

# Report to the North Carolina General Assembly

Final External Evaluation of Innovative School District (Status Update)

Session Law 2016-110

Date Due: February 15, 2023

DPI Chronological Schedule, 2022-2023

### STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION VISION: Every public school student in North Carolina will be empowered to accept academic challenges, prepared to pursue their chosen path after graduating high school, and encouraged to become lifelong learners with the capacity to engage in a globally-collaborative society.

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MISSION: The mission of the North Carolina State Board of Education is to use its constitutional authority to guard and maintain the right of a sound, basic education for every child in North Carolina Public Schools.

ERIC DAVISJILL CAMNITZJOHN BLACKBURNChair: Charlotte – At-LargeGreenville – Northeast RegionLinville – Northwest Region

ALAN DUNCAN REGINALD KENAN DONNA TIPTON-ROGERS

Vice Chair: Greensboro – Piedmont-Triad Region Rose Hill – Southeast Region Brasstown – Western Region

MARK ROBINSON
AMY WHITE
Lieutenant Governor: High Point – Ex Officio
Garner – North Central Region
Ahoskie – At-Large

DALE FOLWELL OLIVIA OXENDINE JAMES FORD

State Treasurer: Raleigh – Ex Officio Lumberton – Sandhills Region At-Large

CATHERINE TRUITT VACANT

## NC DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

Superintendent & Secretary to the Board: Cary

Catherine Truitt, State Superintendent :: 301 N. Wilmington Street :: Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-2825

Southwest Region

In compliance with federal law, the NC Department of Public Instruction administers all state-operated educational programs, employment activities and admissions without discrimination because of race, religion, national or ethnic origin, color, age, military service, disability, or gender, except where exemption is appropriate and allowed by law.

# Inquiries or complaints regarding discrimination issues should be directed to:

Thomas Tomberlin, Director of Educator Recruitment and Support, NCDPI 6301 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-6301 / Phone: (984) 236-2114 / Fax: (984) 236-2099

Visit us on the Web: www.dpi.nc.gov

# **Background**

The North Carolina General Assembly enacted legislation in 2016 to establish a new non-geographic school district: the North Carolina Innovative School District (the ISD). In January 2018, the Public Schools of Robeson County School Board voted unanimously to approve the transfer of Southside-Ashpole Elementary School to the ISD. Over the course of the next several years, there have been numerous changes (e.g., managerial, operational, and noteworthy turnover with administrators and teachers in particular). In addition, no other schools were selected for transfer into the ISD. Pursuant to Senate Bill 105/SL 2021-180, the North Carolina State Board of Education approved a plan to transition Southside-Ashpole Elementary School back to the Public Schools of Robeson County, effective July 1, 2022.

# **Final External Evaluation**

Session Law 2016-110 requires that a final external evaluation be submitted to the General Assembly. The funding that had been earmarked for that purpose had been carried forward for multiple years, likely due to pandemic and the uncertainty of the ISD (i.e., whether more schools would be added) but ultimately reverted. The Department of Public Instruction had to identify a viable alternative, which took more time than expected.

## **Status**

A collaboration with the Duke Sanford School of Public Policy has been established, and a team of evaluators hopes to complete the evaluation **before June 30, 2023**. It is important to note, however, that the initial –and only external evaluation to date– underscored, "[The] ISD is an initiative, but Southside Ashpole is only a single school that (to date) has presented a single response in a single setting in a single year to the possibilities of that initiative. Whether the school succeeds or fails ultimately may define success or failure for the initiative as a whole, but perhaps unfairly so: A single school's single-year experiment should not bear the burden of representing a full, multi-school, multi-year implementation (p. 31)."