three elements are necessary to constitute the offense of forgery: (1) There must be a false making or alteration of some instrument in writing; (2) there must be a fraudulent intent; and (3) the instrument must be apparently capable of effecting a fraud. State v. Phillips, 256 N. C. 445, 124 S. E. (2d) 146 (1962).

The three essential elements necessary to constitute the crime of forgery are: (1) A false making of a check, (2) a fraudulent intent on the part of the person who knowingly participated in the false making of the check, and (3) the check was apparently capable of effecting a fraud. State v. Keller, 268 N.C. 522, 151 S.E.2d 56 (1966).

Signing Fictitious Name.—If the name signed to a negotiable instrument, or other instrument requiring a signature, is fictitious, of necessity, the name must have been affixed by one without authority, and if a person signs a fictitious name to such instrument with the purpose and intent to defraud—the instrument being apparently in form to import legal liability—an indictable forgery is committed. State v. Phillips, 256 N. C. 445, 124 S. E. (2d) 146 (1962).

State Must Show Want of Authority.—

If the purported maker is a real person and actually exists, the State is required to show not only that the signature in question is not genuine, but was made by defendant without authority. State v. Phillips, 256 N. C. 445, 124 S. E. (2d) 146 (1962).

Presumption of Authority.—Where defendant signs the name of another person to an instrument, there is no presumption of want of authority; on the contrary, where it appears that accused signed the name of another to an instrument, it is presumed that he did so with authority.

§ 14-120. Uttering forged paper or instrument containing a forged endorsement.—If any person, directly or indirectly, whether for the sake of gain or with intent to defraud or injure any other person, shall utter or publish any such false, forged or counterfeited bill, note, order, check or security as is mentioned in the preceding section; or shall pass or deliver, or attempt to pass or deliver, any of them to another person (knowing the same to be falsely forged or counterfeited), the person so offending shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail or State's prison not less than four months nor more than ten years. If any person, directly or indirectly, whether for the sake of gain or with
intent to defraud or injure any other person, shall falsely make, forge or counterfeit any endorsement on any instrument described in the preceding section, whether such instrument be genuine or false, or shall knowingly utter or publish any such instrument containing a false, forged or counterfeited endorsement or, knowing the same to be falsely endorsed, shall pass or deliver or attempt to pass or deliver any such instrument containing a forged endorsement to another person, the person so offending shall be guilty of a felony and punishable by the same punishment provided in the preceding sentence. (1819, c. 994, s. 2, P. R.; R. C., c. 34, s. 61; Code, s. 1031; Rev., s. 3427; 1909, c. 666; C. S., s. 4294; 1961, c. 94.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment added the second sentence.

A check filled out by the payee at the direction of the drawer falls within the meaning of the words "directly or indirectly" as used in this section. State v. Cranfield, 238 N.C. 110, 76 S.E. (2d) 353 (1953)

Evidence of Former Acts.—In a prosecution for forgery and issuing a forged instrument under this section and § 14-119, evidence that defendant had theretofore forged checks other than those specified in the indictment may be competent on the question of intent. State v. Painter, 265 N.C. 277, 144 S.E.2d 6 (1965).

Evidence Held Sufficient.—See note under § 14-119.

Punishment.—Where the sentences imposed on defendant's plea of guilty, understandingly and voluntarily made, are within the limits prescribed by this section and § 14-119, such sentences cannot be considered cruel or unusual in the constitutional sense. State v. Newell, 268 N.C. 300, 150 S.E.2d 405 (1966).

A contention that the punishment for forging and uttering a check in violation of this section and § 14-119, by analogy to § 14-72, should be limited to the punishment imposed for a misdemeanor is untenable since a violation of each section is a felony and the court has no power to amend an act of the General Assembly. State v. Davis, 267 N.C. 126, 147 S.E.2d 570 (1966).

A charge of uttering a forged check, even if enough to break a bank, cannot support a judgment of imprisonment exceeding ten years. State v. Wright, 261 N.C. 356, 134 S.E.2d 624 (1964).


SUBCHAPTER VI. CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

ARTICLE 22.

TRESPASSES TO LAND AND FIXTURES.

§ 14-126. Forcible entry and detainer.

Editor's Note.—For discussion of the distinctions between the common-law crime of forcible trespass to real property and forcible entry and detainer, see 39 N.C. Law Rev. 121.

Constitutionality.—See note to § 14-134.

This section and § 14-134 place no limitation on the right of the person in possession to object to a disturbance of his actual or constructive possession. The possessor may accept or reject whomsoever he pleases and for whatsoever whim suits his fancy. When that possession is wrongfully disturbed it is a misdemeanor. The extent of punishment is dependent upon the character of the possession, actual or constructive, and the manner in which the trespass is committed. State v. Clyburn, 247 N.C. 455, 101 S. E. (2d) 295 (1958).

The word "entry" as used in this section and § 14-134, is synonymous with the word "trespass." It means an occupancy or possession contrary to the wishes and in derogation of the rights of the person having actual or constructive possession. State v. Clyburn, 247 N.C. 455, 101 S. E. (2d) 295 (1958).


But One Who Remains after Being Directed to Leave Is Guilty of Wrongful Entry.—In applying this section, one who remains after being directed to leave is guilty of a wrongful entry even though the original entrance was peaceful and authorized. State v. Clyburn, 247 N.C. 455, 101 S. E. (2d) 295 (1958); State v. Avent, 253
§ 14-127. Wilful and wanton injury to real property.—If any person shall wilfully and wantonly damage, injure or destroy any real property whatsoever, either of a public or private nature, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by fine or imprisonment or both, in the discretion of the court. (R. C., c. 34, s. 111; 1873-4, c. 176, s. 5; Code, s. 1081; Rev., s. 3677; C. S., s. 4301; 1967, c. 1083.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.


§ 14-128. Injury to trees, crops, lands, etc., of another.—Any person, not being on his own lands, who shall without the consent of the owner thereof, wilfully commit any damage, injury, or spoliation to or upon any tree, wood, underwood timber, garden, crops, vegetables, plants, lands, springs, or any other matter or thing growing or being thereon, or who cuts, breaks, injures, or removes any tree, plant, or flower, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be fined not exceeding fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisoned not exceeding thirty (30) days: Provided, however, that this section shall not apply to the officers, agents, and employees of the State Highway Commission while in the discharge of their duties within the right of way or easement of the Commission. (Ex. Sess. 1924, c. 54; 1957, c. 65, s. 11; c. 754; 1965, c. 300, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment eliminated "or who, not being on his own lands, and without the consent of the owner, shall wilfully deposit any trash, debris, garbage, or litter thereon."

§ 14-128.1. Unauthorized cutting, digging, removal or transportation of certain ornamental plants and trees.—(a) As used in this section, the words "ornamental plants or trees" shall mean any Venus fly trap (Dionaea Muscipula), trailing arbutus, American holly, white pine, red cedar, balsam, hemlock or other coniferous trees, flowering dogwood, mountain laurel, rhododendron, ground pine, Christmas greens, Judas tree, leucothea, azalea, or any other ornamental plant or ornamental tree, or any part thereof.

(b) No person shall cut, dig up, break off or otherwise sever from the lands of another within this State any ornamental plants or trees without first procuring and having in his possession a bill of sale or written permit executed by the owner or the duly authorized agent of the owner of the land from which such ornamental plants or trees are being cut, dug up, broken off or otherwise severed.

(c) No person shall transport on the streets, highways or public roads of the State more than two ornamental plants or trees taken from the lands of another in this State without having in his possession a bill of sale for the purchase there-
§ 14-129. Taking, etc., of certain wild plants from land of another.
—No person, firm or corporation shall dig up, pull up or take from the land of another or from any public domain, the whole or any part of any venus fly trap (Dionaea Muscipula), trailing arbutus, American holly, white pine, red cedar, hemlock or other coniferous trees, or any flowering dogwood, any mountain laurel, any rhododendron, or any ground pine, or any Christmas greens, or any Judas tree, or any leucothea, or any azalea, without having in his possession a permit to dig up, pull up or take such plants, signed by the owner of such land, or by his duly authorized agent. Any person convicted of violating the provisions of this section shall be fined not less than ten dollars ($10.00) nor more than fifty dollars ($50.00) for each offense. The provisions of this section shall not apply to the counties of Cabarrus, Carteret, Catawba, Cherokee, Chowan, Cumberland, Currituck, Dare, Duplin, Edgecombe, Franklin, Gaston, Granville, Hertford, McDowell, Pamlico, Pender, Person, Richmond, Rockingham, Rowan and Swain. (1941, c. 253; 1951, c. 367; s. 1; 1955, cc. 251, 962; 1961, c. 1021; 1967, c. 355.)

Local Modification. — Avery, Mitchell The 1961 amendment deleted “Avery” and Watauga: 1967, c. 355. from the list of counties.

Editor’s Note.—The 1955 amendments deleted “Durham” and “Warren” from the list of counties in the last sentence.

§ 14-129.1. Selling or bartering Venus flytrap.—In order to prevent the extinction of the rapidly disappearing rare and unique plant known as the Venus flytrap (Dionaea Muscipula), it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell or barter or to export for sale or barter, any Venus flytrap plant or any part thereof. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court: Provided, this section shall not apply to the sale or exportation of the Venus flytrap plant for the purposes of scientific experimentation or study when such sale or export for such purposes has been authorized in writing by the Department of Conservation and Development. Provided further, that this section shall not prevent any person from selling or exporting for sale any Venus flytrap plant which such person has cultivated domestically under controlled conditions if the person so cultivating such plants
§ 14-132.1 Demonstrations or assemblies of persons kneeling or lying down in public buildings.—If any person, persons, group or assembly of persons, after being forbidden to do so by the supervisor, keeper, custodian or person in charge of any public building of the State or of any county or municipality shall go or enter into such public building so owned by the State, county or municipality or shall enter upon the lands in or near any such public building and shall engage in sitting, kneeling, lying down or inclining so as to obstruct the ingress or egress of members of the public in the use of said building for normal business affairs or who shall congregate, assemble or by groups or formations, whether organized or unorganized, or by any method or manner whatsoever, so as to block or interfere with the customary, normal use of said building or the land or grounds in, around and adjacent to said building, such person or persons shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction, plea of guilty or nolo contendere, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed fifty dollars ($50.00) or by imprisonment not to exceed thirty days, or both such fine or imprisonment. (1965, c. 1183.)

§ 14-133. Erecting artificial islands and junks in public waters.

Quoted in part in Gaither v. Albemarle Hospital, 235 N. C. 431, 70 S. E. (2d) 680 (1952).

§ 14-134. Trespass on land after being forbidden; license to look for estrays.—If any person after being forbidden to do so, shall go or enter upon the lands of another, without a license therefor, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and on conviction, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court, or both such fine and imprisonment: Provided, that if any person shall make a written affidavit before a justice of the peace of the county that any of his cattle or other livestock (which shall be specially described in such affidavit) have strayed away, and that he has good reason to believe that they are on the lands of a certain other person, then the justice may, in his discretion, allow the affiant to enter on the premises of such person with one or more servants, without firearms, in the daytime (Sunday excepted), between the hours of sunrise and sunset, and make search for his estrays for such limited time as to the justice shall appear reasonable. The only effect of such license shall be to protect the persons entering from indictment therefor, and the license shall have this effect only where it is made bona fide and the entry is effected without any damage except such as may be necessary to conduct the search. (1866, c. 60; Code, s. 1120; Rev., s. 3688; C. S., s. 4305; 1963, c. 1106.)

Cross Reference.—entry after being forbidden, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 121.

Editor's Note.—The 1963 amendment rewrote the penalty provision near the beginning of the section. The section as set out above follows precisely the direction of the 1963 amendatory act.

For note as to trespass prosecution not being discrimination by State, see 37 N. C. Law Rev. 73. For discussion of the distinctions between the common-law crime of forcible trespass to real property and entry after being forbidden, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 121.

For article dealing with the legal problems in southern desegregation, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 689 (1965).

Constitutionality.—This section and § 14-126 may not be held unconstitutional on the ground that they constitute State action, enforcing discrimination on the basis of race, since the statutes merely provide procedure for protection against trespassers in behalf of those in the peaceful possession
of private property without regard to race, and the application of the statute in a particular instance for the protection of the clear legal right of racial discrimination appertaining to the ownership and possession of private property is not State action enforcing segregation. State v. Avent, 253 N. C. 580, 118 S. E. (2d) 47 (1961).


Since the Civil Rights Act of 1964 forbids discrimination in places of public accommodation and removes peaceful attempts to be served on an equal basis from the category of punishable activities, pending convictions for violation of this section are abated by passage of the act, even though the conduct involved occurred prior to its enactment. Blow v. North Carolina, 379 U.S. 684, 85 Sup. Ct. 635, 13 L. Ed. 2d 603 (1965).

This statute is not too vague and indefinite to be enforceable because it does not use the specific words that the person forbidding the entry shall identify himself. This is a matter of proof. State v. Avent, 253 N. C. 580, 118 S. E. (2d) 47 (1961).


To constitute the offense forbidden by this section and with which defendants are charged there must be an entry on land after being forbidden; and such entry must be wilful, and not from ignorance, accident, or under a bona fide claim of right or license. State v. Cobb, 262 N.C. 262, 136 S.E.2d 674 (1964).

Entry under Claim of Right.—Good faith in making the entry is a defense. State v. Cooke, 246 N. C. 518, 98 S. E. (2d) 885 (1957).

An entry under a bona fide claim of right avoids criminal responsibility under this section though civil liability may remain. State v. Clyburn, 247 N. C. 455, 101 S. E. (2d) 295 (1958).

As a defense to a charge under this section, it is sufficient for defendants to establish that they entered under a bona fide belief of a right to so enter, which belief had a reasonable foundation in fact, but the burden is on the defendant to establish facts sufficient to excuse his wrongful conduct. State v. Cooke, 248 N. C. 485, 103 S. E. (2d) 846 (1958).

A mere belief on the part of a trespasser that he had a claim of right or license will not protect him; he must satisfy the jury that he had reasonable grounds for such belief. State v. Cobb, 262 N.C. 262, 136 S.E.2d 674 (1964).

License to Enter, etc.—An indictment is fatally defective if it does not charge that the entry was “without a license therefor.” State v. Smith, 263 N.C. 788, 140 S.E.2d 404 (1965).

Possession is an essential element of the crime. If the State fails to establish that prosecutor has possession (actual or constructive) no crime has been established. State v. Cooke, 246 N. C. 518, 98 S. E. (2d) 885 (1957).

It Must Be Alleged and the Proof Must Correspond.—It is necessary to allege in the warrant or bill of indictment the rightful owner or possessor of the property, and the proof must correspond with the charge. If the rightful possession is in one other than the person named in the warrant or bill, there is a fatal variance. State v. Cooke, 246 N. C. 518, 98 S. E. (2d) 885 (1957).

Entry When Sober after Entry While Intoxicated Forbidden.—Where defendant's evidence in a prosecution for trespass was to the effect that the prosecutrix had forbidden him the premises only when he was intoxicated and that on the occasion in question he was sober, his testimony, if the jury found it to be true, would entitle him to an acquittal, and he is entitled to an instruction on the legal effect of his evidence. State v. Keziah, 269 N.C. 681, 153 S.E.2d 365 (1967).

Amendment as to Possession Constitutes Fatal Variance.—On appeal to the superior court from conviction on a warrant charging trespass on the property of one person after being forbidden, the allowance of an amendment to charge the property was in the possession of a different person results in the charge of an entirely different crime and constitutes a fatal variance. State v. Cooke, 246 N. C. 518, 98 S. E. (2d) 885 (1957).

What Constitutes State Action.—An inspection report form, promulgated by the State Board of Health under §§ 72-46 to 72-49, making provisions for toilet facilities “for each sex and race” was held sufficient to constitute State action depriving the operator of a restaurant of a freedom of choice with respect to the patrons he could serve. State v. Fox, 263 N.C. 233, 139 S. E.2d 233 (1964), reversing trespass convictions of “sit-in” demonstrators.

The removal of a trespasser, whether he be white or negro, from an owner's premises by the police does not constitute State
action to enforce segregation and is not prohibited by the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal Constitution. State v. Cobb, 262 N.C. 262, 136 S.E.2d 674 (1964).

The law does not look to the motive of a proprietor but to the wrongful invasion of his property and to the disturbance of his right to undisputed possession. State v. Cobb, 262 N.C. 262, 136 S.E.2d 674 (1964).

"Sit-In" at Department Store Lunch Counter. — The operator of a privately owned department store has the right to discriminate on the basis of race as to those he will serve at the lunch counter in such store, and a negro who, with knowledge of the policy of the store not to serve negroes at the lunch counter, seats himself at the lunch counter and refuses to leave after request is guilty of trespass. State v. Cobb, 262 N.C. 262, 136 S.E.2d 674 (1964).

In accordance with mandate of the Supreme Court of the United States, conviction of the defendant of trespass in wilfully refusing to leave a restaurant after being requested to do so by the management, was reversed on the ground that the inspection form of the State Board of Health providing for toilet facilities separate for each race constituted State action depriving the operator of the restaurant of freedom of choice as to patrons he could serve. State v. Fox, 263 N.C. 233, 139 S.E.2d 233 (1964).

Trespassing on City-Owned Golf Course. — Where negroes were convicted under this section for trespassing on a city-owned golf course, despite trial court's instructions that defendants could not be found guilty if they were excluded because of their race, and decision was affirmed by the State Supreme Court, an appeal to the United State's Supreme Court was dismissed and certiorari denied for want of a federal question. Since the judgment of the State Supreme Court was independently and adequately supported on State procedural grounds, Wolfe v. North Carolina, 364 U. S. 177, 80 S. Ct. 1482, 4 L. Ed. (2d) 1650 (1960).

Punishment. — Although not expressly limited by statute, the extent of punishment for the crime of criminal trespass is limited by N.C. Const., Art. I, § 14, prescribing cruel or unusual punishments, and decisions of the State court indicate that imprisonment for up to two years would not be an "unusual punishment." Klopfer v. North Carolina, 368 U.S. 213, 87 Sup. Ct. 226, 17 L. Ed. 2d 141 (1967).


§ 14-135. Cutting, injuring, or removing another's timber.—If any person, not being the bona fide owner thereof, shall knowingly and wilfully cut down injure or remove any standing, growing or fallen tree or log, the property of another, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by a fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1889, c. 168; Rev., s. 3687; C. S., s. 4306; 1957, c. 1437, s. 1.)

Local Modification.—Granville: 1965, c. 570.

Editor's Note. — The 1957 amendment substituted the words "or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court" for the words "of not more than fifty dollars or by imprisonment for not more than thirty days." Section 2 of the amendatory act provides that G. S. 14-135 as worded immediately prior to June 12, 1957 shall continue in full force and effect with respect to all offenses committed before that date.
§ 14-136. Setting fire to grass and brush lands and woodlands.

The primary purpose of this section is to protect property from fire damage. But the enactment is broad enough to include setting fire to a grass-covered field. Benton v. Montague, 253 N. C. 695, 117 S. E. (2d) 771 (1961).

Care No Defense.—In accord with original. See Benton v. Montague, 253 N. C. 695, 117 S. E. (2d) 771 (1961).

§ 14-138. Setting fire to woodlands and grasslands with campfires.


§ 14-139. Starting fires within five hundred feet of areas under protection of State forest service.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to start or cause to be started any fire or ignite any material in any of the areas of woodlands under the protection of the State forest service or within five hundred (500) feet of any such protected area, during the hours starting at midnight and ending at 4:00 P. M., without first obtaining from the State Forester or one of his duly authorized agents a permit to start or cause to be started any fire or ignite any material in such above mentioned protected areas; the provisions of this section to be in force during the period between the first day of October and the first day of June inclusive. No charge shall be made for the granting of said permits.

During periods of hazardous forest fire conditions the State Forester is authorized to cancel all permits and prohibit the starting of any fires in any of the woodlands under the protection of the State forest service or within five hundred (500) feet of any such protected area.

This section shall not apply to any fires started or caused to be started within one hundred (100) feet of an occupied dwelling house.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined not more than fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisoned for a period of not more than thirty (30) days. (1937, c. 207; 1939, c. 120: 1953, c. 915.)

Local Modification.—Dare, Hyde, Tyrrell, Washington: 1963, c. 617. The 1953 amendment rewrote this section.

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-143. Taking unlawful possession of another's house.

Cross Reference.—See also § 14-159.

§ 14-144. Injuring houses, churches, fences and walls.—If any person shall, by any other means than burning or attempting to burn, unlawfully and willfully demolish, destroy, deface, injure or damage any of the houses or other buildings mentioned in this chapter in the article entitled Arson and Other Burnings; or shall by any other means than burning or attempting to burn unlawfully and willfully demolish, pull down, destroy, deface, damage or injure any church, uninhabited house, outhouse or other house or building not mentioned in such article; or shall unlawfully and willfully burn, destroy, pull down, injure or remove any fence, wall or other inclosure, or any part thereof, surrounding or about any yard, garden, cultivated field or pasture, or about any church or graveyard, or about any factory or other house in which machinery is used, every person so offending shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (R. C., c. 34, s. 103; Code, s. 1062; Rev., s. 3673; C. S., s. 4317; 1957, c 250, s. 2.)

I. HOUSES.

Editor's Note.—The 1957 amendment inserted, immediately after the words "or shall" in line five, the words "by any other means than burning or attempting to burn." It also deleted the word "burn" formerly appearing immediately before "demolish" in the same clause of the section.

An "uninhabited house" within the pur-
view of this section is a house fit for human habitation, but which is uninhabited at the time. State v. Long, 243 N. C. 393, 90 S. E. (2d) 739 (1956).

An indictment which charged that the defendant unlawfully, wilfully and feloniously set fire to and burned the dwelling house of named person, the same being unoccupied at the time of the burning, charged the burning of an "uninhabited house" in violation of this section, and not a violation of § 14-67. State v. Long, 243 N. C. 393, 90 S. E. (2d) 739 (1956).

Where the evidence discloses that the structure was not fit for human habitation at the time of the alleged offense, the evidence is insufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution for burning an uninhabited house in violation of this section. State v. Long, 243 N. C. 393, 90 S. E. (2d) 739 (1956).

§ 14-148. Removing or defacing monuments and tombstones.

§ 14-150. Disturbing graves.

§ 14-150.1. Desecration of public and private cemeteries.—If any person shall willfully commit any of the acts set forth in the following subdivisions, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined not more than one hundred dollars ($100.00) or imprisoned for not more than 30 days, or both, in the discretion of the court.

(1) Throwing, placing, or putting any refuse, garbage, trash, or articles of similar nature in or on a public or private cemetery where human bodies are interred.

(2) Destroying, removing, breaking, damaging, overturning, or polluting any flower, plant, shrub or ornament located in any public or private cemetery where human bodies are interred without the express consent of the person in charge of said cemetery.

Provided, nothing contained in this section shall preclude operators of such cemeteries from exercising all the powers reserved to them in their respective rules and regulations relating to the care of such cemeteries. (1967, c. 582.)

§ 14-155. Making unauthorized connections with telephone and telegraph wires.
Tape recordings allegedly containing telephone conversations by the defendant with the prosecuting witness made by a recorder attached to the witness's telephone are not incompetent in prosecuting for annoying a female by repeated telephoning in violation of § 14-196.1, because they violate the North Carolina Wiretapping Statute (this section) and also §§ 14-372 and 15-27; these statutes were not enacted to prevent introduction of evidence obtained in such a case and are not relevant in such prosecution. State v. Godwin, 267 N.C. 216, 147 S.E.2d 890 (1966).

§ 14-159. Injuring buildings or fences; taking possession of house without consent.
Cross References.—As to taking unlawful possession of another's house, see § 14-143.

ARTICLE 23.
Trespasses to Personal Property.

§ 14-160. Malicious injury to personal property.
Cross Reference. — As to prosecution for perjury based upon acquittal in former prosecution under this section, see note to § 14-209.

Injury Must Be Wanton and Wilful.—Destruction of personal property is not a crime. It becomes so only when the injury is wanton and wilful under this sec-
§ 14-165. Malicious or wilful injury to hired personal property.—Any person who shall rent or hire from any person, firm or corporation, any horse, mule or like animal, or any buggy, wagon, truck, automobile, or other like vehicle, aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value, who shall maliciously or wilfully injure or damage the same by any way using or driving the same in violation of any statute of the State of North Carolina, or who shall permit any other person so to do, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and subject to punishment as hereinafter provided. (1927, c. 61, s. 1; 1965, c. 1073, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment inserted “aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value” following “vehicle” and deleted “for temporary use” formerly following “vehicle.”

§ 14-166. Subletting of hired property.—Any person who shall rent or hire, any horse, mule, or other like animal, or any buggy, wagon, truck, automobile, or other like vehicle, aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value, who shall, without the permission of the person, firm or corporation from whom such property is rented or hired, sublet or rent the same to any other person, firm or corporation, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished as hereinafter provided. (1927, c. 61, s. 2; 1965, c. 1073, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment deleted “for temporary use” following “hire” near the beginning of the section and inserted “aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value.”

§ 14-167. Failure to return hired property.—Any person who shall rent or hire, any horse, mule or other like animal, or any buggy, wagon, truck, automobile, or other like vehicle, aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value, and who shall wilfully fail to return the same to the possession of the person, firm or corporation from whom such property has been rented or hired at the expiration of the time for which such property has been rented or hired, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished as hereinafter provided. (1927, c. 61, s. 3; 1965, c. 1073, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment deleted “for temporary use” following “hire” near the beginning of the section and inserted “aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value.”

§ 14-168. Hiring with intent to defraud.—Any person who shall, with intent to cheat and defraud the owner thereof of the rental price therefor, hire or rent any horse or mule or any other like animal, or any buggy, wagon, truck, automobile or other like vehicle, aircraft, motor, trailer, appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value, or who shall obtain the possession of the same by false and fraudulent statements made with intent to deceive, which are calculated to deceive, and which do deceive, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished as hereinafter provided. (1927, c. 61, s. 4; 1965, c. 1073, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment deleted “for temporary use” following “rent” and inserted “aircraft, motor.”
§ 14-168.1. Conversion by bailee, lessee, tenant or attorney in fact.
—Every person entrusted with any property as bailee, lessee, tenant or lodger, or
with any power of attorney for the sale or transfer thereof, who fraudulently
converts the same, or the proceeds thereof, to his own use, or secretes it with a
fraudulent intent to convert it to his own use, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.
(1965, c. 1073, s. 5.)

§ 14-168.2. Definitions.—For the purposes of this article, the terms “rent,”
“hire” and “lease” are used to designate the letting for hire of any horse, mule or
other like animal, or any buggy, wagon, truck, automobile, aircraft, motor, trailer,
 appliance, equipment, tool, or other thing of value by lease, bailment, or rental
agreement. (1965, c. 1073, s. 5.)

§ 14-168.3. Prima facie evidence of intent to convert property.—It
shall be prima facie evidence of intent to commit a crime as set forth in G.S.
14-167, 14-168, and 14-168.1 when one who has, by written instrument, leased or
rented the personal property of another:

(1) Failed or refused to return such property to its owner after the lease,
bailment, or rental agreement has expired,
   a. Within ten (10) days, and
   b. Within forty-eight (48) hours after written demand for return
      thereof is personally served or given by registered mail delivered
to the last known address provided in such lease or rental
      agreement, or

(2) When the leasing or rental of such personal property is obtained by
presentation of identification to the lessor or rentor thereof which is
false, fictitious, or knowingly not current as to name, address, place of
employment, or other identification. (1965, c. 1118.)

SUBCHAPTER VII. OFFENSES AGAINST PUBLIC
MORALITY AND DECENCY.

ARTICLE 20.
Offenses against Public Morality and Decency.

§ 14-177. Crime against nature.—If any person shall commit the crime
against nature, with mankind or beast, he shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be
fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (5 Eliz., c. 17; 25 Hen. VIII,
c. 6; R. C., c. 34, s. 6; 1868-9, c. 167, s. 6; Code, s. 1010; Rev., s. 3349; C. S.,
s. 4336; 1965, c. 621, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—Prior to the 1965 amend-
ment the section fixed the punishment at
imprisonment in the State's prison for not
less than 5 nor more than 60 years.

For article on the law of crime against
nature with particular regard to this sec-
tion, see 32 N. C. Law Rev. 312.

Scope of Section.—
This section includes all kindred acts of
a bestial character whereby degraded and
perverted sexual desires are sought to be
gratified. State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746,
142 S.E.2d 691 (1965).

This section includes acts with animals
and acts between humans per anum and
per os. State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746,
142 S.E.2d 691 (1965).

This section is broad enough to include
in the crime against nature other forms
of the offense than sodomy and buggery.
State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746, 142 S.E.2d
691 (1965).

In this jurisdiction crime against nature
embraces sodomy, buggery and bestiality
as those offenses were known and defined
at common law. State v. O'Keefe, 263 N.C.

Purpose.—The legislative intent and pur-
pose of this section, prior to the 1965
amendment and since, is to punish per-
sons who undertake by unnatural and in-
decent methods to gratify a perverted and
depraved sexual instinct which is an offense
against public decency and morality. State
v. Stubbs, 266 N.C. 295, 145 S.E.2d 899
(1966).

Conviction for Attempt.—
In accord with original. See State v.
Harward, 264 N.C. 746, 142 S.E.2d 691 (1965).

Section 14-202.1 is not repugnant to this section so as to work a repeal in part of this section, intentionally or otherwise. The two sections are complementary rather than repugnant or inconsistent. This section condemns crimes against nature whether committed against adults or children, while § 14-202.1 condemns those offenses of an unnatural sexual nature against children under 16 years of age by persons over 16 years of age which cannot be reached and punished under the provisions of this section. State v. Lance, 244 N.C. 455, 94 S. E. (2d) 335 (1956).


Conduct declared criminal by this section is sexual intercourse contrary to the order of nature. State v. Whittemore, 255 N.C. 583, 122 S. E. (2d) 396 (1961); State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746, 142 S.E.2d 691 (1965).


An assault upon a woman is not a lesser degree of the crime of sodomy. State v. Jernigan, 255 N.C. 732, 122 S. E. (2d) 711 (1961).

Proof of penetration of or by the sexual organ is essential to conviction under this section. State v. Whittemore, 255 N.C. 583, 122 S. E. (2d) 396 (1961); State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746, 142 S.E.2d 691 (1965).

A valid warrant or indictment is an essential of jurisdiction in a prosecution under this section. State v. Jernigan, 255 N.C. 732, 122 S. E. (2d) 711 (1961).

Sufficiency of Indictment.—An indictment under this section which charges that defendant did unlawfully, wilfully, and feloniously commit the infamous crime against nature with a particular man, woman, or beast is sufficient. State v. O’Keefe, 263 N.C. 53, 138 S.E.2d 767 (1964); State v. Stubbs, 266 N.C. 295, 145 S.E.2d 899 (1966).

Punishment.—The punishment of a fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court prescribed by this section, is not a "specific punishment" within the meaning of § 14-2, and the maximum lawful imprisonment is ten years. State v. Thompson, 268 N.C. 447, 150 S.E.2d 781 (1966).

§ 14-180. Seduction.


§ 14-183. Bigamy.

Editor's Note.—For note as to consequences of a voidable divorce decree, see 35 N. C. Law Rev. 409.

Testimony of First Wife.—By the express provisions of § 8-57, defendant's legal wife was a competent witness before the grand jury, which was considering an indictment against defendant charging him with a violation of the provisions of this section. State v. Vandiver, 265 N.C. 325, 144 S.E.2d 54 (1965).

Evidence Held Sufficient for Jury.—Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution of seduction. State v. Vandiver, 265 N.C. 325, 144 S.E.2d 54 (1965).

§ 14-184. Fornication and adultery.


Circumstantial Evidence.—The acts of illicit intercourse may be proved by circumstantial evidence, and it is not required that even one such act be directly proven. State v. Kleiman, 241 N. C. 277, 85 S. E. (2d) 148 (1954).

A single act of illicit sexual intercourse does not constitute fornication and adultery as defined by this section, the offense being habitual sexual intercourse in the manner of husband and wife by a man and woman not married to each other. However, the duration of the association is immaterial if the requisite habitual intercourse is established and it has been held that a period of two weeks is sufficient to constitute the offense. State v. Kleiman, 241 N. C. 277, 85 S. E. (2d) 148 (1954).

Instruction Held without Error. — Instruction as to the elements of the offense of fornication and adultery under this section held without error. State v. Kleiman, 241 N. C. 277, 85 S. E. (2d) 148 (1954).


State Need Not Prove That Male Defendant and Wife Were Separated.—In a prosecution under this section, it is not required that the State prove that the male defendant and his wife were separated. State v. Kleiman, 241 N. C. 277, 85 S. E. (2d) 148 (1954).

§ 14-189. Obscene literature; crime comic publications.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to possess for the purpose of sale or to sell any crime comic through the medium of pictures portray mayhem, acts of sex or use of narcotics. Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be the court. (1885, c. 125; Rev., s. 3731; 1955, c. 1204.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment, effective July 1, 1955, added the second paragraph. As the first paragraph was not changed it is not set out.

Scope.—This section and §§ 14-189.1 and 14-189.2 are not to be interpreted as granting state-wide permission to publish or display all pictures and writings not therein forbidden. State v. Furio, 267 N.C. 355, 148 S.E.2d 275 (1966).

City Ordinance Not Forbidden. — It cannot be fairly implied from this section and §§ 14-189.1, 14-189.2 and 14-190 that the legislature intended to preempt the entire
§ 14-189.1 Obscene literature and exhibitions. — (a) Description of Obscene Matter Prohibited.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to purposely, knowingly or recklessly disseminate obscenity and except as provided in subsection (c) hereafter, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. A person disseminates obscenity if he

1. Sells, delivers or provides or offers or agrees to sell, deliver or provide any obscene writing, picture, record or other representation or embodiment of the obscene; or

2. Presents or directs an obscene play, dance or other performance or participates directly in that portion thereof which makes it obscene; or

3. Publishes, exhibits or otherwise makes available anything obscene.

4. Exhibits, broadcasts, televises, presents, rents, leases as lessee or lessor, sells, delivers, or provides; or offers or agrees to exhibit, broadcast, tele- 

5. Present, rent, lease as lessee or lessor, sell, deliver, or to provide; 

6. Any obscene still or motion picture, film, film strip, or projection slide, or sound recording, sound tape, or sound track, which is a representa-

7. Tion, embodiment, performance, or publication of the obscene.

(b) Obscene Defined; Method of Adjudication.—A thing is obscene if con- 

8. Sidered as a whole its predominant appeal is to the prurient interest, i. e., a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sex or excretion, and if it goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or presentation of such matters. A thing is obscene if its obscenity is latent, as in the case of undeveloped photographs. Obscenity shall be judged with reference to ordinary adults, except that it shall be judged with reference to children or other especially sus- 

9.ceptible audience if it appears from the character of the material or the circum-

10. stances of its dissemination to be especially designed for or directed to such an audience. In any prosecution for an offense under this section, evidence shall be admissible to show:

1. The character of the audience for which the material was designed or to which it was directed;

2. What the predominant appeal of the material would be for ordinary adults or a special audience, and what effect, if any, it would probably have on the behavior of such people;

3. Artistic, literary, scientific, educational or other merits of the material;

4. The degree of public acceptance of the material throughout the United States;

5. Appeal to prurient interest, or absence thereof, in advertising or to the promotion of the material.

Expert testimony and testimony of the author, creator or publisher relating to factors entering into the determination of the issue of obscenity shall be admissible.

(c) Noncriminal Dissemination.—The following shall not be criminal offenses under this section:

1. Dissemination, not for gain, to personal associates other than children under sixteen.

2. Dissemination, not for gain, by an actor below the age of twenty-one to a child not more than five years younger than the actor.

3. Dissemination to institutions or individuals having scientific or other special justification for possessing such material.

(d) Preparation to Disseminate Unlawfully.—A person, firm or corporation who knowingly and intentionally creates, buys, procures or possesses obscene
matter with the purpose of disseminating it unlawfully shall be guilty of a misde-
meanor. A person, firm or corporation who knowingly and intentionally creates,
buys, procures or possesses a mold, engraved plate or other embodiment of ob-
scenity especially adapted for reproducing multiple copies or who knowingly and
intentionally possesses more than three copies of the obscene material is presumed
to have the purpose to disseminate obscenity unlawfully.

(e) Promoting Sale of Material Represented as Obscene.—A person, firm or
corporation who advertises or otherwise promotes the sale of material represented
or held out by him to be obscene shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.

(f) Awareness That Material Is Obscene; Presumption.—A person, firm or
corporation who unlawfully disseminates obscenity or who, with purpose so to
disseminate, creates, buys, possesses, or procures obscenity is presumed to know
the existence of its parts, features or contents of the material which render it ob-
scene.

(g) Section Supplementary.—The provisions of this section do not repeal but
supplement existing statutes relating to the subject matter herein contained.

(h) Libraries and Art Museums Excepted.—The provisions of this section
shall not apply to the contents of any public, or private library, nor to any art
museum. (1957, c. 1227; 1965, c. 164.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-189.

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment
added subdivision (4) in subsection (a).
For note on this section and the regu-
lation of obscene matter, see 36 N. C.
Law Rev. 189.

Sufficiency of Warrant or Indictment.—
In a prosecution under this section, it is
not necessary that the pictures or photo-
graphs be particularly described, and the
obscene material need not be attached to
the warrant or indictment, but it is re-
quired that they be sufficiently described
so that they may be identified, and a war-
rant which merely characterizes them in
general terms as appealing to prurient in-
terest in nudity and sex, is insufficient to
charge the offense with sufficient definite-
ness. State v. Barnes, 253 N.C. 711, 117
S. E. (2d) 849 (1961).

§ 14-189.2. Transmittal of obscenity into State.—Any person, firm
or corporation who is absent from the State and has not qualified to do business
within the State, or who is not otherwise amenable to the legal processes of the
State, and who shall originate, publish or otherwise create any obscenity, as de-
defined in G. S. 14-189.1, knowing or having reasonable grounds to believe that the
same will be transmitted, forwarded, or dispatched to the State of North Caro-
lina shall, if the same is ultimately transmitted, forwarded, or dispatched to the
State, be subject to a penalty of not less than five hundred dollars ($500.00) for
each shipment or group of such obscene materials transmitted under one order
of shipment; and any properties, including any chose in action, of such person,
firm or corporation which may be found within this State shall be subject to
execution in satisfaction of said penalty. Suit for the collection of the penalty may
be brought by the solicitor in the name of the State in the superior court of any
county of the State upon complaint and affidavit to be served on such nonresident
person, firm or corporation, under the provisions of G. S. 1-98.1 et seq. and upon
collection the penalty shall be payable to the public school fund of the county in
which the suit is commenced.

Any person, firm or corporation against whom seizure, attachment or levy is
brought for the satisfaction of the penalty herein provided against a nonresident
may plead such seizure, attachment or levy in bar of any action for the enforce-
ment of any obligation due to the nonresident, and recovery by the nonresident
shall be barred to the extent of any payment made pursuant to such seizure, levy
or attachment. (1961, c. 1193.)

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-189.
§ 14-190. Indecent exposure; immoral shows, etc.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-189.

An intentional act of lewd exposure offensive to one or more persons is sufficient. State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).

The offense does not depend upon the number of people present. State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).

Nor is it essential that the exposure have been seen.—It is not essential to the crime of indecent exposure that someone shall have seen the exposure, provided it was intentionally made in a public place and persons were present who could have seen if they had looked. State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).

"Public place" means a place which in point of fact is public as distinguished from private, but not necessarily a place devoted solely to the uses of the public, a place that is visited by many persons and to which the neighboring public may have resort, a place which is accessible to the public and visited by many persons. State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).

Hence, a mercantile establishment and the premises thereof is a public place during business hours when customers are coming and going. State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).

Intentional exposure of private parts while sitting in an automobile on a public street in such manner that they could be seen by members of the passing public using the street, and were seen by a passerby, constitutes the common-law offense of indecent exposure. State v. Lowery, 268 N.C. 162, 150 S.E.2d 23 (1966); State v. King, 268 N.C. 711, 151 S.E.2d 566 (1966).


Ordinance Banning Obscene Pictures or Words.—An ordinance of the city of High Point banning the display of obscene pictures or words is not void for the reason that this section vests the sheriff of Guilford County with sole authority to determine what pictures or words may be displayed within the county. State v. Furingo, 267 N.C. 353, 148 S.E.2d 275 (1966).

§ 14-196. Using profane, indecent or threatening language to any person over telephone; annoying or harassing by repeated telephoning or making false statements over telephone.—(a) It shall be unlawful for any person:

(1) To use in telephonic communications any words or language of a profane, vulgar, lewd, lascivious or indecent character, nature or connotation;

(2) To use in telephonic communications any words or language threatening to inflict bodily harm to any person or physical injury to the property of any person, or for the purpose of extorting money or other things of value from any person;

(3) To telephone another repeatedly, whether or not conversation ensues, for the purpose of abusing, annoying, threatening, terrifying, harassing or embarrassing any person at the called number;

(4) To make a telephone call and fail to hang up or disengage the connection with the intent to disrupt the service of another;

(5) To telephone another and to knowingly make any false statement concerning death, injury, illness, disfigurement, indecent conduct or criminal conduct of the person telephoned or of any member of his family or household with the intent to abuse, annoy, threaten, terrify, harass, or embarrass;

(6) To knowingly permit any telephone under his control to be used for any purpose prohibited by this section.

(b) Any of the above offenses may be deemed to have been committed at either the place at which the telephone call or calls were made or at the place where the telephone call or calls were received.

(c) Anyone violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misde-
meanor and shall be subject to a fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1913, c. 35; 1915, c. 41; C. S., s. 4351; 1967, c. 833, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.


The use of a diode device, which prevents the originator of a telephone call from breaking the connection so that his telephone can be identified, in an effort to catch persons violating a statute such as this section, does not violate the federal prohibition against wiretapping. State v. Coleman, 270 N.C. 357, 154 S.E.2d 485 (1967), decided under former § 14-196.1.

Tape recordings allegedly containing telephone conversations by the defendant with the prosecuting witness made by a recorder attached to the witness's telephone are not incompetent in prosecuting for annoying a female by repeated telephoning because they violate the North Carolina Wiretapping Statute (§ 14-155) and also §§ 14-372 and 15-27; these statutes were not enacted to prevent introduction of evidence obtained in such a case and are not relevant in such prosecution. State v. Godwin, 267 N.C. 216, 147 S.E.2d 890 (1966), decided under former § 14-196.1.

The State has laid the requisite foundation for the admissibility of tape recordings allegedly containing telephone conversations by the defendant with the prosecuting witness where the witness identified them as being the voice of the defendant, and §§ 14-196.1, 14-196.2: Repealed by Session Laws 1967, c. 833, s. 3.

Editor's Note.—Repealed § 14-196.1 was amended by Session Laws 1967, c. 837 to include annoying, molesting or harassing female by repeated telephoning. Repealed § 14-196.2 which derived from Session Laws stated that they were a fair and accurate representation of the conversations she had with the defendant. State v. Godwin, 267 N.C. 216, 147 S.E.2d 890 (1966), decided under former § 14-196.1.

Evidence of Intent.—It is competent for the purpose of showing the intent of the defendant and her attitude toward the prosecuting witness for the court to permit the witness to testify that the defendant had attempted to block her car in the parking lot of the supermarket, that she had frequently followed her to such places as the hospital, school, etc., and would cut her car in front of the witness's "at least once a week, sometimes more than that, and many times was very very close." Her conduct in blocking the witness's car and cutting in front of it showed the defendant's intent to harass, annoy, and molest her and is competent as interpreting the reasons for her frequent telephone calls which were alleged to be for the same purpose. State v. Godwin, 267 N.C. 216, 147 S.E.2d 890 (1966), decided under former § 14-196.1.

Entrapment. — Where police placed a want ad in the newspapers, similar to ads which had been placed by women who subsequently received obscene telephone calls, and used an electronic device to identify the telephone number of the caller, they merely set a trap to catch defendant in the execution of a crime which had its genesis in his own mind, and the defense of entrapment was not available to him in a prosecution for violating former § 14-196.1. State v. Coleman, 270 N.C. 357, 154 S.E.2d 485 (1967).

§ 14-197. Using profane or indecent language on public highways, counties exempt.—It any person shall, on any public road or highway and in the hearing of two or more persons, in a loud and boisterous manner, use indecent or profane language, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined not exceeding fifty dollars or imprisoned not exceeding thirty days.

The following counties shall be exempt from the provisions of this section: Brunswick, Camden, Craven, Dare, Macon, Pitt, Stanly, Swain and Tyrrell. (1913 c. 40; C. S., s. 4352; Pub. Loc. Ex. Sess., 1924, c. 65; 1933, c. 309; 1937, c. 9; 1939, c. 73; 1945, c. 398; 1947, cc. 144, 959; 1949, c. 845; 1957, c. 348; 1959, c. 733; 1963, cc. 39, 123.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1957 amendment deleted "Washington" from the list of exempt counties.

The 1959 amendment deleted "Cleveland" from the list.

The first 1963 amendment deleted "Pas-
quotank" from the list of exempt counties. The second 1963 amendment deleted "Martin" from the list. For article dealing with the legal problems in southern desegregation, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 689 (1965).

Sufficiency of Warrant or Indictment.—A bill of indictment charging that defendant "unlawfully and willfully did appear in a public place in a rude and disorderly manner and did use profane and indecent language in the presence of two or more persons" is insufficient to charge a violation of this section in failing to charge that the indecent or profane language was spoken on a public road or highway and in a loud and boisterous manner. State v. Smith, 262 N.C. 472, 137 S.E.2d 819 (1964).

A warrant charging that defendant unlawfully and willfully violated the laws of North Carolina "by disorderly conduct by using profane and indecent language" is insufficient to charge the statutory crime proscribed by this section, since it fails to charge that defendant used the profane language (1) on a public road or highway, (2) in the hearing of two or more persons, or (2) in a loud and boisterous manner. State v. Thorne, 238 N.C. 392, 78 S. E. (2d) 140 (1953).

§ 14-199. Obstructing way to places of public worship.

Editor's Note.—For article dealing with the legal problems in southern desegregation, see 43 N.C.L. Rev. 689 (1965).

§ 14-202. Secretly peeping into room occupied by female person.—Any person who shall peep secretly into any room occupied by a female person shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1923, c. 78; C. S., s. 4356(a); 1957, c. 338.)

Sufficiency of Warrant.—The warrant is defective in that it fails to name the victim of the peeping misdemeanant, and may not be cured by a bill of particulars supplying the name. State v. Banks, 263 N.C. 784, 140 S.E.2d 318 (1965).

Defendant is entitled to know identity of female person whose privacy he is charged with having invaded. State v. Banks, 263 N.C. 784, 140 S.E.2d 318 (1965).

Length of Blind Irrelevant.—The fact that a venetian blind lacks some six to ten inches of reaching the window sill is entirely irrelevant in a prosecution of defendant for peeping into a room occupied by a female. State v. Bivins, 262 N.C. 93, 136 S.E.2d 250 (1964).

Evidence Held Insufficient. — Evidence tending to show that shoeprints were found six or eight feet from the window of a house in which a woman lived alone, that shoeprints were also found in the edge of a field nearby, and that bloodhounds were put on the trail at the edge of the field and followed the scent to defendant's house, without evidence as to when or by whom the tracks were made, is insufficient evidence of the corpus delicti, aliunde the confession of the defendant, to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution under this section. State v. Bass, 253 N.C. 318, 116 S. E. (2d) 772 (1960).

§ 14-202.1. Taking indecent liberties with children. — Any person over 16 years of age who, with intent to commit an unnatural sexual act, shall take, or attempt to take, any immoral, improper, or indecent liberties with any child of either sex, under the age of 16 years, or who shall, with such intent, commit, or attempt to commit, any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, of such child, shall, for the first offense, be guilty of a misdemeanor and for a second or subsequent offense shall be guilty of a felony, and shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1955, c. 764.)

Intent to commit an unnatural sexual act is an essential element in this crime and must be proved by the State. State v. Richmond, 266 N.C. 357, 145 S.E.2d 915 (1966).

This section and § 14-177 are complementary rather than repugnant or inconsistent State v. Lance, 244 N.C. 455, 94 S. E. (2d) 355 (1956). See note to § 14-177; State v. Harward, 264 N.C. 746, 142 S.E.2d 691 (1963).
§ 14-204. Prostitution and various acts abetting prostitution unlawful.

Warrant Must State Wherein Defendant Aided and Abetted.—A warrant which charged that defendant did "aid and abet in prostitution and assignation" was defective since it failed to state wherein the defendant aided and abetted, and defendant's motion in arrest of judgment should have been granted. State v. Cox, 244 N.C. 57, 92 S.E. (2d) 413 (1956), overruling State v. Johnson, 220 N.C. 773, 18 S.E. (2d) 358 (1942) so far as in conflict.

It is to be noted that subsection 7 does not merely say "to aid or abet prostitution or assignation," but there are added the descriptive words "by any means whatsoever," thereby covering a multitude of acts. Thus, it is manifest that the legislature intended that these supplemental words should be given a meaning, and catch all other acts of aiding and abetting prostitution or assignation. Therefore in order to determine whether any offense be committed, it is essential that for the words of the statute "by any means whatsoever" to be given force and effect, there must be stated in the warrant the acts and circumstances of the particular charge, so that the court can see as a matter of law that a crime is charged. State v. Cox, 244 N.C. 57, 92 S.E. (2d) 413 (1956).


SUBCHAPTER VIII. OFFENSES AGAINST PUBLIC JUSTICE.

ARTICLE 28.

Perjury.

§ 14-209. Punishment for perjury.

Definition of Perjury.—

In accord with original. See State v. Sailor, 240 N.C. 113, 81 S.E. (2d) 191 (1954); State v. Lucas, 244 N.C. 53, 92 S.E. (2d) 401 (1956); State v. Arthur, 244 N.C. 582, 94 S.E. (2d) 646 (1956).

Essential Elements.—

In accord with 1st paragraph in original. See State v. Lucas, 247 N.C. 208, 100 S.E. (2d) 366 (1957).

Elements essential to constitute perjury are substantially these: A false statement under oath, knowingly, willfully and designedly made, in a proceeding in a court of competent jurisdiction, or concerning a matter wherein the affiant is required by law to be sworn, as to some matter material to the issue or point in question. To constitute materiality essential to sustain a charge of perjury the false testimony must be so connected with the fact directly in issue as to have a legitimate tendency to prove or disprove such fact. State v. Chaney, 256 N.C. 255, 123 S.E. (2d) 498 (1962).

False Statement Must Be Material to Issue.—

One of the essential elements of the crime of perjury is that the false statement must be material to an issue or point in question. State v. Chaney, 256 N.C. 255, 123 S.E. (2d) 498 (1962).

Civil Action Will Not Lie.—Aside from defamation and malicious prosecution, the courts refuse to recognize any injury from false testimony on which a civil action for
§ 14-210 Subornation of perjury.

Cross Reference.—
As to form of indictment for subornation of perjury, see § 15-146.

Elements of Offense. — The crime of subornation of perjury consists of two elements—the commission of perjury by the person suborned, and willfully procuring or inducing him to do so by the suborner. The guilt of both the suborned and the suborner must be proved on the trial of the latter. The commission of the crime of perjury is the basic element in the crime of subornation of perjury. State v. Sailor, 240 N. C. 113, 81 S. E. (2d) 191 (1954); State v. Lucas, 244 N. C. 53, 92 S. E. (2d) 401 (1956).

In a prosecution under this section, the State was required to establish, inter alia, that the alleged perjurer made the alleged false statement under oath in a court of competent jurisdiction and that such false statement was material to the matter then in issue. State v. Lucas, 247 N. C. 208, 100 S. E. (2d) 366 (1957).


The crime of subornation of perjury consists of two elements, the commission of perjury by the person suborned, and willfully procuring or inducing him to do so by the suborner. State v. King, 267 N.C. 631, 148 S.E.2d 647 (1966).

Civil Action Will Not Lie.—See note to § 14-209.

The guilt of both the suborned and the suborner must be proved on the trial of the latter. State v. King, 267 N.C. 631, 148 S.E.2d 647 (1966).

How Falsity of Alleged Perjurer's Oath Established.—In a prosecution for subornation of perjury, the falsity of the oath of the alleged perjurer must be established by

§ § 14-210 General Statutes of North Carolina § § 14-210

damages can be maintained, and no action for damages lies for false testimony in a civil suit, whereby the plaintiff fails to recover a judgment, or a judgment is rendered against him. Brewer v. Carolina Coach Co., 253 N. C. 257, 116 S. E. (2d) 725 (1960).

It seems to be the general rule that a civil action in tort cannot be maintained upon the ground that a defendant gave false testimony or procured other persons to give false or perjured testimony. Brewer v. Carolina Coach Co., 253 N. C. 257, 116 S. E. (2d) 725 (1960).

Perjured testimony and the subornation of perjured testimony are criminal offenses, but neither are torts supporting a civil action for damages. Gillikin v. Springle, 254 N. C. 240, 118 S. E. (2d) 611 (1961).

Vacating Judgment Because of Perjured Testimony.—A judgment cannot be vacated because of perjured testimony unless the party charged with perjury has been indicted and convicted or he has passed beyond the jurisdiction of courts and is not amenable to criminal process. Gillikin v. Springle, 254 N. C. 240, 118 S. E. (2d) 611 (1961).

Acquittal No Shield from Charge of Perjury.—To hold that a person could go into a court of justice and by perjured testimony secure an acquittal and by that acquittal be shielded from a charge of perjury would be a dangerous doctrine. State v. King, 267 N.C. 631, 148 S.E.2d 647 (1966).

A verdict of acquittal is not equivalent to an affirmative finding that all of defendant's testimony at a former trial was true. State v. King, 267 N.C. 631, 148 S.E.2d 647 (1966).

Former acquittal of malicious injury to personal property under § 14-160 would not support a plea of former jeopardy in a prosecution for perjury committed at the trial, since the crimes are not the same either in fact or in law and the charge of perjury was not based on the assumption that defendant was guilty of the charge of malicious injury, and his acquittal upon the latter charge did not necessarily establish the fact that all material evidence given by him in that case was true. State v. Leonard, 236 N. C. 126, 72 S. E. (2d) 1 (1952).

Evidence Must Relate to Statement upon Which Indictment Predicated.—Testimony of two or more witnesses as to conflicting statements made by defendant while under oath in courts of competent jurisdiction, but without evidence that the statement upon which the bill of indictment was predicated was the false testimony, is insufficient to be submitted to the jury in a prosecution for perjury. State v. Allen, 260 N.C. 220, 132 S.E.2d 302 (1963).

Sufficient Evidence.—
In a prosecution for perjury it is required that the falsity of the oath be established by the testimony of two witnesses, or by one witness and corroborating circumstances sufficient to turn the scales against the defendant's oath. State v. Sailor, 240 N. C. 413, 81 S. E. (2d) 191 (1954). See State v. Arthur, 244 N. C. 582, 94 S. E. (2d) 616 (1956); State v. Allen, 260 N.C. 220, 132 S.E.2d 302 (1963).

$§ 14-210. Subornation of perjury.

Cross Reference.—
As to form of indictment for subornation of perjury, see § 15-146.

Elements of Offense. — The crime of subornation of perjury consists of two elements—the commission of perjury by the person suborned, and willfully procuring or inducing him to do so by the suborner. The guilt of both the suborned and the suborner must be proved on the trial of the latter. The commission of the crime of perjury is the basic element in the crime of subornation of perjury. State v. Sailor, 240 N. C. 113, 81 S. E. (2d) 191 (1954); State v. Lucas, 244 N. C. 53, 92 S. E. (2d) 401 (1956).

In a prosecution under this section, the State was required to establish, inter alia, that the alleged perjurer made the alleged false statement under oath in a court of competent jurisdiction and that such false statement was material to
§ 14-214. False statement to procure benefit of insurance policy or certificate.—Any person who shall wilfully and knowingly present or cause to be presented a false or fraudulent claim, or any proof in support of such claim, for the payment of a loss, or other benefits, upon any contract of insurance or certificate of insurance; or prepares, makes or subscribes to a false or fraudulent account, certificate, affidavit or proof of loss, or other documents or writing, with intent that the same may be presented or used in support of such claim, shall be guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment for not more than five years or by a fine of not more than five thousand dollars ($5,000.00), or by both such fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court. (1899, c. 54, s. 60; Rev., s. 3487; 1913, c. 89, s. 28: C. S., s. 4369; 1937, c. 248; 1967, c. 1088, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment inserted "or certificate of insurance," inserted "guilty of a felony," substituted "five thousand dollars ($5,000.00)" for "five hundred ($500.00) dollars" and substituted "in the discretion" for "within the discretion."

Section 4 of the amendatory act makes it effective from and after ratification, but provides that it shall not apply to actions or indictments pending in courts in the State. The act was ratified July 3, 1967.

Meaning of "Willfully" and "Knowingly."—The word "willfully" as used in this section means something more than an intention to commit the offense. It implies committing the offense purposely and designedly in violation of law. The word "knowingly" as so used means that defendant knew what he was about to do, and with such knowledge, proceeded to do the act charged. These words combined in the phrase "willfully and knowingly" in reference to violation of the statute, mean intentionally and consciously. One does not "willfully and knowingly" violate a statute when he does that which he believes he has a bona fide right to do. State v. Fraylon, 240 N. C. 365, 82 S. E. (2d) 400 (1954).

The existence of unreported liens or other insurance upon the property is a civil matter governed by G. S. 58-178 and 58-180, but does not tend to show criminal intent in connection with the filing of proofs of claim within the meaning of this section. State v. Fraylon, 240 N. C. 365, 82 S. E. (2d) 400 (1954).

Conspiracy to Procure Insurance by Means of False Claim. — Evidence held sufficient to be submitted to jury in prosecution for conspiracy to procure insurance benefits by means of false claim. State v. Hedrick, 236 N. C. 727, 73 S. E. (2d) 904 (1953).

Burden on the State.—In a prosecution under this section, the burden is upon the State to prove that defendant "willfully and knowingly" presented a false and fraudulent claim and presented proof in support of such claim, and when the evidence considered in the light most favorable to the State raises no more than a suspicion or conjecture of defendant's guilt of the charge under the statute, defendant's motion to nonsuit must be allowed. State v. Fraylon, 240 N. C. 365, 82 S. E. (2d) 400 (1954).

Evidence held insufficient to show that defendant willfully and knowingly presented fraudulent claim for insurance loss and proofs in support thereof. State v. Fraylon, 240 N. C. 365, 82 S. E. (2d) 400 (1954).
§ 14-217. Bribery of officials.
Bribery Defined. — Bribery is the voluntary offering, giving, receiving or soliciting of any sum of money or thing of value with the corrupt intent to influence the recipient's action as a public officer or official in the discharge of a public legal duty. State v. Greer, 238 N. C. 325, 77 S. E. (2d) 917 (1953).

Receipt of Anything of Value Influencing Official Acts.—This section has an essential element of the offense of bribery of officials the receipt of anything of value with the express or implied understanding that his official acts are to be in any degree influenced thereby. State v. Smith, 237 N. C. 1, 74 S. E. (2d) 291 (1953).

§ 14-218. Offering bribes.
Indictment.—The general rule that an indictment for a statutory offense is sufficient, if the offense is charged in the words of the statute, either literally or substantially, or in equivalent words, does not apply where the words of the statute, as in this section, do not set forth all the essential elements necessary to constitute the offense sought to be charged. In such a situation the statutory words must be supplemented in the indictment by other allegations which explicitly and accurately set forth every essential element of the offense with such exactitude as to leave no doubt in the minds of the accused and the court as to the specific offense intended to be charged. State v. Greer, 238 N. C. 325, 77 S. E. (2d) 917 (1953).

An indictment for offering a bribe or bribery must allege by definite and particular statement, and not as a mere conclusion, that the acts were done to influence the performance of some public legal duty, and it must further appear, at least as a reasonable inference, that defendant had knowledge of the official character of him to whom the bribe was offered. State v. Greer, 238 N. C. 325, 77 S. E. (2d) 917 (1953).

Where an indictment for bribing or offering a bribe to a State highway patrolman fails to allege the official act the accused intended to influence, defendant's motion to quash should be allowed. State v. Greer, 238 N. C. 325, 77 S. E. (2d) 917 (1953).

Competency of Evidence.—Evidence is competent which shows the quo animo, intent, design, guilty knowledge or scienter with which the defendant charged under this section gave money or other things of value to an official. State v. Smith, 237 N. C. 1, 74 S. E. (2d) 291 (1953).


Article 30.
Obstructing Justice.

§ 14-223. Resisting officers.
Editor's Note.—For note on interfering with police officer as obstructing justice, see 36 N. C. Law Rev. 489.

An alcoholic beverage control officer is a "public officer" within the meaning of this section. State v. Taft, 256 N. C. 441, 124 S. E. (2d) 169 (1962).

The offense of resisting arrest presupposes a lawful arrest both at common law and under this section. And every person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest by the use of force. But such right to use force is not unlimited, and only such force may be used as reasonably appears to be necessary to prevent unlawful restraint of liberty. State v. Mobley, 240 N. C. 476, 83 S. E. (2d) 100 (1954).

Sufficiency of Warrant or Indictment.—A warrant or bill of indictment charging a violation of this section must identify...
§ 14-224.  Failing to aid police officers.

Sheriff cannot lawfully command person to assist him in arresting for trespass either by statute or by common law. State v. Brown, 264 N.C. 191, 141 S.E.2d 211 (1965).

§ 14-224.  Failing to aid police officers.

Sheriff cannot lawfully command person to assist him in arresting for trespass either by statute or by common law. State v. Brown, 264 N.C. 191, 141 S.E.2d 211 (1965).
§ 14-227.1 General, Statutes of North Carolina § 14-230

Article 30A.

Secret Listening.

§ 14-227.1. Secret listening to conference between prisoner and his attorney.—(a) It shall be unlawful for any person wilfully to overhear, or procure any other person to overhear, or attempt to overhear any spoken words between a person who is in the physical custody of a law-enforcement agency or other public agency and such person’s attorney, by using any electronic amplifying, transmitting, or recording device, or by any similar or other mechanical or electrical device or arrangement, without the consent or knowledge of all persons engaging in the conversation.

(b) No evidence procured in violation of this section shall be admissible over objection against any person participating in such conference in any court in this State. (1967, c. 187, s. 1.)

§ 14-227.2. Secret listening to deliberations of grand or petit jury.—It shall be unlawful for any person wilfully to overhear, or procure any other person to overhear, or attempt to overhear the investigations and deliberations of, or the taking of votes by, a grand jury or a petit jury in a criminal case, by using any electronic amplifying, transmitting, or recording device, or by any similar or other mechanical or electrical device or arrangement, without the consent or knowledge of said grand jury or petit jury. (1967, c. 187, s. 1.)

§ 14-227.3. Violation made misdemeanor.—All persons violating the provisions of G.S. 14-227.1 or G.S. 14-227.2 shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by fine or imprisonment in the discretion of the court. (1967, c. 187, s. 2.)

Article 31.

Misconduct in Public Office.

§ 14-230. Willfully failing to discharge duties.


Effect of Section on Common-Law Crime of Official Oppression.—It is futile to attempt to mark the extent, if any, the common-law crime of official oppression has been modified or superseded by this section, as there is no exact common-law definition of official oppression, and the possible acts which may constitute the crime are as many and varied as the forms of corruption that may exist in public office. State v. Lackey, 271 N.C. 171, 155 S.E.2d 465 (1967).

An essential difference between a public office and mere employment is the fact that the duties of the incumbent of an office shall involve the exercise of some portion of the sovereign power. State v. Hord, 264 N.C. 149, 141 S.E.2d 241 (1965).

A duly appointed policeman of a city is an officer of such city within the meaning of this section. State v. Fesperman, 264 N.C. 160, 141 S.E.2d 255 (1965); State v. Teeter, 264 N.C. 162, 141 S.E.2d 253 (1965); State v. Stogner, 264 N.C. 163, 141 S.E.2d 248 (1965); State v. Fesperman, 264 N.C. 168, 141 S.E.2d 252 (1965). As Is Chief of Police.—A chief of police as well as a policeman is an officer of the municipality which engages his service, within the meaning of the provisions of this section. State v. Hord, 264 N.C. 149, 141 S.E.2d 241 (1965).

And Captain of Detectives.—A captain of detectives of a police department of a city is an officer of such city within the meaning of this section. State v. McCall, 264 N.C. 165, 141 S.E.2d 250 (1965).

Justices Not Exempted from Prosecution by § 128-16.—It may not be reasonably implied that, by bringing justices of the peace within the provisions of § 128-16, the General Assembly intended to exempt justices of the peace from indictment and prosecution for the criminal offenses defined in this section. State v. Hockaday, 266 N.C. 688, 144 S.E.2d 867 (1965).


Warrant Falling Short of Alleging Mal-

Cross Reference.—See also § 53-124.

§ 14-234. Director of public trust contracting for his own benefit.

Public Policy of State. — The General Assembly in adopting this section made the condemnation of the transactions embraced within its terms a part of the public policy of the State so as to remove from public officials the temptation to take advantage of their official positions to "feather their own nests" by letting to themselves or to firms or corporations in which they are interested contracts for services, materials, supplies, or the like. Lexington Insulation Co. v. Davidson County, 243 N. C. 252, 90 S. E. (2d) 496 (1955).

Officers of City or Corporation.—In accord with original. See Lexington Insulation Co. v. Davidson County, 243 N. C. 252, 90 S. E. (2d) 496 (1955).

Sale to Corporation Organized by Advisor to Municipality.—Under this statute a contract of sale does not become void because the purchasing corporation was organized through the efforts of a person who had a merely advisory relationship to a municipal corporation. Tonkins v. Greensboro, 276 F. (2d) 890 (1960).

Denial of Recovery on Quantum Meruit Basis.—The Supreme Court not only will declare void and unenforceable any contract between a public official, or a board of which he is a member, and himself, or a company in which he is financially interested, whereby he stands to gain by the transaction, but it will also deny recovery on a quantum meruit basis. Lexington Insulation Co. v. Davidson County, 243 N. C. 252, 90 S. E. (2d) 496 (1955).

§ 14-238. Soliciting during school hours without permission of school head.


§ 14-239. Allowing prisoners to escape; burden of proof.

Example of Specific Language Shifting Burden of Proof. — This section provides an example of specific language used by the legislature when it intended to shift the burden of proof to a defendant. State v. Cooke, 270 N.C. 644, 155 S.E.2d 165 (1967).

§ 14-247. Private use of publicly owned vehicle.

Elements of Offense. — The elements of the offense created by §§ 14-247 and 14-252 are (1) the use of a vehicle belonging to the State or one of the political subdivisions named in the statute (2) by a public official or employer answering to the statutory description (3) for a private purpose. A warrant which fails to charge that the use of a police car by a policeman of a municipality was for a private purpose, is insufficient to charge the offense. Hawkins v. Reynolds, 236 N. C. 422, 72 S. E. (2d) 874 (1952).

§ 14-249. Limitation of amount expended for vehicle.—It shall be unlawful for any officer, agent, employee or department of the State of North Carolina, or of any county, or of any institution or agency of the State, to expend from the public treasury an amount in excess of two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500.00) for any motor vehicle other than motor trucks, except upon the approval of the Governor and Council of State: Provided, that nothing in §§ 14-247 through 14-251 shall be construed to authorize the purchase or maintenance of an automobile at the expense of the State by any State officer unless he is now authorized by statute to do so; Provided further, that the limitation prescribed by this section shall not be applicable to the purchase of any motor vehicle by any county, city or town in this State, where such motor vehicle
vehicle is purchased in accordance with the provisions of article 8 of chapter 143 of the General Statutes of North Carolina. (1925, c. 239, s. 3; 1957, c. 862, s. 6; c. 1345; 1959, c. 172.)

Editor's Note.—The first 1957 amendment added the last proviso. The second 1957 amendment increased the amount referred to in the section from $1,500 to $2,000; and the 1959 amendment increased the amount from $2,000 to $2,500.

§ 14-250. Publicly owned vehicle to be marked.—It shall be the duty of the executive head of every department of the State government, and of any county, or of any institution or agency of the State, to have painted on every motor vehicle owned by the State, or by any county, or by any institution or agency of the State, a statement that such car belongs to the State or to some county, or institution or agency of the State. Provided, however, that no automobile used by any officer or official in any county in the State for the purpose of transporting, apprehending or arresting persons charged with violations of the laws of the State of North Carolina, shall be required to be lettered. Provided, further, that in lieu of the above method of marking motor vehicles owned by any agency or department of the State government, it shall be deemed a compliance with the law if such vehicles have imprinted on the license tags thereof, above the license number, the words “State Owned” and that such vehicles have affixed to the front thereof a plate with the statement “State Owned.” Provided, further, that in lieu of the above method of marking vehicles owned by any county, it shall be deemed a compliance with the law if such vehicles have painted or affixed on the side thereof a circle not less than eight inches in diameter showing a replica of the seal of such county. (1925, c. 239, s. 4; 1929, c. 303, s. 1; 1945, c. 866; 1957, c. 1249; 1961, c. 1195; 1965, c. 1186.)

Editor's Note.—The 1957 amendment added the last proviso. The 1961 amendment rewrote the second proviso. The 1965 amendment deleted former provisions pertaining to the size of the lettering on the motor vehicle or license tags and plate and a requirement for the inclusion of “For Official Use Only” on the car or the front plate.

§ 14-252 Five preceding sections applicable to cities and towns.
Cross Reference. — See note to § 14-247.

ARTICLE 33.
Prison Breach and Prisoners.

§ 14-256. Prison breach and escape from county or municipal confinement facilities or officers.—If any person shall break any prison, jail or lockup maintained by any county or municipality in North Carolina, being lawfully confined therein, or shall escape from the lawful custody of any superintendent, guard or officer of such prison, jail or lockup, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1 Edw. II, st. 2d; R. C., c. 34, s. 19; Code, s. 1021; Rev., s. 3657; 1909, c. 872; C. S., s. 4404; 1955, c. 279, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1955 amendment rewrote this section.
The 1955 amendatory act provided in section 4: “The provisions of this act shall be construed to be mandatory rather than directive.”

§ 14-260. Injury to prisoner by jailer.
§ 14-269. Carrying concealed weapons.—If anyone, except when on his own premises, shall wilfully and intentionally carry concealed about his person any bowie knife, dirk, dagger, sling shot, loaded cane, brass, iron or metallic knuckles, razor, pistol, gun or other deadly weapon of like kind, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined or imprisoned at the discretion of the court. This section shall not apply to the following persons: Officers and enlisted personnel of the armed forces of the United States when in discharge of their official duties as such and acting under orders requiring them to carry arms or weapons, civil officers of the United States while in the discharge of their official duties, officers and soldiers of the militia and the State guard when called into actual service, officers of the State, or of any county, city, or town, charged with the execution of the laws of the State, when acting in the discharge of their official duties. (Code, s. 1005; Rev., s. 3708; 1917, c. 76; 1919, c. 197, s. 8; C. S., s. 4410; 1923, c. 57; Ex. Sess. 1924, c. 30; 1929, cc. 51, 224; 1947, c. 459; 1949, c. 1217; 1959, c. 1073, s. 1; 1965, c. 954, s. 1.)

Local Modification.—Edgecombe: 1953, c. 884; 1955, c. 945; Forsyth, as to former paragraph (b): 1965, c. 228; Granville: 1953, c. 864; Halifax: 1955, c. 1241, amending 1953, c. 1213; 1961, c. 526, amending 1953, c. 1213; Nash: 1953, c. 861; Pitt, as to former paragraph (b): 1965, c. 228; Rockingham: 1957, c. 929; Scotland, as to former paragraph (b): 1955, c. 569; Wake: 1957, c. 637; Wilson, as to former paragraph (b): 1955, c. 530.

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment substituted "sheriff" for "clerk of the superior court" in former paragraph (b), it being the intent and purpose of the amendatory act to transfer to the sheriffs the duties now performed by the clerks of the superior court in disposing of confiscated weapons.


Chapter 470, Session Laws 1967, amends s. 4 of c. 1073, Session Laws 1959, by deleting Harnett and Lee from the list of counties to which the 1959 act shall not apply, but adds at the end of s. 4 the following: "The provisions of this act shall not apply to Lee and Harnett counties, except section 2 which shall be applicable in said counties."

The 1965 amendment rewrote this section, deleting former paragraphs (a) and (b), the subject matter of which is now covered by § 14-269.1. Section 2 1/2 of the act provides that § 14-269.1 shall not apply to the following counties: Cumberland, Dare, Halifax, Harnett, Pamlico, Perquimans, Rockingham, Scotland and Warren. For note on control of firearms, see 35 N. C. Law Rev. 149.

Elements of Offense.—In order to be guilty of violating this section the accused must be off his own premises, carrying a deadly weapon, and the weapon must be concealed about his person. State v. Williamson, 238 N. C. 652, 78 S. E. (2d) 763 (1953).

An information charging that defendant, on a specified date, unlawfully and wilfully carried a concealed weapon, to wit, a pistol, about his person, the defendant not being at the time on his own premises, is an accurate and sufficient charge of violating this section. State v. Caldwell, 269 N.C. 521, 153 S.E.2d 34 (1967).

Sufficiency of Evidence. — Testimony to the effect that defendant was off his premises in full view of persons near enough to him to see a weapon if it were not concealed, and that the pistol carried by defendant was hidden from their observation, is held sufficient to overcome defendant's motion to nonsuit in a prosecution under this section. State v. Williamson, 238 N. C. 652, 78 S. E. (2d) 763 (1953).

Punishment.—When the punishment does not exceed 283
§ 14-269.1. Confiscation and disposition of deadly weapons.—Upon conviction of any person for violation of G.S. 14-269 or any other offense involving the use of a deadly weapon of a type referred to in G.S. 14-269, the deadly weapon with reference to which the defendant shall have been convicted shall be ordered confiscated and disposed of by the presiding judge at the trial in one of the following ways in the discretion of the presiding judge.

(1) By ordering the weapon returned to its rightful owner, but only when such owner is a person other than the defendant and has filed a petition for the recovery of such weapon with the presiding judge at the time of the defendant’s conviction, and upon a finding by the presiding judge that petitioner is entitled to possession of same and that he was unlawfully deprived of the same without his consent.

(2) By ordering the weapon turned over to a law enforcement agency in the county of trial for the official use of such agency, but only upon the written request by the head or chief of such agency. The clerk of the superior court of such county shall maintain a record of such weapons and the law enforcement agency receiving them.

(3) By ordering the weapon turned over to the sheriff of the county in which the trial is held to be sold as herein provided. Under the direction of the sheriff, the weapon shall be sold at public auction after one advertisement in a newspaper having general circulation in the county which advertisement shall be at least seven days prior to sale. The proceeds of such sale shall go to the general fund of the county in which such weapons are sold. The sheriff shall maintain a record and inventory of all such weapons received and sold by him. Sales of such weapons by the sheriff shall be held at least once each year.

(4) By ordering such weapon turned over to the sheriff of the county in which the trial is held or his duly authorized agent to be destroyed. The sheriff shall maintain a record of the destruction thereof. (1965, c. 954, s. 2; 1967, c. 24, s. 3.)

Editor’s Note.—Section 2 1/2 of the act inserting this section provides that this section shall not apply to the following counties: Cumberland, Dare, Halifax, Harnett, Pamlico, Perquimans, Rockingham, Scotland and Warren.

The 1967 amendment, originally effective Oct. 1, 1967, corrected an error by inserting “be” following “shall” near the middle of subdivision (3). Session Laws 1967, c. 1078, amends the 1967 amendatory act so as to make it effective July 1, 1967.

§ 14-271. Engaging in and betting on prize fights.


§ 14-273. Disturbing schools and scientific and temperance meetings; injuring property of schools and temperance societies.—If any person shall wilfully interrupt or disturb any public or private school or temperance society or organization or any meeting lawfully and peacefully held for the purpose of literary and scientific improvement, or for the discussion of temperance or question of moral reform, either within or without the place where such meeting or school is held, or injure any school building, or deface any school furniture, apparatus or other school property, or property of any temperance society or organization, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall, upon conviction, be fined or imprisoned or both in the discretion of the court.
§ 14-275. Disturbing religious congregations.
Section Not General Law Respecting Public Drunkenness.—See note to § 14-335.

§ 14-277. Impersonation of peace officers.

The offense defined by this section consists of two material elements, both of which must be made to appear before the person charged can be convicted. He must have made a false representation that he is a duly authorized peace officer, and acting upon such representation he must have arrested some person, searched a building, or done some act in accordance with the authority delegated to duly authorized officers. State v. Church, 242 N. C. 230, 87 S. E. (2d) 256 (1955).

When Nonsuit Proper.—Where the defendant made no oral representation that he was a peace officer, but merely exhibited a courtesy card, which the witness examined, but was not misled, and the defendant used no words or action which would indicate he intended or attempted to arrest him, a motion for judgment as of nonsuit should have been allowed. State v. Church, 242 N. C. 230, 87 S. E. (2d) 256 (1955).

SUBCHAPTER X. OFFENSES AGAINST THE PUBLIC SAFETY.

ARTICLE 36.

Offenses against the Public Safety.

§ 14-278. Wilful injury to property of railroads.—If any person shall unlawfully and wilfully, with intent to cause injury to any person passing over the railroad or damage to the equipment traveling on such road, put or place any matter or thing upon, over or near any railroad track, or destroy, injure, tamper with, or remove the roadbed, or any part thereof, or any rail, sill or other part of the fixtures appurtenant to or constituting or supporting any portion of the track of such railroad, the person so offending shall be guilty of a felony and shall be imprisoned in the State's prison not less than four months nor more than 10 years, or fined, or both. (1838, c. 38; R. C., c. 34, ss. 99, 100; 1879, c. 255, s. 2; Code, s. 1098; Rev., s. 3754; 1911, c. 200; C. S., s. 4417; 1967, c. 1082, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-279. Unlawful injury to property of railroads.—If any person shall unlawfully, but without intent to cause injury to any person or damage to equipment, commit any of the acts referred to in § 14-278, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (R. C., c. 34, s. 101; Code, s. 1099; Rev., s. 3755; C. S., s. 4418; 1967, c. 1082, s. 2.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-284.1. Regulation of sale of explosives; reports; storage.—(a) No person shall sell or deliver any dynamite or other powerful explosives as hereunder defined without being satisfied as to the identity of the purchaser or the one to receive such explosives and then only upon the written application signed by the person or agent of the person purchasing or receiving such explosive, which
application must contain a statement of the purpose for which such explosive is to be used

(b) All persons delivering or selling such explosives shall keep a complete record of all sales or deliveries made, including the amounts sold and delivered, the names of the purchasers or the one to whom the deliveries were made, the dates of all such sales or such deliveries and the use to be made of such explosive, and shall preserve such record and make the same available to any law enforcement officer during business hours for a period of 12 months thereafter.

(c) All persons having dynamite or other powerful explosives in their possession or under their control shall at all times keep such explosives in a safe and secure manner, and when such explosives are not in the course of being used they shall be stored and protected against theft or other unauthorized possession.

(d) As used in this section, the term "powerful explosives" includes, but shall not be limited to, nitroglycerin, trinitrotoluene, and blasting caps, detonators and fuses for the explosion thereof.

(e) Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court.

(f) The provisions of this section are intended to apply only to sales to those who purchase for use. Nothing herein contained is intended to apply to a sale made by a manufacturer, jobber, or wholesaler to a retail merchant for resale by said merchant.

(g) Nothing herein contained shall be construed as repealing any law now prohibiting the sale of fire crackers or other explosives; nor shall this section be construed as authorizing the sale of explosives now prohibited by law. (1953, c. 877.)

Editor's Note. — The act from which Discarding Dynamite Cap Is Negligence this section was codified is effective as of August 31, 1953.


Such Care Is Required by Common Law and Statutes.—Both the common law and the statutes of North Carolina require persons having possession and control of dynamite to use the highest degree of care to keep the explosive safe and secure and to guard others against injury from it. Tayloe v. Southern Bell Tel & Tel. Co., 258 N. C. 766, 129 S. E. (2d) 512 (1963).

§ 14-286. Giving false fire alarms; molesting fire alarm system.—It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to wantonly and willfully give or cause to be given, or to advise, counsel, or aid and abet any one in giving a false alarm of fire, or to break the glass key protector, or to pull the slide, arm, or lever of any station or signal box of any fire alarm system, except in case of fire, or in any way to willfully interfere with, damage, deface, molest, or injure any part or portion of any fire alarm system. Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1921, c. 46; C. S., s. 4426(a); 1961, c. 594.)

Editor's Note. — The 1961 amendment deleted words confining the former section to "municipal" fire alarm systems.
§ 14-286.1. Making false ambulance request.—It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully summon an ambulance or wilfully report that an ambulance is needed when such person does not have good cause to believe that the services of an ambulance are needed. Every person convicted of wilfully violating this section shall upon conviction be punished by a fine not to exceed fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisonment not to exceed 30 days or both such fine and imprisonment. (1967, c. 343, s. 6.)

SUBCHAPTER XI. GENERAL POLICE REGULATIONS.

ARTICLE 37.

Lotteries and Gaming.

§ 14-290. Dealing in lotteries.

Sufficiency of Evidence. —

Circumstantial evidence of defendant's guilt of conspiracy or participation in lottery held insufficient. State v. Smith, 236 N. C. 748, 73 S. E. (2d) 901 (1953).

§ 14-291.1. Selling "numbers" tickets; possession prima facie evidence of violation.

"Barter" and "sell" are not used as synonyms in this section. Barter is a contract by which parties exchange one commodity for another. It differs from a sale, in that the latter is a transfer of goods for a specified price, payable in money. This being so, an accused may violate this section in four distinct ways. He may sell the illegal articles, or he may barter them, or he may cause another to sell them, or he may cause another to barter them. State v. Albarty, 238 N. C. 130, 76 S. E. (2d) 381 (1953).

§ 14-292. Gambling.

Betting on dog races under a pari-mutuel system having no other purpose than that of providing the facilities by means of tickets, machines, etc., for placing bets, calculating odds, determining winnings. if any, constitutes gambling within the meaning of this section. State v. Carolina Racing Ass'n, 241 N. C. 80, 84 S. E. (2d) 390 (1954).

Games of Chance and Games of Skill.—A game of chance is one in which the element of chance predominates over the element of skill, and a game of skill is one in which the element of skill predominates over the element of chance. State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

"The universal acceptance of a game of chance is such a game as is determined entirely or in part by lot or mere luck, and in which judgment, practice, skill or adroitness have honestly no office at all or are thwarted by chance." State v. Gupton, 30 N. C. 271 (1848), quoted in State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

For illustrations of games of chance and games of skill, see State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

Evidence Sufficient for Submission to Jury. — Evidence as to rules and method of playing "Negro Pool" was held sufficient to be submitted to the jury on the question of whether the game is a game of chance within the purview of this section. State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

Evidence that all defendants wagered money on the results of a game of chance played by some of them was held sufficient to overrule their motions to nonsuit in a prosecution under this section State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

Evidence that all defendants wagered money on the results of a game of chance played by some of them was held sufficient to overrule their motions to nonsuit in a prosecution under this section State v. Stroupe, 238 N. C. 34, 76 S. E. (2d) 313 (1953).

Instruction.—An instruction that "the object of the gambling statute is to pre-
vent people from getting something for

§ 14-293. Allowing gambling in houses of public entertainment; penalty.—If any keeper of an ordinary or other house of entertainment, or of a house wherein liquors are retailed, shall knowingly suffer any game, at which money or property, or anything of value, is bet, whether the same be in stake or not, to be played in any such house, or in any part of the premises occupied therewith; or shall furnish persons so playing or betting either on said premises or elsewhere with drink or other thing for their comfort or subsistence during the time of play, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be fined not less than five hundred dollars and be imprisoned not less than six months. Any person who shall be convicted under this section shall, upon such conviction, forfeit his license to do any of the businesses mentioned in this section, and shall be forever debarred from doing any of such businesses in this State. The court shall embody in its judgment that such person has forfeited his license, and no board of county commissioners, board of town commissioners or board of aldermen shall thereafter have power or authority to grant to such convicted person or his agent a license to do any of the businesses mentioned herein. (1799, c. 526, P. R.; 1801, c. 581, P. R.; 1831, c. 26; R. C., c. 34, s. 76; Code, s. 1043; 1901, c. 753; Rev., s. 3716; C. S., s. 4431; 1967, c. 101, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1967 amendment March 28, 1967, and made effective on ratification.

Sufficiency of Warrant. — A warrant charging that defendant did operate a house in which various types of gambling “is continuously carried on” and did permit named persons to engage in a game of cards in which money was bet, held sufficient to charge defendant with operating a gambling house. State v. Anderson, 259 N. C. 499, 130 S. E. (2d) 857 (1963).


§ 14-295. Keeping gaming tables, illegal punchboards or slot machines, or betting thereat.


§ 14-299. Property exhibited by gamblers to be seized; disposition of same.—All moneys or other property or thing of value exhibited for the purpose of alluring persons to bet on any game, or used in the conduct of any such game, including any motor vehicle used in the conduct of a lottery within the purview of G. S. 14-291.1, shall be liable to be seized by any justice of the peace or other court of competent jurisdiction or by any person acting under his or its warrant. Moneys so seized shall be turned over to and paid to the treasurer of the county wherein they are seized, and placed in the general fund of the county. Any property seized which is used for and is suitable only for gambling shall be destroyed, and all other property so seized shall be sold in the manner provided for the sale of personal property by execution, and the proceeds derived from said sale shall (after deducting the expenses of keeping the property and the costs of the sale and after paying, according to their priorities all known prior, bona fide liens which were created without the lienor having knowledge or notice that the motor vehicle or other property was being used or to be used in connection with the conduct of such game or lottery) be turned over and paid to the treasurer of the county wherein the property was seized, to be placed by said
§ 14-306. Slot machine or device defined.—Any machine, apparatus or device is a slot machine or device within the provisions of §§ 14-304 through 14-309, if it is one that is adapted, or may be readily converted into one that is adapted, for use in such a way that, as a result of the insertion of any piece of money or coin or other object, such machine or device is caused to operate or may be operated in such manner that the user may receive or become entitled to receive any piece of money, credit, allowance or thing of value, or any check, slug, token or memorandum, whether of value or otherwise, or which may be exchanged for any money, credit, allowance or any thing of value, or which may be given in trade, or the user may secure additional chances or rights to use such machine, apparatus or device; or any other machine or device designed and manufactured primarily for use in connection with gambling and which machine or device is classified by the United States as requiring a federal gaming device tax stamp under applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. This definition is intended to embrace all slot machines and similar devices except slot machines in which is kept any article to be purchased by depositing any coin or thing of value, and for which may be had any article of merchandise which makes the same return or returns of equal value each and every time it is operated, or any machine wherein may be seen any pictures or heard any music by depositing therein any coin or thing of value, or any slot weighing machine or any machine for making stencils by the use of contrivances operated by depositing in the machine any coin or thing of value, or any lock operated by slot wherein money or thing of value is to be deposited, where such slot machines make the same return or returns of equal value each and every time the same is operated and does not at any time it is operated offer the user or operator any additional money, credit, allowance, or thing of value, or check, slug, token or memorandum, whether of value or otherwise, which may be exchanged for money, credit, allowance or thing of value or which may be given in trade or by which the user may secure additional chances or rights to use such machine, apparatus, or device, or in the playing of which the operator does not have a chance to make varying scores or tallies. This definition shall not include coin-operated machines or devices designed and manufactured to be played for amusement only and the operation of which depends in part upon the skill of the player. (1937, c. 196, s. 3; 1967, c. 1219.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment that follows the semicolon therein and rewrote the portion of the first sentence that follows the semicolon therein and added the last sentence.

ARTICLE 39.

Protection of Minors.

§ 14-316. Permitting young children to use dangerous firearms.—(a) It shall be unlawful for any parent, guardian, or person standing in loco parentis, to knowingly permit his child under the age of twelve years to have the possession, custody or use in any manner whatever, any gun, pistol or other dangerous firearm, whether such weapon be loaded or unloaded, except when such child is under the supervision of the parent, guardian or person standing in loco parentis. It shall be unlawful for any other person to knowingly furnish such child any weapon enumerated herein. Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined not exceeding fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisoned not exceeding thirty days.

(b) Air rifles, air pistols, and BB guns shall not be deemed “dangerous firearms” within the meaning of subsection (a) of this section except in the following
§ 14-317. Permitting minors to enter barrooms or billiard rooms.—If the manager or owner of any barroom, wherein beer, wine, or any alcoholic beverages are sold or consumed, or billiard room shall knowingly allow any minor under 18 years of age to enter or remain in such barroom or billiard room, where before such minor under 18 years of age enters or remains in such barroom or billiard room, the manager or owner thereof has been notified in writing by the parents or guardian of such minor under 18 years of age not to allow him to enter or remain in such barroom or billiard room, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined not exceeding fifty dollars ($50.00) or imprisoned not exceeding 30 days. (1897, c. 278; Rev., s. 3729; C. S., s. 4442; 1967, c. 1089.)

Editor's Note. — The 1967 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-318.1. Discarding or abandoning iceboxes, etc.; precautions required.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to discard, abandon, leave or allow to remain in any place any icebox, refrigerator or other container, device or equipment of any kind with an interior storage area of more than one and one-half (1½) cubic feet of clear space which is airtight, without first removing the door or doors or hinges from such icebox, refrigerator, container, device or equipment. This section shall not apply to any icebox, refrigerator, container, device or equipment which is being used for the purpose for which it was originally designed, or is being used for display purposes by any retail or wholesale merchant, or is crated, strapped or locked to such an extent that it is impossible for a child to obtain access to any airtight compartment thereof. Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished at the discretion of the court. (1954, c. 305.)

§ 14-318.2. Immunity of physicians and others who report abuse or neglect of children.—Any licensed physician or surgeon, any licensed nurse, any school teacher, principal, superintendent, or other administrative head of a school, or any employee of a county department of public welfare, who in the pursuit of his profession or occupation shall make an observation or acquire information causing him to believe that a child under the age of sixteen years suffers from any illness or has had any injury inflicted upon him as a result of abuse or neglect by a parent, stepparent, guardian, custodian, a person standing in loco parentis to such child, or an institution, or an agent or employee of an institution, having the authority of a parent or guardian over such child, may report to the county director of public welfare of the county where the child resides, the names and addresses of the child and his parents or other persons responsible for his care, the age of the child, the nature and extent of the child's injury or illness, including any evidence of previous injury or illness and any other information that the maker of the report shall believe might be helpful in establishing the cause of the injury or illness and the identity of the person causing or responsible for the abuse, neglect, injury or illness.

Anyone who makes a report pursuant to this statute and anyone who testifies in any judicial proceeding resulting from the report shall be immune from any civil or criminal liability that might otherwise be incurred or imposed for so doing, unless such person acted in bad faith or with malicious purpose. (1965, c. 472, s. 1.)

Editor's Note. — The act inserting this section was effective as of July 1, 1965.
§ 14-318.3. County directors of public welfare to investigate such reports.—The county director of public welfare upon receiving the report referred to in G.S. 14-318.2, shall investigate to attempt to determine who caused the abuse, neglect, injury or illness, and shall take such action in accordance with law necessary to prevent the child from being subjected to further abuse, neglect, injury or illness. (1965, c. 472, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The act inserting this section was effective as of July 1, 1965.

§ 14-320. Separating child under six months old from mother.—It shall be unlawful for any person to separate or aid in separating any child under six months old from its mother for the purpose of placing such child in a foster home or institution, or with the intent to remove it from the State for such purpose, without the written consent of either the county director of public welfare of the county in which the mother resides, or of the county in which the child was born, or of a private child-placing agency duly licensed by the State Board of Public Welfare; but the written consent of any of the officials named in this section shall not be necessary for a child when the mother places the child with relatives or in a boarding home or institution inspected and licensed by the State Board of Public Welfare. Such consent when required shall be filed in the records of the official or agency giving consent. Any person or agency violating the provisions of this section shall, upon conviction, be fined not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500.00) or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1917, c. 59; 1919, c. 240; C. S., s. 4445; 1939, c. 56; 1945, c. 669; 1949, c. 491; 1965, c. 356.)

Editor's Note.—The 1965 amendment substituted “director” for “superintendent” in the title of the county officer in the first sentence.

Article 40.

Protection of the Family.

§ 14-322. Abandonment by husband or parent.—If any husband shall willfully abandon his wife without providing her with adequate support or if any father or mother shall willfully neglect or refuse to provide adequate support for his or her child or children, whether natural or adopted, whether or not he or she abandons said child or children, he or she shall be guilty of a misdemeanor; and such willful neglect or refusal shall constitute a continuing offense and shall not be barred by any statute of limitations until the youngest living child shall arrive at the age of eighteen (18) years. (1868-9, c. 209, s. 1; 1873-4, c. 176, s. 10; 1879, c. 92; Code, s. 970; Rev., s. 3355; C. S., s. 4447; 1925, c. 290; 1949, c. 810; 1957, c. 369.)

Cross References.—As to special county attorneys and their duties in connection with the preparation and prosecution of criminal cases under this article, see §§ 108-14.01 to 108-14.03.

Editor's Note.—The 1957 amendment rewrote this section.

For discussion of statutory abandonment, see 38 N. C. Law Rev. 1.

Elements of Offense.—To violate this section one must willfully abandon his wife or children without providing adequate support. Abandonment does not violate it unless followed by nonsupport; and nonsupport does not constitute the offense unless preceded by abandonment. Both essential elements must exist to constitute the crime. Fowler v. Ross, 196 F. (2d) 25 (1958).

In a prosecution under this section, the State must establish (1) a wilful abandonment, and (2) a wilful failure to provide adequate support. Pruett v. Pruett, 247 N. C. 35, 100 S. E. (2d) 296 (1957); Richardson v. Richardson, 268 N.C. 538, 151 S.E.2d 12 (1966).

In a prosecution under this section, the failure by a defendant to provide adequate support for his child must be willful, that is, he intentionally and without just cause or excuse does not provide adequate sup-
§ 14-322.1. Abandonment of child or children for six months.—Any man or woman who, without just cause or provocation, willfully abandons

§ 14-322.1. General Statutes of North Carolina

§ 14-322.1. General Statutes of North Carolina

port for his child according to his means and station in life, and this essential element of the offense must be alleged and proved. State v. Hall, 251 N. C. 211, 110 S. E. (2d) 686 (1959).

Abandonment under § 50-7 (1) is not synonymous with the criminal offense defined in this section. Richardson v. Richardson, 258 N.C. 538, 151 S.E.2d 12 (1966).

The duty to support is primarily the obligation of the father. Goodyear v. Goodyear, 257 N. C. 374, 126 S. E. (2d) 113 (1962).


Abandonment of children by their father is a continuing offense, and therefore, termination of a prosecution in defendant's favor will not preclude a subsequent prosecution. State v. Smith, 241 N. C. 301, 84 S. E. (2d) 913 (1954).

Two Offenses Created.—This section as amended in 1949 defines clearly two separate and distinct offenses. If the State desires to prosecute for both offenses, each offense should be fully charged in a separate bill of indictment or as a separate count in the bill of indictment. State v. Lucas, 242 N. C. 84, 86 S. E. (2d) 770 (1955); State v. Outlaw, 242

Where Offense Committed.—The crime defined in this section is not committed — is not begun — unless the husband willfully abandons his wife and children in North Carolina. So, abandonment in North Carolina must precede failure to provide adequate support before nonsupport can be said to be a day by day repetition of the offense. Both essential acts must take place in North Carolina. Fowler v. Ross, 196 F. (2d) 25 (1952).

As to when offense of failure to support child deemed committed in State, see § 14-323.1.

Both Abandonment and Nonsupport Must Be Proved.—In accord with 3rd paragraph in original. See State v. Lucas, 243 N. C. 84, 86 S. E. (2d) 770 (1955).

Abandonment and Failure to Support Must Be Willful.—By express language the abandonment and failure to support must be willful to create criminal offenses.


Willful Abandonment May Signify Whether Failure to Support Was Willful.—Under certain circumstances the willful abandonment of the wife by the husband may be a significant factor in determining whether his failure to provide adequate support was willful, as when he leaves and goes to a new community where there is no prospect of equally satisfactory employment. State v. Lucas, 242 N. C. 84, 86 S. E. (2d) 770 (1955).

Crucial Questions for Jury — Defective Instruction.—Where, in a prosecution for abandonment and willful failure to support, the evidence tends to show that the husband was employed and had earnings, and had in some measure made provision for the support of the wife, the adequacy of such support and the willfulness of the defendant's failure to do more, are the crucial questions to be submitted to the jury, and an instruction to the effect that defendant's earning capacity made no difference is erroneous, and an instruction that the failure to provide support would be excusable only if the husband had no income or earning capacity whatsoever, is inexact. State v. Lucas, 242 N. C. 84, 86 S. E. (2d) 770 (1955).

Sufficient Warrant.—A warrant charging defendant with willful refusal and neglect to provide adequate support for his minor children, naming them, is sufficient, abandonment not being an element of the offense since the 1957 amendment rewriting this section. State v. Goodman, 256 N.C. 659, 147 S.E.2d 44 (1966).

Insufficient Warrant.—A warrant charging that defendant willfully failed to provide adequate support for his wife and children, but failing to charge that he willfully abandoned either the wife or the children, is insufficient under this section, and motion in arrest of judgment is allowed. State v. Outlaw, 242 N. C. 220, 87 S. E. (2d) 303 (1955).


his or her child or children for six (6) months and who willfully fails or refuses to provide adequate means of support for his or her child or children during the six months' period, and who attempts to conceal his or her whereabouts from his or her child or children with the intent of escaping his lawful obligation for the support of said child or children, shall, upon conviction thereof, be guilty of a felony and punished in the discretion of the court. (1963, c. 1227.)

§ 14-324. Order to support from husband's property or earnings.
Local Modification. — Person: 1967, c. 848, s. 3.

§ 14-325. Failure of husband to provide adequate support for family.
Local Modification. — Person: 1967, c. 848, s. 3.

A husband is under the legal duty of supporting his wife by furnishing her with such necessaries as the law deems essential to her health and comfort, including suitable food, clothing, lodging and medical attendance. State v. Clark, 234 N. C. 192, 66 S. E. (2d) 669 (1951).

"Adequate" and "Support" Defined. — "Adequate" is defined as meaning sufficient to meet specific requirements. "Support," as the word is used in this section, means personal support, maintenance; the supplying of food, clothing and housing suitable to their condition in life and commensurate with the defendant's ability; together with medical assistance reasonably required for the preservation of health. State v. Clark, 234 N. C. 192, 66 S. E. (2d) 669 (1951).

This being a criminal statute, it may not be extended to include cases not clearly within its terms. State v. Clark, 234 N. C. 192, 66 S. E. (2d) 669 (1951).

Neglect Must Be Willful, Unjustifiable and Wrongful.—To constitute a criminal offense under this section the neglect on the part of the husband to provide adequate support for his wife must have been willful. The support which the law deems adequate must have been purposely omitted without just cause or excuse in violation of law. The neglect must have been unjustifiable and wrongful. State v. Clark, 234 N. C. 192, 66 S. E. (2d) 669 (1951).

The failure of a husband to give his wife the affectionate consideration a husband should manifest for his wife is not sufficient to constitute the criminal offense defined by this section. State v. Clark, 234 N. C. 192, 66 S. E. (2d) 669 (1951).

Sufficiency of Warrant. — A warrant charging that defendant willfully neglected and refused to provide adequate support for his wife and children, without alleging that defendant committed the offense "while living with his wife," is insufficient under this section, and motion in arrest of judgment is allowed. State v. Outlaw, 242 N. C. 220, 87 S. E. (2d) 303 (1955).


§ 14-325.1. When offense of failure to support child deemed committed in State.—The offense of wilful neglect or refusal of a father to support and maintain his child or children, and the offense of willful neglect or refusal to support and maintain one's illegitimate child, shall be deemed to have been committed in the State of North Carolina whenever the child is living in North Carolina at the time of such willful neglect or refusal to support and maintain such child. (1953 c. 677.)

Editor's Note.—For brief comment on this section, see 31 N. C. Law Rev. 404.

§ 14-326.1. Parents; failure to support.—If any person being of full age and having sufficient income after reasonably providing for his or her own immediate family shall, without reasonable cause, neglect to maintain and support his or her parent or parents, if such parent or parents be sick or not able to work and have not sufficient means or ability to maintain or support themselves, such person shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court.
§ 14-329. Manufacturing, trafficking in, transporting, or possessing poisonous liquors.—(a) Any person who, either individually or as an agent for any person, firm or corporation, shall manufacture for use as a beverage, any spirituous liquor which is found to contain any foreign properties or ingredients poisonous to the human system, shall be guilty of a felony and shall be punished by imprisonment in the State's prison not less than five years, and may be fined in the discretion of the court.

(b) Any person who either individually or as agent for any person, firm or corporation, shall, knowing or having reasonable grounds to know of the poisonous qualities thereof, transport for other than personal use, sell or possess for purpose of sale, for use as a beverage, any spirituous liquor which is found to contain any foreign properties or ingredients poisonous to the human system, shall be guilty of a felony and shall be punished by imprisonment in the State's prison for not less than twelve months, and may be fined in the discretion of the court.

(c) Any person who, either individually or as agent for any person, firm or corporation, shall transport for other than personal use, sell or possess for purpose of sale, any spirituous liquor to be used as a beverage which is found to contain any foreign properties or ingredients poisonous to the human system, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than six months, and may be fined in the discretion of the court. In prosecutions under this subsection and under subsection (b) above, proof of transportation of more than one gallon of spirituous liquor will be prima facie evidence of transportation for other than personal use, and proof of possession of more than one gallon of spirituous liquor will be prima facie evidence of possession for purpose of sale.

(d) Any person who, either individually or as agent for any person, firm or corporation, shall transport or possess, for use as a beverage, any illicit spirituous liquor which is found to contain any foreign properties or ingredients poisonous to the human system, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than two hundred dollars ($200.00), and may be imprisoned in the discretion of the court: Provided, anyone charged under this subsection may show as a complete defense that the spirituous liquor in question was legally obtained and possessed and that he had no knowledge of the poisonous nature of the beverage. (1873-4, c. 180, ss. 1, 2; Code, s. 983; Rev., s. 3522; C. S., s. 4453; 1961, c. 897.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment rewrote this section.

What Must Be Shown to Sustain Conviction.—In order for the State to sustain a conviction upon an indictment based on the provisions of this section, the State must show that the defendant did manufacture, sell, or deal out spirituous liquors, to be used as a drink or beverage, containing poisonous foreign properties or ingredients in such quantity as to be injurious or dangerous to the human system. State v. Barefoot, 254 N. C. 308, 118 S. E. (2d) 758 (1961), decided prior to the 1961 amendment.
§ 14-334. Public drunkenness and disorderliness.


Stated in State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694,

§ 14-335. Public drunkenness.—(a) If any person shall be found drunk or intoxicated in any public place, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction or plea of guilty shall be punished by a fine of not more than fifty dollars ($50.00) or by imprisonment for not more than 20 days in the county jail. Upon conviction for any subsequent offense under this section within a 12-month period he shall be punished by a fine of not more than fifty dollars ($50.00) or by imprisonment for not more than 20 days in the county jail or by commitment to the custody of the Commissioner of Correction for an indeterminate sentence of not less than 30 days and not more than six months.

(b) The Commissioner of Correction or his agent shall designate the place of confinement within the State prison system where a person committed to the Commissioner’s custody under the provisions of this section shall begin service of the sentence. At any time during the period such person is committed to the custody of the Commissioner, the Commissioner or his agent may authorize his release under such conditions as the Commissioner or his agent may prescribe, in order to receive care and treatment from a specified hospital, outpatient clinic, or other appropriate facility or program outside the State prison system. The conditions of release may be modified or the conditional release may be revoked by the Commissioner or his agent at any time during the period such person is committed to the Commissioner’s custody, provided that the total time served in confinement and on conditional release shall not exceed a term of six months from the date of entry into the State prison system. If a conditional release is revoked, the revocation order shall constitute authority for any prison, parole or peace officer to arrest such person without a warrant and return him to a facility of the State prison system. The Commissioner of Correction shall require any person committed to his custody under the provisions of this section to serve at least 30 days of the sentence, but this minimum term can be served in part on conditional release after a period of confinement. The Commissioner or his agent may discharge the person from custody at any time after service of the minimum term.

(c) Chronic alcoholism shall be an affirmative defense to the charge of public drunkenness. For the purpose of this section, chronic alcoholism shall be as defined in article 7A of chapter 122. When the defense of chronic alcoholism is shown to the satisfaction of the trier of fact, and a judgment of not guilty by reason of chronic alcoholism is entered, the court may follow the treatment procedures outlined in article 7A of chapter 122. (1897, c. 57; 1899, cc. 87, 208, 608, 638; 1901, c. 445; 1903, cc. 116, 124, 523, 758; Rev., s. 3733; 1907, cc. 305, 785, 900, 908, 976; 1908, c. 113; 1909, c. 46, s. 2; cc. 256, 271, 815; Pub. Loc. 1915, c. 790; Pub. Loc. 1917, cc. 447, 475; Pub. Loc. 1919, cc. 148, 190, 200; C. S., s. 4458; Ex. Sess. 1924, c. 5; Pub. Loc. 1927, c. 17; 1929, c. 135; Pub. Loc. 1929, c. 1; 1931, c. 219; Pub. Loc. 1931, cc. 32, 413; 1933, cc. 10, 287; 1935, cc. 49, ss. 1. 4; cc. 207, 208, 284, 350; 1937, cc. 46, 95, 96, 203, 286, 329, 443; 1939, c. 55; 1941, cc. 82, 150, 334, 336; 1943, c. 268, ss. 1-3; c. 506; 1945, cc. 215, 254; 1947, c. 12, ss. 1, 2; cc. 109, 445; 1949, cc. 215, 217, 246, 891, 1154, 1193; 1951, cc. 20, 255, 731; 1953, cc. 18, 163, 276, 363, 655, 971; 1955, ss. 30. 47, 856; 1957, cc. 47, 88, 145, 325, 474, 512, 520, 576, 606, 721, 736, 804, 936; 1959, cc. 13, 96, 217, 267, 403, 575, 757, 823, 907; 1961, cc. 464, 543, 545, 546, 632, 927; 1963, cc. 38,
Editor's Note.—
Chapter 1256, s. 1, Session Laws 1967, rewrote this section.
Chapter 996, s. 15, Session Laws 1967, effective Aug. 1, 1967, substituted "Commissioner of Correction" for "Director of Prisons" and "Commissioner" for "Director" throughout the section.

Chapters 144, 256, 420, 661, 733, Session Laws 1967, had inserted or deleted the names of various counties in the former section.

Session Laws 1967, c. 1256, s. 4, provides: "All local public drunkenness statutes and all other laws and clauses of laws in conflict with this act are hereby repealed."

Many of the cases cited in the note below construe this section as it appeared prior to the 1967 amendment.

For comment on punishment for alcoholism, see 44 N.C.L. Rev. 818 (1966).


And Application.—This section was intended for general application in the localities affected. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).


"Drunk" and "intoxicated" are synonymous terms. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

But Not "Drunk" and "under the Influence of Intoxicating Liquor."—"Drunk" within the meaning of this section is not synonymous with "under the influence of intoxicating liquor" within the intent of §§ 20-138 and 20-139. State v. Painter, 261 N.C. 332, 134 S.E.2d 638 (1964).

Hence, in a prosecution for public drunkenness under this section, an instruction applying the definition of "under the influence of intoxicating liquor" must be held for prejudicial error. State v. Painter, 261 N.C. 332, 134 S.E.2d 638 (1964).


"Drunk" or "Intoxicated."—A person is "drunk" or "intoxicated" within the intent and meaning of this section when he is so far under the influence of intoxicating liquor that his passions are visibly excited or his judgment materially impaired, or when his brain is so far affected by potations of intoxicating liquor that his intelligence, sense-perceptions, judgment, continuity of thought or of ideas, speech and coordination of volition with muscular action, or some of these faculties or processes are materially impaired. This is the definition of "drunk" or "intoxicated" recognized in common speech, in ordinary experience, and in judicial decisions. State v. Painter, 261 N.C. 332, 134 S.E.2d 638 (1964).

Where the judge defined "public place," "drunk," and "intoxicated or intoxication" in strict accord with the definitions appearing in Black's Law Dictionary, and applied these definitions to the facts in the case, there was no error. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

"Public Place."—As used in statutes relating to drunkenness, "public place" means a place which in point of fact is public as distinguished from private, but not necessarily a place devoted solely to the uses of the public, a place that is visited by many persons and to which the neighboring public may have resort, a place which is accessible to the public and visited by many persons. State v. Fenner, 263 N.C. 694, 140 S.E.2d 349 (1965).

Arrest without Warrant. — Where an officer sees a person intoxicated at a public bar, the officer may arrest such person without a warrant for violation of this section, and such person's assault upon the officer cannot be excused on the ground that the arrest was unlawful and that he had the right to defend himself against such arrest. State v. Shirlen, 269 N.C. 695, 153 S.E.2d 364 (1967).

Chronic Alcoholism.—See Driver v. Hinnant, 356 F.2d 761 (4th Cir. 1966).

Burden.—Before the State is entitled to a conviction within the intent and meaning of this section, it must satisfy the jury beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence that defendant was drunk or intoxicated in a public place. State v. Painter, 261 N.C. 332, 134 S.E.2d 638 (1964).


§ 14-336. Persons classed as vagrants.


§ 14-346. Sale of convict-made goods prohibited. — (a) It shall be unlawful to sell or to offer for sale anywhere within the State of North Carolina any articles or commodities manufactured or produced, wholly or in part, in this State or elsewhere by convicts or prisoners, except

(1) Articles or commodities manufactured or produced by convicts on probation or parole or prisoners released part time for regular employment in the free community, and

(2) Products of agricultural or forestry enterprises or quarrying or mining operations in which inmates of any penal or correctional institution of this State are employed, and

(3) Articles and commodities manufactured or produced in any penal or correctional institution of this State for sale to departments, institutions, and agencies supported in whole or in part by the State, or to any political subdivision of this State, for the use of these departments, institutions, agencies, and political subdivisions of the State and not for resale, and

(4) Articles of handicraft made by the inmates of any penal or correctional institution of this State during their leisure hours and with their own materials.

(b) Any person, firm or corporation selling, undertaking to sell, or offering for sale any prison-made or convict-made goods, wares or merchandise, anywhere within the State, in violation of the provisions of this section, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction, shall be subject to fine, or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court. Each sale or offer to sell, in violation of the provisions of this section, shall constitute a separate offense.

(1935.06. 140,55) 1-4; 1959, c. 170, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1959 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-346.1. Sale of bay rum.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell or offer for sale any bay rum in the State of North Carolina, or to cause any delivery of bay rum to be made in the State of North Carolina pursuant to any sale thereof, except:

(1) When such sale is made to a pharmacy or drugstore, supervised by a person licensed as a pharmacist or assistant pharmacist as described in G.S. 90-71;

(2) When such sale is made pursuant to a prescription of some duly licensed physician, or
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(3) When such sale is made to a duly licensed barber for use in the course of treatments given or services performed in a barbershop, and not for resale.

Any person who violates any provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, in the discretion of the court.

The provisions of this section shall not apply to the following counties: Anson, Beaufort, Bertie, Brunswick, Burke, Camden, Caswell, Columbus, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Duplin, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gates, Greene, Halifax, Harnett, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Johnston, Lenoir, Lincoln, Martin, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Northampton, Onslow, Pasquotank, Pender, Perquimans, Pitt, Randolph, Robeson, Stanly, Tyrrell and Wilson. (1951, c. 1096; 1953, ccs. 179, 181, 411; 1955, c. 947; 1959, c. 1300; 1963, c. 260; 1967, c. 746.)

Editor's Note. — The first 1953 amendment inserted "Dare" in the list of counties in the last paragraph, and the second 1953 amendment inserted Bertie and Hertford therein. The third 1953 amendment, effective July 1, 1953, deleted "Cleveland" from the list of counties and provided that the sale of bay rum in Cleveland County shall hereafter be prohibited according to the provisions of this section.

§ 14-346.2. Sale of certain articles on Sunday prohibited; counties excepted. — Any person, firm or corporation who engages on Sunday in the business of selling, or sells or offers for sale on such day, clothing and wearing apparel, clothing accessories, furniture, home, business or office furnishings, household, business or office appliances, hardware, tools, paints, building and lumber supply materials, jewelry, silverware, watches, clocks, luggage, musical instruments or recordings, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned, or both, in the discretion of the court.

Each separate sale or offer to sell shall constitute a separate offense: Provided this section shall not be applicable to Avery, Brunswick, Camden, Carteret, Cherokee, Clay, Currituck, Dare, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Hyde, Jackson, Macon, Madison, Mitchell, New Hanover, Pamlico, Pender, Polk, Swain, Transylvania, Watauga, Wilkes and Yancey counties. (1961, c. 1150; 1963, c. 488.)

Editor's Note. — The act inserting this section was effective as of Oct. 1, 1961.

The 1963 amendment, effective July 1, 1963, rewrote this section. The amending act provides that it shall not apply to Chimney Rock township of Rutherford County. Colly township of Bladen County or Edneyville township of Henderson County, or to facilities within the right-of-way of the Blue Ridge Parkway in Ashe, Alleghany and Watauga counties, or to Blowing Rock township of Watauga County. The act further provides that:

"The areas that are exempted from this act by the foregoing provisions are so exempted upon the classification of such areas as resort or tourist areas. The General Assembly recognizing that different considerations apply to such areas. By exempting from this act the General Assembly hereby classifies such areas as resort or tourist areas."

For case law survey on blue laws, see 41 N. C. Law Rev. 431.

For an article on local legislation in the General Assembly discussing this section, see 45 N.C.L. Rev. 340 (1967).

Constitutionality. — The 1963 amendment is not general because it does not apply to and operate uniformly on all members of any class of persons, places or things requiring legislation peculiar to itself in matters covered by the law. On the contrary, it applies to and operates only on merchants in designated counties or portions thereof and not on similarly situated merchants in other counties or portions thereof and no reasonable basis exists for the attempted classification of the exempted counties or portions thereof as resort areas or tourist areas; hence, the 1963 amendment must be considered a local and special act in violation of N.C. Const., Art. II, § 29, and therefore void. Treasure
§ 14-353. Influencing agents and servants in violating duties owed employers.

Editor's Note.—For list of articles respecting acts prohibited by this section and similar statutes, and "commercial bribery" and influencing of employees, see State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

The first two parts of this section are divisible and separable from the remainder of the statute. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

And Are Constitutional.—The first two parts of this section are not repugnant to the "due process of law" clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and to "the law of the land" clause of Const., Art. I, § 17, and are a reasonable and proper exercise of the police power of the State. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

And Sufficiently Clear.—The acts prohibited in the first clause of this section are stated in words sufficiently explicit, clear and definite to inform any man of ordinary intelligence what conduct on his part will render him liable to its penalties. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

Although the second clause of this section employs general terms, the words used are sufficiently explicit and definite to convey to any man of ordinary intelligence and understanding an adequate description of the prohibited act or acts, and to inform him of what conduct on his part will render him liable to its penalties. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).


A violation of the first clause of this section is related to unfair trade practices, and is an unfair method of competition. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

And Is Commonly Called "Commercial Bribery."—If a person does the prohibited act or acts specified in the first clause of this section with the intent explicitly stated therein, he is guilty of what is commonly called "commercial bribery." State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

Such Practices Are Generally Prohibited.—There is general agreement that where an agent or employee receives money or other considerations from a person in return for the agent's or employee's efforts to further that person's interest in business dealings between him and the principal or employer, such an act or acts on the part of the agent or employee and on the part of the person who gives the money or other consideration to the agent or employee should be prohibited. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).


As Is Agreement or Understanding in Second Clause.—The agreement or understanding in the second clause of this section is an essential element of the offense. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

First Clause Does Not Prohibit Customary Tipping.—A contention that the language of the first clause of this section is so broad as to prohibit the customary habit of tipping is untenable. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

Since Tipping Lacks Intent to Influence.—Customary tipping is in obedience to custom or in appreciation of service, and is done with no intent to influence the action of the person receiving the tip in relation to his or her employer's business, and as to tipping done in such a manner the statute is not applicable. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

But If Such Intent Is Present, Tipping May Be Violation.—It is possible that a person by tipping an agent, servant or employee with the intent specified in the first clause of this section could bring
himself within its penalties, e.g., by giving substantial amounts or considerations and calling them tips. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).  

Second Clause Is Intended to Prohibit Disloyalty by Employees.—The plain intent and purpose of the second clause of this section is to prohibit any agent, employee or servant from being disloyal and unfaithful to his principal, employer or master. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

The third and fourth parts of this section refer to a commission, discount or bonus received by any agent, employee or servant under the circumstances therein specified, and to any person who gives or offers such an agent, employee, or servant such commission, discount or bonus. State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

Parties to Prohibited Acts Generally

§ 14-354. Witness required to give self-criminating evidence; no suit or prosecution to be founded thereon.


§ 14-356. Conspiring to blacklist employees.

Editor's Note.—For comment on criminal conspiracy in North Carolina, see 39 N. C. Law Rev. 422.

ARTICLE 46.

Regulation of Landlord and Tenant.

§ 14-358. Local: Violation of certain contracts between landlord and tenant.—If any tenant or cropper shall procure advances from his landlord to enable him to make a crop on the land rented by him, and then willfully abandon the same without good cause and before paying for such advances with intent to defraud the landlord; or if any landlord shall contract with a tenant or cropper to furnish him advances to enable him to make a crop, and shall willfully fail or refuse, without good cause, to furnish such advances according to his agreement with intent to defraud the tenant, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined not exceeding fifty dollars or imprisoned not exceeding thirty days. Any person employing a tenant or cropper who has violated the provisions of this section, with knowledge of such violation, shall be liable to the landlord furnishing such advances for the amount thereof, and shall also be guilty of a misdemeanor, and fined not exceeding fifty dollars or imprisoned not exceeding thirty days. This section shall apply to the following counties only: Alamance, Alexander, Beaufort, Bertie, Bladen, Cabarrus, Camden, Caswell, Chatham, Chowan, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Currituck, Duplin, Edgecombe, Gaston, Gates, Greene, Halifax, Harnett, Hertford, Johnston, Jones, Lee, Lenoir, Lincoln, Martin, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Nash, Northampton, Onslow, Pamlico, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Pitt, Randolph, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Sampson, Stokes, Surry, Tyrrell, Vance, Wake, Warren, Washington,...
§ 14-360. Cruelty to animals; construction of section.

This section is for the protection of animals. Belk v. Boyce, 263 N.C. 24, 138 S.E.2d 789 (1964).


Hence, Unlawful Shooting at Dog Is Not Negligence Per Se.—Where plaintiff, who was struck by a bullet fired by defendant, was at best a mere licensee, the fact defendant was unlawfully shooting at a dog did not render the act negligence per se, nor impose on defendant absolute liability. Since this section is not for the protection of the class to which plaintiff belonged, its violation did not impose liability in the absence of a showing that defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, of plaintiff’s presence in the vicinity. Belk v. Boyce, 263 N.C. 24, 138 S.E.2d 789 (1964).

§ 14-361. Instigating or promoting cruelty to animals.—If any person shall willfully set on foot, or instigate, or move to, carry on, or promote, or engage in, or do any act towards the furtherance of any act of cruelty to any animal, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1881, c. 368, s. 6; Code, s. 2487; 1891 c. 65; Rev., s. 3300; C. S., s. 4484; 1953, c. 857, s. 1.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1953 amendment substituted the words “or imprisoned in the discretion of the court” for the words “not more than fifty dollars or imprisoned not more than thirty days.”

§ 14-362. Bearbaiting, cockfighting and similar amusements.—If any person shall keep, or use, or in any way be connected with, or interested in the management of, or shall receive money for the admission of any person to, any place kept or used for the purpose of fighting, or baiting any bull, bear, dog, cock, or other animal; or if any person shall encourage, aid or assist therein, or shall permit or suffer any place to be so kept or used, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1881, c. 368, s. 2; Code, s. 2483; 1891 c. 65; Rev., s. 3300; C. S., s. 4485; 1953, c. 857, s. 2.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1953 amendment substituted the words “or imprisoned in the discretion of the court” for the words “not more than fifty dollars or imprisoned not more than thirty days.”

§ 14-363. Conveying animals in a cruel manner.—If any person shall carry or cause to be carried in or upon any vehicle or other conveyance, any animal in a cruel or inhuman manner, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. Whenever an offender shall be taken into custody therefor by any officer, the officer may take charge of such vehicle or other conveyance and its contents, and deposit the same in some safe place of custody. The necessary expenses which may be incurred for taking charge of and keeping and sustaining the vehicle or other conveyance shall be a lien thereon, to be paid before the same can be lawfully reclaimed; or the said expenses, or any part thereof remaining unpaid, may be
§ 14-372. Unauthorized opening, reading or publishing of sealed letters and telegrams.

Cross Reference.—See note to § 14-155.

ARTICLE 51.
Protection of Athletic Contests.

§ 14-373. Bribery of players, managers, coaches, referees, umpires or officials.—If any person shall bribe or offer to bribe or shall aid, advise, or abet in any way another in such bribe or offer to bribe, any player or participant in any athletic contest with intent to influence his play, action, or conduct and for the purpose of inducing the player or participant to lose or try to lose or cause to be lost any athletic contest or to limit or try to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest; or if any person shall bribe or offer to bribe or shall aid, advise, or abet in any way another in such bribe or offer to bribe, any referee, umpire, manager, coach, or any other official of an athletic club or team, league, association, institution or conference, by whatever name called connected with said athletic contest with intent to influence his decision or bias his opinion or judgment for the purpose of losing or trying to lose or causing to be lost said athletic contest or of limiting or trying to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest, such person shall be guilty of a felony, and, upon conviction shall be imprisoned in the State's prison not less than one nor more than ten years, and shall be fined not less than three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), nor more than ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00). (1921, c. 23, s. 1; C. S., s. 4499-(a); 1951, c. 364, s. 1; 1961, c. 1054, s. 1.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment rewrote this section, making it also applicable to managers and coaches. It increased the maximum imprisonment from five to ten years and added the provision for fines.

Session Laws 1961, c. 1504, s. 7, provides that notwithstanding any other provisions of the act, it shall not be construed as repealing any provision of article 51 of chapter 14 of the General Statutes as said article reads or provided immediately preceding June 19, 1961, with respect to any act done or offense committed in violation of said article prior to the said date, and the provisions of said article 51 in effect immediately preceding said date shall continue in full force and effect with respect to all acts done or offenses committed prior to said date.

Essential Element.—An essential element of the offense is bribery or offer to bribe with intent to influence the play, action or conduct of a player in any athletic contest. State v. Goldberg, 261 N.C. 181, 134 S.E.2d 334 (1964).

It is necessary for the State to prove specific intent to influence the play, action or conduct of a player in any athletic contest. State v. Goldberg, 261 N.C. 181, 134 S.E.2d 334 (1964).

Competency of Evidence. — Testimony admitted over objections and exceptions as to the bribery of a number of basketball players in other states and rigging of basketball games in other states, was held competent as proof of intent to influence the play, action or conduct of a player in an athletic contest in State v. Goldberg, 261 N.C. 181, 134 S.E.2d 334 (1964).


§ 14-374. Acceptance of bribes by players, managers, coaches, referees, umpires or officials.—If any player or participant in any athletic con-
test shall accept, or agree to accept, a bribe given for the purpose of inducing the player or participant to lose or try to lose or cause to be lost or to limit or try to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest; or if any referee, umpire, manager, coach, or any other official of an athletic club, team, league, association, institution, or conference connected with an athletic contest shall accept or agree to accept a bribe given with the intent to influence his decision or bias his opinion or judgment and for the purpose of losing or trying to lose or causing to be lost said athletic contest or of limiting or trying to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest, such person shall be guilty of a felony, and upon conviction shall be imprisoned in the State's prison not less than one nor more than ten years, or fined in the discretion of the court.

(1921, c. 23, s. 2; C. S., s. 4499(b); 1951, c. 364, s. 2; 1961, c. 1054, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment rewrote this section, making it also applicable to managers and coaches. It increased the maximum imprisonment from five to ten years and added the provision for a fine. Cited in State v. Brewer, 258 N. C. 533, 129 S. E. (2d) 262 (1963).

§ 14-375. Completion of offenses set out in sections 14-373 and 14-374.—To complete the offenses mentioned in §§ 14-373 and 14-374, it shall not be necessary that the player, manager, coach, referee, umpire, or official shall, at the time, have been actually employed, selected, or appointed to perform his respective duties; it shall be sufficient if the bribe be offered, accepted, or agreed to with the view of probable employment, selection, or appointment of the person to whom the bribe is offered or by whom it is accepted. It shall not be necessary that such player, referee, umpire, manager, coach, or other official actually play or participate in an athletic contest, concerning which said bribe is offered or accepted; it shall be sufficient if the bribe is offered or accepted in view of his or their possibly participating therein. (1921, c. 23, s. 3; C. S., s. 4499(c); 1951, c. 364, s. 3; 1961, c. 1054, s. 3.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment inserted the word "coach" in lines two and seven.

§ 14-376. Bribe defined.—By a "bribe", as used in this article, is meant any gift, emolument, money or thing of value, testimonial, privilege, appointment or personal advantage, or in the promise of either, bestowed or promised for the purpose of influencing, directly or indirectly, any player, referee, manager, coach, umpire, club or league official, to see which game an admission fee may be charged, or in which athletic contest any player, manager, coach, umpire, referee, or other official is paid any compensation for his services. Said bribe as defined in this article need not be direct; it may be such as is hidden under the semblance of a sale, bet, wager, payment of a debt, or in any other manner defined to cover the true intention of the parties. (1921, c. 23, s. 4; C. S., s. 4499(d); 1951, c. 364, s. 4; 1961, c. 1054, s. 4.)

Editor's Note.—The 1961 amendment inserted "coach" in lines five and six.

§ 14-377. Intentional losing of athletic contest or limiting margin of victory or defeat.—If any player or participant shall commit any willful act of omission or commission, in playing of an athletic contest, with intent to lose or try to lose or to cause to be lost or to limit or try to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest for the purpose of material gain to himself, or if any referees, umpire, manager, coach, or any other official of an athletic club, team, league, association, institution or conference connected with an athletic contest shall commit any willful act of omission or commission connected with his official duties with intent to try to lose or to cause to be lost or to limit or try to limit the margin of victory or defeat in such contest for the purpose of material gain to himself, such person shall be guilty of a felony and upon con-
§ 14-379. General Statutes of North Carolina § 14-390.1
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viction shall be imprisoned in the State’s prison, not less than one nor more than ten years, or fined in the discretion of the court. (1921, c. 23, s. 5; C. S., s. 4499(e); 1951, c. 364, s. 5; 1961, c. 1054, s. 5.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1961 amendment rewrote this section.

§ 14-379. Bonus or extra compensation not forbidden.—Nothing in this article shall be construed to prohibit the giving or offering of any bonus or extra compensation to any manager, coach, or professional player, or to any league, association, or conference for the purpose of encouraging such manager, coach, or player to a higher degree of skill, ability, or diligence in the performance of his duties. (1921, c. 23, s. 7; C. S., s. 4499(f); 1951, c. 364, s. 7; 1961, c. 1054, s. 6.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1961 amendment rewrote this section.

ARTICLE 51A.

Protection of Horse Shows.

§ 14-380.1. Bribery of horse show judges or officials.—Any person who bribes, or offers to bribe, any judge or other official in any horse show, with intent to influence his decision or judgment concerning said horse show, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1963, c. 1100, s. 1.)

§ 14-380.2. Bribery attempts to be reported.—Any judge or other official of any horse show shall report to the resident superior court solicitor any attempt to bribe him with respect to his decisions in any horse show, and a failure to so report shall constitute a misdemeanor. (1963, c. 1100, s. 2.)

§ 14-380.3. Bribe defined.—The word “bribe,” as used in this article, shall have the same meaning as set forth in G. S. 14-376, in relation to athletic contests. (1963, c. 1100, s. 3.)

§ 14-380.4. Printing article in horse show schedules.—The provisions of this article shall be printed on all schedules for any horse show held prior to January 1, 1965. (1963, c. 1100, s. 4.)

ARTICLE 52.

Miscellaneous Police Regulations.

§ 14-382. Pollution of water on lands used for dairy purposes.

Editor’s Note. — The catchline to this section is set out above to correct an error in the recompiled volume.

§ 14-390. Furnishing intoxicants, barbiturates or stimulant drugs to inmates of charitable or penal institutions.—If any person shall sell or give to any inmate of any charitable or penal institution any intoxicating drink, barbiturate or stimulant drug as defined by G. S. 90-113.1, except upon the prescription of a physician, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined or imprisoned at the discretion of the court; and if he be an officer or employee of any institution of the State, he shall be dismissed from his office. (1899, c. 1, s. 52; Rev., s. 3517; C. S., s. 4508; 1961, c. 394, s. 1.)

Editor’s Note.—The 1961 amendment applied to poisons, deadly weapons, etc., now covered by § 14-390.1.

§ 14-390.1. Furnishing poison, narcotics, deadly weapons, cartridges or ammunition to inmates of charitable or penal institutions.—
§ 14-391 1967 Cumulative Supplement § 14-399

If any person shall give or sell to any inmate of any charitable or penal institution, or if any person shall combine, confederate, conspire, aid, abet, solicit, urge, investigate, counsel, advise, encourage, attempt to procure, or procure another or others with another or others to give or sell to any inmate of any charitable or penal institution, any deadly weapon, or any cartridge or ammunition for firearms of any kind, or any narcotic, poison or poisonous substance, except upon the prescription of a physician, he shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined or imprisoned in the State’s Prison for not more than ten (10) years in the discretion of the court; and if he be an officer or employee of any institution of the State, he shall be dismissed from his position or office. (1961, c. 394, s. 2.)

§ 14-391. Usurious loans on household and kitchen furniture or assignment of wages.—Any person, firm or corporation who shall lend money in any manner whatsoever by note, chattel mortgage, conditional sale, or purported conditional sale or otherwise, upon any article of household or kitchen furniture, or any assignment of wages, earned or to be earned, and shall willfully:

(1) Take, receive, reserve or charge a greater rate of interest than six per cent (6%), either before or after the interest may accrue; or

(2) Refuse to give receipts for payments on interest or principal of such loan; or

(3) Fail or refuse to surrender the note and security when the same is paid off or a new note and mortgage is given in renewal, unless such new mortgage shall state the amount still due by the old note or mortgage and that the new one is given as additional security;

shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and in addition thereto shall be subject to the provisions of G. S. 24-2. (1907 c. 10> C.-S., s. 4509; 1927, c. 72; 1959, c. 195.)

Editor’s Note.—Consumer Finance Act, provides that this section shall not be applicable to persons licensed under the Consumer Finance Act, that is, §§ 53-164 to 53-191. See Editor’s Note to § 53-164.

§ 14-394. Anonymous or threatening letters, mailing or transmitting.

Transmission an Essential Element.—For a conviction under this statute, there must be a transmission of the anonymous letter which contains at least one of the categories of prohibited language. Unless and until there is a transmission, no crime has been committed. State v. Robbins, 253 N. C. 47, 116 S. E. (2d) 192 (1960).

What Constitutes Transmission.—There can be no transmission within the meaning of the statute without an intended recipient and a delivery of the prohibited writing or a communication of its contents to the intended recipient. State v. Robbins, 253 N. C. 47, 116 S. E. (2d) 192 (1960).


§ 14-399. Placing of trash, refuse, etc., on the right of way of any public road.

It is unlawful for any person, firm, organization or private corporation, or for the governing body, agents or employees of any municipal corporation, to place or leave or cause to be placed or left temporarily or permanently, any trash, refuse, garbage, scrapped automobile, scrapped truck or part thereof on the right of way of any State highway or public road where said highway or public road is outside of an incorporated town.

The placing or leaving of the articles or matter forbidden by this section shall, for each day or portion thereof that said articles or matter are placed or left, constitute a separate offense.

A violation of this section is punishable by a fine of not less than ten dollars ($10.00) and not more than fifty dollars ($50.00) for each offense. (1935, c. 305)
§ 14-401. Putting poisonous foodstuffs, etc., in certain public places, prohibited — It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to put or place any strychnine, other poisonous compounds or ground glass on any beef or other foodstuffs of any kind in any public square, street, lane, alley or on any lot in any village, town or city or on any public road, open field, woods or yard in the country. Any person, firm or corporation who violates the provisions of this section shall be liable in damages to the person injured thereby and also shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned, at the discretion of the court. This section shall not apply to the poisoning of insects or worms for the purpose of protecting crops or gardens by spraying plants, crops or trees, nor to poisons used in rat extermination. (1941, c. 181; 1953, c. 1239.)

Editor's Note.—The 1953 amendment inserted "woods" in line four.

§ 14-401. Misdemeanor to tamper with examination questions.

Section Limited to Examinations "Provided and Prepared by Law."—The portion of this section reading "any examination provided and prepared by law" expressly limits the application of the statute to examinations "provided and prepared by law," i.e., examinations given by the State Board of Medical Examiners, the State Board of Law Examiners, and other examining boards of this class. The statute has no application to college examination papers. State v. Andrews, 246 N. C. 561, 99 S. E. (2d) 745 (1957).

§ 14-401.4. Identifying marks on machines and apparatus; application to Department of Motor Vehicles for numbers. — (a) No person, firm or corporation shall willfully remove, deface, destroy, alter or cover over the manufacturer's serial or engine number or any other manufacturer's number or other distinguishing number or identification mark upon any machine or other apparatus, including but not limited to farm equipment, machinery and apparatus, but excluding electric storage batteries, nor shall any person, firm or corporation place or stamp any serial, engine, or other number or mark upon such machinery, apparatus or equipment except as provided for in this section. Nor shall any person, firm or corporation purchase or take into possession or sell, trade, transfer, devise, give away or in any manner dispose of such machinery, apparatus, or equipment except by intestate succession or as junk or scrap after the manufacturer's serial or engine number or mark has been willfully removed, defaced, destroyed, altered or covered up, unless a new number or mark has been added as provided in this section: Provided, however, that this section shall not prohibit or prevent the owner or holder of a mortgage, conditional sales contract, title retaining contract, or a trustee under a deed of trust from taking possession for the purpose of foreclosure under a power of sale or by court order, of such machinery, ap-
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paratus, or equipment, or from selling the same by foreclosure sale under a power contained in a mortgage, conditional sales contract, title retaining contract, deed of trust, or court order; or from taking possession thereof in satisfaction of the indebtedness secured by the mortgage, deed of trust, conditional sales contract, or title retaining contract pursuant to an agreement with the owner.

(c) Each user of farm machinery, farm equipment or farm apparatus whose manufacturer's serial number, distinguishing number or identification mark has been obliterated or is now unrecognizable, may obtain a valid identification number for any such machinery, equipment or apparatus upon application for such number to the Department of Motor Vehicles accompanied by satisfactory proof of ownership and a subsequent certification to the Department by a member of the North Carolina Highway Patrol that said applicant has placed the number on the proper machinery, equipment or apparatus. The Department of Motor Vehicles is hereby authorized and empowered to issue appropriate identification marks or distinguishing numbers for machinery, equipment or apparatus upon application as provided in this section and the Department is further authorized and empowered to designate the place or places on the machinery, equipment or apparatus at which the identification marks or distinguishing numbers shall be placed. The Department is also authorized to designate the method to be used in placing the identification marks or distinguishing numbers on the machinery, equipment or apparatus: Provided, however, that the owner or holder of the mortgage, conditional sales contract, title retaining contract, or trustee under a deed of trust in possession of such encumbered machinery, equipment, or apparatus from which the manufacturer's serial or engine number or other manufacturer's number or distinguishing mark has been obliterated or has become unrecognizable or the purchaser at the foreclosure sale thereof, may at any time obtain a valid identification number for any such machinery, equipment or apparatus upon application therefor to the Department of Motor Vehicles.

(1953, c. 257.)

Editor's Note.—"Vehicles" in line five of subsection (c). As the rest of the section was not affected by the amendment only subsections (a) and (c) are set out.

§ 14-401.5. Practice of phrenology, palmistry, fortune telling or clairvoyance prohibited.—It shall be unlawful for any person to practice the arts of phrenology, palmistry, clairvoyance, fortune telling and other crafts of a similar kind in the counties named herein. Any person violating any provision of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars ($500.00) or imprisonment for not more than one year or both such fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court.

This section shall not prohibit the amateur practice of phrenology, palmistry, fortune telling or clairvoyance in connection with school or church socials, provided such socials are held in school or church buildings.

Provided that the provisions of this section shall apply only to the counties of Alexander, Ashe, Avery, Bertie, Bladen, Brunswick, Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Camden, Carteret, Caswell, Chatham, Chowan, Clay, Craven, Cumberland, Currituck, Dare, Davidson, Davie, Duplin, Durham, Franklin, Gates, Graham, Granville, Greene, Guilford, Halifax, Harnett, Haywood, Hertford, Hoke, Iredell, Johnston, Lee, Madison, Martin, McDowell, Mecklenburg, Moore, Nash, Northampton, Onslow, Orange, Pasquotank, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Polk, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rutherford, Sampson, Scotland, Surry, Transylvania, Union, Vance, Wake and Warren. (1951, c. 314; 1953, c. 138, 227, 307.)
§ 14-401.7. **Persons, firms, banks and corporations dealing in securities on commission taxed as a private banker.**—No person, bank, or corporation, without a license authorized by law, shall act as a stockbroker or private banker. Any person, bank, or corporation that deals in foreign or domestic exchange, certificates of debt, shares in any corporation or charter companies, bank or other notes, for the purpose of selling the same or any other thing for commission or other compensation, or who negotiates loans upon real estate securities, shall be deemed a security broker. Any person, bank, or corporation engaged in the business of negotiating loans on any class of security or in discounting, buying or selling negotiable or other papers or credits, whether in an office for the purpose or elsewhere, shall be deemed to be a private banker. Any person, firm, or corporation violating this section shall pay a fine of not less than one hundred nor more than five hundred dollars for each offense. (1939, c. 310, s. 1004: 1953, c. 970, s. 9.)

Editor’s Note. — Prior to the 1953 amendment this section appeared as G. S. 105-319.

§ 14-401.8. **Refusing to relinquish party telephone line in emergency; false statement of emergency.**—Any person who shall wilfully refuse to immediately relinquish a party telephone line when informed that such line is needed for an emergency call to a fire department or police department, or for medical aid or ambulance service, or any person who shall secure the use of a party telephone line by falsely stating that such line is needed for an emergency call, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court.

The term “party line” as used in this section is defined as a subscriber’s line telephone circuit, consisting of two or more main telephone stations connected therewith, each station with a distinctive ring or telephone number. The term “emergency” as used in this section is defined as a situation in which property or human life are in jeopardy and the prompt summoning of aid is essential. (1955, c. 958.)


§ 14-401.9. **Parking vehicle in private parking space without permission.**—It shall be unlawful for any person other than the owner or lessee of a privately owned or leased parking space to park a motor or other vehicle in such private parking space without the express permission of the owner or lessee of such space; provided, that such private parking lot be clearly designated...
as such by a sign no smaller than 24 inches by 24 inches prominently displayed at the entrance thereto; and provided further, that the parking spaces within the lot be clearly marked by signs setting forth the name of each individual lessee or owner.

The provisions of this section shall only apply to parking spaces located within the corporate limits of municipalities.

Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined not more than ten dollars ($10.00) in the discretion of the court. (1955, c. 1019.)

§ 14-401.10. Soliciting advertisements for official publications of law enforcement officers' associations.—Every person, firm or corporation who solicits any advertisement to be published in any law enforcement officers' association's official magazine, yearbook, or other official publication, shall disclose to the person so solicited, whether so requested or not, the name of the law enforcement association for which such advertisement is solicited, together with written authority from the president or secretary of such association to solicit such advertising on its behalf.

Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be fined or imprisoned in the discretion of the court. (1961, c. 518.)

 ARTICLE 53.

Sale of Weapons.

§ 14-402. Sale of certain weapons without permit forbidden. — It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation in this State to sell, give away, or dispose of, or to purchase or receive, at any place within the State from any other place within or without the State, unless a license or permit therefor shall have first been obtained by such purchaser or receiver from the sheriff of the county in which such purchase, sale, or transfer is intended to be made, any pistol, so-called pump-gun, bowie knife, dirk, dagger, slung-shot, blackjack or metallic knucks.

It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to receive from any postmaster, postal clerk, employee in the parcel post department, rural mail carrier, express agent or employee, railroad agent or employee, within the State of North Carolina any pistol, so-called pump-gun, bowie knife, dirk, dagger or metallic knucks without having in his or their possession and without exhibiting at the time of the delivery of the same and to the person delivering the same, the permit from the sheriff as provided in § 14-403. Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be fined not less than fifty dollars nor more than two hundred dollars, or imprisoned not less than thirty days nor more than six months, or both, in the discretion of the court. (1919, c. 197, s. 1; C. S., s. 5106; 1923, c. 106; 1947, c. 781; 1959, c. 1073, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—
The 1959 amendment changed this and other sections of this article by striking out the word "clerk" and the words "clerk of the superior court" wherever they appear and substituting therefor the word "sheriff," it being the intent and purpose of the amending act to transfer to the sheriffs the duties now performed by the clerks of the superior court in issuing permits for the purchase of weapons and keeping the records of issuance of such permits and all other duties incident to the purchase, sale and ownership of weapons. The 1959 amending act, as amended by Session Laws 1963, c. 537, and Session Laws 1967, cc. 6, 122, 478, 903, provides that it shall not apply to the following counties: Ashe, Avery, Bertie, Bladen, Cherokee, Currituck, Davie, Duplin, Franklin, Greene, Halifax, Haywood, Iredell, Jackson, Jones, Lincoln, Macon, Madison, Mecklenburg, Mitchell, Moore, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Polk, Rock-
§ 14-403. Permit issued by sheriff; form of permit. — The sheriffs of any and all counties of this State are hereby authorized and directed to issue to any person, firm, or corporation in any such county a license or permit to purchase or receive any weapon mentioned in this article from any person, firm, or corporation offering to sell or dispose of the same, which said license or permit shall be in the following form, to wit:

North Carolina,


County.

I, ................, sheriff of said county, do hereby certify that ...........

whose place of residence is .............. Street, in ................. Township ............ County, North Carolina, having this day satisfied me as to his, her (or) their good moral character, and that the possession of one of the weapons described is necessary for self-defense or the protection of the home, a license or permit is therefore hereby given said ............ to purchase one pistol, (or if any other weapon is named strike out the word pistol) ............ from any person, firm or corporation authorized to dispose of the same.

This ................ day of ................ 19 ........

Sheriff.

(1919, c. 197, s. 2; C. S., s. 5107; 1959, c. 1073, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—See note to § 14-402.

§ 14-404. Applicant must be of good moral character; weapon for defense of home; sheriff's fee. — Before the sheriff shall issue any such license or permit he shall fully satisfy himself by affidavits, oral evidence, or otherwise, as to the good moral character of the applicant therefor, and that such person, firm, or corporation requires the possession of the weapon mentioned for protection of the home. If said sheriff shall not be so fully satisfied, he shall refuse to issue said license or permit: Provided, that nothing in this article shall apply to officers authorized by law to carry firearms. The sheriff shall charge for his services upon issuing such license or permit a fee of fifty cents. (1919, c. 197, s. 3; C. S., s. 5108; 1959, c. 1073, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—See note to § 14-402.

§ 14-405. Record of permits kept by sheriff. — The sheriff shall keep a book, to be provided by the board of commissioners of each county, in which he shall keep a record of all licenses or permits issued under this article, including the name, date, place of residence, age, former place of residence, etc., of each such person, firm, or corporation to whom or which a license or permit is issued. (1919, c. 197, s. 4; C. S., s. 5109; 1959, c. 1073, s. 2.)

Editor's Note.—See note to § 14-402.

§ 14-407.1. Sale of blank cartridge pistols. — The provisions of G. S. 14-402 and G. S. 14-405 to 14-407 shall apply to the sale of pistols suitable for firing blank cartridges. The clerks of the superior courts of all the counties of this State are authorized and may in their discretion issue to any person, firm or corporation, in any such county, a license or permit to purchase or receive any pistol suitable for firing blank cartridges from any person, firm or corporation offering to sell or dispose of the same, which said permit shall be in substantially the following form:

310
§ 14-409. Machine guns and other like weapons.—It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to manufacture, sell, give away, dispose of, use or possess machine guns, sub-machine guns, or other like weapons: Provided, however, that this section shall not apply to the following:

Banks, merchants, and recognized business establishments for use in their respective places of business, who shall first apply to and receive from the sheriff of the county in which said business is located, a permit to possess the said weapons for the purpose of defending the said business; officers and soldiers of the United States army, when in discharge of their official duties, officers and soldiers of the militia and the State guard when called into actual service, officers of the State, or of any county, city or town, charged with the execution of the laws of the State, when acting in the discharge of their official duties; the manufacture, use or possession of such weapons for scientific or experimental purposes when such manufacture, use or possession is lawful under federal laws and the weapon is registered with a federal agency, and when a permit to manufacture, use or possess the weapon is issued by the sheriff of the county in which the weapon is located.

Provided, further, that automatic shot-guns and pistols or other automatic weapons that shoot less than thirty-one shots shall not be construed to be or mean a machine gun or sub-machine gun under this section; and that any bona fide resident of this State who now owns a machine gun used in former wars, as a relic or souvenir, may retain and keep same as his or her property without violating the provisions of this section upon his reporting said ownership to the sheriff of the county in which said person lives.

Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be fined not less than five hundred ($500.00) dollars, or imprisoned for not less than six months, or both, in the discretion of the court.

(1933, c. 261, s. 1; 1959, c. 1073, s. 2; 1965, c. 1200.)

Editor's Note.—See note to § 14-402.

The 1965 amendment added the provisions pertaining to weapons for scientific or experimental purposes in the second paragraph and in the proviso of the same paragraph substituted “thirty-one shots” for “sixteen shots.”

Article 54.

Sale, etc., of Pyrotechnics.

§ 14-410. Manufacture, sale and use of pyrotechnics prohibited; public exhibitions permitted; common carriers not affected.


§ 14-414. Pyrotechnics defined; exceptions.—For the proper construction of the provisions of this article, “pyrotechnics,” as is herein used, shall be
§ 14-423. Definitions.—As used in this article certain terms or words are hereby defined as follows:

(1) The word "person" means an individual, firm, partnership, limited partnership, corporation or association.

(2) The term "debt adjuster" means a person who engages in, attempts to engage in, or offers to engage in the practice or business of debt adjusting as said term is defined in this article.

(3) The term "debt adjusting" shall mean the entering into or making of a contract, express or implied, with a particular debtor whereby the debtor agrees to pay a certain amount of money periodically to the person engaged in the debt adjusting business and who shall for a consideration, agree to distribute, or distribute the same among certain specified creditors in accordance with a plan agreed upon. The term "debt adjusting" is further defined and shall also mean the business or practice of any person who holds himself out as acting or offering or attempting to act as an intermediary between a debtor and his creditors for the purpose of settling, compounding, or in anywise altering the terms of payment of any debt of a debtor, and to that end receives money or other property from the debtor, or on behalf of the debtor, for the payment to, or distribution among, the creditors of the debtor.

(4) The term or word "debtor" means an individual, and includes two or more individuals who are jointly and severally, or jointly or severally indebted to a creditor or creditors. (1963, c. 394, s. 1.)

§ 14-424. Engaging, etc., in business of debt adjusting a misdemeanor.—If any person shall engage in, or offer to or attempt to, engage in the business or practice of debt adjusting, or if any person shall hereafter act, offer to act, or attempt to act as a debt adjuster, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction or plea of guilty shall be punished in the discretion of the court by fine or imprisonment or by both such fine and imprisonment. (1963, c. 394, s. 2.)

§ 14-425. Enjoining practice of debt adjusting; appointment of receiver for money and property employed.—The superior court shall have jurisdiction, in an action brought in the name of the State by the solicitor of the
§ 14-426. Certain persons and transactions not deemed debt adjusters or debt adjustment.—The following individuals or transactions shall not be deemed debt adjusters or as being engaged in the business or practice of debt adjusting:

1. Any person or individual who is a regular, full-time employee of a debtor, and who acts as an adjuster of his employer's debts;
2. Any person or individual acting pursuant to any order or judgment of a court, or pursuant to authority conferred by any law of this State or of the United States;
3. Any person who is a creditor of the debtor, or an agent of one or more creditors of the debtor, and whose services in adjusting the debtor's debts are rendered without cost to the debtor;
4. Any person who at the request of a debtor, arranges for or makes a loan to the debtor, and who, at the authorization of the debtor, acts as an adjuster of the debtor’s debts in the disbursement of the proceeds of the loan, without compensation for the services rendered in adjusting such debts;
5. An intermittent or casual adjustment of a debtor’s debts, for compensation, by an individual or person who is not a debt adjuster or who is not engaged in the business or practice of debt adjusting, and who does not hold himself out as being regularly engaged in debt adjusting. (1963, c. 394, s. 4.)

Article 57.

Use, Sale, etc., of Glues Releasing Toxic Vapors.

§ 14-427. Definition.—As used in this article the phrase “glue containing a solvent having the property of releasing toxic vapors or fumes” shall mean and include any glue, cement, or other adhesive containing one or more of the following chemical compounds: Acetone, an acetate, benzene, toluene, xylene, butyl alcohol, ethyl alcohol, ethylene dichloride, isopropyl alcohol, methyl alcohol, methyl ethyl ketone, pentachlorophenol, or petroleum ether. (1967, c. 552, § 1.)

§ 14-428. Inhaling fumes for purpose of causing intoxication, etc.—No person shall, for the purpose of causing a condition of intoxication, inebriation, excitement, stupefaction, or the dulling of his brain or nervous system, intentionally smell or inhale the fumes from any glue containing a solvent having the property of releasing toxic vapors or fumes; provided, that nothing in this section shall be interpreted as applying to the inhalation of any anesthesia for medical or dental purposes. (1967, c. 552, § 2.)

§ 14-429. Use or possession of glue for purpose of violating § 14-428.—No person shall, for the purpose of violating § 14-428, use, or possess for the purpose of so using, any glue containing a solvent having the property of releasing toxic vapors or fumes. (1967, c. 552, § 3.)

§ 14-430. Sale, etc., of glue to be used in violation of § 14-428.—No person shall sell, or offer to sell, to any other person any tube or other container of glue containing a solvent having the property of releasing toxic vapors or fumes.
§ 14-431. Violation of article a misdemeanor.—Any person who violates any provision of this article shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (1967, c. 552, s. 5.)
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