

## **HOUSE BILL 62:** Farmers Protection Act.

## 2025-2026 General Assembly

Committee: House Judiciary 1. If favorable, re-refer to Date: February 25, 2025

Agriculture and Environment. If favorable, rerefer to Commerce and Economic Development. If favorable, re-refer to Rules,

Calendar, and Operations of the House

Introduced by: Reps. N. Jackson, Dixon, Balkcom, Gillespie Prepared by: Ike McRee

**Analysis of:** First Edition Committee Co-Counsel

OVERVIEW: House Bill 62 would prohibit State chartered banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, and savings banks from denying or canceling its services to an agriculture producer based on environmental, social, and governance factors (ESG) and create a civil penalty of \$10,000 for any violation.

**CURRENT LAW:** There are currently no North Carolina statutes that prohibit a financial institution's denial or cancelation of services to an agriculture producer based on ESG factors.

## **BILL ANALYSIS:**

**Section 1** would add new G.S. 53C-6-21 in Article 6 of Chapter 53C of the General Statutes to do the following:

- Define "agriculture producer" and "ESG commitment."
- Prohibit State chartered banks from denying or canceling its service to an agriculture producer based, in whole or part, on the agriculture producer's greenhouse gas emissions, use of fossil-fuel derived fertilizer, or use of fossil-fuel powered machinery.
- Provide that if a State chartered bank has made any ESG commitment related to agriculture, there
  is a rebuttable presumption that the bank's denial of service to an agriculture producer violates
  the section. A rebuttable presumption may be overcome through clear and convincing evidence
  that the denial or restriction of service is based on financial considerations rather than ESG
  commitments.
- Require a State chartered bank to file an annual report with the Commissioner of Banks (Commissioner) stating whether the bank is in compliance with the act.
- Provide that the Commissioner may bring a civil action to seek an injunction or civil penalty.
   Each violation may result in a \$10,000 civil penalty. The clear proceeds of civil penalties would be remitted to the Civil Penalty and Forfeiture Fund.
- Provide that a violation of the section is an unfair or deceptive trade practice under G.S. 75-1.1 which could lead to the award of treble damages and attorneys' fees.

**Section 2** would amend G.S. 54B-78 to make the act applicable to a State savings and loan association.

**Section 3** would amend G.S. 54C-63 to make the act applicable to a State savings bank.

Kara McCraw Director



Legislative Analysis Division 919-733-2578

## **House Bill 62**

Page 2

**Section 4** would make the act applicable to a credit union and require a credit union to submit an annual report to the Administrator of Credit Unions (Administrator) stating whether the credit union is in compliance with the section, and authorizes the Administrator to bring a civil action to seek an injunction or civil penalty to enforce the act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** House Bill 62 would become effective when it becomes law and apply to acts committed on or after the effective date.