

2017 COUNTY DEVELOPMENT TIER RANKINGS (§ 143B-437.08)

NEW TIER	COUNTY	ECONOMIC INDICATORS								TIER ADJUSTMENT FACTORS					TIER ADJUSTMENTS				Auto- Adjusted Tiers	FINAL 2017 TIERS	
		Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita FY 2016-2017		Population Growth July 2012-July 2015		Median Household Income 2014		Unemployment 12 Mth Avg Oct 15-Sept 16		County Rank Sum (Lowest = most distressed)	ECONOMIC DISTRESS RANK (#1 = most distressed)	Population Less Prison July 2015	Poverty Rate 5-year 2010-2014	2015 Tier	2016 Tier	Pop <50,000: Tier 1 or Tier 2	Pop <12,000: auto Tier 1	Pop <50,000 & poverty >19%: auto Tier 1			Must be Tier One for two consec. Years
		Value	Rank	% Change	Rank	Income	Rank	Rate	Rank												
	ALAMANCE	\$76,032	36	2.93%	78	\$41,296	49	4.90%	81	244	67	157,522	18.8%	2	2						2
	ALEXANDER	\$70,309	24	1.24%	57	\$43,043	59	4.55%	92	232	61	36,798	16.8%	2	2	X				<3	2
	ALLEGHANY	\$144,801	88	1.97%	65	\$35,266	22	5.63%	43	218	57	11,190	21.2%	1	1	X	X	X		1	1
	ANSON	\$70,063	23	-2.68%	4	\$32,508	7	5.97%	32	66	11	23,789	24.1%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	ASHE	\$141,923	86	-0.18%	35	\$36,488	27	5.11%	68	216	55	27,332	20.5%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	AVERY	\$237,109	98	0.95%	53	\$37,131	32	5.39%	58	241	66	16,179	18.2%	2	2	X				<3	2
	BEAUFORT	\$116,749	73	-0.10%	38	\$40,357	47	5.95%	33	191	46	47,829	19.9%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	BERTIE	\$66,182	10	-2.94%	3	\$31,217	3	6.88%	18	34	3	19,244	25.5%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	BLADEN	\$78,641	45	-0.05%	39	\$33,521	12	7.12%	14	110	15	35,011	27.9%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	BRUNSWICK	\$192,721	93	9.63%	100	\$47,387	80	6.47%	28	301	82	123,535	16.3%	3	3						3
	BUNCOMBE	\$117,604	74	3.91%	85	\$47,296	79	3.95%	100	338	94	253,950	16.5%	3	3						3
	BURKE	\$71,728	27	-0.26%	31	\$39,275	44	5.20%	65	167	36	88,375	20.7%	2	2						2
	CABARRUS	\$101,676	59	6.58%	96	\$55,250	93	4.69%	88	336	93	195,622	13.1%	3	3						3
	CALDWELL	\$77,580	37	-0.01%	41	\$38,653	40	5.51%	49	167	36	82,297	20.5%	2	1				X	1	1
	CAMDEN	\$99,984	58	2.22%	69	\$61,730	98	5.50%	50	275	76	10,224	6.7%	1	1	X	X			1	1
	CARTERET	\$209,477	95	2.11%	67	\$48,824	84	5.37%	59	305	83	69,531	15.2%	3	3						3
	CASWELL	\$69,724	21	0.06%	44	\$42,730	57	5.72%	37	159	33	22,594	21.7%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	CATAWBA	\$104,698	62	0.20%	48	\$45,080	70	4.99%	75	255	72	155,585	15.3%	2	2						2
↓	CHATHAM	\$126,154	80	8.14%	99	\$56,797	94	4.36%	98	371	99	71,784	14.4%	3	3						3
	CHEROKEE	\$107,046	66	1.44%	59	\$34,620	19	5.86%	34	178	42	27,770	19.4%	2	2	X		X		1	1
	CHOWAN	\$95,888	54	-1.22%	17	\$38,887	41	6.75%	22	134	25	14,541	27.4%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	CLAY	\$146,396	90	2.87%	77	\$37,072	31	5.56%	47	245	68	11,036	23.6%	1	1	X	X	X		1	1
	CLEVELAND	\$78,640	44	0.20%	47	\$39,444	45	5.74%	36	172	40	97,871	20.1%	2	2						2
	COLUMBUS	\$66,813	12	-2.01%	9	\$34,321	18	6.68%	25	64	9	54,772	24.3%	1	1						1
	CRAVEN	\$87,684	50	-1.50%	15	\$43,972	63	5.44%	56	184	43	102,943	16.2%	2	2						2
	CUMBERLAND	\$67,263	14	-0.42%	30	\$42,582	56	6.58%	26	126	22	328,860	17.4%	2	2						2
	CURRITUCK	\$239,348	99	6.27%	94	\$58,024	95	5.45%	54	342	95	25,627	10.3%	2	2	X				<3	2
	DARE	\$378,627	100	3.35%	81	\$54,642	92	6.86%	20	293	80	36,001	9.1%	2	2	X				<3	2
	DAVIDSON	\$77,844	40	1.13%	56	\$43,346	61	4.98%	76	233	62	164,941	16.1%	2	2						2
	DAVIE	\$98,120	56	0.97%	54	\$47,592	81	4.67%	90	281	77	41,743	14.4%	2	2	X				<3	2
	DUPLIN	\$69,761	22	0.65%	52	\$34,109	17	5.50%	52	143	28	59,868	26.9%	2	2						2
	DURHAM	\$104,754	63	5.67%	91	\$50,745	89	4.62%	91	334	91	294,434	18.1%	3	3						3
	EDGECOMBE	\$56,372	4	-2.37%	7	\$31,615	4	8.78%	4	19	1	54,367	25.3%	1	1						1
	FORSYTH	\$88,437	51	2.48%	75	\$45,944	75	5.03%	73	274	75	366,302	19.1%	3	3						3
	FRANKLIN	\$70,342	25	3.87%	84	\$48,166	82	5.27%	62	253	70	63,731	15.4%	2	2						2
	GASTON	\$68,031	15	1.97%	66	\$42,056	55	5.40%	57	193	48	212,401	18.1%	2	2						2
	GATES	\$69,004	19	-3.15%	2	\$48,413	83	5.20%	66	170	39	11,739	16.0%	1	1	X	X			1	1
	GRAHAM	\$143,640	87	-1.07%	18	\$33,824	14	9.72%	1	120	19	8,761	21.4%	1	1	X	X	X		1	1
	GRANVILLE	\$72,963	28	1.49%	60	\$49,342	85	4.52%	93	266	74	55,739	15.8%	2	2						2
↓	GREENE	\$55,026	3	-2.14%	8	\$37,263	33	5.09%	70	114	16	18,941	24.9%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	GUILFORD	\$90,957	52	3.05%	80	\$44,828	67	5.34%	60	259	73	517,124	17.9%	2	3						2
	HALIFAX	\$70,485	26	-3.17%	1	\$31,674	5	8.29%	7	39	4	51,525	25.8%	1	1						1
↑	HARNETT	\$59,763	5	4.85%	89	\$45,380	71	5.97%	31	196	49	126,143	18.2%	2	2						2
	HAYWOOD	\$117,651	75	2.31%	71	\$42,812	58	4.70%	87	291	79	60,631	15.8%	3	2						3
	HENDERSON	\$110,441	69	4.11%	86	\$47,286	78	4.36%	97	330	90	112,511	14.8%	3	3						3
	HERTFORD	\$62,603	7	-0.22%	34	\$30,056	1	6.74%	23	65	10	23,009	26.3%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	HOKE	\$64,286	9	3.49%	83	\$44,175	64	6.87%	19	175	41	51,184	22.2%	2	2						2
	HYDE	\$210,124	96	-2.37%	6	\$36,891	30	9.30%	3	135	26	4,984	21.1%	1	1	X	X	X		1	1
	IREDELL	\$122,084	78	4.44%	88	\$54,026	91	4.93%	77	334	91	170,230	13.4%	3	3						3
	JACKSON	\$209,333	94	2.34%	72	\$38,130	37	5.61%	44	247	69	41,597	22.3%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	JOHNSTON	\$78,078	41	5.68%	92	\$50,055	88	4.71%	86	307	84	183,908	16.4%	3	3						3
	JONES	\$77,671	39	-1.78%	10	\$38,928	42	5.25%	64	155	31	10,423	18.8%	1	1	X	X			1	1
↑	LEE	\$86,848	49	0.05%	42	\$46,073	76	6.26%	30	197	50	58,674	18.7%	2	2						2
	LENOIR	\$68,608	17	-1.70%	12	\$35,991	26	5.64%	42	97	14	58,304	23.7%	1	1						2
	LINCOLN	\$102,543	60	2.98%	79	\$49,676	86	4.86%	82	307	84	81,170	15.6%	3	3						3
	MACON	\$218,921	97	2.37%	73	\$37,884	35	5.54%	48	253	70	34,771	20.7%	1	1	X		X		1	1

2017 COUNTY DEVELOPMENT TIER RANKINGS (§ 143B-437.08)

		ECONOMIC INDICATORS								TIER ADJUSTMENT FACTORS				TIER ADJUSTMENTS							
NEW TIER	COUNTY	Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita FY 2016-2017		Population Growth July 2012-July 2015		Median Household Income 2014		Unemployment 12 Mth Avg Oct 15-Sept 16		County Rank Sum (Lowest = most distressed)	ECONOMIC DISTRESS RANK (#1 = most distressed)	Population Less Prison July 2015	Poverty Rate 5-year 2010-2014	2015 Tier	2016 Tier	Pop <50,000: Tier 1 or Tier 2	Pop <12,000: auto Tier 1	Pop <50,000 & poverty >19%: auto Tier 1	Must be Tier One for two consec. Years	Auto- Adjusted Tiers	FINAL 2017 TIERS
		Value	Rank	% Change	Rank	Income	Rank	Rate	Rank												
	MADISON	\$104,418	61	2.13%	68	\$38,445	38	5.14%	67	234	63	21,663	18.2%	2	2	X				<3	2
	MARTIN	\$77,649	38	-0.93%	19	\$35,930	24	7.08%	15	96	13	23,746	22.5%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	MCDOWELL	\$79,830	46	0.59%	51	\$37,881	34	4.93%	78	209	54	44,739	20.2%	2	1	X		X	X	1	1
↓	MECKLENBURG	\$115,399	72	7.58%	98	\$59,049	96	4.85%	83	349	98	1,035,605	15.5%	3	3						3
	MITCHELL	\$113,759	70	-0.49%	28	\$36,795	28	6.41%	29	155	31	15,335	19.6%	2	2	X		X		1	1
	MONTGOMERY	\$105,894	64	-0.25%	33	\$38,530	39	5.27%	61	197	50	27,174	26.2%	1	1	X		X		1	1
↑	MOORE	\$126,671	81	4.19%	87	\$51,650	90	5.25%	63	321	88	94,492	16.3%	3	3						3
	NASH	\$75,838	35	-0.63%	23	\$43,348	62	6.76%	21	141	27	93,731	17.8%	1	1						2
	NEW HANOVER	\$139,871	85	4.91%	90	\$49,905	87	4.91%	80	342	95	219,841	18.1%	3	3						3
	NORTHAMPTON	\$96,079	55	-2.40%	5	\$32,063	6	7.47%	11	77	12	20,635	26.2%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	ONSLow	\$68,853	18	1.71%	63	\$47,201	77	5.69%	39	197	50	194,636	14.7%	2	2						2
	ORANGE	\$114,918	71	1.49%	61	\$60,304	97	4.36%	96	325	89	139,936	16.8%	3	3						3
	PAMLICO	\$139,604	84	0.06%	43	\$43,097	60	5.47%	53	240	65	12,614	13.3%	2	2	X				<3	2
	PASQUOTANK	\$75,447	34	-0.81%	21	\$44,596	66	6.69%	24	145	29	38,987	19.5%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	PENDER	\$109,732	68	6.99%	97	\$45,453	72	5.56%	46	283	78	57,184	18.0%	3	3						3
↑	PERQUIMANS	\$130,643	82	-0.26%	32	\$41,328	50	6.54%	27	191	46	13,648	18.8%	1	1	X				<3	2
↓	PERSON	\$109,178	67	1.13%	55	\$45,841	74	5.71%	38	234	63	39,574	19.9%	2	2	X		X		1	1
	PITT	\$66,765	11	1.76%	64	\$41,765	51	5.66%	41	167	36	175,532	24.0%	2	2						2
	POLK	\$132,793	83	2.52%	76	\$45,464	73	4.80%	85	317	87	20,828	13.4%	2	2	X				<3	2
	RANDOLPH	\$73,656	30	0.37%	49	\$41,770	52	5.04%	72	203	53	142,718	18.0%	2	2						2
	RICHMOND	\$68,193	16	-1.73%	11	\$34,665	20	7.30%	13	60	8	44,690	23.8%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	ROBESON	\$46,493	1	-0.51%	27	\$30,414	2	7.48%	10	40	5	132,590	32.0%	1	1						1
	ROCKINGHAM	\$74,721	32	-0.81%	20	\$39,606	46	5.80%	35	133	24	92,084	18.8%	1	2						2
↑	ROWAN	\$81,541	48	1.51%	62	\$44,973	68	5.60%	45	223	59	139,142	19.1%	2	2						2
	RUTHERFORD	\$91,034	53	-0.46%	29	\$35,629	23	7.06%	16	121	20	67,384	21.0%	1	1						2
	SAMPSON	\$67,056	13	-0.16%	36	\$33,876	16	5.50%	51	116	17	63,538	24.7%	2	2						2
	SCOTLAND	\$60,140	6	-1.64%	14	\$32,782	9	9.50%	2	31	2	34,193	31.2%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	STANLY	\$74,834	33	1.38%	58	\$41,921	53	4.85%	84	228	60	60,391	16.6%	2	2						2
	STOKES	\$78,283	43	-0.55%	25	\$45,065	69	4.92%	79	216	55	46,763	17.1%	2	2	X				<3	2
	SURRY	\$74,227	31	-0.63%	24	\$37,915	36	5.00%	74	165	34	73,195	19.8%	1	2						2
	SWAIN	\$106,506	65	3.43%	82	\$34,788	21	6.93%	17	185	44	14,953	27.7%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	TRANSYLVANIA	\$176,148	92	2.25%	70	\$44,490	65	5.09%	69	296	81	33,745	13.8%	2	2	X				<3	2
	TYRRELL	\$124,302	79	-0.55%	26	\$32,773	8	8.59%	5	118	18	3,646	23.0%	1	1	X	X	X		1	1
	UNION	\$99,469	57	5.90%	93	\$64,381	99	4.46%	95	344	97	219,992	9.6%	3	3						3
	VANCE	\$52,321	2	-0.77%	22	\$33,609	13	7.51%	9	46	6	45,097	25.5%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	WAKE	\$119,336	77	6.57%	95	\$66,950	100	4.30%	99	371	99	1,004,811	11.3%	3	3						3
	WARREN	\$118,440	76	0.14%	45	\$33,869	15	7.45%	12	148	30	19,754	24.8%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	WASHINGTON	\$69,593	20	-1.67%	13	\$32,867	10	7.91%	8	51	7	12,589	24.2%	1	1	X		X		1	1
	WATAUGA	\$165,541	91	2.48%	74	\$41,942	54	4.68%	89	308	86	53,737	32.1%	3	3						3
	WAYNE	\$63,691	8	0.18%	46	\$36,890	29	5.68%	40	123	21	124,182	22.5%	2	2						2
	WILKES	\$80,786	47	-0.13%	37	\$33,398	11	5.08%	71	166	35	69,434	24.3%	2	2						2
	WILSON	\$78,217	42	-0.02%	40	\$39,268	43	8.47%	6	131	23	81,689	24.0%	1	2						2
↓	YADKIN	\$73,323	29	-1.40%	16	\$40,801	48	4.49%	94	187	45	37,705	19.4%	2	2	X		X		1	1
	YANCEY	\$145,314	89	0.51%	50	\$35,974	25	5.45%	55	219	58	17,959	21.5%	2	1	X		X	X	1	1